

2011

ANNUAL REPORT OF THE
CALIFORNIA DUI MANAGEMENT
INFORMATION SYSTEM

ANNUAL REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASSEMBLY BILL 757
CHAPTER 450, 1989 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

JANUARY 2011

EDMUND G. BROWN JR.
Governor

TRACI STEVENS, Acting Undersecretary
Business, Transportation and Housing Agency

GEORGE VALVERDE
Director

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.				
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE January 2011	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Final Report		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE 2011 Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System			5. FUNDING NUMBERS	
6. AUTHOR(S) Sladjana Oulad Daoud and Helen N. Tashima				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) California Department of Motor Vehicles Research and Development Branch P.O. Box 932382 Sacramento, CA 94232-3820			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER CAL-DMV-RSS-11-233	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) In this twentieth annual legislatively-mandated report, 2008 and 2009 DUI data from diverse sources were compiled and cross-referenced for the purpose of developing a single comprehensive DUI data reference and monitoring system. This report presents crosstabulated information on DUI arrests, convictions, court sanctions, administrative actions and alcohol-involved crashes. In addition, this report provides 1-year proportions of DUI recidivism and crash rates for first and second DUI offenders arrested in each year over a time period of 19 years. Also, the long-term recidivism curves of the cumulative proportions of DUI reoffenses are shown for all DUI offenders arrested in 1994. Two analyses were conducted to evaluate if referrals to DUI programs were associated with reductions in 1-year subsequent violations and crashes among those convicted of the reduced charge of alcohol-related reckless driving, and if referrals to the 9-month DUI program were associated with reductions in 1-year subsequent violations and crashes when compared to referrals to the 3-month DUI program among first DUI offenders. The proportions of 2008 convicted first and second offenders who were referred, enrolled, and completed DUI programs are also presented.				
14. SUBJECT TERMS Drinking drivers, DUI tracking data system, DUI reporting system, DUI countermeasures, DUI recidivism, alcohol education and rehabilitation, driver license disqualification			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 149	
			16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT None	

DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 1999 - 2009

	YEAR										
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
DUI Arrest Rate (per 100,000 licensed drivers)	896	847	803	783	809	792	786	849	863	906	880
Total DUI arrests ¹	188523	181336	176490	177056	183560	180957	180288	197248	203866	214811	208531
Felony DUI arrests ¹	5242	5476	5647	5859	5856	5646	5962	6191	6264	5966	5577
Misdemeanor DUI arrests ¹	183281	175860	170843	171197	177704	175311	174326	191057	197602	208845	202954
DUI convictions received to date (by year of arrest) ²	149933	144725	140969	140534	145722	145226	146221	160040	164055	169035	154648
Percent convicted of DUI as of Oct. 2010 ²	80%	80%	80%	79%	79%	80%	81%	81%	80%	79%	74%
Alcohol-involved reckless driving convictions as of Oct. 2010 ²	15514	14465	15773	15694	15735	14801	14452	15563	16085	17887	18772
Percent convicted of alcohol reckless driving	8.2%	8.0%	8.9%	8.9%	8.6%	8.2%	8.0%	7.9%	7.9%	8.3%	9.0%
Alcohol-involved fatalities ³	1170	1233	1308	1416	1445	1462	1574	1597	1489	1355	1263
% of total fatalities	32.8	33.1	33.3	34.2	34.2	35.7	36.6	38.1	37.5	39.8	41.1
Alcohol-involved injuries ³	29833	30971	31806	32013	31322	31538	30810	31099	30783	28463	26057
% of total injuries	10.3	10.2	10.4	10.4	10.2	10.4	10.5	11.2	11.5	11.8	11.2
Drug-involved fatalities ⁴	290	428	509	639	784	799	880	859	749	726	713
% of total fatalities	8.2	11.5	13.0	15.6	18.6	19.5	20.4	20.5	18.9	21.3	23.2
Drug-involved injuries ⁴	1774	1917	2106	2373	2580	2646	2722	2421	2464	2227	2309
% of total injuries	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	1.0

¹These totals do not include duplicate cases as originally reported in the Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, MACR data, and as of 2006 the counts for all the years reflect this change.

²These data represent a DMV master file count of the number of DUI abstracts (data received from the courts), by year of violation, minus duplicates. Each year, the DUI conviction totals are updated to include the amended and new abstracts for current and previous years. These additions are also reflected in changes for the percent convicted. These totals do not include conviction abstracts not yet received. Thus, for the most recent years, these figures will underestimate the final conviction totals.

³These figures include overlap with drugs. That is, drugs are also involved in some of these cases.

⁴These figures include overlap with alcohol. That is, alcohol is also involved in some of these cases. Beginning with the 2007 DUI-MIS report, reporting of drug-involved fatalities is included.

DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 1999 - 2009 (continued)

	YEAR										
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
TOTAL MANDATORY SUSPENSION/ REVOCATION (S/R) ACTIONS	236141	240597	231217	236603	241242	239580	247568	339796 ²	362859 ²	392319 ²	382111 ²
PRECONVICTION											
Admin Per Se (APS) Actions	179332	172606	164840	165505	171470	171828	168569	185481	192213	204332	198851
.01 Zero tolerance suspensions	17775	18185	18549	19129	19949	19967	19374	22044	22112	22180	20861
.08 First-offender suspensions	119621	114997	109695	109888	114975	116022	107466	118468	123594	132266	127933
.08 Repeat-offender suspensions	38487	36147	33517	33580	33413	32903	38097	41420	42979	46388	46747
.08 Repeat-offender revocations	3449	3277	3079	2908	3133	2936	3632	3549	3528	3498	3310
Commercial driver actions	4471	4139	4013	3936	3853	3801	3525	2974	2903	3172	2924
Chemical test refusal actions	9435	9433	8841	8772	9399	9353	9599	9315	9581	9390	8737
.01 Test refusal suspensions	268	270	280	290	341	326	364	419	426	433	372
.08 Test refusal suspensions	5718	5886	5482	5547	5925	6091	5603	5347	5627	5459	5055
.08 Test refusal revocations	3449	3277	3079	2908	3133	2936	3632	3549	3528	3498	3310
POSTCONVICTION¹											
Juvenile DUI suspensions	918	741	714	896	794	838	737	941	1061	917	482
First-offender suspensions	15072	29924	31097	32716	32521	31012	39078	110525 ²	124436 ²	136480 ²	132709 ²
Misdemeanor	13401	28118	29188	30563	30298	28799	36808	108227 ²	122102 ²	133987 ²	130462 ²
Felony	1671	1806	1909	2153	2223	2213	2270	2298	2334	2493	2247
Second-offender S/R actions	31940	29097	26911	29345	28737	28400	30294	32680	34296	38266	37836
Misdemeanor	31455	28571	26334	28748	28160	27847	29699	32046	33649	37568	37155
Felony	485	526	577	597	577	553	595	634	647	658	681
Third-offender revocations	6573	6163	5727	6171	5953	5581	6720	7649	8063	9164	9187
Misdemeanor	6452	6015	5585	5996	5758	5429	6537	7424	7830	8933	8945
Felony	121	148	142	175	195	152	183	225	233	231	242
Fourth-offender revocations	2306	2066	1928	1970	1767	1921	2170	2520	2790	3200	3046
TOTAL POSTCONVICTION S/R ACTIONS	56809	67991	66377	71098	69772	67752	78999	154315 ²	170646 ²	187987 ²	183260 ²

¹These totals include suspension actions that are associated with lack of compliance with statutory requirements, and include workload counts.

²This count has increased as a result of the law change, effective 09/20/2005, which assigned to DMV the sole responsibility for imposing license actions for all DUIs and removed this responsibility from the courts.

HIGHLIGHTS OF YEAR 2011 CALIFORNIA DUI-MIS REPORT

- Alcohol-involved traffic fatalities decreased by 6.8% in 2009; the third consecutive year of decreases after eight years of a continuous rising trend (see DUI Summary Statistics).
- Drug-involved fatalities declined for the fourth consecutive year (by 1.8% in 2009), but still reflect an increase of 146% in the past decade, from 290 in 1999 to 713 in 2009 (see DUI Summary Statistics).
- The number of persons injured in alcohol-involved crashes decreased by 8.5% in 2009, following a decrease of 7.5% in 2008 (see DUI Summary Statistics).
- DUI arrests decreased by 2.9% in 2009, following increases of 5.4% in 2008, 3.4% in 2007, and 9.4% in 2006 (see DUI Summary Statistics and Table 1).
- The DUI arrest rate declined by 2.9% in 2009, after three consecutive years of increases (see DUI Summary Statistics).
- 14.2% of all 2008 DUI arrests were associated with a reported traffic crash, compared to 15.3% in 2007. 5.5% of 2008 DUI arrests were associated with crashes involving injuries or fatalities, slightly lower than 6.1% in 2007 (see Table 19).
- Among 2009 DUI arrestees, Hispanics (44.8%) again constituted the largest racial/ethnic group, as they have each year since 1992 (with the exception of 1999). Hispanics continued to be arrested at a rate substantially higher than their estimated percentage of California's adult population (33.2% in 2009). This is shown in Figure 3.
- The median (midpoint) age of an arrested DUI offender in 2009 was 30 years. Less than 1% of arrested DUI offenders were juveniles (under age 18). This is shown in Table 3a.
- Among convicted DUI offenders arrested in 2008, 73.1% were first offenders and 26.9% were repeat offenders (one or more prior convictions within the previous 10

years). The proportion of repeat offenders has decreased considerably since 1989, when it stood at 37% (see Table 10).

- The median blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of a convicted DUI offender, as reported by law enforcement on Administrative Per Se (APS) forms, was 0.15% in 2008, same as in the last four years, yet almost double the California illegal per se BAC limit of 0.08% (see Table 9a).
- 10.0% of 2008 DUI arrest cases did not show any corresponding conviction on DMV records, which is relatively unchanged from 10.4% in 2007 (see Table 8).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge with appreciation the many individuals who have contributed to the success of this project. In particular, we wish to acknowledge the cooperation of the Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, in providing annual DUI arrest data and documentation, as well as the California Highway Patrol, Information Management Division, in providing annual had-been-drinking (HBD) crash data and documentation. The contributions of Douglas Rickard, Associate Governmental Program Analyst, in transforming mainframe computer files into readable data tables and figures, as well as his general assistance in the production of this report, are acknowledged with appreciation. We also would like to acknowledge the contributions of Patrice Rogers, Research Program Specialist II, Michael Gebers, Research Program Specialist II, Scott Masten, Research Manager II, Alcohol/Drug Projects, and David J. DeYoung, Chief, Research and Development Branch.

Report Authors:

Sladjana Oulad Daoud, Research Program Specialist II and
Helen N. Tashima, Research Program Specialist II, Principal Investigators

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 1999-2009	i
HIGHLIGHTS OF YEAR 2011 CALIFORNIA DUI-MIS REPORT	iii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	v
INTRODUCTION	1
SECTION 1: DUI ARRESTS.....	4
SECTION 2: CONVICTIONS	12
SECTION 3: POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS	23
SECTION 4: POSTCONVICTION SANCTION EFFECTIVENESS	32
DUI RECIDIVISM AND CRASH RATES.....	35
One-Year DUI Recidivism and Crash Rates for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested from 1990-2008.....	35
One-Year DUI Recidivism and Crash Rates by County for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested in 2008	37
The Proportions of DUI Program Referrals, Enrollments, and Completions for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested in 2008.....	41
Long Term Recidivism Rates of the 1994 DUI Offenders.....	42
DUI PROGRAM EVALUATION FOR ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS OFFENDERS AND FIRST DUI OFFENDERS.....	47
DUI Program Evaluation for Drivers Convicted of Alcohol-Reckless Driving	50
Results of the Evaluation of the 3-Month and 9-Month DUI Programs for First DUI Offenders.....	51
SECTION 5: ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS.....	54
SECTION 6: CRASHES INVOLVING ALCOHOL	58
DATA SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS.....	70
HISTORY OF MAJOR DUI LAWS IN CALIFORNIA SINCE 1975	71
REFERENCES.....	86
GLOSSARY	90

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

APPENDICES

<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
A ASSEMBLY BILL NO. 757 - CHAPTER 450.....	93
B APPENDIX TABLES	95
B1 2009 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY	95
B2 2008 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE.....	110
B3 TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2008 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT	120
B4 2008 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS.....	128
B5 DEMOGRAPHIC 2-YEAR PRIOR DRIVER RECORD VARIABLES BY YEAR AND SANCTION GROUP FOR ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS OFFENDERS AND FIRST DUI OFFENDERS.....	149

LIST OF TABLES

1 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND ANNUAL PERCENTAGE CHANGE, 2007-2009.....	8
2 2009 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST	9
3a 2009 DUI ARRESTS BY AGE, SEX, AND RACE/ETHNICITY	10
3b 2009 DUI ARRESTS BY SEX, AGE, AND RACE/ETHNICITY	10
3c DUI ARRESTS UNDER AGE 21, 1999-2009.....	11
4 2008 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE AND SEX.....	16
5 MATCHABLE 2008 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE, RACE/ETHNICITY, AND SEX	17
6 ADJUSTED 2008 DUI CONVICTION RATES AND RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD OF CONVICTION BY AGE AND RACE/ETHNICITY	17
7 TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2008 DUI ARRESTS	18

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
8	ADJUDICATION STATUS OF 2008 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY..... 20
9a	2008 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF DUI CONVICTIONS 21
9b	2008 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF CONVICTED DUI OFFENDERS UNDER AGE 21..... 22
10	2008 DUI CONVICTIONS BY OFFENDER STATUS AND REPORTED BAC LEVEL 22
11	2008 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS 25
12	2008 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS..... 26
13a	ONE-YEAR UNADJUSTED PERCENTAGES OF SUBSEQUENT DUI- INCIDENT-INVOLVED AND CRASH-INVOLVED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS, 1990-2008..... 36
13b	2008 1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT DUI RECIDIVISM RATES BY COUNTY FOR FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS..... 39
13c	2008 1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT CRASH RATES BY COUNTY FOR FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS 40
14	COUNTS AND PROPORTIONS OF REPORTED DUI PROGRAM REFERRALS, ENROLLMENTS, AND COMPLETIONS FOR CONVICTED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2008 41
15	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGES OF FIRST SUBSEQUENT DUI REOFFENSES FOR 1994 DUI OFFENDERS..... 42
16a	THE RELATIONSHIP OF DUI PROGRAMS WITH SUBSEQUENT CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS FOR DRIVERS CONVICTED OF ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS DRIVING 51

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
16b THE RELATIONSHIP OF 3-MONTH AND 9-MONTH DUI PROGRAMS WITH SUBSEQUENT CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS AMONG FIRST DUI OFFENDERS.....	53
17 MANDATORY DUI LICENSE DISQUALIFICATION ACTIONS, 1999-2009.....	56
18 ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE PROCESS MEASURES	57
19 DUI ARRESTS ASSOCIATED WITH REPORTED CRASHES, 1998-2008	62
20 2008 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND SOBRIETY LEVEL	63
21 2008 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY ADJUDICATION STATUS AND SOBRIETY LEVEL.....	63
22 2008 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES WITH NO RECORD OF CONVICTION, BY COUNTY AND SOBRIETY LEVEL.....	64
23 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING DRIVERS UNDER AGE 21 INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES, 1998-2008.....	65
24a 2008 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY AGE AND SEX.....	65
24b 2008 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY AGE AND SEX (NOT ARRESTED OR CONVICTED).....	65
24c 2008 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY AGE AND TYPE OF CRASH.....	66
25a 2008 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY SOBRIETY LEVEL AND PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS.....	67

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
25b 2008 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY SOBRIETY LEVEL AND PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NOT ARRESTED OR CONVICTED)	67
26a 2008 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS	68
26b 2008 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NOT ARRESTED OR CONVICTED)	68
27 2008 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF DRIVERS INVOLVED IN ALCOHOL-RELATED CRASHES	69

LIST OF FIGURES

1 DUI management information system	2
2 DUI arrests 1999-2009	5
3 Percentage of 2009 DUI arrests and 2009 projected population (age 15 and over, based on the 2000 census) by race/ethnicity	7
4 DUI abstracts of conviction received by DMV and conviction rates, 1999-2009.....	14
5 Relative likelihood of conviction by race/ethnicity.....	16
6 Percentage representation of court-ordered DUI sanctions (2008).....	24
7 Percentages of first and second DUI offenders reoffending with a DUI incident within one year after conviction (arrested between 1990 and 2008)	35
8 Percentages of first and second DUI offenders involved in a crash within one year after conviction (arrested between 1990 and 2008).....	37

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
9a Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction, and first subsequent DUI conviction and DUI incident (alcohol crashes, DUI convictions, APS suspensions and DUI FTAs)	43
9b Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI conviction by number of prior DUI convictions	44
9c Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI conviction by sex	44
9d Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI conviction by age group (age at conviction date).....	45
9e Length of time between DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI reoffense of 1980, 1984, 1994, and 2000 DUI offenders	46
10a Adjusted 1-year crash and DUI incident rates for 2008-2009 (fiscal year) alcohol-reckless drivers by type of sanction.....	50
10b Adjusted 1-year crash and DUI incident rates for first offender drivers (arrested in year 2008) by length of DUI program	52
11 Percentages of total injuries and total fatalities that were alcohol-involved, 1999-2009.....	60
12 Alcohol- and drug-involved total fatalities, 1999-2009	60


INTRODUCTION

This report is the twentieth Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System, produced in response to Assembly Bill 757 (Friedman), Chapter 450, 1989 legislative session (see Appendix A). This bill required the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) to “establish and maintain a data and monitoring system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted” of DUI in order to provide “accurate and up-to-date comprehensive statistics” to enhance “the ability of the Legislature to make informed and timely policy decisions.” The need for such a data system had long been documented by numerous authorities, including the 1983 Presidential Commission on Drunk Driving. In responding to this legislative mandate, this report combines and cross-references DUI data from diverse sources and presents them in a single reference. Data sources drawn upon include the California Highway Patrol (CHP) for crash data, Department of Justice (DOJ) for arrest data, and the DMV driver record database. Each of these reporting agencies, however, initially draw their data from diffuse primary sources such as individual law enforcement agencies (arrest and crash reports) and the courts (abstracts of conviction).

The general conceptual design of the California DUI management information system (DUI-MIS) is presented in Figure 1. The basic theme of the DUI-MIS is to track the processing of offenders through the DUI system from the point of arrest and to identify the frequency with which offenders flow through each branch of the system process (from law enforcement through adjudication to treatment and license control actions). Figure 1 also illustrates the relationship between offender flow and data collection at each point of the process. The initiating data source for the DUI-MIS is the DUI arrest report, as compiled by the DOJ, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system.

Another major objective of this report is to evaluate the effectiveness of court and administrative sanctions on convicted DUI offenders. In the earlier years of this report, these evaluations were accomplished by examining the postconviction recidivism records (alcohol/drug-related crashes and traffic convictions) of offenders assigned to alternative sanctions within offender group. In recent years as the sanctions became increasingly homogenous within each offender group, the evaluations (as mandated by law) became focused on available sanctions in selected groups. These evaluations are detailed in Section 4 on “Postconviction Sanction Effectiveness.”

Figure 1. DUI management information system.



It should again be noted that it is not an objective of this report to make recommendations based on the data presented. Rather, the primary purpose of a reporting system such as the DUI-MIS is to provide objective data on the operating and performance characteristics of the system for others to assess in making policy decisions, formulating improvements and conducting more in-depth evaluations.

The DUI-MIS data system and report has led to numerous improvements in the California DUI system, from the identification of inappropriate dismissals in a small central valley court to major initiatives to improve the tracking and reporting of DUI cases. The success of the California DUI-MIS has also contributed to a national initiative to design a model DUI reporting system, developed under contract to the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA).

SECTION 1:
DUI ARRESTS

SECTION 1: DUI ARRESTS

The information presented below on DUI arrests is based primarily on data collected annually by the Department of Justice (DOJ), Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system. These data are the most current nonaggregated data available on DUI arrests.

Table 1: DUI Arrests by County and Annual Percentage Change from 2007-2009. The number of DUI arrests by county for the years 2007-2009 and the percentage change from 2008-2009 are shown in Table 1.

Table 2: 2009 DUI Arrests by County and Type of Arrest. This table shows a breakdown of 2009 DUI arrests by felony, juvenile, and misdemeanor arrest type, by county. The table also shows county and statewide DUI arrest rates per 100 licensed drivers.

Tables 3a and 3b: 2009 DUI Arrests by Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity. Table 3a cross-tabulates age by sex and age by race/ethnicity of 2009 DUI arrestees statewide. The same tabulations by county are found in Appendix Table B1. Also, Table 3a shows the average (mean) age for 2009 arrestees. In addition to the mean, the median (midpoint) was reported to minimize the influence of data values that are not equally distributed. Table 3b shows the same data cross-tabulated by sex and age within race/ethnicity.

Table 3c: DUI Arrests Under Age 21, 1999-2009. Table 3c shows a breakdown of DUI arrests under 21, by age, from 1999 to 2009. It also shows the proportion of total DUI arrests under 21 for the state over the same time period.

Figure 2 displays the trend in DUI arrests from 1999 to 2009.

Figure 3 shows the percentage of 2009 DUI arrests and 2009 projected population by race/ethnicity.




Figure 2. DUI arrests 1999-2009.

Based on the data shown in Figures 2 and 3 and previously listed tables, the following statements can be made about DUI arrests in California:

Statewide Parameters:

- DUI arrests decreased by 2.9% in 2009, after increasing by 5.4% in 2008, 3.4% in 2007, and 9.4% in 2006 (see Table 1).
- Table 2 shows that the DUI arrest rate per 100 licensed drivers was 0.9 in 2009, unchanged from 2007 and 2008, and up from 0.8 in 2000-2006. This represents a 50% reduction from the 1.8 rate in 1990.
- The percentage of DUI arrests that were felonies (involving bodily injury or death) decreased slightly, from 2.7% in 2008 to 2.6% in 2009. Felony DUI arrests continue to constitute a relatively small percentage of all DUI arrests (see Table 2).

County Variation:

- 20.4% of all 2009 California DUI arrests occurred in Los Angeles County. Five counties (Los Angeles, San Diego, Orange, San Bernardino, and Riverside) had over 10,000 DUI arrests each, accounting for 48.7% of all arrests (see Table 2).
- The 2009 county DUI arrest rates ranged from 0.3 to 2.8 DUI arrests per 100 licensed drivers (the statewide average rate is 0.9). Six counties had rates of 0.7 or below.

These counties with low arrest rates were San Francisco (0.3), Contra Costa (0.6), Santa Clara (0.6), Los Angeles (0.7), Mariposa (0.7), and Solano (0.7). Five counties had rates of 2.0 or higher— Trinity (2.8), Alpine (2.7), Glenn (2.5), Inyo (2.4), and Sierra (2.3). This is shown in Table 2.

- Many counties showed a decrease in DUI arrests in 2009. Among the larger counties, the greatest percentage decrease occurred in San Diego (-4.7%). Among smaller counties, the largest percentage decrease in DUI arrests occurred in Tehama (-28.3%) and Amador (-22.5%). Counties showing the largest percentage increase in DUI arrests were San Benito (35.6%), Alpine (35.0%), Napa (29.4%), Trinity (25.4%), and Lassen (20.8%). This is shown in Table 1.

Demographic Characteristics:

- The median age of a DUI arrestee in 2009 was 30 years. Slightly more than half (51.5%) of all arrestees were age 30 or younger and almost three-quarters (73.5%) were age 40 or younger. Less than 1% of all DUI arrests involved juveniles (under age 18). 2.6% of all arrestees were over age 60 (see Table 3a).
- Among all DUI arrestees, the proportion of DUI arrests under age 18 decreased slightly, from 0.7 in 2008 to 0.6 in 2009. Likewise, the proportion of DUI arrests under age 21 decreased from 8.9 in 2008, to 8.5 in 2009. This is shown in Table 3c.
- Males comprised 78.8% of all 2009 DUI arrests (see Table 3a). The proportion of females among DUI arrests has risen slightly each year this report has been produced, from 10.6% in 1989 to 21.2% in 2009.
- In 2009, Hispanics (44.8%) again represented the largest ethnic group among DUI arrestees, as they have each year since 1992 (with the exception of 1999, when Whites were the largest group at 42.8%). Hispanics continued to be arrested at a rate substantially higher than their estimated 2009 population parity of 33.2% (Department of Finance, Demographic Research and Census Data Center). Blacks were also slightly overrepresented among DUI arrestees (7.8% of arrests, 6.0% of the population), while other racial/ethnic groups were underrepresented among DUI arrestees, relative to their estimated 2009 population parity. These underrepresented groups were Whites (39.5% of arrests, 45.8% of the population),

and “Other” (7.9% of arrests, 15.1% of the population). This is shown in Table 3a and Figure 3.

- Among male 2009 DUI arrestees, 49.5% were Hispanic, 35.3% were White, 7.6% were Black, and 7.6% were “Other.” Among female DUI arrestees, 55.2% were White, 27.6% were Hispanic, 8.3% were Black, and 8.9% were “Other.” The overrepresentation of Hispanics among DUI offenders is clearly limited to males (see Table 3b).
- In some counties where the population of Hispanics is high, the DUI arrest rate is also high. For example, in the following eight counties, Hispanics comprised 60% or more of those arrested for DUI during 2009: San Benito (77.5%), Tulare (75.7%), Imperial (73.0%), Merced (66.2%), Fresno (64.9%), Madera (63.8%), Kings (63.7%), and Monterey (63.7%). However, in most other counties, the majority of arrestees were White (see Appendix Table B1).
- The median age of a DUI arrestee varied by race: Blacks were the oldest with a median age of 33.0 years, while Hispanics and “Other” were the youngest, with a median age of 29.0 years (see Table 3a).




Figure 3. Percentage of 2009 DUI arrests and 2009 projected population (age 15 and over, based on the 2000 census) by race/ethnicity.

TABLE 1: DUI ARRESTS* BY COUNTY AND ANNUAL PERCENTAGE CHANGE, 2007-2009

COUNTY	2007	2008	2009	% CHANGE 2008-2009
STATEWIDE	203866	214811	208531	-2.9
ALAMEDA	7518	8203	7837	-4.5
ALPINE	19	20	27	35.0
AMADOR	345	324	251	-22.5
BUTTE	1938	2208	1840	-16.7
CALAVERAS	360	380	362	-4.7
COLUSA	283	228	237	3.9
CONTRA COSTA	4314	4775	4583	-4.0
DEL NORTE	308	268	262	-2.2
EL DORADO	1235	1343	1366	1.7
FRESNO	7713	7751	7084	-8.6
GLENN	539	498	472	-5.2
HUMBOLDT	1475	1424	1624	14.0
IMPERIAL	1401	1406	1488	5.8
INYO	295	350	345	-1.4
KERN	5606	5890	5683	-3.5
KINGS	1106	1218	1130	-7.2
LAKE	517	571	515	-9.8
LASSEN	217	197	238	20.8
LOS ANGELES	41286	43867	42508	-3.1
MADERA	1043	1171	1305	11.4
MARIN	1633	1609	1560	-3.0
MARIPOSA	153	92	104	13.0
MENDOCINO	1019	1027	828	-19.4
MERCED	2046	2506	2488	-0.7
MODOC	93	99	99	0.0
MONO	167	142	146	2.8
MONTEREY	3046	3219	2857	-11.2
NAPA	1127	990	1281	29.4
NEVADA	791	773	724	-6.3
ORANGE	16492	17575	16993	-3.3
PLACER	2257	2428	2132	-12.2
PLUMAS	274	294	313	6.5
RIVERSIDE	10252	10872	10873	0.0
SACRAMENTO	8014	8586	8529	-0.7
SAN BENITO	423	312	423	35.6
SAN BERNARDINO	13586	13984	13506	-3.4
SAN DIEGO	16848	18588	17717	-4.7
SAN FRANCISCO	1405	1483	1534	3.4
SAN JOAQUIN	4168	4496	4639	3.2
SAN LUIS OBISPO	2432	2504	2581	3.1
SAN MATEO	3447	3541	3864	9.1
SANTA BARBARA	2784	3065	3113	1.6
SANTA CLARA	6968	7484	7172	-4.2
SANTA CRUZ	1920	1482	1488	0.4
SHASTA	1796	1699	1570	-7.6
SIERRA	68	60	61	1.7
SISKIYOU	475	503	492	-2.2
SOLANO	2176	2104	1870	-11.1
SONOMA	3455	3622	3607	-0.4
STANISLAUS	3316	3342	3417	2.2
SUTTER	583	645	616	-4.5
TEHAMA	935	991	711	-28.3
TRINITY	180	236	296	25.4
TULARE	4115	4385	3950	-9.9
TUOLUMNE	524	516	487	-5.6
VENTURA	5410	5265	5421	3.0
YOLO	1221	1470	1233	-16.1
YUBA	749	730	679	-7.0

*DOJ DUI arrest totals with boat DUI (N= 278) removed.

TABLE 2: 2009 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST

COUNTY	TOTAL		TYPE OF ARREST						DUI ARRESTS PER 100 LICENSED DRIVERS
			FELONY		JUVENILE		MISDEMEANOR		
	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	
STATEWIDE	208531	100.0	5515	2.6	1262	0.6	201754	96.8	0.9
ALAMEDA	7837	3.8	136	1.7	36	0.5	7665	97.8	0.8
ALPINE	27	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	27	100.0	2.7
AMADOR	251	0.1	5	2.0	5	2.0	241	96.0	0.9
BUTTE	1840	0.9	45	2.4	10	0.5	1785	97.0	1.2
CALAVERAS	362	0.2	10	2.8	0	0.0	352	97.2	1.0
COLUSA	237	0.1	7	3.0	1	0.4	229	96.6	1.8
CONTRA COSTA	4583	2.2	173	3.8	29	0.6	4381	95.6	0.6
DEL NORTE	262	0.1	11	4.2	1	0.4	250	95.4	1.5
EL DORADO	1366	0.7	54	4.0	18	1.3	1294	94.7	1.0
FRESNO	7084	3.4	177	2.5	39	0.6	6868	97.0	1.4
GLENN	472	0.2	14	3.0	3	0.6	455	96.4	2.5
HUMBOLDT	1624	0.8	36	2.2	6	0.4	1582	97.4	1.7
IMPERIAL	1488	0.7	22	1.5	8	0.5	1458	98.0	1.4
INYO	345	0.2	9	2.6	4	1.2	332	96.2	2.4
KERN	5683	2.7	201	3.5	41	0.7	5441	95.7	1.2
KINGS	1130	0.5	18	1.6	6	0.5	1106	97.9	1.6
LAKE	515	0.2	14	2.7	4	0.8	497	96.5	1.1
LASSEN	238	0.1	9	3.8	3	1.3	226	95.0	1.2
LOS ANGELES	42508	20.4	1447	3.4	129	0.3	40932	96.3	0.7
MADERA	1305	0.6	33	2.5	16	1.2	1256	96.2	1.7
MARIN	1560	0.7	30	1.9	11	0.7	1519	97.4	0.8
MARIPOSA	104	0.0	7	6.7	2	1.9	95	91.3	0.7
MENDOCINO	828	0.4	22	2.7	9	1.1	797	96.3	1.3
MERCED	2488	1.2	48	1.9	23	0.9	2417	97.1	1.8
MODOC	99	0.0	1	1.0	1	1.0	97	98.0	1.5
MONO	146	0.1	3	2.1	2	1.4	141	96.6	1.6
MONTEREY	2857	1.4	88	3.1	25	0.9	2744	96.0	1.2
NAPA	1281	0.6	35	2.7	7	0.5	1239	96.7	1.4
NEVADA	724	0.3	17	2.3	3	0.4	704	97.2	0.9
ORANGE	16993	8.1	241	1.4	117	0.7	16635	97.9	0.8
PLACER	2132	1.0	71	3.3	22	1.0	2039	95.6	0.8
PLUMAS	313	0.2	11	3.5	3	1.0	299	95.5	1.8
RIVERSIDE	10873	5.2	232	2.1	60	0.6	10581	97.3	0.8
SACRAMENTO	8529	4.1	304	3.6	33	0.4	8192	96.0	0.9
SAN BENITO	423	0.2	11	2.6	5	1.2	407	96.2	1.2
SAN BERNARDINO	13506	6.5	332	2.5	66	0.5	13108	97.1	1.1
SAN DIEGO	17717	8.5	369	2.1	119	0.7	17229	97.2	0.8
SAN FRANCISCO	1534	0.7	81	5.3	1	0.1	1452	94.7	0.3
SAN JOAQUIN	4639	2.2	96	2.1	34	0.7	4509	97.2	1.2
SAN LUIS OBISPO	2581	1.2	40	1.5	23	0.9	2518	97.6	1.3
SAN MATEO	3864	1.9	88	2.3	28	0.7	3748	97.0	0.8
SANTA BARBARA	3113	1.5	69	2.2	30	1.0	3014	96.8	1.2
SANTA CLARA	7172	3.4	259	3.6	64	0.9	6849	95.5	0.6
SANTA CRUZ	1488	0.7	43	2.9	21	1.4	1424	95.7	0.8
SHASTA	1570	0.8	43	2.7	12	0.8	1515	96.5	1.2
SIERRA	61	0.0	2	3.3	0	0.0	59	96.7	2.3
SISKIYOU	492	0.2	9	1.8	2	0.4	481	97.8	1.4
SOLANO	1870	0.9	34	1.8	16	0.9	1820	97.3	0.7
SONOMA	3607	1.7	53	1.5	34	0.9	3520	97.6	1.1
STANISLAUS	3417	1.6	70	2.0	13	0.4	3334	97.6	1.1
SUTTER	616	0.3	7	1.1	3	0.5	606	98.4	1.0
TEHAMA	711	0.3	23	3.2	1	0.1	687	96.6	1.8
TRINITY	296	0.1	13	4.4	1	0.3	282	95.3	2.8
TULARE	3950	1.9	115	2.9	44	1.1	3791	96.0	1.8
TUOLUMNE	487	0.2	16	3.3	6	1.2	465	95.5	1.2
VENTURA	5421	2.6	159	2.9	48	0.9	5214	96.2	1.0
YOLO	1233	0.6	33	2.7	10	0.8	1190	96.5	1.0
YUBA	679	0.3	19	2.8	4	0.6	656	96.6	1.5

TABLE 3a: 2009 DUI ARRESTS BY AGE, SEX, AND RACE/ETHNICITY*

AGE	TOTAL		SEX				RACE/ETHNICITY							
	N	%	MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	208531	100.0	164399	78.8	44132	21.2	82377	39.5	93499	44.8	16246	7.8	16409	7.9
UNDER 18	1262	0.6	948	75.1	314	24.9	595	47.1	550	43.6	33	2.6	84	6.7
18-20	16382	7.9	12866	78.5	3516	21.5	6528	39.8	7827	47.8	769	4.7	1258	7.7
21-30	89705	43.0	70076	78.1	19629	21.9	31640	35.3	44035	49.1	6006	6.7	8024	8.9
31-40	45895	22.0	37123	80.9	8772	19.1	15121	32.9	23044	50.2	4055	8.8	3675	8.0
41-50	33362	16.0	25759	77.2	7603	22.8	15584	46.7	12456	37.3	3251	9.7	2071	6.2
51-60	16479	7.9	13153	79.8	3326	20.2	9345	56.7	4491	27.3	1630	9.9	1013	6.1
61-70	4508	2.2	3688	81.8	820	18.2	2886	64.0	946	21.0	424	9.4	252	5.6
71 & ABOVE	938	0.4	786	83.8	152	16.2	678	72.3	150	16.0	78	8.3	32	3.4
MEAN AGE (YEARS)		33.4		33.5		33.1		35.4		31.5		35.8		31.9
MEDIAN AGE (YEARS)		30.0		30.0		29.0		32.0		29.0		33.0		29.0

*Tabulations for DUI arrests by age, sex, race/ethnicity and county are found in Appendix Table B1.

TABLE 3b: 2009 DUI ARRESTS BY SEX, AGE, AND RACE/ETHNICITY

SEX	AGE	TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY							
		N	%	WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
				N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE		208531	100.0	82377	39.5	93499	44.8	16246	7.8	16409	7.9
MALE	UNDER 18	948	0.6	394	41.6	469	49.5	24	2.5	61	6.4
	18-20	12866	7.8	4630	36.0	6721	52.2	595	4.6	920	7.2
	21-30	70076	42.6	22098	31.5	37623	53.7	4497	6.4	5858	8.4
	31-40	37123	22.6	10627	28.6	20469	55.1	3154	8.5	2873	7.7
	41-50	25759	15.7	10624	41.2	10980	42.6	2498	9.7	1657	6.4
	51-60	13153	8.0	6866	52.2	4054	30.8	1355	10.3	878	6.7
	61-70	3688	2.2	2218	60.1	869	23.6	380	10.3	221	6.0
	71 & ABOVE	786	0.5	548	69.7	138	17.6	72	9.2	28	3.6
TOTAL		164399	100.0	58005	35.3	81323	49.5	12575	7.6	12496	7.6
FEMALE	UNDER 18	314	0.7	201	64.0	81	25.8	9	2.9	23	7.3
	18-20	3516	8.0	1898	54.0	1106	31.5	174	4.9	338	9.6
	21-30	19629	44.5	9542	48.6	6412	32.7	1509	7.7	2166	11.0
	31-40	8772	19.9	4494	51.2	2575	29.4	901	10.3	802	9.1
	41-50	7603	17.2	4960	65.2	1476	19.4	753	9.9	414	5.4
	51-60	3326	7.5	2479	74.5	437	13.1	275	8.3	135	4.1
	61-70	820	1.9	668	81.5	77	9.4	44	5.4	31	3.8
71 & ABOVE	152	0.3	130	85.5	12	7.9	6	3.9	4	2.6	
TOTAL		44132	100.0	24372	55.2	12176	27.6	3671	8.3	3913	8.9

TABLE 3c: DUI ARRESTS UNDER AGE 21, 1999-2009

AGE		1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
TOTAL (ALL AGES)	<i>N</i>	188523	181336	176490	177056	183560	180957	180288	197248	203866	214811	208531
UNDER 18	<i>N</i>	1741	1527	1645	1557	1576	1488	1436	1697	1635	1494	1262
	%	0.9	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.6
18-20	<i>N</i>	13875	14145	14075	14410	14612	14672	14617	16837	17201	17558	16382
	%	7.4	7.8	8.0	8.1	8.0	8.1	8.1	8.5	8.4	8.2	7.9
UNDER 21	<i>N</i>	15616	15672	15720	15967	16188	16160	16053	18534	18836	19052	17644
	%	8.3	8.6	8.9	9.0	8.8	8.9	8.9	9.4	9.2	8.9	8.5

SECTION 2:
CONVICTIONS

SECTION 2: CONVICTIONS

Data on convictions resulting from court adjudication of DUI arrests are reported directly to the DMV on court abstracts of conviction. Although the DUI arrest data reported earlier are based on arrests that occurred in 2009, the DUI conviction data are based on convictions of DUI offenders arrested in 2008, in order to allow sufficient time for courts to report convictions to DMV. The following tables compile and cross tabulate these conviction data by demographic, geographic, and adjudicative categories. Beginning with the 2007 DUI-MIS report, the median was calculated and reported to describe certain characteristics of the conviction data, in addition to the mean, to minimize the influence of data values that are not symmetrically distributed. In what follows, expressions like “2008 convictions” refer to DUI offenders arrested in 2008, and subsequently convicted.

Table 4: 2008 DUI Convictions by Age and Sex. This table cross tabulates statewide DUI conviction information by age and sex. Corresponding county-specific conviction data are presented in Appendix Table B2.

Table 5: Matchable 2008 DUI Convictions by Age, Race/Ethnicity, and Sex. This table displays DUI conviction information by age, race/ethnicity, and sex. “Matchable” DUI convictions are those which are traceable to a DUI arrest appearing on the MACR system. Because not all arrests could be matched to an existing record, these conviction totals underestimate the total number of actual convictions.

Table 6: Adjusted 2008 DUI Conviction Rates and Relative Likelihood of Conviction by Age and Race/Ethnicity. This table shows the relative probability of a DUI arrest leading to a DUI conviction by age and race/ethnicity. DUI conviction rates for each age and race/ethnicity group in this table were proportionally adjusted to the overall conviction rate to avoid the underestimate that would result from the “matchable DUI convictions” data reported in Table 5 (not all reported convictions are “matchable” to an arrest).

Table 7: Total Conviction Data for 2008 DUI Arrests. This table portrays county and statewide DUI-related conviction data as reported to the DMV on court abstracts of conviction. Corresponding court-specific data are shown in Appendix Table B3. Convictions not reported to DMV are considered nonconvictions for the purposes of this report. Actual nonconvictions include cases where the DUI arrest was not filed, not


prosecuted, or resulted in a not-guilty verdict. Like last year, the DUI conviction rates by county were not calculated for this report due to still unresolved data reporting problems. Conviction variables include felony and misdemeanor DUI convictions, alcohol- and nonalcohol-related reckless driving convictions, convictions of “other” lesser offenses, and DUI convictions dismissed or found unconstitutional. DUI arrest dates from the DOJ MACR system were matched to driver record violation dates to identify nonalcohol-related reckless driving and “other” convictions. The median adjudication time lags from DUI arrest to conviction, and from conviction to update on the DMV database, were calculated for each county.

Table 8: Adjudication Status of 2008 DUI Arrests by County. As in the previous year's report, this table only shows the adjudication status (court disposition) of 2008 DUI arrests statewide. Included are the percentages of arrests which resulted in DUI convictions (misdemeanor or felony), reckless driving convictions (alcohol-related or nonalcohol-related), convictions of “other” offenses, or no reported conviction, as of the date of writing. Again, because of data reporting problems, the information on the adjudication status of 2008 DUI arrests by county is not available this year.

Table 9a: 2008 Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of DUI Convictions and Table 9b: 2008 Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of Convicted DUI Offenders Under Age 21. Table 9a shows the frequency of reported positive BAC levels for DUI and alcohol-reckless convictions. Because the forms on which APS actions are reported more completely report BAC levels (85.3%) than do abstracts of conviction, APS forms are used to calculate statewide BAC levels. Table 9b shows the BAC distribution for convicted arrestees under age 21.

Table 10: 2008 DUI Convictions by Offender Status and Reported BAC Level. This table displays the proportions of convicted DUI offenders by offender status (number of prior convictions in 10 years as defined by SB 1694, Torlakson, effective 1/1/2005), with the average (mean) and median BAC level from APS reporting forms and abstracts of conviction, for each offense level.

Figure 4 (opposite) shows, for the years 1999 to 2009, the number of DUI abstracts of conviction received to date by DMV from the courts, and conviction rates based on the data received as of October 2010.



Percent convicted of DUI as of Oct. 2010 (conviction rate)	80%	80%	80%	79%	79%	80%	81%	81%	80%	79%	74%

Figure 4. DUI abstracts of conviction received by DMV and conviction rates, 1999-2009.

Based on these data, the following statements can be made:

Statewide Adjudication Parameters:

- 78.7% of 2008 DUI arrests resulted in convictions of DUI offenses (see Table 7).
- As of January 1, 2005, DUI convictions remain on the driving record for 10 years. Therefore, based on the DUI conviction data for the arrests over 10 years (1999-2008), 5.4% of California drivers have one or more DUI convictions on their record.
- 9.6% of 2008 DUI arrests resulted in reckless driving convictions, and 13.5% (1.3%/9.6%) of these were nonalcohol-related reckless violations (see Table 8).
- 1.6% of 2008 DUI arrests resulted in convictions of offenses other than DUI or reckless driving, which is slightly lower than the 1.7% reported last year (see Table 8).
- 10.0% of 2008 DUI arrests have not yet resulted in any conviction on DMV’s records, down slightly from 10.4% last year, and down from 16.3% in 1995 (see Table 8). As additional cases are adjudicated and reported by the courts, this figure will decrease slightly.

- The average reported BAC level for all convicted DUI offenders arrested in 2008, using APS reporting forms as the data source, was 0.16% (median BAC level was 0.15%), which is the same as last year, yet still double the illegal per se BAC limit of 0.08% (see Table 9a).
- Average and median BAC levels increase as a function of the number of prior DUI convictions. The average BAC level increases from a 0.16% BAC for a first offense to a 0.19% BAC for a fourth-or-subsequent offense (when the median is reported, BAC level increases from a 0.15% BAC for a first offense to a 0.19% BAC for a fourth-or-subsequent offense). This is shown in Table 10.
- Among 2008 DUI arrestees subsequently convicted, 73.1% were first offenders, 20.4% were second offenders, 4.9% were third offenders, and 1.6% were on their fourth-or-more offense. (The statutorily defined time period for counting priors in California has traditionally been seven years, although that period was changed to 10 years by SB 1694, Torlakson, effective 1/1/2005.) The proportion of all convicted DUI offenders that are repeat offenders (26.9%), shown in Table 10, has increased ever since the counting period for priors changed from 7 to 10 years. For example, in the last year before the change in criteria for counting prior convictions (2004), the percentage of repeat offenders was 23.5%.
- The median adjudication time lags were 81 days from DUI arrest to conviction and 8 days from conviction to update on the DMV database, totaling about three months from arrest to update on the offender's driving record. This total elapsed time from arrest to update appears substantially shorter in the last five annual reports, ever since elapsed time for conviction data reported here was calculated using the median instead of the mean (see Table 7).

Demographic Characteristics:

- The median age of a convicted DUI offender in 2008 was 30.0 years (see Table 4).
- 51.3% of 2008 DUI convictees were 30 years of age or younger and 73.8% were 40 years or younger (see Table 4).
- Females comprised 20.0% of convicted DUI offenders arrested in 2008 (see Table 4). The proportion of females among convicted DUI offenders has risen slightly each year since 1994.
- The racial/ethnic distribution of 2008 DUI convictions (White = 41.8%; Hispanic = 43.8%; Black = 6.8%; "Other" = 7.6%, see Table 5) generally paralleled that of 2008

arrests, although Whites were somewhat more likely than other racial/ethnic groups to be convicted of the offense (as shown in Figure 5 and Table 6 below).




Figure 5. Relative likelihood of conviction by race/ethnicity. (Adjusted conviction rate of ethnicity ÷ overall conviction rate.)

TABLE 4: 2008 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE AND SEX*

AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	169035	100.0	135265	80.0	33770	20.0
UNDER 18	674	0.4	529	78.5	145	21.5
18-20	12838	7.6	10150	79.1	2688	20.9
21-30	73136	43.3	58400	79.9	14736	20.1
31-40	38045	22.5	31299	82.3	6746	17.7
41-50	27520	16.3	21329	77.5	6191	22.5
51-60	12866	7.6	10258	79.7	2608	20.3
61-70	3323	2.0	2769	83.3	554	16.7
71 & ABOVE	633	0.4	531	83.9	102	16.1
MEAN AGE (YEARS)	33.4		33.4		33.3	
MEDIAN AGE (YEARS)	30.0		30.0		30.0	

*County-specific tabulations of 2008 DUI convictions by age and sex are shown in Appendix Table B2.

TABLE 5: MATCHABLE 2008 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE, RACE/ETHNICITY, AND SEX*

AGE	TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY																							
			WHITE						HISPANIC						BLACK						OTHER					
			MALE			FEMALE			MALE			FEMALE			MALE			FEMALE			MALE			FEMALE		
			N	%		N	%		N	%		N	%		N	%		N	%		N	%		N	%	
STATEWIDE	146034	100.0	43320	29.7	17720	12.1	56395	38.6	7615	5.2	7759	5.3	2229	1.5	8543	5.9	2453	1.7								
UNDER 18	594	0.4	207	34.8	106	17.8	199	33.5	23	3.9	15	2.5	1	0.2	33	5.6	10	1.7								
18-20	11478	7.9	3387	29.5	1462	12.7	4580	39.9	736	6.4	357	3.1	81	0.7	660	5.8	215	1.9								
21-30	63351	43.4	17084	27.0	7118	11.2	26135	41.3	3938	6.2	2768	4.4	877	1.4	4031	6.4	1400	2.2								
31-40	32142	22.0	8179	25.4	3236	10.1	14166	44.1	1585	4.9	1963	6.1	612	1.9	1940	6.0	461	1.4								
41-50	23977	16.4	8065	33.6	3669	15.3	7816	32.6	980	4.1	1632	6.8	455	1.9	1098	4.6	262	1.1								
51-60	11084	7.6	4663	42.1	1670	15.1	2792	25.2	302	2.7	789	7.1	174	1.6	605	5.5	89	0.8								
61-70	2854	2.0	1427	50.0	387	13.6	598	21.0	44	1.5	205	7.2	28	1.0	155	5.4	10	0.4								
71 & ABOVE	554	0.4	308	55.6	72	13.0	109	19.7	7	1.3	30	5.4	1	0.2	21	3.8	6	1.1								

*Not all convictions are matchable to an existing record, so these counts underestimate the total number of convictions.

TABLE 6: ADJUSTED 2008 DUI CONVICTION RATES¹ AND RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD² OF CONVICTION BY AGE AND RACE/ETHNICITY

AGE	TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY																							
			WHITE						HISPANIC						BLACK						OTHER					
			ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE			RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD			ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE			RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD			ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE			RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD			ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE			RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD		
STATEWIDE	0.79	1.00	0.83	1.05	0.76	0.96	0.74	0.94	0.79	1.00																
UNDER 18	0.46	0.58	0.48	0.61	0.42	0.54	0.50	0.64	0.54	0.69																
18-20	0.76	0.96	0.79	1.01	0.74	0.94	0.63	0.81	0.76	0.96																
21-30	0.79	1.00	0.85	1.08	0.75	0.95	0.74	0.94	0.79	1.00																
31-40	0.78	1.00	0.84	1.07	0.75	0.96	0.74	0.95	0.80	1.01																
41-50	0.81	1.03	0.83	1.06	0.80	1.02	0.76	0.96	0.80	1.01																
51-60	0.80	1.01	0.80	1.01	0.82	1.04	0.72	0.91	0.82	1.04																
61-70	0.79	1.00	0.77	0.98	0.82	1.05	0.78	0.99	0.81	1.03																
71 & ABOVE	0.71	0.91	0.69	0.88	0.82	1.04	0.65	0.83	0.74	0.95																

¹Adjusted DUI Conviction Rates = The matchable DUI conviction rate proportionally adjusted to the overall DUI conviction rate.

²Relative Likelihood = Adjusted DUI Conviction Rate/Overall Total DUI Conviction Rate.

TABLE 7: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2008 DUI ARRESTS¹

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE ²	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ³	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NONALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSED ⁴	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
								VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
STATEWIDE	78.7	164291	4744	17887	2897	3465	1826	81	8
ALAMEDA	—	5974	45	1117	105	124	86	98	5
ALPINE	—	14	0	6	1	0	1	50	10
AMADOR	—	228	6	44	5	8	7	60	7
BUTTE	—	1566	52	374	65	50	48	81	13
CALAVERAS	—	215	11	60	9	11	7	60	5
COLUSA	—	168	3	22	9	3	4	63	8
CONTRA COSTA	—	3593	135	535	5	64	54	142	10
DEL NORTE	—	141	11	57	4	11	5	73	27
EL DORADO	—	938	42	271	25	14	7	84	11
FRESNO	—	5100	294	900	38	86	12	99	1
GLENN	—	284	13	66	7	4	2	68	8
HUMBOLDT	—	770	14	247	46	35	3	88	21
IMPERIAL	—	799	13	53	118	26	49	138	16
INYO	—	233	9	43	5	5	2	67	3
KERN	—	4653	139	548	124	81	74	36	12
KINGS	—	983	29	51	9	9	11	116	0
LAKE	—	418	12	48	22	22	254	117	17
LASSEN	—	151	4	12	9	5	1	101	12
LOS ANGELES	—	31429	518	3015	413	1291	127	71	9
MADERA	—	861	15	142	22	8	14	186	22
MARIN	—	1461	22	0	3	38	6	73	28
MARIPOSA	—	68	5	11	6	2	1	80	15
MENDOCINO	—	740	27	191	21	18	25	58	83
MERCED	—	1564	22	217	44	35	25	151	92
MODOC	—	63	2	2	2	2	7	62	7
MONO	—	131	2	4	4	2	5	66	21
MONTEREY	—	2545	41	395	62	60	26	49	29
NAPA	—	857	24	66	4	19	5	45	4

¹Conviction data by court are found in Appendix Table B3.

²The information on DUI conviction rates by county is not available in this report due to still unresolved DUI data reporting problems.

³This count includes misdemeanors which carried a felony disposition code. These counts do not include 4th offenses (in ten years) which are statutorily defined as felonies.

⁴These dismissals were identified in DMV's DUI Audit and Tracking System Summary Report.

TABLE 7: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2008 DUI ARRESTS¹ - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE ²	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ³	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NONALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSED ⁴	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
								VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
NEVADA	-	641	17	89	25	11	10	58	42
ORANGE	-	15187	424	848	72	114	67	92	0
PLACER	-	2160	87	183	16	14	37	86	14
PLUMAS	-	227	3	46	17	2	1	49	13
RIVERSIDE	-	8827	183	226	244	104	78	89	6
SACRAMENTO	-	6763	304	626	9	117	20	62	16
SAN BENITO	-	286	17	28	2	6	6	130	40
SAN BERNARDINO	-	9989	270	600	295	210	100	137	4
SAN DIEGO	-	15073	585	1945	334	177	191	67	8
SAN FRANCISCO	-	1097	25	185	141	9	74	87	5
SAN JOAQUIN	-	3434	140	594	39	65	35	39	6
SAN LUIS OBISPO	-	1970	80	382	48	58	7	46	16
SAN MATEO	-	2654	72	514	5	64	30	82	9
SANTA BARBARA	-	2611	120	286	122	46	4	46	518 ⁵
SANTA CLARA	-	6511	205	495	79	64	13	67	6
SANTA CRUZ	-	1267	29	130	35	26	17	60	8
SHASTA	-	1378	100	216	15	39	17	63	9
SIERRA	-	20	1	8	0	0	0	91	44
SISKIYOU	-	321	15	82	11	9	15	82	8
SOLANO	-	1628	45	360	42	26	44	90	24
SONOMA	-	2944	126	514	12	31	30	53	7
STANISLAUS	-	2542	76	274	59	29	12	63	15
SUTTER	-	486	24	139	8	9	6	60	13
TEHAMA	-	435	29	95	8	9	6	49	9
TRINITY	-	105	2	20	5	0	4	66	5
TULARE	-	3201	74	113	11	84	22	66	21
TUOLUMNE	-	394	27	91	5	11	13	73	9
VENTURA	-	4730	74	0	3	81	78	98	1
YOLO	-	1078	63	208	47	10	11	78	28
YUBA	-	385	17	93	1	7	6	66	10

⁵ The majority of DUI convictions from Santa Barbara County needed to be corrected and resent to the DMV due to inadequate reporting of DUI program referrals. This resulted in their substantially longer lag times from conviction to DMV update.

TABLE 8: ADJUDICATION STATUS OF 2008 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY¹

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTIONS		RECKLESS DRIVING CONVICTIONS		% OTHER CONVICTIONS	% NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTION ²
	% MISDEMEANOR	% FELONY	% ALCOHOL RELATED	% NONALCOHOL RELATED		
STATEWIDE	76.5	2.2	8.3	1.3	1.6	10.0
ALAMEDA	—	—	—	—	—	—
ALPINE	—	—	—	—	—	—
AMADOR	—	—	—	—	—	—
BUTTE	—	—	—	—	—	—
CALAVERAS	—	—	—	—	—	—
COLUSA	—	—	—	—	—	—
CONTRA COSTA	—	—	—	—	—	—
DEL NORTE	—	—	—	—	—	—
EL DORADO	—	—	—	—	—	—
FRESNO	—	—	—	—	—	—
GLENN	—	—	—	—	—	—
HUMBOLDT	—	—	—	—	—	—
IMPERIAL	—	—	—	—	—	—
INYO	—	—	—	—	—	—
KERN	—	—	—	—	—	—
KINGS	—	—	—	—	—	—
LAKE	—	—	—	—	—	—
LASSEN	—	—	—	—	—	—
LOS ANGELES	—	—	—	—	—	—
MADERA	—	—	—	—	—	—
MARIN	—	—	—	—	—	—
MARIPOSA	—	—	—	—	—	—
MENDOCINO	—	—	—	—	—	—
MERCED	—	—	—	—	—	—
MODOC	—	—	—	—	—	—
MONO	—	—	—	—	—	—
MONTEREY	—	—	—	—	—	—
NAPA	—	—	—	—	—	—
NEVADA	—	—	—	—	—	—
ORANGE	—	—	—	—	—	—
PLACER	—	—	—	—	—	—
PLUMAS	—	—	—	—	—	—
RIVERSIDE	—	—	—	—	—	—
SACRAMENTO	—	—	—	—	—	—
SAN BENITO	—	—	—	—	—	—
SAN BERNARDINO	—	—	—	—	—	—
SAN DIEGO	—	—	—	—	—	—
SAN FRANCISCO	—	—	—	—	—	—
SAN JOAQUIN	—	—	—	—	—	—
SAN LUIS OBISPO	—	—	—	—	—	—
SAN MATEO	—	—	—	—	—	—
SANTA BARBARA	—	—	—	—	—	—
SANTA CLARA	—	—	—	—	—	—
SANTA CRUZ	—	—	—	—	—	—
SHASTA	—	—	—	—	—	—
SIERRA	—	—	—	—	—	—
SISKIYOU	—	—	—	—	—	—
SOLANO	—	—	—	—	—	—
SONOMA	—	—	—	—	—	—
STANISLAUS	—	—	—	—	—	—
SUTTER	—	—	—	—	—	—
TEHAMA	—	—	—	—	—	—
TRINITY	—	—	—	—	—	—
TULARE	—	—	—	—	—	—
TUOLUMNE	—	—	—	—	—	—
VENTURA	—	—	—	—	—	—
YOLO	—	—	—	—	—	—
YUBA	—	—	—	—	—	—

¹The information on adjudication status by county is not available in this report due to still unresolved DUI data reporting problems.

²These include failure-to-appear (FTA) notices; the statewide average is 4.0%.

TABLE 9a: 2008 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF DUI CONVICTIONS¹

DUI CONVICTIONS			ALCOHOL-RECKLESS CONVICTIONS		
BAC LEVEL (%)	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	BAC LEVEL (%)	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
.00	1642	1.1	.00	450	3.1
.01	79	0.1	.01	25	0.2
.02	99	0.1	.02	37	0.3
.03	95	0.1	.03	29	0.2
.04	141	0.1	.04	44	0.3
.05	506	0.4	.05	101	0.7
.06	689	0.5	.06	282	2.0
.07	1009	0.7	.07	988	6.9
.08	2768	1.9	.08	3575	24.8
.09	5114	3.6	.09	3928	27.3
.10	8105	5.6	.10	2226	15.5
.11	9907	6.9	.11	990	6.9
.12	10769	7.5	.12	533	3.7
.13	11059	7.7	.13	331	2.3
.14	10926	7.6	.14	205	1.4
.15	10624	7.4	.15	116	0.8
.16	10312	7.2	.16	108	0.8
.17	9467	6.6	.17	79	0.6
.18	8425	5.9	.18	87	0.6
.19	7675	5.3	.19	60	0.4
.20	6512	4.5	.20	43	0.3
.21	5666	3.9	.21	28	0.2
.22	4695	3.3	.22	29	0.2
.23	3784	2.6	.23	24	0.2
.24	3082	2.1	.24	17	0.1
.25	2422	1.7	.25	21	0.2
.26	1963	1.4	.26	9	0.1
.27	1507	1.1	.27	7	0.1
.28	1153	0.8	.28	7	0.1
.29	909	0.6	.29	8	0.1
.30	701	0.5	.30	2	0.0
.31	578	0.4	.31	4	0.0
.32	435	0.3	.32	1	0.0
.33	320	0.2	.33	3	0.0
.34	244	0.2	.34	1	0.0
.35	189	0.1	.36	2	0.0
.36	128	0.1	.37	1	0.0
.37	107	0.1	.39	1	0.0
.38	92	0.1			
.39	59	0.0			
.40	51	0.0			
.41	27	0.0			
.42	21	0.0			
.43	19	0.0			
.44	11	0.0			
.45	7	0.0			
.46	3	0.0			
.47	5	0.0			
.48	5	0.0			
.49	1	0.0			
.50	2	0.0			
.52	1	0.0			
.54	2	0.0			
TOTAL	144112	100.0	TOTAL	14402	100.0
	MEAN ² BAC .16			MEAN ² BAC .10	
	MEDIAN ² BAC .15			MEDIAN ² BAC .09	

¹The source of BAC data is the APS reporting form, which replaced the abstract of conviction used in earlier reports. This change in data source was made because of the more complete BAC reporting on APS forms (85.3% of total).

²The calculation of the mean and median BAC level does not include zero BAC levels which could be DUI drug convictions.

TABLE 9b: 2008 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS
OF CONVICTED DUI OFFENDERS UNDER AGE 21¹

BAC LEVEL (%)	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	BAC LEVEL (%)	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
.00	166	1.4	.22	219	1.9
.01	20	0.2	.23	167	1.4
.02	25	0.2	.24	108	0.9
.03	28	0.2	.25	76	0.7
.04	60	0.5	.26	47	0.4
.05	353	3.0	.27	31	0.3
.06	430	3.7	.28	18	0.2
.07	471	4.0	.29	17	0.2
.08	427	3.7	.30	10	0.1
.09	666	5.7	.31	7	0.1
.10	852	7.3	.32	4	0.0
.11	868	7.4	.33	2	0.0
.12	956	8.2	.34	2	0.0
.13	930	8.0	.35	1	0.0
.14	899	7.7	.37	1	0.0
.15	815	7.0	.39	1	0.0
.16	746	6.4	.52	1	0.0
.17	647	5.6			
.18	530	4.5			
.19	433	3.7	TOTAL	11664	100.0
.20	354	3.0		MEAN ² BAC .13	
.21	276	2.4		MEDIAN ² BAC .13	

¹ The source of BAC data is the APS reporting form for arrested DUI offenders. The percentage of BAC levels found on these forms for 2008 convicted under age 21 cases is 86.3%.

² The calculation of the mean and median BAC level does not include zero BAC levels which could be DUI drug convictions.

TABLE 10: 2008 DUI CONVICTIONS BY OFFENDER STATUS AND
REPORTED BAC LEVEL¹

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	PERCENT	AVERAGE BAC LEVEL FROM APS REPORTING FORM (%) ²	MEDIAN BAC LEVEL FROM APS REPORTING FORM (%) ²
STATEWIDE	100.0	.16	.15
1 ST DUI	73.1	.16	.15
2 ND DUI	20.4	.17	.16
3 RD DUI	4.9	.18	.18
4 ^{TH+} DUI	1.6	.19	.19

¹ The source of BAC data is identical to that of Table 9a.

² The calculation of the mean and median BAC level does not include zero BAC levels which could be DUI drug convictions.

SECTION 3:
POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS

SECTION 3: POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS

Data on court sanctions assigned to convicted DUI offenders were obtained from DUI abstracts of conviction for offenders arrested in 2008. The counts of postconviction court license actions are no longer included in this section due to a law change on September 20, 2005 (SB 1697, Torlakson), which gave DMV sole responsibility for imposing postconviction license actions on DUI offenders. Since courts no longer have the authority to impose license actions on DUI offenders, the count of court license restrictions and suspensions, based on abstracts of DUI convictions, decreased dramatically. Therefore, this section (Tables 11, 12, and Appendix Table B4) no longer includes information on postconviction license actions. Instead, the Administrative Action Section (Section 5) provides information on both APS license suspensions and revocations, and postconviction license actions. This section includes the following tables:


Table 11: 2008 DUI Court Sanctions by DUI Offender Status. This table shows the frequency of specific court sanctions statewide by number of prior DUI convictions. The specific court sanctions tallied include percentages of DUI offenders sentenced to probation, jail, DUI programs (first-offender, 18-month, and 30-month DUI programs), and ignition interlock. Cross tabulations of sanctions by county, court, and number of prior convictions appear in Appendix Table B4.

Table 12: 2008 DUI Court Sanctions by County and Offender Status. This table displays the distribution of court sanctions by county for all DUI offenders.

From the data in these tables and those in Appendix B4, it is evident that the use of alternative sanctions prescribed for offenders arrested in 2008 continued to vary widely by county, court, and offender status. For example:

Statewide Parameters:

- The court sanction most frequently applied to all convicted DUI offenders was probation (95.8%), while the least frequently used court sanction was ignition interlock (6.0%). DUI offenders were sentenced to jail in 73.7% of the cases (in many jurisdictions, however, a portion of the jail sentence is often served as community service rather than actual jail time). This is shown in Table 11, and graphically in Figure 6 (next page). Because virtually all offenders receive more than one type of sanction, the cumulative percentage adds to much more than 100%.



Note: License restriction and suspension sanctions are no longer shown on this figure; they are completely imposed by DMV and not the courts (as of 9/20/2005).

Figure 6. Percentage representation of court-ordered DUI sanctions (2008).

County Variation:

- The use of DUI programs among first DUI offenders varies by county, from 90% or more in 9 counties to 21.4% in Modoc County (see Table 12).

Court Variation:

- Statewide, courts vary significantly in how they use available sanctions for DUI offenders. In Los Angeles County alone, one court (Lancaster) assigned jail to 83.7% of all convicted DUI offenders ($n = 1,519$), while another court (Malibu) in the same county assigned jail to only 23.5% of all convicted DUI offenders ($n = 298$). This is shown in Table B4 in the Appendix.
- 0.1% of all DUI offenders arrested in 2008 were referred to 30-month DUI programs (see Table 11). Assignment of DUI offenders (mostly third-or-more) to 30-month programs was low, as there are very few counties that have 30-month programs (see Table B4 in the Appendix).
- Statewide, courts required only 6.0% of all convicted DUI offenders arrested in 2008 to install an ignition interlock device. This is slightly down from 6.3% for the DUI arrestees in 1997 and 1998, primarily because legislation in 1999 shifted the mandatory interlock requirement from all repeat DUI offenders to all suspended or revoked DUI offenders caught driving while disqualified, and data on the new “mandatory” suspended or revoked interlock assignments are not captured by the DUI-MIS report.

Variation by Offender Status:

- 66.1% of first DUI offenders arrested in 2008 were sentenced to jail, compared to 94.3% of all repeat offenders (see Table 11).
- 87.6% of first DUI offenders were assigned by courts to DUI intervention programs, along with 83.2% of second offenders, 69.9% of third offenders, and 35.9% of fourth-or-more DUI offenders. This is shown in Table 11. (By statute, however, all offenders must eventually complete specified DUI programs in order to be eligible for license reinstatement).
- 18.1% of repeat DUI offenders arrested in 2008 were assigned ignition interlock, compared to 16.1% of those arrested in 2007, 15.2% in 2006, 13.3% in 2005, 14.0% in 2004, 12.9% in 2003, 10.9% in 2002, 8.1% in 2001, 7.5% in 2000, 13.3% in 1999 and 22.3% in 1998. Despite the old mandatory interlock law for all repeat offenders (AB 2851 - Freidman), which took effect on July 1, 1993, judges routinely did not assign interlock to these offenders (over 75% of “mandatory” assignments were not made). This law was repealed in 1998, and a new ignition interlock law (AB 762 - Torlakson) was enacted and implemented July 1, 1999, that established mandatory interlock for DUI suspension/revocation violators, while providing incentives for repeat offenders to reinstate early with interlock. Judicial assignments to the new mandatory provisions have steadily risen since the law was implemented, and proportionally more DUI suspension violators are now assigned to interlock than were repeat offenders under the old “mandatory” law.

TABLE 11: 2008 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS*

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
	<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE	169035	95.8	73.7	65.1	19.8	0.1	6.0
1 ST DUI	123513	96.9	66.1	85.4	2.2	0.0	1.5
REPEAT DUI	45522	93.0	94.3	10.1	67.4	0.5	18.1
2 ND DUI	34439	96.2	93.9	12.4	70.7	0.1	16.3
3 RD DUI	8314	91.7	95.3	3.3	64.9	1.7	26.8
4 ^{TH+} DUI	2769	57.4	96.6	1.6	33.6	0.7	14.9

*Entries represent percentages of DUI convictees arrested in 2008 receiving each sanction, by offender status. Sanctions for each offender status group (row) are independent; therefore, row percentages always add to more than 100%. Percentages of sanctions by county and court appear in Appendix Table B4. The percentages of license restrictions and court suspensions were removed from this table and can be found in Tables 17 and 18 in Section 5.

TABLE 12: 2008 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS*

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE		169035	95.8	73.7	65.1	19.8	0.1	6.0
ALAMEDA	1 ST DUI	4346	98.8	97.8	84.1	2.4	0.0	0.9
	2 ND DUI	1283	99.3	98.6	15.2	55.4	0.0	20.7
	3 RD DUI	303	99.3	95.7	3.0	57.4	0.3	24.1
	4 TH + DUI	87	90.8	96.6	2.3	46.0	0.0	23.0
	TOTAL	6019	98.8	97.9	64.1	17.1	0.0	6.6
ALPINE	1 ST DUI	11	100.0	72.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	2 ND DUI	2	50.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	3 RD DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0
	TOTAL	14	92.9	71.4	85.7	0.0	7.1	7.1
AMADOR	1 ST DUI	160	98.1	98.8	89.4	6.3	0.0	16.9
	2 ND DUI	52	94.2	98.1	7.7	82.7	0.0	53.8
	3 RD DUI	17	76.5	94.1	5.9	64.7	5.9	70.6
	4 TH + DUI	5	60.0	100.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	20.0
	TOTAL	234	94.9	98.3	63.2	28.6	0.4	29.1
BUTTE	1 ST DUI	1112	92.6	87.9	88.9	1.9	0.1	0.6
	2 ND DUI	384	93.0	95.6	20.8	68.0	0.3	6.0
	3 RD DUI	97	87.6	94.8	8.2	73.2	0.0	40.2
	4 TH + DUI	25	64.0	84.0	0.0	48.0	4.0	52.0
	TOTAL	1618	92.0	90.0	66.6	22.6	0.2	5.1
CALAVERAS	1 ST DUI	158	93.7	98.1	88.6	0.6	0.0	20.9
	2 ND DUI	46	97.8	100.0	37.0	54.3	0.0	63.0
	3 RD DUI	18	83.3	100.0	22.2	61.1	0.0	61.1
	4 TH + DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0
	TOTAL	226	93.4	98.7	71.2	17.3	0.0	33.2
COLUSA	1 ST DUI	115	91.3	98.3	77.4	6.1	0.0	0.0
	2 ND DUI	41	85.4	97.6	22.0	58.5	0.0	0.0
	3 RD DUI	11	81.8	100.0	9.1	36.4	0.0	0.0
	4 TH + DUI	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	171	88.3	98.2	57.9	20.5	0.0	0.0
CONTRA COSTA	1 ST DUI	2583	96.7	94.8	90.9	2.4	0.0	0.3
	2 ND DUI	825	98.7	97.5	15.2	74.9	0.0	4.7
	3 RD DUI	225	93.8	99.1	0.9	79.1	0.0	7.6
	4 TH + DUI	95	72.6	100.0	1.1	36.8	0.0	7.4
	TOTAL	3728	96.3	95.8	66.4	23.9	0.0	1.9
DEL NORTE	1 ST DUI	98	92.9	98.0	78.6	4.1	0.0	2.0
	2 ND DUI	39	87.2	89.7	7.7	61.5	5.1	33.3
	3 RD DUI	11	72.7	45.5	0.0	18.2	54.5	54.5
	4 TH + DUI	4	25.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
	TOTAL	152	88.2	91.4	52.6	19.7	5.9	13.8
EL DORADO	1 ST DUI	655	96.9	80.5	74.0	2.3	0.0	0.8
	2 ND DUI	243	96.3	88.1	10.7	69.5	0.0	12.8
	3 RD DUI	63	88.9	85.7	0.0	65.1	0.0	19.0
	4 TH + DUI	19	47.4	100.0	0.0	42.1	0.0	5.3
	TOTAL	980	95.3	83.1	52.1	23.8	0.0	5.0
FRESNO	1 ST DUI	3591	95.5	97.5	89.6	2.6	0.0	2.7

*Due to a law change, SB 1697, which shifted responsibility for license actions from courts to DMV as of September 20, 2005, the percentages of license restrictions and court suspensions by county and offender status are no longer presented in this table. Statewide information on these sanctions is provided in Tables 17 and 18 in Section 5.

TABLE 12: 2008 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND
OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
FRESNO (cont)	2 ND DUI	1249	95.3	99.6	15.0	76.1	0.0	22.0
	3 RD DUI	390	93.1	99.0	2.8	84.6	0.3	20.0
	4 TH + DUI	164	63.4	100.0	4.3	41.5	0.0	9.1
	TOTAL	5394	94.3	98.2	63.4	26.7	0.0	8.6
GLENN	1 ST DUI	194	96.4	49.5	51.0	0.5	0.0	0.5
	2 ND DUI	71	94.4	88.7	9.9	32.4	0.0	9.9
	3 RD DUI	25	92.0	100.0	4.0	28.0	0.0	20.0
	4 TH + DUI	7	14.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	297	93.6	64.3	36.0	10.4	0.0	4.4
HUMBOLDT	1 ST DUI	550	98.7	31.1	60.0	2.4	0.0	4.9
	2 ND DUI	177	98.3	83.6	14.7	54.8	0.0	51.4
	3 RD DUI	49	93.9	100.0	2.0	71.4	0.0	73.5
	4 TH + DUI	8	87.5	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	75.0
	TOTAL	784	98.2	48.0	45.5	19.0	0.0	20.4
IMPERIAL	1 ST DUI	618	95.0	15.5	60.2	1.0	0.0	0.0
	2 ND DUI	149	94.6	47.7	20.1	25.5	0.0	1.3
	3 RD DUI	37	91.9	81.1	13.5	29.7	0.0	0.0
	4 TH + DUI	8	62.5	87.5	0.0	37.5	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	812	94.5	25.1	50.1	7.1	0.0	0.2
INYO	1 ST DUI	157	94.9	43.3	85.4	1.9	0.0	0.0
	2 ND DUI	61	98.4	83.6	11.5	70.5	1.6	9.8
	3 RD DUI	19	89.5	89.5	0.0	68.4	0.0	42.1
	4 TH + DUI	5	80.0	80.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	40.0
	TOTAL	242	95.0	57.9	58.3	25.6	0.4	6.6
KERN	1 ST DUI	3328	96.7	95.9	70.4	1.5	0.0	0.4
	2 ND DUI	1067	95.5	98.7	11.1	22.1	0.2	7.2
	3 RD DUI	288	89.6	98.6	1.4	15.3	0.3	17.7
	4 TH + DUI	109	57.8	93.6	2.8	12.8	3.7	0.0
	TOTAL	4792	95.1	96.7	51.5	7.2	0.2	2.9
KINGS	1 ST DUI	721	94.0	97.6	74.6	5.0	0.0	11.7
	2 ND DUI	223	88.8	97.8	14.3	63.7	0.0	50.7
	3 RD DUI	47	80.9	100.0	6.4	53.2	0.0	61.7
	4 TH + DUI	21	14.3	100.0	0.0	4.8	0.0	52.4
	TOTAL	1012	90.6	97.8	56.6	20.2	0.0	23.4
LAKE	1 ST DUI	278	91.0	47.8	65.8	1.8	0.0	0.4
	2 ND DUI	110	91.8	91.8	7.3	53.6	0.9	5.5
	3 RD DUI	30	80.0	90.0	0.0	33.3	3.3	6.7
	4 TH + DUI	12	58.3	100.0	8.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	430	89.5	63.5	44.7	17.2	0.5	2.1
LASSEN	1 ST DUI	109	94.5	96.3	82.6	0.9	0.0	2.8
	2 ND DUI	30	93.3	93.3	60.0	26.7	0.0	20.0
	3 RD DUI	10	80.0	100.0	30.0	30.0	0.0	30.0
	4 TH + DUI	6	33.3	83.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	155	91.0	95.5	71.6	9.0	0.0	7.7
LOS ANGELES	1 ST DUI	25029	97.2	32.3	89.2	2.6	0.1	0.1
	2 ND DUI	5518	96.1	88.3	12.0	74.4	0.7	2.0
	3 RD DUI	1128	89.5	85.3	3.5	57.9	10.8	3.5
	4 TH + DUI	272	38.6	94.1	1.1	15.8	3.3	0.7
	TOTAL	31947	96.3	44.3	72.1	17.1	0.6	0.6

TABLE 12: 2008 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND
OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
MADERA	1 ST DUI	589	94.2	94.9	86.6	3.2	0.0	0.0
	2 ND DUI	186	95.7	96.8	34.9	54.3	0.0	0.0
	3 RD DUI	81	93.8	96.3	8.6	77.8	1.2	0.0
	4 ^{TH+} DUI	20	65.0	95.0	5.0	30.0	5.0	0.0
	TOTAL	876	93.8	95.4	66.6	21.6	0.2	0.0
MARIN	1 ST DUI	1150	98.8	21.7	80.4	1.9	0.0	0.8
	2 ND DUI	260	98.8	88.8	11.5	74.6	0.0	13.1
	3 RD DUI	50	96.0	100.0	6.0	22.0	0.0	32.0
	4 ^{TH+} DUI	23	82.6	91.3	0.0	43.5	0.0	47.8
	TOTAL	1483	98.4	37.2	64.6	16.0	0.0	4.7
MARIPOSA	1 ST DUI	58	93.1	89.7	63.8	12.1	0.0	1.7
	2 ND DUI	11	81.8	90.9	27.3	45.5	0.0	27.3
	3 RD DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	25.0
	TOTAL	73	91.8	90.4	54.8	21.9	0.0	6.8
MENDOCINO	1 ST DUI	502	92.8	93.0	80.9	3.6	0.0	3.0
	2 ND DUI	194	95.9	96.4	13.9	70.6	0.0	58.2
	3 RD DUI	58	93.1	96.6	8.6	70.7	0.0	79.3
	4 ^{TH+} DUI	13	76.9	100.0	0.0	46.2	0.0	38.5
	TOTAL	767	93.4	94.3	57.1	26.3	0.0	23.3
MERCED	1 ST DUI	1109	91.8	94.1	62.5	2.7	0.0	0.1
	2 ND DUI	359	94.7	95.5	16.4	59.3	0.0	3.9
	3 RD DUI	85	96.5	95.3	5.9	67.1	3.5	7.1
	4 ^{TH+} DUI	33	54.5	100.0	6.1	24.2	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	1586	91.9	94.6	47.9	19.4	0.2	1.3
MODOC	1 ST DUI	42	81.0	59.5	21.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
	2 ND DUI	14	85.7	64.3	7.1	14.3	14.3	0.0
	3 RD DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0
	4 ^{TH+} DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	65	83.1	66.2	15.4	6.2	3.1	0.0
MONO	1 ST DUI	103	99.0	53.4	87.4	2.9	0.0	0.0
	2 ND DUI	18	100.0	100.0	22.2	77.8	0.0	0.0
	3 RD DUI	9	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
	4 ^{TH+} DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	133	99.2	63.9	70.7	19.5	0.0	0.0
MONTEREY	1 ST DUI	1894	98.7	97.6	71.8	2.9	0.0	13.8
	2 ND DUI	512	98.6	100.0	10.7	75.6	0.0	71.5
	3 RD DUI	128	97.7	100.0	2.3	84.4	0.0	80.5
	4 ^{TH+} DUI	52	73.1	98.1	1.9	50.0	0.0	46.2
	TOTAL	2586	98.1	98.2	54.9	22.3	0.0	29.2
NAPA	1 ST DUI	651	98.3	95.5	92.2	1.7	0.0	0.6
	2 ND DUI	190	95.3	97.4	23.7	68.4	0.0	17.9
	3 RD DUI	29	86.2	96.6	0.0	79.3	0.0	34.5
	4 ^{TH+} DUI	11	36.4	100.0	0.0	27.3	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	881	96.5	96.0	73.2	19.0	0.0	5.4
NEVADA	1 ST DUI	429	98.1	95.8	93.5	2.8	0.0	0.5
	2 ND DUI	163	98.2	97.5	12.9	82.8	0.0	8.0
	3 RD DUI	49	91.8	91.8	2.0	77.6	0.0	38.8
	4 ^{TH+} DUI	17	88.2	94.1	0.0	82.4	0.0	47.1
	TOTAL	658	97.4	95.9	64.3	30.2	0.0	6.4

TABLE 12: 2008 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND
OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
ORANGE	1 ST DUI	11771	98.4	39.4	92.1	1.5	0.0	0.8
	2 ND DUI	3050	97.4	93.1	7.8	80.7	0.0	19.7
	3 RD DUI	620	94.0	96.8	1.1	81.6	0.0	35.0
	4 ^{TH+} DUI	170	43.5	98.8	0.6	29.4	0.0	14.7
	TOTAL	15611	97.4	52.8	71.0	20.4	0.0	6.0
PLACER	1 ST DUI	1625	97.0	98.2	86.5	1.6	0.0	2.2
	2 ND DUI	490	97.1	99.2	28.8	62.2	0.0	22.0
	3 RD DUI	98	95.9	99.0	26.5	62.2	1.0	59.2
	4 ^{TH+} DUI	34	44.1	100.0	5.9	32.4	0.0	32.4
	TOTAL	2247	96.2	98.5	70.0	17.9	0.0	9.4
PLUMAS	1 ST DUI	144	97.9	91.0	84.7	2.8	0.0	0.0
	2 ND DUI	60	95.0	98.3	16.7	71.7	0.0	1.7
	3 RD DUI	20	100.0	100.0	10.0	90.0	0.0	0.0
	4 ^{TH+} DUI	6	50.0	100.0	16.7	33.3	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	230	96.1	93.9	58.7	29.1	0.0	0.4
RIVERSIDE	1 ST DUI	6677	96.4	95.4	92.6	2.1	0.0	0.6
	2 ND DUI	1763	96.1	97.2	12.3	80.5	0.0	14.4
	3 RD DUI	416	93.3	97.8	3.8	84.4	0.0	23.6
	4 ^{TH+} DUI	154	66.2	98.7	0.0	55.8	0.0	17.5
	TOTAL	9010	95.7	95.9	71.2	22.2	0.0	4.6
SACRAMENTO	1 ST DUI	4935	97.3	95.0	87.0	2.1	0.0	0.4
	2 ND DUI	1538	97.3	98.2	7.9	83.0	0.0	12.5
	3 RD DUI	427	95.6	99.1	0.2	88.3	0.0	26.7
	4 ^{TH+} DUI	167	58.1	95.8	0.0	41.9	0.0	9.6
	TOTAL	7067	96.2	96.0	62.5	25.8	0.0	4.8
SAN BENITO	1 ST DUI	205	96.1	92.2	22.0	0.0	0.0	1.0
	2 ND DUI	66	97.0	95.5	1.5	13.6	0.0	19.7
	3 RD DUI	22	95.5	100.0	0.0	9.1	0.0	54.5
	4 ^{TH+} DUI	10	60.0	100.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	20.0
	TOTAL	303	95.0	93.7	15.2	4.0	0.0	9.6
SAN BERNARDINO	1 ST DUI	7329	95.6	66.5	89.3	2.4	0.0	0.0
	2 ND DUI	2154	93.8	94.8	11.7	76.6	0.0	0.0
	3 RD DUI	558	88.9	98.0	1.4	50.0	0.0	0.2
	4 ^{TH+} DUI	218	49.1	98.6	0.9	31.2	0.0	1.4
	TOTAL	10259	93.9	74.8	66.3	21.2	0.0	0.0
SAN DIEGO	1 ST DUI	11617	96.0	16.5	84.1	1.8	0.0	0.1
	2 ND DUI	3125	95.1	81.9	11.0	65.1	0.0	2.4
	3 RD DUI	700	88.3	95.0	2.6	69.7	0.0	17.4
	4 ^{TH+} DUI	216	49.5	94.4	2.3	27.8	0.0	8.8
	TOTAL	15658	94.9	34.1	64.7	17.8	0.0	1.4
SAN FRANCISCO	1 ST DUI	892	98.2	99.0	94.8	1.6	0.0	1.5
	2 ND DUI	183	98.9	100.0	25.1	71.0	0.0	21.9
	3 RD DUI	39	100.0	97.4	5.1	84.6	2.6	64.1
	4 ^{TH+} DUI	8	100.0	100.0	12.5	25.0	0.0	25.0
	TOTAL	1122	98.4	99.1	79.8	16.0	0.1	7.1
SAN JOAQUIN	1 ST DUI	2400	99.0	97.8	89.3	2.9	0.0	1.5
	2 ND DUI	825	97.9	99.4	14.1	79.4	0.0	37.1
	3 RD DUI	228	93.4	95.2	5.3	78.1	0.9	54.8

TABLE 12: 2008 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND
OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN JOAQUIN (cont)	4 TH + DUI	121	68.6	90.9	0.0	52.9	0.8	43.0
	TOTAL	3574	97.4	97.8	63.5	27.1	0.1	14.5
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1 ST DUI	1468	96.2	95.0	89.7	1.4	0.0	0.0
	2 ND DUI	432	95.8	98.4	10.0	80.1	0.0	0.2
	3 RD DUI	109	93.6	98.2	2.8	80.7	0.0	1.8
	4 TH + DUI	41	73.2	100.0	0.0	63.4	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	2050	95.5	96.0	66.5	23.4	0.0	0.1
SAN MATEO	1 ST DUI	2076	96.0	96.6	88.9	1.9	0.0	0.4
	2 ND DUI	520	94.2	99.6	8.7	79.2	0.0	28.5
	3 RD DUI	101	86.1	99.0	1.0	72.3	0.0	29.7
	4 TH + DUI	29	58.6	100.0	3.4	10.3	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	2726	94.9	97.3	69.4	19.4	0.0	6.8
SANTA BARBARA	1 ST DUI	1927	95.7	64.6	75.1	1.5	0.0	0.7
	2 ND DUI	593	96.0	94.6	6.9	77.9	0.0	30.2
	3 RD DUI	166	89.8	97.6	3.0	75.9	0.0	44.6
	4 TH + DUI	45	28.9	97.8	4.4	17.8	0.0	8.9
	TOTAL	2731	94.3	73.6	54.8	22.8	0.0	9.9
SANTA CLARA	1 ST DUI	4900	98.8	96.8	91.4	3.1	0.0	1.4
	2 ND DUI	1431	98.1	99.2	15.5	75.6	0.0	28.7
	3 RD DUI	309	94.5	98.1	2.6	66.7	0.3	52.1
	4 TH + DUI	76	67.1	100.0	3.9	43.4	0.0	21.1
	TOTAL	6716	98.1	97.4	70.1	21.9	0.0	9.8
SANTA CRUZ	1 ST DUI	924	97.7	95.8	63.6	0.8	0.0	0.0
	2 ND DUI	270	98.5	98.9	10.0	49.3	0.0	0.0
	3 RD DUI	70	98.6	100.0	0.0	22.9	0.0	1.4
	4 TH + DUI	32	71.9	100.0	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	1296	97.3	96.8	47.5	12.2	0.0	0.1
SHASTA	1 ST DUI	1008	95.0	96.6	89.0	2.0	0.0	31.4
	2 ND DUI	340	93.5	98.5	9.7	77.6	0.0	80.9
	3 RD DUI	98	79.6	99.0	1.0	17.3	0.0	70.4
	4 TH + DUI	32	15.6	100.0	0.0	6.3	0.0	3.1
	TOTAL	1478	91.9	97.3	63.0	20.5	0.0	44.8
SIERRA	1 ST DUI	12	100.0	91.7	75.0	8.3	0.0	0.0
	2 ND DUI	7	100.0	100.0	14.3	71.4	0.0	0.0
	3 RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	4 TH + DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	21	95.2	95.2	47.6	33.3	0.0	0.0
SISKIYOU	1 ST DUI	226	93.4	83.2	78.3	2.2	0.0	0.9
	2 ND DUI	78	94.9	93.6	20.5	61.5	1.3	21.8
	3 RD DUI	26	92.3	92.3	34.6	42.3	0.0	26.9
	4 TH + DUI	6	50.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	66.7
	TOTAL	336	92.9	86.6	60.1	19.6	0.3	8.9
SOLANO	1 ST DUI	1126	96.5	96.7	90.3	2.1	0.0	0.8
	2 ND DUI	403	96.5	98.8	12.2	81.4	0.0	16.4
	3 RD DUI	110	84.5	97.3	1.8	74.5	0.0	34.5
	4 TH + DUI	34	70.6	97.1	0.0	50.0	0.0	5.9
	TOTAL	1673	95.2	97.3	63.8	27.0	0.0	6.9
SONOMA	1 ST DUI	2117	97.7	95.6	72.8	0.9	0.0	1.2
	2 ND DUI	688	96.9	98.4	7.1	50.6	0.0	15.7

TABLE 12: 2008 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND
OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
SONOMA (cont)	3 RD DUI	201	94.0	89.1	2.0	19.4	0.0	23.9
	4 ^{TH+} DUI	64	60.9	96.9	0.0	6.3	0.0	7.8
	TOTAL	3070	96.5	95.8	51.9	13.3	0.0	6.1
STANISLAUS	1 ST DUI	1909	97.5	96.3	88.1	5.8	0.0	2.9
	2 ND DUI	538	99.3	96.3	19.9	74.3	0.0	16.5
	3 RD DUI	132	97.7	93.9	9.1	79.5	0.0	42.4
	4 ^{TH+} DUI	39	87.2	87.2	0.0	64.1	0.0	43.6
	TOTAL	2618	97.7	96.1	68.8	24.5	0.0	8.3
SUTTER	1 ST DUI	346	90.2	95.4	85.3	0.6	0.0	6.1
	2 ND DUI	128	95.3	98.4	13.3	78.1	0.0	64.1
	3 RD DUI	26	84.6	100.0	3.8	76.9	0.0	84.6
	4 ^{TH+} DUI	10	40.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	40.0
	TOTAL	510	90.2	96.5	61.4	24.7	0.0	25.3
TEHAMA	1 ST DUI	287	88.5	98.3	80.5	2.8	0.0	2.8
	2 ND DUI	127	88.2	98.4	8.7	74.0	0.0	13.4
	3 RD DUI	37	62.2	97.3	2.7	54.1	0.0	29.7
	4 ^{TH+} DUI	13	15.4	100.0	7.7	7.7	0.0	76.9
	TOTAL	464	84.3	98.3	52.6	26.5	0.0	9.9
TRINITY	1 ST DUI	60	100.0	100.0	86.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	2 ND DUI	38	100.0	97.4	13.2	23.7	0.0	0.0
	3 RD DUI	7	85.7	100.0	14.3	14.3	0.0	0.0
	4 ^{TH+} DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	107	98.1	99.1	54.2	9.3	0.0	0.0
TULARE	1 ST DUI	2260	96.1	87.6	53.8	2.6	0.0	1.9
	2 ND DUI	725	93.0	96.8	5.1	72.6	0.1	20.6
	3 RD DUI	197	89.3	95.4	2.5	66.5	0.0	35.5
	4 ^{TH+} DUI	93	68.8	92.5	0.0	23.7	2.2	11.8
	TOTAL	3275	94.2	90.2	38.4	22.5	0.1	8.3
TUOLUMNE	1 ST DUI	274	94.9	93.8	81.4	2.6	0.0	0.4
	2 ND DUI	96	87.5	97.9	5.2	74.0	1.0	10.4
	3 RD DUI	36	94.4	97.2	5.6	16.7	2.8	61.1
	4 ^{TH+} DUI	15	80.0	93.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	20.0
	TOTAL	421	92.6	95.0	54.6	21.1	0.5	8.6
VENTURA	1 ST DUI	3600	97.4	95.8	75.9	1.3	0.0	8.6
	2 ND DUI	926	97.6	98.4	11.7	65.2	0.0	66.0
	3 RD DUI	212	95.8	98.1	2.4	59.0	0.0	78.8
	4 ^{TH+} DUI	66	72.7	100.0	4.5	60.6	0.0	66.7
	TOTAL	4804	97.0	96.5	59.3	17.0	0.0	23.5
YOLO	1 ST DUI	781	96.8	96.4	86.6	1.8	0.0	1.0
	2 ND DUI	270	96.3	99.6	31.9	60.0	0.0	54.4
	3 RD DUI	60	93.3	100.0	13.3	68.3	0.0	71.7
	4 ^{TH+} DUI	30	33.3	100.0	3.3	23.3	0.0	16.7
	TOTAL	1141	94.8	97.5	67.6	19.6	0.0	17.8
YUBA	1 ST DUI	277	95.3	93.5	87.4	1.4	0.0	1.8
	2 ND DUI	98	98.0	96.9	12.2	78.6	0.0	20.4
	3 RD DUI	21	100.0	100.0	4.8	95.2	0.0	47.6
	4 ^{TH+} DUI	6	16.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7
	TOTAL	402	95.0	94.8	63.4	25.1	0.0	9.0

SECTION 4:
POSTCONVICTION SANCTION
EFFECTIVENESS

SECTION 4: POSTCONVICTION SANCTION EFFECTIVENESS

This section presents reoffense and crash rates of DUI offenders over various time periods, as well as the methodology and results of evaluations assessing the relationship between DUI intervention programs and DUI recidivism for drivers convicted for the first time of an alcohol-related offense.

The first part of the section examines descriptive indicators, such as DUI recidivism and crash rates, for different groups of DUI offenders within different periods of time: 1) 1-year DUI recidivism and crash rates for first and second DUI offenders arrested between 1990-2008, 2) 1-year DUI recidivism and crash rates by county, for first and second DUI offenders arrested in 2008, 3) proportions of DUI program referrals, enrollments, and completions for first and second DUI offenders arrested in 2008, and 4) long term recidivism rates of DUI offenders arrested in 1994.

The second part of the section contains the results of two analyses evaluating the relationship between DUI intervention programs and DUI recidivism for two groups of DUI offenders: 1) drivers convicted of the reduced charge of alcohol-related reckless driving, and 2) first DUI offenders referred to 3-month or 9-month DUI programs.

In the previous several years, the second part of this section also included two additional subanalyses conducted to determine whether the findings on the relationship between length of DUI program (3 versus 9 months) and subsequent DUI incidents was confounded by the different BAC levels of offenders assigned to the two different programs. These analyses were omitted this year since BAC levels and their relationship with final results were investigated as part of the original analysis for first DUI offenders, thus making these two additional analyses unnecessary.

The following are highlights of the findings:

- The 1-year recidivism rates for all first offenders in 2008 continued to remain at the lower level in the past 10 years. The DUI reoffense rate for first offenders arrested in 2008 was 38.2% lower than the reoffense rate for first offenders arrested in 1990 (see Figure 7 and Table 13a).
- The 1-year reoffense rate for second offenders increased slightly in 2008, although it remains substantially lower than the rates during the early 1990s; recidivism decreased from 9.7% in 1990 to 5.7% in 2008, a 41.2% relative decrease for all second offenders (see Figure 7 and Table 13a).

- Overall, subsequent 1-year crash rates among second offenders have declined from 4.0% in 1990 to 2.3% in 2008, a 42.5% relative decrease. The crash rate for first offenders has also declined, although not as much as for second offenders; their 2008 rate is 30.2% lower than their 1990 crash rate (see Figure 8 and Table 13a).
- Of the 2008 DUI arrestees who enrolled in a DUI intervention program, 87.3% of first offenders and 38.9% of second offenders completed their program assignment (see Table 14).
- At the end of 15 years, 30% of DUI offenders originally convicted in 1994 had at least one subsequent DUI conviction, and 34% incurred at least one DUI incident (see Figure 9a).
- Over 15 years, recidivism rates increased as the number of prior offenses increased. The proportion of third-or-more offenders reoffending was 41%, while 34% of second offenders and 27% of first offenders reoffended (see Figure 9b).
- Males showed a much higher cumulative proportion (31%) of reoffenses than did females (23%) over the 15-year time period (see Figure 9c).
- Long-term recidivism rates are inversely related to age, with higher reoffense rates associated with the youngest age group, and the lowest rates with the oldest group (see Figure 9d).
- After five years, the proportion of DUI offenders reoffending in the 1994 group was much lower (18%) compared to the proportion reoffending in the 1984 group (27%) and in the 1980 group (35%). The 2000 group of DUI offenders had the lowest proportion of reoffenses (17%). This is shown in Figure 9e.
- Similar to the last six years' evaluations, this year's results continue to show that the subsequent 1-year crash rates of alcohol-related reckless offenders assigned to a DUI intervention program did not vary significantly from those of the nonparticipants. Additionally, the subsequent DUI incident rates of the program participants were not significantly lower than those of the nonparticipants (see Table 16a).
- One-year crash rates of first offenders referred to the 3-month program were not significantly different from those referred to 9-month programs. Also, the subsequent DUI incident rates of the first offenders referred to a short-term program were not significantly different from those referred to the long-term program (see Table 16b).

Subject Selection and Data Collection: Convicted DUI and alcohol-related reckless offenders were identified from monthly abstract update files which contain all DUI conviction data reported to DMV by the courts. Except for the 1994 cases, subjects were selected based on the number of DUI and alcohol-related reckless driving convictions within 10 years prior to their DUI arrest in 2008. The following groups of subjects were selected: 1) first DUI offenders—drivers who had no DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving convictions within the previous 10 years, 2) second DUI offenders—drivers who had one DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving conviction within the previous 10 years, 3) alcohol-related reckless offenders with no previous DUI offenses in the past 10 years, and 4) first DUI offenders referred to 3-month and 9-month DUI programs. In addition, all DUI offenders arrested in 1994 were selected for the 15-year follow-up evaluation.

The crash and recidivism rates of first and second DUI offenders, and the relationship between DUI programs and DUI recidivism for persons convicted of an alcohol-reckless or first DUI offense, are evaluated in terms of postconviction driving record, as measured by: 1) total crashes and, 2) DUI incidents, which include alcohol-involved crashes, DUI convictions, Administrative Per Se suspensions (APS for 0.08% BAC or chemical test refusal), and DUI failure-to-appear notices (FTA). For the 1994 DUI offenders, recidivism is measured by subsequent DUI convictions, along with one comparison of DUI incidents.

Although the sanction analyses are not conducted for first and second DUI offenders, the 1-year unadjusted crash and DUI reoffense data from all of the previous and current evaluations were included. In order to maintain comparability to the previous subject selection criteria, certain types of offenders had to be excluded. These previous and current analyses excluded offenders with convictions of a felony, and those with chemical-test refusal suspensions, because their license control penalties were different from the misdemeanor offender groups. Drivers who did not have a full 1-year subsequent time period (because of late conviction dates) were also excluded, as were drivers with "X" license numbers (meaning that no California license number could be found) and drivers with out-of-state ZIP Codes. Altogether, the excluded cases represented about 28% of the original convicted offender file. The only exclusions made for the 1994 offenders were the out-of-state cases and drivers with "X" license numbers.

DUI RECIDIVISM AND CRASH RATES

One-Year DUI Recidivism and Crash Rates for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested from 1990-2008

The 1-year subsequent DUI-incident reoffense rates for both first and second DUI offenders were compiled from the 19 previous and current annual DUI-MIS evaluations and configured onto two separate graphs to display these rates over time.

Figure 7 shows the proportions of first and second offenders, arrested between 1990 and 2008, who reoffended within one year after conviction.




Figure 7. Percentages of first and second DUI offenders reoffending with a DUI incident within one year after conviction (arrested between 1990 and 2008).

This figure and Table 13a show an ongoing gradual decline in the 1-year recidivism rates for first offenders from 1990 to 2008. The overall decline translates into a 38.2% reduction in recidivism for all first offenders from 1990 to 2008. The decline in DUI reoffenses is steeper in the early years (1990-1994), following the enactment of APS suspensions for all DUI arrestees. As is evident in Figure 7, the reoffense rates of first offenders continue to be lower than those of the second offenders; this has been consistently evident throughout all previous analyses conducted on first and second offenders.

TABLE 13a: ONE-YEAR UNADJUSTED PERCENTAGES OF SUBSEQUENT DUI-INCIDENT-INVOLVED AND CRASH-INVOLVED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS, 1990-2008

YEAR	DUI-INCIDENT-INVOLVED		CRASH-INVOLVED	
	FIRST DUI OFFENDERS	SECOND DUI OFFENDERS	FIRST DUI OFFENDERS	SECOND DUI OFFENDERS
1990	7.6	9.7	5.3	4.0
1991	7.1	9.5	4.7	3.6
1992	6.2	9.1	4.1	3.5
1993	5.8	8.8	4.1	3.5
1994	5.4	7.0	4.5	3.1
1995	5.8	7.0	4.6	3.0
1996	5.1	6.1	4.5	2.4
1997	5.2	6.0	4.7	2.7
1998	5.3	6.0	4.8	2.6
1999	5.0	6.1	5.0	2.8
2000	4.9	6.1	5.1	3.1
2001	4.9	5.9	5.2	3.0
2002	4.8	6.1	5.1	3.3
2003	4.7	6.5	4.8	3.2
2004	4.5	5.9	4.8	3.1
2005	4.7	5.6	4.8	3.0
2006	4.5	5.5	4.6	2.7
2007	4.5	5.4	4.1	2.4
2008	4.7	5.7	3.7	2.3
% DIFFERENCE 1990-2008	-38.2%	-41.2%	-30.2%	-42.5%

As noted in the past five annual DUI-MIS reports, a similar overall decline is evident in the 1-year reoffense rates for the second offender group, as displayed in Figure 7 and Table 13a, with the greatest rate of decline occurring during the years from 1993 to 1996. Table 13a shows that, from 1990 to 2008, the reoffense rates decreased 41.2% among second offenders. The overall reoffense rates of second offenders remain higher than those of first offenders. Previous DUI-MIS reports suggested that, while many factors may be associated with the overall decline in DUI incidents for both first and second offenders, the reduction may largely be attributed to the implementation of APS suspensions in 1990. An evaluation (Rogers, 1997) of the California APS Law documents recidivism reductions of up to 21.1% for first offenders, and 19.5% for repeat offenders, attributable to the law.

The 1-year subsequent crash rates for both first and second offenders were also compiled from previous and current DUI-MIS evaluations and graphically displayed

over time. Figure 8 shows the proportions of first and second offenders arrested between 1990 and 2008 who had crashes within one year after their conviction.




Figure 8. Percentages of first and second DUI offenders involved in a crash within one year after conviction (arrested between 1990 and 2008).

Among first offenders arrested between 1990 and 2008, Figure 8 and Table 13a show an initial decline in crash rates for the earliest years, followed by an ongoing increase after 1993, and then another decline after 2001. The relative difference between first offender crash rates in 1990 and 2008 is -30.2%, whereas the relative difference for second offenders for those same years shows a much greater decline in crash involvement of -42.5%.

Overall, second offenders have lower crash rates than do first offenders (Table 13a), and this fact has been well documented in past evaluations; it has been speculated that the lower crash rates of second offenders may be related to the longer-term (2 years) license suspensions imposed on second offenders.

One-Year DUI Recidivism and Crash Rates by County for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested in 2008

For the fifth year, the 1-year subsequent DUI recidivism and crash rates, by county, are reported for both first and second DUI offenders.

Table 13b displays the 1-year subsequent DUI recidivism rates of offenders arrested in 2008. As shown in this table, among the larger counties, the rate at which first offenders had a subsequent DUI incident within one year varied from 6.9% in San Joaquin County

to 3.9% in Los Angeles and Orange Counties. Among the smaller counties, Calaveras, Colusa, Madera, and Trinity had DUI recidivism rates above 8.0%, while Alpine, Mariposa, and Sierra had zero DUI recidivism rates. Second offenders had generally higher DUI recidivism rates than first offenders. Among the larger counties, San Joaquin County had the highest rate, with 10.3% of second offenders having a subsequent DUI incident within one year, whereas Orange County's second offenders had the lowest rate at 3.2%. Among the smaller counties, the DUI recidivism rate for second offenders ranged from 100.0% (Alpine) to 0.0% (Calaveras, Mariposa, Mono, and Sierra).

One-year subsequent crash rates, by county, for both first and second offenders arrested in 2008 are displayed in Table 13c. Among the larger counties, the rate at which first offenders had a subsequent crash within one year varied from 4.8% in San Joaquin County to 3.0% in Fresno County. Among the smaller counties, Glenn had a crash rate of 5.6%, while Alpine, Mariposa, Modoc, and Sierra had a 0.0% crash rate. In contrast to DUI recidivism rates, second offenders have generally lower crash rates than first offenders. Among the larger counties, the rate at which second offenders have a subsequent crash within one year varied from 3.3% (Riverside and San Joaquin) to 1.1% (Sonoma). Among the smaller counties, Mariposa County had a crash rate of 11.1%, and 13 counties had 0.0% crash rates (Alpine, Calaveras, Del Norte, Inyo, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Mono, Plumas, Sierra, Trinity, Tuolumne, and Yuba).

TABLE 13b: 2008 1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT DUI RECIDIVISM RATES BY COUNTY FOR FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS

COUNTY	1 ST OFFENDER		2 ND OFFENDER	
	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	4202	4.7	1386	5.7
ALAMEDA	172	5.4	60	7.1
ALPINE	0	0.0	1	100.0
AMADOR	3	2.3	2	4.5
BUTTE	41	4.4	14	5.0
CALAVERAS	12	8.5	0	0.0
COLUSA	8	9.1	2	6.5
CONTRA COSTA	93	5.1	32	5.5
DEL NORTE	5	7.1	1	3.4
EL DORADO	15	3.6	12	7.4
FRESNO	168	6.6	62	7.3
GLENN	7	4.9	7	15.2
HUMBOLDT	22	5.1	11	8.0
IMPERIAL	20	4.3	10	10.1
INYO	4	3.1	4	7.4
KERN	129	5.8	42	5.9
KINGS	22	4.6	14	9.1
LAKE	11	5.1	5	6.3
LASSEN	6	7.6	2	9.1
LOS ANGELES	691	3.9	205	5.2
MADERA	24	8.2	10	10.6
MARIN	25	3.1	9	5.2
MARIPOSA	0	0.0	0	0.0
MENDOCINO	18	4.8	6	4.2
MERCED	39	5.6	14	6.7
MODOC	2	6.1	2	16.7
MONO	1	1.7	0	0.0
MONTEREY	47	4.1	10	3.2
NAPA	18	4.1	8	5.8
NEVADA	16	4.5	5	3.6
ORANGE	346	3.9	69	3.2
PLACER	65	4.9	17	4.4
PLUMAS	6	5.1	1	2.0
RIVERSIDE	231	4.6	81	6.3
SACRAMENTO	220	5.6	87	7.4
SAN BENITO	10	7.1	4	9.5
SAN BERNARDINO	246	4.7	69	4.9
SAN DIEGO	384	4.5	135	5.8
SAN FRANCISCO	24	3.4	9	6.8
SAN JOAQUIN	119	6.9	66	10.3
SAN LUIS OBISPO	51	4.3	17	5.0
SAN MATEO	65	4.2	20	5.5
SANTA BARBARA	61	4.7	20	5.1
SANTA CLARA	165	4.9	40	4.4
SANTA CRUZ	35	5.3	14	6.8
SHASTA	44	5.1	19	6.5
SIERRA	0	0.0	0	0.0
SISKIYOU	13	7.2	10	15.2
SOLANO	54	6.3	23	7.8
SONOMA	92	6.1	28	5.9
STANISLAUS	83	5.9	20	5.3
SUTTER	13	5.3	4	4.0
TEHAMA	16	7.2	4	3.8
TRINITY	5	9.1	2	6.1
TULARE	85	5.8	32	6.9
TUOLUMNE	7	3.0	4	5.1
VENTURA	106	4.6	21	3.7
YOLO	25	4.3	15	7.9
YUBA	12	6.0	5	6.7

TABLE 13c: 2008 1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT CRASH RATES BY COUNTY FOR FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS

COUNTY	1 ST OFFENDER		2 ND OFFENDER	
	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%
STATEWIDE	3296	3.7	553	2.3
ALAMEDA	140	4.4	13	1.5
ALPINE	0	0.0	0	0.0
AMADOR	6	4.5	3	6.8
BUTTE	30	3.2	1	0.4
CALAVERAS	3	2.1	0	0.0
COLUSA	3	3.4	1	3.2
CONTRA COSTA	79	4.3	19	3.2
DEL NORTE	1	1.4	0	0.0
EL DORADO	14	3.4	4	2.5
FRESNO	76	3.0	17	2.0
GLENN	8	5.6	2	4.3
HUMBOLDT	8	1.9	4	2.9
IMPERIAL	11	2.4	2	2.0
INYO	3	2.4	0	0.0
KERN	88	4.0	21	2.9
KINGS	6	1.2	8	5.2
LAKE	4	1.9	1	1.2
LASSEN	3	3.8	0	0.0
LOS ANGELES	762	4.3	105	2.7
MADERA	7	2.4	2	2.1
MARIN	27	3.4	6	3.5
MARIPOSA	0	0.0	1	11.1
MENDOCINO	7	1.9	0	0.0
MERCED	30	4.3	8	3.8
MODOC	0	0.0	0	0.0
MONO	1	1.7	0	0.0
MONTEREY	38	3.3	4	1.3
NAPA	16	3.7	3	2.2
NEVADA	16	4.5	5	3.6
ORANGE	358	4.0	32	1.5
PLACER	47	3.5	5	1.3
PLUMAS	2	1.7	0	0.0
RIVERSIDE	163	3.3	42	3.3
SACRAMENTO	150	3.8	35	3.0
SAN BENITO	4	2.8	1	2.4
SAN BERNARDINO	171	3.3	27	1.9
SAN DIEGO	272	3.2	48	2.1
SAN FRANCISCO	28	3.9	5	3.8
SAN JOAQUIN	83	4.8	21	3.3
SAN LUIS OBISPO	37	3.1	5	1.5
SAN MATEO	53	3.4	9	2.5
SANTA BARBARA	45	3.4	5	1.3
SANTA CLARA	114	3.4	19	2.1
SANTA CRUZ	25	3.8	3	1.4
SHASTA	22	2.6	6	2.1
SIERRA	0	0.0	0	0.0
SISKIYOU	4	2.2	1	1.5
SOLANO	33	3.9	13	4.4
SONOMA	55	3.6	5	1.1
STANISLAUS	59	4.2	12	3.2
SUTTER	9	3.6	1	1.0
TEHAMA	11	5.0	2	1.9
TRINITY	1	1.8	0	0.0
TULARE	44	3.0	10	2.1
TUOLUMNE	5	2.1	0	0.0
VENTURA	99	4.3	12	2.1
YOLO	12	2.1	4	2.1
YUBA	3	1.5	0	0.0

The Proportions of DUI Program Referrals, Enrollments, and Completions for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested in 2008

Beginning two years ago, this report captures the number and proportions of convicted first and second offenders whose records indicated that they had enrolled in and completed a DUI intervention program, upon referral received from the court (before that, Table 14 showed only the proportions of program referrals and completions for these offenders). Inclusion of the information on enrollments was possible due to the addition of a new subrecord to each person's driving record that contains data on DUI program enrollment and completion dates, court information relevant to the DUI conviction, and program length. Previous efforts were limited by the lack of organized fields of data even though part of this information was available.

Table 14 shows the proportions of referrals to the various DUI programs for first and second offenders. It can be seen from this table that 86.3% of first offenders and 73.3% of second offenders were referred to a DUI program. Table 14 also shows that 69.4% of first offenders enrolled in DUI programs, which usually range from three to nine months in length, depending upon the offender's BAC levels at the time of their arrests. Furthermore, 54.4% of second offenders enrolled in the 18-month DUI program. Of those enrolled in DUI intervention programs, 87.3% of first offenders and 38.9% of second offenders completed their program assignment (some second offenders may still be enrolled in the program at the time of data collection).

TABLE 14: COUNTS AND PROPORTIONS OF REPORTED DUI PROGRAM REFERRALS, ENROLLMENTS, AND COMPLETIONS FOR CONVICTED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2008

DUI OFFENDERS	TOTAL	PROGRAM REFERRALS		PROGRAM ENROLLMENT		PROGRAM COMPLETION		
	<i>N</i>	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	% ¹	% ²
1 ST OFFENDERS	123,513	106,561 ³	86.3%	85,728	69.4%	74,865	60.6%	87.3%
2 ND OFFENDERS	34,439	25,257 ⁴	73.3%	18,720	54.4%	7,278	21.1%	38.9%

¹% of total number of DUI offenders

²% of program enrollees

³ referrals to first offender DUI program (three to nine months)

⁴ referrals to 18 month DUI program

Long Term Recidivism Rates of the 1994 DUI Offenders

Since all DUI offenders were included in the 1994 group, it was possible to observe and compare the long-term recidivism rates for subdivided groups within the 1994 cohort, and to see how these groups differ in their long-term recidivism rates. This approach was also taken in a previous study conducted by Peck (1991), in which the reoffense failure curves of various groups among 1980 and 1984 DUI offenders were compared. Failure curves are cumulative percentages over time of first reoffenses occurring after initial DUI conviction. Both DUI convictions (alone) and DUI incidents over the 15-year follow-up period for the 1994 group were included as outcome data in order to maintain comparability with the 1984 and 1980 cohorts from a previous evaluation (Peck, 1991).

Table 15 shows cumulative percentages of first DUI reoffenses (convictions) for the 1994 offenders, as well as 9- and 15-year cumulative percentages for the 1980 and 1994 groups and 5-year cumulative percentages for the 1984 and 2000 groups (data were not available beyond five years).

TABLE 15: CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGES OF FIRST SUBSEQUENT DUI REOFFENSES FOR 1994 DUI OFFENDERS

YEAR	PERCENTAGE												
	1 ST DUI	2 ND DUI	3 RD DUI	MALES	FEMALES	16-25	26-45	46-65	65+	1980	1984	1994	2000
1 ST	4	6	6	5	3	5	5	4	3	11	7	5	4
2 ND	8	10	12	10	6	10	9	8	6	19	15	9	8
3 RD	12	14	17	13	9	14	13	11	8	25	20	13	12
4 TH	14	18	21	16	11	18	16	13	9	30	24	16	15
5 TH	17	21	25	19	13	20	18	15	10	35	27	18	17
6 TH	19	23	28	22	14	23	21	17	10	38	NA	21	NA
7 TH	20	25	31	23	16	25	23	18	11	40	NA	22	NA
8 TH	22	27	33	25	17	26	24	19	11	42	NA	24	NA
9 TH	23	28	35	26	18	28	25	20	12	44	NA	25	NA
10 TH	24	30	36	27	19	29	27	21	12	NA	NA	26	NA
11 TH	25	31	38	28	20	30	28	22	12	NA	NA	27	NA
12 TH	25	32	39	29	21	31	28	22	12	NA	NA	28	NA
13 TH	26	32	40	30	21	32	29	22	12	NA	NA	29	NA
14 TH	27	33	41	31	22	33	30	23	12	NA	NA	30	NA
15 TH	27	34	41	31	23	34	31	23	12	NA	NA	30	NA

In addition to Table 15, Figures 9a through 9e display recidivism rates for 1994 offenders over 15 years.




Figure 9a. Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction, and first subsequent DUI conviction and DUI incident (alcohol crashes, DUI convictions, APS suspensions and DUI FTAs).

Figure 9a shows that, for 1994 offenders as a whole, at the end of 15 years 30% were convicted of at least one DUI offense. When considering a more expanded view of DUI reoffenses including all DUI incidents, the recidivism rate increased to 34%. These failure curves are steepest in the earliest years following the initial conviction, after which they start to flatten out, but are still rising slightly in the seventh through fifteenth years. For both measures, the steepest climb occurs during the first year following conviction.

One way to explore the degree of alcohol-use severity is to examine the recidivism rates by the number of prior DUIs within 10 years (time frame for counting priors) of the 1994 DUI violation. Figure 9b displays the cumulative proportions of reoffenses by first, second, and third-or-more DUI offenders.

From this graph and Table 15, it is evident that the recidivism failure curves increase as the number of prior offenses becomes greater. Third-or-more offenders have the highest overall failure curve, and continue to maintain higher failure proportions over the 15-year time period. At the end of 15 years, 41% of third-or-more offenders have reoffended, compared to 34% of second offenders and 27% of first offenders.




Figure 9b. Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI conviction by number of prior DUI convictions.

Since the majority of DUI offenders has always been male (87% in 1994), it is relevant to inspect the recidivism rates of the 1994 offenders by gender. As evident in Figure 9c and Table 15, the proportion of males that reoffend over 15 years is much higher than that of females. At the end of 15 years, 31% of males have reoffended as compared to 23% of females. The failure curve of females is noticeably lower and increases at a slower pace throughout the 15 years as compared to the curve of males.




Figure 9c. Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI conviction by sex.

Since it is also well known that DUI violations are associated with certain age groups, the recidivism curves are assessed by age as well. Figure 9d displays the failure curves of four age groups. It is evident that reoffense rates are inversely related to age; the failure rates are highest for the youngest group and lowest for the oldest group. Over 15 years, the failure curves of the two youngest groups are quite close to each other and are much steeper than the curve of the oldest group; the failure curves of all age groups are steepest during the first few years following the entry conviction.

The failure curve of the 65+ group flattens out at the fifth year, much sooner than the curves of the other groups. The mortality of the oldest group could influence their lower recidivism rate; also, this group may be restricting their driving by driving less frequently than the other age groups. After 15 years, the two youngest groups reoffended by 34% and 31%, respectively, while 23% of the middle age group (for whom mortality may also be a factor) and 12% of the oldest group recidivated.




Figure 9d. Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI conviction by age group (age at conviction date).

The final figure, Figure 9e, compares the 1994 recidivism curves with those of the 1980, 1984, and 2000 cohorts over a 5-year time period.




Figure 9e. Length of time between DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI reoffense of 1980, 1984, 1994, and 2000 DUI offenders.

Four years ago, the reoffense rates of the 2000 cohort over the 5-year time period were added along with the cumulative percentages of the 1980, 1984 and 1994 groups (Figure 9e and Table 15). Because these cohorts of DUI offenders span 20 years, it is possible to consider whether the enactment of major DUI laws over that time period has affected their relative recidivism rates.

Figure 9e reveals that at the end of five years, 35% of the 1980 offenders reoffended compared to 27% of the 1984 group, 18% of the 1994 offenders and 17% of the 2000 group. Quite dramatically, the proportion recidivating in the 1994 and 2000 groups (18%, 17%) dropped by half compared to those in the 1980 group (35%). Major pieces of DUI legislation were enacted in California over this time span of 20 years. The noticeably lower reoffense proportions of the 1984 group (27%) compared to the 1980 group (35%) can likely be attributed to the 1982 laws, AB 541 (Moorhead), which applied tougher sanctions for DUI offenders, and AB 7 (Hart) which established the 0.10% per se BAC illegal limit. The effectiveness of these laws was confirmed by a previous California study by Tashima and Peck (1986). Table 15, which compares the 1980 cohort with the 1994 group over nine years, shows that 44% of the 1980 group recidivated while 25% of the 1994 group reoffended. The difference between the recidivism rates of these two groups remains quite dramatic at the end of nine years. There was only a one percentage-point increase in recidivism each year for the 1994 group in years 8 through 14.

Continuing with Figure 9e, it is evident that the difference in the reoffending proportions between the 1984 group (27%) and the 1994 group (18%) is substantial; this reduction in reoffenses is possibly due to the enactment of the 1990 laws, SB 1623 (Lockyer), which established APS suspensions for all offenders at the time of arrest, and SB 1150 (Lockyer), which set the illegal BAC limit to 0.08% and imposed other stringent sanctions for DUI offenders. As noted earlier, an evaluation (Rogers, 1997) of the California APS law documented recidivism reductions of up to 21.1% for first offenders and 19.5% for repeat offenders, both attributable to the APS law. Figure 9e also shows that the reoffense levels are very similar for both the 1994 and 2000 cohorts. At each of the five years, the reoffense rates of the 2000 offenders were only one percentage-point lower than that of the 1994 group.

In summary, the 1994 offenders have long-term reoffense rates that are higher among those with more DUI priors (within 10 years), among males, and among younger-aged drivers. These findings are not surprising and are consistent with and supported by previous studies. In comparing the reoffense rates of the 1994 and 2000 groups with those of the 1980 and 1984 offenders, it was found that the cumulative proportions of reoffenses was much lower among the 1994 and 2000 offenders. The dramatically lower reoffense rates of the 1994 and 2000 groups could be attributed, in part, to the enactment of more stringent sanctions for DUI offenders in the past 2 decades, including the APS suspension law of 1990.

DUI PROGRAM EVALUATION FOR ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS OFFENDERS AND FIRST DUI OFFENDERS

Subject Selection and Follow-up Data: The basis for evaluating the effectiveness of DUI programs for offenders convicted of alcohol-related reckless driving, or for first DUI offenders, was established by legislation. The evaluation for the offenders with alcohol-related reckless convictions was mandated by SB 1176 (Johnson); for these offenders, this legislation requires the courts to order enrollment in a DUI intervention program as a condition of probation. An evaluation of the efficacy of the 3-month versus 6-month DUI intervention program for first offenders was mandated by AB 1916 (Torlakson). In 2004, the courts were required to refer first offenders whose BAC level is less than 0.20% to a 3-month program, and those with a BAC level of 0.20% or above, or who refuse to take a chemical test, to a 6-month program. Effective 2005, AB 1353 (Liu) increased the duration of DUI intervention programs from six to nine months for first DUI offenders on probation whose BAC level is 0.20% or greater, or who refuse to take a chemical test.

Two groups of alcohol-related reckless convictees were identified, including: 1) those who were assigned to a DUI intervention program and 2) those who were not assigned to a program. These sanctions are reported by the courts to DMV via disposition codes on the conviction abstracts. Although courts are mandated to require all alcohol-related reckless drivers to attend a DUI intervention program as a condition of probation, it was found that 37% of such offenders arrested from July 2008 through June 2009 were not assigned. This discrepancy allowed a comparison of subsequent crashes and DUI incidents between the two groups. Alcohol-related reckless convictees with "X" license numbers and those with out-of-state ZIP codes were excluded from the analysis.

In evaluating the relationship between the length of time of DUI intervention programs and DUI recidivism, first offenders arrested in 2008 that showed the 3-month and 9-month designations on their conviction abstracts were identified and selected for the analysis. Again, certain cases were excluded from the analysis: first DUI offenders with convictions of a felony, drivers with "X" license numbers, and drivers with out-of-state ZIP codes.

The records of 47% of first offenders who were referred to a DUI intervention program either did not indicate the specific length of time of the program or indicated other lengths of time that were not three or nine months. These individuals were not included in this evaluation, and the analysis is limited to first offenders who were adjudicated by the courts that were in compliance with the law. Of the total sample selected, 74% were referred to 3-month programs, while 26% were assigned to 9-month programs. In order to explore if the BAC level of first DUI offenders was associated with both referral to a DUI program with a specific length of time (three or nine months) and DUI recidivism, only DUI offenders with available information on their BAC level were included in this evaluation.

The conviction date was considered to be the "treatment date" for defining prior and subsequent driving record data, because the penalties and sanctions for the offense are typically effective as of that date. The evaluation periods for the postconviction driving measures start from the conviction date, and were: 1) one year following conviction for alcohol-related reckless offenders who were arrested from July, 2008 through June, 2009, and 2) one year following conviction for first DUI convictees who were arrested in 2008, and who were referred to 3-month and 9-month DUI programs.

A buffer period of four months was allowed between the end of the evaluation period and the date of data extraction to allow for processing and reporting of the most recent

data to DMV for both alcohol-reckless and first DUI offenders. Offenders from both of these groups who had less than the full 1-year follow-up time period (from conviction date to the end of the buffer period) were excluded from the evaluation. There were two outcome driver record measures used in these evaluations. The first outcome measure consisted of the proportion of offenders who were involved in any crash, and the second outcome measure consisted of the proportion of offenders who were involved in any DUI incident (alcohol-involved crashes, DUI convictions, APS/refusal suspensions, or DUI failures-to-appear). Only the first crash or the first DUI incident was evaluated which is not an important limitation because the incidence of repeat failures (two-or-more crashes or DUI incidents) was very low over the study time window. More importantly, analysis of repeat failures would be subject to confounding by court sanctions received in connection with the first failure incident. Therefore, this type of confounding is avoided because multiple incidents were not included in this analysis.

Evaluation Design and Analytical Procedures: Since it was not possible to randomly assign drivers to the various sanction groups, potential biases due to preexisting group differences were statistically controlled to the extent possible by using biographical data, prior driving record data, and ZIP Code indices, such as crash and traffic conviction averages for each driver's ZIP Code area (Appendix Table B5). While this “quasi-experimental” design is subject to a number of limitations, the attempt to statistically control for group differences removes at least part of the bias in group assignment and provides a more precise estimate of the relationship between type of sanction and subsequent record. It is possible, of course, that the groups also differ on characteristics not measured or reflected in covariates. The possibility of uncontrolled biases becomes particularly problematic if sanctions are commonly received by offenders through self- or judicial-selectivity (e.g., drivers of higher socio-economic status may be more likely to receive a program with license restriction and less likely to receive jail than those of lower status).

Prior driver record data were extracted for the two years preceding the DUI or alcohol-reckless conviction date. The prior driver record variables for these offenders are shown in Appendix Table B5, and since some of these driver record variables were significantly different between the two groups, they were used as covariates in the analyses to adjust for differences in the outcomes associated with these variables.

Following the extraction of covariates, simple correlations were computed between demographic variables, prior driving variables, and the outcome measures (first

subsequent crash and first subsequent DUI incident). The demographic and 2-year prior driving variables that had statistically significant correlations with the outcome measures were identified and selected as potential covariates. For each logistic regression analysis, potential interactions between the covariates and treatment/comparison groups were tested. In analyses where there are significant interactions, the levels of the covariate and treatment groups were plotted on a graph to determine if there are differential effects of DUI programs on the covariate levels. The interaction term is then typically included in the final logistic regression analyses.

For the alcohol-reckless drivers, there were no significant interactions between the covariates and the DUI program sanction on either crashes or DUI reoffenses.

DUI Program Evaluation for Drivers Convicted of Alcohol-Reckless Driving

Figure 10a and Table 16a display the results of the evaluation of the effectiveness of the DUI program on drivers convicted of alcohol-related reckless driving violations.




Figure 10a. Adjusted 1-year crash and DUI incident rates for 2008-2009 (fiscal year) alcohol-reckless drivers by type of sanction.

Total Crashes: Like the past five years' findings, the results show that assignment to the DUI intervention program does not have a significant association with 1-year subsequent crash rates of alcohol-related reckless offenders; the slight differences between the two groups may be due to chance alone. The crash rates of the 2008 alcohol-reckless drivers with no program are slightly lower (4.24 per 100 drivers) than in last year's evaluation (5.07 per 100 drivers), and lower than crash rates from the evaluations going back from 2006 to 2004 (6.14, 4.95, and 5.13, respectively). Also, the

crash rates for those involved in DUI programs are slightly lower this year (4.08 per 100 drivers) than in the previous four years' evaluations (4.24, 5.26, 5.07, and 5.24, respectively). The drop in the crash rates of both groups may reflect the overall statewide decline in crashes that occurred in 2008. These drivers who were arrested with a BAC level of 0.08% and above would have incurred an APS license suspension/restriction prior to their conviction. Table 9a shows that about 86% of the alcohol-reckless drivers had BAC levels of 0.08% and above.

TABLE 16a: THE RELATIONSHIP OF DUI PROGRAMS WITH SUBSEQUENT CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS FOR DRIVERS CONVICTED OF ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS DRIVING

YEAR	SANCTION GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	NUMBER OF CRASH-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{GRP 2 - GRP 1}{GRP 1} \times 100$	NUMBER OF DUI INCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{GRP 2 - GRP 1}{GRP 1} \times 100$
7/2008 - 6/2009 (FOLLOW-UP PERIOD = 1 YEAR)	No program	3,205	4.24	-3.8%	3.89	-16.2%
	DUI program	5,410	4.08		3.26	

Note. The formula to calculate percentage effect is revised and, therefore, not comparable to previous years.

DUI Incidents: Figure 10a and Table 16a indicate that program participants do not show a statistically different number of DUI incidents in the one year following their assignment to the DUI programs than the nonparticipants. The reoffense rate of the alcohol-reckless offenders assigned to the programs is 16.2% lower than the reoffense rate of those not assigned to the programs, but this difference is not large enough to be significant. These findings are different than last year's, but similar to findings from prior years. These results have to be viewed with some caution because random assignment to program attendance was not possible; there still remains the possibility of uncontrolled biases through self- or judicial-selectivity, even though statistical controls based on available covariates would expect to remove some of the bias.

Results of the Evaluation of the 3-Month and 9-Month DUI Programs for First DUI Offenders

Total Crashes: Figure 10b and Table 16b display the results of the evaluation of the relationship between DUI intervention programs and DUI recidivism among first DUI offenders assigned to 3-month versus 9-month programs. The results show that the length of time of the DUI program is not significantly associated with 1-year subsequent crash rates of first DUI offenders. First DUI offenders assigned to the 9-month program

have an 11.0% lower crash rate than those assigned to the 3-month program, but this difference was not sufficient to reach statistical significance. This year's findings were obtained using different statistical procedures than in the past. Nevertheless, they are consistent with prior year's results that generally did not show significant differences in 1-year subsequent crashes between the two groups.




Figure 10b. Adjusted 1-year crash and DUI incident rates for first offender drivers (arrested in year 2008) by length of DUI program.

DUI Incidents: Similarly, Figures 10b and Table 16b indicate that first DUI offenders assigned to the 3-month program do not have significantly different DUI incident rates in the one year following their assignment to the DUI programs than DUI offenders assigned to the 9-month program. The reoffense rate of those assigned to the 9-month program is only 4.7% higher than that of those assigned to the 3-month program; a difference that is, again, not large enough to be significant. In prior evaluations, results indicated that DUI offenders assigned to the 9-month program had significantly more DUI incidents than offenders assigned to the 3-month program. That was not surprising given that first DUI offenders assigned to the longer-term program have higher BAC levels (0.20% and above), and would be more likely to recidivate than DUI offenders with lower BAC levels. Therefore, in the past few years, two further subanalyses were conducted to determine whether BAC level was associated with the outcome of this evaluation. The results of these two subanalyses generally confirmed that first DUI offenders with higher BAC levels (0.20% and above) were more likely to recidivate than those with lower BAC levels. Also, when BAC level is held constant, there were no significant differences in the number of DUI incidents between DUI offenders assigned to the 3-month DUI program and those assigned to the 9-month program.

For this year's evaluation, BAC level information was included in the initial analysis as a covariate so that its effects on the outcome measures (1-year subsequent crashes and DUI incidents) were removed before assessment of the relationship between assigned program length and DUI recidivism among first DUI offenders. Therefore, when the effect of BAC level on DUI recidivism was removed, the results indicated that assignment to the extended 9-month DUI program does not appear to be associated with fewer DUI incidents than assignment to the 3-month program. This finding is comparable to prior years' evaluations.

TABLE 16b: THE RELATIONSHIP OF 3-MONTH AND 9-MONTH DUI PROGRAMS WITH SUBSEQUENT CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS AMONG FIRST DUI OFFENDERS

YEAR	SANCTION GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	NUMBER OF CRASH-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{\text{GRP 2} - \text{GRP 1}}{\text{GRP 1}} \times 100$	NUMBER OF DUI INCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{\text{GRP 2} - \text{GRP 1}}{\text{GRP 1}} \times 100$
2008 (FOLLOW-UP PERIOD = 1 YEAR)	3-month program	37,101	4.37	-11.0%	3.58	4.7%
	9-month program	13,026	3.89		3.75	

Note. For this year, the findings presented in this table were obtained using different statistical procedures and are not comparable to previous years. The formula to calculate percentage effect is revised and is also not comparable to previous years.

The effectiveness of increasing the duration of time for DUI intervention programs has also not been supported in the literature. DeYoung examined the effectiveness of lengthening SB 38 alcohol treatment programs from 12 to 18 months for second offenders and found no evidence that the additional six months contributed to reducing DUI recidivism (DeYoung, 1995). A final limitation of these analyses should be noted. Since this study only included first offenders whose conviction abstract had information on the length of DUI program, there may be additional unknown biases that this quasi-experimental design cannot rule out. However, the statistical control of group differences based on available covariates would be expected to remove at least part of the bias.

SECTION 5:
ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

SECTION 5: ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

Data on DMV administrative license disqualification actions (license suspension or revocation [S/R]) taken in DUI cases are presented below. These statutorily-mandated actions, which are taken in cases of alcohol-impaired driving, are initiated by the receipt of either a law enforcement APS report (0.08% BAC, zero tolerance, or chemical test refusal) or court abstract of conviction. It should be noted that multiple actions can result from a single DUI incident—for example, a single DUI arrest frequently will result in both an APS suspension and a (later) mandatory postconviction suspension action.

The total count of postconviction suspension/revocation actions has dramatically increased as a result of a law change (SB 1697), effective September 20, 2005, which assigned to DMV sole responsibility for imposing postconviction license actions for all DUI offenders, removing this responsibility from the courts. DMV is also responsible for issuing license restrictions to DUI offenders who meet requirements defined by the law.

This section includes the following tables:

Table 17: Mandatory DUI License Disqualification Actions, 1999-2009. This table shows preconviction (APS) and postconviction license disqualification totals from 1999 through 2009. The postconviction totals include juvenile suspensions, first-offender suspensions, second-offender suspensions and revocations, and third- and fourth-offender revocations.

Table 18: Administrative Per Se Process Measures. This table presents APS process measure data from 2007 to 2009. Since, in the past, this table showed APS process measure dates for fiscal years, its values for this year are not comparable to values from previous years.

The following statements are based on the data shown in the previously listed tables.

- The total number of DMV DUI preconviction and postconviction S/R actions increased by 61.8% over that for 1999 (see Table 17). These totals have increased markedly as of September 20, 2005 due to the law change noted above.
- In 2009, 198,851 APS license actions were taken. Of these actions, 75% were first-offender actions (including actions for zero tolerance) and 25% were repeat-offender actions (see Table 17).
- Total APS actions decreased by 1.9% in 2009, following a 5.7% increase 2008 (see Table 18).
- The number of chemical test refusal actions decreased by 7.0% in 2009, after decreasing by 2.0% in 2008. The total number of refusal actions has fallen 7.4% during the past decade (see Table 17).
- Requests for APS hearings decreased slightly from 27.2% of all APS actions in 2008 to 26.5% in 2009. In addition, the rate at which .08 APS S/R actions are set aside after a hearing continued to stay relatively stable during the past several years, from 9.8% set aside in 2007, to 8.6% set aside in 2008, to 8.7% set aside in 2009 (see Table 18).
- Since implementation of the “zero tolerance” law for minors, 275,188 suspension actions have been taken (see Table 17).

TABLE 17: MANDATORY DUI LICENSE DISQUALIFICATION ACTIONS, 1999-2009

	YEAR										
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
TOTAL MANDATORY SUSPENSION/ REVOCATION (S/R) ACTIONS	236141	240597	231217	236603	241242	239580	247568	339796 ²	362859 ²	392319 ²	382111 ²
PRECONVICTION											
Admin Per Se (APS) Actions	179332	172606	164840	165505	171470	171828	168569	185481	192213	204332	198851
.01 Zero tolerance suspensions	17775	18185	18549	19129	19949	19967	19374	22044	22112	22180	20861
.08 First-offender suspensions	119621	114997	109695	109888	114975	116022	107466	118468	123594	132266	127933
.08 Repeat-offender suspensions	38487	36147	33517	33580	33413	32903	38097	41420	42979	46388	46747
.08 Repeat-offender revocations	3449	3277	3079	2908	3133	2936	3632	3549	3528	3498	3310
Commercial driver actions	4471	4139	4013	3936	3853	3801	3525	2974	2903	3172	2924
Chemical test refusal actions	9435	9433	8841	8772	9399	9353	9599	9315	9581	9390	8737
.01 Test refusal suspensions	268	270	280	290	341	326	364	419	426	433	372
.08 Test refusal suspensions	5718	5886	5482	5547	5925	6091	5603	5347	5627	5459	5055
.08 Test refusal revocations	3449	3277	3079	2908	3133	2936	3632	3549	3528	3498	3310
POSTCONVICTION¹											
Juvenile DUI suspensions	918	741	714	896	794	838	737	941	1061	917	482
First-offender suspensions	15072	29924	31097	32716	32521	31012	39078	110525 ²	124436 ²	136480 ²	132709 ²
Misdemeanor	13401	28118	29188	30563	30298	28799	36808	108227 ²	122102 ²	133987 ²	130462 ²
Felony	1671	1806	1909	2153	2223	2213	2270	2298	2334	2493	2247
Second-offender S/R actions	31940	29097	26911	29345	28737	28400	30294	32680	34296	38266	37836
Misdemeanor	31455	28571	26334	28748	28160	27847	29699	32046	33649	37568	37155
Felony	485	526	577	597	577	553	595	634	647	658	681
Third-offender revocations	6573	6163	5727	6171	5953	5581	6720	7649	8063	9164	9187
Misdemeanor	6452	6015	5585	5996	5758	5429	6537	7424	7830	8933	8945
Felony	121	148	142	175	195	152	183	225	233	231	242
Fourth-offender revocations	2306	2066	1928	1970	1767	1921	2170	2520	2790	3200	3046
TOTAL POSTCONVICTION S/R ACTIONS	56809	67991	66377	71098	69772	67752	78999	154315 ²	170646 ²	187987 ²	183260 ²

¹These totals include suspension actions that are associated with lack of compliance with statutory requirements, and include workload counts.

²This count has increased as a result of the law change, effective 09/20/2005, which assigned to DMV the sole responsibility for imposing license actions for all DUIs and removed this responsibility from the courts.

TABLE 18: ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE PROCESS MEASURES

	2007	2008	2009
Total APS actions taken (including actions later set aside)	210,405	222,462	218,125
Total .08 ¹ APS actions set aside	16,860	16,871	18,046
Total .01 ² suspensions set aside	1,332	1,259	1,228
Net total APS actions taken (excluding actions later set aside)	192,213	204,332	198,851
Net total .08 APS actions	170,101	182,152	177,990
Net total .01 suspensions	22,112	22,180	20,861
Net APS Actions by Offender Status/License Classification:³			
Net total APS actions, noncommercial drivers	189,310	201,160	195,927
Net total commercial driver (CDL) APS actions taken	2,903	3,172	2,924
Net total actions of commercial drivers in commercial vehicles	6	32	77
Net APS .08 actions for drivers with no prior DUI convictions or APS actions ⁴	123,594	132,266	127,933
4-month license suspensions	90,518	93,813	91,370
30-day suspensions plus 5-month COE ⁵ restrictions	24,990	30,159	28,885
First-offender chemical test refusals	5,627	5,459	5,055
CDL first offender suspensions/restrictions	2,459	2,835	2,623
Net APS .08 actions taken for drivers with prior DUI convictions	46,507	49,886	50,057
Suspensions	42,979	46,388	46,747
Revocations	3,528	3,498	3,310
APS Chemical Test Refusal Process Measures:			
Total .08 and .01 APS refusal actions taken (including actions later set aside)	10,140	9,950	9,276
Total .08 refusal actions set aside	526	525	518
Total .01 refusal actions set aside	33	35	21
Net total .08 and .01 APS refusal actions (including actions later set aside)	9,581	9,390	8,737
Net total .08 refusal actions	9,155	8,957	8,365
Net total .01 refusal actions	426	433	372
Chemical test refusal rate (including actions later set aside)	4.82%	4.47%	4.25%
Net .08 APS refusal (suspension) actions for subjects with no prior DUIs	5,627	5,459	5,055
Net .08 APS refusal (revocation) actions for subjects with prior DUIs	3,528	3,498	3,310
APS Hearings⁶			
Total .08 and .01 inperson or telephone APS hearings scheduled	53,008	60,572	57,713
Percentage of total APS actions resulting in a scheduled hearing ⁷	25.2%	27.2%	26.5%
.08 hearings held and/or completed	47,834	55,135	52,866
.08 actions set aside following hearings	4,690	4,767	4,599
Percentage of .08 APS actions set aside following hearings	9.8%	8.6%	8.7%
.01 hearings held and/or completed	4,738	5,134	4,531
.01 actions set aside following hearings	528	504	448
Percentage of .01 APS actions set aside following hearings	11.1%	9.8%	9.9%
APS Chemical Test Refusal Hearings			
Total .08 and .01 APS refusal hearings scheduled	3,331	3,526	3,210
.08 APS refusal hearings held and/or completed	3,182	3,385	3,111
.08 APS refusal actions set aside following hearings	402	383	382

¹.08 refers to APS actions taken subsequent to obtaining evidence of a BAC equal to or in excess of the .08% per se level or on the basis of a chemical test refusal. Such an action is taken in conjunction with a DUI arrest.

².01 refers to APS suspensions taken against drivers under the age of 21 with BACs .01% or greater, or on the basis of a chemical test refusal, and are not necessarily taken in conjunction with a DUI arrest.

³All entries in this category exclude actions later set aside but, where possible, include actions taken on the basis of either a chemical test refusal or a BAC test result.

⁴Prior DUI convictions or APS actions consist of any such conviction or action where the violation occurred within 10 years (7 years before 1/1/05) prior to the current violation.

⁵This restriction allows driving to, from, and during the course-of-employment (enacted 1/1/95).

⁶These figures include refusal hearings but exclude Driver Safety/Investigation hearings, subsequent APS dismissal hearings and departmental reviews.

⁷Both numerator and denominator include those actions later set aside as a result of the hearing.

SECTION 6:
CRASHES INVOLVING ALCOHOL

SECTION 6: CRASHES INVOLVING ALCOHOL

This section presents data on alcohol-involved crashes, as compiled and reported by the California Highway Patrol. Only crashes involving injury or fatality are included, due to incomplete reporting of property-damage-only (PDO) crashes¹. Drivers identified as being under the influence of drugs other than alcohol are also included in the “alcohol-involved crash” category, but typically comprise less than 1% of the total. This section includes the following tables and figures:

Table 19: DUI Arrests Associated with Reported Crashes, 1998-2008. This table shows the number of DUI arrests and percentage of DUI arrests associated with reported crashes from 1998-2008.

Table 20: 2008 Had-been-drinking (HBD) Drivers Involved in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Race/Ethnicity and Sobriety Level. This table shows the law enforcement officer’s determination of sobriety and race/ethnicity for 2008 HBD drivers involved in crashes.

Table 21: 2008 Had-been-drinking (HBD) Drivers Involved in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Adjudication Status and Sobriety Level. This table cross tabulates crash sobriety codes (from law enforcement crash reports) with the court disposition for 2008 DUI convictions associated with those crashes.

Table 22: 2008 Had-been-drinking (HBD) Drivers Involved in Fatal/Injury Crashes With No Record of Conviction, by County and Sobriety Level. This table shows the number of HBD drivers involved in fatal/injury crashes without a corresponding conviction, by sobriety level, by county.

Table 23: Had-been-drinking Drivers Under Age 21 Involved in Fatal/Injury Crashes, 1998-2008. This table shows the total number of HBD fatal/injury crash-involved drivers under age 21 in California. It also shows their percentage of the total count of HBD drivers in the state, over the same time period.

¹ Among 2008 DUI arrests, 30,428 (14.2%) were associated with a reported traffic crash, with 11,896 involving an injury or fatality, and 18,532 PDO.

Tables 24a-24b: 2008 Had-been-drinking Drivers Involved in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Age and Sex (Total and Not Arrested or Convicted). These two tables show the number of 2008 HBD drivers in fatal and injury crashes by age and sex, both total (24a) and for drivers who were not arrested or convicted in conjunction with the crash (24b).

Table 24c: 2008 Had-been-drinking Drivers Involved in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Age and Type of Crash. This table cross tabulates type of crash by age group for HBD drivers involved in fatal/injury crashes.

Tables 25a-25b: 2008 Had-been-drinking (HBD) Drivers Involved in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Sobriety Level and Prior DUI Convictions (Total and Not Arrested or Convicted). These two tables show the number of 2008 HBD drivers involved in fatal and injury crashes by sobriety level and prior conviction status, both total (25a) and for drivers who were not arrested or convicted in conjunction with the crash (25b).

Tables 26a-26b: 2008 Had-been-drinking Drivers Involved in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Prior DUI Convictions (Total and Not Arrested or Convicted). These two tables show the number of 2008 HBD drivers involved in fatal and injury crashes by number of prior convictions, both total (26a) and for drivers who were not arrested or convicted in conjunction with the crash (26b).

Table 27: 2008 Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of Drivers Involved in Alcohol-Related Crashes. This table shows the mean, the median, and frequency distribution of BAC levels for HBD drivers involved in alcohol-related crashes in 2008.

Figure 11 (opposite page) shows the annual percentages of traffic injuries and fatalities that were alcohol-involved from 1999 to 2009. The numerical data for this graph are shown on the DUI summary statistics sheet at the beginning of this report.

Figure 12 (opposite page) shows the alcohol- and drug-involved fatalities from 1999 to 2009. It also shows a breakdown of the number of fatalities when only alcohol was known to be involved, when only drugs were involved, or when both alcohol and drugs were involved in the fatality.




Figure 11. Percentages of total injuries and total fatalities that were alcohol-involved, 1999-2009.




Figure 12. Alcohol- and drug-involved total fatalities, 1999-2009.

Based on these data, the following statements can be made:

- The total number of alcohol-involved traffic fatalities decreased by 6.8% in 2009, following decreases of 9.0% in 2008 and 6.8% in 2007. These three consecutive years of declines in the number of alcohol-involved traffic fatalities reversed a trend that

started in 1999. However, the proportion of traffic fatalities which are alcohol-involved continue to grow reaching 41.1% in 2009, the highest proportion in the past decade (see Figure 11 and DUI Summary Statistics).

- Drug-involved fatalities show a noticeable growing trend in the past decade, increasing by 146%, from 290 in 1999 to 713 in 2009. However, in the past four years, the number of drug-involved fatalities has declined, with 2009 showing a drop of 1.8%. Also, the greatest proportion of fatalities remains alcohol-related (see Figure 12).
- 11.2% of traffic crash injuries in 2009 were alcohol-involved, slightly lower than 11.8% in 2008 (see figure 11 and DUI Summary Statistics).
- The proportion of HBD drivers involved in fatal/injury crashes under the age of 21 increased from 10.4% in 1998 to 11.3% in 2008 (8.7% increase, see Table 23).
- 14.2% of all 2008 DUI arrests were associated with a reported traffic crash, compared to 15.3% in 2007. 5.5% of DUI arrests were associated with crashes involving injuries or fatalities, slightly lower than 6.1% in 2007 (see Table 19).
- In 39.1% of cases where a DUI offender was arrested in connection with a fatal/injury traffic crash, there is no record of any corresponding conviction. In 55.1% of these nonconvicted cases, the crash report indicated that the drivers had been drinking and that their ability was impaired (see Tables 21 and 22).
- Non-arrested or non-convicted drivers in alcohol-involved fatal/injury crashes in 2008 were less likely to have a prior conviction within 10 years for DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving than did drivers who were arrested in conjunction with the crash (see Tables 25a and 25b).
- About two-thirds (68.0%) of arrested drivers in alcohol-involved fatal crashes had no prior DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving conviction (see Table 26a). In contrast, almost two-thirds (63.8%) of drivers in alcohol-involved injury crashes had at least one prior DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving conviction.

- Among 2008 HBD drivers in fatal/injury crashes, 35.2% were involved in crashes with fixed objects, while 52.2% were involved in multiple vehicle crashes. With increasing age, the proportion of HBD drivers in fixed object crashes declined, while the proportion of HBD drivers in multiple vehicle crashes increased (see Table 24c).

TABLE 19: DUI ARRESTS ASSOCIATED WITH REPORTED CRASHES, 1998-2008

	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	188327	188523	181336	176490	177056	183560	180957	180288	197248	203866	214811
PERCENT OF DUI ARRESTS ASSOCIATED WITH CRASHES	12.9%	12.6%	13.7%	14.3%	15.0%	14.3%	14.8%	15.8%	15.5%	15.3%	14.2%
PERCENT OF DUI ARRESTS ASSOCIATED WITH CRASHES INVOLVING INJURIES/FATALITIES	5.9%	5.8%	6.4%	6.3%	6.4%	6.1%	6.2%	6.6%	6.3%	6.1%	5.5%

TABLE 20: 2008 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND SOBRIETY LEVEL*

HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS	TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY						UNKNOWN			
	N	%	WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER			
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
TOTAL	19644	100.0	8228	41.9	7761	39.5	1363	6.9	1194	6.1	1098	5.6
HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	15656	79.7	6644	42.4	6533	41.7	1041	6.6	912	5.8	526	3.4
HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-0.079%)	1587	8.1	483	30.4	477	30.1	90	5.7	65	4.1	472	29.7
HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-0.049%)	2401	12.2	1101	45.9	751	31.3	232	9.7	217	9.0	100	4.2

*For each sobriety level, percentages are based on row totals. These data are derived from the 2008 California Highway Patrol data files.

TABLE 21: 2008 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY ADJUDICATION STATUS AND SOBRIETY LEVEL*

HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS	TOTAL		TYPE OF CONVICTION						NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTIONS			
	N	%	MISDEMEANOR DUI		FELONY DUI		ALCOHOL-RECKLESS		YOUTH DUI			
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
TOTAL	17403	100.0	7973	45.8	2092	12.0	485	2.8	56	0.3	6797	39.1
HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	14166	81.4	7840	55.3	2062	14.6	477	3.4	41	0.3	3746	26.4
HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-0.079%)	890	5.1	103	11.6	22	2.5	6	0.7	1	0.1	758	85.2
HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-0.049%)	2191	12.6	23	1.0	3	0.1	2	0.1	14	0.6	2149	98.1
HNBD-HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING	33	0.2	5	15.2	2	6.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	26	78.8
NOT REPORTED	123	0.7	2	1.6	3	2.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	118	95.9

*For each sobriety level, percentages are based on row totals. These data are derived from the 2008 California Highway Patrol data files, and include only cases where the drivers license was found in the DMV Master file.

TABLE 22: 2008 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES WITH NO RECORD OF CONVICTION, BY COUNTY AND SOBRIETY LEVEL

COUNTY	TOTAL	SOBRIETY LEVEL					
		HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)		HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)		HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	6653	3746	56.3	758	11.4	2149	32.3
ALPINE	0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
ALAMEDA	279	154	55.2	35	12.5	90	32.3
AMADOR	11	6	54.5	3	27.3	2	18.2
BUTTE	35	19	54.3	4	11.4	12	34.3
CALAVERAS	21	13	61.9	3	14.3	5	23.8
COLUSA	7	3	42.9	1	14.3	3	42.9
CONTRA COSTA	136	72	52.9	13	9.6	51	37.5
DEL NORTE	7	3	42.9	2	28.6	2	28.6
EL DORADO	36	21	58.3	5	13.9	10	27.8
FRESNO	191	122	63.9	13	6.8	56	29.3
GLENN	9	8	88.9	0	0.0	1	11.1
HUMBOLDT	68	43	63.2	3	4.4	22	32.4
IMPERIAL	27	22	81.5	2	7.4	3	11.1
INYO	13	6	46.2	3	23.1	4	30.8
KERN	150	88	58.7	13	8.7	49	32.7
KINGS	27	11	40.7	5	18.5	11	40.7
LAKE	21	16	76.2	2	9.5	3	14.3
LASSEN	9	7	77.8	0	0.0	2	22.2
LOS ANGELES	1706	929	54.5	195	11.4	582	34.1
MADERA	36	23	63.9	4	11.1	9	25.0
MARIN	44	23	52.3	5	11.4	16	36.4
MARIPOSA	9	4	44.4	4	44.4	1	11.1
MENDOCINO	26	12	46.2	3	11.5	11	42.3
MERCED	63	34	54.0	8	12.7	21	33.3
MODOC	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
MONO	0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
MONTEREY	80	46	57.5	8	10.0	26	32.5
NAPA	38	19	50.0	4	10.5	15	39.5
NEVADA	25	15	60.0	2	8.0	8	32.0
ORANGE	386	200	51.8	34	8.8	152	39.4
PLACER	42	20	47.6	5	11.9	17	40.5
PLUMAS	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
RIVERSIDE	365	238	65.2	29	7.9	98	26.8
SACRAMENTO	351	189	53.8	29	8.3	133	37.9
SAN BENITO	25	20	80.0	3	12.0	2	8.0
SAN BERNARDINO	466	295	63.3	55	11.8	116	24.9
SAN DIEGO	608	325	53.5	73	12.0	210	34.5
SAN FRANCISCO	109	57	52.3	11	10.1	41	37.6
SAN JOAQUIN	158	104	65.8	15	9.5	39	24.7
SAN LUIS OBISPO	57	31	54.4	10	17.5	16	28.1
SAN MATEO	90	35	38.9	9	10.0	46	51.1
SANTA BARBARA	79	48	60.8	7	8.9	24	30.4
SANTA CLARA	170	92	54.1	34	20.0	44	25.9
SANTA CRUZ	43	20	46.5	8	18.6	15	34.9
SHASTA	28	16	57.1	3	10.7	9	32.1
SIERRA	0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
SISKIYOU	15	11	73.3	3	20.0	1	6.7
SOLANO	59	27	45.8	11	18.6	21	35.6
SONOMA	73	35	47.9	11	15.1	27	37.0
STANISLAUS	96	61	63.5	14	14.6	21	21.9
SUTTER	33	15	45.5	2	6.1	16	48.5
TEHAMA	16	5	31.3	3	18.8	8	50.0
TRINITY	8	3	37.5	3	37.5	2	25.0
TULARE	70	48	68.6	13	18.6	9	12.9
TUOLUMNE	20	10	50.0	2	10.0	8	40.0
VENTURA	174	95	54.6	29	16.7	50	28.7
YOLO	32	21	65.6	2	6.3	9	28.1
YUBA	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0

TABLE 23: HAD-BEEN-DRINKING DRIVERS UNDER AGE 21 INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES, 1998-2008

AGE		1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
TOTAL (ALL AGES)	<i>N</i>	19080	18720	19591	20530	20633	20632	20847	20818	21031	21045	19604
UNDER 18	<i>N</i>	375	354	366	375	382	376	409	351	344	369	316
	%	2.0	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.9	1.8	2.0	1.7	1.6	1.8	1.6
18-20	<i>N</i>	1608	1678	1811	1943	2016	1894	1943	1946	2226	2171	1901
	%	8.4	9.0	9.2	9.5	9.8	9.2	9.3	9.4	10.6	10.3	9.7
UNDER 21	<i>N</i>	1983	2032	2177	2318	2398	2270	2352	2297	2570	2540	2217
	%	10.4	10.9	11.1	11.3	11.6	11.0	11.3	11.0	12.2	12.1	11.3

TABLE 24a: 2008 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY AGE AND SEX*

AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%
TOTAL	19604	100.0	15205	77.6	4399	22.4
UNDER 18	316	1.6	224	70.9	92	29.1
18-20	1901	9.7	1425	75.0	476	25.0
21-30	7595	38.7	5930	78.1	1665	21.9
31-40	3629	18.5	2839	78.2	790	21.8
41-50	2986	15.2	2245	75.2	741	24.8
51-59	1597	8.1	1224	76.6	373	23.4
60-69	604	3.1	484	80.1	120	19.9
70 & ABOVE	264	1.3	191	72.3	73	27.7
AGE UNKNOWN	712	3.6	643	90.3	69	9.7

*These data are derived from the 2008 California Highway Patrol's Annual Report of Fatal and Injury Motor Vehicle Traffic Collisions.

TABLE 24b: 2008 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY AGE AND SEX (NOT ARRESTED OR CONVICTED)*

AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%
TOTAL	4287	100.0	3379	78.8	908	21.2
UNDER 18	75	1.7	55	73.3	20	26.7
18-20	315	7.3	239	75.9	76	24.1
21-30	1690	39.4	1389	82.2	301	17.8
31-40	814	19.0	654	80.3	160	19.7
41-50	670	15.6	495	73.9	175	26.1
51-59	437	10.2	337	77.1	100	22.9
60-69	185	4.3	139	75.1	46	24.9
70 & ABOVE	101	2.4	71	70.3	30	29.7

*These figures are a subset of the counts in the table above, and include only cases where the drivers license was found in the DMV Master file.

TABLE 24c: 2008 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY AGE AND TYPE OF CRASH

AGE	TOTAL	TYPE OF CRASH									
		VEH/FIXED OBJECT		VEHICLE-PEDESTRIAN		MULTIPLE VEHICLE		VEHICLE-BICYCLE		OTHER	
		<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%
TOTAL	17403	6124	35.2	341	2.0	9089	52.2	140	0.8	1709	9.8
UNDER 18	284	154	54.2	3	1.1	96	33.8	0	0.0	31	10.9
18-20	1779	791	44.5	23	1.3	738	41.5	9	0.5	218	12.3
21-30	6948	2690	38.7	101	1.5	3496	50.3	37	0.5	624	9.0
31-40	3334	1076	32.3	76	2.3	1820	54.6	22	0.7	340	10.2
41-50	2768	815	29.4	62	2.2	1579	57.0	32	1.2	280	10.1
51-59	1498	408	27.2	44	2.9	876	58.5	24	1.6	146	9.7
60-69	556	141	25.4	18	3.2	328	59.0	10	1.8	59	10.6
70 & ABOVE	236	49	20.8	14	5.9	156	66.1	6	2.5	11	4.7

TABLE 25a: 2008 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY SOBRIETY LEVEL AND PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS*

HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS	TOTAL		PRIORS IN TEN YEARS									
	N	%	NO DUI PRIORS		ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	17403	100.0	6603	37.9	8160	46.9	2002	11.5	508	2.9	130	0.7
HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	14166	81.4	3850	27.2	7776	54.9	1921	13.6	490	3.5	129	0.9
HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-0.079%)	890	5.1	657	73.8	179	20.1	42	4.7	11	1.2	1	0.1
HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-0.049%)	2191	12.6	1969	89.9	182	8.3	34	1.6	6	0.3	0	0.0
HNBD-HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING	33	0.2	25	75.8	5	15.2	2	6.1	1	3.0	0	0.0
NOT REPORTED	123	0.7	102	82.9	18	14.6	3	2.4	0	0.0	0	0.0

*These data are derived from the California Highway Patrol's files on alcohol-involved crashes and include only those cases with available driver license numbers.

TABLE 25b: 2008 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY SOBRIETY LEVEL AND PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NOT ARRESTED OR CONVICTED)*

HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS	TOTAL		PRIORS IN TEN YEARS									
	N	%	NO DUI PRIORS		ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	4287	100.0	3712	86.6	445	10.4	105	2.4	19	0.4	6	0.1
HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	1394	32.5	1121	80.4	199	14.3	57	4.1	11	0.8	6	0.4
HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-0.079%)	697	16.3	598	85.8	78	11.2	17	2.4	4	0.6	0	0.0
HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-0.049%)	2056	48.0	1869	90.9	153	7.4	30	1.5	4	0.2	0	0.0
HNBD-HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING	23	0.5	22	95.7	1	4.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
NOT REPORTED	117	2.7	102	87.2	14	12.0	1	0.9	0	0.0	0	0.0

*These figures are a subset of the counts in the table above.

TABLE 26a: 2008 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS¹

HBD DRIVERS INVOLVED IN CRASHES	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN TEN YEARS											
					ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS					
					N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%				
TOTAL	17403	100.0	6603	37.9	8160	46.9	2002	11.5	508	2.9	130	0.7				
WITH FATALITIES	940 ²	5.4	639	68.0	243	25.9	50	5.3	7	0.7	1	0.1				
WITH INJURIES	16463	94.6	5964	36.2	7917	48.1	1952	11.9	501	3.0	129	0.8				

¹These data are derived from the California Highway Patrol's files on alcohol involved crashes and include only those cases with available driver license numbers.
²The records of 76.1% (715) of the HBD drivers indicated they were deceased.

TABLE 26b: 2008 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NOT ARRESTED OR CONVICTED)¹

HBD DRIVERS INVOLVED IN CRASHES	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN TEN YEARS											
					ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS					
					N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%				
TOTAL	4287	100.0	3712	86.6	445	10.4	105	2.4	19	0.4	6	0.1				
WITH FATALITIES	707 ²	16.5	564	79.8	113	16.0	24	3.4	5	0.7	1	0.1				
WITH INJURIES	3580	83.5	3148	87.9	332	9.3	81	2.3	14	0.4	5	0.1				

¹These figures are a subset of the counts in the table above.
²The records of 90.1% (637) of these cases indicated they were deceased.

TABLE 27: 2008 REPORTED¹ BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF DRIVERS INVOLVED IN ALCOHOL-RELATED CRASHES

BAC LEVEL (%)	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
.00	129	1.1
.01	50	0.4
.02	64	0.5
.03	78	0.7
.04	86	0.7
.05	119	1.0
.06	189	1.6
.07	232	2.0
.08	342	2.9
.09	454	3.8
.10	478	4.0
.11	464	3.9
.12	603	5.1
.13	661	5.6
.14	631	5.3
.15	726	6.1
.16	716	6.1
.17	736	6.2
.18	709	6.0
.19	665	5.6
.20	604	5.1
.21	504	4.3
.22	468	4.0
.23	367	3.1
.24	330	2.8
.25	287	2.4
.26	235	2.0
.27	193	1.6
.28	145	1.2
.29	116	1.0
.30	98	0.8
.31	86	0.7
.32	75	0.6
.33	54	0.5
.34	35	0.3
.35	21	0.2
.36	22	0.2
.37	15	0.1
.38	14	0.1
.39	12	0.1
.40	6	0.1
.41	8	0.1
.42	6	0.1
.43	3	0.0
.44	2	0.0
.45	1	0.0
.47	1	0.0
.48	1	0.0
TOTAL	11841	100.0
	MEAN ² BAC .16	
	MEDIAN ² BAC .17	

¹The source of BAC data is the APS reporting form for convicted alcohol-crash drivers (63.7% of the records showed BAC levels).²The calculation of the mean and median BAC level does not include zero BAC levels which could be related to drivers driving under the influence of drugs

DATA SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS

DUI Arrest Data:

Arrest data are reported to the Department of Justice (DOJ), Criminal Justice Statistics Center, by individual law enforcement agencies throughout the state. As such, these data are subject to reporting errors such as incorrect names, birthdates, or arrest dates. Nonreporting of arrest data due to error or omission can also occur; for example, in 1995 the Oakland Police Department reported no DUI arrests, after reporting 960 such arrests in 1994. In addition, when data are entered into DOJ's Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system, only the highest-order offense is included. Therefore, in cases where a DUI arrest is made in conjunction with, for example, an auto theft arrest, that DUI arrest will not be included in the database. This results in a slight but systematic underreporting of the number of DUI arrests annually.

DUI Conviction Data:

Abstracts of conviction for DUI and other traffic-related offenses are reported to the DMV by courts throughout the state. As abstracts are received (either hard copy or through direct electronic access from the courts) they are entered onto the DMV driver record database. Abstracts without an identifying driver license number are run through the automated name index (ANI) system in order to match the abstract with an existing driver record; in cases where no such match can be made, an "X"-numbered record is created to store the abstract. The total number of DUI abstracts of conviction received by DMV from the courts is tallied monthly and annually. Since this workload total includes abstracts which amend, correct, or dismiss prior abstracts of conviction, it tends to overestimate the actual number of convictions which have occurred. Conviction data are also subject to reporting and nonreporting errors similar to those for DUI arrests. Although the 1993 Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System documented the fact that thousands of DUI convictions appearing in court records did not appear on the DMV driver record database, an upcoming study by DMV's Justice and Government Branch will document the current level of discrepancy.

Alcohol-Involved Crash Data:

Crash data are reported to the California Highway Patrol (CHP) by local law enforcement agencies and district offices of the CHP. As such, these data are subject to reporting and nonreporting errors similar to those occurring in both DUI arrest and conviction data. While most local law enforcement agencies will investigate and file reports on crashes involving injury or death, the investigation and reporting of property-damage-only crashes varies widely by local jurisdiction. Data are entered onto CHP's Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS) and published in their annual report.

HISTORY OF MAJOR DUI LAWS IN CALIFORNIA SINCE 1975

AB 1601 (Hill), effective 1/1/2012, authorizes the court to order a 10-year revocation of the driver license of a person who has been convicted of three or more DUI offenses if the court considers certain factors. This bill also allows a person whose driver license is revoked for 10 years to apply to DMV for driver license reinstatement, five years from the date of the last DUI conviction, if certain conditions are met; these conditions include, among other things, that the person was not convicted of any other drug- or alcohol-related offenses during the driver license revocation period.

SB 895 (Huff), effective 6/22/2010, provides clean-up legislation for SB 598. This bill terminates the 1-year Administrative Per Se (APS) license suspension if the person has been convicted of a DUI as stated under SB 598, and the person meets all specified conditions for a restricted driver license including the installation of an ignition interlock device (IID).

AB 91 (Feuer), effective 7/01/2010, establishes a pilot program in four counties (Alameda, Los Angeles, Sacramento, and Tulare) that requires convicted first-time and repeat DUI offenders, as a condition of obtaining a restricted driver's license, to install an ignition interlock device (IID) on all vehicles they own or operate. The required time period for the IID installation is based on the number of prior DUI convictions. The law also requires the Department of Motor Vehicles to evaluate the effectiveness of the pilot program in reducing the recidivism rate of DUI offenders and to report its findings to the legislature.

SB 598 (Huff), effective 7/01/2010, requires the Department of Motor Vehicles to advise second and third offenders convicted of misdemeanor DUI (alcohol only), of the option of obtaining a restricted driver's license after completing a 90-day suspension period for a second misdemeanor DUI, or a 6-month suspension period for a third misdemeanor DUI. The issuance of a restricted driver's license is subject to certain conditions, among which are the installation and maintenance of an ignition interlock device (IID) in any vehicle that the offender owns or operates, and enrollment in a DUI program.

SB 1388 (Torlakson), effective 7/1/2009, transfers regulatory authority for the administration of mandatory ignition interlock device (IID) programs from the state courts to the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV). This law also authorizes the

DMV to require any driver convicted of driving with a suspended license, due to a prior conviction for DUI, to install an IID in any vehicle that the offender owns or operates.

SB 1190 (Oropeza), effective 1/1/2009, reduces the blood alcohol level (BAC) at which the court may require first time offenders convicted of a DUI to install an ignition interlock device (IID) from 0.20% to 0.15% at the time of arrest.

AB 2802 (Houston), effective 1/1/2009, requires the court to order a person convicted of alcohol-reckless driving to participate in a licensed DUI program for at least nine months, if that person has a prior conviction for alcohol-reckless driving or DUI within 10 years. This law requires the court to revoke the person's probation for failure to enroll in, participate in, or complete the program. It also requires the Department of Motor Vehicles to include in the annual report to the Legislature an evaluation of the effectiveness of that program.

AB 1165 (Maze), effective 1/1/2009, authorizes law enforcement to issue a notice of suspension and impound the vehicle of a convicted DUI offender, who is on probation and is driving with a BAC of 0.01% or greater (as measured by a preliminary alcohol screen test or other chemical test).

SB 1756 (Migden), effective 1/1/2007, extends driver's license suspension from 6 to 10 months for a person convicted of a first DUI offense, who is granted probation, and whose blood alcohol level (BAC) is 0.20% or greater, or who refuses to take a chemical test.

AB 2520 (Committee on Transportation), effective 1/1/2007, requires the DMV to immediately suspend (APS action) the commercial driver's license of a driver operating a commercial vehicle with a blood alcohol level (BAC) of 0.04% or greater.

AB 2559 (Benoit), effective 1/1/2007, reorganizes the section of the penal code 192 (c) (3) related to gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated, to include the offense where the intoxication was a contributing factor in the killing.

AB 2752 (Spitzer), effective 1/1/2007, makes it an infraction for a person under the age of 21 to drive with any measurable (0.01% or greater) blood alcohol concentration.

Persons under the age of 21 will now be subject to criminal penalties.

AB 3045 (Koretz), effective 1/1/2007, requires the DMV to verify installment of an ignition interlock device (IID) before reinstating the driving privilege, when an IID restriction is imposed by the courts.

SB 207 (Scott), effective 1/1/2006, establishes a statewide administrative vehicle impoundment program for repeat DUI offenders, when the driver's BAC level is 0.10% or more by weight, or when the driver refuses to submit to a chemical test. If the driver has one prior DUI conviction within the past 10 years, his/her vehicle shall be impounded for five days, and if the driver has two or more prior DUI convictions within the past 10 years, his/her vehicle shall be impounded for 15 days.

SB 547 (Cox), effective 1/1/2006, establishes a pilot program in Sacramento County that would authorize a peace officer to impound a person's vehicle for up to 30 days, if the driver has one or more prior DUI convictions within the past 10 years. Vehicle impoundment will take place in combination with a DUI intervention program established by the county. This bill shall remain operative until January 1, 2009, and would require the county to report the effectiveness of the pilot program to the Legislature.

SB 571 (Levine), effective 1/1/2006, lowers the blood alcohol level (BAC) at which the court must consider enhanced penalties from 0.20% to 0.15%, if a person is convicted of DUI.

AB 979 (Runner), effective 1/1/2006, reduces the mandatory suspension/revocation period, from a 12- to 30-month range to 12 months for repeat DUI offenders, before they become eligible to obtain a restricted driver's license. The license restriction requires the installation of an ignition interlock device (IID). This bill allows for a mandatory 30-day vehicle impoundment period if a person is operating the vehicle in violation of the ignition interlock device restriction.

AB 1353 (Liu), effective 9/20/2005, increases the duration of DUI programs from six to nine months (consisting of at least 60 hours of program activities) for first DUI offenders, who are granted probation, and whose blood alcohol content (BAC) is 0.20% or greater, or who refuse to take a chemical test.

SB 1694 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/2005, increases the time period from 7 to 10 years during which arrests and/or convictions of DUI will be counted as prior offenses for enhanced penalties (includes DUI convictions of persons under age 21). This new law also requires the court to order a person convicted of a prior DUI to complete a DUI program, even though that prior conviction occurred more than 10 years ago, and authorizes the court to order the person to complete a repeat offender DUI program. Finally, it expands court-ordered participation in a county alcohol/drug assessment program to all persons convicted of a repeat DUI offense within 10 years of a prior offense.

SB 1696 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/2005, requires the DUI program providers to send proof of enrollment in, or proof of completion of, the programs directly to DMV Headquarters, and prohibits the DMV from receiving the certificates from program participants.

SB 1697 (Torlakson), effective 9/20/2005, assigns sole responsibility for imposing driver license actions for DUI arrests and convictions to DMV, and removes this responsibility from the courts. It also ensures that all persons convicted of a DUI will receive a license restriction, suspension, or revocation of the driving privilege.

SB 408 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/2004, prohibits the DMV (for cases showing a "critical need to drive") from issuing a restricted drivers license to minors convicted of DUI with a BAC of 0.01% or greater if the minor has other zero tolerance or DUI convictions within seven years of the current violation.

AB 1078 (Jackson), effective 1/1/2002, removes the 10-year limit on certain vehicular manslaughter convictions, resulting in the permanent retention of these violations on the driver's record. These convictions would be considered by the court as "priors" for enhancing penalties upon subsequent conviction for DUI.

AB 803 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/2001, requires the court to order a person who is at least 18 years of age who is convicted of a first violation of DUI with 0.05% or more, by weight, of alcohol to attend the educational component of a licensed DUI program; upon a second or subsequent conviction, the court is required to order the person, in addition to other penalties, to attend a 30-hour DUI program. If the person's license is suspended, the DMV cannot reinstate the driving privilege until

the person provides proof of having completed the program as specified.

AB 1650 (Assembly Transportation Committee), effective 1/1/2000, is a committee bill intended to deal with transportation issues more efficiently by clarifying and making technical changes. This bill authorizes the DMV to impose a driver license suspension on those convicted of DUI in a water vessel involving injury; this remedies an oversight in existing law which provides for sanctions against drivers convicted of DUI in a water vessel without injury, but does not specify sanctions for cases involving injury.

AB 762 (Torlakson), effective 7/1/1999, extends the suspension period for a second-DUI offender from 18 months to 2 years, but allows the second offender to serve 12 months of the license suspension period, followed by a restricted license, with continued enrollment in a DUI program and installation of an ignition interlock device; requires persons convicted of driving with a suspended or revoked license, where that suspension or revocation was based on prior DUI convictions, to install the ignition interlock device for a period not to exceed three years or until the driving privilege is reinstated, and requires DMV to study and report on the effectiveness of these devices. Judges are also encouraged to order installation of an ignition interlock device for first-time DUI offenders if there are aggravating factors such as high blood alcohol readings (0.20% or above), chemical test refusal, numerous traffic violations, or injury crashes. This law requires that upon a first DUI conviction, if a court grants probation, 1) the person's driving privilege shall be suspended for six months by the DMV, in addition to other penalties, or 2) the person may operate a motor vehicle restricted for 90 days, to and from work and DUI program, if the person establishes proof of financial responsibility and complies with other penalties and fees.

SB 24 (Committee on Public Safety), effective 7/1/1999, cleans up AB 762, AB 1916, and SB 1186. This law requires the DMV to revoke for one year the driving privilege of any ignition interlock device-restricted driver who is convicted of driving a vehicle not equipped with an ignition interlock device (IID) under authority section 23247(g); requires the department to suspend or revoke the driving privilege of any IID-restricted driver [under section 23246(g)] if notified by an installation facility that the driver attempted to bypass, tamper with, or remove the device, or has three or more times failed to comply with calibration or servicing requirements of the

device; amends certain sections to specify that completion of a DUI program equals enrollment, participation, and completion subsequent to the date of the current violation.

SB 1186 (Committee on Public Safety), effective 7/1/1999, reorganizes specified provisions relating to DUI-related statutes by amending, repealing, and/or renumbering the DUI-related sections without making substantive changes to the statutes.

SB 1176 (Johnson), effective 1/1/1999, requires that, upon a conviction of an alcohol-related reckless driving charge, the courts order enrollment in an alcohol and drug education program as a condition of probation. This bill also requires an evaluation by the DMV of the effectiveness of the program and a discussion of the findings in its annual report to the Legislature.

SB 1890 (Hurt), effective 1/1/1999, deletes the choice of the urine test from the options for chemical tests relating to operating a vehicle under the influence of alcohol, unless both the blood and breath tests are unavailable or where there is a condition that warrants the use of the urine test.

AB 1916 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/1999, provides that the court shall, as a condition of probation, order a first offender whose BAC level is less than 0.20%, by weight, to participate for at least three months (minimum 30 hours) or longer in a licensed education/counseling program; if the BAC level is equal to 0.20% or more, by weight, or the person refused to take a chemical test, the court shall order the person to participate for at least six months or longer in a program consisting of 45 hours of education/counseling activities; requires the DMV to submit an annual report to the Legislature on the efficacy of the increased drug and alcohol intervention programs; requires repeat offenders who have twice failed the programs to participate in a county alcohol and drug problem assessment program, and requires each county, beginning 1/1/2000, to prepare, or contract to be prepared, an alcohol and drug assessment report on each person ordered by the court to participate in an alcohol and drug assessment program.

AB 130 (Battin), effective 1/1/1998, requires that any person guilty of a felony or misdemeanor DUI within 10 years of a prior felony offense be designated as a

habitual traffic offender for a 3-year period and have their driver license revoked for four years.

SB 1177 (Johnson), effective 1/1/1998, requires that anyone convicted of a second or subsequent DUI within seven years of a separate DUI, alcohol-related reckless driving, or DUI with bodily injury violation, be ordered to enroll, participate in, and complete a DUI treatment program, subject to the latest violation, as a condition of probation. The person is not to be given credit for any treatment program activities prior to the date of the current violation.

AB 1985 (Speier), effective 1/1/1997, cited as "Courtney's Law"; provides that a person convicted of gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated and who has one or more prior convictions of vehicular manslaughter or multiple prior DUI convictions shall be punished by imprisonment in the state prison for a term of 15 years to life. Also, any person fleeing the scene of a crime after committing specified vehicle offenses which resulted in death, serious injury, or great bodily injury is subject to an additional 5-year prison enhancement.

SB 1579 (Leonard), effective 1/1/1997, permits DMV to suspend a driver license on a first Failure to Appear (FTA) for DUI, and establishes an enhanced audit and tracking system to compare DUI arrests with subsequent actions.

SB 833 (Kopp), effective 1/1/1996, permits peace officers to seize and cause the removal of a vehicle, without arresting the driver, when the vehicle was being operated by a person whose driving privilege was suspended or revoked or who had never been issued a license; requires an impounding agency to send a notice by certified, return receipt requested mail, to the legal owner of a vehicle that is impounded, and specifies under what conditions an impounded vehicle may be released to the legal owner.

AB 3148 (Katz), effective 6/30/1995, prescribes procedures for the forfeiture of a motor vehicle if the driver of the vehicle has a prior conviction for driving while unlicensed or suspended/revoked, and if the driver is the registered owner of the vehicle.

AB 321 (Connolly), effective 1/1/1995, allows juveniles cited for driving under the influence, with a BAC of 0.05% or more, by weight (Section 23140), to be charged

with vehicular manslaughter (Penal Code (PC) 192) or gross vehicular manslaughter (PC 191.5) if they violate these laws.

SB 1295 (Lockyer), effective 1/1/1995, requires every person convicted of a first DUI offense to submit proof of completion of a treatment program within a time period set by the department; requires the department to suspend the driving privilege for noncompliance, prohibits reinstatement until proof of completion is received by the department; enhances the required administrative driving privilege revocation for a minor who refuses to take or fails to complete a preliminary alcohol screening (PAS) test, to two years revocation for the second offense in seven years and three years revocation for the third and subsequent offenses; applies the CVC section 23140 to drivers under age 21 (previously under age 18), making it unlawful to drive with a 0.05% BAC level or greater.

SB 1758 (Kopp), effective 1/1/1995, permits a noncommercial driver, 21 years of age or older, who was arrested for a first APS DUI offense, who took a chemical test, and enrolled in an alcohol treatment program, to also obtain a restricted driver license, valid for driving to and from and during the course of that person's employment, after serving 30 days of the suspension period. The total time period for suspension/restriction shall be six months, rather than four months. Suspended/revoked and unlicensed drivers who drive are subject to having their vehicles towed and impounded for 30 days.

AB 2639 (Friedman), effective 9/30/1994, repeals the statutes which authorized discretionary IID orders (23235), although part of the repealed statutes were incorporated into the sections establishing mandatory orders (section 23246 et seq.). Previously, the discretionary IID orders applied to all DUI offenders, but now they apply only to first DUI offenders. For third and subsequent offenders, the statutes are amended to clarify that the court must require proof of installation of the device before issuing an order granting a restricted license. Some of the exemptions to the IID orders were revised.

SB 126 (Lockyer), effective 1/1/1994, amends CVC 23161 to provide that if the court orders a 90-day restriction for a first offender, the restriction shall begin on the date of the reinstatement of the person's privilege to drive following the 4-month administrative suspension; as part of the sentencing of repeat DUI offenders, 23161

requires an ignition interlock device to remain on the vehicle for one to three years after restoration of the driving privilege; specifies that the person cannot operate a motor vehicle when the driving privilege is suspended or revoked even if the vehicle is equipped with an ignition interlock device; requires second offenders who have been suspended for 18 months to provide proof of financial responsibility and proof of successful completion of an alcohol or drug program in order to reinstate their license privilege, includes violation of 23140 for administrative suspension for minors driving with 0.05% BAC or greater.

SB 689 (Kopp), effective 1/1/1994, prohibits a person under 21 years of age from driving with a BAC of 0.01% or greater, as measured by a PAS test; violators receive a 1-year license suspension. A person under the age of 21 who refuses the PAS test will be suspended for one year.

AB 2851 (Friedman), effective 7/1/1993, requires anyone convicted of a second DUI within seven years of a prior conviction to install an IID on all their vehicles. The device must be maintained for a period of one to three years. Proof of installation must be provided to the court or probation officer within 30 days of conviction. If proof is not provided, the DMV will revoke the license for one year. Exceptions to installing a device are for medical problems, use of vehicle in emergencies, and driving the employer's vehicle during employment.

AB 3580 (Farr), effective 7/1/1993, changes the effective date of APS suspension from 45 to 30 days after the notice is given.

SB 1600 (Bergeson), effective 9/26/1992, provides that DMV is required to suspend or revoke the licenses of those who drop out of an alcohol treatment program a second time.

AB 37 (Katz), effective 1/1/1992, combines elements of the formal and informal review hearing into a single hearing for those who were suspended under the APS laws, and provides that DMV need not stay a suspension or revocation pending review, if the hearing followed suspension or revocation for refusing a chemical test for alcohol or for driving with a BAC of 0.08% or more.

SB 185 (Thompson), effective 1/1/1992, amends Section 14602 to authorize the court to order the motor vehicle impounded for up to 6 months for a first conviction, and up to 12 months for a second or subsequent conviction of any of the following offenses: driving with a suspended or revoked license, violation of 2800.2 or .3 (evading a peace officer in a reckless manner, causing injury or death), within seven years of a violation of 23103, 23152, 23153, or PCs 191.5 or 192(c).

AB 2040 (Farr), effective 9/28/1990, repeals previous statutes authorizing the installation of ignition interlock devices in DUI cases. This urgency statute authorizes the installation of such devices in all DUI cases, permits the court to grant subjects revoked for 3-or-more DUI-related violations a restricted license after 24 months of the revocation have passed. The restricted license is conditioned on satisfactory completion of 18 months of an alcohol treatment program, submission of proof of financial responsibility, and agreement to have an ignition interlock device installed in their vehicles. Courts are authorized to reduce the minimum DUI fine to allow the person to pay the costs of the device.

SB 1150 (Lockyer), effective 7/26/1990, provides clean-up legislation for APS; lowers the BAC level from 0.10% to 0.08%, requires proof of financial responsibility to reinstate from any APS suspension or revocation action, increases sanctions for implied consent refusals (1-year license suspension for no priors or APS actions, 2-year license revocation for one prior or APS action, and 3-year revocation for two or more prior DUI offenses or APS actions), and authorizes suspension or revocation actions taken under 13353 and 13353.2 CVC to be considered as priors.

SB 1623 (Lockyer), effective 7/1/1990, establishes authority for a peace officer to serve a notice of suspension or revocation (administrative per se or APS) personally on a person arrested for a DUI offense, to take possession of the driver license for forwarding to the department, and to issue a 45-day temporary operating permit; provides for an administrative review of the order, for an administrative hearing, and for a judicial review of the hearing, and provides for a fee, not to exceed \$100, to be assessed upon the return of the driver license.

AB 757 (Friedman), effective 1/1/1990, requires the DMV to establish and maintain a DUI data and recidivism tracking system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention

programs for persons convicted of DUI. Annual reports are to be made to the Legislature.

SB 310 (Seymour), effective 1/1/1990, authorizes the courts to sell the vehicles of those registered owners who are found in violation of PCs 191.5 or 192(c3), CVC 23152 which occurred within seven years of two or more convictions of 23152 or 23153, or a violation of 23153 which occurred within seven years of one or more convictions of 23152 or 23153 or the cited PC sections.

SB 408 (Leonard), effective 1/1/1990, modifies AB 7 (Hart) to establish a BAC level of 0.08% or higher as per se evidence of impaired driving.

SB 1119 (Seymour), effective 1/1/1990 for vessel provisions and 1/1/1992 for commercial driver provisions, prohibits the operation of a commercial vehicle by a person with a BAC of 0.04% or above; requires a commercial vehicle driver to be ordered out of service for 24 hours if found with a BAC at or above 0.01%, but less than 0.04%; establishes separate penalties for refusing to take or complete a chemical test based on the type of vehicle involved. Under this bill, a conviction of operating a vessel while under the influence of alcohol or drugs would also be treated as a DUI prior for driver license sanctions.

SB 1344 (Seymour), effective 1/1/1990, requires statewide implementation of 12-week (30-hour) first-offender alcohol education and counseling programs, and requires state licensing of such programs. This bill also adds six months of monitoring and follow-up to second offender programs, resulting in 18-month programs. It requires that DMV evaluate program effects on recidivism and report the findings to the Legislature.

SB 1902 (Davis), effective 1/1/1990, prohibits DMV from issuing or renewing a driver license unless the applicant agrees in writing to comply with a blood, breath, or urine test. This bill also designates drivers convicted of a third or subsequent DUI within seven years as "habitual traffic offenders."

AB 3134 (Harris), effective 1/1/1989, allows the fourth DUI within seven years to be charged as a felony or misdemeanor. The term of imprisonment to state prison or

county jail is not less than 180 days and not more than one year. Allows for second offenders to attend either a 1-year or 30-month treatment program.

AB 3563 (Killea), effective 1/1/1989, authorizes the court to order DMV to suspend, revoke, or delay the driving privilege of a minor failing to show proof of completion of a court-ordered alcohol education program when convicted of CVC 23140.

SB 1300 (Campbell), effective 1/1/1989, amends CVC 13202.5 to allow courts to suspend the license of a person under the age of 21 (changed from age 18) for one year, or delay the driving privilege of those 13 years or older, upon conviction of various alcohol and drug offenses, including open container violations.

SB 1964 (Robbins), effective 1/1/1989, requires all first DUI offenders to file proof of insurance when applying for a restricted license or for reinstatement of the driving privilege following a period of license suspension.

SB 885 (Royce), effective 1/1/1988, requires that a person who was granted probation for a second DUI offense to show proof of financial responsibility in order to be eligible for the 1-year restricted license.

SB 1365 (Seymour), effective 1/1/1988, establishes a 30-month alcohol treatment program as an alternative to the 12-month program for third and subsequent DUI offenders, in counties where such a program exists. In these cases, imprisonment in the county jail shall be imposed for at least 30 days, but not more than one year, in lieu of the 120-day minimum jail term.

AB 2558 (Duffy), effective 1/1/1987, provides that gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated is punishable in the state prison for 4, 6, or 10 years. Former PC 192(c3) was deleted and incorporated into 191.5(a).

AB 2831 (Killea), effective 1/1/1987, makes it unlawful for a minor to drive with a BAC of 0.05% or more (CVC 23140). A conviction of this violation requires completion of an alcohol education program or alcohol-related community service program.

SB 2206 (Watson), effective 1/1/1987, authorizes a county to develop and administer an alcohol and drug problem-assessment program, which could include a pre-sentence

alcohol and drug problem-assessment report for persons convicted under CVC 23152 or 23153, and referral to treatment program with follow-up tracking.

SB 2344 (Lockyer), effective 1/1/1987, extends the sentencing period for prior DUIs from five to seven years, and specifies a 3- to 5-year probation term for a DUI conviction.

SB 3939 (Farr), effective 1/1/1987, authorizes courts to order the installation of IID for repeat offenders in four counties, and establishes a pilot project to evaluate the effectiveness of the devices.

SB 925 (Seymour), effective 7/1/1986, extends the period of license suspension for second-misdemeanor offenders from one year to 18 months, and also requires that offenders with three-or-more DUI convictions show proof of treatment completion in order to have their licenses reinstated.

AB 144 (Naylor), effective 9/29/1985, requires the court to take into consideration in a DUI case a blood alcohol concentration of 0.20% percent or above, or a refusal to take a chemical test, as special factors in the enhancing of penalties for sentencing or to impose additional terms and conditions of probation.

SB 1441 (Petris), effective 1/1/1985, requires a 3-year license revocation for persons with two-or-more DUI or alcohol-related reckless convictions within five years of refusing a chemical test.

SB 1522 (Alquist), effective 1/1/1985, retains existing law for first offenders, which authorizes courts to impound a vehicle at the registered owner's expense for up to 30 days if the driver was convicted of DUI pursuant to CVC 23152 or 23153. The same time period for impoundment is required for second offenses within five years. For third-and-subsequent offenses, the vehicle can be impounded at the registered owner's expense for up to 90 days. Exceptions to the required impoundment arise "where the interests of justice would best be served by not ordering impoundment." Another limitation is that no vehicle driven by a class 3 or 4 licensee is subject to impoundment if another person has a community property interest in the vehicle, and it is the only vehicle available to the driver's family.

AB 624 (Moorhead), effective 1/1/1984, requires a 1-year license revocation for minors (up to age 18) for a DUI conviction (Sections 23152, 23153 CVC).

SB 1601 (Sieroty), effective 7/1/1982, modifies AB 541 provisions by requiring that SB 38 participants establish proof of insurance in order to remove the license restriction at the end of six months. In addition, SB 38 participants who dropped out of the program are given two more opportunities to reenroll, instead of receiving an immediate license suspension. Program providers are also required to report dropouts directly to DMV.

AB 7 (Hart), effective 1/1/1982, makes it a misdemeanor under CVC 23152(b) to drive a vehicle with a BAC level of 0.10% or higher. Drivers with lower BAC levels (0.05%-0.09%) can be convicted of DUI when sufficient behavioral evidence of impairment is apparent.

AB 541 (Moorhead), effective 1/1/1982, establishes that under CVC 23152(a), driving under the influence of an alcoholic beverage or drugs or their combined influence is a misdemeanor, while felony charges are filed under CVC 23153, and alcohol-related reckless charges are filed under CVC 23103.5. A conviction under 23103.5 constitutes a prior for a second offense (but not for third offenses). The penalties imposed are a 90-day license restriction (work- and treatment-related driving only) and referral to an alcohol education program for most first offenders; a 1-year license restriction for second offenders who enroll in an approved 12-month alcohol treatment (SB 38) program. First offenders not placed on probation receive a 6-month license suspension. Second offenders not assigned to an alcohol program are suspended for one year. A minimum jail term of 48 hours is mandatory for all repeat offenders, and a minimum fine of \$390 is assessed for all DUI offenses. Offenders with three-or-more DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving convictions receive a 3-year license revocation along with a jail term and fine, and a small proportion are referred to a 12-month SB 38 program. Enrollment in the program cannot be substituted for license revocation. The period defining prior DUIs changes from seven to five years. Convictions of a DUI offense with bodily injury or fatality, when prosecuted as a felony, continue to result in more severe penalties (such as longer license actions and jail terms) than the misdemeanor offenses. The only change in the 1982 law for felony second offenders is that those participating in

the SB 38 program will receive a license suspension for one year and a license restriction for two years.

SB 38 (Gregorio), effective 1/1/1978, extends the pilot 12-month alcohol treatment program for repeat offenders statewide.

SB 330 (Gregorio), effective 1/1/1976, permits repeat DUI offenders in four counties to participate in a 12-month pilot alcohol treatment program in lieu of the usual 12-month suspension or 3-year revocation.

REFERENCES

- Automobile Club of Southern California, California Highway Patrol, California State Automobile Association, & Department of Motor Vehicles. (2009). *Digest of Traffic Legislation*. Sacramento: Authors.
- California Department of Motor Vehicles. (1984-87). *An evaluation of the California drunk driving countermeasure system. Volumes 1-8*. Sacramento: Author.
- California Highway Patrol. (n.d.). *2008 annual report of fatal and injury motor vehicle traffic collisions*. Retrieved July 14, 2010, from <http://www.chp.ca.gov/switrs/index.html>
- DeYoung, D. J. (1995). *An evaluation of the effectiveness of California drinking driver programs* (Report No. 146). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- DeYoung, D. J. (1997). *An evaluation of the specific deterrent effect of vehicle impoundment on suspended, revoked and unlicensed drivers in California* (Report No. 171). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- DeYoung, D. J., Peck, R. C., & Helander, C. J. (1999). Estimating the exposure and fatal crash rates of suspended/revoked and unlicensed drivers in California. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 29(1), 17-23.
- Helander, C. J. (1989). *Development of a California DUI management information system* (Report No. 121). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Los Angeles County Municipal Courts Planning and Research Unit. (1995, September). DUI legislation 1982-1995. *The 1995 Judicial DUI Seminar*. Presented by the Committee on Drinking Drivers of the Municipal Court Judges Association and the Los Angeles County Municipal Courts Planning and Research Unit. Oxnard, California.
- Oulad Daoud, S., & Tashima, H. N. (2009). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 228). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

- Oulad Daoud, S., & Tashima, H. N. (2010). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 231). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Peck, R. C. (1991). The general and specific deterrent effects of DUI sanctions: A review of California's experience. *Alcohol, Drugs and Driving*, 7(1), 13-42.
- Peck, R. C. (1993, January). *California DMV's driving under the influence R&D program: Some recent findings and activities*. Paper presented at the 72nd Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Board, Session 86A. Washington, DC.
- Rogers, P. N. (1997). *The specific deterrent impact of California's 0.08% blood alcohol concentration limit and administrative per se license suspension laws. Volume 2 of an evaluation of the effectiveness of California's 0.08% blood alcohol concentration limit and administrative per se license suspension laws* (Report No. 167). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1992). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 134). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1994). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 143). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1995). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 145). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1996). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 159). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1997). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 165). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1998). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 169). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1999). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 179). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2000). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 185). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2001). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 188). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2002). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 191). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2003). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 198). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2004). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 206). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2005). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 211). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., Marowitz, L. A., DeYoung, D. J., & Helander, C. J. (1993). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 138). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Oulad Daoud, S. (2006). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 220). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Oulad Daoud, S. (2007). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 222). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Oulad Daoud, S. (2008). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 224). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Peck, R. C. (1986). *An evaluation of the specific deterrent effects of alternative sanctions for first and repeat DUI offenders: Vol. 3 of an evaluation of the California drunk driving countermeasure system* (Report No. 95). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

GLOSSARY

ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE (APS)

Administrative per se (“on-the-spot”) license suspension or revocation occurs immediately upon arrest for the following reasons: a person was driving with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of 0.08% or more, a person refuses a chemical test, a commercial driver was driving a commercial vehicle with a BAC of 0.04% or more, or a person was on probation for a violation of Section 23152 or 23153 and had a BAC of 0.01% or more. Also, in January 1994, California enacted a “zero tolerance” statute which requires the administrative suspension of any driver under age 21 with a BAC of 0.01% or greater, or who refuses to be tested. Upon arrest, the driver's license is immediately confiscated by the law enforcement officer and an order of suspension or revocation served. The driver is issued a temporary license and allowed due process through administrative review. In July 1990, California became the 28th state to implement APS.

ALCOHOL-INVOLVED CRASH

Alcohol-involved crashes are those in which the investigating law enforcement officer indicates on the crash report that the driver “had-been-drinking (HBD).” Crashes involving drivers who are determined to be under the influence of drugs other than alcohol (typically less than 1% of all crashes) are also included in the alcohol-involved crash category.

ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS DRIVING

Commonly called a “wet” reckless, alcohol-related reckless driving refers to an arrest/conviction incident which originated as a DUI arrest. DUI arrests involving drugs which are reduced to reckless driving are also referred to as alcohol-involved or “wet” reckless driving. “Wet” reckless convictions count as priors for the purposes of enhanced penalties upon subsequent conviction of DUI.

ALPHA

Alpha is the investigator's acceptable risk or probability level of making a Type 1 error (generally chosen to be small—e.g., .01, .05). There is always some risk of a Type 1 error, so alpha cannot be zero. Alpha is also called the significance level, because it is the criterion for claiming statistical significance.

BAC

Blood alcohol concentration, or BAC, is a measure of the percent, by weight, of alcohol in a person's blood. Statutorily, BAC is based upon grams of alcohol per 100 milliliters of blood or per 210 liters of breath.

CONVICTION

Conviction of an offense, as used in this report, refers to the receipt by DMV of a court abstract of conviction. In a small proportion of cases, an offender may be convicted of an offense but that conviction is not reported to DMV. Such cases would functionally be treated by DMV as though the offender had not been convicted. Because convictions can be amended, corrected, dismissed, or simply not reported at all, the conviction totals reported herein are dynamic and subject to change.

COVARIATE

A variable used to statistically adjust the results of an analysis for differences (on that variable) existing among subjects prior to the comparison of treatment effects.

DUI

DUI is an acronym for “driving under the influence” of alcohol and/or drugs, a violation of Sections 23152, 23153, 23140, of the California Vehicle Code, Penal Codes 191.5a, b, 192.3c, d, 192.5a, b, US Codes J36FR46, J36423, and out of state DUI codes.

DUI CONVICTION RATE

Percent of total DUI convictions with a violation (arrest) date in a given calendar year divided by the total number of DUI arrests in the same calendar year.

LOGISTIC REGRESSION

Logistic regression analysis is a statistical procedure evaluating the linear relationship between various factors and the occurrence or nonoccurrence of an outcome event. In this study, the procedure was used to explain the relationship between the various sanctions and the proportion of DUI offenders who incurred crashes and/or DUI incidents.

MAJOR CONVICTION

Major convictions include primarily DUI convictions, but also reckless-driving and hit-and-run convictions.

MEAN

Arithmetic average computed by adding up all the values and dividing them by the number of values.

MEDIAN

The median is the midpoint in a set of values arranged from lowest to highest, so that half of the values are below and half are above.

p

p stands for probability. For example, if $p < .05$, the probability is less than 5 chances in 100 that the difference found occurred by chance alone.

QUASI-EXPERIMENTAL DESIGNS

Quasi-experimental designs refer to analyses where the comparison groups are not equivalent on characteristics other than the treatment conditions because random assignment was not used. Caution should be exercised when interpreting the results because of possible confounding of group bias with treatment effects. Covariates are used to statistically reduce group differences prior to the comparison of treatment effects.

STATISTICAL SIGNIFICANCE

If the result of a statistical test is significant, this means that the difference found is very unlikely by chance alone.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

Assembly Bill No. 757

CHAPTER 450

An act to add Section 1821 to the Vehicle Code. relating to driving offenses.

(Approved by Governor September 14, 1989. Filed with Secretary of State September 15, 1989.)

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 757, Friedman. Driving offenses: intervention programs: evaluation.

Under existing law, the Department of Motor Vehicles maintains records of driver's offenses reported by the courts. Including violations of the prohibitions against driving while under the influence of an alcoholic beverage, any drug, or both, driving with an excessive blood-alcohol concentration, or driving while addicted to any drug.

This bill would, additionally, require the department to establish and maintain a data and monitoring system, as specified, to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of those violations relating to alcohol and drugs, and to report thereon annually to the Legislature.

The bill would declare legislative findings.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. The Legislature finds and declares as follows:

(a) Drivers under the influence of drugs or alcohol continue to present a grave danger to the citizens of this state.

(b) The Legislature has taken stern action to deter this crime and punish its offenders and has provided a range of sanctions available to the courts to use at their discretion.

(c) No system exists to monitor and evaluate the efficacy of these measures or to determine the achievement of the Legislature's goals.

(d) This lack of accurate and up-to-date comprehensive statistics hampers the ability of the Legislature to make informed and timely policy decisions.

(e) It is essential that the Legislature acquire this information, from available resources, as soon as practicable, and that this information be updated and transmitted annually to the Legislature.

SEC. 2. Section 1821 is added to the Vehicle Code, to read:

1821: The department shall establish and maintain a data and monitoring system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of violations of Section 23152 or 23153.

The system may include a recidivism tracking system. The recidivism tracking system may include, but not be limited to, jail sentencing, license restriction, license suspension. Level I (first offender) and II (multiple offender) alcohol and drug education and treatment program assignment, alcohol and drug education treatment program readmission

and dropout rates, adjudicating court, length of jail term, actual jail or alternative sentence served, type of treatment program assigned, actual program compliance status, subsequent accidents related to driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs, and subsequent convictions of violations of Section 23152 or 23153.

The department shall submit an annual report of its evaluations to the Legislature. The evaluations shall include a ranking of the relative efficacy of criminal penalties, other sanctions, and intervention programs and the various combinations thereof.

APPENDIX B

TABLE B1: 2009 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX		RACE/ETHNICITY									
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	TOTAL	208531	164399	78.8	44132	21.2	82377	39.5	93499	44.8	16246	7.8	16409	7.9
	UNDER 18	36	29	80.6	7	19.4	18	50.0	11	30.6	0	0.0	7	19.4
	18-20	531	408	76.8	123	23.2	170	32.0	196	36.9	82	15.4	83	15.6
	21-30	3383	2498	73.8	885	26.2	902	26.7	1233	36.4	692	20.5	556	16.4
	31-40	1826	1460	80.0	366	20.0	433	23.7	666	36.5	471	25.8	256	14.0
	41-50	1283	987	76.9	296	23.1	473	36.9	326	25.4	345	26.9	139	10.8
	51-60	589	465	78.9	124	21.1	276	46.9	85	14.4	157	26.7	71	12.1
61-70	156	129	82.7	27	17.3	67	42.9	21	13.5	51	32.7	17	10.9	
71 & ABOVE	33	28	84.8	5	15.2	24	72.7	2	6.1	5	15.2	2	6.1	
TOTAL	7837	6004	76.6	1833	23.4	2363	30.2	2540	32.4	1803	23.0	1131	14.4	
ALPINE	21-30	7	4	57.1	3	42.9	6	85.7	1	14.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	31-40	10	8	80.0	2	20.0	8	80.0	1	10.0	0	0.0	1	10.0
	41-50	5	3	60.0	2	40.0	4	80.0	1	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	4	2	50.0	2	50.0	3	75.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	25.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	27	18	66.7	9	33.3	22	81.5	3	11.1	0	0.0	2	7.4
	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
AMADOR	UNDER 18	23	18	78.3	5	21.7	13	56.5	6	26.1	1	4.3	3	13.0
	18-20	52	44	84.6	8	15.4	35	67.3	15	28.8	1	1.9	1	1.9
	21-30	48	35	72.9	13	27.1	40	83.3	5	10.4	1	2.1	2	4.2
	31-40	58	40	69.0	18	31.0	49	84.5	7	12.1	1	1.7	1	1.7
	41-50	41	34	82.9	7	17.1	35	85.4	3	7.3	1	2.4	2	4.9
	51-60	20	14	70.0	6	30.0	18	90.0	2	10.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	2	50.0	2	50.0	3	75.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	25.0
TOTAL	251	192	76.5	59	23.5	198	78.9	38	15.1	5	2.0	10	4.0	
BUTTE	UNDER 18	10	6	60.0	4	40.0	6	60.0	4	40.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	181	128	70.7	53	29.3	146	80.7	18	9.9	9	5.0	8	4.4
	21-30	698	522	74.8	176	25.2	518	74.2	124	17.8	24	3.4	32	4.6
	31-40	353	259	73.4	94	26.6	264	74.8	69	19.5	7	2.0	13	3.7
	41-50	356	259	72.8	97	27.2	293	82.3	42	11.8	15	4.2	6	1.7
	51-60	180	126	70.0	54	30.0	163	90.6	12	6.7	2	1.1	3	1.7
	61-70	50	44	88.0	6	12.0	46	92.0	2	4.0	1	2.0	1	2.0
71 & ABOVE	12	10	83.3	2	16.7	11	91.7	1	8.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	
TOTAL	1840	1354	73.6	486	26.4	1447	78.6	272	14.8	58	3.2	63	3.4	

TABLE B1: 2009 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX				RACE/ETHNICITY									
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER			
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
CALAVERAS	18-20	20	19	95.0	1	5.0	16	80.0	4	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	118	84	71.2	34	28.8	100	84.7	12	10.2	1	0.8	5	4.2	3	4.3
	31-40	69	48	69.6	21	30.4	60	87.0	6	8.7	0	0.0	3	4.3	0	0.0
	41-50	72	43	59.7	29	40.3	64	88.9	6	8.3	2	2.8	0	0.0	1	1.7
	51-60	59	50	84.7	9	15.3	56	94.9	2	3.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	17	14	82.4	3	17.6	16	94.1	1	5.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	7	7	100.0	0	0.0	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL	362	265	73.2	97	26.8	319	88.1	31	8.6	3	0.8	9	2.5	0	0.0	
COLUSA	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	19	18	94.7	1	5.3	6	31.6	9	47.4	2	10.5	2	10.5	4	4.2
	21-30	95	82	86.3	13	13.7	41	43.2	49	51.6	1	1.1	4	4.2	2	4.0
	31-40	50	39	78.0	11	22.0	18	36.0	29	58.0	1	2.0	2	4.0	1	3.0
	41-50	33	24	72.7	9	27.3	16	48.5	15	45.5	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.4
	51-60	29	24	82.8	5	17.2	21	72.4	7	24.1	0	0.0	1	3.4	0	0.0
	61-70	8	6	75.0	2	25.0	5	62.5	3	37.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
71 & ABOVE	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
TOTAL	237	196	82.7	41	17.3	109	46.0	112	47.3	6	2.5	10	4.2	0	0.0	
CONTRA COSTA	UNDER 18	29	20	69.0	9	31.0	18	62.1	10	34.5	1	3.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	330	259	78.5	71	21.5	156	47.3	112	33.9	16	4.8	46	13.9	188	10.0
	21-30	1883	1461	77.6	422	22.4	759	40.3	713	37.9	223	11.8	93	9.2	46	5.9
	31-40	1010	791	78.3	219	21.7	354	35.0	383	37.9	180	17.8	27	6.6	13	10.7
	41-50	774	603	77.9	171	22.1	386	49.9	201	26.0	141	18.2	19	15.7	1	3.6
	51-60	408	332	81.4	76	18.6	245	60.0	63	15.4	73	17.9	7	25.0	1	3.6
	61-70	121	98	81.0	23	19.0	83	68.6	6	5.0	19	15.7	1	3.6	1	3.6
71 & ABOVE	28	24	85.7	4	14.3	18	64.3	2	7.1	7	25.0	1	3.6	1	3.6	
TOTAL	4583	3588	78.3	995	21.7	2019	44.1	1490	32.5	660	14.4	414	9.0	0	0.0	
DEL NORTE	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	17	9	52.9	8	47.1	13	76.5	1	5.9	0	0.0	3	17.6	10	14.3
	21-30	70	50	71.4	20	28.6	52	74.3	8	11.4	0	0.0	8	18.6	3	3.9
	31-40	43	32	74.4	11	25.6	32	74.4	3	7.0	0	0.0	7	16.7	1	8.3
	41-50	76	47	61.8	29	38.2	67	88.2	6	7.9	0	0.0	7	16.7	0	0.0
	51-60	42	28	66.7	14	33.3	35	83.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	12	8	66.7	4	33.3	11	91.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
71 & ABOVE	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
TOTAL	262	176	67.2	86	32.8	212	80.9	18	6.9	0	0.0	32	12.2	0	0.0	

TABLE B1: 2009 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
EL DORADO	UNDER 18	18	15	83.3	3	16.7	14	77.8	4	22.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	115	89	77.4	26	22.6	92	80.0	20	17.4	1	0.9	2	1.7
	21-30	437	341	78.0	96	22.0	338	77.3	66	15.1	9	2.1	24	5.5
	31-40	249	185	74.3	64	25.7	188	75.5	30	12.0	10	4.0	21	8.4
	41-50	288	202	70.1	86	29.9	257	89.2	21	7.3	1	0.3	9	3.1
	51-60	202	142	70.3	60	29.7	183	90.6	8	4.0	3	1.5	8	4.0
	61-70	42	31	73.8	11	26.2	40	95.2	1	2.4	0	0.0	1	2.4
	71 & ABOVE	15	12	80.0	3	20.0	15	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1366	1017	74.5	349	25.5	1127	82.5	150	11.0	24	1.8	65	4.8
	FRESNO	UNDER 18	39	35	89.7	4	10.3	7	17.9	29	74.4	2	5.1	1
18-20		590	490	83.1	100	16.9	131	22.2	392	66.4	26	4.4	41	6.9
21-30		3209	2611	81.4	598	18.6	713	22.2	2172	67.7	148	4.6	176	5.5
31-40		1592	1340	84.2	252	15.8	316	19.8	1087	68.3	107	6.7	82	5.2
41-50		1061	850	80.1	211	19.9	293	27.6	621	58.5	103	9.7	44	4.1
51-60		469	391	83.4	78	16.6	168	35.8	236	50.3	40	8.5	25	5.3
61-70		103	87	84.5	16	15.5	46	44.7	47	45.6	8	7.8	2	1.9
71 & ABOVE		21	19	90.5	2	9.5	10	47.6	10	47.6	0	0.0	1	4.8
TOTAL		7084	5823	82.2	1261	17.8	1684	23.8	4594	64.9	434	6.1	372	5.3
GLENN		UNDER 18	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	2	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1
	18-20	42	37	88.1	5	11.9	22	52.4	17	40.5	1	2.4	2	4.8
	21-30	169	144	85.2	25	14.8	93	55.0	61	36.1	3	1.8	12	7.1
	31-40	95	77	81.1	18	18.9	53	55.8	35	36.8	1	1.1	6	6.3
	41-50	97	78	80.4	19	19.6	66	68.0	25	25.8	2	2.1	4	4.1
	51-60	51	42	82.4	9	17.6	36	70.6	11	21.6	2	3.9	2	3.9
	61-70	11	9	81.8	2	18.2	8	72.7	1	9.1	2	18.2	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	472	394	83.5	78	16.5	284	60.2	150	31.8	11	2.3	27	5.7
	HUMBOLDT	UNDER 18	6	4	66.7	2	33.3	5	83.3	1	16.7	0	0.0	0
18-20		121	90	74.4	31	25.6	87	71.9	12	9.9	3	2.5	19	15.7
21-30		620	454	73.2	166	26.8	495	79.8	56	9.0	12	1.9	57	9.2
31-40		352	264	75.0	88	25.0	281	79.8	17	4.8	13	3.7	41	11.6
41-50		276	193	69.9	83	30.1	229	83.0	17	6.2	3	1.1	27	9.8
51-60		203	143	70.4	60	29.6	186	91.6	4	2.0	4	2.0	9	4.4
61-70		41	30	73.2	11	26.8	37	90.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	9.8
71 & ABOVE		5	5	100.0	0	0.0	4	80.0	1	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL		1624	1183	72.8	441	27.2	1324	81.5	108	6.7	35	2.2	157	9.7

TABLE B1: 2009 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX				RACE/ETHNICITY									
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER			
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
IMPERIAL	UNDER 18	8	6	75.0	2	25.0	2	25.0	6	75.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	147	115	78.2	32	21.8	23	15.6	118	80.3	1	0.7	5	3.4	23	4.0
	21-30	573	449	78.4	124	21.6	101	17.6	432	75.4	17	3.0	23	4.0	13	4.0
	31-40	326	269	82.5	57	17.5	64	19.6	242	74.2	7	2.1	13	4.0	13	5.2
	41-50	248	202	81.5	46	18.5	64	25.8	168	67.7	3	1.2	13	5.2	4	2.7
	51-60	150	135	90.0	15	10.0	45	30.0	99	66.0	2	1.3	4	2.7	0	0.0
	61-70	27	23	85.2	4	14.8	9	33.3	16	59.3	2	7.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	9	8	88.9	1	11.1	4	44.4	5	55.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1488	1207	81.1	281	18.9	312	21.0	1086	73.0	32	2.2	58	3.9	0	0.0
	INYO	UNDER 18	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
18-20		31	21	67.7	10	32.3	16	51.6	7	22.6	0	0.0	8	25.8	26	28.3
21-30		92	72	78.3	20	21.7	37	40.2	25	27.2	4	4.3	26	28.3	19	28.8
31-40		66	58	87.9	8	12.1	29	43.9	18	27.3	0	0.0	12	14.8	12	14.8
41-50		81	58	71.6	23	28.4	63	77.8	6	7.4	0	0.0	12	14.8	4	8.3
51-60		48	40	83.3	8	16.7	39	81.3	4	8.3	1	2.1	4	8.3	2	12.5
61-70		16	13	81.3	3	18.8	13	81.3	0	0.0	1	6.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
71 & ABOVE		7	4	57.1	3	42.9	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL		345	269	78.0	76	22.0	207	60.0	61	17.7	6	1.7	71	20.6	1	2.4
KERN		UNDER 18	41	30	73.2	11	26.8	16	39.0	24	58.5	0	0.0	1	2.4	15
	18-20	493	417	84.6	76	15.4	168	34.1	288	58.4	22	4.5	52	2.1	24	2.0
	21-30	2463	2068	84.0	395	16.0	747	30.3	1538	62.4	126	5.1	73	2.0	22	2.4
	31-40	1205	1007	83.6	198	16.4	358	29.7	750	62.2	68	7.5	9	2.2	5	3.3
	41-50	903	724	80.2	179	19.8	391	43.3	422	46.7	31	7.6	22	2.4	0	0.0
	51-60	408	336	82.4	72	17.6	212	52.0	156	38.2	10	6.7	3	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	150	127	84.7	23	15.3	94	62.7	41	27.3	3	15.0	0	0.0	128	2.3
	71 & ABOVE	20	18	90.0	2	10.0	13	65.0	4	20.0	3	15.0	0	0.0	2	33.3
	TOTAL	5683	4727	83.2	956	16.8	1999	35.2	3223	56.7	333	5.9	48	4.2	1	1.1
	KINGS	UNDER 18	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	2	33.3	2	33.3	0	0.0	2	33.3	1
18-20		91	78	85.7	13	14.3	27	29.7	60	65.9	3	3.3	19	3.8	14	4.9
21-30		499	418	83.8	81	16.2	127	25.5	329	65.9	24	4.8	9	5.5	2	3.1
31-40		288	242	84.0	46	16.0	59	20.5	197	68.4	18	6.3	7	4.3	1	8.3
41-50		164	125	76.2	39	23.8	56	34.1	92	56.1	7	4.3	3	25.0	0	0.0
51-60		65	55	84.6	10	15.4	24	36.9	32	49.2	7	10.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
61-70		12	10	83.3	2	16.7	3	25.0	5	41.7	3	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
71 & ABOVE		5	5	100.0	0	0.0	2	40.0	3	60.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL		1130	938	83.0	192	17.0	300	26.5	720	63.7	62	5.5	48	4.2	2	33.3

TABLE B1: 2009 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX				RACE/ETHNICITY									
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER			
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
LAKE	UNDER 18	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	45	38	84.4	7	15.6	28	62.2	15	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	4.4
	21-30	166	128	77.1	38	22.9	111	66.9	38	22.9	4	2.4	4	2.4	13	7.8
	31-40	80	58	72.5	22	27.5	55	68.8	15	18.8	4	5.0	6	7.5	6	7.5
	41-50	95	64	67.4	31	32.6	81	85.3	8	8.4	3	3.2	3	3.2	3	3.2
	51-60	84	64	76.2	20	23.8	77	91.7	3	3.6	4	4.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	31	26	83.9	5	16.1	31	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	10	9	90.0	1	10.0	10	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	515	391	75.9	124	24.1	397	77.1	79	15.3	15	2.9	24	4.7	24	4.7
	LASSEN	UNDER 18	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	2	66.7	1	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
18-20		15	11	73.3	4	26.7	13	86.7	1	6.7	0	0.0	1	6.7	1	6.7
21-30		73	57	78.1	16	21.9	60	82.2	6	8.2	1	1.4	6	8.2	6	8.2
31-40		43	32	74.4	11	25.6	34	79.1	8	18.6	0	0.0	1	2.3	1	2.3
41-50		48	33	68.8	15	31.3	42	87.5	3	6.3	0	0.0	3	6.3	3	6.3
51-60		44	37	84.1	7	15.9	40	90.9	3	6.8	0	0.0	1	2.3	1	2.3
61-70		9	9	100.0	0	0.0	8	88.9	1	11.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
71 & ABOVE		3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL		238	185	77.7	53	22.3	202	84.9	23	9.7	1	0.4	12	5.0	12	5.0
LOS ANGELES		UNDER 18	129	101	78.3	28	21.7	41	31.8	69	53.5	2	1.6	17	13.2	17
	18-20	2784	2123	76.3	661	23.7	739	26.5	1655	59.4	152	5.5	238	8.5	238	8.5
	21-30	18361	14023	76.4	4338	23.6	4138	22.5	10697	58.3	1521	8.3	2005	10.9	2005	10.9
	31-40	10473	8629	82.4	1844	17.6	1969	18.8	6154	58.8	1276	12.2	1074	10.3	1074	10.3
	41-50	6838	5574	81.5	1264	18.5	1829	26.7	3448	50.4	1044	15.3	517	7.6	517	7.6
	51-60	3056	2576	84.3	480	15.7	970	31.7	1275	41.7	550	18.0	261	8.5	261	8.5
	61-70	736	640	87.0	96	13.0	302	41.0	253	34.4	127	17.3	54	7.3	54	7.3
	71 & ABOVE	131	117	89.3	14	10.7	66	50.4	35	26.7	26	19.8	4	3.1	4	3.1
	TOTAL	42508	33783	79.5	8725	20.5	10054	23.7	23586	55.5	4698	11.1	4170	9.8	4170	9.8
	MADERA	UNDER 18	16	11	68.8	5	31.3	6	37.5	10	62.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
18-20		128	117	91.4	11	8.6	33	25.8	92	71.9	1	0.8	2	1.6	2	1.6
21-30		531	470	88.5	61	11.5	132	24.9	375	70.6	10	1.9	14	2.6	14	2.6
31-40		278	238	85.6	40	14.4	74	26.6	191	68.7	5	1.8	8	2.9	8	2.9
41-50		225	178	79.1	47	20.9	95	42.2	119	52.9	4	1.8	7	3.1	7	3.1
51-60		97	73	75.3	24	24.7	54	55.7	35	36.1	2	2.1	6	6.2	6	6.2
61-70		27	23	85.2	4	14.8	13	48.1	11	40.7	2	7.4	1	3.7	1	3.7
71 & ABOVE		3	1	33.3	2	66.7	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL		1305	1111	85.1	194	14.9	410	31.4	833	63.8	24	1.8	38	2.9	38	2.9

TABLE B1: 2009 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX				RACE/ETHNICITY									
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER			
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
MARIN	UNDER 18	11	8	72.7	3	27.3	5	45.5	6	54.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	102	75	73.5	27	26.5	57	55.9	30	29.4	6	5.9	9	8.8		
	21-30	535	399	74.6	136	25.4	253	47.3	203	37.9	26	4.9	53	9.9		
	31-40	338	249	73.7	89	26.3	176	52.1	107	31.7	29	8.6	26	7.7		
	41-50	277	198	71.5	79	28.5	209	75.5	36	13.0	12	4.3	20	7.2		
	51-60	202	132	65.3	70	34.7	163	80.7	16	7.9	12	5.9	11	5.4		
	61-70	80	57	71.3	23	28.7	70	87.5	1	1.2	7	8.8	2	2.5		
	71 & ABOVE	15	12	80.0	3	20.0	12	80.0	1	6.7	0	0.0	2	13.3		
	TOTAL	1560	1130	72.4	430	27.6	945	60.6	400	25.6	92	5.9	123	7.9		
	MARIPOSA	UNDER 18	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
18-20		7	5	71.4	2	28.6	5	71.4	2	28.6	0	0.0	0	0.0		
21-30		23	18	78.3	5	21.7	20	87.0	2	8.7	0	0.0	1	4.3		
31-40		22	17	77.3	5	22.7	14	63.6	4	18.2	0	0.0	4	18.2		
41-50		19	11	57.9	8	42.1	15	78.9	4	21.1	0	0.0	0	0.0		
51-60		23	14	60.9	9	39.1	21	91.3	1	4.3	0	0.0	1	4.3		
61-70		7	5	71.4	2	28.6	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
71 & ABOVE		1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
TOTAL		104	73	70.2	31	29.8	85	81.7	13	12.5	0	0.0	6	5.8		
MENDOCINO		UNDER 18	9	8	88.9	1	11.1	6	66.7	3	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	
	18-20	61	50	82.0	11	18.0	36	59.0	16	26.2	2	3.3	7	11.5		
	21-30	284	214	75.4	70	24.6	186	65.5	69	24.3	2	0.7	27	9.5		
	31-40	186	143	76.9	43	23.1	122	65.6	41	22.0	2	1.1	21	11.3		
	41-50	155	108	69.7	47	30.3	116	74.8	19	12.3	2	1.3	18	11.6		
	51-60	101	72	71.3	29	28.7	86	85.1	9	8.9	1	1.0	5	5.0		
	61-70	27	20	74.1	7	25.9	21	77.8	5	18.5	0	0.0	1	3.7		
	71 & ABOVE	5	3	60.0	2	40.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
	TOTAL	828	618	74.6	210	25.4	578	69.8	162	19.6	9	1.1	79	9.5		
	MERCED	UNDER 18	23	12	52.2	11	47.8	8	34.8	12	52.2	0	0.0	3	13.0	
18-20		242	211	87.2	31	12.8	57	23.6	167	69.0	4	1.7	14	5.8		
21-30		1096	919	83.9	177	16.1	213	19.4	787	71.8	43	3.9	53	4.8		
31-40		534	439	82.2	95	17.8	114	21.3	371	69.5	31	5.8	18	3.4		
41-50		360	285	79.2	75	20.8	101	28.1	210	58.3	35	9.7	14	3.9		
51-60		185	160	86.5	25	13.5	78	42.2	83	44.9	15	8.1	9	4.9		
61-70		39	34	87.2	5	12.8	19	48.7	14	35.9	3	7.7	3	7.7		
71 & ABOVE		9	9	100.0	0	0.0	5	55.6	2	22.2	2	22.2	0	0.0		
TOTAL		2488	2069	83.2	419	16.8	595	23.9	1646	66.2	133	5.3	114	4.6		

TABLE B1: 2009 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX				RACE/ETHNICITY									
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER			
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
MODOC	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	6	6	100.0	0	0.0	3	50.0	1	16.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	33.3
	21-30	30	26	86.7	4	13.3	22	73.3	4	13.3	2	6.7	2	6.7	2	6.7
	31-40	20	14	70.0	6	30.0	14	70.0	5	25.0	0	0.0	1	5.0	1	5.0
	41-50	21	14	66.7	7	33.3	17	81.0	0	0.0	1	4.8	3	14.3	3	14.3
	51-60	17	10	58.8	7	41.2	16	94.1	1	5.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL	99	75	75.8	24	24.2	76	76.8	12	12.1	3	3.0	8	8.1	8	8.1	
MONO	UNDER 18	2	1	50.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	50.0
	18-20	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	3	42.9	3	42.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	14.3
	21-30	59	51	86.4	8	13.6	41	69.5	15	25.4	0	0.0	3	5.1	3	5.1
	31-40	38	34	89.5	4	10.5	27	71.1	9	23.7	1	2.6	1	2.6	1	2.6
	41-50	17	12	70.6	5	29.4	13	76.5	2	11.8	0	0.0	2	11.8	2	11.8
	51-60	17	16	94.1	1	5.9	16	94.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	5.9	1	5.9
	61-70	6	6	100.0	0	0.0	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL	146	126	86.3	20	13.7	107	73.3	29	19.9	1	0.7	9	6.2	9	6.2	
MONTEREY	UNDER 18	25	22	88.0	3	12.0	4	16.0	20	80.0	1	4.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	261	228	87.4	33	12.6	58	22.2	195	74.7	2	0.8	6	2.3	6	2.3
	21-30	1265	1042	82.4	223	17.6	297	23.5	887	70.1	33	2.6	48	3.8	48	3.8
	31-40	609	526	86.4	83	13.6	134	22.0	439	72.1	16	2.6	20	3.3	20	3.3
	41-50	425	333	78.4	92	21.6	187	44.0	206	48.5	20	4.7	12	2.8	12	2.8
	51-60	204	155	76.0	49	24.0	114	55.9	60	29.4	21	10.3	9	4.4	9	4.4
	61-70	60	50	83.3	10	16.7	43	71.7	12	20.0	3	5.0	2	3.3	2	3.3
71 & ABOVE	8	5	62.5	3	37.5	7	87.5	1	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
TOTAL	2857	2361	82.6	496	17.4	844	29.5	1820	63.7	96	3.4	97	3.4	97	3.4	
NAPA	UNDER 18	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	3	42.9	4	57.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	79	62	78.5	17	21.5	30	38.0	46	58.2	2	2.5	1	1.3	1	1.3
	21-30	520	429	82.5	91	17.5	218	41.9	277	53.3	12	2.3	13	2.5	13	2.5
	31-40	293	237	80.9	56	19.1	127	43.3	147	50.2	9	3.1	10	3.4	10	3.4
	41-50	217	164	75.6	53	24.4	131	60.4	73	33.6	7	3.2	6	2.8	6	2.8
	51-60	117	82	70.1	35	29.9	91	77.8	23	19.7	1	0.9	2	1.7	2	1.7
	61-70	39	25	64.1	14	35.9	30	76.9	7	17.9	0	0.0	2	5.1	2	5.1
71 & ABOVE	9	7	77.8	2	22.2	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
TOTAL	1281	1012	79.0	269	21.0	639	49.9	577	45.0	31	2.4	34	2.7	34	2.7	

TABLE B1: 2009 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX				RACE/ETHNICITY									
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER			
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
NEVADA	UNDER 18	3	2	66.7	1	33.3	2	66.7	1	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	33	28	84.8	5	15.2	27	81.8	3	9.1	2	6.1	1	3.0	1	3.0
	21-30	226	176	77.9	50	22.1	197	87.2	21	9.3	3	1.3	5	2.2	5	2.2
	31-40	161	114	70.8	47	29.2	137	85.1	16	9.9	2	1.2	6	3.7	6	3.7
	41-50	164	113	68.9	51	31.1	142	86.6	12	7.3	5	3.0	5	3.0	5	3.0
	51-60	99	68	68.7	31	31.3	95	96.0	1	1.0	1	1.0	2	2.0	2	2.0
	61-70	30	24	80.0	6	20.0	30	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	8	6	75.0	2	25.0	7	87.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	12.5	1	12.5
	TOTAL	724	531	73.3	193	26.7	637	88.0	54	7.5	13	1.8	20	2.8	20	2.8
	ORANGE	UNDER 18	117	78	66.7	39	33.3	70	59.8	37	31.6	1	0.9	9	7.7	9
18-20		1367	1036	75.8	331	24.2	638	46.7	575	42.1	21	1.5	133	9.7	133	9.7
21-30		7625	5856	76.8	1769	23.2	3134	41.1	3415	44.8	182	2.4	894	11.7	894	11.7
31-40		3711	2969	80.0	742	20.0	1362	36.7	1776	47.9	127	3.4	446	12.0	446	12.0
41-50		2581	1985	76.9	596	23.1	1337	51.8	924	35.8	77	3.0	243	9.4	243	9.4
51-60		1209	952	78.7	257	21.3	764	63.2	285	23.6	34	2.8	126	10.4	126	10.4
61-70		320	251	78.4	69	21.6	220	68.8	64	20.0	12	3.8	24	7.5	24	7.5
71 & ABOVE		63	57	90.5	6	9.5	49	77.8	9	14.3	0	0.0	5	7.9	5	7.9
TOTAL		16993	13184	77.6	3809	22.4	7574	44.6	7085	41.7	454	2.7	1880	11.1	1880	11.1
PLACER		UNDER 18	22	15	68.2	7	31.8	16	72.7	5	22.7	0	0.0	1	4.5	1
	18-20	193	158	81.9	35	18.1	151	78.2	28	14.5	6	3.1	8	4.1	8	4.1
	21-30	867	640	73.8	227	26.2	638	73.6	142	16.4	40	4.6	47	5.4	47	5.4
	31-40	400	307	76.8	93	23.2	303	75.8	71	17.7	12	3.0	14	3.5	14	3.5
	41-50	357	242	67.8	115	32.2	308	86.3	30	8.4	5	1.4	14	3.9	14	3.9
	51-60	202	146	72.3	56	27.7	178	88.1	14	6.9	5	2.5	5	2.5	5	2.5
	61-70	70	54	77.1	16	22.9	60	85.7	5	7.1	2	2.9	3	4.3	3	4.3
	71 & ABOVE	21	15	71.4	6	28.6	19	90.5	2	9.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2132	1577	74.0	555	26.0	1673	78.5	297	13.9	70	3.3	92	4.3	92	4.3
	PLUMAS	UNDER 18	3	2	66.7	1	33.3	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
18-20		22	19	86.4	3	13.6	22	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
21-30		89	62	69.7	27	30.3	75	84.3	9	10.1	1	1.1	4	4.5	4	4.5
31-40		56	38	67.9	18	32.1	52	92.9	2	3.6	1	1.8	1	1.8	1	1.8
41-50		66	43	65.2	23	34.8	57	86.4	3	4.5	1	1.5	5	7.6	5	7.6
51-60		58	44	75.9	14	24.1	55	94.8	1	1.7	2	3.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
61-70		15	13	86.7	2	13.3	14	93.3	1	6.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
71 & ABOVE		4	3	75.0	1	25.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL		313	224	71.6	89	28.4	282	90.1	16	5.1	5	1.6	10	3.2	10	3.2

TABLE B1: 2009 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
RIVERSIDE	UNDER 18	60	46	76.7	14	23.3	23	38.3	33	55.0	3	5.0	1	1.7
	18-20	987	799	81.0	188	19.0	362	36.7	529	53.6	54	5.5	42	4.3
	21-30	4602	3737	81.2	865	18.8	1478	32.1	2676	58.1	259	5.6	189	4.1
	31-40	2324	1934	83.2	390	16.8	669	28.8	1424	61.3	167	7.2	64	2.8
	41-50	1706	1336	78.3	370	21.7	769	45.1	770	45.1	125	7.3	42	2.5
	51-60	854	705	82.6	149	17.4	484	56.7	273	32.0	69	8.1	28	3.3
	61-70	275	225	81.8	50	18.2	191	69.5	64	23.3	17	6.2	3	1.1
	71 & ABOVE	65	51	78.5	14	21.5	54	83.1	9	13.8	2	3.1	0	0.0
TOTAL	10873	8833	81.2	2040	18.8	4030	37.1	5778	53.1	696	6.4	369	3.4	
SACRAMENTO	UNDER 18	33	19	57.6	14	42.4	13	39.4	13	39.4	2	6.1	5	15.2
	18-20	680	516	75.9	164	24.1	321	47.2	174	25.6	82	12.1	103	15.1
	21-30	3884	2844	73.2	1040	26.8	1668	42.9	1099	28.3	591	15.2	526	13.5
	31-40	1813	1366	75.3	447	24.7	753	41.5	558	30.8	335	18.5	167	9.2
	41-50	1317	950	72.1	367	27.9	672	51.0	257	19.5	303	23.0	85	6.5
	51-60	628	483	76.9	145	23.1	345	54.9	87	13.9	151	24.0	45	7.2
	61-70	141	119	84.4	22	15.6	80	56.7	25	17.7	18	12.8	18	12.8
	71 & ABOVE	33	27	81.8	6	18.2	22	66.7	4	12.1	5	15.2	2	6.1
TOTAL	8529	6324	74.1	2205	25.9	3874	45.4	2217	26.0	1487	17.4	951	11.2	
SAN BENITO	UNDER 18	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	37	28	75.7	9	24.3	12	32.4	25	67.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	190	169	88.9	21	11.1	29	15.3	154	81.1	1	0.5	6	3.2
	31-40	91	82	90.1	9	9.9	11	12.1	78	85.7	1	1.1	1	1.1
	41-50	63	49	77.8	14	22.2	19	30.2	41	65.1	2	3.2	1	1.6
	51-60	25	18	72.0	7	28.0	10	40.0	14	56.0	0	0.0	1	4.0
	61-70	9	9	100.0	0	0.0	1	11.1	8	88.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL	423	363	85.8	60	14.2	82	19.4	328	77.5	4	0.9	9	2.1	
SAN BERNARDINO	UNDER 18	66	50	75.8	16	24.2	27	40.9	28	42.4	6	9.1	5	7.6
	18-20	1097	907	82.7	190	17.3	361	32.9	603	55.0	76	6.9	57	5.2
	21-30	5775	4643	80.4	1132	19.6	1786	30.9	3243	56.2	445	7.7	301	5.2
	31-40	2956	2429	82.2	527	17.8	842	28.5	1671	56.5	329	11.1	114	3.9
	41-50	2299	1806	78.6	493	21.4	918	39.9	1007	43.8	291	12.7	83	3.6
	51-60	992	835	84.2	157	15.8	483	48.7	349	35.2	127	12.8	33	3.3
	61-70	270	230	85.2	40	14.8	147	54.4	74	27.4	36	13.3	13	4.8
	71 & ABOVE	51	48	94.1	3	5.9	33	64.7	10	19.6	6	11.8	2	3.9
TOTAL	13506	10948	81.1	2558	18.9	4597	34.0	6985	51.7	1316	9.7	608	4.5	

TABLE B1: 2009 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SAN DIEGO	UNDER 18	119	88	73.9	31	26.1	69	58.0	43	36.1	2	1.7	5	4.2
	18-20	1443	1086	75.3	357	24.7	707	49.0	575	39.8	70	4.9	91	6.3
	21-30	8259	6274	76.0	1985	24.0	3905	47.3	3076	37.2	614	7.4	664	8.0
	31-40	3586	2834	79.0	752	21.0	1676	46.7	1398	39.0	266	7.4	246	6.9
	41-50	2583	1952	75.6	631	24.4	1495	57.9	761	29.5	178	6.9	149	5.8
	51-60	1317	1029	78.1	288	21.9	851	64.6	309	23.5	89	6.8	68	5.2
	61-70	338	264	78.1	74	21.9	239	70.7	57	16.9	28	8.3	14	4.1
71 & ABOVE	72	61	84.7	11	15.3	51	70.8	13	18.1	5	6.9	3	4.2	
TOTAL	17717	13588	76.7	4129	23.3	8993	50.8	6232	35.2	1252	7.1	1240	7.0	
SAN FRANCISCO	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	72	48	66.7	24	33.3	33	45.8	10	13.9	12	16.7	17	23.6
	21-30	686	514	74.9	172	25.1	289	42.1	109	15.9	92	13.4	196	28.6
	31-40	400	314	78.5	86	21.5	186	46.5	65	16.2	59	14.8	90	22.5
	41-50	240	196	81.7	44	18.3	127	52.9	38	15.8	39	16.2	36	15.0
	51-60	103	93	90.3	10	9.7	59	57.3	6	5.8	24	23.3	14	13.6
	61-70	25	21	84.0	4	16.0	21	84.0	2	8.0	2	8.0	0	0.0
71 & ABOVE	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	5	71.4	0	0.0	2	28.6	0	0.0	
TOTAL	1534	1193	77.8	341	22.2	721	47.0	230	15.0	230	15.0	353	23.0	
SAN JOAQUIN	UNDER 18	34	25	73.5	9	26.5	11	32.4	15	44.1	4	11.8	4	11.8
	18-20	383	306	79.9	77	20.1	122	31.9	202	52.7	29	7.6	30	7.8
	21-30	1994	1635	82.0	359	18.0	568	28.5	1080	54.2	168	8.4	178	8.9
	31-40	969	798	82.4	171	17.6	268	27.7	540	55.7	109	11.2	52	5.4
	41-50	762	610	80.1	152	19.9	323	42.4	304	39.9	91	11.9	44	5.8
	51-60	363	312	86.0	51	14.0	173	47.7	113	31.1	47	12.9	30	8.3
	61-70	110	94	85.5	16	14.5	65	59.1	25	22.7	18	16.4	2	1.8
71 & ABOVE	24	22	91.7	2	8.3	13	54.2	5	20.8	4	16.7	2	8.3	
TOTAL	4639	3802	82.0	837	18.0	1543	33.3	2284	49.2	470	10.1	342	7.4	
SAN LUIS OBISPO	UNDER 18	23	17	73.9	6	26.1	18	78.3	5	21.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	267	208	77.9	59	22.1	191	71.5	61	22.8	7	2.6	8	3.0
	21-30	1139	864	75.9	275	24.1	773	67.9	308	27.0	26	2.3	32	2.8
	31-40	446	331	74.2	115	25.8	273	61.2	154	34.5	12	2.7	7	1.6
	41-50	366	248	67.8	118	32.2	288	78.7	71	19.4	3	0.8	4	1.1
	51-60	265	190	71.7	75	28.3	225	84.9	30	11.3	6	2.3	4	1.5
	61-70	63	43	68.3	20	31.7	57	90.5	5	7.9	0	0.0	1	1.6
71 & ABOVE	12	11	91.7	1	8.3	11	91.7	1	8.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	
TOTAL	2581	1912	74.1	669	25.9	1836	71.1	635	24.6	54	2.1	56	2.2	

TABLE B1: 2009 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SAN MATEO	UNDER 18	28	25	89.3	3	10.7	14	50.0	9	32.1	1	3.6	4	14.3
	18-20	289	228	78.9	61	21.1	105	36.3	124	42.9	7	2.4	53	18.3
	21-30	1664	1289	77.5	375	22.5	515	30.9	685	41.2	79	4.7	385	23.1
	31-40	850	708	83.3	142	16.7	295	34.7	333	39.2	41	4.8	181	21.3
	41-50	573	432	75.4	141	24.6	297	51.8	137	23.9	36	6.3	103	18.0
	51-60	319	260	81.5	59	18.5	203	63.6	51	16.0	26	8.2	39	12.2
	61-70	112	84	75.0	28	25.0	79	70.5	13	11.6	7	6.3	13	11.6
	71 & ABOVE	29	21	72.4	8	27.6	22	75.9	2	6.9	4	13.8	1	3.4
	TOTAL	3864	3047	78.9	817	21.1	1530	39.6	1354	35.0	201	5.2	779	20.2
	SANTA BARBARA	UNDER 18	30	26	86.7	4	13.3	15	50.0	14	46.7	1	3.3	0
18-20		259	217	83.8	42	16.2	104	40.2	147	56.8	2	0.8	6	2.3
21-30		1454	1163	80.0	291	20.0	574	39.5	780	53.6	36	2.5	64	4.4
31-40		571	464	81.3	107	18.7	211	37.0	326	57.1	17	3.0	17	3.0
41-50		469	345	73.6	124	26.4	248	52.9	185	39.4	18	3.8	18	3.8
51-60		245	184	75.1	61	24.9	182	74.3	51	20.8	6	2.4	6	2.4
61-70		65	50	76.9	15	23.1	51	78.5	11	16.9	2	3.1	1	1.5
71 & ABOVE		20	10	50.0	10	50.0	16	80.0	4	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL		3113	2459	79.0	654	21.0	1401	45.0	1518	48.8	82	2.6	112	3.6
SANTA CLARA		UNDER 18	64	51	79.7	13	20.3	24	37.5	29	45.3	3	4.7	8
	18-20	542	418	77.1	124	22.9	165	30.4	285	52.6	10	1.8	82	15.1
	21-30	3452	2725	78.9	727	21.1	833	24.1	1877	54.4	143	4.1	599	17.4
	31-40	1563	1308	83.7	255	16.3	361	23.1	890	56.9	66	4.2	246	15.7
	41-50	955	757	79.3	198	20.7	372	39.0	419	43.9	49	5.1	115	12.0
	51-60	450	350	77.8	100	22.2	235	52.2	139	30.9	24	5.3	52	11.6
	61-70	123	102	82.9	21	17.1	67	54.5	24	19.5	13	10.6	19	15.4
	71 & ABOVE	23	18	78.3	5	21.7	18	78.3	4	17.4	1	4.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	7172	5729	79.9	1443	20.1	2075	28.9	3667	51.1	309	4.3	1121	15.6
	SANTA CRUZ	UNDER 18	21	18	85.7	3	14.3	10	47.6	10	47.6	0	0.0	1
18-20		168	130	77.4	38	22.6	86	51.2	71	42.3	4	2.4	7	4.2
21-30		607	485	79.9	122	20.1	280	46.1	290	47.8	8	1.3	29	4.8
31-40		273	215	78.8	58	21.2	139	50.9	123	45.1	3	1.1	8	2.9
41-50		219	163	74.4	56	25.6	154	70.3	52	23.7	6	2.7	7	3.2
51-60		158	118	74.7	40	25.3	125	79.1	26	16.5	2	1.3	5	3.2
61-70		37	31	83.8	6	16.2	26	70.3	8	21.6	1	2.7	2	5.4
71 & ABOVE		5	4	80.0	1	20.0	4	80.0	1	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL		1488	1164	78.2	324	21.8	824	55.4	581	39.0	24	1.6	59	4.0

TABLE B1: 2009 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX				RACE/ETHNICITY								
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER		
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
SHASTA	UNDER 18	12	11	91.7	1	8.3	10	83.3	1	8.3	0	0.0	1	8.3	
	18-20	132	94	71.2	38	28.8	114	86.4	10	7.6	0	0.0	8	6.1	
	21-30	546	400	73.3	146	26.7	453	83.0	44	8.1	11	2.0	38	7.0	
	31-40	325	237	72.9	88	27.1	272	83.7	28	8.6	8	2.5	17	5.2	
	41-50	335	228	68.1	107	31.9	297	88.7	20	6.0	3	0.9	15	4.5	
	51-60	152	108	71.1	44	28.9	138	90.8	9	5.9	3	2.0	2	1.3	
	61-70	63	53	84.1	10	15.9	59	93.7	2	3.2	1	1.6	1	1.6	
	71 & ABOVE	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	4	80.0	0	0.0	1	20.0	0	0.0	
	TOTAL	1570	1136	72.4	434	27.6	1347	85.8	114	7.3	27	1.7	82	5.2	
	SIERRA	18-20	5	3	60.0	2	40.0	4	80.0	1	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
21-30		16	14	87.5	2	12.5	13	81.3	2	12.5	0	0.0	1	6.3	
31-40		9	6	66.7	3	33.3	8	88.9	1	11.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	
41-50		16	14	87.5	2	12.5	14	87.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	12.5	
51-60		12	10	83.3	2	16.7	9	75.0	2	16.7	0	0.0	1	8.3	
61-70		3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
TOTAL		61	50	82.0	11	18.0	51	83.6	6	9.8	0	0.0	4	6.6	
SISKIYOU		UNDER 18	2	1	50.0	1	50.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
		18-20	36	29	80.6	7	19.4	26	72.2	5	13.9	1	2.8	4	11.1
		21-30	135	100	74.1	35	25.9	101	74.8	20	14.8	3	2.2	11	8.1
	31-40	104	77	74.0	27	26.0	79	76.0	21	20.2	2	1.9	2	1.9	
	41-50	98	74	75.5	24	24.5	84	85.7	7	7.1	1	1.0	6	6.1	
	51-60	85	70	82.4	15	17.6	79	92.9	4	4.7	0	0.0	2	2.4	
	61-70	28	21	75.0	7	25.0	23	82.1	2	7.1	0	0.0	3	10.7	
	71 & ABOVE	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	3	75.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	25.0	
	TOTAL	492	376	76.4	116	23.6	397	80.7	59	12.0	7	1.4	29	5.9	
	SOLANO	UNDER 18	16	10	62.5	6	37.5	8	50.0	6	37.5	2	12.5	0	0.0
18-20		139	112	80.6	27	19.4	64	46.0	39	28.1	22	15.8	14	10.1	
21-30		735	598	81.4	137	18.6	293	39.9	256	34.8	141	19.2	45	6.1	
31-40		409	322	78.7	87	21.3	144	35.2	125	30.6	105	25.7	35	8.6	
41-50		344	259	75.3	85	24.7	162	47.1	77	22.4	87	25.3	18	5.2	
51-60		171	140	81.9	31	18.1	83	48.5	27	15.8	50	29.2	11	6.4	
61-70		48	41	85.4	7	14.6	31	64.6	4	8.3	11	22.9	2	4.2	
71 & ABOVE		8	3	37.5	5	62.5	5	62.5	0	0.0	3	37.5	0	0.0	
TOTAL		1870	1485	79.4	385	20.6	790	42.2	534	28.6	421	22.5	125	6.7	

TABLE B1: 2009 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SONOMA	UNDER 18	34	16	47.1	18	52.9	22	64.7	11	32.4	0	0.0	1	2.9
	18-20	274	207	75.5	67	24.5	153	55.8	104	38.0	3	1.1	14	5.1
	21-30	1444	1137	78.7	307	21.3	761	52.7	574	39.8	44	3.0	65	4.5
	31-40	766	613	80.0	153	20.0	417	54.4	287	37.5	29	3.8	33	4.3
	41-50	583	433	74.3	150	25.7	409	70.2	130	22.3	19	3.3	25	4.3
	51-60	355	263	74.1	92	25.9	297	83.7	45	12.7	7	2.0	6	1.7
	61-70	131	96	73.3	35	26.7	118	90.1	5	3.8	3	2.3	5	3.8
	71 & ABOVE	20	15	75.0	5	25.0	20	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	3607	2780	77.1	827	22.9	2197	60.9	1156	32.0	105	2.9	149	4.1
	STANISLAUS	UNDER 18	13	11	84.6	2	15.4	6	46.2	5	38.5	1	7.7	1
18-20		287	236	82.2	51	17.8	100	34.8	160	55.7	14	4.9	13	4.5
21-30		1526	1199	78.6	327	21.4	509	33.4	864	56.6	60	3.9	93	6.1
31-40		743	592	79.7	151	20.3	224	30.1	437	58.8	40	5.4	42	5.7
41-50		526	415	78.9	111	21.1	259	49.2	210	39.9	32	6.1	25	4.8
51-60		241	204	84.6	37	15.4	131	54.4	86	35.7	9	3.7	15	6.2
61-70		67	59	88.1	8	11.9	32	47.8	24	35.8	8	11.9	3	4.5
71 & ABOVE		14	12	85.7	2	14.3	11	78.6	3	21.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL		3417	2728	79.8	689	20.2	1272	37.2	1789	52.4	164	4.8	192	5.6
SUTTER		UNDER 18	3	2	66.7	1	33.3	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
	18-20	56	36	64.3	20	35.7	35	62.5	13	23.2	0	0.0	8	14.3
	21-30	249	198	79.5	51	20.5	113	45.4	115	46.2	6	2.4	15	6.0
	31-40	129	102	79.1	27	20.9	51	39.5	57	44.2	5	3.9	16	12.4
	41-50	108	76	70.4	32	29.6	70	64.8	23	21.3	5	4.6	10	9.3
	51-60	48	37	77.1	11	22.9	34	70.8	9	18.8	0	0.0	5	10.4
	61-70	20	16	80.0	4	20.0	16	80.0	3	15.0	0	0.0	1	5.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	2	66.7	1	33.3	2	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	33.3
	TOTAL	616	469	76.1	147	23.9	324	52.6	220	35.7	16	2.6	56	9.1
	TEHAMA	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
18-20		54	36	66.7	18	33.3	40	74.1	11	20.4	1	1.9	2	3.7
21-30		221	176	79.6	45	20.4	159	71.9	55	24.9	3	1.4	4	1.8
31-40		129	106	82.2	23	17.8	83	64.3	42	32.6	1	0.8	3	2.3
41-50		162	119	73.5	43	26.5	129	79.6	25	15.4	2	1.2	6	3.7
51-60		96	78	81.3	18	18.8	76	79.2	15	15.6	1	1.0	4	4.2
61-70		35	31	88.6	4	11.4	28	80.0	6	17.1	0	0.0	1	2.9
71 & ABOVE		13	11	84.6	2	15.4	12	92.3	1	7.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL		711	558	78.5	153	21.5	528	74.3	155	21.8	8	1.1	20	2.8

TABLE B1: 2009 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX		RACE/ETHNICITY											
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER			
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
TRINITY	UNDER 18	1	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	17	16	94.1	1	5.9	16	94.1	1	5.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	81	63	77.8	18	22.2	77	95.1	1	1.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	3.7
	31-40	69	49	71.0	20	29.0	63	91.3	4	5.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	2.9
	41-50	72	51	70.8	21	29.2	69	95.8	1	1.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	2.8
	51-60	45	28	62.2	17	37.8	41	91.1	3	6.7	1	2.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	10	8	80.0	2	20.0	10	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	296	216	73.0	80	27.0	278	93.9	10	3.4	1	0.3	7	2.4	0	0.0
	TULARE	UNDER 18	44	38	86.4	6	13.6	10	22.7	33	75.0	1	2.3	0	0.0	0
18-20		380	313	82.4	67	17.6	81	21.3	288	75.8	1	0.3	10	2.6	0	0.0
21-30		1693	1443	85.2	250	14.8	275	16.2	1343	79.3	34	2.0	41	2.4	0	0.0
31-40		935	804	86.0	131	14.0	174	18.6	731	78.2	14	1.5	16	1.7	0	0.0
41-50		590	496	84.1	94	15.9	153	25.9	415	70.3	14	2.4	8	1.4	0	0.0
51-60		235	201	85.5	34	14.5	81	34.5	141	60.0	4	1.7	9	3.8	0	0.0
61-70		61	53	86.9	8	13.1	26	42.6	32	52.5	1	1.6	2	3.3	0	0.0
71 & ABOVE		12	11	91.7	1	8.3	6	50.0	6	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL		3950	3359	85.0	591	15.0	806	20.4	2989	75.7	69	1.7	86	2.2	0	0.0
TUOLUMNE		UNDER 18	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	5	83.3	1	16.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
	18-20	38	27	71.1	11	28.9	34	89.5	3	7.9	0	0.0	1	2.6	0	0.0
	21-30	142	102	71.8	40	28.2	124	87.3	14	9.9	0	0.0	4	2.8	0	0.0
	31-40	81	51	63.0	30	37.0	72	88.9	8	9.9	0	0.0	1	1.2	0	0.0
	41-50	118	71	60.2	47	39.8	106	89.8	7	5.9	1	0.8	4	3.4	0	0.0
	51-60	67	48	71.6	19	28.4	61	91.0	6	9.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	28	23	82.1	5	17.9	25	89.3	3	10.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	7	4	57.1	3	42.9	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	487	331	68.0	156	32.0	434	89.1	42	8.6	1	0.2	10	2.1	0	0.0
	VENTURA	UNDER 18	48	34	70.8	14	29.2	21	43.8	24	50.0	0	0.0	3	6.3	0
18-20		479	375	78.3	104	21.7	220	45.9	239	49.9	5	1.0	15	3.1	0	0.0
21-30		2279	1826	80.1	453	19.9	835	36.6	1292	56.7	52	2.3	100	4.4	0	0.0
31-40		1126	907	80.6	219	19.4	380	33.7	673	59.8	33	2.9	40	3.6	0	0.0
41-50		913	654	71.6	259	28.4	492	53.9	353	38.7	30	3.3	38	4.2	0	0.0
51-60		437	326	74.6	111	25.4	281	64.3	129	29.5	10	2.3	17	3.9	0	0.0
61-70		109	83	76.1	26	23.9	75	68.8	25	22.9	4	3.7	5	4.6	0	0.0
71 & ABOVE		30	26	86.7	4	13.3	21	70.0	5	16.7	1	3.3	3	10.0	0	0.0
TOTAL		5421	4231	78.0	1190	22.0	2325	42.9	2740	50.5	135	2.5	221	4.1	0	0.0

TABLE B1: 2009 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX		RACE/ETHNICITY													
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER					
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%				
YOLO	UNDER 18	10	7	70.0	3	30.0	4	40.0	4	40.0	0	0.0	4	40.0	0	0.0	2	20.0
	18-20	107	86	80.4	21	19.6	52	48.6	45	42.1	2	1.9	45	42.1	2	1.9	8	7.5
	21-30	548	447	81.6	101	18.4	261	47.6	229	41.8	13	2.4	229	41.8	13	2.4	45	8.2
	31-40	260	214	82.3	46	17.7	115	44.2	117	44.2	3	1.2	115	44.2	3	1.2	16	6.2
	41-50	187	143	76.5	44	23.5	117	62.6	54	28.9	4	2.1	54	28.9	4	2.1	12	6.4
	51-60	89	70	78.7	19	21.3	61	68.5	25	28.1	2	2.2	25	28.1	2	2.2	1	1.1
	61-70	27	23	85.2	4	14.8	25	92.6	2	7.4	0	0.0	2	7.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1233	995	80.7	238	19.3	640	51.9	485	39.3	24	1.9	485	39.3	24	1.9	84	6.8
	YUBA	UNDER 18	4	2	50.0	2	50.0	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0
18-20		51	36	70.6	15	29.4	34	66.7	13	25.5	2	3.9	34	66.7	2	3.9	2	3.9
21-30		266	219	82.3	47	17.7	169	63.5	68	25.6	12	4.5	169	63.5	12	4.5	17	6.4
31-40		144	102	70.8	42	29.2	84	58.3	50	34.7	6	4.2	84	58.3	6	4.2	4	2.8
41-50		118	87	73.7	31	26.3	90	76.3	19	16.1	4	3.4	90	76.3	4	3.4	5	4.2
51-60		64	47	73.4	17	26.6	53	82.8	10	15.6	1	1.6	53	82.8	1	1.6	0	0.0
61-70		24	22	91.7	2	8.3	18	75.0	1	4.2	1	4.2	1	4.2	1	4.2	4	16.7
71 & ABOVE		8	7	87.5	1	12.5	7	87.5	1	12.5	1	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL		679	522	76.9	157	23.1	458	67.5	163	24.0	26	3.8	458	67.5	26	3.8	32	4.7

TABLE B2: 2008 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE		169035	100.0	135265	80.0	33770	20.0
ALAMEDA	UNDER 18	11	0.2	10	0.2	1	0.1
	18-20	358	5.9	276	5.8	82	6.4
	21-30	2546	42.3	1953	41.2	593	46.6
	31-40	1487	24.7	1205	25.4	282	22.2
	41-50	1001	16.6	797	16.8	204	16.0
	51-60	487	8.1	398	8.4	89	7.0
	61-70	112	1.9	95	2.0	17	1.3
	71 & ABOVE	17	0.3	12	0.3	5	0.4
	TOTAL	6019	100.0	4746	100.0	1273	100.0
ALPINE	21-30	3	21.4	3	25.0	0	0.0
	31-40	2	14.3	2	16.7	0	0.0
	41-50	6	42.9	4	33.3	2	100.0
	51-60	3	21.4	3	25.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	14	100.0	12	100.0	2	100.0
AMADOR	18-20	9	3.8	9	5.1	0	0.0
	21-30	63	26.9	51	28.8	12	21.1
	31-40	48	20.5	39	22.0	9	15.8
	41-50	66	28.2	45	25.4	21	36.8
	51-60	35	15.0	22	12.4	13	22.8
	61-70	10	4.3	9	5.1	1	1.8
	71 & ABOVE	3	1.3	2	1.1	1	1.8
	TOTAL	234	100.0	177	100.0	57	100.0
BUTTE	UNDER 18	12	0.7	7	0.6	5	1.3
	18-20	171	10.6	135	11.0	36	9.3
	21-30	652	40.3	496	40.4	156	40.1
	31-40	302	18.7	228	18.6	74	19.0
	41-50	267	16.5	198	16.1	69	17.7
	51-60	155	9.6	119	9.7	36	9.3
	61-70	50	3.1	37	3.0	13	3.3
	71 & ABOVE	9	0.6	9	0.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1618	100.0	1229	100.0	389	100.0
CALAVERAS	18-20	11	4.9	10	5.5	1	2.2
	21-30	51	22.6	49	27.1	2	4.4
	31-40	56	24.8	43	23.8	13	28.9
	41-50	58	25.7	40	22.1	18	40.0
	51-60	37	16.4	28	15.5	9	20.0
	61-70	11	4.9	9	5.0	2	4.4
	71 & ABOVE	2	0.9	2	1.1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	226	100.0	181	100.0	45	100.0
COLUSA	18-20	17	9.9	14	10.6	3	7.7
	21-30	59	34.5	48	36.4	11	28.2
	31-40	40	23.4	32	24.2	8	20.5
	41-50	37	21.6	24	18.2	13	33.3
	51-60	14	8.2	11	8.3	3	7.7
	61-70	1	0.6	1	0.8	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	1.8	2	1.5	1	2.6
	TOTAL	171	100.0	132	100.0	39	100.0
CONTRA COSTA	UNDER 18	21	0.6	16	0.6	5	0.6
	18-20	260	7.0	197	6.9	63	7.2
	21-30	1508	40.5	1149	40.2	359	41.3
	31-40	798	21.4	632	22.1	166	19.1
	41-50	689	18.5	518	18.1	171	19.7
	51-60	338	9.1	250	8.7	88	10.1
	61-70	91	2.4	78	2.7	13	1.5
	71 & ABOVE	23	0.6	18	0.6	5	0.6
	TOTAL	3728	100.0	2858	100.0	870	100.0

TABLE B2: 2008 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
DEL NORTE	18-20	11	7.2	7	6.2	4	10.3
	21-30	54	35.5	45	39.8	9	23.1
	31-40	27	17.8	19	16.8	8	20.5
	41-50	33	21.7	22	19.5	11	28.2
	51-60	22	14.5	17	15.0	5	12.8
	61-70	5	3.3	3	2.7	2	5.1
	TOTAL	152	100.0	113	100.0	39	100.0
	EL DORADO	UNDER 18	4	0.4	4	0.5	0
18-20		66	6.7	54	7.3	12	5.1
21-30		341	34.8	276	37.1	65	27.4
31-40		207	21.1	156	21.0	51	21.5
41-50		199	20.3	138	18.6	61	25.7
51-60		125	12.8	89	12.0	36	15.2
61-70		34	3.5	23	3.1	11	4.6
71 & ABOVE		4	0.4	3	0.4	1	0.4
TOTAL		980	100.0	743	100.0	237	100.0
FRESNO	UNDER 18	24	0.4	19	0.4	5	0.5
	18-20	400	7.4	322	7.3	78	7.8
	21-30	2366	43.9	1918	43.7	448	44.7
	31-40	1310	24.3	1101	25.1	209	20.9
	41-50	844	15.6	660	15.0	184	18.4
	51-60	362	6.7	298	6.8	64	6.4
	61-70	77	1.4	66	1.5	11	1.1
	71 & ABOVE	11	0.2	8	0.2	3	0.3
	TOTAL	5394	100.0	4392	100.0	1002	100.0
GLENN	UNDER 18	1	0.3	0	0.0	1	2.1
	18-20	21	7.1	17	6.8	4	8.3
	21-30	106	35.7	93	37.3	13	27.1
	31-40	65	21.9	54	21.7	11	22.9
	41-50	63	21.2	49	19.7	14	29.2
	51-60	32	10.8	27	10.8	5	10.4
	61-70	8	2.7	8	3.2	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.3	1	0.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	297	100.0	249	100.0	48	100.0
HUMBOLDT	UNDER 18	4	0.5	4	0.7	0	0.0
	18-20	43	5.5	36	6.2	7	3.5
	21-30	304	38.8	224	38.5	80	39.6
	31-40	195	24.9	154	26.5	41	20.3
	41-50	133	17.0	89	15.3	44	21.8
	51-60	85	10.8	59	10.1	26	12.9
	61-70	18	2.3	14	2.4	4	2.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	0.3	2	0.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	784	100.0	582	100.0	202	100.0
IMPERIAL	UNDER 18	1	0.1	1	0.1	0	0.0
	18-20	75	9.2	61	9.1	14	10.1
	21-30	315	38.8	253	37.5	62	44.9
	31-40	153	18.8	128	19.0	25	18.1
	41-50	157	19.3	135	20.0	22	15.9
	51-60	79	9.7	69	10.2	10	7.2
	61-70	29	3.6	25	3.7	4	2.9
	71 & ABOVE	3	0.4	2	0.3	1	0.7
	TOTAL	812	100.0	674	100.0	138	100.0

TABLE B2: 2008 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
INYO	UNDER 18	2	0.8	2	1.1	0	0.0
	18-20	19	7.9	10	5.7	9	13.2
	21-30	74	30.6	54	31.0	20	29.4
	31-40	41	16.9	30	17.2	11	16.2
	41-50	57	23.6	37	21.3	20	29.4
	51-60	29	12.0	22	12.6	7	10.3
	61-70	14	5.8	13	7.5	1	1.5
	71 & ABOVE	6	2.5	6	3.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	242	100.0	174	100.0	68	100.0
KERN	UNDER 18	34	0.7	26	0.6	8	1.1
	18-20	393	8.2	347	8.5	46	6.4
	21-30	2134	44.5	1822	44.8	312	43.1
	31-40	1065	22.2	916	22.5	149	20.6
	41-50	786	16.4	635	15.6	151	20.9
	51-60	301	6.3	250	6.1	51	7.0
	61-70	68	1.4	63	1.5	5	0.7
	71 & ABOVE	11	0.2	9	0.2	2	0.3
	TOTAL	4792	100.0	4068	100.0	724	100.0
KINGS	UNDER 18	5	0.5	5	0.6	0	0.0
	18-20	81	8.0	63	7.3	18	12.0
	21-30	444	43.9	379	44.0	65	43.3
	31-40	226	22.3	199	23.1	27	18.0
	41-50	153	15.1	128	14.8	25	16.7
	51-60	84	8.3	72	8.4	12	8.0
	61-70	15	1.5	12	1.4	3	2.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	0.4	4	0.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1012	100.0	862	100.0	150	100.0
LAKE	UNDER 18	5	1.2	3	0.9	2	1.9
	18-20	36	8.4	31	9.6	5	4.7
	21-30	100	23.3	83	25.6	17	16.0
	31-40	96	22.3	75	23.1	21	19.8
	41-50	90	20.9	56	17.3	34	32.1
	51-60	67	15.6	50	15.4	17	16.0
	61-70	27	6.3	18	5.6	9	8.5
	71 & ABOVE	9	2.1	8	2.5	1	0.9
	TOTAL	430	100.0	324	100.0	106	100.0
LASSEN	UNDER 18	1	0.6	1	0.8	0	0.0
	18-20	14	9.0	12	9.5	2	6.9
	21-30	50	32.3	40	31.7	10	34.5
	31-40	25	16.1	19	15.1	6	20.7
	41-50	35	22.6	27	21.4	8	27.6
	51-60	22	14.2	19	15.1	3	10.3
	61-70	7	4.5	7	5.6	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.6	1	0.8	0	0.0
	TOTAL	155	100.0	126	100.0	29	100.0
LOS ANGELES	UNDER 18	12	0.0	11	0.0	1	0.0
	18-20	1937	6.1	1537	5.9	400	6.7
	21-30	13907	43.5	10921	42.1	2986	49.7
	31-40	8001	25.0	6710	25.9	1291	21.5
	41-50	5238	16.4	4332	16.7	906	15.1
	51-60	2252	7.0	1894	7.3	358	6.0
	61-70	518	1.6	460	1.8	58	1.0
	71 & ABOVE	82	0.3	72	0.3	10	0.2
	TOTAL	31947	100.0	25937	100.0	6010	100.0

TABLE B2: 2008 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
MADERA	UNDER 18	8	0.9	8	1.1	0	0.0
	18-20	68	7.8	55	7.3	13	10.2
	21-30	337	38.5	303	40.5	34	26.8
	31-40	189	21.6	160	21.4	29	22.8
	41-50	153	17.5	123	16.4	30	23.6
	51-60	84	9.6	67	8.9	17	13.4
	61-70	30	3.4	26	3.5	4	3.1
	71 & ABOVE	7	0.8	7	0.9	0	0.0
	TOTAL	876	100.0	749	100.0	127	100.0
MARIN	UNDER 18	10	0.7	9	0.8	1	0.3
	18-20	83	5.6	67	5.8	16	4.8
	21-30	514	34.7	401	34.8	113	34.0
	31-40	355	23.9	285	24.8	70	21.1
	41-50	272	18.3	200	17.4	72	21.7
	51-60	171	11.5	134	11.6	37	11.1
	61-70	69	4.7	49	4.3	20	6.0
	71 & ABOVE	9	0.6	6	0.5	3	0.9
	TOTAL	1483	100.0	1151	100.0	332	100.0
MARIPOSA	18-20	5	6.8	5	8.6	0	0.0
	21-30	23	31.5	17	29.3	6	40.0
	31-40	15	20.5	11	19.0	4	26.7
	41-50	14	19.2	12	20.7	2	13.3
	51-60	13	17.8	10	17.2	3	20.0
	61-70	2	2.7	2	3.4	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	1.4	1	1.7	0	0.0
		TOTAL	73	100.0	58	100.0	15
MENDOCINO	UNDER 18	7	0.9	6	1.0	1	0.7
	18-20	57	7.4	43	6.9	14	9.5
	21-30	299	39.0	257	41.5	42	28.4
	31-40	157	20.5	126	20.4	31	20.9
	41-50	136	17.7	104	16.8	32	21.6
	51-60	92	12.0	68	11.0	24	16.2
	61-70	17	2.2	13	2.1	4	2.7
	71 & ABOVE	2	0.3	2	0.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	767	100.0	619	100.0	148	100.0
MERCED	UNDER 18	6	0.4	6	0.5	0	0.0
	18-20	138	8.7	122	9.2	16	6.2
	21-30	690	43.5	582	43.8	108	41.9
	31-40	349	22.0	290	21.8	59	22.9
	41-50	256	16.1	204	15.4	52	20.2
	51-60	116	7.3	99	7.5	17	6.6
	61-70	25	1.6	21	1.6	4	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	6	0.4	4	0.3	2	0.8
	TOTAL	1586	100.0	1328	100.0	258	100.0
MODOC	UNDER 18	2	3.1	2	3.8	0	0.0
	18-20	4	6.2	2	3.8	2	16.7
	21-30	15	23.1	12	22.6	3	25.0
	31-40	9	13.8	8	15.1	1	8.3
	41-50	18	27.7	14	26.4	4	33.3
	51-60	13	20.0	11	20.8	2	16.7
	61-70	4	6.2	4	7.5	0	0.0
		TOTAL	65	100.0	53	100.0	12

TABLE B2: 2008 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
MONO	UNDER 18	1	0.8	1	0.9	0	0.0
	18-20	11	8.3	9	8.2	2	8.7
	21-30	43	32.3	37	33.6	6	26.1
	31-40	35	26.3	32	29.1	3	13.0
	41-50	28	21.1	18	16.4	10	43.5
	51-60	10	7.5	8	7.3	2	8.7
	61-70	3	2.3	3	2.7	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	1.5	2	1.8	0	0.0
	TOTAL	133	100.0	110	100.0	23	100.0
MONTEREY	UNDER 18	10	0.4	9	0.4	1	0.2
	18-20	179	6.9	152	7.1	27	6.0
	21-30	1219	47.1	1025	47.9	194	43.4
	31-40	573	22.2	486	22.7	87	19.5
	41-50	344	13.3	264	12.3	80	17.9
	51-60	196	7.6	151	7.1	45	10.1
	61-70	55	2.1	47	2.2	8	1.8
	71 & ABOVE	10	0.4	5	0.2	5	1.1
	TOTAL	2586	100.0	2139	100.0	447	100.0
NAPA	UNDER 18	3	0.3	1	0.1	2	1.2
	18-20	84	9.5	69	9.7	15	8.9
	21-30	352	40.0	296	41.6	56	33.1
	31-40	191	21.7	152	21.3	39	23.1
	41-50	141	16.0	109	15.3	32	18.9
	51-60	88	10.0	69	9.7	19	11.2
	61-70	21	2.4	15	2.1	6	3.6
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.1	1	0.1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	881	100.0	712	100.0	169	100.0
NEVADA	UNDER 18	1	0.2	0	0.0	1	0.6
	18-20	44	6.7	35	7.0	9	5.7
	21-30	225	34.2	168	33.6	57	36.1
	31-40	133	20.2	110	22.0	23	14.6
	41-50	142	21.6	101	20.2	41	25.9
	51-60	90	13.7	70	14.0	20	12.7
	61-70	17	2.6	12	2.4	5	3.2
	71 & ABOVE	6	0.9	4	0.8	2	1.3
	TOTAL	658	100.0	500	100.0	158	100.0
ORANGE	UNDER 18	73	0.5	54	0.4	19	0.6
	18-20	1226	7.9	963	7.8	263	8.0
	21-30	7019	45.0	5540	44.9	1479	45.2
	31-40	3437	22.0	2805	22.7	632	19.3
	41-50	2525	16.2	1918	15.5	607	18.6
	51-60	989	6.3	777	6.3	212	6.5
	61-70	293	1.9	240	1.9	53	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	49	0.3	42	0.3	7	0.2
	TOTAL	15611	100.0	12339	100.0	3272	100.0
PLACER	UNDER 18	11	0.5	8	0.5	3	0.5
	18-20	176	7.8	130	7.8	46	7.8
	21-30	868	38.6	653	39.4	215	36.5
	31-40	487	21.7	366	22.1	121	20.5
	41-50	405	18.0	278	16.8	127	21.6
	51-60	230	10.2	169	10.2	61	10.4
	61-70	60	2.7	46	2.8	14	2.4
	71 & ABOVE	10	0.4	8	0.5	2	0.3
	TOTAL	2247	100.0	1658	100.0	589	100.0

TABLE B2: 2008 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
PLUMAS	UNDER 18	2	0.9	1	0.6	1	1.6
	18-20	14	6.1	10	5.9	4	6.6
	21-30	42	18.3	30	17.8	12	19.7
	31-40	61	26.5	40	23.7	21	34.4
	41-50	57	24.8	47	27.8	10	16.4
	51-60	38	16.5	26	15.4	12	19.7
	61-70	12	5.2	11	6.5	1	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	4	1.7	4	2.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	230	100.0	169	100.0	61	100.0
RIVERSIDE	UNDER 18	26	0.3	23	0.3	3	0.2
	18-20	792	8.8	620	8.6	172	9.6
	21-30	3788	42.0	3075	42.6	713	39.9
	31-40	1923	21.3	1576	21.8	347	19.4
	41-50	1593	17.7	1216	16.8	377	21.1
	51-60	649	7.2	519	7.2	130	7.3
	61-70	180	2.0	141	2.0	39	2.2
	71 & ABOVE	59	0.7	51	0.7	8	0.4
	TOTAL	9010	100.0	7221	100.0	1789	100.0
SACRAMENTO	UNDER 18	36	0.5	31	0.6	5	0.3
	18-20	531	7.5	397	7.4	134	7.8
	21-30	3317	46.9	2490	46.5	827	48.2
	31-40	1478	20.9	1132	21.2	346	20.2
	41-50	1080	15.3	797	14.9	283	16.5
	51-60	504	7.1	408	7.6	96	5.6
	61-70	104	1.5	83	1.6	21	1.2
	71 & ABOVE	17	0.2	14	0.3	3	0.2
	TOTAL	7067	100.0	5352	100.0	1715	100.0
SAN BENITO	UNDER 18	3	1.0	3	1.2	0	0.0
	18-20	30	9.9	27	10.4	3	6.8
	21-30	103	34.0	94	36.3	9	20.5
	31-40	90	29.7	73	28.2	17	38.6
	41-50	45	14.9	34	13.1	11	25.0
	51-60	25	8.3	22	8.5	3	6.8
	61-70	4	1.3	3	1.2	1	2.3
	71 & ABOVE	3	1.0	3	1.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	303	100.0	259	100.0	44	100.0
SAN BERNARDINO	UNDER 18	30	0.3	25	0.3	5	0.3
	18-20	772	7.5	627	7.5	145	7.7
	21-30	4294	41.9	3489	41.7	805	42.7
	31-40	2354	22.9	1936	23.1	418	22.2
	41-50	1739	17.0	1408	16.8	331	17.6
	51-60	788	7.7	638	7.6	150	8.0
	61-70	236	2.3	209	2.5	27	1.4
	71 & ABOVE	46	0.4	43	0.5	3	0.2
	TOTAL	10259	100.0	8375	100.0	1884	100.0
SAN DIEGO	UNDER 18	47	0.3	39	0.3	8	0.2
	18-20	1293	8.3	987	8.0	306	9.2
	21-30	7206	46.0	5699	46.2	1507	45.3
	31-40	3305	21.1	2686	21.8	619	18.6
	41-50	2394	15.3	1816	14.7	578	17.4
	51-60	1087	6.9	847	6.9	240	7.2
	61-70	267	1.7	210	1.7	57	1.7
	71 & ABOVE	59	0.4	48	0.4	11	0.3
	TOTAL	15658	100.0	12332	100.0	3326	100.0

TABLE B2: 2008 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
SAN FRANCISCO	UNDER 18	3	0.3	3	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	49	4.4	39	4.4	10	4.4
	21-30	477	42.5	370	41.3	107	47.3
	31-40	337	30.0	274	30.6	63	27.9
	41-50	152	13.5	128	14.3	24	10.6
	51-60	72	6.4	56	6.3	16	7.1
	61-70	29	2.6	24	2.7	5	2.2
	71 & ABOVE	3	0.3	2	0.2	1	0.4
	TOTAL	1122	100.0	896	100.0	226	100.0
SAN JOAQUIN	UNDER 18	9	0.3	6	0.2	3	0.5
	18-20	287	8.0	234	8.0	53	8.3
	21-30	1481	41.4	1232	41.9	249	39.2
	31-40	789	22.1	671	22.8	118	18.6
	41-50	620	17.3	481	16.4	139	21.9
	51-60	289	8.1	225	7.7	64	10.1
	61-70	85	2.4	78	2.7	7	1.1
	71 & ABOVE	14	0.4	12	0.4	2	0.3
	TOTAL	3574	100.0	2939	100.0	635	100.0
SAN LUIS OBISPO	UNDER 18	15	0.7	10	0.6	5	1.1
	18-20	233	11.4	180	11.4	53	11.3
	21-30	873	42.6	682	43.2	191	40.6
	31-40	380	18.5	304	19.3	76	16.1
	41-50	288	14.0	210	13.3	78	16.6
	51-60	200	9.8	149	9.4	51	10.8
	61-70	50	2.4	34	2.2	16	3.4
	71 & ABOVE	11	0.5	10	0.6	1	0.2
	TOTAL	2050	100.0	1579	100.0	471	100.0
SAN MATEO	UNDER 18	21	0.8	15	0.7	6	1.1
	18-20	198	7.3	148	6.8	50	9.0
	21-30	1193	43.8	966	44.5	227	40.9
	31-40	601	22.0	492	22.7	109	19.6
	41-50	416	15.3	301	13.9	115	20.7
	51-60	224	8.2	189	8.7	35	6.3
	61-70	62	2.3	51	2.3	11	2.0
	71 & ABOVE	11	0.4	9	0.4	2	0.4
	TOTAL	2726	100.0	2171	100.0	555	100.0
SANTA BARBARA	UNDER 18	22	0.8	15	0.7	7	1.5
	18-20	321	11.8	261	11.6	60	12.6
	21-30	1212	44.4	1030	45.7	182	38.2
	31-40	482	17.6	417	18.5	65	13.7
	41-50	434	15.9	332	14.7	102	21.4
	51-60	210	7.7	160	7.1	50	10.5
	61-70	37	1.4	32	1.4	5	1.1
	71 & ABOVE	13	0.5	8	0.4	5	1.1
	TOTAL	2731	100.0	2255	100.0	476	100.0
SANTA CLARA	UNDER 18	35	0.5	26	0.5	9	0.7
	18-20	493	7.3	390	7.1	103	8.4
	21-30	3219	47.9	2614	47.6	605	49.3
	31-40	1516	22.6	1284	23.4	232	18.9
	41-50	930	13.8	740	13.5	190	15.5
	51-60	405	6.0	333	6.1	72	5.9
	61-70	105	1.6	90	1.6	15	1.2
	71 & ABOVE	13	0.2	12	0.2	1	0.1
	TOTAL	6716	100.0	5489	100.0	1227	100.0

TABLE B2: 2008 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
SANTA CRUZ	UNDER 18	16	1.2	13	1.3	3	1.1
	18-20	130	10.0	98	9.5	32	12.3
	21-30	509	39.3	414	40.0	95	36.4
	31-40	266	20.5	226	21.8	40	15.3
	41-50	213	16.4	154	14.9	59	22.6
	51-60	132	10.2	106	10.2	26	10.0
	61-70	26	2.0	20	1.9	6	2.3
	71 & ABOVE	4	0.3	4	0.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1296	100.0	1035	100.0	261	100.0
SHASTA	UNDER 18	10	0.7	8	0.7	2	0.5
	18-20	134	9.1	98	9.1	36	8.9
	21-30	529	35.8	395	36.7	134	33.3
	31-40	298	20.2	218	20.3	80	19.9
	41-50	293	19.8	195	18.1	98	24.3
	51-60	159	10.8	116	10.8	43	10.7
	61-70	47	3.2	39	3.6	8	2.0
	71 & ABOVE	8	0.5	6	0.6	2	0.5
	TOTAL	1478	100.0	1075	100.0	403	100.0
SIERRA	21-30	2	9.5	1	6.3	1	20.0
	31-40	3	14.3	2	12.5	1	20.0
	41-50	11	52.4	9	56.3	2	40.0
	51-60	4	19.0	3	18.8	1	20.0
	61-70	1	4.8	1	6.3	0	0.0
		TOTAL	21	100.0	16	100.0	5
SISKIYOU	UNDER 18	4	1.2	2	0.8	2	2.3
	18-20	25	7.4	19	7.7	6	6.8
	21-30	103	30.7	72	29.0	31	35.2
	31-40	75	22.3	55	22.2	20	22.7
	41-50	68	20.2	50	20.2	18	20.5
	51-60	44	13.1	35	14.1	9	10.2
	61-70	12	3.6	10	4.0	2	2.3
	71 & ABOVE	5	1.5	5	2.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	336	100.0	248	100.0	88	100.0
SOLANO	UNDER 18	14	0.8	10	0.8	4	1.1
	18-20	124	7.4	96	7.3	28	8.0
	21-30	696	41.6	561	42.4	135	38.5
	31-40	381	22.8	306	23.1	75	21.4
	41-50	269	16.1	196	14.8	73	20.8
	51-60	146	8.7	116	8.8	30	8.5
	61-70	40	2.4	34	2.6	6	1.7
	71 & ABOVE	3	0.2	3	0.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1673	100.0	1322	100.0	351	100.0
SONOMA	UNDER 18	29	0.9	20	0.8	9	1.3
	18-20	235	7.7	177	7.4	58	8.6
	21-30	1342	43.7	1081	45.1	261	38.8
	31-40	653	21.3	543	22.7	110	16.3
	41-50	459	15.0	323	13.5	136	20.2
	51-60	271	8.8	192	8.0	79	11.7
	61-70	70	2.3	53	2.2	17	2.5
	71 & ABOVE	11	0.4	8	0.3	3	0.4
	TOTAL	3070	100.0	2397	100.0	673	100.0

TABLE B2: 2008 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
STANISLAUS	UNDER 18	21	0.8	18	0.9	3	0.6
	18-20	241	9.2	190	9.1	51	9.8
	21-30	1209	46.2	975	46.5	234	45.0
	31-40	563	21.5	457	21.8	106	20.4
	41-50	362	13.8	277	13.2	85	16.3
	51-60	177	6.8	142	6.8	35	6.7
	61-70	39	1.5	33	1.6	6	1.2
	71 & ABOVE	6	0.2	6	0.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2618	100.0	2098	100.0	520	100.0
SUTTER	UNDER 18	3	0.6	2	0.5	1	1.0
	18-20	58	11.4	47	11.5	11	10.9
	21-30	198	38.8	161	39.4	37	36.6
	31-40	103	20.2	85	20.8	18	17.8
	41-50	100	19.6	71	17.4	29	28.7
	51-60	31	6.1	28	6.8	3	3.0
	61-70	16	3.1	14	3.4	2	2.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.2	1	0.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	510	100.0	409	100.0	101	100.0
TEHAMA	UNDER 18	4	0.9	4	1.1	0	0.0
	18-20	33	7.1	29	8.1	4	3.8
	21-30	153	33.0	121	33.6	32	30.8
	31-40	94	20.3	71	19.7	23	22.1
	41-50	96	20.7	69	19.2	27	26.0
	51-60	59	12.7	46	12.8	13	12.5
	61-70	18	3.9	15	4.2	3	2.9
	71 & ABOVE	7	1.5	5	1.4	2	1.9
	TOTAL	464	100.0	360	100.0	104	100.0
TRINITY	18-20	3	2.8	3	3.6	0	0.0
	21-30	31	29.0	23	27.4	8	34.8
	31-40	21	19.6	19	22.6	2	8.7
	41-50	23	21.5	18	21.4	5	21.7
	51-60	21	19.6	14	16.7	7	30.4
	61-70	7	6.5	6	7.1	1	4.3
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.9	1	1.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	107	100.0	84	100.0	23	100.0
TULARE	UNDER 18	12	0.4	10	0.4	2	0.4
	18-20	286	8.7	239	8.6	47	9.5
	21-30	1492	45.6	1269	45.6	223	45.1
	31-40	770	23.5	658	23.7	112	22.6
	41-50	458	14.0	388	14.0	70	14.1
	51-60	195	6.0	159	5.7	36	7.3
	61-70	52	1.6	48	1.7	4	0.8
	71 & ABOVE	10	0.3	9	0.3	1	0.2
	TOTAL	3275	100.0	2780	100.0	495	100.0
TUOLUMNE	UNDER 18	4	1.0	2	0.6	2	2.0
	18-20	27	6.4	22	6.9	5	5.0
	21-30	136	32.3	106	33.1	30	29.7
	31-40	66	15.7	54	16.9	12	11.9
	41-50	102	24.2	71	22.2	31	30.7
	51-60	64	15.2	47	14.7	17	16.8
	61-70	16	3.8	14	4.4	2	2.0
	71 & ABOVE	6	1.4	4	1.2	2	2.0
	TOTAL	421	100.0	320	100.0	101	100.0

TABLE B2: 2008 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
VENTURA	UNDER 18	29	0.6	21	0.6	8	0.8
	18-20	396	8.2	312	8.2	84	8.4
	21-30	2153	44.8	1711	45.0	442	44.1
	31-40	1050	21.9	880	23.2	170	16.9
	41-50	732	15.2	536	14.1	196	19.5
	51-60	343	7.1	262	6.9	81	8.1
	61-70	85	1.8	67	1.8	18	1.8
	71 & ABOVE	16	0.3	12	0.3	4	0.4
	TOTAL	4804	100.0	3801	100.0	1003	100.0
YOLO	UNDER 18	8	0.7	8	0.8	0	0.0
	18-20	106	9.3	88	9.1	18	10.1
	21-30	537	47.1	461	47.9	76	42.5
	31-40	221	19.4	193	20.1	28	15.6
	41-50	172	15.1	129	13.4	43	24.0
	51-60	72	6.3	60	6.2	12	6.7
	61-70	20	1.8	18	1.9	2	1.1
	71 & ABOVE	5	0.4	5	0.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1141	100.0	962	100.0	179	100.0
YUBA	UNDER 18	2	0.5	1	0.3	1	1.3
	18-20	30	7.5	22	6.7	8	10.5
	21-30	160	39.8	130	39.9	30	39.5
	31-40	91	22.6	74	22.7	17	22.4
	41-50	68	16.9	54	16.6	14	18.4
	51-60	36	9.0	30	9.2	6	7.9
	61-70	12	3.0	12	3.7	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	0.7	3	0.9	0	0.0
	TOTAL	402	100.0	326	100.0	76	100.0

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2008 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE ¹	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ²	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSALS ³	MEDIAN ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)			
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE		
STATEWIDE	78.7%		164291	4744	17887	2897	3465	1826	81	8		
ALAMEDA		OAKLAND	115	18	1	2	7	0	172	53		
		JUV OAKLAND	15	0	0	0	1	0	96	63		
		ALAMEDA	125	4	29	4	4	16	50	0		
		FREMONT	688	3	153	20	11	136	3	3		
		PLEASANTON	999	4	329	19	19	0	107	6		
		OAKLAND	2260	13	406	36	31	33	82	3		
		HAYWARD	1772	7	199	24	51	31	101	6		
		TOTAL	5974	45	1117	105	124	86	98	5		
		ALPINE		ALPINE	14	0	6	1	0	1	50	10
		TOTAL		TOTAL	14	0	6	1	0	1	50	10
AMADOR		JACKSON	228	6	44	5	8	7	60	7		
		TOTAL	228	6	44	5	8	7	60	7		
		TOTAL	228	6	44	5	8	7	60	7		
BUTTE		BUTTE	1531	52	372	65	46	3	81	13		
		JUV BUTTE	17	0	0	0	1	0	131	6		
		CHICO	14	0	0	0	2	26	38	40		
		OROVILLE	3	0	1	0	1	16	88	26		
		PARADISE	1	0	1	0	0	3	37	308		
		TOTAL	1566	52	374	65	50	48	81	13		
		CALAVERAS		CALAVERAS	215	11	60	9	11	60	5	
		TOTAL		TOTAL	215	11	60	9	11	60	5	
		COLUSA		COLUSA	2	2	1	0	0	0	119	34
				COLUSA OAK	166	1	21	9	3	4	61	8
TOTAL	168			3	22	9	3	4	63	8		
CONTRA COSTA		CONTRA COSTA	12	57	0	0	1	0	254	28		
		MARTINEZ EST DV	30	0	8	0	0	0	130	46		
		CONCORD	18	1	0	0	2	12	109	6		
		RICHMOND	767	20	140	2	21	16	123	14		
		PITTSBURG	930	25	94	2	23	11	155	4		
		WALNUT CREEK	1836	32	293	1	17	15	143	10		
		TOTAL	3593	135	535	5	64	54	142	10		
		DEL NORTE		DEL NORTE	141	11	57	4	11	73	27	
		TOTAL		TOTAL	141	11	57	4	11	73	27	
		EL DORADO		SO LAKE TAHOE	369	8	92	15	4	1	72	21
PLACERVILLE	569			34	179	10	10	6	88	7		
TOTAL	938			42	271	25	14	7	84	11		
FRESNO		JUV FRESNO	26	4	0	0	0	0	113	155		
		FRESNO CENTRAL	3667	246	692	30	64	6	98	1		
		CLOVIS	434	3	85	2	3	4	97	1		
		COALINGA	150	5	27	2	3	0	115	1		
		TOTAL	4627	258	1404	32	74	10	214	13		

¹The information on DUI conviction rates by county is not available in this report due to still unresolved DUI data reporting problems.
²This count includes misdemeanors which carried a felony disposition code. These counts do not include 4th offenses (in ten years) which are statutorily defined as felonies.
³These dismissals were extracted from DMV's DUI Audit and Tracking System Summary Report.

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2008 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE ¹	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ²	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSALS ³	MEDIAN ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)		
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE	
FRESNO (cont)		FIREBAUGH	121	4	24	0	6	0	123	1	
		FOWLER	78	3	10	0	2	0	89	1	
		KERMAN	80	2	8	3	1	0	114	1	
		KINGSBURG	125	7	11	1	1	1	92	1	
		REEDLEY	308	15	34	0	2	0	99	1	
		SUPSANGER	2	0	0	0	1	1	91	2	
		SELMA	109	5	9	0	3	0	97	1	
		TOTAL	5100	294	900	38	86	12	99	1	
		GLENN	284	13	66	7	4	2	68	8	
		TOTAL	284	13	66	7	4	2	68	8	
HUMBOLDT		EUREKA	770	14	247	46	35	3	88	21	
		TOTAL	770	14	247	46	35	3	88	21	
		IMPERIAL	8	1	1	1	0	0	106	139	
IMPERIAL		JUV IMPERIAL	1	0	0	0	2	0	54	173	
		BRAWLEY	137	0	8	11	3	49	159	19	
		CALEXICO	255	0	1	55	4	0	136	22	
		EL CENTRO	397	12	43	51	17	0	132	13	
		WINTERHAVEN	1	0	0	0	0	0	144	6	
		TOTAL	799	13	53	118	26	49	138	16	
	INYO		INYO	2	7	0	0	0	0	116	12
			BISHOP	230	2	43	5	5	2	67	3
			JUV INYO	1	0	0	0	0	0	60	36
			TOTAL	233	9	43	5	5	2	67	3
KERN		KERN	6	2	0	0	0	46	40	30	
		JUV KERN	48	2	0	0	0	0	94	17	
		LAMONT	345	20	37	7	12	0	25	1	
		BAKERSFIELD	2742	66	283	70	34	6	39	14	
		DELANO	302	21	16	1	4	4	28	5	
		LAKE ISABELLA	88	0	25	2	2	1	46	0	
		TAFT	186	3	21	4	4	2	40	2	
		SHAFTER	351	10	46	5	8	2	25	1	
		MOJAVE	390	10	83	20	12	7	38	0	
		RIDGECREST	195	5	37	15	5	6	49	0	
		TOTAL	4653	139	548	124	81	74	36	12	
	KINGS		JUV KINGS	7	0	0	0	2	0	108	4
			HANFORD	793	24	44	8	5	8	113	0
			AVENAL	106	2	6	0	1	0	102	0
		CORCORAN	77	3	1	1	1	3	150	1	
		TOTAL	983	29	51	9	9	11	116	0	
LAKE		LAKE	226	7	22	3	16	112	108	15	
		CLEARLAKE	192	5	26	19	6	142	124	49	
		TOTAL	418	12	48	22	22	254	117	17	

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2008 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE ¹	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ²	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSALS ³	MEDIAN ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
LASSEN		JUV LASSEN	1	0	0	0	0	0	27	1
		SUSANVILLE	150	4	12	9	5	1	102	12
		TOTAL	151	4	12	9	5	1	101	12
LOS ANGELES		LOS ANGELES	113	55	1	0	2	0	78	9
		POMONA	90	33	0	0	0	0	60	6
		LANCASTER	32	14	0	0	0	0	93	26
		SAN FERNANDO	72	16	0	0	2	0	93	18
		PASADENA	8	6	0	0	0	0	192	10
		VAN NUYS	16	8	0	0	0	0	143	18
		LONG BEACH	30	16	0	0	0	0	107	9
		COMPTON	6	8	0	0	0	0	242	10
		NORWALK	33	29	0	0	0	0	103	6
		TORRANCE	22	8	0	0	0	0	184	6
		SANTA MONICA	29	12	1	0	1	0	157	6
		JUV LOS ANGELES	3	0	0	0	0	0	87	0
		LOS ANGELES DLQ	10	1	0	0	0	0	137	6
		LANGELES AIRPRT	1487	9	240	55	54	0	95	44
		ALHAMBRA	822	16	46	6	20	7	97	5
		LANCASTER	1451	22	81	20	24	25	68	5
		BEVERLY HILLS	371	8	32	7	2	0	134	5
		BURBANK	349	2	23	1	2	0	48	6
		WEST COVINA	2003	18	81	4	40	0	83	5
		CHATSWORTH	2	0	0	0	0	0	125	149
		COMPTON	1020	29	59	16	18	7	101	9
		DOWNEY	1070	6	26	25	15	6	81	5
		EAST LOS ANGELES	1170	11	52	12	9	15	69	6
		ELMONTE	729	10	33	5	10	9	86	16
		GLENDALE	473	2	81	6	15	1	95	6
		INGLEWOOD	346	9	29	15	6	5	103	6
		LONG BEACH	1650	17	186	24	46	0	46	12
		LA METRO	6451	19	732	8	681	5	50	30
		BELLFLOWER	775	12	64	6	12	0	97	5
		SANTA CLARITA	1083	11	125	36	17	8	90	5
		PASADENA	910	7	233	67	38	7	90	5
		MALIBU	291	7	62	14	5	3	122	5
		POMONA	1268	18	65	3	24	11	84	5
		HUNTINGTON PK	2	0	0	0	1	1	106	0
		SANTA MONICA	1	0	0	0	1	0	210	8
		TORRANCE	1618	12	296	24	22	3	95	5
		WHITTIER	954	18	37	4	8	6	95	5
		HOLLYWOOD	132	0	8	1	4	1	48	5
		SAN FERNANDO	1880	20	203	47	37	2	45	10
		VAN NUYS	2589	29	214	7	172	5	43	6
		L ANGELES PURD	6	0	0	0	2	0	135	344

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2008 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE ¹	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ²	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSALS ³	MEDIAN ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)		
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE	
LOS ANGELES (cont)		AVALON	12	0	0	0	1	0	53	9	
		US DIST CTLA	50	0	5	0	0	0	95	22	
		TOTAL	31429	518	3015	413	1291	127	71	9	
MADERA		MADERA	38	12	1	0	1	9	182	11	
		JUV MADERA	11	0	0	0	0	0	85	23	
		CHOWCHILLA	568	1	66	16	6	1	187	35	
		MADERA CRIM	52	0	6	1	0	2	45	14	
		BASS LAKE SIERRA	192	2	69	5	1	2	203	1	
	TOTAL	861	15	142	22	8	14	186	22		
MARIN		MARIN	1461	22	0	3	38	6	73	28	
		TOTAL	1461	22	0	3	38	6	73	28	
MARIPOSA		MARIPOSA JUD	68	5	11	6	2	1	80	15	
		TOTAL	68	5	11	6	2	1	80	15	
MENDOCINO		MENDOCINO	8	13	2	0	0	0	68	71	
		JUV MENDOCINO	10	0	1	0	0	0	66	29	
		WILLITS	149	3	34	2	2	0	50	97	
		UKIAH	427	6	120	10	9	25	59	69	
		POINT ARENA	12	0	2	2	4	0	81	79	
		LEGGETT	1	0	0	0	0	0	40	6	
		COVELO	5	0	3	0	0	0	66	228	
		FORT BRAGG	128	5	29	7	3	0	61	140	
		TOTAL	740	27	191	21	18	25	58	83	
	MERCED		MERCED PROB	2	0	0	0	0	0	242	1
			MERCED	1204	17	162	32	30	17	151	98
			LOS BANOS	358	5	55	12	5	8	151	64
			TOTAL	1564	22	217	44	35	25	151	92
MODOC		ALTURAS	63	2	2	2	2	7	62	7	
		TOTAL	63	2	2	2	2	7	62	7	
MONO		MONO	2	0	0	0	0	1	330	39	
		BRIDGEPORT	31	0	0	0	0	0	58	34	
		MAMMOTH LAKES	98	2	4	4	2	4	68	20	
		TOTAL	131	2	4	4	2	5	66	21	
MONTEREY		MONTEREY	125	26	9	1	0	0	80	19	
		JUV MONTEREY	16	0	1	0	0	0	143	10	
		MARINA	19	0	0	0	23	2	56	37	
		SALINAS	2016	9	340	47	31	21	29	29	
		KING CITY	369	6	45	14	6	3	58	23	
		TOTAL	2545	41	395	62	60	26	49	29	
NAPA		NAPA	857	24	66	4	19	5	45	4	
		TOTAL	857	24	66	4	19	5	45	4	
NEVADA		NEVADA	4	9	1	0	0	0	196	36	
		JUV NEVADA	1	0	0	0	0	1	75	44	
		JUV TRUCKEE	2	0	0	0	0	0	61	98	
		NEVADA CITY	422	4	43	25	8	6	54	115	

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2008 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE ¹	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ²	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSALS ³	MEDIAN ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)		
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE	
NEVADA (cont)		TRUCKEE	212	4	45	0	3	3	58	6	
	ORANGE	TOTAL	641	17	89	25	11	10	58	42	
ORANGE		JUV ORANGE	106	2	0	0	1	0	94	11	
		FULLERTON	4050	96	196	23	29	10	60	0	
		WESTMINSTER	3655	79	250	13	38	30	101	0	
		LAGUNA HILLS	1264	55	89	4	12	1	108	0	
		LAGUNA NIGUEL	1	0	0	0	0	13	110	222	
		NEWPORT BEACH	3417	82	203	14	21	6	99	0	
		SANTA ANA	2694	110	110	18	13	7	114	0	
		TOTAL	15187	424	848	72	114	67	92	0	
	PLACER		JUV PLACER	20	1	0	0	0	1	112	6
			ROSEVILLE	1969	85	134	10	12	36	88	15
		TAHOE CITY	171	1	49	6	2	0	66	1	
		TOTAL	2160	87	183	16	14	37	86	14	
PLUMAS		JUV PLUMAS	1	0	0	0	0	0	127	470	
		PORTOLA	1	0	0	0	0	0	94	18	
		QUINCY	225	3	46	17	2	1	49	13	
		TOTAL	227	3	46	17	2	1	49	13	
RIVERSIDE		RIVERSIDE	3925	136	80	1	3	19	90	19	
		INDIO	63	21	0	0	0	25	90	5	
		JUV RIVERSIDE	30	3	0	0	0	0	128	14	
		JUV INDIO	2	0	0	0	0	0	140	261	
		JUV MURRIETA	5	0	0	0	0	0	212	15	
		HEMET	2	0	0	51	44	0	112	253	
		BANNING	458	2	5	12	16	8	87	2	
		INDIO	1928	6	72	108	18	7	81	3	
		BLYTHE	147	0	13	6	3	3	53	1	
		MURRIETA	2259	15	56	66	11	13	94	5	
		TEMECULA	8	0	0	0	9	3	105	4	
		TOTAL	8827	183	226	244	104	78	89	6	
	SACRAMENTO		SACRAMENTO	154	217	3	0	5	0	114	14
			JUV SACRAMENTO	37	3	0	0	0	0	77	21
		SACRAMENTO CM	6566	84	623	9	112	20	59	16	
		US DIST COURT	6	0	0	0	0	0	180	67	
SAN BENITO		TOTAL	6763	304	626	9	117	20	62	16	
		SAN BENITO	282	17	28	2	6	6	130	34	
		JUV SAN BENITO	4	0	0	0	0	0	41	144	
		TOTAL	286	17	28	2	6	6	130	40	
SAN BERNARDINO		SAN BERNARDINO	53	52	0	0	0	30	146	50	
		R CUCAMONGA	67	31	0	0	1	0	80	39	
		VICTORVILLE	71	43	0	0	0	0	79	49	
		BARSTOW	513	13	90	15	10	10	102	10	
JOSHUA TREE		JOSHUA TREE	29	5	0	0	0	5	109	53	
		TOTAL	29	5	0	0	0	5	109	53	

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2008 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE ¹	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ²	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSALS ³	MEDIAN ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)		
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE	
SAN BERNARDINO (cont)		JUV INFT SN BRDN	1	0	0	0	0	0	142	0	
		JUV SN BRNDNO	24	1	0	1	1	0	132	37	
		JUV R CUCMONGA	7	0	0	0	1	0	82	6	
		JUV VICTORVILLE	7	1	0	1	1	0	98	8	
		CHINO	553	17	7	4	7	0	108	3	
		REDLANDS	14	0	1	0	0	4	91	4	
		SN BRNDND IV	2701	20	132	66	69	3	144	3	
		FONTANA	1036	28	34	39	19	0	137	3	
		VICTORVILLE	1437	20	143	47	38	26	220	5	
		RN CHCUMGA DIST	2722	26	45	33	34	16	114	3	
		BIG BEAR LAKE	202	5	14	11	9	1	107	4	
		SUP NEEDLES	82	4	61	28	5	3	81	5	
		JOSHUA TREE DIST	470	4	73	50	15	2	103	9	
		TOTAL	9989	270	600	295	210	100	137	4	
	SAN DIEGO		SAN DIEGO	113	156	0	0	0	36	121	7
			VISTA	53	182	3	2	3	100	84	32
			JUV SAN DIEGO	78	3	0	0	2	0	147	5
		EL CAJON	2626	118	404	66	36	19	60	8	
		VISTA	4435	70	633	162	37	0	46	13	
		VISTA	32	0	0	0	12	17	60	105	
		SN DIEGO KRNYBR	5669	15	717	70	72	15	83	6	
		CHULA VISTA	2065	41	188	34	15	4	85	34	
		US CT SN DIEGO	2	0	0	0	0	0	467	4	
		TOTAL	15073	585	1945	334	177	191	67	8	
SAN FRANCISCO			SAN FRANCISCO	22	7	0	0	1	0	110	3
		JUV SAN FRAN	3	0	0	0	0	0	87	0	
		JUV DEL SAN FRAN	1	0	0	0	0	0	180	3	
		TRAF SAN FRAN	1071	18	185	141	8	74	87	5	
		TOTAL	1097	25	185	141	9	74	87	5	
		JUV SAN JOAQUIN	17	0	1	2	2	0	197	48	
		LODI	548	23	44	8	12	2	35	7	
SAN JOAQUIN		MANTECA	503	17	123	3	7	4	47	3	
		TRACY	347	12	81	4	5	3	39	3	
		STOCKTON	2019	88	345	22	39	26	35	6	
		TOTAL	3434	140	594	39	65	35	39	6	
		JUV S L OBISPO	18	0	3	0	2	0	78	1	
		S L OBISPO MONT	1952	80	379	48	56	7	46	16	
SAN LUIS OBISPO		TOTAL	1970	80	382	48	58	7	46	16	
		SAN MATEO	42	48	0	0	3	0	133	50	
		JUV SAN N MATEO	25	2	1	0	3	0	120	8	
SAN MATEO		SAN MATEO NO MB	6	0	0	0	4	0	75	1	
		SO SN FRANCSCO	1383	9	302	2	30	16	82	11	
		REDWOOD CITY	1198	13	211	3	24	14	81	7	
		TOTAL	2654	72	514	5	64	30	82	9	

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2008 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE ¹	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ²	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSALS ³	MEDIAN ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SANTA BARBARA		JUV SNTA BARBRA	6	1	0	0	0	0	49	85
		JUV S MARIA WST	17	1	0	0	0	0	23	15
		SNT BARBARA FIG	1244	53	235	68	36	2	56	581
		MILL S MARIA	1095	55	38	43	6	2	38	455
		LOMPOC	249	10	13	11	4	0	39	604
		TOTAL	2611	120	286	122	46	4	46	518 ⁴
			SANTA CLARA	151	131	0	0	2	98	48
SANTA CLARA		JUV SNTA CLARA	54	1	0	0	0	0	125	22
		PALO ALTO	1025	5	161	33	9	0	81	10
		SAN JOSE	4655	58	250	42	42	10	53	4
		SAN JOSE TRAF	19	0	0	0	5	1	102	1
		PALO ALTO	5	2	1	0	0	0	70	24
		SAN MARTIN	602	8	83	4	6	2	76	7
		TOTAL	6511	205	495	79	64	13	67	6
			SANTA CRUZ	22	9	1	0	0	110	47
			JUV SANTA CRUZ	20	1	2	0	0	58	5
			TRAF SNTA CRUZ	813	15	92	23	19	63	20
SHASTA		WATSONVILLE	412	4	35	12	7	0	45	5
		TOTAL	1267	29	130	35	26	17	60	8
		JUV SHASTA	8	2	2	0	0	0	64	110
		BURNEY	38	0	2	2	1	1	93	6
SIERRA		REDDING	1332	98	212	13	38	16	62	9
		TOTAL	1378	100	216	15	39	17	63	9
		SIERRA	20	1	8	0	0	0	91	44
		TOTAL	20	1	8	0	0	0	91	44
SISKIYOU		JUV SISKIYOU	7	0	0	0	0	0	112	36
		WEED	202	0	35	5	5	1	76	7
		YREKA	112	15	47	6	4	14	93	9
		TOTAL	321	15	82	11	9	15	82	8
SOLANO		JUV SOLANO	18	1	0	0	0	0	115	5
		FAIRFIELD	1137	20	264	28	18	23	76	18
		VALLEJO	473	24	96	14	8	21	118	55
		TOTAL	1628	45	360	42	26	44	90	24
SONOMA		SONOMA	2906	124	514	12	28	0	52	7
		JUV SONOMA	30	2	0	0	0	0	59	23
		SANTA ROSA	8	0	0	0	3	30	77	108
		TOTAL	2944	126	514	12	31	30	53	7
STANISLAUS		STANISLAUS	2490	76	272	56	21	1	62	15
		JUV STANISLAUS	26	0	2	3	0	0	97	30
		MODESTO	24	0	0	0	8	9	78	5
		TURLOCK	2	0	0	0	0	2	131	3
	TOTAL	2542	76	274	59	29	12	63	15	

⁴ The majority of DUI convictions from Santa Barbara County needed to be corrected and resent to the DMV due to inadequate reporting of DUI program referrals. This resulted in their substantially longer lag times from conviction to DMV update.

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2008 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE ¹	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ²	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSALS ³	MEDIAN ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SUTTER		TRAF YUBA CITY	486	24	139	8	9	6	60	13
		TOTAL	486	24	139	8	9	6	60	13
TEHAMA		JUV TEHAMA	7	24	1	0	1	3	108	46
		CORNING	4	1	0	0	1	0	64	9
		RED BLUFF	189	0	47	4	6	0	57	6
		TOTAL	235	4	47	4	1	3	42	10
		TOTAL	435	29	95	8	9	6	49	9
TRINITY		TRINITY	105	2	20	5	0	4	66	5
		TOTAL	105	2	20	5	0	4	66	5
TULARE		JUV VISALIA	17	2	0	0	1	0	116	5
		DINUBA	438	12	12	2	7	3	65	19
		PORTERVILLE	1025	22	58	4	34	3	41	9
		TULARE	1606	1	43	5	33	0	81	48
		VISALIA DIV	115	37	0	0	9	16	116	13
		TOTAL	3201	74	113	11	84	22	66	21
		TOTAL	390	27	91	5	11	13	72	9
TUOLUMNE		JUV TUOLUMNE	4	0	0	0	0	0	151	42
		TOTAL	394	27	91	5	11	13	73	9
VENTURA		VENTURA	4730	74	0	3	81	78	98	1
		TOTAL	4730	74	0	3	81	78	98	1
YOLO		YOLO	1078	63	208	47	10	11	78	28
		TOTAL	1078	63	208	47	10	11	78	28
YUBA		YUBA	4	13	1	1	1	0	123	64
		JUV YUBA	3	0	0	0	0	0	134	1
		MARYSVILLE	376	4	92	0	6	6	64	9
		BEALE AFB	2	0	0	0	0	0	58	37
	TOTAL	385	17	93	1	7	6	66	10	

TABLE B4: 2008 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS¹

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL		PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%						
STATEWIDE ALAMEDA		1 ST DUI	169035	73.7	95.8	98.2	65.1	19.8	0.1	6.0
	OAKLAND	2 ND DUI	1758	98.2	98.9	78.4	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.1
		3 RD DUI	450	99.1	99.1	12.0	22.7	0.0	0.0	2.4
		4 ^{TH+} DUI	133	94.7	98.5	3.8	24.1	0.0	0.0	5.3
		TOTAL	2406	95.4	87.7	1.5	35.4	0.0	0.0	20.0
	JUV OAKLAND	1 ST DUI	15	98.6	98.6	59.8	7.6	0.0	0.0	1.4
		TOTAL	15	80.0	80.0	6.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	ALAMEDA	1 ST DUI	15	80.0	80.0	6.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	95	95.8	100.0	91.6	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	24	87.5	100.0	20.8	62.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+} DUI	5	60.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	125	92.8	100.0	73.6	14.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
	FREMONT	1 ST DUI	517	97.9	98.5	88.6	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	140	98.6	98.6	25.7	63.6	0.0	0.0	1.4
	3 RD DUI	30	96.7	100.0	3.3	73.3	0.0	0.0	3.3	
	4 ^{TH+} DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	691	98.6	98.6	71.6	17.9	0.0	0.0	1.6	
PLEASANTON	1 ST DUI	703	98.3	99.0	93.3	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	
	2 ND DUI	248	98.0	99.6	16.1	79.4	0.0	0.0	27.0	
	3 RD DUI	48	93.8	100.0	4.2	87.5	0.0	0.0	68.8	
	4 ^{TH+} DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	
	TOTAL	1003	98.0	99.2	69.6	25.6	0.0	0.0	11.5	
HAYWARD	1 ST DUI	1258	98.7	98.7	85.3	4.3	0.0	0.0	2.2	
	2 ND DUI	421	99.0	99.5	14.3	73.2	0.0	0.0	43.9	
	3 RD DUI	87	100.0	100.0	1.1	88.5	0.0	0.0	36.8	
	4 ^{TH+} DUI	13	100.0	100.0	7.7	61.5	0.0	0.0	23.1	
	TOTAL	1779	98.6	99.0	63.8	25.1	0.0	0.0	13.9	
ALPINE	1 ST DUI	11	72.7	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	2 ND DUI	2	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	3 RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	14	71.4	92.9	85.7	0.0	0.0	7.1	0.0	
AMADOR	1 ST DUI	160	98.8	98.1	89.4	6.3	0.0	0.0	16.9	
	2 ND DUI	52	98.1	94.2	7.7	82.7	0.0	0.0	53.8	
	3 RD DUI	17	94.1	76.5	5.9	64.7	0.0	5.9	70.6	
	4 ^{TH+} DUI	5	100.0	60.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	
	TOTAL	234	98.3	94.9	63.2	28.6	0.0	0.4	29.1	
BUTTE	1 ST DUI	1081	90.1	94.1	90.6	1.9	0.1	0.1	0.6	
	2 ND DUI	380	96.1	93.2	20.8	68.4	0.3	6.1	6.1	
	3 RD DUI	97	94.8	87.6	8.2	73.2	0.0	0.0	40.2	
	4 ^{TH+} DUI	25	84.0	64.0	0.0	48.0	4.0	0.0	52.0	
	TOTAL	1583	91.7	93.0	67.3	23.0	0.2	0.2	5.2	
JUV BUTTE	1 ST DUI	15	60.0	60.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	2 ND DUI	2	0.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	17	64.7	64.7	41.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CHICO	1 ST DUI	13	15.4	15.4	15.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	2 ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	14	21.4	21.4	14.3	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	
OROVILLE	1 ST DUI	2	50.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

¹ Entries represent percentages of 2008 DUI convictees receiving each sanction by county, court and offender status. Due to a law change, as of September 20, 2005, SB 1697, the percentages of license restrictions and court suspensions by county and offender status are no longer available in this table. Statewide information on these sanctions is provided in Tables 17 and 18 in Section 5.

TABLE B4: 2008 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL		PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
			N	%						
BUTTE (cont)	OROVILLE (cont) PARADISE	2 ND DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	66.7	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	158	93.7	98.1	88.6	0.6	0.0	0.0	20.9
CALAVERAS	CALAVERAS	2 ND DUI	46	97.8	100.0	37.0	54.3	0.0	63.0	
		3 RD DUI	18	83.3	100.0	22.2	61.1	0.0	61.1	
		4 TH + DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	
		TOTAL	226	93.4	98.7	71.2	17.3	0.0	33.2	
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
COLUSA	SUP COLUSA	1 ST DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		3 RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		4 TH + DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	114	91.2	98.2	78.1	5.3	0.0	0.0	
COLUSA	COLUSA	2 ND DUI	41	85.4	97.6	22.0	58.5	0.0	0.0	
		3 RD DUI	10	90.0	100.0	10.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	
		4 TH + DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	167	88.6	98.2	59.3	20.4	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	17	47.1	94.1	35.3	5.9	0.0	0.0	
CONTRA COSTA	CONTRA COSTA	1 ST DUI	11	63.6	100.0	0.0	36.4	0.0	9.1	
		2 ND DUI	5	80.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	
		3 RD DUI	36	52.8	100.0	0.0	8.3	0.0	2.8	
		4 TH + DUI	69	55.1	98.6	8.7	13.0	0.0	4.3	
		TOTAL	30	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CONCORD	CONCORD	1 ST DUI	30	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	9	55.6	55.6	55.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		1 ST DUI	5	100.0	60.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
		3 RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
RICHMOND	RICHMOND	4 TH + DUI	19	73.7	68.4	26.3	36.8	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	527	98.7	97.7	90.1	5.1	0.4	0.4	
		1 ST DUI	184	99.5	98.4	16.3	72.8	0.0	10.3	
		2 ND DUI	57	96.5	100.0	1.8	80.7	0.0	19.3	
		3 RD DUI	19	84.2	100.0	0.0	31.6	0.0	15.8	
PITTSBURG	PITTSBURG	4 TH + DUI	787	98.3	98.1	64.3	27.1	0.0	4.4	
		TOTAL	666	96.7	96.5	92.6	0.9	0.0	0.2	
		1 ST DUI	213	98.6	99.5	15.0	74.6	0.0	0.5	
		2 ND DUI	57	93.0	98.2	0.0	75.4	0.0	1.8	
		3 RD DUI	19	84.2	100.0	0.0	63.2	0.0	5.3	
WALNUT CREEK	WALNUT CREEK	4 TH + DUI	955	96.6	97.4	68.0	23.0	0.0	0.4	
		TOTAL	1334	99.0	95.4	93.3	2.0	0.3	0.3	
		1 ST DUI	412	99.3	96.4	15.3	77.2	0.0	4.4	
		2 ND DUI	102	93.1	99.0	1.0	82.4	0.0	3.9	
		3 RD DUI	20	90.0	100.0	5.0	70.0	0.0	10.0	
DEL NORTE	DEL NORTE	4 TH + DUI	1868	98.6	95.7	70.1	23.7	0.0	1.5	
		TOTAL	98	92.9	98.0	78.6	4.1	0.0	2.0	
		1 ST DUI	39	87.2	89.7	7.7	61.5	0.0	33.3	
		2 ND DUI	11	72.7	45.5	0.0	18.2	5.1	54.5	
		3 RD DUI	4	25.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	
EL DORADO	SOUTH LAKE TAHOE	4 TH + DUI	152	88.2	91.4	52.6	19.7	5.9	13.8	
		TOTAL	273	97.8	76.9	71.4	2.9	0.0	1.5	
		1 ST DUI	84	96.4	81.0	15.5	56.0	0.0	16.7	
		2 ND DUI								

TABLE B4: 2008 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL		PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK		
			N	%								
EL DORADO (cont)	SOUTH LAKE TAHOE (cont)	3 RD DUI	18	94.4	88.9	0.0	0.0	55.6	0.0	27.8		
		4 TH DUI	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
	TOTAL	377	78.8	96.8	55.2	17.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.1		
	PLACERVILLE	1 ST DUI	382	83.0	96.3	75.9	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	
		2 ND DUI	159	91.8	96.2	8.2	76.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.7	
		3 RD DUI	45	82.2	88.9	0.0	68.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.6	
		4 TH DUI	17	100.0	47.1	0.0	47.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.9	
	TOTAL	603	85.7	94.4	50.2	27.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.3		
	FRESNO	JUV FRESNO	1 ST DUI	30	0.0	96.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
			TOTAL	30	0.0	96.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		FRESNO CENTRA L	1 ST DUI	2598	98.3	95.0	89.3	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0
			2 ND DUI	887	99.5	94.6	13.9	75.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.9
			3 RD DUI	290	92.8	92.8	2.4	85.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.2
			4 TH DUI	138	100.0	64.5	5.1	42.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.0
TOTAL		3913	98.7	93.6	62.8	26.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.8		
CLOVIS		1 ST DUI	308	98.7	97.1	93.8	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	
		2 ND DUI	100	100.0	99.0	12.0	85.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.0	
		3 RD DUI	24	100.0	100.0	4.2	95.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	
		4 TH DUI	5	100.0	60.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	
TOTAL		437	99.1	97.3	69.1	25.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.1		
COALINGA		1 ST DUI	100	96.0	97.0	92.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	
		2 ND DUI	42	100.0	97.6	31.0	64.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.2	
	3 RD DUI	10	100.0	100.0	10.0	70.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.0		
	4 TH DUI	3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
TOTAL	155	96.8	95.5	68.4	23.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.0			
FIREBAUGH	1 ST DUI	78	100.0	100.0	97.4	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.3		
	2 ND DUI	38	100.0	97.4	23.7	71.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.4		
	3 RD DUI	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	71.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.9		
	4 TH DUI	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
TOTAL	125	98.4	98.4	68.0	26.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.8			
FOWLER	1 ST DUI	58	93.1	93.1	87.9	3.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.7		
	2 ND DUI	20	100.0	95.0	10.0	85.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0		
	3 RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0		
	4 TH DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
TOTAL	81	92.6	92.6	65.4	25.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.4			
KERMAN	1 ST DUI	60	96.7	93.3	91.7	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
	2 ND DUI	18	100.0	100.0	38.9	61.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3		
	3 RD DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3		
	4 TH DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0		
TOTAL	82	97.6	93.9	75.6	17.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.8			
KINGSBURG	1 ST DUI	85	98.8	96.5	94.1	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.5		
	2 ND DUI	28	100.0	92.9	14.3	78.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.9		
	3 RD DUI	15	100.0	86.7	13.3	73.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	46.7		
	4 TH DUI	4	100.0	75.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0		
TOTAL	132	99.2	93.9	65.2	28.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.1			
REEDLEY	1 ST DUI	195	96.9	96.9	93.8	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.6		
	2 ND DUI	91	94.5	94.5	16.5	76.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.7		
	3 RD DUI	32	100.0	90.6	0.0	81.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0		
	4 TH DUI	5	100.0	80.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0		
TOTAL	323	99.1	95.4	61.3	31.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.2			
SUP SANGER	SUP SANGER	TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		1 ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

TABLE B4: 2008 DUJ SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL		PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUJ PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUJ PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUJ PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
			N	%						
FRESNO (cont)	SUP SANGER (cont) SELMA	2 ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST DUI	78	97.4	97.4	91.0	2.6	0.0	0.0	3.8
		2 ND DUI	24	100.0	100.0	8.3	91.7	0.0	0.0	37.5
		3 RD DUI	7	85.7	100.0	0.0	85.7	0.0	0.0	28.6
		4 ^{TH+} DUI	5	80.0	100.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	114	96.5	98.2	64.0	29.8	0.0	0.0	12.3
GLENN	GLENN	1 ST DUI	194	96.4	49.5	51.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.5
		2 ND DUI	71	94.4	88.7	9.9	32.4	0.0	0.0	9.9
		3 RD DUI	25	92.0	100.0	4.0	28.0	0.0	0.0	20.0
		4 ^{TH+} DUI	7	14.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	297	93.6	64.3	36.0	10.4	0.0	0.0	4.4
HUMBOLDT	SUP HUMBOLDT	1 ST DUI	550	98.7	31.1	60.0	2.4	0.0	0.0	4.9
		2 ND DUI	177	98.3	83.6	14.7	54.8	0.0	0.0	51.4
		3 RD DUI	49	93.9	100.0	2.0	71.4	0.0	0.0	73.5
		4 ^{TH+} DUI	8	87.5	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	75.0
		TOTAL	784	98.2	48.0	45.5	19.0	0.0	0.0	20.4
		1 ST DUI	6	83.3	50.0	50.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3 RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
TOTAL	9	88.9	66.7	44.4	22.2	0.0	0.0	0.0		
TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
1 ST DUI	104	95.2	15.4	39.4	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
2 ND DUI	24	91.7	58.3	20.8	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0		
3 RD DUI	8	100.0	87.5	25.0	37.5	0.0	0.0	0.0		
4 ^{TH+} DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
TOTAL	137	94.9	27.7	35.0	5.1	0.0	0.0	0.0		
1 ST DUI	197	93.9	7.6	57.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
2 ND DUI	43	97.7	18.6	23.3	9.3	0.0	0.0	0.0		
3 RD DUI	11	72.7	72.7	0.0	54.5	0.0	0.0	0.0		
4 ^{TH+} DUI	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
TOTAL	255	92.9	13.7	48.6	4.7	0.0	0.0	0.0		
1 ST DUI	309	96.4	20.1	69.3	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0		
2 ND DUI	80	93.8	58.8	17.5	37.5	0.0	0.0	2.5		
3 RD DUI	17	100.0	82.4	17.6	11.8	0.0	0.0	0.0		
4 ^{TH+} DUI	3	66.7	66.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0		
TOTAL	409	95.8	30.6	56.5	9.0	0.0	0.0	0.5		
1 ST DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
INYO	INYO	1 ST DUI	4	75.0	50.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		3 RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
		4 ^{TH+} DUI	3	100.0	66.7	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	9	88.9	55.6	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
1 ST DUI	152	95.4	42.8	86.2	2.0	0.0	0.0			
2 ND DUI	60	98.3	85.0	11.7	71.7	1.7	0.0			
3 RD DUI	18	88.9	88.9	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0			
4 ^{TH+} DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0			
TOTAL	232	95.3	57.8	59.5	25.4	0.4	0.0			

TABLE B4: 2008 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL		PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK	
			N	%							
KERN		1 ST DUI	2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		3 RD DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+} DUI	3	100.0	66.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	87.5	75.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST DUI	47	95.7	0.0	46.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	50	96.0	0.0	44.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST DUI	237	98.7	98.3	84.8	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	97	97.9	99.0	11.3	62.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.2
		3 RD DUI	21	90.5	100.0	0.0	47.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.8
		4 ^{TH+} DUI	10	50.0	90.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	365	96.7	98.4	58.4	22.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.6
		1 ST DUI	1960	95.8	98.1	68.8	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.4
		2 ND DUI	605	94.0	99.0	9.4	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.3	6.4
		3 RD DUI	177	90.4	98.3	0.6	1.1	0.6	0.6	0.6	16.9
		4 ^{TH+} DUI	66	62.1	93.9	3.0	12.1	4.5	4.5	4.5	0.0
		TOTAL	2808	94.3	98.2	50.1	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	2.7
		1 ST DUI	225	97.3	90.2	81.8	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	69	100.0	98.6	10.1	79.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.9
3 RD DUI	20	75.0	100.0	0.0	55.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0		
4 ^{TH+} DUI	9	33.3	100.0	0.0	22.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
TOTAL	323	94.7	92.9	59.1	23.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2		
1 ST DUI	61	100.0	100.0	26.2	16.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.6		
2 ND DUI	23	100.0	100.0	4.3	13.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.7		
3 RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0		
4 ^{TH+} DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
TOTAL	88	98.9	100.0	19.3	14.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.7		
1 ST DUI	125	97.6	96.8	56.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8		
2 ND DUI	48	93.8	100.0	14.6	47.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	37.5		
3 RD DUI	13	76.9	100.0	0.0	30.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	69.2		
4 ^{TH+} DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.8		
TOTAL	189	94.7	97.9	41.3	14.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
1 ST DUI	259	96.9	96.5	84.6	3.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
2 ND DUI	77	96.1	100.0	18.2	62.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.6		
3 RD DUI	18	100.0	100.0	11.1	44.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.6		
4 ^{TH+} DUI	7	71.4	85.7	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
TOTAL	361	96.4	97.2	65.1	18.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8		
1 ST DUI	273	98.5	98.2	67.4	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
2 ND DUI	96	95.8	97.9	9.4	39.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.3		
3 RD DUI	23	100.0	95.7	0.0	39.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.7		
4 ^{TH+} DUI	8	37.5	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
TOTAL	400	96.8	98.0	48.3	13.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.8		
1 ST DUI	139	99.3	95.7	71.2	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4		
2 ND DUI	48	100.0	100.0	25.0	10.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.3		
3 RD DUI	12	83.3	100.0	8.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3		
4 ^{TH+} DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
TOTAL	200	98.0	97.0	56.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0		
KINGS		1 ST DUI	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
HANFORD		1 ST DUI	600	95.3	77.3	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.5	
		2 ND DUI	170	88.2	97.1	11.8	66.5	0.0	0.0	51.8	

TABLE B4: 2008 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL		PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK		
			N	%								
KINGS (cont)	HANFORD (cont)	3 RD DUI	35	74.3	100.0	5.7	54.3	0.0	62.9			
		4 ^{TH+} DUI	12	16.7	100.0	8.3	0.0	58.3				
		TOTAL	817	91.8	98.7	59.5	19.5	0.0	21.3			
		AVENAL	1 ST DUI	65	96.9	100.0	61.5	6.2	20.0			
			2 ND DUI	33	93.9	100.0	18.2	63.6	0.0	42.4		
			3 RD DUI	5	100.0	100.0	20.0	60.0	0.0	40.0		
			4 ^{TH+} DUI	5	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0		
			TOTAL	108	91.7	99.1	43.5	25.9	0.0	28.7		
			CORCORAN	1 ST DUI	49	87.8	93.9	69.4	12.2	0.0	28.6	
				2 ND DUI	20	85.0	100.0	30.0	40.0	0.0	55.0	
3 RD DUI	7			100.0	100.0	0.0	42.9	0.0	71.4			
4 ^{TH+} DUI	4			25.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0			
TOTAL	80			85.0	96.3	50.0	21.2	0.0	40.0			
LAKE	LAKE	1 ST DUI		150	87.3	52.7	64.7	3.3	0.0	0.7		
		2 ND DUI		59	89.8	93.2	8.5	61.0	0.0	0.0		
		3 RD DUI		20	80.0	95.0	0.0	30.0	0.0	0.0		
		4 ^{TH+} DUI		4	75.0	100.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
		TOTAL		233	87.1	67.4	44.2	20.2	0.0	0.4		
		CLEARLAKE	CLEARLAKE	1 ST DUI	128	95.3	42.2	67.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	
				2 ND DUI	51	94.1	90.2	5.9	45.1	2.0	11.8	
				3 RD DUI	10	80.0	80.0	0.0	40.0	10.0	20.0	
				4 ^{TH+} DUI	8	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
				TOTAL	197	92.4	58.9	45.2	13.7	1.0	4.1	
LASSEN	JUV LASSEN			1 ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
				TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
				SUSANVILLE	1 ST DUI	108	94.4	96.3	83.3	0.9	0.0	2.8
					2 ND DUI	30	93.3	93.3	60.0	26.7	0.0	20.0
					3 RD DUI	10	80.0	100.0	30.0	30.0	0.0	30.0
		4 ^{TH+} DUI	6		33.3	83.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0		
		TOTAL	154		90.9	95.5	72.1	9.1	0.0	7.8		
		LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES		1 ST DUI	100	57.0	93.0	27.0	1.0	0.0	0.0
					2 ND DUI	18	33.3	88.9	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0
					3 RD DUI	18	33.3	94.4	0.0	5.6	5.6	0.0
4 ^{TH+} DUI	32				34.4	96.9	0.0	6.3	3.1	0.0		
TOTAL	168				47.6	93.5	16.1	3.6	1.2	0.0		
POMONA	POMONA			1 ST DUI	1037	94.6	25.8	87.5	2.6	0.3	0.0	
				2 ND DUI	277	92.1	80.5	10.8	64.3	0.7	0.4	
				3 RD DUI	58	82.8	91.4	5.2	56.9	1.7	0.0	
				4 ^{TH+} DUI	37	24.3	97.3	0.0	10.8	0.0	0.0	
				TOTAL	1409	91.8	41.2	66.7	17.2	0.4	0.1	
		LANCASTER	LANCASTER	1 ST DUI	1146	94.7	82.0	82.0	2.2	0.2	1.2	
				2 ND DUI	298	92.3	91.3	12.4	62.8	3.4	17.4	
				3 RD DUI	60	81.7	76.7	1.7	43.3	20.0	33.3	
				4 ^{TH+} DUI	15	13.3	93.3	6.7	0.0	0.0	6.7	
				TOTAL	1519	92.9	83.7	64.5	15.7	1.6	5.7	
SAN FERNANDO	SAN FERNANDO			1 ST DUI	1497	96.9	23.2	82.4	4.2	0.2	0.0	
				2 ND DUI	381	94.5	89.8	7.3	74.0	0.8	0.0	
				3 RD DUI	77	89.6	88.3	2.6	58.4	9.1	0.0	
				4 ^{TH+} DUI	33	12.1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
				TOTAL	1988	94.7	39.8	63.6	19.6	0.7	0.0	
		PASADENA	PASADENA	1 ST DUI	725	97.4	61.2	93.5	1.2	0.0	0.0	
				2 ND DUI	158	96.8	89.9	14.6	76.6	0.6	0.0	

TABLE B4: 2008 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL		PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%						
LOS ANGELES (cont)	PASADENA (cont)	3 RD DUI	37	67.6	91.9	67.6	10.8	45.9	13.5	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	11	72.7	54.5	0.0	18.2	0.0	27.3	0.0
	TOTAL	931	66.5	96.6	75.7	16.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	
	VAN NUYS	1 ST DUI	2139	37.3	98.1	89.4	4.7	77.1	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	385	96.1	10.9	77.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	94	90.4	86.2	4.3	30.9	6.4	0.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	24	33.3	95.8	0.0	8.3	4.2	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	2642	96.8	74.1	16.2	0.2	2.8	0.3	0.0	
	LONG BEACH	1 ST DUI	1306	97.2	24.0	91.7	12.7	77.3	0.3	0.0
		2 ND DUI	331	98.8	84.0	12.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	62	88.7	80.6	1.6	67.7	17.7	1.6	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	14	35.7	100.0	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	1713	96.7	38.3	72.4	19.7	0.9	0.1	0.0	
	COMPTON	1 ST DUI	790	96.5	50.4	89.2	2.2	57.9	0.5	0.0
		2 ND DUI	214	95.3	79.4	30.4	14.3	12.2	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	49	87.8	81.6	14.3	55.1	10.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	10	50.0	90.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	1063	95.4	58.0	73.1	15.9	0.0	0.8	0.0	
	NORWALK	1 ST DUI	30	56.7	30	23.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	12	41.7	91.7	0.0	25.0	8.3	0.0	0.0
3 RD DUI		11	45.5	90.9	0.0	9.1	9.1	0.0	0.0	
4 TH + DUI		9	44.4	100.0	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL	62	50.0	95.2	11.3	8.1	3.2	0.0	0.0		
TORRANCE	1 ST DUI	1269	97.6	17.3	92.9	0.9	0.1	0.0	0.0	
	2 ND DUI	300	95.7	87.7	10.0	78.7	0.3	0.0	0.0	
	3 RD DUI	69	91.3	81.2	2.9	60.9	15.9	0.0	0.0	
	4 TH + DUI	22	36.4	95.5	0.0	27.3	4.5	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL	1660	96.2	33.7	73.0	17.8	0.8	0.0	0.0		
SANTA MONICA	1 ST DUI	26	57.7	76.9	30.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	2 ND DUI	8	75.0	75.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	3 RD DUI	4	25.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	4 TH + DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL	42	59.5	81.0	19.0	9.5	0.0	0.0	0.0		
JUV LOS ANGELES	1 ST DUI	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	1 ST DUI	11	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
JUV EASTLAKE	1 ST DUI	11	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	1199	98.4	12.8	91.4	2.1	75.3	0.0	0.0	
	2 ND DUI	231	97.4	86.6	12.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	3 RD DUI	44	95.5	88.6	4.5	50.0	6.8	0.0	0.0	
4 TH + DUI	22	77.3	81.8	0.0	36.4	4.5	0.0	0.0		
TOTAL	1496	97.9	27.5	75.3	15.3	0.3	0.0	0.0		
ALHAMBRA	1 ST DUI	631	98.3	24.7	87.8	1.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	
	2 ND DUI	166	94.0	87.3	9.6	69.9	1.2	0.6	0.0	
	3 RD DUI	36	86.1	80.6	2.8	61.1	16.7	0.0	0.0	
	4 TH + DUI	5	40.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL	838	96.5	40.0	68.1	17.7	1.1	0.0	0.0		
BEVERLY HILLS	1 ST DUI	326	99.7	16.9	95.4	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	2 ND DUI	46	97.8	76.1	21.7	67.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	3 RD DUI	6	83.3	83.3	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	4 TH + DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL	379	99.2	25.3	84.7	10.3	0.0	0.0	0.0		

TABLE B4: 2008 DUJ SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL		PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUJ PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUJ PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUJ PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%						
LOS ANGELES (cont)	BURBANK	1 ST DUI	271	99.3	41.3	81.5	3.0	0.0	0.4	
		2 ND DUI	66	98.5	89.4	7.6	75.8	0.0	0.0	
		3 RD DUI	13	100.0	61.5	0.0	53.8	38.5	0.0	
		4 ^{TH+} DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	WEST COVINA	TOTAL	351	99.1	51.3	64.4	18.5	1.4	0.0	
		1 ST DUI	1605	97.9	14.5	94.9	1.4	0.0	0.5	
		2 ND DUI	355	98.6	90.7	10.4	81.4	0.6	9.0	
		3 RD DUI	60	98.3	91.7	1.7	81.7	1.7	20.0	
	CHATSWORTH	4 ^{TH+} DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	2021	98.0	30.2	77.2	17.8	0.1	2.6	
		1 ST DUI	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	DOWNEY	1 ST DUI	860	97.6	16.3	90.2	1.5	0.0	0.2	
		2 ND DUI	174	96.6	81.6	17.2	67.8	2.3	0.0	
		3 RD DUI	41	95.1	80.5	0.0	70.7	19.5	0.0	
		4 ^{TH+} DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	
	EAST LOS ANGELES	TOTAL	1076	97.3	29.3	74.9	14.9	1.2	0.2	
		1 ST DUI	913	98.5	47.9	94.1	3.1	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	221	98.2	89.6	16.3	76.0	0.5	0.0	
		3 RD DUI	42	95.2	85.7	2.4	73.8	9.5	0.0	
EL MONTE	4 ^{TH+} DUI	5	100.0	80.0	20.0	80.0	0.0	0.0		
	TOTAL	1181	98.3	57.2	76.0	19.6	0.4	0.0		
	1 ST DUI	590	97.5	40.3	81.9	2.0	0.2	0.0		
	2 ND DUI	128	96.9	90.6	15.6	64.8	0.0	0.0		
GLENDALE	3 RD DUI	20	100.0	90.0	0.0	60.0	5.0	0.0		
	4 ^{TH+} DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0		
	TOTAL	739	97.4	50.5	68.1	14.6	0.3	0.0		
	1 ST DUI	367	97.3	10.4	93.5	0.5	0.0	0.0		
INGLEWOOD	2 ND DUI	92	98.9	84.8	14.1	77.2	1.1	0.0		
	3 RD DUI	16	87.5	68.8	0.0	56.3	18.8	25.0		
	TOTAL	475	97.3	26.7	74.9	17.3	0.8	0.8		
	1 ST DUI	262	97.3	42.4	78.2	1.5	0.0	0.0		
LA METRO	2 ND DUI	71	97.2	77.5	19.7	57.7	5.6	0.0		
	3 RD DUI	20	80.0	80.0	0.0	50.0	10.0	0.0		
	4 ^{TH+} DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
	TOTAL	355	95.8	51.8	61.7	15.5	1.7	0.0		
BELLFLOWER	1 ST DUI	5238	98.4	40.1	92.9	3.9	0.0	1.6		
	2 ND DUI	1037	98.1	93.9	7.9	86.5	0.2	1.1		
	3 RD DUI	185	95.7	91.9	3.2	76.8	7.0	10.0		
	4 ^{TH+} DUI	10	60.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.3		
SANTA CLARITA	TOTAL	6470	98.2	50.3	76.5	19.2	0.3	0.0		
	1 ST DUI	654	98.9	16.2	86.9	1.1	0.0	0.0		
	2 ND DUI	103	98.1	90.3	7.8	76.7	0.0	0.0		
	3 RD DUI	29	100.0	75.9	3.4	51.7	20.7	0.0		
MALIBU	4 ^{TH+} DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0		
	TOTAL	787	98.9	28.2	73.3	13.0	0.8	0.0		
	1 ST DUI	846	98.5	20.6	83.5	1.8	0.1	0.5		
	2 ND DUI	195	95.9	87.7	17.9	57.9	1.0	0.0		
MALIBU	3 RD DUI	46	87.0	84.8	4.3	34.8	10.9	0.0		
	4 ^{TH+} DUI	7	28.6	100.0	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0		
	TOTAL	1094	97.1	35.7	67.9	13.3	0.7	0.1		
	1 ST DUI	238	97.5	9.2	72.3	1.7	0.0	0.0		

TABLE B4: 2008 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL		PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK		
			N	%								
LOS ANGELES (cont)	MALIBU (cont)	2 ND DUI	50	100.0	80.0	12.0	74.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
		3 RD DUI	9	100.0	77.8	0.0	66.7	22.2	0.0	0.0		
		4 ^{TH+} DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	298	97.7	23.5	59.7	15.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		1 ST DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		1 ST DUI	779	98.5	13.4	96.1	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	
		2 ND DUI	172	98.3	84.9	12.8	79.7	0.6	0.0	0.0	4.1	
		3 RD DUI	19	100.0	94.7	5.3	68.4	5.3	0.0	0.0	5.3	
		4 ^{TH+} DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	972	98.4	27.8	79.4	16.7	0.2	0.0	0.0	1.1	
		1 ST DUI	110	98.2	23.6	70.9	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	19	100.0	94.7	5.3	57.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		3 RD DUI	3	100.0	66.7	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	132	98.5	34.8	59.8	11.4	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		1 ST DUI	5	60.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		4 ^{TH+} DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	6	66.7	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		1 ST DUI	10	100.0	10.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	12	100.0	8.3	83.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		1 ST DUI	43	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
2 ND DUI	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
TOTAL	50	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
MADERA	MADERA	1 ST DUI	23	91.3	87.0	43.5	13.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
		2 ND DUI	12	100.0	100.0	33.3	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
		3 RD DUI	5	40.0	60.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
		4 ^{TH+} DUI	10	70.0	90.0	0.0	30.0	10.0	0.0	0.0		
		TOTAL	50	84.0	88.0	30.0	26.0	2.0	0.0	0.0		
		1 ST DUI	11	45.5	36.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
		TOTAL	11	45.5	36.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
		1 ST DUI	403	96.0	98.3	90.6	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0		
		2 ND DUI	119	97.5	96.6	33.6	11.4	0.0	0.0	0.0		
		3 RD DUI	44	97.7	100.0	11.4	81.8	0.0	0.0	0.0		
		4 ^{TH+} DUI	3	100.0	100.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0		
		TOTAL	569	96.5	98.1	72.2	21.4	0.0	0.0	0.0		
		1 ST DUI	33	84.8	97.0	72.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
		2 ND DUI	14	85.7	92.9	42.9	28.6	0.0	0.0	0.0		
		3 RD DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
		TOTAL	52	86.5	96.2	57.7	17.3	0.0	0.0	0.0		
		1 ST DUI	119	95.8	89.9	93.3	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0		
		2 ND DUI	41	92.7	97.6	36.6	51.2	0.0	0.0	0.0		
		3 RD DUI	27	96.3	96.3	3.7	77.8	3.7	0.0	0.0		
		4 ^{TH+} DUI	7	42.9	100.0	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0	0.0		
		TOTAL	194	93.3	92.8	65.5	23.2	0.5	0.0	0.0		
		MARIN	SAN RAFAEL	1 ST DUI	1150	98.8	21.7	80.4	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.8
				2 ND DUI	260	98.8	88.8	11.5	74.6	0.0	0.0	13.1
3 RD DUI	50			96.0	100.0	6.0	22.0	0.0	0.0	32.0		
4 ^{TH+} DUI	23			82.6	91.3	0.0	43.5	0.0	0.0	47.8		
TOTAL	1483	98.4	37.2	64.6	16.0	0.0	0.0	4.7				
MARIPOSA	SUP MARIPOSA	1 ST DUI	58	93.1	89.7	63.8	12.1	0.0	0.0	1.7		

TABLE B4: 2008 DUJ SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL		PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUJ PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUJ PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUJ PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%						
MARIPOSA (cont)	SUP MARIPOSA	2 ND DUI	11	90.9	81.8	100.0	27.3	45.5	0.0	27.3
		3 RD DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	25.0
		TOTAL	73	90.4	91.8	54.8	21.9	0.0	6.8	
MENDOCINO	SUP UKIAH	1 ST DUI	5	100.0	80.0	100.0	20.0	40.0	0.0	20.0
		2 ND DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	16.7
		3 RD DUI	5	80.0	60.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+} DUI	5	100.0	80.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	20.0
		TOTAL	21	95.2	81.0	9.5	38.1	0.0	14.3	
		1 ST DUI	10	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	10	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST DUI	101	94.1	97.0	83.2	4.0	0.0	0.0	2.0
		2 ND DUI	42	97.6	95.2	14.3	73.8	0.0	35.7	
		3 RD DUI	7	100.0	85.7	14.3	71.4	0.0	71.4	
		4 ^{TH+} DUI	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	152	95.4	95.4	59.9	27.0	0.0	14.5	
		1 ST DUI	281	94.3	94.3	84.0	3.9	0.0	4.3	
		2 ND DUI	117	95.7	95.7	12.0	75.2	0.0	70.1	
		3 RD DUI	33	97.0	97.0	9.1	84.8	0.0	90.9	
4 ^{TH+} DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0			
TOTAL	433	94.9	95.6	58.4	29.8	0.0	29.1			
POINT ARENA	POINT ARENA	1 ST DUI	8	100.0	100.0	87.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	4	100.0	100.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	50.0	
		TOTAL	12	100.0	100.0	66.7	25.0	0.0	16.7	
		1 ST DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
COVELO	COVELO	TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST DUI	91	94.5	94.5	80.2	1.1	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	25	96.0	96.0	24.0	48.0	0.0	52.0	
FORT BRAGG	FORT BRAGG	3 RD DUI	13	100.0	100.0	0.0	53.8	0.0	0.0	84.6
		4 ^{TH+} DUI	4	100.0	75.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	50.0	
		TOTAL	133	94.7	94.7	59.4	15.8	0.0	19.5	
		1 ST DUI	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
MERCED	MERCED JUV	TOTAL	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST DUI	858	91.4	91.4	61.8	2.6	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	272	94.5	94.5	17.3	59.9	0.0	1.5	
		3 RD DUI	65	96.9	93.8	6.2	72.3	4.6	1.5	
		4 ^{TH+} DUI	26	100.0	100.0	3.8	30.8	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	1221	91.5	93.9	47.7	19.7	0.2	0.4	
		1 ST DUI	249	93.6	98.4	65.5	3.2	0.0	0.4	
		2 ND DUI	87	95.4	95.4	13.8	57.5	0.0	11.5	
		3 RD DUI	20	95.0	100.0	5.0	50.0	0.0	25.0	
		4 ^{TH+} DUI	7	71.4	100.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL	363	93.7	97.8	48.8	18.7	0.0	4.4			
MODOC	ALTURAS	1 ST DUI	42	81.0	81.0	21.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	14	85.7	64.3	7.1	14.3	14.3	0.0	
		3 RD DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	
		4 ^{TH+} DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	65	83.1	66.2	15.4	6.2	3.1	0.0	
MONO	MONO	1 ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+} DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	

TABLE B4: 2008 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL		PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%						
MONO (cont)	BRIDGEPORT	1 ST DUI	21	76.2	95.2	76.2	76.2	9.5	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	7	100.0	100.0	14.3	14.3	85.7	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+} DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	31	96.8	83.9	54.8	29.0	29.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST DUI	81	100.0	46.9	90.1	1.2	1.2	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	11	100.0	100.0	27.3	72.7	72.7	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	85.7	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+} DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	100	100.0	76.0	16.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST DUI	81	87.7	57.0	53.1	1.2	1.2	0.0	16.0
		2 ND DUI	23	78.3	100.0	21.7	43.5	43.5	0.0	30.4
		3 RD DUI	14	78.6	100.0	14.3	50.0	50.0	0.0	28.6
		4 ^{TH+} DUI	33	60.6	100.0	3.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	33.3
		TOTAL	151	79.5	96.0	33.8	19.2	19.2	0.0	23.2
MONTEREY	JUV MONTEREY	1 ST DUI	16	100.0	100.0	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	16	100.0	100.0	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST DUI	18	33.3	16.7	22.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	19	36.8	21.1	21.1	5.3	5.3	0.0	5.3
		1 ST DUI	1525	99.8	99.7	76.3	2.6	2.6	0.0	13.4
		2 ND DUI	399	99.5	100.0	10.5	77.7	77.7	0.0	74.7
		3 RD DUI	95	100.0	100.0	1.1	88.4	88.4	0.0	85.3
		4 ^{TH+} DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	83.3	83.3	0.0	50.0
		TOTAL	2025	99.8	99.8	59.6	21.7	21.7	0.0	28.9
		1 ST DUI	254	100.0	98.4	58.7	5.5	5.5	0.0	17.7
		2 ND DUI	89	100.0	100.0	9.0	74.2	74.2	0.0	67.4
		3 RD DUI	19	100.0	100.0	0.0	89.5	89.5	0.0	94.7
		4 ^{TH+} DUI	13	92.3	92.3	0.0	76.9	76.9	0.0	76.9
		TOTAL	375	98.7	98.7	41.9	28.5	28.5	0.0	35.5
NAPA	NAPA	1 ST DUI	651	98.3	98.3	92.2	1.7	1.7	0.0	0.6
		2 ND DUI	190	95.3	97.4	23.7	68.4	68.4	0.0	17.9
		3 RD DUI	29	86.2	96.6	0.0	79.3	79.3	0.0	34.5
		4 ^{TH+} DUI	11	36.4	100.0	0.0	27.3	27.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	881	96.5	96.0	73.2	19.0	19.0	0.0	5.4
NEVADA	NEVADA	1 ST DUI	4	75.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+} DUI	6	66.7	83.3	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	33.3
		TOTAL	13	69.2	92.3	15.4	38.5	38.5	0.0	15.4
		1 ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST DUI	2	50.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	50.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST DUI	276	97.8	93.8	92.4	2.9	2.9	0.0	0.4
		2 ND DUI	110	97.3	96.4	13.6	80.0	80.0	0.0	11.8
		3 RD DUI	31	96.8	90.3	0.0	80.6	80.6	0.0	58.1
		4 ^{TH+} DUI	9	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7
		TOTAL	426	97.7	94.4	63.4	30.5	30.5	0.0	8.9
		1 ST DUI	146	100.0	100.0	97.3	2.7	2.7	0.0	0.7
2 ND DUI	52	100.0	100.0	11.5	88.5	88.5	0.0	0.0		
3 RD DUI	16	87.5	93.8	6.3	75.0	75.0	0.0	6.3		

TABLE B4: 2008 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL		PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%						
NEVADA (cont) ORANGE	TRUCKEE (cont)	4 TH + DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	216	99.1	99.5	69.0	29.6	0.0	0.0	
	JUV ORANGE	JUV ORANGE	1 ST DUI	106	96.2	15.1	81.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
			2 ND DUI	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
			TOTAL	108	96.3	15.7	80.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
			1 ST DUI	3146	98.7	47.5	93.3	1.7	0.0	1.0
	FULLERTON	FULLERTON	2 ND DUI	825	97.5	93.2	7.3	82.2	0.0	25.5
			3 RD DUI	133	94.7	97.0	2.3	82.7	0.0	42.9
			4 TH + DUI	42	50.0	100.0	0.0	35.7	0.0	14.3
			TOTAL	4146	97.8	58.7	72.3	20.6	0.0	7.4
	WESTMINSTER	WESTMINSTER	1 ST DUI	2792	98.7	15.2	91.0	1.3	0.0	0.8
			2 ND DUI	759	98.0	90.0	7.5	79.6	0.0	15.0
			3 RD DUI	149	94.0	94.6	1.3	75.8	0.0	36.9
			4 TH + DUI	34	50.0	100.0	0.0	29.4	0.0	23.5
	LAGUNA HILLS	LAGUNA HILLS	TOTAL	3734	97.9	34.3	69.6	20.4	0.0	5.3
			1 ST DUI	950	98.8	43.3	92.1	0.9	0.0	0.3
			2 ND DUI	267	97.4	95.1	6.7	83.1	0.0	12.0
			3 RD DUI	83	98.8	98.8	0.0	89.2	0.0	27.7
	LAGUNA NIGUEL	LAGUNA NIGUEL	4 TH + DUI	19	36.8	100.0	0.0	36.8	0.0	10.5
			TOTAL	1319	97.6	58.1	67.7	23.7	0.0	4.5
3 RD DUI			1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL			1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
NEWPORT BEACH	NEWPORT BEACH	1 ST DUI	2672	98.2	58.1	92.4	1.6	0.0	0.4	
		2 ND DUI	645	97.8	95.3	9.0	80.9	0.0	8.7	
		3 RD DUI	146	92.5	96.6	1.4	80.1	0.0	19.9	
		4 TH + DUI	36	47.2	97.2	2.8	30.6	0.0	11.1	
SANTA ANA	SANTA ANA	TOTAL	3499	97.4	67.0	72.3	19.8	0.0	2.8	
		1 ST DUI	2105	97.5	35.0	91.8	1.6	0.0	1.4	
		2 ND DUI	552	96.2	93.7	7.8	78.6	0.0	34.2	
		3 RD DUI	108	91.7	98.1	0.0	84.3	0.0	49.1	
PLACER	PLACER	4 TH + DUI	39	30.8	97.4	0.0	17.9	0.0	12.8	
		TOTAL	2804	96.1	49.8	70.5	20.2	0.0	9.8	
		1 ST DUI	21	95.2	61.9	4.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	21	95.2	61.9	4.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	
ROSEVILLE	ROSEVILLE	1 ST DUI	1474	96.9	98.6	87.9	1.7	0.0	2.4	
		2 ND DUI	460	97.0	99.1	28.7	62.4	0.0	23.5	
		3 RD DUI	86	96.5	100.0	30.2	64.0	0.0	67.4	
		4 TH + DUI	34	44.1	100.0	5.9	32.4	0.0	32.4	
TAHOE CITY	TAHOE CITY	TOTAL	2054	96.0	98.8	70.9	18.4	0.0	10.3	
		1 ST DUI	130	99.2	99.2	83.1	0.8	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	30	100.0	100.0	30.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	
		3 RD DUI	12	91.7	91.7	0.0	50.0	0.0	8.3	
PLUMAS	PLUMAS	TOTAL	172	98.8	98.8	68.0	14.5	0.0	0.0	
		1 ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		1 ST DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
QUINCY	QUINCY	TOTAL	142	98.6	92.3	85.9	2.8	0.0	0.0	
		1 ST DUI	60	95.0	98.3	16.7	71.7	0.0	1.7	
		2 ND DUI	60	95.0	98.3	16.7	71.7	0.0	1.7	
		3 RD DUI	20	100.0	100.0	10.0	90.0	0.0	0.0	

TABLE B4: 2008 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL		PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%						
PLUMAS (cont) RIVERSIDE	QUINCY (cont) RIVERSIDE	4 TH + DUI	6	50.0	100.0	16.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	228	592	94.7	592	29.4	0.0	0.0	0.4
		1 ST DUI	183	75.4	93.4	69.9	3.3	0.0	0.0	1.6
		2 ND DUI	64	68.8	90.6	9.4	54.7	0.0	0.0	6.3
		3 RD DUI	31	67.7	93.5	6.5	51.6	0.0	0.0	6.5
		4 TH + DUI	67	58.2	98.5	0.0	50.7	0.0	0.0	13.4
		TOTAL	345	70.1	93.9	39.4	26.4	0.0	0.0	5.2
		1 ST DUI	1489	97.4	96.6	93.4	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.3
		2 ND DUI	389	97.4	99.0	11.1	83.8	0.0	0.0	10.8
		3 RD DUI	102	91.2	99.0	2.9	81.4	0.0	0.0	7.8
4 TH + DUI	38	50.0	97.4	28.9	15.8	0.0	0.0	15.8		
TOTAL	2018	96.2	97.2	71.2	22.0	0.0	0.0	3.0		
JUV RIVERSIDE	1 ST DUI	32	3.1	15.6	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
2 ND DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL	33	3.0	15.2	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
JUV INDIO	1 ST DUI	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
JUV MURRIETA	1 ST DUI	4	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2 ND DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL	5	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
HEMET	1 ST DUI	2843	97.3	96.8	93.3	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4
2 ND DUI	716	96.5	97.9	12.8	80.7	0.0	0.0	11.0	25.7	
3 RD DUI	144	97.2	97.2	1.4	91.7	0.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	
4 TH + DUI	15	80.0	100.0	0.0	73.3	0.0	0.0	3.5	3.5	
TOTAL	3718	97.1	97.0	73.9	21.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
BANNING	1 ST DUI	343	97.1	93.9	92.7	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
2 ND DUI	88	98.9	97.7	14.8	80.7	0.0	0.0	30.7	30.7	
3 RD DUI	19	100.0	100.0	5.3	89.5	0.0	0.0	52.6	52.6	
4 TH + DUI	10	100.0	100.0	0.0	30.0	0.0	0.0	30.0	30.0	
TOTAL	460	97.6	95.0	72.2	22.2	0.0	0.0	8.9	8.9	
BLYTHE	1 ST DUI	114	96.5	92.1	92.1	3.5	0.0	0.9	0.9	
2 ND DUI	28	100.0	89.3	7.1	78.6	0.0	0.0	10.7	10.7	
3 RD DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	25.0	
4 TH + DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL	147	96.6	91.8	72.8	20.4	0.0	0.0	3.4	3.4	
MURRIETA	1 ST DUI	1660	98.4	94.8	95.7	1.7	0.0	1.1	1.1	
2 ND DUI	475	98.1	96.6	12.6	81.5	0.0	0.0	20.6	20.6	
3 RD DUI	116	95.7	98.3	6.9	85.3	0.0	0.0	34.5	34.5	
4 TH + DUI	23	95.7	100.0	0.0	87.0	0.0	0.0	26.1	26.1	
TOTAL	2274	96.2	95.4	72.8	23.5	0.0	0.0	7.1	7.1	
TEMECULA	1 ST DUI	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2 ND DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
SACRAMENTO	1 ST DUI	121	73.6	94.2	39.7	8.3	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.8
2 ND DUI	77	66.2	94.8	7.8	36.4	0.0	0.0	5.2	5.2	
3 RD DUI	30	56.7	96.7	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	3.3	3.3	
4 TH + DUI	143	54.5	95.1	0.0	39.9	0.0	0.0	7.0	7.0	
TOTAL	371	63.3	94.9	14.6	28.8	0.0	0.0	4.3	4.3	
JUV SACRAMENTO	1 ST DUI	39	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2 ND DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL	40	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
SACRAMENTO CM	1 ST DUI	4772	97.9	95.9	88.9	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4

TABLE B4: 2008 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL		PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%						
SACRAMENTO (cont)	SACRAMENTO CM (cont)	2 ND DUI	1457	98.6	99.0	98.6	7.9	85.6	0.0	13.0
		3 RD DUI	397	99.2	98.5	0.3	91.9	0.0	28.5	
		4 TH + DUI	24	100.0	79.2	0.0	54.2	0.0	25.0	
		TOTAL	6650	98.1	96.7	65.5	25.8	0.0	4.9	
		1 ST DUI	3	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	3	66.7	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	6	33.3	33.3	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		1 ST DUI	201	94.0	98.0	22.4	0.0	0.0	1.0	
		2 ND DUI	66	95.5	97.0	1.5	13.6	0.0	19.7	
		3 RD DUI	22	100.0	95.5	0.0	9.1	0.0	54.5	
4 TH + DUI	10	100.0	60.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	20.0			
TOTAL	299	95.0	96.3	15.4	4.0	0.0	9.7			
1 ST DUI	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
TOTAL	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
SAN BERNARDINO	SAN BERNARDINO	1 ST DUI	37	97.3	64.9	97.3	37.8	10.8	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	16	100.0	56.3	12.5	37.5	0.0	0.0	
		3 RD DUI	12	100.0	83.3	8.3	66.7	0.0	8.3	
		4 TH + DUI	40	100.0	57.5	0.0	50.0	0.0	2.5	
		TOTAL	105	99.0	62.9	16.2	36.2	0.0	1.9	
		1 ST DUI	47	100.0	70.2	40.4	8.5	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	17	100.0	58.8	17.6	35.3	0.0	0.0	
		3 RD DUI	10	100.0	50.0	10.0	30.0	0.0	0.0	
		4 TH + DUI	24	100.0	25.0	8.3	12.5	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	98	100.0	55.1	25.5	16.3	0.0	0.0	
1 ST DUI	1077	94.6	64.5	85.5	2.7	0.0	0.0			
2 ND DUI	354	90.7	92.7	12.4	72.9	0.0	0.0			
3 RD DUI	84	84.5	95.2	1.2	70.2	0.0	0.0			
4 TH + DUI	56	33.9	96.4	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0			
TOTAL	1571	91.0	73.6	61.5	23.0	0.0	0.0			
1 ST DUI	391	95.9	76.2	89.3	4.6	0.0	0.0			
2 ND DUI	106	90.6	94.3	17.0	68.9	0.0	0.0			
3 RD DUI	20	100.0	100.0	0.0	85.0	0.0	0.0			
4 TH + DUI	9	11.1	100.0	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0			
TOTAL	526	93.5	81.2	69.8	20.7	0.0	0.0			
1 ST DUI	25	100.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
TOTAL	25	100.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
1 ST DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
1 ST DUI	7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
TOTAL	7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
1 ST DUI	8	100.0	25.0	62.5	0.0	0.0	0.0			
TOTAL	8	100.0	25.0	62.5	0.0	0.0	0.0			
1 ST DUI	410	97.6	57.8	91.7	2.4	0.0	0.0			
2 ND DUI	122	95.9	90.2	13.9	76.2	0.0	0.0			
3 RD DUI	29	93.1	100.0	0.0	31.0	0.0	0.0			
4 TH + DUI	9	66.7	100.0	0.0	55.6	0.0	0.0			
TOTAL	570	96.5	67.5	68.9	20.5	0.0	0.0			
1 ST DUI	11	72.7	18.2	54.5	9.1	0.0	0.0			
2 ND DUI	3	100.0	66.7	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0			
TOTAL	14	78.6	28.6	42.9	21.4	0.0	0.0			
1 ST DUI	1964	96.2	62.7	91.3	1.7	0.0	0.0			
2 ND DUI	583	95.0	94.2	13.0	75.5	0.0	0.0			

TABLE B4: 2008 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL		PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %	
			N	%							
SAN BERNARDINO (cont)	SAN BERNARDINO DIV (cont)	3 RD DUI	147	88.4	98.0	1.4	40.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		4 TH + DUI	27	70.4	100.0	0.0	29.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	2721	95.3	71.7	68.8	19.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	FONTANA	1 ST DUI	734	94.4	94.4	88.3	2.5	77.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	226	99.6	100.0	10.2	55.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	81	88.9	100.0	2.5	30.4	0.0	0.0	8.7	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	23	65.2	100.0	0.0	22.9	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0
	TOTAL	1064	93.1	96.1	63.3	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	SUP R CUCAMONGA	1 ST DUI	2030	96.9	59.8	93.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	573	96.7	94.8	9.9	82.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	127	92.1	97.6	0.8	31.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	18	77.8	94.4	0.0	27.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	2748	96.5	69.1	71.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	BIG BEAR LAKE	1 ST DUI	157	97.5	79.0	91.7	1.3	20.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	39	97.4	100.0	7.7	89.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	11	90.9	100.0	0.0	72.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	207	97.1	84.1	71.0	21.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SUP NEEDLES	1 ST DUI	64	95.3	81.3	76.6	7.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	17	100.0	100.0	17.6	82.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	4	100.0	75.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4 TH + DUI		1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL	86	95.3	84.9	60.5	25.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
JOSHUA TREE DISTRICT	1 ST DUI	366	93.7	65.8	87.7	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	2 ND DUI	98	91.8	98.0	7.1	81.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	3 RD DUI	33	90.9	100.0	0.0	81.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	4 TH + DUI	11	36.4	100.0	0.0	27.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL	508	91.9	75.0	64.6	23.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO	1 ST DUI	165	86.1	78.8	44.8	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	44	61.4	90.9	11.4	13.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		3 RD DUI	20	55.0	100.0	10.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		4 TH + DUI	40	47.5	95.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	269	74.0	84.8	30.1	6.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	VISTA	1 ST DUI	3301	97.7	32.9	69.9	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	1091	94.3	87.4	5.5	55.9	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.0
		3 RD DUI	257	82.9	94.9	2.3	54.9	0.0	0.0	10.5	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	91	26.4	97.8	2.2	17.6	0.0	0.0	3.3	0.0
	TOTAL	4740	94.7	50.0	50.1	17.7	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	
	JUV SAN DIEGO	1 ST DUI	80	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	81	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST DUI	1989	96.4	14.7	92.6	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0
	EL CAJON	2 ND DUI	566	95.2	85.9	10.4	76.9	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.0
		3 RD DUI	145	91.7	97.2	3.4	79.3	0.0	0.0	22.8	0.0
4 TH + DUI		44	70.5	93.2	2.3	52.3	0.0	0.0	13.6	0.0	
TOTAL		2744	95.5	35.0	69.5	22.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	0.0	
VISTA	1 ST DUI	27	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	2 ND DUI	3	33.3	66.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	3 RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
KEARNY MESA	TOTAL	32	9.4	21.9	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	1 ST DUI	4449	97.3	3.8	93.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	
	2 ND DUI	1018	97.0	75.2	14.3	70.4	0.0	0.0	4.4	0.0	
	3 RD DUI	194	94.8	94.3	2.6	84.5	0.0	0.0	24.7	0.0	

TABLE B4: 2008 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL		PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK			
			N	%									
SAN DIEGO (cont)	KEARNY MESA (cont) CHULA VISTA	4 TH + DUI	23	82.6	87.0	82.6	4.3	56.5	0.0	43.5			
		TOTAL	5684	20.0	97.1	20.0	75.5	17.3	0.0	0.0	1.9		
		1 ST DUI	1604	14.7	96.2	14.7	87.8	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.1		
		2 ND DUI	402	77.4	96.8	77.4	18.4	65.7	0.0	0.0	2.0		
		3 RD DUI	82	91.5	91.5	0.0	0.0	75.6	0.0	0.0	17.1		
		4 TH + DUI	18	94.4	72.2	94.4	5.6	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0		
		TOTAL	2106	30.3	95.9	30.3	70.5	16.7	0.0	0.0	1.1		
		1 ST DUI	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
		2 ND DUI	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
		3 RD DUI	16	93.8	93.8	93.8	93.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.5		
		4 TH + DUI	6	83.3	100.0	83.3	16.7	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
		TOTAL	3	100.0	100.0	100.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	33.3		
		TOTAL	5	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	20.0		
SAN FRANCISCO	JUV SAN FRANCISCO	TOTAL	30	96.7	93.3	96.7	56.7	23.3	0.0	0.0	13.3		
		1 ST DUI	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
		TOTAL	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
		1 ST DUI	873	99.4	98.6	99.4	95.2	1.6	0.0	0.0	1.3		
		2 ND DUI	177	100.0	99.4	100.0	25.4	71.8	0.0	0.0	22.6		
		3 RD DUI	36	100.0	97.2	100.0	2.8	86.1	2.8	2.8	66.7		
		4 TH + DUI	3	100.0	100.0	100.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3		
		TOTAL	1089	99.4	98.8	99.4	80.6	15.8	0.1	0.1	7.0		
		SAN JOAQUIN	JUV SAN JOAQUIN	1 ST DUI	16	12.5	100.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
				2 ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
				TOTAL	17	17.6	100.0	17.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
				1 ST DUI	407	99.3	99.3	98.0	73.2	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.7
				2 ND DUI	121	99.2	99.2	149	62.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0
3 RD DUI	31			93.5	93.5	100.0	6.5	51.6	0.0	0.0	29.0		
4 TH + DUI	12			91.7	75.0	91.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0		
TOTAL	571			98.2	98.2	98.2	55.7	17.2	0.0	0.0	3.7		
1 ST DUI	360			98.9	98.9	97.2	90.8	2.2	0.0	0.0	1.7		
2 ND DUI	109			98.2	98.2	99.1	18.3	78.9	0.0	0.0	34.9		
3 RD DUI	36			94.4	94.4	83	8.3	77.8	0.0	0.0	58.3		
4 TH + DUI	15			80.0	66.7	80.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	46.7		
TOTAL	519			97.5	97.5	96.9	67.2	25.2	0.0	0.0	13.9		
SAN JOAQUIN	TRACY	1 ST DUI	253	99.2	99.2	93.7	2.8	0.0	0.0	2.8	2.8		
		2 ND DUI	78	97.4	97.4	19.2	76.9	0.0	0.0	26.9	26.9		
		3 RD DUI	18	83.3	100.0	11.1	72.2	5.6	0.0	72.2	72.2		
		4 TH + DUI	10	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	30.0	30.0		
		TOTAL	359	97.5	97.5	70.8	23.7	0.3	0.0	12.3	12.3		
		1 ST DUI	1364	99.0	99.0	93.8	3.6	0.0	0.0	1.5	1.5		
		2 ND DUI	516	97.7	97.7	83.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	46.7	46.7		
		3 RD DUI	143	92.3	92.3	3.5	84.6	0.7	0.0	57.3	57.3		
		4 TH + DUI	84	70.2	91.7	0.0	59.5	1.2	0.0	46.4	46.4		
		TOTAL	2107	97.1	97.1	64.0	31.0	0.1	0.0	18.1	18.1		
		SAN LUIS OBISPO	JUV SAN LUIS OBISPO	1 ST DUI	18	88.9	88.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
				TOTAL	18	88.9	88.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
				1 ST DUI	1450	96.3	96.3	90.8	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2 ND DUI	432			98.4	95.8	100	80.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2		
3 RD DUI	109			98.2	93.6	2.8	80.7	0.0	0.0	1.8	1.8		
4 TH + DUI	41			100.0	73.2	63.4	0.0	63.4	0.0	0.0	0.0		
TOTAL	2032			96.9	95.6	67.1	23.6	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1		
1 ST DUI	35			100.0	82.9	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
SAN MATEO	SAN MATEO			TOTAL	35	100.0	82.9	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 2008 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL		PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%						
SAN MATEO (cont)	SAN MATEO (cont)	2 ND DUI	22	77.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	4.5	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	9	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +DUI	24	54.2	100.0	4.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	90	72.2	100.0	6.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST DUI	27	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	27	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST DUI	6	50.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	6	50.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST DUI	1069	97.6	98.2	93.8	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.5
		2 ND DUI	269	97.0	99.3	9.3	84.8	0.0	0.0	41.3
		3 RD DUI	51	96.1	100.0	2.0	88.2	0.0	0.0	45.1
		4 TH +DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1392	97.3	98.5	73.9	20.8	0.0	0.0	10.0
		1 ST DUI	939	94.9	98.0	89.0	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.3
		2 ND DUI	229	92.6	100.0	8.7	79.9	0.0	0.0	16.2
		3 RD DUI	41	78.0	97.6	0.0	68.3	0.0	0.0	17.1
		4 TH +DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1211	93.9	98.3	70.7	19.5	0.0	0.0	3.9
		SANTA BARBARA	JUV SANTA BARBARA	1 ST DUI	7	71.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL	7			71.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1 ST DUI	18			100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL	18			100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1 ST DUI	956			93.9	68.1	70.5	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.1
2 ND DUI	269			96.3	95.2	6.7	75.1	0.0	10.8	
3 RD DUI	55			94.5	94.5	5.5	74.5	0.0	9.1	
4 TH +DUI	17			58.8	94.1	29.4	11.8	0.0	5.9	
TOTAL	1297			94.0	75.2	53.7	19.8	0.0	2.8	
1 ST DUI	752			97.7	71.4	82.7	2.3	0.0	1.6	
2 ND DUI	273			95.6	94.9	6.2	81.0	0.0	53.5	
3 RD DUI	100			88.0	100.0	2.0	78.0	0.0	68.0	
4 TH +DUI	25			12.0	100.0	0.0	12.0	0.0	12.0	
TOTAL	1150			94.5	80.1	55.7	27.7	0.0	19.9	
1 ST DUI	194			96.9	28.9	78.4	1.0	0.0	0.5	
2 ND DUI	51			96.1	90.2	11.8	76.5	0.0	7.8	
3 RD DUI	11			81.8	90.9	0.0	63.6	0.0	9.1	
4 TH +DUI	3			0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL	259			95.0	44.4	61.0	18.5	0.0	2.3	
SANTA CLARA	SANTA CLARA	1 ST DUI	119	82.4	99.2	51.3	10.1	0.0	1.7	
		2 ND DUI	67	67.2	98.5	7.5	43.3	0.0	7.5	
		3 RD DUI	39	59.0	97.4	2.6	38.5	0.0	12.8	
		4 TH +DUI	57	56.1	100.0	1.8	40.4	0.0	10.5	
		TOTAL	282	70.2	98.9	24.1	28.0	0.0	6.4	
		1 ST DUI	55	92.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	55	92.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		1 ST DUI	789	99.9	98.4	94.8	2.2	0.0	0.9	
		2 ND DUI	204	99.5	98.5	19.1	75.0	0.0	27.0	
		3 RD DUI	32	100.0	93.8	3.1	62.5	3.1	43.8	
		4 TH +DUI	5	100.0	100.0	40.0	60.0	0.0	20.0	
		TOTAL	1030	99.8	98.3	76.7	18.7	0.1	7.5	
		1 ST DUI	3479	99.7	94.7	94.7	3.0	0.0	1.4	
		2 ND DUI	1021	99.6	99.3	15.1	79.8	0.0	29.0	
		3 RD DUI	202	99.5	99.0	2.5	77.7	0.0	60.9	

TABLE B4: 2008 DUJ SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUJ OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL		PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUJ PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUJ PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUJ PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK	
			N	%							
SANTA CLARA (cont)	SAN JOSE (cont)	4 TH + DUJ	11	100.0		100.0	0.0	63.6	0.0	81.8	
		TOTAL	4713	99.7		98.7	73.3	23.0	0.0	10.1	
	SAN JOSE TRAF	1 ST DUJ	19	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	19	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	PALO ALTO	1 ST DUJ	5	100.0		100.0	80.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUJ	1	100.0		100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	SAN MARTIN	4 TH + DUJ	1	100.0		100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	7	100.0		100.0	71.4	14.3	0.0	0.0	
	SANTA CRUZ	SAN JOSE	1 ST DUJ	434	99.3		96.8	85.0	3.7	0.2	2.5
			2 ND DUJ	138	100.0		100.0	16.7	61.6	0.0	39.9
		3 RD DUJ	36	100.0		97.2	2.8	38.9	0.0	52.8	
		4 TH + DUJ	2	100.0		100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	610	99.5		97.5	64.4	18.9	0.2	13.9	
	SANTA CRUZ	SANTA CRUZ	1 ST DUJ	10	70.0		100.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2 ND DUJ			8	62.5		100.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	
3 RD DUJ		2	100.0		100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
4 TH + DUJ		11	63.6		100.0	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0		
TOTAL		31	67.7		100.0	3.2	6.5	0.0	0.0		
JUV SANTA CRUZ		1 ST DUJ	21	95.2		9.5	52.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	21	95.2		9.5	52.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TRAFFIC SANTA CRUZ		1 ST DUJ	606	98.5		97.9	74.3	1.0	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUJ	162	99.4		98.1	11.7	55.6	0.0	0.0	
		3 RD DUJ	43	97.7		100.0	0.0	25.6	0.0	2.3	
		4 TH + DUJ	17	82.4		100.0	0.0	5.9	0.0	0.0	
WATSONVILLE		TOTAL	828	98.3		98.1	56.6	13.0	0.0	0.1	
		1 ST DUJ	287	97.2		97.6	43.9	0.3	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUJ	100	100.0		100.0	8.0	42.0	0.0	0.0	
	3 RD DUJ	25	100.0		100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0		
	4 TH + DUJ	4	50.0		100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
TOTAL	416	97.6		98.3	32.2	11.5	0.0	0.0			
SHASTA	JUV SHASTA	1 ST DUJ	8	25.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUJ	2	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	10	20.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
	BURNLEY	1 ST DUJ	31	96.8		96.8	90.3	0.0	0.0	29.0	
		2 ND DUJ	7	100.0		100.0	28.6	71.4	0.0	85.7	
	TOTAL	38	97.4		97.4	78.9	13.2	0.0	39.5		
	REDDING	1 ST DUJ	969	95.6		97.4	89.7	2.1	0.0	31.8	
		2 ND DUJ	331	94.0		99.1	9.4	78.2	0.0	81.3	
		3 RD DUJ	98	79.6		99.0	1.0	17.3	0.0	70.4	
		4 TH + DUJ	32	15.6		100.0	0.0	6.3	0.0	3.1	
TOTAL	1430	92.3		98.0	63.0	20.8	0.0	45.2			
SIERRA	SIERRA	1 ST DUJ	12	100.0		91.7	75.0	8.3	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUJ	7	100.0		100.0	14.3	71.4	0.0	0.0	
	3 RD DUJ	1	100.0		100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0		
	4 TH + DUJ	1	0.0		100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
	TOTAL	21	95.2		95.2	47.6	33.3	0.0	0.0		
SISKIYOU	JUV SISKIYOU	1 ST DUJ	7	14.3		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	7	14.3		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	WEED	1 ST DUJ	147	96.6		86.4	81.6	2.7	0.0	0.7	
		2 ND DUJ	42	92.9		95.2	11.9	71.4	0.0	23.8	
3 RD DUJ	11	100.0		90.9	18.2	63.6	0.0	27.3			

TABLE B4: 2008 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL		PROBATION		JAIL		1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK		
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
SISKIYOU (cont)	WEED (cont) YREKA	4 TH + DUI	2	100.0				100.0				50.0				100.0	
		TOTAL	202	96.0	202	20.8		62.9	0.0			20.8				7.9	
		1 ST DUI	72	94.4				84.7	79.2			1.4				1.4	
		2 ND DUI	36	97.2				91.7	30.6			50.0				2.8	
		3 RD DUI	15	86.7				93.3	46.7			26.7				26.7	
		4 TH + DUI	4	25.0				100.0	0.0			25.0				50.0	
		TOTAL	127	92.1				88.2	59.1			18.9				11.0	
SOLANO	JUV SOLANO FAIRFIELD	1 ST DUI	19	78.9				0.0				0.0			0.0		
		TOTAL	19	78.9				0.0				0.0			0.0		
		1 ST DUI	783	96.4				98.2	91.6			2.4			1.0		
		2 ND DUI	288	96.2				99.0	10.4			83.7			20.8		
		3 RD DUI	65	87.7				96.9	3.1			75.4			44.6		
		4 TH + DUI	21	66.7				100.0	0.0			47.6			4.8		
		TOTAL	1157	95.3				98.4	64.7			27.6			8.5		
		1 ST DUI	324	97.8				98.8	92.6			1.5			0.3		
		2 ND DUI	115	97.4				98.3	16.5			75.7			5.2		
		3 RD DUI	45	80.0				97.8	0.0			73.3			20.0		
		4 TH + DUI	13	76.9				92.3	0.0			53.8			7.7		
		TOTAL	497	95.6				98.4	64.2			26.6			3.4		
		SONOMA	SONOMA	1 ST DUI	2078	98.4				97.3	73.1			0.9			1.3
2 ND DUI	687			97.1				98.5	7.1			50.7			15.7		
3 RD DUI	201			94.0				89.1	2.0			19.4			23.9		
4 TH + DUI	64			60.9				96.9	0.0			6.3			7.8		
TOTAL	3030			97.0				97.0	51.9			13.5			6.2		
1 ST DUI	32			71.9				9.4	65.6			0.0			0.0		
TOTAL	32			71.9				9.4	65.6			0.0			0.0		
1 ST DUI	7			0.0				0.0	0.0			0.0			0.0		
2 ND DUI	1			0.0				0.0	0.0			0.0			0.0		
TOTAL	8			0.0				0.0	0.0			0.0			0.0		
STANISLAUS	STANISLAUS			1 ST DUI	1858	99.0				98.3	89.7			6.0			3.0
				2 ND DUI	537	99.3				96.5	19.7			74.5			16.6
				3 RD DUI	132	97.7				93.9	9.1			79.5			42.4
		4 TH + DUI	39	87.2				87.2	0.0			64.1			43.6		
		TOTAL	2566	98.8				97.5	69.6			25.0			8.5		
		1 ST DUI	25	84.0				48.0	56.0			0.0			0.0		
		2 ND DUI	1	100.0				0.0	100.0			0.0			0.0		
		TOTAL	26	84.6				46.2	57.7			0.0			0.0		
		1 ST DUI	24	4.2				0.0	4.2			0.0			0.0		
		TOTAL	24	4.2				0.0	4.2			0.0			0.0		
		1 ST DUI	2	0.0				0.0	0.0			0.0			0.0		
		TOTAL	2	0.0				0.0	0.0			0.0			0.0		
		SUTTER	TRAFFIC YUBA CITY	1 ST DUI	346	90.2				95.4	85.3			0.6			6.1
2 ND DUI	128			95.3				98.4	13.3			78.1			64.1		
3 RD DUI	26			84.6				100.0	3.8			76.9			84.6		
4 TH + DUI	10			40.0				100.0	0.0			40.0			40.0		
TOTAL	510			90.2				96.5	61.4			24.7			25.3		
1 ST DUI	10			60.0				100.0	50.0			0.0			60.0		
2 ND DUI	5			20.0				100.0	0.0			20.0			60.0		
TEHAMA	TEHAMA	3 RD DUI	4	0.0				100.0	0.0			0.0			25.0		
		4 TH + DUI	12	8.3				100.0	0.0			8.3			83.3		
		TOTAL	31	25.8				100.0	16.1			6.5			64.5		

TABLE B4: 2008 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL		PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%						
TEHAMA (cont)	JUV TEHAMA	1 ST DUI	5	100.0	60.0	100.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	100.0	60.0	100.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST DUI	116	98.3	95.7	98.3	85.3	6.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	54	90.7	98.1	3.7	61.1	11.1	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	18	66.7	94.4	0.0	61.1	27.8	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	189	91.5	97.9	54.0	32.8	0.0	0.0	5.8
		1 ST DUI	156	85.9	98.1	80.8	0.6	0.0	0.0	1.3
		2 ND DUI	68	91.2	98.5	13.2	72.1	0.0	0.0	11.8
		3 RD DUI	15	73.3	100.0	6.7	60.0	0.0	0.0	33.3
TOTAL	239	86.6	98.3	56.9	24.7	0.0	0.0	6.3		
TRINITY	TRINITY	1 ST DUI	60	100.0	100.0	100.0	86.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	38	100.0	97.4	13.2	23.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	7	85.7	100.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	107	98.1	99.1	54.2	9.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
TULARE	JUV VISALIA	1 ST DUI	19	89.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	19	89.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST DUI	319	96.9	97.8	48.3	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.9
		2 ND DUI	92	95.7	98.9	3.3	68.5	0.0	0.0	13.0
		3 RD DUI	32	100.0	96.9	9.4	50.0	0.0	0.0	31.3
		4 TH +DUI	7	71.4	0.0	71.4	14.3	14.3	0.0	14.3
		TOTAL	450	96.4	97.6	35.6	18.9	0.2	0.0	5.8
		1 ST DUI	688	96.9	98.0	70.3	2.9	0.0	0.0	1.5
		2 ND DUI	254	93.7	98.0	8.3	77.6	0.4	0.0	22.8
		3 RD DUI	64	85.9	100.0	3.1	76.6	0.0	0.0	37.5
4 TH +DUI	41	73.2	95.1	0.0	34.1	0.0	0.0	12.2		
TOTAL	1047	94.6	98.0	48.4	26.7	0.1	0.0	9.3		
TULARE	TULARE	1 ST DUI	1164	96.7	80.1	49.0	2.6	0.0	0.0	2.5
		2 ND DUI	348	94.0	95.7	3.4	75.0	0.0	0.0	22.1
		3 RD DUI	86	91.9	93.0	0.0	73.3	0.0	0.0	40.7
		4 TH +DUI	9	88.9	100.0	0.0	55.6	0.0	0.0	22.2
		TOTAL	1607	95.8	84.3	36.2	22.3	0.0	0.0	8.9
		1 ST DUI	70	74.3	87.1	11.4	5.7	0.0	0.0	1.4
		2 ND DUI	31	67.7	93.5	3.2	16.1	0.0	0.0	6.5
		3 RD DUI	15	66.7	86.7	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	6.7
		4 TH +DUI	36	58.3	91.7	0.0	5.6	0.0	0.0	8.3
		TOTAL	152	68.4	89.5	5.9	9.2	0.7	0.0	4.6
TUOLUMNE	TUOLUMNE	1 ST DUI	270	95.2	95.2	82.6	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.4
		2 ND DUI	96	87.5	97.9	5.2	74.0	1.0	0.0	10.4
		3 RD DUI	36	94.4	97.2	5.6	16.7	2.8	0.0	61.1
		4 TH +DUI	15	80.0	93.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	20.0
		TOTAL	417	92.8	95.9	55.2	21.3	0.5	0.0	8.6
		1 ST DUI	4	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST DUI	3600	97.4	95.8	75.9	1.3	0.0	0.0	8.6
		2 ND DUI	926	97.6	98.4	11.7	65.2	0.0	0.0	66.0
		3 RD DUI	212	95.8	98.1	2.4	59.0	0.0	0.0	78.8
4 TH +DUI	66	72.7	100.0	4.5	60.6	0.0	0.0	66.7		
TOTAL	4804	97.0	96.5	59.3	17.0	0.0	0.0	23.5		
YOLO	YOLO	1 ST DUI	781	96.8	96.4	86.6	1.8	0.0	0.0	1.0
		TOTAL	781	96.8	96.4	86.6	1.8	0.0	0.0	1.0

TABLE B4: 2008 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL		PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK	
			N	%							
YOLO (cont)	YOLO (cont)	2 ND DUI	270	96.3	99.6	31.9	60.0	0.0	54.4		
		3 RD DUI	60	93.3	100.0	13.3	68.3	0.0	71.7		
		4 ^{TH+} DUI	30	33.3	100.0	3.3	23.3	0.0	16.7		
		TOTAL	1141	94.8	97.5	67.6	19.6	0.0	17.8		
YUBA	YUBA	1 ST DUI	9	55.6	100.0	22.2	0.0	0.0	0.0		
		2 ND DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0		
		4 ^{TH+} DUI	6	16.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7		
		TOTAL	17	41.2	100.0	11.8	5.9	0.0	5.9		
		1 ST DUI	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
		2 ND DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
		TOTAL	3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
		1 ST DUI	264	97.3	94.7	90.9	1.5	0.0	1.9		
		2 ND DUI	95	98.9	97.9	12.6	80.0	0.0	21.1		
		3 RD DUI	21	100.0	100.0	4.8	95.2	0.0	47.6		
TOTAL	380	97.9	95.8	66.6	26.3	0.0	9.2				
1 ST DUI	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
TOTAL	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
MARYSVILLE	MARYSVILLE	1 ST DUI	264	97.3	94.7	90.9	1.5	0.0	1.9		
		2 ND DUI	95	98.9	97.9	12.6	80.0	0.0	21.1		
		3 RD DUI	21	100.0	100.0	4.8	95.2	0.0	47.6		
		TOTAL	380	97.9	95.8	66.6	26.3	0.0	9.2		
		1 ST DUI	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
		TOTAL	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
		BEALE AFB	BEALE AFB	1 ST DUI	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
				TOTAL	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B5: DEMOGRAPHIC 2-YEAR PRIOR DRIVER RECORD VARIABLES BY YEAR AND SANCTION GROUP FOR ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS OFFENDERS AND FIRST DUI OFFENDERS

YEAR GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	PERCENT FEMALE	MEAN AGE	PERCENT COMMERCIAL DRIVERS	MEAN MONTHS IN STUDY	2-YEAR PRIOR INCIDENTS PER 100 DRIVERS			ZIP CODE ACCIDENT AND CONVICTION INDICES				
						TOTAL ACCIDENTS	ALCOHOL ACCIDENTS	MAJOR CONVICTIONS	TOTAL ACCIDENTS	INJURY ACCIDENTS	MAJOR VIOLATIONS	MOVING VIOLATIONS	
Z/08-6/09													
No program	3,205 (37.2%)	25.5	34.1	2.9	16.0	2.6	0.8	0.16	8.9	1.19	0.27	0.47	2.09
Alcohol education program	5,410 (62.8%)	28.0	33.0	2.3	15.8	2.5	0.7	0.10	8.9	1.24	0.26	0.40	2.07
p < .05		$\chi^2 = 6.69^$	$F = 16.3^*$	$\chi^2 = 3.78$	$F = 11.9^*$	$F = 1.0$	$F = 2.9$	$F = 4.3^*$	$F = 0.0$	$F = 116.9^*$	$F = 9.2^*$	$F = 166.4^*$	$F = 7.5^*$
2008													
3-month program	39,160 (74.2%)	27.5	33.5	1.4	20.0	3.2	1.4	0.07	8.6	1.29	0.28	0.39	2.13
9-month program	13,610 (25.8%)	27.2	36.8	1.9	20.1	4.0	2.4	0.08	6.3	1.28	0.28	0.40	2.13
p < .05		$\chi^2 = 0.31$	$F = 737.4^$	$\chi^2 = 19.21^*$	$F = 3.6$	$F = 204.1^*$	$F = 747.1^*$	$F = 1.6$	$F = 423.3^*$	$F = 14.7^*$	$F = 0.00$	$F = 10.3^*$	$F = 0.93$