STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES

2008

ANNUAL REPORT OF THE CALIFORNIA DUI MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM

ANNUAL REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN ACCORD WITH ASSEMBLY BILL 757
CHAPTER 450, 1989 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

JANUARY 2008

ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER
Governor

DALE E. BONNER, Secretary
Business, Transportation and Housing Agency

GEORGE VALVERDE
Director

© California Department of Motor Vehicles, 2008

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED
	January 2008	Final Report

4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE
2008 Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System

5. FUNDING NUMBERS

6. AUTHOR(S)
Helen N. Tashima and Sladjana Oulad Daoud

7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)
California Department of Motor Vehicles
Research and Development Section
P.O. Box 932382
Sacramento, CA 94232-3820

8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
CAL-DMV-RSS-08-224

9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)

10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE

13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)

In this seventeenth annual legislatively-mandated report, 2005 and 2006 DUI data from diverse sources were compiled and cross-referenced for the purpose of developing a single comprehensive DUI data reference and monitoring system. This report presents cross tabulated information on DUI arrests, convictions, court sanctions, administrative actions and alcohol-involved crashes. In addition, this report provides 1-year proportions of DUI recidivism and crash rates for first and second DUI offenders arrested in each year over a time period of sixteen years. Also, the long-term recidivism curves of the cumulative proportions of DUI reoffenses are shown for all DUI offenders arrested in 1994. Analyses were conducted on the effectiveness of alcohol education programs upon the 1-year postconviction records of those convicted of the reduced charge of alcohol-related reckless driving, and on the effectiveness of the 3-month versus 6-month alcohol education programs on the 1-year postconviction records of first offenders. Two additional subanalyses were conducted to determine if differences on the outcome measures were related to BAC level (below 0.20% and 0.20% and above). The proportions of 2005 convicted first and second offenders who were referred to alcohol education/treatment programs are also presented.

14. SUBJECT TERMS
Drinking drivers, DUI tracking data system, DUI reporting system, DUI countermeasures, DUI recidivism, alcohol education and rehabilitation, driver license disqualification

15. NUMBER OF PAGES
156

16. PRICE CODE

17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified	None

DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 1996 - 2006

	YEAR										
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
DUI Arrest Rate (per 100,000 licensed drivers)	995	933	908	896	847	803	783	809	792	786	849
Total DUI arrests[1]	201765	191164	188327	188523	181336	176490	177056	183560	180957	180288	197248
Felony DUI arrests[1]	6313	5568	5330	5242	5476	5647	5859	5856	5646	5962	6191
Misdemeanor DUI arrests[1]	195452	185596	182997	183281	175860	170843	171197	177704	175311	174326	191057
DUI convictions received to date (by year of arrest)[2]	149770	142372	144081	149703	144342	140446	139814	144453	143188	140879	148066
Percent convicted of DUI as of Sept. 2007[2]	74%	75%	77%	79%	80%	80%	79%	79%	79%	78%	75%
Alcohol-involved reckless driving convictions[2]	17446	16867	15945	15514	14465	15773	15694	15735	14801	14452	14517
Percent convicted of alcohol reckless as of Sept. 2007[2]	8.6%	8.8%	8.5%	8.2%	8.0%	8.9%	8.9%	8.6%	8.2%	8.0%	7.4%
Alcohol-involved fatalities[3]	1254	1100	1072	1170	1233	1308	1416	1445	1462	1574	1597
% of total fatalities	31.6	30.0	31.0	32.8	33.1	33.3	34.2	34.2	35.7	36.6	38.1
Alcohol-involved injuries[3]	35654	31189	30985	29833	30971	31806	32013	31322	31538	30810	31099
% of total injuries	11.9	10.9	10.7	10.3	10.2	10.4	10.4	10.2	10.4	10.5	11.2
Drug-involved fatalities[4]	264	253	266	290	428	509	639	784	799	880	859
% of total fatalities	6.6	6.9	7.7	8.2	11.5	13.0	15.6	18.6	19.5	20.4	20.5
Drug-involved injuries[4]	1606	1682	1549	1774	1917	2106	2373	2580	2646	2722	2421
% of total injuries	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9

[1]These totals do not include duplicate cases as originally reported in the Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, MACR data, and as of 2006 the counts for all the years reflect this change.

[2]These data represent a DMV master file count of the number of DUI abstracts (data received from the courts), by year of violation, minus duplicates. Each year, the DUI conviction totals are updated to include the amended and new abstracts for current and previous years. These additions are also reflected in changes for the percent convicted. These totals do not include conviction abstracts not yet received. Thus, for the most recent years, these figures will underestimate the final conviction totals.

[3]These figures include overlap with drugs. That is, drugs are also involved in some of these cases.

[4]These figures include overlap with alcohol. That is, alcohol is also involved in some of these cases.

Beginning with the 2007 DUI-MIS report, reporting of drug-involved fatalities is included.

DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 1996 - 2006 (continued)

	YEAR										
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
TOTAL MANDATORY SUSPENSION/ REVOCATION (S/R) ACTIONS	230600[r]	205462[1]	238612[1]	236141	240597	231217	236603	241242	239580	247568	339796[3]
PRECONVICTION											
Admin Per Se (APS) Actions	180343[r]	169511	175365	179332	172606	164840	165505	171470	171828	168569	185481
.01 Zero tolerance suspensions	9327[r]	11517	15640	17775	18185	18549	19129	19949	19967	19374	22044
.08 First-offender suspensions	122111[r]	114247	116827	119621	114997	109695	109888	114975	116022	107466	118468
.08 Repeat-offender suspensions	43922[r]	39636	39024	38487	36147	33517	33580	33413	32903	38097	41420
.08 Repeat-offender revocations	4983[r]	4111	3874	3449	3277	3079	2908	3133	2936	3632	3549
Commercial driver actions	4939[r]	4496	4609	4471	4139	4013	3936	3853	3801	3525	2974
Chemical test refusal actions	11436[r]	10110	9935	9435	9433	8841	8772	9399	9353	9599	9315
.01 Test refusal suspensions	154	134	229	268	270	280	290	341	326	364	419
.08 Test refusal suspensions	6299[r]	5865	5832	5718	5886	5482	5547	5925	6091	5603	5347
.08 Test refusal revocations	4983[r]	4111	3874	3449	3277	3079	2908	3133	2936	3632	3549
POSTCONVICTION[2]											
Juvenile DUI suspensions	995	769[1]	1026[1]	918	741	714	896	794	838	737	941
First-offender suspensions	7229	4847[1]	9588[1]	15072	29924	31097	32716	32521	31012	39078	110525[3]
Misdemeanor	5753	3834[1]	7497[1]	13401	28118	29188	30563	30298	28799	36808	108227[3]
Felony	1476	1013[1]	2091[1]	1671	1806	1909	2153	2223	2213	2270	2298
Second-offender S/R actions	30404	22945[1]	40238[1]	31940	29097	26911	29345	28737	28400	30294	32680
Misdemeanor	29864	22532[1]	39065[1]	31455	28571	26334	28748	28160	27847	29699	32046
Felony	540	413[1]	633[1]	485	526	577	597	577	553	595	634
Third-offender revocations	8728	5569[1]	9397[1]	6573	6163	5727	6171	5953	5581	6720	7649
Misdemeanor	8550	5471[1]	9167[1]	6452	6015	5585	5996	5758	5429	6537	7424
Felony	178	98[1]	230[1]	121	148	142	175	195	152	183	225
Fourth-offender revocations	2901	1821[1]	2998[1]	2306	2066	1928	1970	1767	1921	2170	2520
TOTAL POSTCONVICTION S/R ACTIONS	50257[r]	35951[1]	63247[1]	56809	67991	66377	71098	69772	67752	78999[3]	154315[3]

[1]The 1997/1998 counts reflect backlogged actions from 1997 that were processed in 1998.

[2]These totals include suspension actions that are associated with lack of compliance with statutory requirements, and include workload counts.

[3]This count has increased as a result of the law change, effective 09/20/2005, which assigned to DMV the sole responsibility for imposing license actions for all DUI and removed this responsibility from the courts.

[r]Revised from prior reports.

HIGHLIGHTS OF YEAR 2008 CALIFORNIA DUI-MIS REPORT

- Alcohol-involved traffic fatalities rose by 1.5% in 2006, continuing the rising trend that started in 1999 after well over a decade of continuous decline (see DUI Summary Statistics).

- Drug-involved fatalities show a slight decline in the midst of a growing trend in the past decade, increasing by 225%, from 264 in 1996 to 859 in 2006 (see DUI Summary Statistics).

- The number of persons injured in alcohol-involved crashes increased slightly by 0.9% in 2006, following decrease of 2.3% in 2005 (see DUI Summary Statistics).

- DUI arrests increased by 9.4% in 2006, following decreases by 0.4% in 2005 and by 1.4% in 2004, after increases of 3.7% in 2003 and 0.3% in 2002 (see Table 1).

- The DUI arrest rate rose by 8.0% in 2006. The rate has remained fairly stable over the last five years. The 2006 rate represents a 14.7% reduction from the arrest rate in 1996 (see DUI Summary Statistics).

- 15.8% of all 2005 DUI arrests were associated with a reported traffic crash, compared to 14.8% in 2004. 6.6% of 2005 DUI arrests were associated with crashes involving injuries or fatalities, slightly higher than 6.2% in 2004 (see Table 19).

- Among 2006 DUI arrestees, Hispanics (45.8%) again constituted the largest racial/ethnic group, as they have each year since 1992 (with the exception of 1999). Hispanics continued to be arrested at a rate substantially higher than their estimated percentage of California's adult population (35.4% in 2006). This is shown in Figure 3.

- The median (midpoint) age of an arrested DUI offender in 2006 was 30 years. Less than 1% of arrested DUI offenders were juveniles (under age 18). This is shown in Table 3a.

- Among convicted DUI offenders in 2005, 72.9% were first offenders and 27.1% were repeat offenders (one or more prior convictions within the previous ten years). This

is shown in Table 10. The proportion of repeat offenders has decreased considerably since 1989, when it stood at 37%. However the increase in the proportion of repeat offenders in 2005 could be attributed to the change in the counting period for priors from seven to ten years.

- The median blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of a convicted DUI offender, as reported by law enforcement on APS forms, was 0.15% in 2005, same as last year, yet almost double the California illegal per se BAC limit of 0.08% (see Table 9a).

- 10.3% of 2005 DUI arrest cases did not show any corresponding conviction on DMV records, decreased from 11.2% of the 2004 DUI arrests (see Table 8).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge with appreciation the many individuals who have contributed to the success of this project. In particular, we wish to acknowledge the cooperation of the Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, in providing annual DUI arrest data and documentation. The contributions of Debbie McKenzie, Associate Governmental Program Analyst, in transforming mainframe computer files into readable data tables and figures, as well as her general assistance in the production of this report, are acknowledged with appreciation. We also would like to acknowledge the contributions of Patrice Rogers, Research Program Specialist II, Henry T. Lai, Staff Programmer Analyst, Leonard A. Marowitz, Research Manager II, Alcohol/Drug Projects, and David J. DeYoung, Chief, Research and Development Branch.

Report Authors:
Helen N. Tashima, Research Program Specialist II and
Sladjana Oulad Daoud, Research Program Specialist I, Principal Investigators

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 1996-2006 i
HIGHLIGHTS OF YEAR 2008 CALIFORNIA DUI-MIS REPORT iii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS v
INTRODUCTION 1
SECTION 1: DUI ARRESTS 4
SECTION 2: CONVICTIONS 12
SECTION 3: POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS 24
SECTION 4: POSTCONVICTION SANCTION EFFECTIVENESS 37
DUI RECIDIVISM AND CRASH RATES 40
One-Year DUI Recidivism and Crash Rates for First and Second DUI Offenders from 1990-2005 40
One-Year DUI Recidivism and Crash Rates by County for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested in 2005 43
The Proportions of Alcohol Education Program Referrals for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested in 2005 46
Long Term Recidivism Rates of the 1994 DUI Offenders 47
ALCOHOL EDUCATION PROGRAM EVALUATION FOR THE ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS OFFENDERS AND FIRST DUI OFFENDERS 53
Alcohol Education Program Evaluation for Drivers Convicted of Alcohol-Reckless Driving 56
Results of the Evaluation of the 3-Month and 6-Month Alcohol Education Programs for First DUI Offenders 57
Results of the Evaluation of the 6-Month Alcohol Education Programs for First DUI Offenders with BAC Levels Below 0.20% Versus 0.20% and Above 59
Results of the Evaluation of the 3-Month and 6-Month Alcohol Education Programs for First DUI Offenders with BAC Levels of 0.20% and Above 60
SECTION 5: ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS 62
SECTION 6: CRASHES INVOLVING ALCOHOL 66
DATA SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS 78
HISTORY OF MAJOR DUI LAWS IN CALIFORNIA SINCE 1975 79
REFERENCES 92
GLOSSARY 96

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

APPENDICES

NUMBER			PAGE
A	ASSEMBLY BILL NO. 757 - CHAPTER 450		99
B	APPENDIX TABLES		101
	B1	2006 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY	101
	B2	2005 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE	116
	B3	TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2005 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT	125
	B4	2005 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS	134
	B5	DEMOGRAPHIC 2-YEAR PRIOR DRIVER RECORD VARIABLES BY YEAR AND SANCTION GROUP FOR ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS AND 3- AND 6-MONTH ALCOHOL PROGRAM DRIVERS	156

LIST OF TABLES

1	DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND ANNUAL PERCENTAGE CHANGE, 2004-2006	8
2	2006 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST	9
3a	2006 DUI ARRESTS BY AGE, SEX, AND RACE/ETHNICITY	10
3b	2006 DUI ARRESTS BY SEX, AGE, AND RACE/ETHNICITY	10
3c	DUI ARRESTS UNDER AGE 21, 1996-2006	11
4	2005 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE AND SEX	17
5	MATCHABLE 2005 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE, RACE/ETHNICITY, AND SEX	18

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

NUMBER		PAGE
6	ADJUSTED 2005 DUI CONVICTION RATES AND RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD OF CONVICTION BY AGE AND RACE/ETHNICITY	18
7	TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2005 DUI ARRESTEES	19
8	ADJUDICATION STATUS OF 2005 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY	21
9a	2005 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF DUI CONVICTIONS	22
9b	2005 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF CONVICTED DUI OFFENDERS UNDER AGE 21	23
10	2005 DUI CONVICTIONS BY OFFENDER STATUS AND REPORTED BAC LEVEL	23
11	2005 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS	27
12	2005 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS	28
13a	ONE-YEAR UNADJUSTED PERCENTAGES OF SUBSEQUENT DUI-INCIDENT-INVOLVED AND CRASH-INVOLVED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS, 1990-2005	41
13b	2005 1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT DUI RECIDIVISM RATES BY COUNTY FOR FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS	44
13c	2005 1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT CRASH RATES BY COUNTY FOR FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS	45
14	COUNTS AND PROPORTIONS OF REPORTED ALCOHOL PROGRAM REFERRALS FOR CONVICTED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2005	46
15	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGES OF THE FIRST SUBSEQUENT DUI REOFFENSES FOR 1994 DUI OFFENDERS	47

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

NUMBER		PAGE
16a	THE EFFECT OF ALCOHOL EDUCATION PROGRAM ON SUBSEQUENT CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS FOR DRIVERS CONVICTED OF ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS DRIVING	57
16b	FIRST OFFENDER 3-MONTH AND 6-MONTH ALCOHOL EDUCATION PROGRAM EFFECTS ON TOTAL CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS	58
16c	FIRST OFFENDER 6-MONTH ALCOHOL EDUCATION PROGRAM EFFECTS ON TOTAL CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS FOR DRIVERS WITH BAC LEVELS BELOW 0.20% VERSUS 0.20% AND ABOVE	60
16d	FIRST OFFENDER 3-MONTH AND 6-MONTH ALCOHOL EDUCATION PROGRAM EFFECTS ON TOTAL CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS FOR DRIVERS WITH BAC LEVELS 0.20% AND ABOVE	61
17	MANDATORY DUI LICENSE DISQUALIFICATION ACTIONS, 1996-2006	64
18	ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE PROCESS MEASURES	65
19	DUI ARRESTS ASSOCIATED WITH REPORTED CRASHES, 1995-2005	70
20	2005 HAD BEEN DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND SOBRIETY CODE	71
21	2005 HAD BEEN DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY ADJUDICATION STATUS AND SOBRIETY CODE	71
22	2005 HAD BEEN DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES WITH NO RECORD OF CONVICTION, BY COUNTY AND SOBRIETY LEVEL	72

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

NUMBER		PAGE
23	HAD BEEN DRINKING DRIVERS UNDER AGE 21 INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES, 1995-2005	73
24a	2005 HAD BEEN DRINKING DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY AGE AND SEX	73
24b	2005 HAD BEEN DRINKING DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY AGE AND SEX (NOT ARRESTED OR CONVICTED)	73
24c	2005 HAD BEEN DRINKING DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY AGE AND TYPE OF CRASH	74
25a	SOBRIETY LEVEL BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2005 HAD BEEN DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES	75
25b	SOBRIETY LEVEL BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2005 HAD BEEN DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES (NOT ARRESTED OR CONVICTED)	75
26a	2005 HAD BEEN DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS	76
26b	2005 HAD BEEN DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NOT ARRESTED OR CONVICTED)	76
27	2005 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF DRIVERS INVOLVED IN ALCOHOL-RELATED CRASHES	77

LIST OF FIGURES

1	DUI management information system	2
2	DUI arrests 1996-2006	5

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

NUMBER		PAGE
3	Percentage of 2006 DUI arrests and 2006 projected population (age 15 and over, based on the 2000 census) by race/ethnicity	7
4	DUI abstracts of conviction received by DMV and conviction rates, 1996-2006	14
5	Relative likelihood of conviction by race/ethnicity	17
6	Percentage representation of court-ordered DUI sanctions (2005)	25
7	Percentages of first- and second-DUI offenders reoffending in a DUI incident within one year after conviction (arrested in 1990-2005)	40
8	Percentages of first- and second-DUI offenders involved in a crash within one year after conviction (arrested in 1990-2005)	42
9a	Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction, and first subsequent DUI conviction and DUI incidents (alcohol crashes, major convictions, APS suspensions and DUI FTAs)	48
9b	Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI conviction by number of prior DUI convictions	49
9c	Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI conviction by sex	50
9d	Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI conviction by age group (age at conviction date)	51
9e	Length of time between DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI reoffense of 1980, 1984, 1994, and 2000 DUI drivers	51
10a	Adjusted 1-year crash and DUI incident rates for 2005-2006 (fiscal year) alcohol reckless drivers by type of sanction	56
10b	Adjusted 1-year crash and DUI incident rates for first offender drivers (arrested in year 2005) by length of alcohol education program	58
11	Percentage of total injuries and total fatalities that were alcohol-involved, 1996-2006	68
12	Alcohol and drug involved total fatalities, 1996-2006	68

INTRODUCTION

This report is the seventeenth Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System, produced in response to Assembly Bill 757 (Friedman), Chapter 450, 1989 legislative session (see Appendix A). This bill required the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) to "establish and maintain a data and monitoring system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted" of DUI in order to provide "accurate and up-to-date comprehensive statistics" to enhance "the ability of the Legislature to make informed and timely policy decisions." The need for such a data system had long been documented by numerous authorities, including the 1983 Presidential Commission on Drunk Driving. In responding to this legislative mandate, this report combines and cross-references DUI data from diverse sources and presents them in a single reference. Data sources drawn upon include the California Highway Patrol (CHP) for crash data, Department of Justice (DOJ) for arrest data, and the DMV driver record database. Each of these reporting agencies, however, initially draw their data from diffuse primary sources such as individual law enforcement agencies (arrest and crash reports) and the courts (abstracts of conviction).

The general conceptual design of the California DUI management information system (DUI-MIS) is presented in Figure 1. The basic theme of the DUI-MIS is to track the processing of offenders through the DUI system from the point of arrest and to identify the frequency with which offenders flow through each branch of the system process (from law enforcement through adjudication to treatment and license control actions). Figure 1 also illustrates the relationship between offender flow and data collection at each point of the process. The initiating data source for the DUI-MIS is the DUI arrest report, as compiled by the DOJ, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system.

Another major objective of this report is to evaluate the effectiveness of court and administrative sanctions on convicted DUI offenders. In the earlier years of this report, these evaluations were accomplished by examining the postconviction recidivism records (alcohol/drug-related crashes and traffic convictions) of offenders assigned to alternative sanctions within offender group. In recent years as the sanctions became increasingly homogenous within each offender group, the evaluations (as mandated by law) became focused on available sanctions in selected groups. These evaluations are detailed in Section 4 on "Postconviction Sanction Effectiveness."



Figure 1. DUI management information system.

It should again be noted that it is not an objective of this report to make recommendations based on the data presented. Rather, the primary purpose of a reporting system such as the DUI-MIS is to provide objective data on the operating and performance characteristics of the system for others to assess in making policy decisions, formulating improvements and conducting more in-depth evaluations.

The DUI-MIS data system and report has led to numerous improvements in the California DUI system, from the identification of inappropriate dismissals in a small central valley court to major initiatives to improve the tracking and reporting of DUI cases. The success of the California DUI-MIS has also contributed to a national initiative to design a model DUI reporting system, developed under contract to the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA).

SECTION 1:

DUI ARRESTS

SECTION 1: DUI ARRESTS

The information presented below on DUI arrests is based primarily on data collected annually by the Department of Justice (DOJ), Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system. These data are the most current nonaggregated data available on DUI arrests.

Table 1: DUI Arrests by County and Annual Percentage Change from 2004-2006. The number of DUI arrests by county for the years 2004-2006 and the percentage change from 2005-2006 are shown in Table 1.

Table 2: 2006 DUI Arrests by County and Type of Arrest. This table shows a breakdown of 2006 DUI arrests by felony, juvenile, and misdemeanor arrest type, by county. The table also shows county and statewide DUI arrest rates per 100 licensed drivers.

Tables 3a and 3b: 2006 DUI Arrests by Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity. Table 3a cross tabulates age by sex and age by race/ethnicity of 2006 DUI arrestees statewide. The same tabulations by county are found in Appendix Table B1. Also, Table 3a shows the average (Mean) age for 2006 arrestees. In addition to the mean, the median (midpoint) was reported to minimize the influence of data values that are not equally distributed. Table 3b shows the same data cross tabulated by sex and age within race/ethnicity.

Table 3c: DUI Arrests Under Age 21, 1996-2006. Table 3c shows a breakdown of DUI arrests under 21, by age, from 1996 to 2006. It also shows a proportion of DUI arrests under 21 in the total number of DUI arrests for the state over the same time period.

Figure 2 (below) displays the trend in DUI arrests from 1996 to 2006.



Figure 2. DUI arrests 1996-2006.

Based on the data shown in Figure 2 and previously listed tables, the following statements can be made about DUI arrests in California:

Statewide Parameters:

- DUI arrests increased by 9.4% in 2006, following a decrease of 0.4% in 2005, and a decrease of 1.4% in 2004 (see Table 1).

- Table 2 shows that DUI arrest rate per 100 licensed drivers was 0.8 in 2006 (as it was in 2000-2005, but down from 0.9 in 1997-1999). This represents a 56% reduction from the 1.8 rate in 1990.

- The percentage of DUI arrests that were felonies (involving bodily injury or death) decreased slightly from 3.3% in 2005 to 3.1% in 2006; felony DUI arrests continue to constitute a relatively small percentage of all DUI arrests (see Table 2).

County Variation:

- 20.0% of all 2006 California DUI arrests occurred in Los Angeles County. Four counties (Los Angeles, San Diego, Orange, and San Bernardino) had over 10,000 DUI arrests each, accounting for 43.5% of all arrests (see Table 2).

- The 2006 county DUI arrest rates ranged from 0.3 to 2.9 DUI arrests per 100 licensed drivers (the statewide average rate is 0.8). Seven counties had rates of 0.7 or below.

These low arrest rate counties were San Francisco (0.3), Contra Costa (0.6), Santa Clara (0.6), Alameda, Los Angeles, San Mateo and Solano (0.7). Five counties had rates of 2.0 or higher—Sierra (2.9), Glenn (2.8), Inyo (2.3), Alpine and Colusa (2.0). This is shown in Table 2.

- While DUI arrests have been declining in past years, DUI arrests in 2006 increased in most counties. Among the larger counties, the greatest percentage increase occurred in Ventura (25.5%), Fresno (22.5%) and Orange (17.9%). Among smaller counties, the largest percentage increases in DUI arrests occurred in Inyo (53.2%), Mendocino and Shasta (43.2%), Modoc (36.1%), Kings (30.7%) and Placer (28.2%). Counties showing large percentage declines in DUI arrests were the smaller counties of Alpine (-18.5%), Del Norte (-16.8%) and Glen (-13.1%). This is shown in Table 1.

Demographic Characteristics:

- The median age of a DUI arrestee in 2006 was 30 years. Slightly more than half (52.7%) of all arrestees were age 30 or younger and three-quarters (75.0%) were age 40 or younger. Less than 1% of all DUI arrests involved juveniles (under age 18). 2.1% of all arrestees were over age 60 (see Table 3a).

- Among all DUI arrestees, the proportion of DUI arrests under age 18 has remained relatively stable in the past 10 years (varying between 0.8% and 0.9%); however, the proportion of DUI arrests under age 21 increased from 7.5% in 1996 to 9.4% in 2006 (25.3% increase). This is shown in Table 3c.

- Males comprised 81.9% of all 2006 DUI arrests (see Table 3a). The proportion of females among DUI arrestees has risen slightly each year this report has been produced, from 10.6% in 1989 to 18.1% in 2006.

- In 2006, Hispanics (45.8%) again represented the largest ethnic group among DUI arrestees as they have each year since 1992 (with the exception of 1999, when Whites were the largest group at 42.8%). Hispanics continued to be arrested at a rate substantially higher than their estimated 2006 population parity of 35.4% (Department of Finance, Demographic Research and Census Data Center). Blacks were also slightly overrepresented among DUI arrestees (7.0% of arrests, 6.0% of the population), while other racial/ethnic groups were underrepresented among DUI arrestees, relative to their estimated 2006 population parity. These

underrepresented groups were Whites (40.4% of arrests, 43.9% of the population), and "Other" (6.9% of arrests, 14.7% of the population). This is shown in Table 3a and Figure 3.

- Among male 2006 DUI arrestees, 50.4% were Hispanic, 36.1% were White, 6.8% were Black, and 6.6% were "Other." Among female DUI arrestees, 59.5% were White, 24.8% were Hispanic, 7.7% were Black, and 8.0% were "Other." The overrepresentation of Hispanics among DUI offenders is clearly limited to males (see Table 3b).

- In some counties where the population of Hispanics is high, the DUI arrest rate is also higher. For example, in the following eight counties, Hispanics comprised 60% or more of those arrested for DUI during 2006: Tulare (76.3%), Madera (69.7%), Imperial (67.8%), Fresno (67.4%), Monterey (66.0%), Merced (65.6%), San Benito (64.6%), and Kings (60.8%). However, in most other counties, the majority of arrestees were White (see Appendix Table B1).

- The median age of a DUI arrestee varied considerably by race: Blacks were the oldest with a median age of 34.0 years, while Hispanics were the youngest, with a median age of 28.0 years (see Table 3a).



Figure 3. Percentage of 2006 DUI arrests and 2006 projected population (age 15 and over, based on the 2000 census) by race/ethnicity.

TABLE 1: DUI ARRESTS* BY COUNTY AND ANNUAL PERCENTAGE CHANGE, 2004-2006

COUNTY	2004	2005	2006	% CHANGE 2005-2006
STATEWIDE	180957	180288	197248	9.4
ALAMEDA	6454	7241	7253	0.2
ALPINE	40	27	22	-18.5
AMADOR	319	310	352	13.5
BUTTE	1395	1432	1647	15.0
CALAVERAS	375	313	319	1.9
COLUSA	267	294	268	-8.8
CONTRA COSTA	3845	3494	4004	14.6
DEL NORTE	378	327	272	-16.8
EL DORADO	1358	1370	1411	3.0
FRESNO	6064	6388	7826	22.5
GLENN	449	589	512	-13.1
HUMBOLDT	1279	1240	1164	-6.1
IMPERIAL	1215	1181	1371	16.1
INYO	259	218	334	53.2
KERN	5541	5105	5232	2.5
KINGS	935	992	1297	30.7
LAKE	601	476	535	12.4
LASSEN**	171**	244	262	7.4
LOS ANGELES	36705	38329	39518	3.1
MADERA	1001	1016	1104	8.7
MARIN	1533	1557	1583	1.7
MARIPOSA	135	130	161	23.8
MENDOCINO	778	759	1087	43.2
MERCED	1495	1753	1988	13.4
MODOC	60	72	98	36.1
MONO	130	125	149	19.2
MONTEREY	3584	2973	3052	2.7
NAPA	1099	981	1056	7.6
NEVADA	789	664	758	14.2
ORANGE	13492	13586	16012	17.9
PLACER	1805	1834	2351	28.2
PLUMAS	269	221	262	18.6
RIVERSIDE	8533	8754	9896	13.0
SACRAMENTO	7193	7172	7818	9.0
SAN BENITO	357	377	396	5.0
SAN BERNARDINO	10695	10810	12233	13.2
SAN DIEGO	17129	16467	18101	9.9
SAN FRANCISCO	1648	1363	1336	-2.0
SAN JOAQUIN	4219	3955	4436	12.2
SAN LUIS OBISPO	2321	2267	2549	12.4
SAN MATEO	3501	3310	3542	7.0
SANTA BARBARA	2770	2518	2665	5.8
SANTA CLARA	7000	6619	6697	1.2
SANTA CRUZ	1697	1605	1739	8.3
SHASTA	1042	891	1276	43.2
SIERRA	69	83	80	-3.6
SISKIYOU	396	365	447	22.5
SOLANO	1660	1746	1916	9.7
SONOMA	2907	2985	3384	13.4
STANISLAUS	2575	2660	2846	7.0
SUTTER	530	473	584	23.5
TEHAMA	592	718	748	4.2
TRINITY	182	169	190	12.4
TULARE	3446	3315	3476	4.9
TUOLUMNE	551	453	463	2.2
VENTURA	4370	4139	5196	25.5
YOLO	1146	1273	1293	1.6
YUBA	608	560	681	21.6

*DOJ DUI arrest totals with boat DUI (*N* = 332) removed.

**The count for 2004 was incomplete.

TABLE 2: 2006 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST

COUNTY	TOTAL		TYPE OF ARREST						DUI ARRESTS PER 100 LICENSED DRIVERS
			FELONY		JUVENILE		MISDEMEANOR		
	N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	
STATEWIDE	197248	100.0	6109	3.1	1697	0.9	189442	96.0	0.8
ALAMEDA	7253	3.7	96	1.3	41	0.6	7116	98.1	0.7
ALPINE	22	0.0	3	13.6	0	0.0	19	86.4	2.0
AMADOR	352	0.2	5	1.4	1	0.3	346	98.3	1.2
BUTTE	1647	0.8	50	3.0	21	1.3	1576	95.7	1.1
CALAVERAS	319	0.2	10	3.1	4	1.3	305	95.6	0.9
COLUSA	268	0.1	8	3.0	8	3.0	252	94.0	2.0
CONTRA COSTA	4004	2.0	114	2.8	53	1.3	3837	95.8	0.6
DEL NORTE	272	0.1	6	2.2	4	1.5	262	96.3	1.5
EL DORADO	1411	0.7	56	4.0	18	1.3	1337	94.8	1.0
FRESNO	7826	4.0	200	2.6	74	0.9	7552	96.5	1.6
GLENN	512	0.3	16	3.1	2	0.4	494	96.5	2.8
HUMBOLDT	1164	0.6	40	3.4	13	1.1	1111	95.4	1.2
IMPERIAL	1371	0.7	30	2.2	13	0.9	1328	96.9	1.4
INYO	334	0.2	16	4.8	3	0.9	315	94.3	2.3
KERN	5232	2.7	181	3.5	45	0.9	5006	95.7	1.2
KINGS	1297	0.7	39	3.0	18	1.4	1240	95.6	1.9
LAKE	535	0.3	22	4.1	11	2.1	502	93.8	1.2
LASSEN	262	0.1	6	2.3	1	0.4	255	97.3	1.3
LOS ANGELES	39518	20.0	1674	4.2	169	0.4	37675	95.3	0.7
MADERA	1104	0.6	47	4.3	13	1.2	1044	94.6	1.5
MARIN	1583	0.8	35	2.2	21	1.3	1527	96.5	0.9
MARIPOSA	161	0.1	5	3.1	0	0.0	156	96.9	1.1
MENDOCINO	1087	0.6	19	1.7	14	1.3	1054	97.0	1.7
MERCED	1988	1.0	42	2.1	16	0.8	1930	97.1	1.5
MODOC	98	0.0	4	4.1	0	0.0	94	95.9	1.5
MONO	149	0.1	6	4.0	3	2.0	140	94.0	1.6
MONTEREY	3052	1.5	64	2.1	34	1.1	2954	96.8	1.3
NAPA	1056	0.5	36	3.4	9	0.9	1011	95.7	1.2
NEVADA	758	0.4	32	4.2	6	0.8	720	95.0	0.9
ORANGE	16012	8.1	281	1.8	93	0.6	15638	97.7	0.8
PLACER	2351	1.2	47	2.0	31	1.3	2273	96.7	1.0
PLUMAS	262	0.1	10	3.8	3	1.1	249	95.0	1.5
RIVERSIDE	9896	5.0	253	2.6	99	1.0	9544	96.4	0.8
SACRAMENTO	7818	4.0	375	4.8	73	0.9	7370	94.3	0.9
SAN BENITO	396	0.2	12	3.0	2	0.5	382	96.5	1.1
SAN BERNARDINO	12233	6.2	435	3.6	90	0.7	11708	95.7	1.0
SAN DIEGO	18101	9.2	463	2.6	154	0.9	17484	96.6	0.9
SAN FRANCISCO	1336	0.7	72	5.4	2	0.1	1262	94.5	0.3
SAN JOAQUIN	4436	2.2	116	2.6	47	1.1	4273	96.3	1.1
SAN LUIS OBISPO	2549	1.3	51	2.0	43	1.7	2455	96.3	1.4
SAN MATEO	3542	1.8	67	1.9	32	0.9	3443	97.2	0.7
SANTA BARBARA	2665	1.4	93	3.5	24	0.9	2548	95.6	1.0
SANTA CLARA	6697	3.4	255	3.8	80	1.2	6362	95.0	0.6
SANTA CRUZ	1739	0.9	39	2.2	25	1.4	1675	96.3	1.0
SHASTA	1276	0.6	43	3.4	15	1.2	1218	95.5	1.0
SIERRA	80	0.0	7	8.8	0	0.0	73	91.3	2.9
SISKIYOU	447	0.2	14	3.1	8	1.8	425	95.1	1.2
SOLANO	1916	1.0	55	2.9	24	1.3	1837	95.9	0.7
SONOMA	3384	1.7	77	2.3	49	1.4	3258	96.3	1.0
STANISLAUS	2846	1.4	85	3.0	38	1.3	2723	95.7	0.9
SUTTER	584	0.3	16	2.7	7	1.2	561	96.1	1.0
TEHAMA	748	0.4	27	3.6	5	0.7	716	95.7	1.9
TRINITY	190	0.1	9	4.7	4	2.1	177	93.2	1.7
TULARE	3476	1.8	108	3.1	48	1.4	3320	95.5	1.6
TUOLUMNE	463	0.2	13	2.8	7	1.5	443	95.7	1.1
VENTURA	5196	2.6	156	3.0	53	1.0	4987	96.0	1.0
YOLO	1293	0.7	37	2.9	22	1.7	1234	95.4	1.1
YUBA	681	0.3	31	4.6	4	0.6	646	94.9	1.6

TABLE 3a: 2006 DUI ARRESTS BY AGE, SEX, AND RACE/ETHNICITY*

AGE	TOTAL		SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
	N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE	197248	100.0	161581	81.9	35667	18.1	79644	40.4	90296	45.8	13734	7.0	13574	6.9
UNDER 18	1697	0.9	1333	78.6	364	21.4	872	51.4	687	40.5	44	2.6	94	5.5
18-20	16837	8.5	13782	81.9	3055	18.1	7007	41.6	8143	48.4	669	4.0	1018	6.0
21-30	85337	43.3	70286	82.4	15051	17.6	30273	35.5	43504	51.0	5015	5.9	6545	7.7
31-40	44025	22.3	36562	83.0	7463	17.0	15219	34.6	22257	50.6	3603	8.2	2946	6.7
41-50	31613	16.0	24912	78.8	6701	21.2	15789	49.9	11128	35.2	2825	8.9	1871	5.9
51-60	13631	6.9	11202	82.2	2429	17.8	7790	57.1	3740	27.4	1241	9.1	860	6.3
61-70	3318	1.7	2836	85.5	482	14.5	2128	64.1	700	21.1	282	8.5	208	6.3
71 & ABOVE	790	0.4	668	84.6	122	15.4	566	71.6	137	17.3	55	7.0	32	4.1
MEAN AGE (YEARS)	32.8		32.8		32.9		34.6		30.9		35.4		32.0	
MEDIAN AGE (YEARS)	30.0		30.0		30.0		32.0		28.0		34.0		29.0	

*Tabulations for DUI arrests by age, sex, race/ethnicity and county are found in Appendix Table B1.

TABLE 3b: 2006 DUI ARRESTS BY SEX, AGE, AND RACE/ETHNICITY

SEX	AGE	TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
				WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE		197248	100.0	79644	40.4	90296	45.8	13734	7.0	13574	6.9
MALE	UNDER 18	1333	0.8	613	46.0	611	45.8	36	2.7	73	5.5
	18-20	13782	8.5	5181	37.6	7285	52.9	551	4.0	765	5.6
	21-30	70286	43.5	22226	31.6	39082	55.6	3972	5.7	5006	7.1
	31-40	36562	22.6	11074	30.3	20254	55.4	2852	7.8	2382	6.5
	41-50	24912	15.4	11163	44.8	9980	40.1	2242	9.0	1527	6.1
	51-60	11202	6.9	5957	53.2	3447	30.8	1044	9.3	754	6.7
	61-70	2836	1.8	1730	61.0	665	23.4	253	8.9	188	6.6
	71 & ABOVE	668	0.4	464	69.5	125	18.7	52	7.8	27	4.0
	TOTAL	161581	100.0	58408	36.1	81449	50.4	11002	6.8	10722	6.6
FEMALE	UNDER 18	364	1.0	259	71.2	76	20.9	8	2.2	21	5.8
	18-20	3055	8.6	1826	59.8	858	28.1	118	3.9	253	8.3
	21-30	15051	42.2	8047	53.5	4422	29.4	1043	6.9	1539	10.2
	31-40	7463	20.9	4145	55.5	2003	26.8	751	10.1	564	7.6
	41-50	6701	18.8	4626	69.0	1148	17.1	583	8.7	344	5.1
	51-60	2429	6.8	1833	75.5	293	12.1	197	8.1	106	4.4
	61-70	482	1.4	398	82.6	35	7.3	29	6.0	20	4.1
	71 & ABOVE	122	0.3	102	83.6	12	9.8	3	2.5	5	4.1
	TOTAL	35667	100.0	21236	59.5	8847	24.8	2732	7.7	2852	8.0

TABLE 3c: DUI ARRESTS UNDER AGE 21, 1996-2006

AGE		1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
TOTAL (ALL AGES)	*N*	201765	191164	188327	188523	181336	176490	177056	183560	180957	180288	197248
UNDER 18	*N*	1814	1709	1761	1741	1527	1645	1557	1576	1488	1436	1697
	%	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.9
18-20	*N*	13357	12267	13093	13875	14145	14075	14410	14612	14672	14617	16837
	%	6.6	6.4	7.0	7.4	7.8	8.0	8.1	8.0	8.1	8.1	8.5
UNDER 21	*N*	15171	13976	14854	15616	15672	15720	15967	16188	16160	16053	18534
	%	7.5	7.3	7.9	8.3	8.6	8.9	9.0	8.8	8.9	8.9	9.4

SECTION 2:

CONVICTIONS

SECTION 2: CONVICTIONS

Data on convictions resulting from court adjudication of DUI arrests are reported directly to the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) on court abstracts of conviction. Although the DUI arrest data reported earlier are based on arrests that occurred in 2006, the DUI conviction data are based on convictions of DUI offenders arrested in 2005, in order to allow sufficient time for courts to report convictions to DMV. The following tables compile and cross tabulate these conviction data by demographic, geographic, and adjudicative categories. Beginning with last year's report, the median was calculated and reported to describe certain characteristics of the conviction data, in addition to the mean, to minimize the influence of data values that are not symmetrically distributed. In what follows, expressions like "2005 convictions" refer to DUI offenders arrested in 2005, who were subsequently convicted in that year.

Table 4: 2005 DUI Convictions by Age and Sex. This table cross tabulates statewide DUI conviction information by age and sex. Corresponding county-specific conviction data are presented in Appendix Table B2.

Table 5: Matchable 2005 DUI Convictions by Age, Race/Ethnicity, and Sex. This table displays DUI conviction information by age, race/ethnicity, and sex. "Matchable" DUI convictions are those which are traceable to a DUI arrest appearing on the MACR system. Because not all arrests could be matched to an existing record, these conviction totals underestimate the total number of actual convictions.

Table 6: Adjusted 2005 DUI Conviction Rates and Relative Likelihood of Conviction by Age and Race/Ethnicity. This table shows the relative probability of a DUI arrest leading to a DUI conviction by age and race/ethnicity. DUI conviction totals from categories in Table 5 ("matchable DUI convictions") were increased by the proportion which matchable convictions constituted of "total DUI convictions," shown in Table 7, to arrive at the adjusted DUI conviction rates. As explained above, without this adjustment DUI conviction rates would be underestimated using the conviction data from Table 5, because not all reported convictions are "matchable" to an arrest.

Table 7: Total Conviction Data for 2005 DUI Arrestees. This table portrays county and statewide DUI-related conviction data as reported to the DMV on court abstracts of conviction. Corresponding court-specific data are shown in Appendix Table B3. Convictions not reported to DMV are considered nonconvictions for the purposes of this report. Actual nonconvictions include cases where the DUI arrest was not filed, not

prosecuted, or resulted in a not-guilty verdict. The DUI conviction rates by county were calculated by comparing the county conviction totals with DOJ arrest totals. Because not all 2005 DUI arrests have yet been adjudicated, these conviction totals and rates will slightly underestimate the "final" figures. The DUI conviction rates shown in the "DUI Summary Statistics: 1996-2006" table at the very beginning of this report include an estimate of these late convictions, and thus are slightly higher than those shown in Tables 7 and 8. Conviction variables include felony and misdemeanor DUI convictions, alcohol- and nonalcohol-related reckless driving convictions, convictions of "other" lesser offenses, and DUI convictions dismissed or found unconstitutional. DUI arrest dates from the DOJ MACR system were matched to driver record violation dates to identify nonalcohol-related reckless driving and "other" convictions. The median adjudication time lags from DUI arrest to conviction, and from conviction to update on the DMV database, were calculated for each county.

Table 8: Adjudication Status of 2005 DUI Arrests by County. This table shows the adjudication status (court disposition) of 2005 DUI arrests, by county. Included are the percentages of arrests which resulted in DUI convictions (misdemeanor or felony), reckless driving convictions (alcohol-related or nonalcohol-related), convictions of "other" offenses, or no reported conviction, as of the date of writing. Again, because not all 2005 DUI arrests have yet been adjudicated, these rates will slightly underestimate the "final" rate for each category, except for the category "no record of any conviction," which will be slightly reduced (approximately 1-2%) by the eventual adjudication of these few late cases.

Table 9a: 2005 Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of DUI Convictions and Table 9b: 2005 Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of Convicted DUI Offenders Under Age 21. Table 9a shows the frequency of reported positive BAC levels for DUI and alcohol-reckless convictions. Because the forms on which APS actions are reported more completely report BAC levels (82.0%) than do abstracts of conviction, APS forms are used to calculate statewide BAC levels. Table 9b shows the BAC distribution for convicted arrestees under age 21.

Table 10: 2005 DUI Convictions by Offender Status and Reported BAC Level. This table displays the proportions of convicted DUI offenders by offender status (number of prior convictions in ten years as defined by SB 1694, Torlakson, effective 1/1/2005), with the average (mean) and median BAC level from APS reporting forms and abstracts of conviction, for each offense level.

Figure 4 (below) shows, for the years 1996 to 2006, the number of DUI abstracts of conviction received to date by DMV from the courts, and conviction rates based on the data received as of September 2007.



Percent convicted of DUI as of Sept. 2007 (conviction rate)	74%	75%	77%	79%	80%	80%	79%	79%	79%	78%	75%

Note: For arrests occurring from 1996 to 2005, 5.3% of California drivers had one-or-more DUI conviction on their record in 2005.

Figure 4. DUI abstracts of conviction received by DMV and conviction rates, 1996-2006.

Based on these data, the following statements can be made:

Statewide Adjudication Parameters:

- 78.1% of 2005 DUI arrests resulted in convictions of DUI offenses (see Table 7).

- As of January 1, 2005, DUI convictions remain on the driving record for ten years. Therefore, based on the DUI conviction data, over ten years (1996-2005), 5.3% of California drivers have one or more DUI conviction on their record.

- 9.6% of 2005 DUI arrests resulted in reckless driving convictions, and 16.7% (1.6%/9.6%) of these were nonalcohol-related reckless violations (see Table 8).

- 1.9% of 2005 DUI arrests resulted in convictions of offenses other than DUI or reckless driving, which is the same as last year (see Table 8).

- 10.3% of 2005 DUI arrests have not yet resulted in any conviction on DMV's records, down slightly from 11.2% in 2004, and down from 16.3% in 1995. As additional cases are adjudicated and reported by the courts, this figure will decrease slightly (see Table 8).

- The average reported BAC level for all convicted DUI offenders in 2005, using APS reporting forms as the data source, was 0.16% (median BAC level was 0.15%), which is down slightly from the last several years, yet still more than double the illegal per se BAC limit of 0.08% (see Table 9a).

- Average and median BAC levels increase as a function of the number of prior DUI convictions. Average BAC level increases from a 0.16% BAC for a first offense to a 0.19% BAC for a fourth or subsequent offense (when the median is reported, BAC level increases from 0.15% BAC for a first offense to a 0.18% BAC for a fourth or subsequent offense). This is shown in Table 10.

- Among 2005 convicted DUI offenders, 72.9% were first offenders, 20.1% were second offenders, 5.3% were third offenders, and 1.7% were on their fourth or more offense. (The statutorily defined time period for counting priors in California has traditionally been 7 years, although that period was just changed to 10 years by SB 1694, Torlakson, effective 1/1/2005) The proportion of all convicted DUI offenders that are repeat offenders (27.1%), shown in Table 10, has increased since the counting period for priors has changed from seven to ten years.

- The median adjudication time lags were 73 days from DUI arrest to conviction and 13 days from conviction to update on the DMV database, totaling a little less than 3 months from arrest to update on the offender's driving record. This total elapsed time from arrest to update appears substantially shorter than in previous years, because, as of last year, elapsed time for 2005 data reported here was calculated using the median instead of the mean (see Table 7).

Variation by County:

- Among the larger counties, 2005 DUI conviction rates varied from highs of 89.8% in Orange to a low of 69.6% in Alameda. Los Angeles County, which accounted for over 20 percent of all DUI arrests in the state, had a DUI conviction rate of 74.3% (see Table 7).

- Among the smaller counties, 2005 DUI conviction rates varied from a high of 98.4% in Mono to a low of 33.7% in Sierra (see Table 7).

- The rates at which DUI arrests were plea-bargained to alcohol-related reckless driving convictions varied from 25.9% in Alpine County to 0% in Ventura County (see Table 8).

- The percentage of DUI arrests that were improperly adjudicated as nonalcohol-related reckless driving convictions varied from 0% (Sierra and Ventura) to 11.4% (San Francisco). This is shown in Table 8.

- The percentage of DUI arrests adjudicated as minor convictions ("other" convictions) varied from 0% to 4.7%. Alpine, Del Norte, Los Angeles, Marin, San Luis Obispo, and Trinity counties had rates of 3% or more (see Table 8).

- In six counties, the proportion of arrestees not showing a conviction of any offense exceeded 30%. These counties were Del Norte, Imperial, Mariposa, Sierra, Tehama, and Trinity. Twenty one counties had nonconviction rates of less than 10%, (10 counties did not have available conviction data). This is shown in Table 8.

Variation by Court:

- Court time lags from arrest to conviction (for courts with more than 200 reported convictions) varied from a high of 192 days in the Lake court (Lake County) to a low of 24 days for the Salinas (Monterey County) court (see Table B3 in Appendix).

- Statewide, the proportion of DUI arrests resulting in reckless driving convictions (alcohol- and nonalcohol-related) was 9.6% in 2005. Four counties (Alpine, Del Norte, Inyo and San Francisco) adjudicated more than 20% of their DUI arrests as reckless driving convictions (see Table 8).

- Statewide, 16.7% (1.6%/9.6%) of all DUI-related reckless driving convictions in 2005 are inappropriately designated as nonalcohol, slightly down from 17.2% in 2004, and 17.7% in 2003 (see Table 8).

Demographic Characteristics:

- The median age of a convicted DUI offender in 2005 was 31.0 years (see Table 4).

- 49.6% of 2005 DUI convictees were 30 years of age or younger and 73.4% were 40 years or younger (see Table 4).

- Females comprised 17.4% of convicted DUI offenders arrested in 2005 (see Table 4). The proportion of females among convicted DUI offenders has risen slightly each year since 1994.

- The racial/ethnic distribution of 2005 DUI convictions (White = 42.9%; Hispanic = 43.9%; Black = 6.6%; "Other" = 6.5%) generally paralleled that of 2005 arrests, although Whites were somewhat more likely than other racial/ethnic groups to be convicted of the offense (as shown in Figure 5 and Table 6 below).



Figure 5. Relative likelihood of conviction by race/ethnicity. (Adjusted conviction rate of ethnicity ÷ overall conviction rate.)

TABLE 4: 2005 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE AND SEX*

	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
AGE	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE	140879	100.0	116340	82.6	24539	17.4
UNDER 18	579	0.4	484	83.6	95	16.4
18-20	9957	7.1	8219	82.5	1738	17.5
21-30	59368	42.1	49459	83.3	9909	16.7
31-40	33460	23.8	28014	83.7	5446	16.3
41-50	24339	17.3	19144	78.7	5195	21.3
51-60	10076	7.2	8365	83.0	1711	17.0
61-70	2490	1.8	2142	86.0	348	14.0
71 & ABOVE	610	0.4	513	84.1	97	15.9
MEAN AGE (YEARS)	33.5		33.4		33.9	
MEDIAN AGE (YEARS)	31.0		31.0		31.0	

*County-specific tabulations of 2005 DUI convictions by age and sex are shown in Appendix Table B2.

TABLE 5: MATCHABLE 2005 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE, RACE/ETHNICITY, AND SEX*

AGE	TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)															
			WHITE				HISPANIC				BLACK				OTHER			
			MALE		FEMALE		MALE		FEMALE		MALE		FEMALE		MALE		FEMALE	
	N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE	119482	100.0	37873	31.7	13373	11.2	47534	39.8	4947	4.1	6353	5.3	1608	1.3	6268	5.2	1526	1.3
UNDER 18	513	0.4	219	42.7	67	13.1	171	33.3	10	1.9	12	2.3	0	0.0	23	4.5	11	2.1
18-20	9248	7.7	3005	32.5	1055	11.4	3895	42.1	419	4.5	260	2.8	72	0.8	415	4.5	127	1.4
21-30	50106	41.9	14347	28.6	4955	9.9	21996	43.9	2419	4.8	2206	4.4	569	1.1	2772	5.5	842	1.7
31-40	27779	23.2	7573	27.3	2782	10.0	12374	44.5	1140	4.1	1662	6.0	452	1.6	1490	5.4	306	1.1
41-50	20574	17.2	7411	36.0	3060	14.9	6382	31.0	770	3.7	1399	6.8	379	1.8	981	4.8	192	0.9
51-60	8588	7.2	3896	45.4	1140	13.3	2195	25.6	147	1.7	595	6.9	113	1.3	463	5.4	39	0.5
61-70	2154	1.8	1110	51.5	242	11.2	448	20.8	36	1.7	193	9.0	16	0.7	103	4.8	6	0.3
71 & ABOVE	520	0.4	312	60.0	72	13.8	73	14.0	6	1.2	26	5.0	7	1.3	21	4.0	3	0.6

*Not all convictions are matchable to an existing record, so these counts underestimate the total number of convictions.

TABLE 6: ADJUSTED 2005 DUI CONVICTION RATES[1] AND RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD[2] OF CONVICTION BY AGE AND RACE/ETHNICITY

AGE	TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY							
			WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD
STATEWIDE	0.78	1.00	0.82	1.05	0.75	0.96	0.73	0.94	0.77	0.98
UNDER 18	0.42	0.54	0.45	0.58	0.38	0.49	0.39	0.50	0.42	0.53
18-20	0.75	0.96	0.79	1.01	0.72	0.92	0.66	0.85	0.72	0.92
21-30	0.77	0.99	0.84	1.08	0.73	0.94	0.72	0.93	0.76	0.97
31-40	0.79	1.01	0.83	1.06	0.76	0.98	0.75	0.96	0.78	1.00
41-50	0.81	1.04	0.83	1.06	0.81	1.04	0.75	0.95	0.80	1.02
51-60	0.81	1.04	0.81	1.04	0.86	1.10	0.73	0.93	0.80	1.03
61-70	0.82	1.05	0.81	1.03	0.87	1.12	0.85	1.08	0.77	0.99
71 & ABOVE	0.76	0.98	0.77	0.99	0.79	1.01	0.61	0.78	0.76	0.98

[1]Adjusted DUI Conviction Rates = The matchable DUI conviction rate proportionally adjusted to the overall DUI conviction rate.

[2]Relative Likelihood = Adjusted DUI Conviction Rate/Overall Total DUI Conviction Rate.

TABLE 7: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2005 DUI ARRESTEES[1]

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI[2]	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NONALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSED[3]	MEDIAN[4] DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
								VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
STATEWIDE	78.1	136591	4288	14452	2890	3488	1253	73.0	13.0
ALAMEDA	69.6	4973	64	690	103	137	97	95.0	11.0
ALPINE	63.0	16	1	7	1	1	0	42.0	17.0
AMADOR	86.5	261	7	35	7	4	2	76.0	14.0
BUTTE	75.5	1036	45	205	65	26	98	72.0	19.0
CALAVERAS	65.5	191	14	37	1	6	3	48.0	14.0
COLUSA	66.7	192	4	48	9	1	4	63.0	7.0
CONTRA COSTA	91.2	3076	112	437	11	48	64	118.0	14.0
DEL NORTE	40.4	125	7	73	2	12	10	59.0	33.0
EL DORADO	79.6	1047	44	168	29	10	9	77.0	16.0
FRESNO	70.2	4376	110	454	57	51	12	112.0	40.0
GLENN	63.7	369	6	65	15	15	1	42.0	15.0
HUMBOLDT	54.4	642	32	223	24	28	3	79.0	21.0
IMPERIAL	52.9	609	16	27	124	8	28	192.0	63.0
INYO	75.2	156	8	47	4	2	3	71.0	9.0
KERN	79.6	3975	91	497	104	63	60	34.0	19.0
KINGS	74.6	718	22	62	25	9	6	82.0	0.0
LAKE	76.5	349	15	30	16	4	5	202.0	51.0
LASSEN	84.0	203	2	10	11	7	1	122.0	17.0
LOS ANGELES	74.3	28056	437	2798	600	1493	63	66.0	14.0
MADERA	64.5	629	26	86	25	8	18	170.0	20.0
MARIN	83.6	1279	22	1	5	61	0	68.0	23.0
MARIPOSA[5]	52.3	100	3	16	9	5	0	69.0	32.0
MENDOCINO	73.8	525	35	121	28	2	3	43.0	78.0
MERCED	65.8	1121	33	164	14	18	23	90.0	57.0
MODOC	72.2	49	3	6	3	1	1	60.0	13.0
MONO	98.4	123	0	12	3	1	2	49.0	17.0
MONTEREY	86.3	2508	57	185	56	35	27	30.0	43.0

[1]Conviction data by court are found in Appendix Table B3.

[2]This count includes misdemeanors which carried a felony disposition code. These counts do not include 4th offenses (in ten years) which are statutorily defined as felonies.

[3]These dismissals were identified in DMV's DUI Audit and Tracking System Summary Report.

[4]Adjudication time is presented using median values (in days) for 2005 data.

[5]The calculation of the conviction rate was based on total arrests including federal DUI arrests of Yosemite National Park not reported in the DOJ MACR system.

TABLE 7: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2005 DUI ARRESTEES[1] - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI[2]	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NONALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSED[3]	MEDIAN[4] DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
								VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
NAPA	85.8	811	31	89	5	13	6	54.0	3.0
NEVADA	84.8	542	21	104	9	7	12	56.0	72.0
ORANGE	89.8	11821	375	645	61	149	52	85.0	2.0
PLACER	95.9	1688	70	111	33	9	12	74.0	10.0
PLUMAS	76.5	166	3	30	4	0	1	60.0	17.0
RIVERSIDE	82.2	6943	253	65	189	96	27	73.0	3.0
SACRAMENTO	74.7	5137	219	717	21	95	12	52.0	29.0
SAN BENITO	95.5	344	16	19	8	5	0	136.0	27.0
SAN BERNARDINO	78.7	8098	408	294	240	269	157	94.0	8.0
SAN DIEGO	82.4	13100	461	1667	277	143	79	73.0	10.0
SAN FRANCISCO	62.4	803	48	199	155	40	47	82.0	6.0
SAN JOAQUIN	74.4	2826	116	353	44	50	10	44.0	7.0
SAN LUIS OBISPO	76.0	1648	74	354	37	107	15	45.0	27.0
SAN MATEO	75.5	2458	42	477	17	64	37	121.0	16.0
SANTA BARBARA	89.0	2118	122	270	83	40	6	48.0	14.0
SANTA CLARA	83.0	5293	202	676	138	82	3	73.0	5.0
SANTA CRUZ	82.3	1299	22	151	20	18	1	61.0	34.0
SHASTA	97.6	792	78	79	3	12	6	65.0	6.0
SIERRA	33.7	25	3	14	0	0	0	105.0	30.0
SISKIYOU	74.5	255	17	66	2	3	4	66.0	17.0
SOLANO	87.5	1488	39	233	35	17	30	81.0	18.0
SONOMA	79.5	2247	125	552	32	24	79	58.0	22.0
STANISLAUS	62.9	1610	63	232	40	25	14	64.0	52.0
SUTTER	75.9	337	22	85	6	8	2	63.0	17.0
TEHAMA	57.4	396	16	67	7	2	9	57.0	20.0
TRINITY	36.1	58	3	14	8	5	1	71.0	35.0
TULARE	75.4	2420	78	41	39	67	17	51.0	39.0
TUOLUMNE	86.3	370	21	69	1	4	1	60.0	6.0
VENTURA	87.6	3553	71	0	0	68	3	62.0	1.0
YOLO	74.8	914	38	198	18	5	4	108.0	23.0
YUBA	61.1	327	15	77	7	6	6	69.0	36.0

TABLE 8: ADJUDICATION STATUS OF 2005 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY[1]

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTIONS		RECKLESS DRIVING CONVICTIONS		% OTHER CONVICTIONS	% NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTION[2]
	% MISDEMEANOR	% FELONY	% ALCOHOL RELATED	% NONALCOHOL RELATED		
STATEWIDE	75.8	2.3	8.0	1.6	1.9	10.3
ALAMEDA	68.7	0.9	9.5	1.4	1.9	17.6
ALPINE	59.3	3.7	25.9	3.7	3.7	3.7
AMADOR	84.2	2.3	11.3	2.3	1.3	N/A
BUTTE	72.3	3.1	14.3	4.5	1.8	3.8
CALAVERAS	61.0	4.5	11.8	0.3	1.9	20.4
COLUSA	65.3	1.4	16.3	3.1	0.3	13.6
CONTRA COSTA	88.0	3.2	12.5	0.3	1.4	N/A
DEL NORTE	38.2	2.1	22.3	0.6	3.7	33.0
EL DORADO	76.4	3.2	12.3	2.1	0.7	5.3
FRESNO	68.5	1.7	7.1	0.9	0.8	21.0
GLENN	62.6	1.0	11.0	2.5	2.5	20.2
HUMBOLDT	51.8	2.6	18.0	1.9	2.3	23.5
IMPERIAL	51.6	1.4	2.3	10.5	0.7	33.6
INYO	71.6	3.7	21.6	1.8	0.9	0.5
KERN	77.9	1.8	9.7	2.0	1.2	7.3
KINGS	72.4	2.2	6.3	2.5	0.9	15.7
LAKE	73.3	3.2	6.3	3.4	0.8	13.0
LASSEN	83.2	0.8	4.1	4.5	2.9	4.5
LOS ANGELES	73.2	1.1	7.3	1.6	3.9	12.9
MADERA	61.9	2.6	8.5	2.5	0.8	23.8
MARIN	82.1	1.4	0.1	0.3	3.9	12.1
MARIPOSA[3]	50.8	1.5	8.1	4.6	2.5	32.5
MENDOCINO	69.2	4.6	15.9	3.7	0.3	6.3
MERCED	63.9	1.9	9.4	0.8	1.0	23.0
MODOC	68.1	4.2	8.3	4.2	1.4	13.9
MONO	98.4	0.0	9.6	2.4	0.8	N/A
MONTEREY	84.4	1.9	6.2	1.9	1.2	4.4
NAPA	82.7	3.2	9.1	0.5	1.3	3.3
NEVADA	81.6	3.2	15.7	1.4	1.1	N/A
ORANGE	87.0	2.8	4.7	0.4	1.1	3.9
PLACER	92.0	3.8	6.1	1.8	0.5	N/A
PLUMAS	75.1	1.4	13.6	1.8	0.0	8.1
RIVERSIDE	79.3	2.9	0.7	2.2	1.1	13.8
SACRAMENTO	71.6	3.1	10.0	0.3	1.3	13.7
SAN BENITO	91.2	4.2	5.0	2.1	1.3	N/A
SAN BERNARDINO	74.9	3.8	2.7	2.2	2.5	13.9
SAN DIEGO	79.6	2.8	10.1	1.7	0.9	5.0
SAN FRANCISCO	58.9	3.5	14.6	11.4	2.9	8.7
SAN JOAQUIN	71.5	2.9	8.9	1.1	1.3	14.3
SAN LUIS OBISPO	72.7	3.3	15.6	1.6	4.7	2.1
SAN MATEO	74.3	1.3	14.4	0.5	1.9	7.6
SANTA BARBARA	84.1	4.8	10.7	3.3	1.6	N/A
SANTA CLARA	80.0	3.1	10.2	2.1	1.2	3.4
SANTA CRUZ	80.9	1.4	9.4	1.2	1.1	5.9
SHASTA	88.9	8.8	8.9	0.3	1.3	N/A
SIERRA	30.1	3.6	16.9	0.0	0.0	49.4
SISKIYOU	69.9	4.7	18.1	0.5	0.8	6.0
SOLANO	85.2	2.2	13.3	2.0	1.0	N/A
SONOMA	75.3	4.2	18.5	1.1	0.8	0.2
STANISLAUS	60.5	2.4	8.7	1.5	0.9	25.9
SUTTER	71.2	4.7	18.0	1.3	1.7	3.2
TEHAMA	55.2	2.2	9.3	1.0	0.3	32.0
TRINITY	34.3	1.8	8.3	4.7	3.0	47.9
TULARE	73.0	2.4	1.2	1.2	2.0	20.2
TUOLUMNE	81.7	4.6	15.2	0.2	0.9	N/A
VENTURA	85.8	1.7	0.0	0.0	1.6	10.8
YOLO	71.8	3.0	15.6	1.4	0.4	7.9
YUBA	58.4	2.7	13.8	1.2	1.1	22.9

[1]The percentages total to 100 by row (county).

[2]These include failure-to-appear (FTA) notices; the statewide average is 4.4%.

[3]The calculation of the conviction rates was based on total arrests including federal DUI arrests (Yosemite National Park) not reported in the DOJ MACR system.

N/A - These counties had more total convictions than arrests, which could be due to arrests occurring in a different county from the county of conviction, or due to underreported arrests by arresting agencies, or underreported arrests by federal agencies (reporting not required by the DOJ MACR system).

TABLE 9a: 2005 REPORTED* BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF DUI CONVICTIONS

DUI CONVICTIONS			ALCOHOL-RECKLESS CONVICTIONS		
BAC LEVEL	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	BAC LEVEL	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
.00	1726	1.5	.00	303	2.6
.01	90	0.1	.01	14	0.1
.02	70	0.1	.02	15	0.1
.03	74	0.1	.03	19	0.2
.04	69	0.1	.04	38	0.3
.05	172	0.2	.05	85	0.7
.06	222	0.2	.06	209	1.8
.07	539	0.5	.07	663	5.8
.08	2177	1.9	.08	2764	24.0
.09	3832	3.3	.09	3190	27.7
.10	6554	5.7	.10	1877	16.3
.11	8419	7.3	.11	843	7.3
.12	8822	7.6	.12	434	3.8
.13	9027	7.8	.13	267	2.3
.14	8897	7.7	.14	199	1.7
.15	8604	7.4	.15	126	1.1
.16	8253	7.1	.16	111	1.0
.17	7598	6.6	.17	89	0.8
.18	6949	6.0	.18	65	0.6
.19	6099	5.3	.19	62	0.5
.20	5257	4.6	.20	30	0.3
.21	4485	3.9	.21	21	0.2
.22	3596	3.1	.22	18	0.2
.23	2984	2.6	.23	21	0.2
.24	2404	2.1	.24	10	0.1
.25	1967	1.7	.25	10	0.1
.26	1504	1.3	.26	6	0.1
.27	1168	1.0	.27	4	0.0
.28	937	0.8	.28	4	0.0
.29	745	0.6	.29	2	0.0
.30	572	0.5	.30	2	0.0
.31	410	0.4	.31	2	0.0
.32	327	0.3	.32	1	0.0
.33	230	0.2	.33	2	0.0
.34	197	0.2	.39	1	0.0
.35	148	0.1			
.36	123	0.1			
.37	87	0.1			
.38	46	0.0			
.39	62	0.1			
.40	47	0.0			
.41	23	0.0			
.42	15	0.0			
.43	14	0.0			
.44	9	0.0			
.45	9	0.0			
.46	8	0.0			
.47	2	0.0			
.48	2	0.0			
.49	2	0.0			
.50	2	0.0			
.51	3	0.0			
.60	1	0.0			
	---	---		---	---
TOTAL	115579	100.0	TOTAL	11507	100.0
	MEAN** BAC .16			MEAN** BAC .10	
	MEDIAN** BAC .15			MEDIAN** BAC .09	

*The source of BAC data is the APS reporting form, which replaced the abstract of conviction used in earlier reports. This change in data source was made because of the more complete BAC reporting on APS forms (82.0% of total).

**The calculation of the mean and median BAC level does not include zero BAC levels which could be DUI drug convictions.

TABLE 9b: 2005 REPORTED* BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF CONVICTED DUI OFFENDERS UNDER AGE 21

BAC LEVEL	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	BAC LEVEL	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
.00	182	2.1	.21	196	2.3
.01	27	0.3	.22	141	1.6
.02	19	0.2	.23	117	1.4
.03	9	0.1	.24	66	0.8
.04	20	0.2	.25	47	0.5
.05	53	0.6	.26	35	0.4
.06	83	1.0	.27	22	0.3
.07	152	1.8	.28	17	0.2
.08	305	3.5	.29	8	0.1
.09	493	5.7	.30	6	0.1
.10	655	7.6	.31	4	0.1
.11	842	9.8	.33	3	0.0
.12	801	9.3	.34	1	0.0
.13	744	8.6	.36	1	0.0
.14	701	8.1	.37	1	0.0
.15	644	7.5	.43	2	0.0
.16	575	6.7			
.17	540	6.3		---	---
.18	464	5.4	TOTAL	8627	100.0
.19	381	4.4		MEAN** BAC .14	
.20	270	3.1		MEDIAN** BAC .14	

*The source of BAC data is the APS reporting form for arrested DUI offenders. The percentage of BAC levels found on these forms for 2005 convicted under age 21 cases is 81.9%.

**The calculation of the mean and median BAC level does not include zero BAC levels which could be DUI drug convictions.

TABLE 10: 2005 DUI CONVICTIONS BY OFFENDER STATUS AND REPORTED BAC LEVEL

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	PERCENT	AVERAGE BAC LEVEL FROM APS REPORTING FORM (%)	MEDIAN BAC LEVEL FROM APS REPORTING FORM (%)
STATEWIDE	100.0	.16	.15
1ST DUI	72.9	.16	.15
2ND DUI	20.1	.17	.16
3RD DUI	5.3	.18	.18
4TH+ DUI	1.7	.19	.18

SECTION 3:

POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS

SECTION 3: POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS

Data on court sanctions assigned to convicted DUI offenders were obtained from DUI abstracts of conviction for offenders arrested in 2005. Although counts of postconviction court license actions are included, total counts of all license actions, including DMV administrative per se (APS) license suspensions and revocations, are shown in the Administrative Actions Section. APS actions (effective July 1990) are initiated by law enforcement immediately upon arrest for DUI, and are administered independently of the criminal adjudication process. As of September 20, 2005, SB 1697 (Torlakson) gave DMV sole responsibility for imposing postconviction license actions on DUI offenders; therefore, courts no longer have the authority to impose license actions on DUI offenders. This procedural change results in a declining count of court license restrictions and suspensions. This section includes the following tables:

Table 11: 2005 DUI Court Sanctions by DUI Offender Status. This table shows the frequency of specific court sanctions statewide by number of prior DUI convictions. The specific court sanctions tallied include percentages of probation, jail, alcohol treatment programs (first offender, 18-month, and 30-month alcohol programs), license restriction, court suspension, and ignition interlock. Cross tabulations of sanctions by county, court, and number of prior convictions appear in Appendix Table B4.

Table 12: 2005 DUI Court Sanctions by County and Offender Status. This table displays the distribution of court sanctions by county for all DUI offenders.

From the data in these tables and those in Appendix B4, it is evident that the use of alternative sanctions continued to vary widely by county, court, and offender status in 2005. For example:

Statewide Parameters:

- The court sanction most frequently applied to all convicted DUI offenders was probation (96.6%), while the least frequently used court sanction was ignition interlock (4.3%). DUI offenders were sentenced to jail in 74.6% of the cases. (However, in many jurisdictions, jail is often served as community service rather than actual jail time.) This is shown in Table 11.

Figure 6 (below) graphically displays the statewide data from Table 11 showing the percentage representation of specific types of court-ordered sanctions among all convicted DUI offenders. Because virtually all offenders receive more than one type of sanction, the cumulative percentage adds to much more than 100%.



*As of 09/20/2005, license restriction and suspension sanctions are no longer imposed by courts, but by DMV only.

Figure 6. Percentage of representation of court-ordered DUI sanctions (2005).

County Variation:

- The proportion of first-DUI offenders arrested in 2005 who received a court postconviction suspension varied by county, from 37.2% in Monterey County, to 0% in Sierra County (see Table 12).

- The use of first DUI offender alcohol programs for the first-DUI offenders varies by county, from over 90% in 15 counties to 10.2% in Santa Barbara County (see Table 12).

Court Variation:

- Statewide, courts vary significantly in how they use available sanctions for DUI offenders. In Los Angeles County alone, one court (Lancaster) assigned jail to 95.5%

of all convicted DUI offenders (n = 1,130), while another court (Malibu) in the same county assigned jail to only 19.4% of all convicted DUI offenders (n = 247). This is shown in Table B4 in the Appendix.

- In 2005, 0.2% DUI offenders were referred to 30-month alcohol treatment program. Assignment of DUI offenders (mostly third-or-more) to 30-month programs was low, and varied significantly by court (see Table B4 in the Appendix).

- Statewide, courts required only 4.3% of all convicted DUI offenders to install an ignition interlock device in 2005. This is down from 6.3% in 1997 and 1998, primarily because legislation in 1999 shifted the mandatory interlock requirement from all repeat DUI offenders to all suspended or revoked DUI offenders caught driving while disqualified, and data on the new "mandatory" suspended or revoked interlock assignments are not captured by the DUI-MIS report.

Variation by Offender Status:

- About 75% of first-DUI offenders arrested in 2005 were sentenced to jail, compared to over 90% of all repeat offenders (see Table 11).

- 87.2% of first-DUI offenders were assigned by courts to alcohol treatment programs, along with 83.9% of second offenders, 65.2% of third offenders, and 34.8% of fourth-or-more DUI offenders. This is shown in Table 11. (By statute, however, all offenders must eventually complete specified alcohol treatment programs in order to be eligible for license reinstatement).

- 5.5% of first-DUI offenders and 7.7% of repeat-DUI offenders received court postconviction license suspensions in 2005 (see Table 11). Court license actions are declining as a result of the law change described above. Under the APS law (since July 1990), all DUI offenders with BAC levels of 0.08% or more are also subject to a 30-day to 1-year administrative license suspension, or two to three year revocation.

- 13.3% of repeat-DUI offenders were assigned ignition interlock in 2005, compared to 14.0% in 2004, 12.9% in 2003, 10.9% in 2002, 8.1% in 2001, 7.5% in 2000, 13.3% in 1999 and 22.3% in 1998. In spite of the old mandatory interlock law for all repeat

offenders (AB 2851 - Freidman), which took effect on July 1, 1993, judges routinely did not assign interlock to these offenders (over 75% of "mandatory" assignments were not made). This law was repealed in 1998, and a new ignition interlock law (AB 762 - Torlakson) and program was enacted and implemented July 1, 1999, that established mandatory interlock for DUI suspension/revocation violators, while providing incentives for repeat offenders to reinstate early with interlock. Judicial assignments to the new mandatory provisions have steadily risen since the law was implemented, and proportionally more DUI suspension violators are now assigned to interlock than were repeat offenders under the old "mandatory" law.

TABLE 11: 2005 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS*

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROGRAM	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROGRAM	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
	N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE	140879	96.6	74.6	65.0	19.3	0.2	51.2	6.1	4.3
1ST DUI	102702	97.8	67.5	84.7	2.5	0.0	55.9	5.5	0.9
REPEAT DUI	38177	93.3	93.6	11.8	64.7	0.6	38.6	7.7	13.3
2ND DUI	28207	96.6	93.3	14.2	69.6	0.1	47.2	6.6	11.8
3RD DUI	7439	91.9	94.2	5.5	57.5	2.2	17.3	11.9	19.8
4TH+ DUI	2531	60.9	94.7	2.6	31.1	1.1	6.1	7.9	10.9

*Entries represent percentages of 2005 DUI convictees receiving each sanction, by offender status. Sanctions within each offender status group (row) are not independent; therefore, row percentages always add to more than 100%. Percentages of sanctions by county and court appear in Appendix Table B4.

TABLE 12: 2005 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS*

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE		140879	96.6	74.6	65.0	19.3	0.2	51.2	6.1	4.3
ALAMEDA	1ST DUI	3695	99.2	98.1	83.7	1.7	0.0	54.6	7.0	0.8
	2ND DUI	1006	99.4	97.9	18.4	53.3	0.0	52.7	8.0	18.7
	3RD DUI	251	98.4	95.6	5.2	50.2	0.8	27.5	30.3	27.9
	4TH+ DUI	85	90.6	100.0	4.7	41.2	0.0	12.9	14.1	15.3
	TOTAL	5037	99.1	98.0	65.4	15.1	0.0	52.2	8.4	6.0
ALPINE	1ST DUI	12	100.0	83.3	75.0	25.0	0.0	75.0	8.3	8.3
	2ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	100.0
	4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
	TOTAL	17	100.0	82.4	52.9	35.3	5.9	64.7	11.8	23.5
AMADOR	1ST DUI	177	98.3	93.8	72.3	3.4	0.0	49.2	10.2	5.6
	2ND DUI	61	96.7	98.4	6.6	82.0	0.0	42.6	14.8	44.3
	3RD DUI	21	76.2	85.7	9.5	57.1	0.0	9.5	47.6	66.7
	4TH+ DUI	9	33.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	11.1	22.2	33.3
	TOTAL	268	94.0	94.4	50.0	26.5	0.0	43.3	14.6	20.1
BUTTE	1ST DUI	715	95.9	93.6	88.3	3.1	0.0	59.7	11.5	2.2
	2ND DUI	254	94.9	97.6	19.3	71.7	0.0	56.7	5.9	4.7
	3RD DUI	86	84.9	86.0	9.3	65.1	3.5	43.0	15.1	20.9
	4TH+ DUI	26	65.4	96.2	7.7	42.3	0.0	15.4	7.7	34.6
	TOTAL	1081	94.1	94.0	63.8	25.1	0.3	56.6	10.4	5.1
CALAVERAS	1ST DUI	139	96.4	96.4	82.0	2.2	0.0	46.0	5.8	4.3
	2ND DUI	41	97.6	100.0	12.2	78.0	0.0	26.8	4.9	17.1
	3RD DUI	15	86.7	93.3	6.7	66.7	6.7	6.7	13.3	26.7
	4TH + DUI	10	50.0	100.0	0.0	30.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0
	TOTAL	205	93.7	97.1	58.5	23.4	0.5	37.1	5.9	8.8
COLUSA	1ST DUI	125	93.6	96.8	77.6	4.0	0.0	57.6	8.0	0.0
	2ND DUI	55	94.5	98.2	18.2	63.6	0.0	60.0	5.5	0.0
	3RD DUI	11	72.7	100.0	18.2	18.2	0.0	27.3	9.1	0.0
	4TH+ DUI	5	20.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
	TOTAL	196	90.8	96.9	55.6	21.4	0.0	55.1	7.7	0.0
CONTRA COSTA	1ST DUI	2243	97.5	95.1	90.2	2.0	0.0	56.8	7.0	0.1
	2ND DUI	686	98.5	97.5	16.5	73.8	0.0	50.4	6.7	0.3
	3RD DUI	178	94.9	97.8	3.4	59.6	0.0	12.4	10.1	2.2
	4TH+ DUI	81	77.8	97.5	0.0	35.8	0.0	3.7	11.1	4.9
	TOTAL	3188	97.1	95.8	67.2	21.5	0.0	51.6	7.2	0.4

*Entries represent percentages of 2005 convictees receiving each sanction by county and offender status.

TABLE 12: 2005 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
DEL NORTE	1ST DUI	92	91.3	92.4	89.1	0.0	0.0	59.8	4.3	0.0
	2ND DUI	25	84.0	96.0	16.0	64.0	4.0	36.0	0.0	60.0
	3RD DUI	10	80.0	80.0	0.0	40.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	40.0
	4TH+ DUI	5	20.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	132	86.4	92.4	65.2	15.2	2.3	50.0	3.0	14.4
EL DORADO	1ST DUI	749	98.4	97.7	89.3	2.9	0.0	55.0	3.3	0.1
	2ND DUI	238	97.9	97.1	21.4	70.6	0.0	46.6	3.4	4.6
	3RD DUI	73	90.4	93.2	6.8	63.0	0.0	19.2	4.1	15.1
	4TH+ DUI	31	71.0	77.4	6.5	32.3	0.0	6.5	0.0	12.9
	TOTAL	1091	97.0	96.7	66.6	22.5	0.0	49.4	3.3	2.5
FRESNO	1ST DUI	3028	95.7	96.4	85.4	2.9	0.0	53.9	1.1	1.3
	2ND DUI	1030	92.7	97.1	14.8	71.8	0.0	50.1	0.8	7.6
	3RD DUI	324	90.4	96.3	4.3	65.7	0.0	11.4	1.9	13.6
	4TH+ DUI	104	57.7	93.3	0.0	25.0	0.0	6.7	0.0	4.8
	TOTAL	4486	93.8	96.5	61.4	23.8	0.0	48.8	1.0	3.7
GLENN	1ST DUI	245	95.5	69.4	73.9	2.0	0.0	27.8	2.9	0.0
	2ND DUI	84	94.0	92.9	22.6	48.8	0.0	29.8	14.3	3.6
	3RD DUI	34	79.4	100.0	11.8	52.9	0.0	20.6	8.8	2.9
	4TH+ DUI	12	66.7	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	375	92.8	78.4	54.4	17.6	0.0	27.2	5.9	1.1
HUMBOLDT	1ST DUI	453	97.1	20.8	91.4	2.0	0.0	75.1	2.9	3.5
	2ND DUI	165	98.2	86.1	18.2	70.9	0.0	69.1	4.8	47.3
	3RD DUI	41	95.1	87.8	7.3	80.5	0.0	43.9	9.8	53.7
	4TH+ DUI	15	93.3	100.0	26.7	33.3	0.0	20.0	13.3	46.7
	TOTAL	674	97.2	42.6	66.9	24.3	0.0	70.5	4.0	18.2
IMPERIAL	1ST DUI	491	98.4	13.8	73.3	0.8	0.0	64.8	1.4	0.0
	2ND DUI	114	94.7	50.0	34.2	40.4	0.0	56.1	2.6	0.0
	3RD DUI	15	93.3	73.3	26.7	46.7	0.0	40.0	6.7	0.0
	4TH+ DUI	5	100.0	100.0	40.0	20.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	625	97.6	22.6	64.8	9.3	0.0	62.4	1.8	0.0
INYO	1ST DUI	107	98.1	18.7	92.5	0.0	0.0	57.0	1.9	0.9
	2ND DUI	42	97.6	88.1	19.0	71.4	0.0	76.2	0.0	4.8
	3RD DUI	9	100.0	33.3	0.0	22.2	11.1	11.1	33.3	55.6
	4TH+ DUI	6	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3
	TOTAL	164	96.3	38.4	65.2	19.5	0.6	57.3	3.0	6.1

TABLE 12: 2005 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
KERN	1ST DUI	2832	96.2	96.6	74.5	0.9	0.0	46.6	3.8	0.6
	2ND DUI	902	96.2	98.0	11.5	24.8	0.1	23.2	6.0	5.1
	3RD DUI	240	91.7	99.2	7.1	16.2	0.4	9.2	9.6	5.8
	4TH+ DUI	92	54.3	97.8	1.1	4.3	2.2	2.2	12.0	3.3
	TOTAL	4066	95.0	97.1	54.9	7.2	0.1	38.2	4.8	2.0
KINGS	1ST DUI	493	89.9	95.7	76.1	1.0	0.0	42.0	19.3	0.8
	2ND DUI	171	87.1	98.8	39.8	34.5	0.0	30.4	16.4	4.7
	3RD DUI	52	86.5	98.1	21.2	21.2	0.0	15.4	17.3	5.8
	4TH+ DUI	24	29.2	95.8	8.3	4.2	0.0	4.2	4.2	0.0
	TOTAL	740	87.0	96.6	61.6	10.3	0.0	36.2	18.0	2.0
LAKE	1ST DUI	235	93.6	35.3	79.1	3.8	0.0	51.9	2.6	0.4
	2ND DUI	96	92.7	81.3	15.6	56.3	4.2	22.9	7.3	12.5
	3RD DUI	27	85.2	96.3	0.0	55.6	0.0	11.1	29.6	18.5
	4TH+ DUI	6	66.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	16.7
	TOTAL	364	92.3	53.0	55.2	22.0	1.1	40.4	6.3	5.2
LASSEN	1ST DUI	140	95.0	96.4	80.7	3.6	0.0	45.0	8.6	0.7
	2ND DUI	50	88.0	96.0	20.0	60.0	0.0	18.0	6.0	2.0
	3RD DUI	11	81.8	100.0	36.4	45.5	0.0	0.0	9.1	0.0
	4TH+ DUI	4	100.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	205	92.7	95.1	62.0	20.0	0.0	35.1	7.8	1.0
LOS ANGELES	1ST DUI	21552	98.0	38.6	89.6	3.1	0.1	58.5	1.4	0.1
	2ND DUI	5338	97.1	86.8	14.9	75.0	0.5	53.9	2.8	1.6
	3RD DUI	1251	91.6	82.9	6.2	53.5	11.6	17.9	4.5	4.2
	4TH+ DUI	352	46.6	92.3	4.0	17.9	5.4	7.7	3.7	1.7
	TOTAL	28493	96.9	50.2	70.9	19.0	0.7	55.2	1.8	0.6
MADERA	1ST DUI	428	93.5	95.3	82.9	3.5	0.2	32.0	12.4	0.2
	2ND DUI	151	94.7	98.0	21.9	64.2	0.0	33.8	14.6	2.0
	3RD DUI	55	81.8	94.5	3.6	61.8	1.8	16.4	9.1	3.6
	4TH+ DUI	21	52.4	81.0	4.8	33.3	4.8	19.0	23.8	0.0
	TOTAL	655	91.5	95.4	59.7	23.4	0.5	30.7	13.0	0.9
MARIN	1ST DUI	1003	99.2	10.3	91.7	3.3	0.0	66.4	7.6	0.6
	2ND DUI	231	100.0	86.6	9.5	83.5	0.0	79.2	4.8	6.1
	3RD DUI	55	98.2	92.7	5.5	27.3	0.0	18.2	54.5	30.9
	4TH+ DUI	12	75.0	91.7	8.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	33.3
	TOTAL	1301	99.1	28.1	72.7	18.8	0.0	66.0	9.3	3.2

TABLE 12: 2005 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
MARIPOSA	1ST DUI	56	100.0	96.4	83.9	3.6	0.0	89.3	1.8	0.0
	2ND DUI	37	100.0	67.6	32.4	35.1	0.0	75.7	2.7	5.4
	3RD DUI	9	100.0	88.9	22.2	44.4	0.0	66.7	0.0	44.4
	4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	103	100.0	84.5	59.2	18.4	1.0	81.6	1.9	5.8
MENDOCINO	1ST DUI	363	94.2	95.0	81.5	2.8	0.0	55.6	2.8	1.1
	2ND DUI	145	95.2	97.9	20.0	61.4	0.0	47.6	0.0	20.7
	3RD DUI	37	97.3	97.3	10.8	64.9	0.0	18.9	5.4	37.8
	4TH+ DUI	15	53.3	100.0	6.7	46.7	0.0	6.7	26.7	13.3
	TOTAL	560	93.6	96.1	58.9	23.2	0.0	49.8	2.9	8.9
MERCED	1ST DUI	820	96.8	95.2	89.5	2.1	0.0	62.2	2.1	0.0
	2ND DUI	236	99.2	98.7	18.2	76.3	0.0	61.4	3.0	0.0
	3RD DUI	78	89.7	94.9	15.4	53.8	0.0	29.5	16.7	1.3
	4TH+ DUI	20	85.0	95.0	5.0	30.0	0.0	15.0	15.0	0.0
	TOTAL	1154	96.6	95.9	68.5	21.2	0.0	59.0	3.5	0.1
MODOC	1ST DUI	34	100.0	55.9	44.1	0.0	2.9	41.2	2.9	0.0
	2ND DUI	14	100.0	92.9	21.4	21.4	0.0	35.7	0.0	14.3
	3RD DUI	4	100.0	75.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0
	4TH+ DUI	52	100.0	67.3	34.6	9.6	1.9	36.5	1.9	5.8
MONO	1ST DUI	78	98.7	64.1	91.0	5.1	0.0	53.8	2.6	0.0
	2ND DUI	36	100.0	94.4	8.3	86.1	0.0	58.3	0.0	0.0
	3RD DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0
	4TH+ DUI	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	123	97.6	75.6	60.2	31.7	0.0	53.7	1.6	0.0
MONTEREY	1ST DUI	1885	99.3	98.4	60.2	2.3	0.0	22.5	37.2	9.4
	2ND DUI	514	98.1	99.2	12.5	51.4	0.0	8.2	26.5	70.8
	3RD DUI	112	96.4	99.1	2.7	48.2	0.0	0.9	56.3	83.9
	4TH+ DUI	54	72.2	94.4	0.0	16.7	1.9	1.9	48.1	46.3
	TOTAL	2565	98.3	98.5	46.8	14.5	0.0	18.3	36.1	25.8
NAPA	1ST DUI	612	98.2	93.1	93.5	2.3	0.0	85.9	2.8	2.8
	2ND DUI	172	97.7	99.4	12.2	82.0	0.0	74.4	1.2	64.5
	3RD DUI	45	91.1	100.0	4.4	77.8	0.0	53.3	0.0	73.3
	4TH+ DUI	13	38.5	100.0	0.0	38.5	0.0	23.1	0.0	23.1
	TOTAL	842	96.8	94.9	70.7	23.2	0.0	80.9	2.3	19.5

TABLE 12: 2005 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
NEVADA	1ST DUI	415	99.3	94.7	89.9	2.4	0.0	62.9	0.5	1.2
	2ND DUI	108	95.4	100.0	13.0	67.6	0.0	39.8	0.0	12.0
	3RD DUI	28	100.0	100.0	10.7	53.6	0.0	28.6	3.6	42.9
	4TH+ DUI	12	91.7	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	33.3
	TOTAL	563	98.4	96.1	69.3	18.5	0.0	55.8	0.5	6.0
ORANGE	1ST DUI	9207	99.1	27.9	92.4	2.1	0.0	53.1	8.0	0.9
	2ND DUI	2332	98.2	90.4	10.5	78.5	0.0	43.5	10.9	18.1
	3RD DUI	527	94.5	96.6	2.8	79.9	0.0	15.2	9.9	35.9
	4TH+ DUI	130	60.0	99.2	1.5	43.8	0.0	6.9	6.2	16.9
	TOTAL	12196	98.3	43.6	71.9	20.5	0.0	49.1	8.6	5.9
PLACER	1ST DUI	1307	99.0	97.2	12.5	1.8	0.0	45.9	5.1	2.7
	2ND DUI	326	93.9	98.8	3.1	74.8	0.0	39.3	10.1	29.4
	3RD DUI	91	82.4	97.8	2.2	67.0	1.1	8.8	4.4	64.8
	4TH+ DUI	34	44.1	94.1	0.0	35.3	2.9	8.8	0.0	26.5
	TOTAL	1758	96.1	97.4	10.0	19.3	0.1	42.0	5.9	11.3
PLUMAS	1ST DUI	113	98.2	99.1	89.4	4.4	0.0	64.6	5.3	0.0
	2ND DUI	38	100.0	100.0	18.4	76.3	0.0	68.4	2.6	0.0
	3RD DUI	13	100.0	100.0	23.1	76.9	0.0	53.8	7.7	0.0
	4TH+ DUI	5	40.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	169	97.0	99.4	65.7	26.0	0.0	62.7	4.7	0.0
RIVERSIDE	1ST DUI	5385	97.5	91.1	92.1	1.5	0.0	59.9	3.3	0.1
	2ND DUI	1322	95.6	96.8	18.8	73.8	0.0	45.9	4.5	1.8
	3RD DUI	353	91.8	97.7	9.6	76.5	0.0	20.7	10.8	6.2
	4TH+ DUI	136	55.9	98.5	1.5	49.3	0.0	8.1	7.4	2.2
	TOTAL	7196	96.1	92.6	72.8	19.4	0.0	54.4	4.0	0.8
SACRAMENTO	1ST DUI	3671	98.6	98.0	89.2	2.3	0.0	52.6	12.4	0.3
	2ND DUI	1164	97.9	99.2	8.5	71.3	0.0	41.9	13.7	4.2
	3RD DUI	373	93.6	98.4	1.3	44.8	0.0	9.4	5.4	7.8
	4TH+ DUI	148	76.4	99.3	0.0	40.5	0.0	0.7	4.7	3.4
	TOTAL	5356	97.4	98.4	63.1	21.3	0.0	45.8	12.0	1.7
SAN BENITO	1ST DUI	239	97.1	90.4	63.2	0.0	0.0	42.3	31.8	3.3
	2ND DUI	82	96.3	95.1	13.4	43.9	0.0	31.7	26.8	18.3
	3RD DUI	29	93.1	100.0	3.4	17.2	0.0	10.3	3.4	48.3
	4TH+ DUI	10	30.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0
	TOTAL	360	94.7	92.5	45.3	11.4	0.0	36.1	27.5	10.6

TABLE 12: 2005 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN BERNARDINO	1ST DUI	6261	97.3	54.3	90.3	2.2	0.0	76.6	1.1	0.0
	2ND DUI	1654	95.8	87.5	19.0	70.0	0.1	68.4	3.8	0.0
	3RD DUI	422	91.7	94.1	7.6	53.8	0.0	32.2	9.0	0.0
	4TH+ DUI	169	60.9	75.7	4.7	30.8	0.0	9.5	5.3	0.6
	TOTAL	8506	96.0	63.1	70.6	18.5	0.0	71.5	2.1	0.0
SAN DIEGO	1ST DUI	10243	96.9	45.2	86.8	3.0	0.0	55.9	5.3	0.2
	2ND DUI	2585	96.1	88.8	11.8	73.1	0.0	52.1	4.7	4.5
	3RD DUI	573	90.1	93.4	5.1	64.4	0.0	21.1	12.0	13.4
	4TH+ DUI	160	54.4	98.1	3.8	34.4	0.0	4.4	1.9	11.3
	TOTAL	13561	96.0	56.2	68.1	19.4	0.0	53.1	5.4	1.7
SAN FRANCISCO	1ST DUI	674	98.5	99.0	94.8	1.6	0.0	58.6	0.1	2.2
	2ND DUI	140	99.3	98.6	24.3	70.7	0.0	50.0	0.0	49.3
	3RD DUI	30	96.7	93.3	3.3	86.7	3.3	30.0	0.0	76.7
	4TH+ DUI	7	100.0	85.7	0.0	85.7	14.3	14.3	0.0	85.7
	TOTAL	851	98.6	98.6	79.2	16.7	0.2	55.8	0.1	13.3
SAN JOAQUIN	1ST DUI	1969	99.0	95.4	89.1	4.2	0.1	50.4	3.5	0.8
	2ND DUI	646	98.1	99.2	11.9	79.9	0.2	46.0	3.6	16.6
	3RD DUI	234	96.6	98.3	3.0	79.9	0.4	14.5	26.5	22.2
	4TH+ DUI	93	75.3	94.6	1.1	54.8	0.0	7.5	23.7	20.4
	TOTAL	2942	97.9	96.5	62.5	28.5	0.1	45.2	6.0	6.6
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1ST DUI	1199	98.8	96.6	92.2	1.8	0.0	53.8	0.6	0.0
	2ND DUI	361	97.5	97.5	13.0	79.5	0.0	50.1	0.3	0.3
	3RD DUI	112	97.3	100.0	9.8	74.1	0.0	16.1	0.0	0.0
	4TH+ DUI	50	72.0	100.0	2.0	36.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	1722	97.7	97.1	67.6	23.8	0.0	49.0	0.5	0.1
SAN MATEO	1ST DUI	1875	98.3	94.7	91.0	2.1	0.0	70.5	0.9	0.5
	2ND DUI	465	96.1	98.9	10.5	81.1	0.0	20.0	0.4	20.6
	3RD DUI	135	92.6	100.0	5.2	77.0	0.0	5.9	0.7	17.0
	4TH+ DUI	25	88.0	100.0	4.0	56.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.0
	TOTAL	2500	97.5	95.8	70.5	21.4	0.0	56.9	0.8	5.3
SANTA BARBARA	1ST DUI	1611	97.9	68.5	10.2	1.4	0.0	57.9	11.2	0.1
	2ND DUI	451	96.2	94.5	0.7	71.2	0.0	26.6	42.6	6.2
	3RD DUI	140	87.9	98.6	0.0	62.9	0.0	10.0	52.9	11.4
	4TH+ DUI	38	50.0	100.0	0.0	28.9	0.0	0.0	23.7	5.3
	TOTAL	2240	96.1	76.2	7.5	19.7	0.0	47.6	20.4	2.1

TABLE 12: 2005 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SANTA CLARA	1ST DUI	4029	99.0	97.0	88.0	4.0	0.0	56.8	5.6	2.0
	2ND DUI	1091	97.0	99.4	14.0	75.3	0.2	48.6	3.4	27.2
	3RD DUI	281	91.1	100.0	4.3	52.3	0.0	11.4	1.8	54.4
	4TH+ DUI	94	56.4	100.0	0.0	39.4	0.0	1.1	0.0	14.9
	TOTAL	5495	97.5	97.7	67.5	21.3	0.0	51.9	4.9	9.9
SANTA CRUZ	1ST DUI	914	99.0	95.6	73.0	0.7	0.0	49.2	22.1	0.0
	2ND DUI	298	98.3	98.3	8.4	57.7	0.0	40.9	27.5	0.0
	3RD DUI	90	93.3	100.0	1.1	11.1	0.0	6.7	77.8	0.0
	4TH+ DUI	19	73.7	94.7	0.0	10.5	0.0	5.3	31.6	0.0
	TOTAL	1321	98.1	96.5	52.5	14.4	0.0	43.8	27.3	0.0
SHASTA	1ST DUI	532	96.8	99.1	90.6	1.7	0.0	57.5	9.4	2.6
	2ND DUI	235	93.2	99.1	14.5	73.6	0.0	59.1	5.1	67.7
	3RD DUI	65	90.8	98.5	4.6	29.2	0.0	10.8	26.2	81.5
	4TH+ DUI	38	21.1	97.4	0.0	2.6	0.0	0.0	21.1	7.9
	TOTAL	870	92.1	99.0	59.7	23.2	0.0	52.0	10.0	26.3
SIERRA	1ST DUI	11	100.0	100.0	72.7	18.2	0.0	63.6	0.0	0.0
	2ND DUI	10	100.0	100.0	10.0	70.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0
	3RD DUI	4	100.0	75.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	4TH+ DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	28	96.4	96.4	32.1	50.0	3.6	39.3	0.0	0.0
SISKIYOU	1ST DUI	180	97.8	98.3	75.6	4.4	0.0	33.3	2.8	3.3
	2ND DUI	65	96.9	98.5	24.6	69.2	0.0	18.5	4.6	23.1
	3RD DUI	22	95.5	100.0	0.0	81.8	0.0	0.0	18.2	54.5
	4TH+ DUI	5	20.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0
	TOTAL	272	96.0	98.5	55.9	26.1	0.0	26.5	4.4	12.5
SOLANO	1ST DUI	1044	98.1	97.7	92.2	2.3	0.0	63.4	0.4	0.6
	2ND DUI	333	94.6	99.7	16.8	73.9	0.0	48.6	0.0	6.6
	3RD DUI	107	86.0	98.1	8.4	67.3	0.0	29.9	0.0	12.1
	4TH+ DUI	43	67.4	97.7	7.0	48.8	0.0	11.6	0.0	4.7
	TOTAL	1527	95.6	98.2	67.5	23.8	0.0	56.4	0.3	2.8
SONOMA	1ST DUI	1608	96.8	89.4	71.3	0.6	0.0	43.9	1.1	0.3
	2ND DUI	515	94.2	98.3	9.5	48.3	0.0	36.9	0.2	6.4
	3RD DUI	180	87.8	100.0	1.7	31.7	0.0	8.3	0.0	20.0
	4TH+ DUI	69	56.5	97.1	0.0	5.8	0.0	1.4	0.0	5.8
	TOTAL	2372	94.4	92.4	50.5	13.5	0.0	38.4	0.8	3.3

TABLE 12: 2005 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
STANISLAUS	1ST DUI	1224	98.8	97.5	84.9	4.2	0.0	32.2	3.8	0.7
	2ND DUI	311	98.1	99.0	18.0	68.8	0.0	18.0	4.2	6.1
	3RD DUI	97	97.9	99.0	9.3	71.1	1.0	4.1	2.1	16.5
	4TH+ DUI	41	68.3	100.0	4.9	56.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.1
	TOTAL	1673	97.8	98.0	66.1	21.4	0.1	27.1	3.7	3.0
SUTTER	1ST DUI	241	97.1	93.8	90.9	0.8	0.0	61.4	0.8	2.5
	2ND DUI	74	95.9	98.6	14.9	71.6	0.0	55.4	1.4	21.6
	3RD DUI	31	93.5	96.8	12.9	58.1	0.0	9.7	12.9	41.9
	4TH+ DUI	13	84.6	84.6	7.7	53.8	0.0	7.7	7.7	30.8
	TOTAL	359	96.1	94.7	65.5	22.3	0.0	53.8	2.2	10.9
TEHAMA	1ST DUI	241	93.4	98.3	83.8	3.3	0.0	73.9	5.8	2.5
	2ND DUI	119	89.1	100.0	14.3	68.9	0.0	53.8	6.7	9.2
	3RD DUI	33	63.6	97.0	0.0	57.6	0.0	15.2	12.1	24.2
	4TH+ DUI	19	31.6	100.0	10.5	21.1	0.0	31.6	0.0	36.8
	TOTAL	412	86.9	98.8	53.6	27.4	0.0	61.4	6.3	7.8
TRINITY	1ST DUI	40	65.0	90.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	42.5	2.5	0.0
	2ND DUI	17	94.1	88.2	11.8	47.1	0.0	58.8	0.0	0.0
	3RD DUI	4	75.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	25.0	0.0
	TOTAL	61	73.8	88.5	42.6	13.1	0.0	45.9	3.3	0.0
TULARE	1ST DUI	1740	94.1	87.2	79.4	3.2	0.0	35.1	3.5	2.5
	2ND DUI	554	92.4	95.8	15.3	62.8	0.0	21.5	9.9	12.8
	3RD DUI	136	92.6	94.1	4.4	63.2	0.0	9.6	17.6	33.1
	4TH+ DUI	68	67.6	94.1	1.5	26.5	0.0	2.9	2.9	19.1
	TOTAL	2498	93.0	89.7	59.0	20.3	0.0	29.8	5.7	6.9
TUOLUMNE	1ST DUI	257	92.6	95.7	82.9	3.5	0.0	56.0	2.7	0.4
	2ND DUI	91	93.4	98.9	13.2	73.6	0.0	54.9	1.1	0.0
	3RD DUI	32	93.8	100.0	3.1	18.8	0.0	34.4	6.3	21.9
	4TH+ DUI	11	72.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	391	92.3	96.9	57.8	21.0	0.0	52.4	2.6	2.0
VENTURA	1ST DUI	2768	99.1	90.4	75.1	1.2	0.0	48.0	19.4	5.9
	2ND DUI	640	98.6	96.6	11.4	66.3	0.0	45.9	13.6	67.2
	3RD DUI	165	94.5	94.5	4.2	63.0	0.0	20.6	18.8	79.4
	4TH+ DUI	51	72.5	98.0	2.0	43.1	0.0	3.9	27.5	64.7
	TOTAL	3624	98.5	91.8	59.6	16.1	0.0	45.8	18.4	20.9

TABLE 12: 2005 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
YOLO	1ST DUI	667	97.3	95.1	86.2	1.5	0.0	62.7	2.7	0.9
	2ND DUI	208	98.1	99.0	27.9	56.7	0.0	63.9	1.4	46.2
	3RD DUI	55	96.4	100.0	10.9	23.6	0.0	12.7	18.2	50.9
	4TH+ DUI	22	59.1	95.5	0.0	9.1	0.0	4.5	13.6	9.1
	TOTAL	952	96.5	96.2	67.1	15.0	0.0	58.7	3.6	13.9
YUBA	1ST DUI	235	96.2	89.4	83.4	3.4	0.0	53.2	0.9	0.4
	2ND DUI	76	93.4	92.1	14.5	67.1	0.0	13.2	3.9	14.5
	3RD DUI	26	88.5	92.3	3.8	50.0	3.8	3.8	3.8	19.2
	4TH+ DUI	5	80.0	100.0	20.0	40.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	342	94.7	90.4	61.1	21.6	0.3	40.1	1.8	5.0

SECTION 4:

POSTCONVICTION SANCTION EFFECTIVENESS

SECTION 4: POSTCONVICTION SANCTION EFFECTIVENESS

This section presents reoffense and crash rates of DUI offenders over various time periods, as well as the methodology and results of evaluations assessing the effectiveness of alcohol education programs applied to drivers convicted for the first time of an alcohol-related offense.

The first part of the section examines descriptive indicators, such as DUI recidivism and crash rates, for different groups of DUI offenders within different periods of time: 1) one-year DUI recidivism and crash rates for first and second DUI offenders from 1990-2005, 2) one-year DUI recidivism and crash rates by county, for 2005 first and second DUI offenders, 3) proportions of alcohol program referrals for 2005 first and second DUI offenders, and 4) long term recidivism rates of the 1994 DUI offenders.

The second part of the section contains the results of several sanction analyses evaluating the effectiveness of alcohol education programs for two groups of DUI offenders: 1) drivers convicted of the reduced charge of alcohol-related reckless driving, and 2) first DUI offenders, who attended 3-month or 6-month alcohol education programs.

Also in the second part of the section, and like last year, are two additional subanalyses: 1) an evaluation of 6-month alcohol education programs for first DUI offenders with BAC levels below 0.20% versus first DUI offenders with BAC levels of 0.20% or above, and 2) an evaluation of the relative effectiveness of 3-month versus 6-month alcohol education programs for first DUI offenders with BAC levels of 0.20% and above.

The following are highlights of the findings:

- The 1-year recidivism rates for all first offenders in 2005 continued to remain at the lower level of the past seven years. The DUI reoffense rate for first offenders arrested in 2005 was 38.2% lower than the reoffense rate for first offenders arrested in 1990 (see Figure 7 and Table 13a).

- While the 1-year reoffense rate for second offenders has leveled out for the past 10 years, these rates are substantially lower than the rates during the early 1990s; recidivism decreased from 9.7% in 1990 to 5.6% in 2005, a 42.3% relative decrease for all second offenders (see Figure 7 and Table 13a).

- Overall, subsequent 1-year crash rates among second offenders have declined from 4.0% in 1990 to 3.0% in 2005, a 25.0% relative decrease; although their rate was the

lowest in 1996, crashes have continued to gradually increase in recent years for all second offenders. The crash rate for first offenders steadily increased from 1993 to 2001, and has decreased since then. The 2005 rate remains 9.4% lower than the 1990 crash rate (see Figure 8 and Table 13a).

- Of those arrested in 2005, 85.1% of first offenders were referred to an alcohol education program, while 70.1% of second offenders were referred to the 18-month treatment program (see Table 14).

- At the end of 12 years, 28% of DUI offenders originally convicted in 1994 had at least one subsequent DUI conviction, and 32% incurred at least one DUI incident (see Figure 9a).

- Over 12 years, recidivism rates increased as the number of prior offenses increased. The proportion of third offenders reoffending was 39%, while 32% of second offenders and 25% of first offenders reoffended (see Figure 9b).

- Males showed a much higher cumulative proportion (29%) of reoffenses than did females (21%) over the 12-year time period (see Figure 9c).

- Long-term recidivism rates are inversely related to age, with higher reoffense rates associated with the youngest age group, and lowest rates with the oldest group (see Figure 9d).

- After 5 years, the proportion of DUI offenders reoffending in the 1994 group was much lower (18%) compared to the proportion reoffending in the 1984 group (27%) and in the 1980 group (35%). The 2000 group of DUI offenders had the lowest proportion of reoffenses (17%). This is shown in Figure 9e.

- Similar to the last three years' evaluations, this year's results continue to show that the subsequent 1-year crash rates of alcohol-related reckless offenders assigned to an alcohol education program did not vary significantly from those of the nonparticipants. In contrast to the previous two years' evaluations, the subsequent DUI incident rates of the program participants were not significantly lower than those of the nonparticipants (see Table 16a).

- Similar to the last four years' evaluations, the 1-year crash rates of first offenders attending the 3-month program were not significantly higher than those attending 6-month programs. However, as consistently evident in previous evaluations, the

subsequent DUI incident rate of the short-term program participants was again significantly ($p < .0001$) lower than that of the long-term participants (see Table 16b).

- Among first DUI offenders assigned to 6-month alcohol treatment programs, crash rates of the offenders with BAC levels 0.20% and above were higher than the crash rates of those with BAC levels below 0.20%, but this result, while close, did not reach statistical significance ($p = .055$). Previous analyses also did not show significant crash differences between the two groups. However, DUI offenders with BAC levels 0.20% and above had significantly ($p < .004$) more DUI incidents (30.5%) than those with BAC levels below 0.20% (see Table 16c). This finding was evident in the past two year's evaluations.

- Consistent with the past two years' results, the length of time of alcohol treatment program (3-month vs. 6-month) had no effect on crash rates and DUI incidents for first DUI offenders with BAC levels 0.20% and above (see Table 16d).

Subject Selection and Data Collection: Convicted DUI and alcohol-related reckless offenders were identified from monthly abstract update tapes which contain all DUI conviction data reported to DMV by the courts. Except for the 1994 cases, subjects were selected based on the number of prior DUI and alcohol-related reckless driving convictions within ten years (instead of seven years due to a law change effective January 1, 2005) prior to their entry DUI arrest in 2005. For this year's report, subjects selected were: 1) first-DUI offenders—drivers who had no DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving convictions within the previous ten years, 2) second-DUI offenders—drivers who had one DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving conviction within the previous ten years, 3) alcohol-related reckless offenders with no previous DUI offenses in the past ten years, and 4) first-DUI offenders referred to 3-month and 6-month alcohol education programs. In addition, all DUI offenders arrested in 1994 were selected for the 12-year follow-up evaluation.

The crash and recidivism rates of first and second DUI offenders over time, and the effectiveness of alcohol education programs for persons convicted of an alcohol-reckless or first DUI offense, are evaluated in terms of postconviction driving record, as measured by: 1) total crashes and 2) DUI incidents, which include alcohol-involved crashes, DUI convictions, Administrative Per Se suspensions (APS for 0.08% BAC or chemical test refusal), and DUI failure-to-appear notices (FTA). For the 1994 DUI offenders, recidivism is measured by subsequent DUI convictions, along with one comparison of DUI incidents.

Although the sanction analyses are not conducted for first- and second-DUI offenders as in previous years, the 1-year unadjusted crash and DUI reoffense data from all of the previous and current evaluations were included. In order to maintain comparability to the previous subject selection criteria, certain types of offenders had to be excluded. These previous and current analyses excluded offenders with felony convictions and chemical test refusal suspensions because their license control penalties were different from the misdemeanor offender groups. Drivers who did not have a full 1-year subsequent time period (because of late conviction dates) were also excluded, as were drivers with "X" license numbers (meaning that no California license number could be found) and drivers with out-of-state ZIP Codes. Altogether, the excluded cases represented about 25.5% of the original convicted offender file. The only exclusions made for the 1994 offenders were the out-of-state cases and drivers with "X" license numbers.

DUI RECIDIVISM AND CRASH RATES

One-Year DUI Recidivism and Crash Rates for First and Second DUI Offenders from 1990-2005

The 1-year subsequent DUI-incident reoffense rates for both first- and second-offender sanction groups were compiled from the 16 previous and current annual DUI-MIS evaluations and configured onto two separate graphs to display these rates over time.

Figure 7 shows the proportions of first- and second-offender sanction groups, respectively, arrested between 1990 and 2005 who reoffended within one year after conviction.



Figure 7. Percentages of first- and second-DUI offenders reoffending in a DUI incident within one year after conviction (arrested in 1990-2005).

This figure and Table 13a show an ongoing gradual decline in the 1-year recidivism rates for first-offenders overall from 1990 to 2005; although there is a slight upward trend from 1996 to 1998, this trend is followed by an overall decline of the rates over the past 7 years. The overall decline translates into a 38.2% reduction in recidivism for all first offenders compared to the rates of 1990. The decline in DUI reoffenses is steeper in the early years (1990-1994), following the enactment of APS suspensions for all DUI arrestees. As is evident in Figure 7, the reoffense rates of first offenders continue to be lower than those of the second offenders; this has been consistently evident throughout all previous analyses conducted on first and second offenders.

TABLE 13a: ONE-YEAR UNADJUSTED PERCENTAGES OF SUBSEQUENT DUI-INCIDENT-INVOLVED AND CRASH-INVOLVED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS, 1990-2005

YEAR	DUI-INCIDENT-INVOLVED		CRASH-INVOLVED	
	FIRST-DUI OFFENDERS	SECOND-DUI OFFENDERS	FIRST-DUI OFFENDERS	SECOND-DUI OFFENDERS
1990	7.6	9.7	5.3	4.0
1991	7.1	9.5	4.7	3.6
1992	6.2	9.1	4.1	3.5
1993	5.8	8.8	4.1	3.5
1994	5.4	7.0	4.5	3.1
1995	5.8	7.0	4.6	3.0
1996	5.1	6.1	4.5	2.4
1997	5.2	6.0	4.7	2.7
1998	5.3	6.0	4.8	2.6
1999	5.0	6.1	5.0	2.8
2000	4.9	6.1	5.1	3.1
2001	4.9	5.9	5.2	3.0
2002	4.8	6.1	5.1	3.3
2003	4.7	6.5	4.8	3.2
2004	4.5	5.9	4.8	3.1
2005	4.7	5.6	4.8	3.0
% DIFFERENCE 1990-2005	-38.2%	-42.3%	-9.4%	-25.0%

As noted in the past 2 years, a similar overall decline is evident in the 1-year reoffense rates for the second offender group as displayed in Figure 7 and Table 13a. The rate of decline is greatest during the years from 1993 to 1996, with a leveling out for several

years, followed by a slight decline in 2001; from 2001 to 2003 there is a modest increase, followed by a decline in 2004 and 2005. Table 13a shows that, from 1990 to 2005, the reoffense rates decreased 42.3% for the second offender group as a whole. The overall reoffense rates of second offenders remain higher than those of first offenders. Previous DUI-MIS reports suggested that, while many factors may be associated with the overall decline in DUI incidents for both first and second offenders, the reduction may largely be attributed to the implementation of APS suspensions in 1990. An evaluation (Rogers, 1997) of the California APS Law documents recidivism reductions of up to 21.1% for first offenders, and 19.5% for repeat offenders, attributable to the law.

The 1-year subsequent crash rates for both first and second offenders were also compiled from previous and current DUI-MIS evaluations and graphically displayed over time. Figure 8 shows the proportions of 1990-2005 first and second offenders who had crashes within one year after their conviction.



Figure 8. Percentages of first-and second-DUI offenders involved in a crash within one year after conviction (arrested in 1990-2005).

Among first offenders from 1990 through 2005, Figure 8 and Table 13a show an initial decline in crash rates for the earliest years, followed by an ongoing increase after 1993, and slight decline after 2002. The relative difference between first offender crash rates between 1990 and 2005 is the same as last year's, -9.4%, whereas the relative difference for second offenders for those same years, which is slightly greater than last year, shows a much greater decline in crash involvement of -25.0%.

Figure 8 indicates a declining trend in the overall crash rate of second offenders up to 1996. Following the downward trend in crashes from 1990 through 1996, the crash rates gradually increase and continue on a slow upward trend, dropping slightly in 2001, increasing again in 2002, and decreasing slightly through 2005. Overall, second offenders have lower crash rates than do first offenders (Table 13a), and this fact has been well documented in past evaluations; it has been speculated that the lower crash rate of second offenders may be related to the longer-term (one to two years) license (restriction/suspension) actions imposed on second offenders.

One-Year DUI Recidivism and Crash Rates by County for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested in 2005

For the third year, the 1-year subsequent DUI recidivism and crash rates, by county, are reported for both first and second DUI offenders.

Table 13b displays the 1-year subsequent DUI recidivism rates of offenders arrested in 2005. As shown in this table, among the larger counties, the rate at which first offenders had a subsequent DUI incident within one year varied from 6.7% in San Joaquin County to 3.6% in Orange County. Among the smaller counties, Butte, Modoc, and Shasta had DUI recidivism rates at or above 8.5%, while Alpine, Sierra, and Trinity had zero DUI recidivism rates. Second offenders had generally higher DUI recidivism rates than first offenders. Among the larger counties, Fresno County had again the highest rate, with 8.4% of second offenders having a subsequent DUI incident within one year whereas Orange County's second offenders had the lowest rate of 4.0% for subsequent DUI incidents. Among the smaller counties, the DUI recidivism rate for second offenders ranged from 12.5% (Mendocino and Modoc) to 0.0% (Alpine, Lake, Lassen, San Benito, Sierra, and Trinity).

One-year subsequent crash rates, by county, for both first and second offenders arrested in 2005 are displayed in Table 13c. Among the larger counties, the rate at which first offenders had a subsequent crash within one year varied from 5.7% in Santa Clara County to 3.8% in San Diego County. Among the smaller counties, Tehama had a crash rate of 7.9%, while Alpine, Sierra, and Trinity had a 0.0% crash rate. In contrast to DUI recidivism rates, second offenders have generally lower crash rates than first offenders. Among the larger counties, the rate at which second offenders have a subsequent crash within one year varied from 4.6% (San Joaquin) to 2.0% (Orange and San Mateo). Among the smaller counties, one county had a crash rate above 16.7% (Del Norte), and nine counties had 0.0% crash rates (Alpine, Lake, Lassen, Modoc, Mono, San Benito, Sierra, Trinity, and Yuba).

TABLE 13b. 2005 1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT DUI RECIDIVISM RATES BY COUNTY FOR FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS

	1ST OFFENDER		2ND OFFENDER	
COUNTY	*N*	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE	3342	4.7	1118	5.6
ALAMEDA	126	4.9	40	6.0
ALPINE	0	0.0	0	0.0
AMADOR	5	3.7	2	4.0
BUTTE	54	8.8	15	6.9
CALAVERAS	8	7.0	3	8.6
COLUSA	4	4.3	2	5.1
CONTRA COSTA	78	4.9	24	5.0
DEL NORTE	3	5.0	2	11.1
EL DORADO	24	4.5	7	4.0
FRESNO	140	6.6	57	8.4
GLENN	10	6.4	5	7.7
HUMBOLDT	15	4.1	5	3.9
IMPERIAL	15	4.6	1	1.3
INYO	5	6.0	3	8.3
KERN	100	5.4	33	5.4
KINGS	16	4.9	8	6.3
LAKE	2	1.4	0	0.0
LASSEN	2	2.1	0	0.0
LOS ANGELES	576	3.9	199	5.1
MADERA	8	4.1	5	7.0
MARIN	28	3.9	7	4.3
MARIPOSA	4	6.9	3	12.5
MENDOCINO	20	7.3	5	4.9
MERCED	37	6.8	6	3.7
MODOC	3	12.5	1	12.5
MONO	1	2.1	1	3.6
MONTEREY	45	4.8	22	6.6
NAPA	17	4.6	8	5.9
NEVADA	19	5.9	2	2.1
ORANGE	240	3.6	65	4.0
PLACER	56	5.6	9	3.6
PLUMAS	7	7.9	1	3.0
RIVERSIDE	174	4.5	60	6.0
SACRAMENTO	162	6.0	61	6.9
SAN BENITO	10	6.4	0	0.0
SAN BERNARDINO	237	5.3	77	6.5
SAN DIEGO	297	4.2	98	5.3
SAN FRANCISCO	27	5.6	3	3.1
SAN JOAQUIN	92	6.7	32	7.0
SAN LUIS OBISPO	57	6.3	17	6.1
SAN MATEO	39	2.9	10	3.3
SANTA BARBARA	38	3.6	21	6.9
SANTA CLARA	107	3.9	30	4.1
SANTA CRUZ	33	4.8	15	7.0
SHASTA	36	8.5	13	7.1
SIERRA	0	0.0	0	0.0
SISKIYOU	6	5.3	4	8.3
SOLANO	38	5.1	19	7.9
SONOMA	60	5.6	17	5.4
STANISLAUS	55	6.1	15	6.4
SUTTER	12	7.4	5	11.6
TEHAMA	7	3.7	8	8.2
TRINITY	0	0.0	0	0.0
TULARE	61	5.5	30	8.1
TUOLUMNE	11	5.0	6	7.9
VENTURA	71	4.0	24	5.6
YOLO	33	7.3	10	6.8
YUBA	11	6.5	2	3.8

TABLE 13c. 2005 1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT CRASH RATES BY COUNTY FOR FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS

	1ST OFFENDER		2ND OFFENDER	
COUNTY	*N*	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE	3450	4.8	596	3.0
ALAMEDA	120	4.6	19	2.8
ALPINE	0	0.0	0	0.0
AMADOR	10	7.5	3	6.0
BUTTE	27	4.4	9	4.1
CALAVERAS	1	0.9	1	2.9
COLUSA	2	2.2	2	5.1
CONTRA COSTA	76	4.7	11	2.3
DEL NORTE	2	3.3	3	16.7
EL DORADO	29	5.4	5	2.8
FRESNO	77	3.6	19	2.8
GLENN	8	5.1	2	3.1
HUMBOLDT	11	3.0	5	3.9
IMPERIAL	6	1.8	1	1.3
INYO	2	2.4	1	2.8
KERN	70	3.8	15	2.4
KINGS	16	4.9	1	0.8
LAKE	5	3.4	0	0.0
LASSEN	4	4.2	0	0.0
LOS ANGELES	803	5.4	139	3.6
MADERA	4	2.1	2	2.8
MARIN	27	3.8	3	1.8
MARIPOSA	3	5.2	1	4.2
MENDOCINO	13	4.7	1	1.0
MERCED	24	4.4	5	3.1
MODOC	1	4.2	0	0.0
MONO	1	2.1	0	0.0
MONTEREY	38	4.1	7	2.1
NAPA	19	5.1	2	1.5
NEVADA	4	1.2	2	2.1
ORANGE	333	5.0	33	2.0
PLACER	51	5.1	9	3.6
PLUMAS	4	4.5	1	3.0
RIVERSIDE	219	5.6	27	2.7
SACRAMENTO	139	5.2	29	3.3
SAN BENITO	6	3.8	0	0.0
SAN BERNARDINO	243	5.5	48	4.1
SAN DIEGO	272	3.8	43	2.3
SAN FRANCISCO	32	6.7	2	2.1
SAN JOAQUIN	60	4.3	21	4.6
SAN LUIS OBISPO	0	0.0	0	0.0
SAN MATEO	68	5.0	6	2.0
SANTA BARBARA	39	3.7	9	2.9
SANTA CLARA	156	5.7	23	3.1
SANTA CRUZ	29	4.2	11	5.1
SHASTA	33	7.7	7	3.8
SIERRA	0	0.0	0	0.0
SISKIYOU	3	2.6	1	2.1
SOLANO	28	3.7	8	3.3
SONOMA	49	4.6	10	3.2
STANISLAUS	52	5.7	10	4.3
SUTTER	6	3.7	1	2.3
TEHAMA	15	7.9	5	5.2
TRINITY	0	0.0	0	0.0
TULARE	48	4.4	6	1.6
TUOLUMNE	12	5.5	2	2.6
VENTURA	80	4.5	12	2.8
YOLO	25	5.5	3	2.0
YUBA	4	2.4	0	0.0

The Proportions of Alcohol Education Program Referrals for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested in 2005

For this current report, the intention was to make an effort to capture the number and proportions of convicted first and second offenders whose records indicated that they had completed an alcohol education/treatment program; the past two years' reports include a table showing the proportions of program referrals and completions for these offenders. This effort became possible by the recent addition of a subrecord to each person's driving record that contains data on program enrollment and completion dates, court information relevant to the DUI conviction, and program length of time. Previous efforts were limited by the lack of organized fields of data even though part of this information was available. However, this year, it was not possible to obtain the program completion data due to a programming change to expand the subrecord, and additional changes to Research's own program to capture this subrecord were not made in time to support this effort.

Data are available on the proportions of referrals to the various DUI programs for first and second offenders, and these are shown in Table 14. It can be seen from this table that 85.1% of first offenders and 70.1% of second offenders were referred to an alcohol treatment program. First offenders attend alcohol treatment programs that range from 3 to 9 months, depending upon their BAC levels at the time of their arrest. Almost all second offenders attend an 18-month alcohol treatment program, and are allowed to apply for a restricted license after completing one year of license suspension, and showing evidence that they installed an ignition interlock device. To obtain a license restriction, DUI offenders need to have enrolled in an alcohol program and show proof of auto insurance; later, in order to remove the restriction from their driver license, they must have completed the program and continue to maintain proof of insurance.

TABLE 14: COUNTS AND PROPORTIONS OF REPORTED ALCOHOL PROGRAM REFERRALS FOR CONVICTED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2005

DUI OFFENDERS	TOTAL *N*	PROGRAM REFERRALS		PROGRAM COMPLETION*	
		N	%	*N*	%
1ST OFFENDERS (3 MOS. TO 9 MOS.)	102,702	87,425	85.1%	Unavailable	
2ND OFFENDERS (18 MOS.)	28,207	19,765	70.1%	Unavailable	

*Due to a modification of the Drinking Drivers Program Subrecord, information on program enrollment and completion were not immediately available in time for this report.

Long Term Recidivism Rates of the 1994 DUI Offenders

Since all DUI offenders were included in the 1994 group, it was possible to observe and compare the long-term recidivism rates for subdivided groups within the 1994 cohort, and to see how these groups differ in their long-term recidivism rates. This approach was also taken in a previous study conducted by Peck (1991), in which the reoffense failure curves of various groups among 1980 and 1984 DUI offenders were evaluated. Failure curves are cumulative percentages over time that display the first reoffense that occurs after the initial DUI conviction. Both DUI convictions (alone) and DUI incidents over the 12-year follow-up period for the 1994 group were included as outcome data in order to maintain comparability with the 1984 and 1980 cohorts from a previous evaluation (Peck, 1991).

Table 15 shows cumulative percentages of the first DUI reoffenses for the 1994 offenders, as well as 9- and 12-year cumulative percentages for the 1980 and 1994 groups and 5-year cumulative percentages for the 1984 group (dates were not available beyond 5 years).

TABLE 15: CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGES OF THE FIRST SUBSEQUENT DUI REOFFENSES FOR 1994 DUI OFFENDERS

YEAR	PERCENTAGE											
	1ST DUI	2ND DUI	3RD DUI	MALES	FEMALES	16-25	26-45	46-65	65+	1980	1984	1994
1ST	4	6	6	5	3	5	5	4	3	11	7	5
2ND	8	10	12	10	6	10	9	8	6	19	15	9
3RD	12	14	17	13	9	14	13	11	8	25	20	13
4TH	14	18	21	16	11	18	16	13	9	30	24	16
5TH	17	21	25	19	13	20	18	15	10	35	27	18
6TH	19	23	28	22	14	23	21	17	10	38	NA	21
7TH	20	25	31	23	16	25	23	18	11	40	NA	22
8TH	22	27	33	25	17	26	24	19	11	42	NA	24
9TH	23	28	35	26	18	28	25	20	12	44	NA	25
10TH	24	30	36	27	19	29	27	21	12	NA	NA	26
11TH	25	31	38	28	20	30	28	22	12	NA	NA	27
12TH	25	32	39	29	21	31	28	22	12	NA	NA	28

In addition to Table 15, Figures 9a through 9e, display recidivism rates for 1994 offenders over 12 years.



Figure 9a. Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction, and first subsequent DUI conviction and DUI incidents (alcohol crashes, major convictions, APS suspensions and DUI FTAs).

Figure 9a shows that, for 1994 offenders as a whole, at the end of 12 years 28% accumulated at least one DUI reoffense. Considering a more expanded view of DUI reoffenses to include all DUI incidents, the recidivism rate increased to 32%. These failure curves are steepest in the earliest years following the initial conviction, and then start to flatten out but are still rising slightly in the 7th through 12th years. For both measures, the steepest climb occurs in the first year following conviction. Based on Figure 9b, third or more DUI offenders did recidivate to a greater extent than first or second offenders in the first year following their conviction.

One way to explore the extent of drinking severity is to examine the recidivism rates by the number of prior DUIs within seven years (time frame for counting priors of 1994 offenders) of the entry DUI violation. Figure 9b displays the cumulative proportions of reoffenses by first, second, and third-or-more DUI offenders.



Figure 9b. Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI conviction by number of prior DUI convictions.

It is evident from this graph and from Table 15 that the recidivism failure curves increase as the number of prior offenses becomes greater. Third-or-more offenders have the highest overall failure curve, and continue to maintain the higher proportions over the twelve year time period. At the end of 12 years, 39% of third-or-more offenders have reoffended compared to 32% of second offenders and 25% of first offenders.

Since the majority of DUI offenders has always been male (87% in 1994), it is relevant to inspect the recidivism rates of the 1994 offenders by gender. As evident in Figure 9c and Table 15, males show much higher cumulative proportions reoffending than females. At the end of 12 years, 29% of males have reoffended as compared to 21% of females. The failure curve of females is noticeably lower and increases at a slower pace throughout the 12 years as compared to the curve of males. In the final 4 years, the proportion of both males and females recidivating is only one percent per year.



Figure 9c. Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI conviction by sex.

Since it is also well known that DUI violations are associated with certain age groups, the recidivism curves are assessed also by age. Figure 9d displays the failure curves of four age groups. It is evident that reoffense rates are inversely related to age; the failure rates are highest for the youngest group and lowest for the oldest group. Over twelve years, the failure curves of the two youngest groups are quite close to each other and are much steeper than the curve of the oldest group; the failure curves of the youngest groups are steepest during the first two years following the entry conviction. The failure curve of the 65+ group flattens out at the 5th year, much sooner than the curves of the other groups. The mortality factor of the oldest group could influence the lower recidivism rate; also, this group may be restricting their driving by driving less frequently than the other age groups. After 12 years, the youngest two groups reoffended by 31% and 28%, respectively, while 22% of the middle age group, for which mortality may also be a factor, and 12% of the oldest group recidivated.



Figure 9d. Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI conviction by age group (age at conviction date).

The final figure, Figure 9e, compares the 1994 recidivism curves with those of the 1980, 1984, and 2000 cohorts over a 5-year time period.



Figure 9e. Length of time between DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI reoffense of 1980, 1984, 1994, and 2000 DUI drivers.

Last year, the reoffense rates of the 2000 cohort over the 5-year time period were added along with the cumulative percentages of the 1980, 1984 and 1994 groups (Figure 9e and Table 15). It is possible to view this long-term historical comparison with consideration of the probable influence of major DUI laws in California over a 20-year time period.

Figure 9e reveals that at the end of five years, 35% of the 1980 offenders reoffended compared to 27% of the 1984 group, 18% of the 1994 offenders and 17% of the 2000 group. Quite dramatically, the proportion recidivating in the 1994 and 2000 groups (18%, 17%) dropped by half compared to those in the 1980 group (35%). Major pieces of DUI legislation were enacted in California over this time span of 20 years. The noticeably lower reoffense proportions of the 1984 group (27%) compared to the 1980 group (35%) can likely be attributed to the 1982 laws, AB 541 (Moorhead), which applied tougher sanctions on DUI offenders, and AB 7 (Hart) which established the 0.10% per se BAC illegal limit. The effectiveness of these laws was confirmed by a previous California study by Tashima and Peck (1986). Table 15, which compares the 1980 cohort with the 1994 group over nine years, shows that 44% of the 1980 group recidivated while 25% of the 1994 group reoffended. The difference between the recidivism rates of these two groups remains quite dramatic at the end of nine years. There was only a one percent increase in recidivism for the 1994 group in the last year of 12 years.

Continuing with Figure 9e, it is evident that the difference in the reoffending proportions between the 1984 group (27%) and the 1994 group (18%) is substantial; this reduction in reoffenses is possibly attributable to the enactment of the 1990 laws, SB 1623 (Lockyer), which established APS suspensions for all offenders at the time of arrest, and SB 1150 (Lockyer), which set the illegal BAC limit to 0.08% and imposed other stringent sanctions on DUI offenders. As noted earlier, an evaluation (Rogers, 1997) of the California APS law documented recidivism reductions of up to 21.1% for first offenders and 19.5% for repeat offenders, both attributable to the APS law. Figure 9e also shows that the level of reoffenses is very similar for both the 1994 and 2000 cohorts. At each of the five years, the reoffenses of the 2000 offenders were only 1% lower than that of the 1994 group.

In summary, the 1994 offenders have long-term reoffense rates that are higher among those with more DUI priors (within seven years), among males, and among younger-aged drivers. These are not surprising findings, and are consistent with and supported by previous studies. In comparing the reoffense rates between the 1994 and 2000 groups with the 1980 and 1984 offenders, it was found that the cumulative proportions of reoffenses was much lower among the 1994 and 2000 offenders. The dramatically

lower reoffense rates of the 1994 and 2000 groups could be attributed to the enactment of more stringent sanctions for DUI offenders in the past two decades, including the APS suspension law of 1990.

ALCOHOL EDUCATION PROGRAM EVALUATION FOR THE ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS OFFENDERS AND FIRST DUI OFFENDERS

Subject Selection and Follow-up Data: The basis for evaluating the effectiveness of alcohol education programs for offenders convicted of alcohol-related reckless driving, or of a first DUI offense, was established by legislation. The evaluation for the offenders with alcohol-related reckless convictions was mandated by SB 1176 (Johnson); for these offenders, this legislation requires the courts to order enrollment in an alcohol/drug education program as a condition of probation. An evaluation of the efficacy of the 3-month versus 6-month alcohol education/counseling program for first offenders was mandated by AB 1916 (Torlakson). In 2004, the courts were required to refer first offenders whose BAC level is less than 0.20% to a 3-month program, and those with a BAC level of 0.20% or above, or who refuse to take a chemical test, to a 6-month program. Effective 2005, AB 1353 (Liu) increased the duration of alcohol treatment programs from 6 to 9 months for first DUI offenders on probation whose BAC level is 0.20% or greater or who refuse to take a chemical test.

Two groups of alcohol-related reckless convictees were identified, including: 1) those who were assigned to an alcohol education program and 2) those who were not assigned to a program. These sanctions are reported by the courts to DMV via disposition codes on the conviction abstracts. Although courts are mandated to require all alcohol-related reckless drivers to attend an alcohol education program as a condition of probation, it was found that 39% of such offenders were not assigned. This discrepancy allowed a comparison of subsequent crashes and DUI incidents between the two groups.

In evaluating the traffic safety impact of length of time of first offender programs, only first offenders that showed the 3-month and 6-month designations on their conviction abstracts were identified and selected for this analysis. Although, in 2005, the courts were to prescribe 9-month alcohol treatment programs for first offenders whose BAC levels were above 0.20%, it was decided not to investigate the impact of the 9-month treatment programs at this time because the number of those assigned was still quite

low (*N* = 2020). The effectiveness of this longer-term program will be evaluated in next year's DUI-MIS report.

Unfortunately, the records of 49% of first offenders who were referred to the alcohol education programs did not display the specific length of time. These individuals were not included in this evaluation, and this analysis is limited to first offenders who were adjudicated by courts that were in compliance with the law. Of the total sample selected, 77% were referred to 3-month programs, while 23% were assigned to 6-month programs. Subjects for the initial analyses consisted of first offenders assigned to a 3-month alcohol program versus first offenders assigned to a 6-month program. To further explore the possible effects of BAC levels, two additional subanalyses included 1) first offenders assigned to a 6-month alcohol program with BAC levels below 0.20% versus those with BAC levels of 0.20% and above, and 2) first offenders with BAC levels 0.20% and above assigned to a 3-month alcohol program versus first offenders with BAC levels 0.20% and above assigned to a 6-month alcohol program.

The conviction date for the prior/post analyses was considered to be the "treatment date" for defining prior and subsequent driving record data, because the penalties and sanctions for the offense are typically effective as of that date. The evaluation period for the postconviction driving measures starts from the conviction date, and was 1) 1-year following conviction for alcohol-related reckless offenders who were arrested from July, 2005 through June, 2006. 2) 1-year following conviction for first DUI convictees who were arrested in 2005, and who were referred to 3-month and 6-month alcohol education programs.

A buffer period of 4 months was allowed between the end of the evaluation period and the data extraction date to allow for processing and reporting of the most recent data to DMV. DUI offenders who had less than the full one-year follow-up time period (from conviction date to the buffer period) were excluded. For all of these groups, the outcome driver record measures consisted of the proportion of offenders who were involved in: 1) any crash and 2) DUI incidents (alcohol-involved crashes, major convictions, APS/refusal suspensions, or DUI failures-to-appear). Only the first crash or DUI incident or "failure" was evaluated. This is not an important limitation with these data because the incidence of repeat failures (two or more crashes or DUI incidents) was very low over the study time window. More importantly, analysis of repeat failures would be subject to confounding by court sanctions received in connection with the first failure incident. This type of confounding is avoided because multiple incidents were not included in this analysis.

Evaluation Design and Analytical Procedures: Since it was not possible to randomly assign drivers to the various sanction groups, potential biases due to preexisting group differences were statistically controlled to the extent possible by using biographical data, prior driving record data, and ZIP Code indices, such as crash and traffic conviction averages for each driver's ZIP Code area (Appendix Table B5). While this "quasi-experimental" design is subject to a number of limitations in assessing cause-effect relationships, the attempt at statistical control of group differences removes at least part of the bias in group assignment and provides a more precise estimate of the relationship between type of sanction and subsequent record. It is possible, of course, that the groups also differ on characteristics not measured by, or reflected in, the covariates. The possibility of uncontrolled biases becomes particularly problematic if sanctions are commonly received by offenders through self- or judicial-selectivity (e.g., drivers of higher socio-economic status may be more likely to receive program with restriction and less likely to receive jail than those of lower status).

For the alcohol-related reckless drivers and first offenders attending 3-month and 6-month programs, prior driver record data were extracted for the 2 years preceding their DUI or alcohol-reckless conviction date. The prior driver record variables for these offenders are shown in Appendix Table B5, and since some of these driver record variables were significantly different between the two groups, they were used as covariates in the analyses to remove these differences.

Following the extraction of covariates, simple correlations were computed between demographic and prior driving variables and the outcome measures, number of days to first subsequent crash and number of days to first subsequent DUI incident. The demographic and 2-year prior driving variables that had statistically significant correlations with the outcome measures were identified and selected as potential variables. For each analysis, logistic regression was used to test for potential interactions between the covariates and treatment/comparison groups. In analyses where there are significant interactions, the levels of the covariate and treatment groups are plotted on a graph to determine if there are differential effects of alcohol treatment on the covariate levels. The interaction term is then typically included in the final logistic regression analyses.

For the alcohol-reckless drivers, significant interactions were not found between the covariates and the alcohol program sanction on either crashes or DUI reoffenses.

Alcohol Education Program Evaluation for Drivers Convicted of Alcohol-Reckless Driving

Figure 10a and Table 16a display the results of the seventh evaluation of the effectiveness of the alcohol education program on drivers convicted of alcohol-related reckless driving violations.



Figure 10a. Adjusted 1-year crash and DUI incident rates for 2005-2006 (fiscal year) alcohol reckless drivers by type of sanction.

Total Crashes: Like the past two year's findings, the results show that assignment to the alcohol education program does not have a significant effect on 1-year subsequent crash rates of alcohol-related reckless offenders. Comparing these rates to those of the 2005 first DUI offenders, it is evident that alcohol-related reckless drivers who are assigned and those not assigned to an alcohol program are slightly more involved in crashes (4.95 and 5.07 per 100 drivers, respectively, see Table 16a) than are first DUI offenders (4.8 per 100 drivers; see Table 13a). However, these crash rates of the 2005 alcohol-reckless drivers are the lowest of all of the previous evaluations of alcohol-reckless drivers. For previous evaluations going back from 2004 to 2002, 1-year crash rates of alcohol-related reckless drivers with no program were 5.13, 5.44, and 6.45, respectively, while the crash rates for those involved in the alcohol education program were 5.24, 5.82, and 5.56. These drivers who were arrested with a BAC level of 0.08% and above would have incurred an APS license suspension/restriction prior to their conviction. Table 9a shows that about 88% of the alcohol-reckless drivers had BAC levels of 0.08% and above.

TABLE 16a: THE EFFECT OF ALCOHOL EDUCATION PROGRAM ON SUBSEQUENT CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS FOR DRIVERS CONVICTED OF ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS DRIVING

YEAR	SANCTION GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	NUMBER OF CRASH-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{\text{GRP 1 - GRP 2}}{\text{GRP 2}} \times 100$	NUMBER OF DUI INCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{\text{GRP 1 - GRP 2}}{\text{GRP 2}} \times 100$
7/2005 - 6/2006 (FOLLOW-UP PERIOD = 1 YEAR)	1) No program	(2,474)	4.95	-2.4%	3.35	11.3%
	2) Alcohol-education program	(4,570)	5.07		3.01	

DUI Incidents: Figure 10a and Table 16a indicate that program participants do not show significantly fewer DUI incidents in the one year following their assignment to the alcohol-education programs relative to the nonparticipants. The reoffense rate of the alcohol-reckless offenders not assigned to the programs is 11.3% higher than the reoffense rate of the program participants, but this difference is not large enough to be significant. These results have to be viewed with some caution because random assignment to program attendance was not possible; there still remains the possibility of uncontrolled biases through self- or judicial-selectivity, even though statistical control of group differences removed part of the biases based on available covariates.

Results of the Evaluation of the 3-Month and 6-Month Alcohol Education Programs for First DUI Offenders

Total Crashes: Figure 10b and Table 16b display the results of the sixth evaluation of the effectiveness of the alcohol education program on first DUI offenders assigned to 3-month versus 6-month programs. Differing from last year but like the previous four years, the results show that the length of time of the alcohol education program does not have an effect on the 1-year subsequent crash rates of first-time DUI offenders. The 3-month program participants have a 6.5% higher crash rate than that of the 6-month participants, but this difference is not significantly large enough to conclude that the 6-month program was more effective in reducing crashes than 3-month program.



Figure 10b. Adjusted 1-year crash and DUI incident rates for first offender drivers (arrested in year 2005) by length of alcohol education program.

TABLE 16b: FIRST OFFENDER 3-MONTH AND 6-MONTH ALCOHOL EDUCATION PROGRAM EFFECTS ON TOTAL CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS

YEAR	SANCTION GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	NUMBER OF CRASH-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{\text{GRP 1 - GRP 2}}{\text{GRP 2}} \times 100$	NUMBER OF DUI INCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{\text{GRP 1 - GRP 2}}{\text{GRP 2}} \times 100$
2005 (FOLLOW-UP PERIOD = 1 YEAR)	1) 3-month program	(22,059)	4.57	6.5%	3.79	-30.3%*
	2) 6-month program	(6,471)	4.29		5.44	

*p = < .0001

DUI Incidents: Similar to the last five year's results, Figure 10b and Table 16b indicate that, among first DUI offenders, the 3-month program participants have significantly (p = .0001) fewer DUI incidents in the one year following their assignment to the alcohol-education programs than do the 6-month program participants. This finding is consistent with the last five years' evaluations of subsequent DUI incidents. The reoffense rate of the 3-month program participants is 30.3% lower than that of the 6-month participants. Again, this finding is not surprising given that those assigned to

the longer-term program have higher BAC levels (0.20% and above), and would be more likely to recidivate than those with lower BAC levels.

In order to determine whether BAC level was a major factor in the outcome of the previous analysis, two further subanalyses were conducted to investigate this possibility. Among first offenders assigned to the 6-month program, 30% actually had BAC levels below 0.20% and 70% had BAC levels 0.20% and above. This difference in BAC levels allowed for conducting additional analyses comparing the outcome measures between those with BAC levels below 0.20% and those with BAC levels 0.20% and above. A second subanalysis was conducted comparing 3-month versus 6-month alcohol treatment program effects for those with BAC levels of 0.20% and above. There were a sufficient number of drivers who had BAC levels of 0.20% and above who were assigned to the 3-month program to allow for this second comparison. The results of these additional subanalyses are described below.

Results of the Evaluation of the 6-Month Alcohol Education Programs for First DUI Offenders with BAC Levels Below 0.20% Versus 0.20% and Above

Total Crashes and DUI Incidents: Table 16c shows the results of the effects of the 6-month alcohol treatment program on crashes and DUI incidents for two groups: 1) those with BAC levels below 0.20% and 2) those with BAC levels of 0.20% and above. As evident in Table 16c, differences in crash rates between the two groups approached directional significance (p = .055) but this finding should be viewed with caution because its tentative significance level could indicate that the difference in crash rates is due to chance alone; also the three previous similar evaluations did not show significant differences between the two groups on crashes. First offenders with the lower BAC levels had 23.4% fewer crashes than their counterparts with higher BAC levels. A possible explanation for this finding may be related to pre-existing crash expectancies related to differences in BAC levels.

TABLE 16c: FIRST OFFENDER 6-MONTH ALCOHOL EDUCATION PROGRAM EFFECTS ON TOTAL CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS FOR DRIVERS WITH BAC LEVELS BELOW 0.20% VERSUS 0.20% AND ABOVE

YEAR	SANCTION GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	NUMBER OF CRASH-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{\text{GRP 1 - GRP 2}}{\text{GRP 2}} \times 100$	NUMBER OF DUI INCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{\text{GRP 1 - GRP 2}}{\text{GRP 2}} \times 100$
2005 (FOLLOW-UP PERIOD = 1 YEAR)	1) BAC below 0.20%	(1,865)	3.37	-23.4%	4.04	30.5%*
	2) BAC 0.20% and above	(4,367)	4.40		5.81	

*p = .004

However, significant differences were apparent between these two groups on DUI incidents, substantiating the fact that those with BAC levels of 0.20% and above had more DUI incidents in the one-year following their conviction than drivers with BAC levels below 0.20%. These drivers with lower BAC levels had 30.5% fewer DUI incidents than did those with BAC levels of 0.20% and above. The results of this analysis confirm the earlier suggestion that those with higher BAC levels are more likely to recidivate than those with lower BAC levels, regardless of the length of time of alcohol treatment program. Thus, it may not be that the 6-month programs are less effective than the 3-month programs, but rather that the 6-month programs have a higher proportion of offenders with high arrest BAC levels, who are more likely to recidivate.

Results of the Evaluation of the 3-Month and 6-Month Alcohol Education Programs for First DUI Offenders with BAC Levels of 0.20% and Above

Total Crashes and DUI Incidents: As shown in Table 16d, and consistent with the past two year's results, the length of time of alcohol treatment program for first DUI offenders had no effect on those with BAC levels of 0.20% and above on both outcome measures, 1-year subsequent crashes and DUI incidents. Although the crash and DUI incident rates for those attending the 3-month program are slightly higher than for those attending the 6-month program, these differences were not significant, even with a difference of 17.6% on subsequent crashes. Thus, with BAC level held constant, the findings of this analysis indicate that the extended 6-month alcohol treatment program has no beneficial effect relative to the 3-month alcohol treatment program, on first offenders with high BAC levels on both subsequent 1-year crashes and DUI incidents. While the results of the previous analysis left unclear the relationship between

recidivism and length of program or BAC level, the findings from this analysis more clearly indicate that extending the program length for first offenders with high BAC levels does not reduce subsequent 1-year crashes or DUI incidents.

TABLE 16d: FIRST OFFENDER 3-MONTH AND 6-MONTH ALCOHOL EDUCATION PROGRAM EFFECTS ON TOTAL CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS FOR DRIVERS WITH BAC LEVELS 0.20% AND ABOVE

YEAR	SANCTION GROUP (BAC LEVELS 0.20% AND ABOVE)	SAMPLE SIZE	NUMBER OF CRASH-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{\text{GRP 1 - GRP 2}}{\text{GRP 2}} \times 100$	NUMBER OF DUI INCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{\text{GRP 1 - GRP 2}}{\text{GRP 2}} \times 100$
2005 (FOLLOW-UP PERIOD = 1 YEAR)	1) 3-month program	(1,349)	5.01	17.6%	5.74	1.8%
	2) 6-month program	(4,367)	4.26		5.63	

The effectiveness of increasing the duration of time for alcohol education/treatment programs has not been supported in the literature. DeYoung examined the effectiveness of lengthening SB 38 alcohol treatment programs from 12 to 18 months for second offenders and found no evidence that the additional 6 months contributed to reducing DUI recidivism (DeYoung, 1995). A final limitation of these analyses should be noted. Since this study only included first offenders whose conviction abstract had information on the length of alcohol program, there may be additional unknown biases that this quasi-experimental design cannot rule out. However, some statistical control of group differences removed at least part of the biases based on available covariates.

SECTION 5:

ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

SECTION 5: ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

Data on DMV administrative license disqualification actions (license suspension or revocation [S/R]) taken in DUI cases are presented below. These statutorily mandated actions, which are taken in cases of alcohol-impaired driving, are initiated by the receipt of either a law enforcement APS report (0.08% BAC, zero tolerance, or chemical test refusal) or court abstract of conviction. It should be noted that multiple actions can result from a single DUI incident—for example, a single DUI arrest frequently will result in both an APS suspension and a (later) mandatory postconviction suspension action.

The total count of postconviction suspension/revocation actions has dramatically increased as a result of a law change (SB 1697), effective September 20, 2005, which assigned to DMV sole responsibility for imposing postconviction license actions for all DUI offenders and removed this responsibility from the courts.

This section includes the following tables:

Table 17: Mandatory DUI License Disqualification Actions, 1996-2006. This table shows preconviction (APS) and postconviction license disqualification totals from 1996 through 2006. The postconviction totals include juvenile suspensions, first-offender suspensions, second-offender suspensions and revocations, and third- and fourth-offender revocations.

Table 18: Administrative Per Se Process Measures. This table presents APS process measure data for fiscal years 2004/2005 through 2006/2007.

The following statements are based on the data shown in the previously listed tables.

- The total number of DMV DUI preconviction and postconviction S/R actions increased by 47.4% over that for 1996 (see Table 17). These totals have inflated as of September 2005 due to the law change noted above.

- In 2006, 185,481 APS license actions were taken. Of these actions, 76% were first-offender actions (including actions for zero tolerance) and 24% were repeat-offender actions (see Table 17).

- In FY 2006/2007, total APS actions increased by 5.8% from FY 2005/2006, following a 6.7% increase in the previous fiscal year (see Table 18).

- The number of chemical test refusal actions decreased by 3.0% in 2006, after increasing by 2.6% in 2005. The total number of refusal actions has fallen 18.5% in the past decade (see Table 17).

- Requests for APS hearings have decreased from 28.2% of all APS actions in FY 2004/2005 to 24.8% in 2006/2007. The rate at which APS S/R actions are upheld after hearing has increased during the past several fiscal years, from 86.7% upheld in 2004/2005, to 89.8% upheld in 2005/2006, and in 2006/2007 (see Table 18).

- During the first 13.5 years after implementation (on January 1, 1994) of the "zero tolerance" law for minors, 210,035 suspension actions have been taken.

TABLE 17: MANDATORY DUI LICENSE DISQUALIFICATION ACTIONS, 1996-2006

	YEAR										
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
TOTAL MANDATORY SUSPENSION/ REVOCATION (S/R) ACTIONS	230600[r]	205462[1]	238612[1]	236141	240597	231217	236603	241242	239580	247568	339796[3]
PRECONVICTION											
Admin Per Se (APS) Actions	180343[r]	169511	175365	179332	172606	164840	165505	171470	171828	168569	185481
.01 Zero tolerance suspensions	9327[r]	11517	15640	17775	18185	18549	19129	19949	19967	19374	22044
.08 First-offender suspensions	122111[r]	114247	116827	119621	114997	109695	109888	114975	116022	107466	118468
.08 Repeat-offender suspensions	43922[r]	39636	39024	38487	36147	33517	33580	33413	32903	38097	41420
.08 Repeat-offender revocations	4983[r]	4111	3874	3449	3277	3079	2908	3133	2936	3632	3549
Commercial driver actions	4939[r]	4496	4609	4471	4139	4013	3936	3853	3801	3525	2974
Chemical test refusal actions	11436[r]	10110	9935	9435	9433	8841	8772	9399	9353	9599	9315
.01 Test refusal suspensions	154	134	229	268	270	280	290	341	326	364	419
.08 Test refusal suspensions	6299[r]	5865	5832	5718	5886	5482	5547	5925	6091	5603	5347
.08 Test refusal revocations	4983[r]	4111	3874	3449	3277	3079	2908	3133	2936	3632	3549
POSTCONVICTION[2]											
Juvenile DUI suspensions	995	769[1]	1026[1]	918	741	714	896	794	838	737	941
First-offender suspensions	7229	4847[1]	9588[1]	15072	29924	31097	32716	32521	31012	39078	110525[3]
Misdemeanor	5753	3834[1]	7497[1]	13401	28118	29188	30563	30298	28799	36808	108227[3]
Felony	1476	1013[1]	2091[1]	1671	1806	1909	2153	2223	2213	2270	2298
Second-offender S/R actions	30404	22945[1]	40238[1]	31940	29097	26911	29345	28737	28400	30294	32680
Misdemeanor	29864	22532[1]	39065[1]	31455	28571	26334	28748	28160	27847	29699	32046
Felony	540	413[1]	633[1]	485	526	577	597	577	553	595	634
Third-offender revocations	8728	5569[1]	9397[1]	6573	6163	5727	6171	5953	5581	6720	7649
Misdemeanor	8550	5471[1]	9167[1]	6452	6015	5585	5996	5758	5429	6537	7424
Felony	178	98[1]	230[1]	121	148	142	175	195	152	183	225
Fourth-offender revocations	2901	1821[1]	2998[1]	2306	2066	1928	1970	1767	1921	2170	2520
TOTAL POSTCONVICTION S/R ACTIONS	50257[r]	35951[1]	63247[1]	56809	67991	66377	71098	69772	67752	78999[3]	154315[3]

[1]The 1997/1998 counts reflect backlogged actions from 1997 that were processed in 1998.

[2]These totals include suspension actions that are associated with lack of compliance with statutory requirements, and include workload counts.

[3]This count has increased as a result of the law change, effective 09/20/2005, which assigned to DMV the sole responsibility for imposing license actions for all DUI and removed this responsibility from the courts.

[r]Revised from prior reports.

TABLE 18. ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE PROCESS MEASURES

	7/04-6/05	7/05-6/06	7/06-6/07
Total APS actions taken (including actions later set aside)	184,324	196,691	208,106
Total .08[1] APS actions set aside	16,761	16,763	17,721
Total .01[2] suspensions set aside	1,349	1,369	1,415
Net total APS actions taken (excluding actions later set aside)	166,214	178,559	188,970
Net total .08 APS actions	147,196	157,602	166,544
Net total .01 suspensions	19,018	20,957	22,426
Net APS Actions by Offender Status/License Classification:[3]			
Net total APS actions, noncommercial drivers	162,473	175,458	186,251
Net total commercial driver (CDL) APS actions taken	3,741	3,101	2,719
Net total actions of commercial drivers in commercial vehicles	15	12	4
Net APS .08 actions for drivers with no prior DUI convictions or APS actions[4]	109,174	113,707	121,138
4-month license suspensions	83,908	84,983	85,599
30-day suspensions plus 3-month restrictions	896	56	0
30-day suspensions plus 5-month COE[5] restrictions	15,705	20,798	27,596
First-offender chemical test refusals	5,761	5,509	5,622
CDL first offender suspensions/restrictions	2,904	2,361	2,321
Net APS .08 actions taken for drivers with prior DUI convictions	38,022	43,895	45,406
Suspensions	34,769	40,284	41,904
Revocations	3,253	3,611	3,502
APS Chemical Test Refusal Process Measures:			
Total .08 and .01 APS refusal actions taken (including actions later set aside)	10,015	10,140	10,151
Total .08 refusal actions set aside	614	505	568
Total .01 refusal actions set aside	24	28	31
Net total .08 and .01 APS refusal actions (excluding actions later set aside)	9,377	9,607	9,552
Net total .08 refusal actions	9,018	9,222	9,159
Net total .01 refusal actions	359	385	382
Chemical test refusal rate (excluding actions later set aside)	5.43%	5.16%	4.88%
Net .08 APS refusal (suspension) actions for subjects with no prior DUIs	5,761	5,509	5,622
Net .08 APS refusal (revocation) actions for subjects with prior DUIs	3,253	3,611	3,502
APS Hearings[6]			
Total .08 and .01 inperson or telephone APS hearings scheduled	52,023	49,856	51,677
Percentage of total APS actions resulting in a scheduled hearing[7]	28.2%	25.3%	24.8%
.08 hearings held and/or completed	47,139	45,098	47,093
.08 actions sustained/upheld following hearings	40,847	40,511	42,281
Percentage of .08 APS actions sustained/upheld following hearings	86.7%	89.8%	89.8%
.01 hearings held and/or completed	4,556	4,532	4,766
.01 actions sustained/upheld following hearings	3,830	4,010	4,194
Percentage of .01 APS actions sustained/upheld following hearings	84.1%	88.5%	88.0%
APS Chemical Test Refusal Hearings			
Total .08 and .01 APS refusal hearings scheduled	3,610	3,308	3,209
.08 APS refusal hearings held and/or completed	3,488	3,196	3,075
.08 APS refusal actions sustained/upheld following hearings	2,963	2,758	2,665

[1].08 refers to APS actions taken subsequent to obtaining evidence of a BAC equal to or in excess of the .08% per se level or on the basis of a chemical test refusal. Such an action is taken in conjunction with a DUI arrest.

[2].01 refers to APS suspensions taken against drivers under the age of 21 with BACs .01% or greater, or on the basis of a chemical test refusal, and are not necessarily taken in conjunction with a DUI arrest.

[3]All entries in this category exclude actions later set aside but, where possible, include actions taken on the basis of either a chemical test refusal or a BAC test result.

[4]Prior DUI convictions or APS actions consist of any such conviction or action where the violation occurred within ten years (seven years before 1/1/05) prior to the current violation.

[5]This restriction allows driving to, from, and during the course-of-employment (enacted 1/1/95).

[6]These figures include refusal hearings but exclude Driver Safety/Investigation hearings, subsequent APS dismissal hearings and departmental reviews.

[7]Both numerator and denominator include those actions later set aside as a result of the hearing.

SECTION 6:

CRASHES INVOLVING ALCOHOL

SECTION 6: CRASHES INVOLVING ALCOHOL

This section presents data on alcohol-involved crashes, as compiled and reported by the California Highway Patrol. Only crashes involving injury or fatality are assessed, due to incomplete reporting of property-damage-only (PDO) crashes[1]. Drivers identified as being under the influence of drugs other than alcohol are also included in the "alcohol-involved crash" category, but typically comprise less than 1% of the total. This section includes the following tables and figures:

Table 19: DUI Arrests Associated with Reported Crashes, 1995-2005. This table shows the number of DUI arrests and percentage of DUI arrests associated with reported crashes from 1995-2005.

Table 20: 2005 Had Been Drinking (HBD) Drivers Involved in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Race/Ethnicity and Sobriety Code. This table shows the law enforcement officer's determination of sobriety and race/ethnicity for 2005 HBD drivers involved in crashes.

Table 21: 2005 Had Been Drinking (HBD) Drivers Involved in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Adjudication Status and Sobriety Code. This table cross tabulates crash sobriety codes (from law enforcement crash reports) with the court disposition for 2005 DUI convictions associated with those crashes.

Table 22: 2005 Had Been Drinking (HBD) Drivers Involved in Fatal/Injury Crashes With No Record of Conviction, by County and Sobriety Level. This table shows the number of HBD drivers involved in fatal/injury crashes without a corresponding conviction, by sobriety level, by county.

Table 23: 2005 Had Been Drinking Drivers Under Age 21 Involved in Fatal/Injury Crashes, 1995-2005. This table shows the total number of HBD drivers under age 21 in California. It also shows their percentage of the total count of HBD drivers in the state, over the same time period.

[1] Among 2005 DUI arrests, 28,504 were associated with a reported traffic crash, with 11,811 involving an injury or fatality, and 16,693 were PDO.

Tables 24a-24b: 2005 Had Been Drinking Drivers Involved in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Age and Sex (Total and Not Arrested or Convicted). These two tables show the number of 2005 HBD drivers in fatal and injury crashes by age and sex, both total (24a) and for drivers who were not arrested or convicted in conjunction with the crash (24b).

Table 24c: 2005 Had Been Drinking Drivers Involved in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Age and Type of Crash. New this year is a table that cross tabulates type of crash by age group for HBD drivers involved in fatal/injury crashes.

Tables 25a-25b: Sobriety Level by Prior DUI Convictions for 2005 Had Been Drinking (HBD) Drivers Involved in Fatal/Injury Crashes (Total and Not Arrested or Convicted). These two tables show the number of 2005 HBD drivers involved in fatal and injury crashes by sobriety level and prior conviction status, both total (25a) and for drivers who were not arrested or convicted in conjunction with the crash (25b).

Tables 26a-26b: 2005 Had Been Drinking Drivers Involved in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Prior DUI Convictions (Total and Not Arrested or Convicted). These two tables show the number of 2005 HBD drivers involved in fatal and injury crashes by number of prior convictions, both total (26a) and for drivers who were not arrested or convicted in conjunction with the crash (26b).

Table 27: 2005 Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of Drivers Involved in Alcohol-Related Crashes. This table shows the mean, the median and frequency distribution of BAC levels for HBD drivers involved in alcohol-related crashes in 2005.

Figure 11 (below) shows the annual percentages of traffic injuries and fatalities that were alcohol-involved from 1996 to 2006. The numerical data for this graph are shown on the DUI summary statistics sheet at the beginning of this report.



Figure 11. Percentage of total injuries and total fatalities that were alcohol-involved, 1996-2006.

Figure 12 (below) shows the alcohol and drug involved fatalities from 1996 to 2006. It also shows a breakdown of the number of fatalities when only alcohol was known to be involved, when only drugs were involved, or when both alcohol and drugs were involved in the fatality.



Figure 12. Alcohol and drug involved total fatalities, 1996-2006.

Based on these data, the following statements can be made:

- The number of alcohol-involved traffic fatalities increased by 1.5% in 2006, following increases of 7.7% in 2005, 1.2% in 2004, 2.0% in 2003, 8.3% in 2002, 6.1% in 2001, 5.4% in 2000 and 9.1% in 1999, which had been the first increase in over a decade (see DUI Summary Statistics). The proportion of traffic fatalities which are alcohol-involved increased to 38.1%, the third consecutive year of increases, after two years of being stable at 34.2% (see Figure 11).

- Drug-involved fatalities show a noticeable growing trend in the past decade, increasing by 225%, from 264 in 1996 to 859 in 2006. However, in the last year, the number of drug-involved fatalities decreased by 2.4%. Also, the greatest proportion of fatalities remains alcohol related (see Figure 12).

- 11.2% of traffic crash injuries in 2006 were alcohol-involved, slightly higher than 10.5% in 2005 (see DUI Summary Statistics).

- The proportion of HBD drivers involved in fatal/injury crashes under age of 21 increased from 9.3% in 1995 to 11.0% in 2005 (18.3% increase, see Table 23).

- 15.8% of all 2005 DUI arrests were associated with a reported traffic crash, compared to 14.8% in 2004. 6.6% of DUI arrests were associated with crashes involving injuries or fatalities, slightly higher than 6.2% in 2004 (see Table 19).

- In 42.9% of cases where a DUI offender was arrested in connection with a fatal/injury traffic crash, there is no record of any corresponding conviction. In 53.8% of these nonconvicted cases, the crash report indicated that the drivers had been drinking and that their ability was impaired (see Table 21).

- Non-arrested or non-convicted drivers in alcohol-involved fatal/injury crashes in 2005 were less likely to have a prior conviction within ten years for DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving than did drivers who were arrested in conjunction with the crash (see Tables 25a and 25b).

- About two-thirds (67.5%) of arrested drivers in alcohol-involved fatal crashes had no prior DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving conviction (see Table 26a).

- Among 2005 HBD drivers in fatal/injury crashes, 32.4% were involved in crashes with fixed objects, while 55.1% were involved in multiple vehicle crashes. With increasing age, the proportion of HBD drivers in fixed object crashes declined, while the proportion of HBD drivers in multiple vehicle crashes increased (see Table 24c).

TABLE 19: DUI ARRESTS ASSOCIATED WITH REPORTED CRASHES, 1995-2005

	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	198982	201765	191164	188327	188523	181336	176490	177056	183560	180957	180288
PERCENT OF DUI ARRESTS ASSOCIATED WITH CRASHES	12.5%	12.7%	12.3%	12.9%	12.6%	13.7%	14.3%	15.0%	14.3%	14.8%	15.8%
PERCENT OF DUI ARRESTS ASSOCIATED WITH CRASHES INVOLVING INJURIES/FATALITIES	6.0%	6.1%	5.8%	5.9%	5.8%	6.4%	6.3%	6.4%	6.1%	6.2%	6.6%

TABLE 20: 2005 HAD BEEN DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND SOBRIETY CODE*

SOBRIETY CODE	TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)									
			WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER		UNKNOWN	
	N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
TOTAL	20818	100.0	8882	42.7	8041	38.6	1519	7.3	1121	5.4	1255	6.0
HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	15881	76.3	6887	43.4	6535	41.1	1102	6.9	823	5.2	534	3.4
HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)	2012	9.7	614	30.5	591	29.4	137	6.8	79	3.9	591	29.4
HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	2925	14.0	1381	47.2	915	31.3	280	9.6	219	7.5	130	4.4

*For each sobriety code, percentages are based on row totals. These data are derived from the 2005 California Highway Patrol data files.

TABLE 21: 2005 HAD BEEN DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY ADJUDICATION STATUS AND SOBRIETY CODE*

SOBRIETY CODE	TOTAL		TYPE OF CONVICTION									
			MISDEMEANOR DUI		FELONY DUI		ALCOHOL-RECKLESS		YOUTH DUI		NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTIONS	
	N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
TOTAL	18230	100.0	7875	43.2	1979	10.9	480	2.6	77	0.4	7819	42.9
HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	14399	79.0	7728	53.7	1929	13.4	473	3.3	61	0.4	4208	29.2
HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)	1133	6.2	121	10.7	39	3.4	2	0.2	3	0.3	968	85.4
HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	2661	14.6	21	0.8	7	0.3	5	0.2	13	0.5	2615	98.3
HNBD-HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING	20	0.1	5	25.0	2	10.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	13	65.0
NOT REPORTED	17	0.1	0	0.0	2	11.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	15	88.2

*For each sobriety code, percentages are based on row totals. These data are derived from the 2005 California Highway Patrol data files, and include only cases where the drivers license was found in the DMV Master file.

TABLE 22: 2005 HAD BEEN DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES WITH NO RECORD OF CONVICTION, BY COUNTY AND SOBRIETY LEVEL

COUNTY	TOTAL (100%)	SOBRIETY LEVEL					
		HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)		HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)		HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE	7790	4214	54.1	957	12.3	2619	33.6
ALAMEDA	296	170	57.4	26	8.8	100	33.8
AMADOR	20	10	50.0	3	15.0	7	35.0
BUTTE	52	27	51.9	8	15.4	17	32.7
CALAVARAS	24	11	45.8	2	8.3	11	45.8
COLUSA	6	5	83.3	0	0.0	1	16.7
CONTRA COSTA	142	74	52.1	21	14.8	47	33.1
DEL NORTE	6	1	16.7	1	16.7	4	66.7
EL DORADO	48	26	54.2	6	12.5	16	33.3
FRESNO	242	174	71.9	20	8.3	48	19.8
GLENN	9	7	77.8	1	11.1	1	11.1
HUMBOLDT	41	31	75.6	4	9.8	6	14.6
IMPERIAL	42	31	73.8	2	4.8	9	21.4
INYO	12	3	25.0	4	33.3	5	41.7
KERN	184	109	59.2	20	10.9	55	29.9
KINGS	46	27	58.7	5	10.9	14	30.4
LAKE	31	15	48.4	2	6.5	14	45.2
LASSEN	11	9	81.8	1	9.1	1	9.1
LOS ANGELES	1764	908	51.5	236	13.4	620	35.1
MADERA	59	34	57.6	7	11.9	18	30.5
MARIN	45	23	51.1	2	4.4	20	44.4
MARIPOSA	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
MENDOCINO	27	16	59.3	5	18.5	6	22.2
MERCED	80	48	60.0	8	10.0	24	30.0
MODOC	3	1	33.3	1	33.3	1	33.3
MONO	3	1	33.3	0	0.0	2	66.7
MONTEREY	56	33	58.9	7	12.5	16	28.6
NAPA	41	14	34.1	8	19.5	19	46.3
NEVADA	41	21	51.2	4	9.8	16	39.0
ORANGE	462	195	42.2	68	14.7	199	43.1
PLACER	57	29	50.9	5	8.8	23	40.4
PLUMAS	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	0	0.0
RIVERSIDE	528	337	63.8	49	9.3	142	26.9
SACRAMENTO	361	200	55.4	36	10.0	125	34.6
SAN BENITO	41	25	61.0	6	14.6	10	24.4
SAN BERNARDINO	612	345	56.4	78	12.7	189	30.9
SAN DIEGO	722	380	52.6	87	12.0	255	35.3
SAN FRANCISCO	166	70	42.2	19	11.4	77	46.4
SAN JOAQUIN	172	108	62.8	15	8.7	49	28.5
SAN LUIS OBISPO	47	23	48.9	8	17.0	16	34.0
SAN MATEO	89	45	50.6	11	12.4	33	37.1
SANTA BARBARA	97	42	43.3	17	17.5	38	39.2
SANTA CLARA	209	108	51.7	33	15.8	68	32.5
SANTA CRUZ	54	26	48.1	13	24.1	15	27.8
SHASTA	56	27	48.2	9	16.1	20	35.7
SISKIYOU	16	10	62.5	3	18.8	3	18.8
SOLANO	76	39	51.3	8	10.5	29	38.2
SONOMA	110	44	40.0	12	10.9	54	49.1
STANISLAUS	158	102	64.6	15	9.5	41	25.9
SUTTER	24	10	41.7	4	16.7	10	41.7
TEHAMA	16	6	37.5	5	31.3	5	31.3
TRINITY	13	9	69.2	2	15.4	2	15.4
TULARE	136	73	53.7	17	12.5	46	33.8
TUOLUMNE	25	9	36.0	6	24.0	10	40.0
VENTURA	165	92	55.8	21	12.7	52	31.5
YOLO	36	22	61.1	4	11.1	10	27.8
YUBA	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	0	0.0

TABLE 23: HAD BEEN DRINKING DRIVERS UNDER AGE 21 INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES, 1995-2005

AGE		1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
TOTAL (ALL AGES)	*N*	22804	21959	19277	19080	18720	19591	20530	20633	20632	20847	20818
UNDER 18	*N*	399	459	407	375	354	366	375	382	376	409	351
	%	1.7	2.1	2.1	2.0	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.9	1.8	2.0	1.7
18-20	*N*	1711	1796	1509	1608	1678	1811	1943	2016	1894	1943	1946
	%	7.5	8.2	7.8	8.4	9.0	9.2	9.5	9.8	9.2	9.3	9.4
UNDER 21	*N*	2110	2255	1916	1983	2032	2177	2318	2398	2270	2352	2297
	%	9.3	10.3	9.9	10.4	10.9	11.1	11.3	11.6	11.0	11.3	11.0

TABLE 24a: 2005 HAD BEEN DRINKING DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES* BY AGE AND SEX

	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
AGE	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
TOTAL	20818	100.0	16584	79.7	4234	20.3
UNDER 18	351	1.7	255	72.6	96	27.4
18-20	1946	9.3	1528	78.5	418	21.5
21-30	7896	37.9	6345	80.4	1551	19.6
31-40	4027	19.3	3211	79.7	816	20.3
41-50	3440	16.5	2607	75.8	833	24.2
51-59	1487	7.1	1192	80.2	295	19.8
60-69	534	2.6	425	79.6	109	20.4
70 & ABOVE	248	1.2	198	79.8	50	20.2
AGE UNKNOWN	889	4.3	823	92.6	66	7.4

*These data are derived from the 2005 California Highway Patrol's Annual Report of Fatal and Injury Motor Vehicle Traffic Collisions.

TABLE 24b: 2005 HAD BEEN DRINKING DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY AGE AND SEX (NOT ARRESTED OR CONVICTED)

	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
AGE	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
TOTAL	5059	100.0	3971	78.5	1088	21.5
UNDER 18	95	1.9	71	74.7	24	25.3
18-20	366	7.2	291	79.5	75	20.5
21-30	2021	39.9	1591	78.7	430	21.3
31-40	992	19.6	809	81.6	183	18.4
41-50	877	17.3	676	77.1	201	22.9
51-59	416	8.2	320	76.9	96	23.1
60-69	186	3.7	132	71.0	54	29.0
70 & ABOVE	106	2.1	81	76.4	25	23.6

These figures are a subset of the counts in the table above, and include only cases where the drivers license was found in the DMV Master file.

TABLE 24c: 2005 HAD BEEN DRINKING DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY AGE AND TYPE OF CRASH

AGE	TOTAL	TYPE OF CRASH									
		VEH/FIXED OBJECT		VEHICLE-PEDESTRIAN		MULTIPLE VEHICLE		VEHICLE-BICYCLE		OTHER	
	N	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
TOTAL	18192	5894	32.4	357	2.0	10028	55.1	136	0.7	1777	9.8
UNDER 18	308	142	46.1	2	0.6	132	42.9	2	0.6	30	9.7
18-20	1801	778	43.2	22	1.2	787	43.7	5	0.3	209	11.6
21-30	7164	2539	35.4	117	1.6	3807	53.1	25	0.3	676	9.4
31-40	3688	1101	29.9	80	2.2	2113	57.3	30	0.8	364	9.9
41-50	3152	835	26.5	69	2.2	1916	60.8	35	1.1	297	9.4
51-60	1453	373	25.7	36	2.5	860	59.2	26	1.8	158	10.9
61-70	443	92	20.8	17	3.8	294	66.4	9	2.0	31	7.0
71 & ABOVE	183	34	18.6	14	7.7	119	65.0	4	2.2	12	6.6

TABLE 25a: SOBRIETY LEVEL BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2005 HAD BEEN DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES*

SOBRIETY LEVEL	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN SEVEN YEARS							
					ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
	N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
TOTAL	18230	100.0	7326	40.2	8116	44.5	2082	11.4	543	3.0	163	0.9
HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	14399	79.0	4116	28.6	7640	53.1	1969	13.7	521	3.6	153	1.1
HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)	1133	6.2	806	71.1	240	21.2	72	6.4	8	0.7	7	0.6
HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	2661	14.6	2379	89.4	226	8.5	40	1.5	13	0.5	3	0.1
HNBD-HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING	20	0.1	13	65.0	7	35.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
NOT REPORTED	17	0.1	12	70.6	3	17.6	1	5.9	1	5.9	0	0.0

*These data are derived from the California Highway Patrol's alcohol-accident files and include only those cases with available driver license numbers.

TABLE 25b: SOBRIETY LEVEL BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2005 HAD BEEN DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES (NOT ARRESTED OR CONVICTED)*

SOBRIETY LEVEL	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN SEVEN YEARS							
					ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
	N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
TOTAL	5059	100.0	4332	85.6	531	10.5	146	2.9	41	0.8	9	0.2
HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	1637	32.4	1306	79.8	232	14.2	70	4.3	25	1.5	4	0.2
HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)	891	17.6	742	83.3	103	11.6	40	4.5	4	0.4	2	0.2
HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	2504	49.5	2260	90.3	194	7.7	35	1.4	12	0.5	3	0.1
HNBD-HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING	12	0.2	12	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
NOT REPORTED	15	0.3	12	80.0	2	13.3	1	6.7	0	0.0	0	0.0

*These figures are a subset of the counts in the table above.

TABLE 26a: 2005 HAD BEEN DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS

CRASHES	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN SEVEN YEARS							
					ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
	N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
TOTAL	18230	100.0	7326	40.2	8116	44.5	2082	11.4	543	3.0	163	0.9
FATAL	1097**	6.0	741	67.5	264	24.1	72	6.6	15	1.4	5	0.5
INJURY	17133	94.0	6585	38.4	7852	45.8	2010	11.7	528	3.1	158	0.9

*These data are derived from the California Highway Patrol's alcohol-accident files and include only those cases with available driver license numbers.
**The records of 74.5% (817) of the HBD drivers indicated they were deceased.

TABLE 26b: 2005 HAD BEEN DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NOT ARRESTED OR CONVICTED)

CRASHES	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN SEVEN YEARS							
					ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
	N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
TOTAL	5059	100.0	4332	85.6	531	10.5	146	2.9	41	0.8	9	0.2
FATAL	804**	15.9	630	78.4	124	15.4	40	5.0	8	1.0	2	0.2
INJURY	4255	84.1	3702	87.0	407	9.6	106	2.5	33	0.8	7	0.2

*These figures are a subset of the counts in the table above.
**The records of 87.8% (706) of these cases indicated they were deceased.

TABLE 27: 2005 REPORTED* BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF DRIVERS INVOLVED IN ALCOHOL-RELATED CRASHES

BAC LEVEL	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
.00	153	1.3
.01	34	0.3
.02	61	0.5
.03	68	0.6
.04	93	0.8
.05	143	1.2
.06	163	1.4
.07	237	2.0
.08	380	3.2
.09	426	3.6
.10	550	4.6
.11	584	4.9
.12	603	5.1
.13	672	5.7
.14	645	5.4
.15	705	5.9
.16	727	6.1
.17	757	6.4
.18	691	5.8
.19	624	5.3
.20	577	4.9
.21	516	4.4
.22	433	3.7
.23	359	3.0
.24	323	2.7
.25	294	2.5
.26	202	1.7
.27	178	1.5
.28	153	1.3
.29	117	1.0
.30	83	0.7
.31	61	0.5
.32	59	0.5
.33	38	0.3
.34	39	0.3
.35	29	0.2
.36	20	0.2
.37	16	0.1
.38	8	0.1
.39	13	0.1
.40	10	0.1
.41	7	0.1
.42	3	0.0
.43	3	0.0
.44	1	0.0
.45	2	0.0
.46	1	0.0
.47	1	0.0
.50	1	0.0
.51	1	0.0
.60	1	0.0
	---	---
TOTAL	11865	100.0
	MEAN** BAC .16	
	MEDIAN** BAC .16	

*The source of BAC data is the APS reporting form for convicted alcohol-crash drivers (60.9% of the records showed BAC levels).

**The calculation of the mean and median BAC level does not include zero BAC levels which could be related to drivers driving under the influence of drugs.

DATA SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS

DUI Arrest Data:
Arrest data are reported to the Department of Justice (DOJ), Criminal Justice Statistics Center, by individual law enforcement agencies throughout the state. As such, these data are subject to reporting errors such as incorrect names, birthdates or arrest dates. Nonreporting of arrest data due to error or omission can also occur; for example, in 1995 the Oakland Police Department reported no DUI arrests, after reporting 960 such arrests in 1994. In addition, when data are entered into DOJ's Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system, only the highest-order offense is included. Therefore, in cases where a DUI arrest is made in conjunction with, for example, an auto theft arrest, that DUI arrest will not be included in the database. This results in a slight but systematic underreporting of the number of DUI arrests annually.

DUI Conviction Data:
Abstracts of conviction for DUI and other traffic-related offenses are reported to the DMV by courts throughout the state. As abstracts are received (either hard copy or through direct electronic access from the courts) they are entered onto the DMV driver record database. Abstracts without an identifying driver license number are run through the automated name index (ANI) system in order to match the abstract with an existing driver record; in cases where no such match can be made, an "X"-numbered record is created to store the abstract. The total number of DUI abstracts of conviction received by DMV from the courts is tallied monthly and annually. Since this workload total includes abstracts which amend, correct or dismiss prior abstracts of conviction, it tends to overestimate the actual number of convictions which have occurred. Conviction data are also subject to reporting and nonreporting errors similar to those for DUI arrests. Although the 1993 Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System documented the fact that thousands of DUI convictions appearing in court records did not appear on the DMV driver record database, an upcoming study by DMV's Justice and Government Branch will document the current level of discrepancy.

Alcohol-Involved Crash Data:
Crash data are reported to the California Highway Patrol (CHP) by local law enforcement agencies and district offices of the CHP. As such, these data are subject to reporting and nonreporting errors similar to those occurring in both DUI arrest and conviction data. While most local law enforcement agencies will investigate and file reports on crashes involving injury or death, the investigation and reporting of property-damage-only crashes varies widely by local jurisdiction. Data are entered onto CHP's Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS) and published in their annual report.

HISTORY OF MAJOR DUI LAWS IN CALIFORNIA SINCE 1975

AB 1165 (Maze), effective 1/1/2009, authorizes law enforcement to issue a notice of suspension and impound the vehicle of a convicted DUI offender, who is on probation and is driving with a BAC of 0.01% or greater (as measured by a preliminary alcohol screen test or other chemical test).

SB 1756 (Migden), effective 1/1/2007, extends driver's license suspension from 6 to 10 months for a person convicted of a first DUI offense, who is granted probation, and whose blood alcohol level (BAC) is 0.20% or greater, or who refuse to take a chemical test.

AB 2520 (Committee on Transportation), effective 1/1/2007, requires the DMV to immediately suspend (APS action) the commercial driver's license of a driver operating a commercial vehicle with a blood alcohol level (BAC) of 0.04% or greater.

AB 2559 (Benoit), effective 1/1/2007, reorganizes the section of the Penal Code 192 (c) (3) related to gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated, to include the offense where the intoxication was a contributing factor in the killing.

AB 2752 (Spitzer), effective 1/1/2007, makes it an infraction for a person under the age of 21 to drive with any measurable (0.01% or greater) blood alcohol concentration. Persons under the age of 21 will now be subject to criminal penalties.

AB 3045 (Koretz), effective 1/1/2007, requires the DMV to verify installment of an ignition interlock device (IID) before reinstating the driving privilege, when an IID restriction is imposed by the courts.

SB 207 (Scott), effective 1/1/2006, establishes a statewide administrative vehicle impoundment program for repeat DUI offenders, when the driver's BAC level is 0.10% or more by weight, or when the driver refuses to submit to a chemical test. If the driver has one prior DUI conviction within the past 10 years, his/her vehicle shall be impounded for 5 days, and if the driver has two or more prior DUI convictions within the past 10 years, his/her vehicle shall be impounded for 15 days.

SB 547 (Cox), effective 1/1/2006, establishes a pilot program in Sacramento County that would authorize a peace officer to impound a person's vehicle for up to 30 days, if the driver has one or more prior DUI convictions within the past 10 years. Vehicle impoundment will take place in combination with a DUI intervention program established by the county. This bill shall remain operative until January 1, 2009, and would require the county to report the effectiveness of the pilot program to the Legislature.

SB 571 (Levine), effective 1/1/2006, lowers the blood alcohol level (BAC) at which the court must consider enhanced penalties from 0.20% to 0.15%, if a person is convicted of DUI.

AB 979 (Runner), effective 1/1/2006, reduces the mandatory suspension/revocation period, from a 12 to 30 month range to 12 months for repeat DUI offenders, before they become eligible to obtain a restricted driver's license. The license restriction requires the installation of an ignition interlock device (IID). This bill allows for a mandatory 30-day vehicle impoundment period if a person is operating the vehicle in violation of the ignition interlock device restriction.

AB 1353 (Liu), effective 9/20/2005, increases the duration of alcohol treatment programs from 6 to 9 months (consisting of at least 60 hours of program activities) for first DUI offenders, who are granted probation, and whose blood alcohol content (BAC) is 0.20% or greater, or who refuse to take a chemical test.

SB 1694 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/2005, increases the time period from seven to ten years during which arrests and/or convictions of DUI will be counted as prior offenses for enhanced penalties (includes DUI convictions of persons under age 21). This new law also requires the court to order a person convicted of a prior DUI to complete an alcohol/drug problem assessment program, even though that prior conviction occurred more than ten years ago, and authorizes the court to order the person to complete a repeat offender treatment program. Finally, it expands court-ordered participation in a county alcohol/drug assessment program to all persons convicted of a repeat DUI offense within ten years of a prior offense.

SB 1696 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/2005, requires the alcohol treatment program providers to send proof of enrollment in, or proof of completion of, the programs

directly to DMV Headquarters, and prohibits the DMV from receiving the certificates from program participants.

SB 1697 (Torlakson), effective 9/20/2005, assigns sole responsibility for imposing driver license actions for DUI arrests and convictions to DMV, and removes this responsibility from the courts. It also ensures that all persons convicted of a DUI will receive a license restriction, suspension or revocation of the driving privilege.

SB 408 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/2004, prohibits the DMV (for cases showing a "critical need to drive") from issuing a restricted drivers license to minors convicted of DUI with a BAC of 0.01% or greater if the minor has other zero tolerance or DUI convictions within seven years of the current violation.

AB 1078 (Jackson), effective 1/1/2002, removes the 10-year limit on certain vehicular manslaughter convictions, resulting in the permanent retention of these violations on the driver's record. These convictions would be considered by the court as "priors" for enhancing penalties upon subsequent conviction for DUI.

AB 803 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/2001, requires the court to order a person who is at least 18 years of age who is convicted of a first violation of DUI with 0.05% or more, by weight, of alcohol to attend the educational component of a licensed DUI program; upon a second or subsequent conviction, the court is required to order the person, in addition to other penalties, to attend a 30-hour DUI program. If the person's license is suspended, the DMV cannot reinstate the driving privilege until the person provides proof of having completed the program as specified.

AB 1650 (Assembly Transportation Committee), effective 1/1/2000, is a committee bill intended to deal with transportation issues more efficiently by clarifying and making technical changes. This bill authorizes the DMV to impose a driver license suspension on those convicted of DUI in a water vessel involving injury; this remedies an oversight in existing law which provides for sanctions against drivers convicted of DUI in a water vessel without injury, but does not specify sanctions for cases involving injury.

AB 762 (Torlakson), effective 7/1/1999, extends the suspension period for a second-DUI offender from 18 months to two years, but allows the second offender to serve 12

months of the license suspension period, followed by a restricted license, with continued enrollment in a treatment program and installation of an ignition interlock device; requires persons convicted of driving with a suspended or revoked license, where that suspension or revocation was based on prior DUI convictions, to install the ignition interlock device for a period not to exceed three years or until the driving privilege is reinstated, and requires DMV to study and report on the effectiveness of these devices. Judges are also encouraged to order installation of an ignition interlock device for first-time DUI offenders if there are aggravating factors such as high blood alcohol readings (0.20% or above), chemical test refusal, numerous traffic violations, or injury crashes. This law requires that upon a first DUI conviction, if a court grants probation, 1) the person's driving privilege shall be suspended for six months by the DMV, in addition to other penalties, or 2) the person may operate a motor vehicle restricted for 90 days, to and from work and alcohol treatment program, if the person establishes proof of financial responsibility and complies with other penalties and fees.

SB 24 (Committee on Public Safety), effective 7/1/1999, cleans up AB 762, AB 1916, and SB 1186. This law requires the DMV to revoke for one year the driving privilege of any ignition interlock device-restricted driver who is convicted of driving a vehicle not equipped with an ignition interlock device (IID) under authority section 23247(g); requires the department to suspend or revoke the driving privilege of any IID-restricted driver [under section 23246(g)] if notified by an installation facility that the driver attempted to bypass, tamper with or remove the device, or has three or more times failed to comply with calibration or servicing requirements of the device; amends certain sections to specify that completion of a program equals enrollment, participation, and completion subsequent to the date of the current violation.

SB 1186 (Committee on Public Safety), effective 7/1/1999, reorganizes specified provisions relating to DUI-related statutes by amending, repealing, and/or renumbering the DUI-related sections without making substantive changes to the statutes.

SB 1176 (Johnson), effective 1/1/1999, requires that, upon a conviction of an alcohol-related reckless driving charge, the courts order enrollment in an alcohol and drug education program as a condition of probation. This bill also requires an evaluation

by the DMV of the effectiveness of the program and a discussion of the findings in its annual report to the Legislature.

SB 1890 (Hurtt), effective 1/1/1999, deletes the choice of the urine test from the options for chemical tests relating to operating a vehicle under the influence of alcohol, unless both the blood and breath tests are unavailable or where there is a condition that warrants the use of the urine test.

AB 1916 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/1999, provides that the court shall, as a condition of probation, refer a first offender whose BAC level is less than 0.20%, by weight, to participate for at least three months (minimum 30 hours) or longer to a licensed education/counseling program; if the BAC level is equal to 0.20% or more, by weight, or the person refused to take a chemical test, the court shall order the person to participate for at least six months or longer in a program consisting of 45 hours of education/counseling activities; requires the DMV to submit an annual report to the Legislature on the efficacy of the increased drug and alcohol intervention programs; requires repeat offenders who have twice failed the programs to participate in a county alcohol and drug problem assessment program, and requires each county, beginning 1/1/2000, to prepare, or contract to be prepared, an alcohol and drug assessment report on each person ordered by the court to participate in an alcohol and drug assessment program.

AB 130 (Battin), effective 1/1/1998, requires that any person guilty of a felony or misdemeanor DUI within 10 years of a prior felony offense be designated as a habitual traffic offender for a 3-year period and have their driver license revoked for four years.

SB 1177 (Johnson), effective 1/1/1998, requires that anyone convicted of a second or subsequent DUI within seven years of a separate DUI, alcohol-related reckless driving, or DUI with bodily injury violation, is ordered to enroll in, participate and complete a DUI treatment program, subject to the latest violation, as a condition of probation. The person is not to be given credit for any treatment program activities prior to the date of the current violation.

AB 1985 (Speier), effective 1/1/1997, cited as "Courtney's Law"; provides that a person convicted of gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated and who has one or

more prior convictions of vehicular manslaughter or multiple prior DUI convictions shall be punished by imprisonment in the state prison for a term of 15 years to life. Also, any person fleeing the scene of a crime after committing specified vehicle offenses which resulted in death, serious injury, or great bodily injury is subject to an additional 5-year prison enhancement.

SB 1579 (Leonard), effective 1/1/1997, permits DMV to suspend a driver license on a first Failure to appear (FTA) for DUI, and establishes an enhanced audit and tracking system to compare DUI arrests with subsequent actions.

SB 833 (Kopp), effective 1/1/1996, permits peace officers to seize and cause the removal of a vehicle, without arresting the driver, when the vehicle was being operated by a person whose driving privilege was suspended or revoked or who had never been issued a license; requires an impounding agency to send a notice by certified, return receipt requested mail, to the legal owner of a vehicle that is impounded, and specifies under what conditions an impounded vehicle may be released to the legal owner.

AB 321 (Connolly), effective 1/1/1995, allows juveniles cited for driving under the influence, with a BAC of 0.05% or more, by weight (Section 23140), to be charged with vehicular manslaughter (Penal Code (PC) 192) or gross vehicular manslaughter (PC 191.5) if they violate these vehicular manslaughter laws.

SB 1295 (Lockyer), effective 1/1/1995, requires every person convicted of a first DUI offense to submit proof of completion of a treatment program within a time period set by the department; requires the department to suspend the driving privilege for noncompliance, prohibits reinstatement until proof of completion is received by the department; enhances the required administrative driving privilege revocation for a minor who refuses to take or fails to complete a preliminary alcohol screening (PAS) test, to two years revocation for the second offense in seven years and three years revocation for the third and subsequent offenses; applies the CVC section 23140 to drivers under age 21 (previously under age 18), making it unlawful to drive with a 0.05% BAC level or greater.

SB 1758 (Kopp), effective 1/1/1995, permits a noncommercial driver, 21 years of age or older, who was arrested for a first APS DUI offense, who took a chemical test, and

enrolled in an alcohol treatment program, to also obtain a restricted driver license, valid for driving to and from and during the course of that person's employment, after serving 30 days of the suspension period. The total time period for suspension/restriction shall be six months, rather than four months. Suspended/revoked and unlicensed drivers who drive are subject to having their vehicles towed and impounded for 30 days. If the driver is the registered owner of the vehicle and has a prior conviction for driving while unlicensed or suspended/revoked, the vehicle is subject to forfeiture to local authorities.

AB 2639 (Friedman), effective 9/30/1994, repeals the statutes which authorized discretionary IID orders (23235), although part of the repealed statutes were incorporated into the sections establishing mandatory orders (section 23246 et seq.). Previously, the discretionary IID orders applied to all DUI offenders, but now they apply only to first-DUI offenders. For third and subsequent offenders, the statutes are amended to clarify that the court must require proof of installation of the device before issuing an order granting a restricted license. Some of the exemptions to the IID orders were revised.

SB 126 (Lockyer), effective 1/1/1994, amends CVC 23161 to provide that if the court orders a 90-day restriction for a first offender, the restriction shall begin on the date of the reinstatement of the person's privilege to drive following the 4-month administrative suspension; as part of the sentencing of repeat-DUI offenders, 23161 requires an ignition interlock device to remain on the vehicle for one to three years after restoration of the driving privilege; specifies that the person cannot operate a motor vehicle when the driving privilege is suspended or revoked even if the vehicle is equipped with an ignition interlock device; requires second offenders who have been suspended for 18 months to provide proof of financial responsibility and proof of successful completion of an alcohol or drug program in order to reinstate their license privilege, includes violation of 23140 for administrative suspension for minors driving with 0.05% BAC or greater.

SB 689 (Kopp), effective 1/1/1994, prohibits a person under 21 years of age from driving with a BAC of 0.01% or greater, as measured by a PAS test; violators receive a 1-year license suspension. A person under the age of 21 who refuses the PAS test will be suspended for one year.

AB 2851 (Friedman), effective 7/1/1993, requires anyone convicted of a second DUI within seven years of a prior conviction to install an IID on all their vehicles. The device must be maintained for a period of one to three years. Proof of installation must be provided to the court or probation officer within 30 days of conviction. If proof is not provided, the DMV will revoke the license for one year. Exceptions to installing a device are for medical problems, use of vehicle in emergencies, and driving the employer's vehicle during employment.

AB 3580 (Farr), effective 7/1/1993, changes the effective date of APS suspension from 45 to 30 days after the notice is given.

SB 1600 (Bergeson), effective 9/26/1992, provides that DMV is required to suspend or revoke the licenses of those who drop out of an alcohol treatment program a second time.

AB 37 (Katz), effective 1/1/1992, combines elements of the formal and informal review hearing into a single hearing for those who were suspended under the APS laws, and provides that DMV need not stay a suspension or revocation pending review, if the hearing followed suspension or revocation for refusing a chemical test for alcohol or for driving with a BAC of 0.08% or more.

SB 185 (Thompson), effective 1/1/1992, amends Section 14602 to authorize the court to order the motor vehicle impounded for up to six months for a first conviction, and up to 12 months for a second or subsequent conviction of any of the following offenses: driving with a suspended or revoked license, violation of 2800.2 or .3 (evading a peace officer in a reckless manner, causing injury or death), within seven years of a violation of 23103, 23152, 23153, or PCs 191.5 or 192(c).

AB 2040 (Farr), effective 9/28/1990, repeals previous statutes authorizing the installation of ignition interlock devices in DUI cases. This urgency statute authorizes the installation of such devices in all DUI cases, permits the court to grant subjects revoked for 3 or more DUI-related violations a restricted license after 24 months of the revocation have passed. The restricted license is conditioned on satisfactory completion of 18 months of an alcohol treatment program, submission of proof of financial responsibility, and agreement to have an ignition interlock device

installed in their vehicles. Courts are authorized to reduce the minimum DUI fine to allow the person to pay the costs of the device.

SB 1150 (Lockyer), effective 7/26/1990, provides clean-up legislation for APS; lowers the BAC level from 0.10% to 0.08%, requires proof of financial responsibility to reinstate from any APS suspension or revocation action, increases sanctions for implied consent refusals (1-year license suspension for no priors or APS actions, 2-year license revocation for one prior or APS action, and 3-year revocation for two or more prior DUI offenses or APS actions), and authorizes suspension or revocation actions taken under 13353 and 13353.2 CVC to be considered as priors.

SB 1623 (Lockyer), effective 7/1/1990, establishes authority for a peace officer to serve a notice of suspension or revocation (administrative per se or APS) personally on a person arrested for a DUI offense, to take possession of the driver license for forwarding to the department, and to issue a 45-day temporary operating permit; provides for an administrative review of the order, for an administrative hearing, and for a judicial review of the hearing, and provides for a fee, not to exceed $100, to be assessed upon the return of the driver license.

AB 757 (Friedman), effective 1/1/1990, requires the DMV to establish and maintain a DUI data and recidivism tracking system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of DUI. Annual reports are to be made to the Legislature.

SB 310 (Seymour), effective 1/1/1990, authorizes the courts to sell the vehicles of those registered owners who are found in violation of PCs 191.5 or 192(c3), CVC 23152 which occurred within seven years of two or more convictions of 23152 or 23153, or a violation of 23153 which occurred within seven years of one or more convictions of 23152 or 23153 or the cited PC sections.

SB 408 (Leonard), effective 1/1/1990, modifies AB 7 (Hart) to establish a BAC level of 0.08% or higher as per se evidence of impaired driving.

SB 1119 (Seymour), effective 1/1/1990 for vessel provisions and 1/1/1992 for commercial driver provisions, prohibits the operation of a commercial vehicle by a person with a BAC of 0.04% or above; requires a commercial vehicle driver to be

ordered out of service for 24 hours if found with a BAC at or above 0.01%, but less than 0.04%; establishes separate penalties for refusing to take or complete a chemical test based on the type of vehicle involved. Under this bill, a conviction of operating a vessel while under the influence of alcohol or drugs would also be treated as a DUI prior for driver license sanctions.

SB 1344 (Seymour), effective 1/1/1990, requires statewide implementation of 12-week (30-hour) first-offender alcohol education and counseling programs, and requires state licensing of such programs. This bill also adds 6 months of monitoring and follow-up to second offender programs, resulting in 18-month programs. It requires that DMV evaluate program effects on recidivism and report the findings to the Legislature.

SB 1902 (Davis), effective 1/1/1990, prohibits DMV from issuing or renewing a driver license unless the applicant agrees in writing to comply with a blood, breath, or urine test. This bill also designates drivers convicted of a third or subsequent DUI within seven years as "habitual traffic offenders."

AB 3134 (Harris), effective 1/1/1989, allows the 4th DUI within seven years to be charged as a felony or misdemeanor. The term of imprisonment to state prison or county jail is not less than 180 days and not more than one year. Allows for second offenders to attend either a 1-year or 30-month treatment program.

AB 3563 (Killea), effective 1/1/1989, authorizes the court to order DMV to suspend, revoke, or delay the driving privilege of a minor failing to show proof of completion of a court-ordered alcohol education program when convicted of CVC 23140.

SB 1300 (Campbell), effective 1/1/1989, amends CVC 13202.5 to allow courts to suspend the license of a person under the age of 21 (changed from age 18) for one year, or delay the driving privilege of those 13 years or older, upon conviction of various alcohol and drug offenses, including open container violations.

SB 1964 (Robbins), effective 1/1/1989, requires all first-DUI offenders to file proof of insurance when applying for a restricted license or for reinstatement of the driving privilege following a period of license suspension.

SB 885 (Royce), effective 1/1/1988, requires that a person who was granted probation for a second DUI offense to show proof of financial responsibility in order to be eligible for the 1-year restricted license.

SB 1365 (Seymour), effective 1/1/1988, establishes a 30-month alcohol treatment program as an alternative to the 12-month program for third and subsequent DUI offenders, in counties where such a program exists. In these cases, imprisonment in the county jail shall be imposed for at least 30 days, but not more than one year, in lieu of the 120-day minimum jail term.

AB 2558 (Duffy), effective 1/1/1987, provides that gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated is punishable in the state prison for 4, 6, or 10 years. Former PC 192(c3) was deleted and incorporated into 191.5(a).

AB 2831 (Killea), effective 1/1/1987, makes it unlawful for a minor to drive with a BAC of 0.05% or more (CVC 23140). A conviction of this violation requires completion of an alcohol education program or alcohol-related community service program.

SB 2206 (Watson), effective 1/1/1987, authorizes a county to develop and administer an alcohol and drug problem-assessment program, which could include a pre-sentence alcohol and drug problem-assessment report for persons convicted under CVC 23152 or 23153, and referral to treatment program with follow-up tracking.

SB 2344 (Lockyer), effective 1/1/1987, extends the sentencing period for prior DUIs from five to seven years, and specifies a 3- to 5-year probation term for a DUI conviction.

SB 3939 (Farr), effective 1/1/1987, authorizes courts to order the installation of IID for repeat offenders in four counties, and establishes a pilot project to evaluate the effectiveness of the devices.

SB 925 (Seymour), effective 7/1/1986, extends the period of license suspension for second-misdemeanor offenders from one year to 18 months, and also requires that offenders with three or more DUI convictions show proof of treatment completion in order to have their licenses reinstated.

AB 144 (Naylor), effective 9/29/1985, requires the court to take into consideration in a DUI case a blood alcohol concentration of 0.20% percent or above, or a refusal to take a chemical test, as special factors in the enhancing of penalties for sentencing or to impose additional terms and conditions of probation.

SB 1441 (Petris), effective 1/1/1985, requires a 3-year license revocation for persons with two or more DUI or alcohol-related reckless convictions within five years of refusing a chemical test.

SB 1522 (Alquist), effective 1/1/1985, retains existing law for first offenders, which authorizes courts to impound a vehicle at the registered owner's expense for up to 30 days if the driver was convicted of DUI pursuant to CVC 23152 or 23153. The same time period for impoundment is required for second offenses within five years. For third and subsequent offenses, the vehicle can be impounded at the registered owner's expense for up to 90 days. Exceptions to the required impoundment arise "where the interests of justice would best be served by not ordering impoundment." Another limitation is that no vehicle driven by a class 3 or 4 licensee is subject to impoundment if another person has a community property interest in the vehicle, and it is the only vehicle available to the driver's family.

AB 624 (Moorhead), effective 1/1/1984, requires a 1-year license revocation for minors (up to age 18) for a DUI conviction (Sections 23152, 23153 CVC).

SB 1601 (Sieroty), effective 7/1/1982, modifies AB 541 provisions by requiring that SB 38 participants establish proof of insurance in order to remove the license restriction at the end of six months. In addition, SB 38 participants who dropped out of the program are given two more opportunities to reenroll, instead of receiving an immediate license suspension. Program providers are also required to report dropouts directly to DMV.

AB 7 (Hart), effective 1/1/1982, makes it a misdemeanor under CVC 23152(b) to drive a vehicle with a BAC level of 0.10% or higher. Drivers with lower BAC levels (0.05%-0.09%) can be convicted of DUI when sufficient behavioral evidence of impairment is apparent.

AB 541 (Moorhead), effective 1/1/1982, establishes that under CVC 23152(a), driving under the influence of an alcoholic beverage or drugs or their combined influence is a misdemeanor, while felony charges are filed under CVC 23153, and alcohol-related reckless charges are filed under CVC 23103.5. A conviction under 23103.5 constitutes a prior for a second offense (but not for third offenses). The penalties imposed are a 90-day license restriction (work- and treatment-related driving only) and referral to an alcohol education program for most first offenders; a 1-year license restriction for second offenders who enroll in an approved 12-month alcohol treatment (SB 38) program. First offenders not placed on probation receive a 6-month license suspension. Second offenders not assigned to an alcohol program are suspended for one year. A minimum jail term of 48 hours is mandatory for all repeat offenders, and a minimum fine of $390 is assessed for all DUI offenses. Offenders with three or more DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving convictions receive a 3-year license revocation along with a jail term and fine, and a small proportion are referred to a 12-month SB 38 program. Enrollment in the program cannot be substituted for license revocation. The period defining prior DUIs changes from seven to five years. Convictions of a DUI offense with bodily injury or fatality, when prosecuted as a felony, continue to result in more severe penalties (such as longer license actions and jail terms) than the misdemeanor offenses. The only change in the 1982 law for felony second offenders is that those participating in the SB 38 program will receive a license suspension for one year and a license restriction for two years.

SB 38 (Gregorio), effective 1/1/1978, extends the pilot 12-month alcohol treatment program for repeat offenders statewide.

SB 330 (Gregorio), effective 1/1/1976, permits repeat DUI offenders in four counties to participate in a 12-month pilot alcohol treatment program in lieu of the usual 12-month suspension or 3-year revocation.

REFERENCES

Automobile Club of Southern California, California Highway Patrol, California State Automobile Association, & Department of Motor Vehicles. (2005). *Digest of Traffic Legislation*. Sacramento: Authors.

California Department of Motor Vehicles. (1984-87). *An evaluation of the California drunk driving countermeasure system. Volumes 1-8*. Sacramento: Author.

California Highway Patrol. (2003). *2003 annual report of fatal and injury motor vehicle traffic collisions*. Sacramento: Author.

DeYoung, D. J. (1995). *An evaluation of the effectiveness of California drinking driver programs* (Report No. 146). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

DeYoung, D. J. (1997). *An evaluation of the specific deterrent effect of vehicle impoundment on suspended, revoked and unlicensed drivers in California* (Report No. 171). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

DeYoung, D. J., Peck, R. C., & Helander, C. J. (1999). Estimating the exposure and fatal crash rates of suspended/revoked and unlicensed drivers in California. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, *29*(1), 17-23.

Helander, C. J. (1989). *Development of a California DUI management information system* (Report No. 121). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Los Angeles County Municipal Courts Planning and Research Unit. (1995, September). DUI legislation 1982-1995. *The 1995 Judicial DUI Seminar*. Presented by the Committee on Drinking Drivers of the Municipal Court Judges Association and the Los Angeles County Municipal Courts Planning and Research Unit. Oxnard, California.

Peck, R. C. (1991). The general and specific deterrent effects of DUI sanctions: A review of California's experience. *Alcohol, Drugs and Driving*, *7*(1), 13-42.

Peck, R. C. (1993, January). *California DMV's driving under the influence R&D program: Some recent findings and activities*. Paper presented at the 72nd Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Board, Session 86A. Washington DC.

Rogers, P. N. (1997). *The specific deterrent impact of California's 0.08% blood alcohol concentration limit and administrative per se license suspension laws. Volume 2 of an evaluation of the effectiveness of California's 0.08% blood alcohol concentration limit and administrative per se license suspension laws* (Report No. 167). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2005). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 211). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2004). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 206). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2003). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 198). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2002). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 191). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2001). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 188). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2000). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 185). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1999). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 179). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1998). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 169). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1997). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 165). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1996). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 159). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1995). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 145). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1994). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 143). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1992). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 134). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., Marowitz, L. A., DeYoung, D. J., & Helander, C. J. (1993). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 138). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Oulad Daoud, S. (2007). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 222). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Oulad Daoud, S. (2006). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 220). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Peck, R. C. (1986). *An evaluation of the specific deterrent effects of alternative sanctions for first and repeat DUI offenders: Vol. 3 of an evaluation of the California drunk driving countermeasure system* (Report No. 95). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

GLOSSARY

ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE (APS)

Administrative per se ("on-the-spot") license suspension or revocation occurs immediately pursuant to lawful arrest of a person driving with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of 0.08% or more, or one who refuses a chemical test. Upon arrest, the driver's license is immediately confiscated by the law enforcement officer and an order of suspension or revocation served. The driver is issued a temporary license and allowed due process through administrative review. In July 1990, California became the 28th state to implement APS. In January 1994, California enacted a "zero tolerance" statute which requires the administrative suspension of any driver under age 21 with a BAC of 0.01% or greater, or who refuses to be tested.

ALCOHOL-INVOLVED CRASH

Alcohol-involved crashes are those in which the investigating law enforcement officer indicates on the crash report that the driver "had been drinking (HBD)." Crashes involving drivers who are determined to be under the influence of drugs other than alcohol (typically less than 1% of all crashes) are also included in the alcohol-involved crash category.

ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS DRIVING

Commonly called a "wet" reckless, alcohol-related reckless driving refers to an arrest/conviction incident which originated as a DUI arrest. DUI arrests involving drugs which are reduced to reckless driving are also referred to as alcohol-involved or "wet" reckless driving. "Wet" reckless convictions count as priors for the purposes of enhanced penalties upon subsequent conviction of DUI.

ALPHA

Alpha is the investigator's acceptable risk or probability level of making a Type 1 error (generally chosen to be small–e.g., .01, .05). There is always some risk of a Type 1 error, so alpha cannot be zero. Alpha is also called the significance level, because it is the criterion for claiming statistical significance.

BAC

Blood alcohol concentration, or BAC, is a measure of the percent, by weight, of alcohol in a person's blood. Statutorily, BAC is based upon grams of alcohol per 100 milliliters of blood or per 210 liters of breath.

CONVICTION

Conviction of an offense, as used in this report, refers to the receipt by DMV of a court abstract of conviction. In a small proportion of cases, an offender may be convicted of an offense but that conviction is not reported to DMV. Such cases would functionally be treated by DMV as though the offender had not been convicted. Because convictions can be amended, corrected, dismissed or simply not reported at all, the conviction totals reported herein are dynamic and subject to change.

COVARIATE

A variable used to statistically adjust the results of an analysis for differences (on that variable) existing among subjects prior to the comparison of treatment effects.

DUI

DUI is an acronym for "driving under the influence" of alcohol and/or drugs, a violation of Sections 23152, 23153, 23140, of the California Vehicle Code, Penal Codes 191.5a, b, 192.3c, d, US Codes J36FR46, J36423, and out of state DUI codes.

DUI CONVICTION RATE

Percent of DUI convictions divided by the number of DUI arrests (based on year of arrest).

LOGISTIC REGRESSION

Logistic regression analysis is a statistical procedure evaluating the linear relationship between various factors and the occurrence or nonoccurrence of an outcome event. In this study, the procedure was used to explain the relationship between the various sanctions and the proportion of DUI offenders who incurred crashes and/or DUI incidents.

MAJOR CONVICTION

Major convictions include primarily DUI convictions, but also reckless driving and hit-and-run convictions.

MEAN

Arithmetic average computed by adding up all the values and dividing them by the number of values.

MEDIAN

The median is the midpoint in a set of values arranged from lowest to highest, so that half of the values are below and half are above.

p

p stands for probability. For example, if $p < .05$, the probability is less than 5 chances in 100 that the difference found is by chance alone.

QUASI-EXPERIMENTAL DESIGNS

Quasi-experimental designs refer to analyses where the comparison groups are not equivalent on characteristics other than the treatment conditions because random assignment was not used. Caution should be excercised when interpreting the results because of possible confounding of group bias with treatment effects. Covariates are used to statistically reduce group differences prior to the comparison of treatment effects.

STATISTICAL SIGNIFICANCE

If the result of a statistical test is significant, this means that the difference found is very unlikely by chance alone.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

Assembly Bill No. 757

CHAPTER 450

An act to add Section 1821 to the Vehicle Code. relating to driving offenses.

(Approved by Governor September 14, 1989. Filed with Secretary of State September 15, 1989.)

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 757, Friedman. Driving offenses: intervention programs: evaluation.

Under existing law, the Department of Motor Vehicles maintains records of driver's offenses reported by the courts. Including violations of the prohibitions against driving while under the influence of an alcoholic beverage, any drug, or both, driving with an excessive blood-alcohol concentration, or driving while addicted to any drug.

This bill would, additionally, require the department to establish and maintain a data and monitoring system, as specified, to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of those violations relating to alcohol and drugs, and to report thereon annually to the Legislature.

The bill would declare legislative findings.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. The Legislature finds and declares as follows:

(a) Drivers under the influence of drugs or alcohol continue to present a grave danger to the citizens of this state.

(b) The Legislature has taken stern action to deter this crime and punish its offenders and has provided a range of sanctions available to the courts to use at their discretion.

(c) No system exists to monitor and evaluate the efficacy of these measures or to determine the achievement of the Legislature's goals.

(d) This lack of accurate and up-to-date comprehensive statistics hampers the ability of the Legislature to make informed and timely policy decisions.

(e) It is essential that the Legislature acquire this information, from available resources, as soon as practicable, and that this information be updated and transmitted annually to the Legislature.

SEC. 2. Section 1821 is added to the Vehicle Code, to read:

1821: The department shall establish and maintain a data and monitoring system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of violations of Section 23152 or 23153.

The system may include a recidivism tracking system. The recidivism tracking system may include, but not be limited to, jail sentencing, license restriction, license suspension. Level I (first offender) and II (multiple offender) alcohol and drug education and treatment program assignment, alcohol and drug education treatment program readmission

and dropout rates, adjudicating court, length of jail term, actual jail or alternative sentence served, type of treatment program assigned, actual program compliance status, subsequent accidents related to driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs, and subsequent convictions of violations of Section 23152 or 23153.

The department shall submit an annual report of its evaluations to the Legislature. The evaluations shall include a ranking of the relative efficacy of criminal penalties, other sanctions, and intervention programs and the various combinations thereof.

O

APPENDIX B

TABLE B1: 2006 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE		197248	161581	81.9	35667	18.1	79644	40.4	90296	45.8	13734	7.0	13574	6.9
ALAMEDA	UNDER 18	41	23	56.1	18	43.9	17	41.5	16	39.0	1	2.4	7	17.1
	18-20	558	449	80.5	109	19.5	179	32.1	227	40.7	74	13.3	78	14.0
	21-30	3249	2576	79.3	673	20.7	875	26.9	1334	41.1	585	18.0	455	14.0
	31-40	1650	1345	81.5	305	18.5	438	26.5	640	38.8	404	24.5	168	10.2
	41-50	1173	916	78.1	257	21.9	518	44.2	273	23.3	278	23.7	104	8.9
	51-60	450	376	83.6	74	16.4	213	47.3	84	18.7	107	23.8	46	10.2
	61-70	108	96	88.9	12	11.1	64	59.3	10	9.3	24	22.2	10	9.3
	71 & ABOVE	24	20	83.3	4	16.7	13	54.2	3	12.5	7	29.2	1	4.2
	TOTAL	7253	5801	80.0	1452	20.0	2317	31.9	2587	35.7	1480	20.4	869	12.0
ALPINE	21-30	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	31-40	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	5	62.5	2	25.0	0	0.0	1	12.5
	41-50	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	2	50.0	0	0.0	2	50.0	0	0.0
	61-70	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	22	19	86.4	3	13.6	17	77.3	2	9.1	2	9.1	1	4.5
AMADOR	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	18	17	94.4	1	5.6	13	72.2	4	22.2	0	0.0	1	5.6
	21-30	93	77	82.8	16	17.2	70	75.3	18	19.4	1	1.1	4	4.3
	31-40	64	52	81.3	12	18.8	50	78.1	9	14.1	2	3.1	3	4.7
	41-50	108	73	67.6	35	32.4	95	88.0	7	6.5	1	0.9	5	4.6
	51-60	42	31	73.8	11	26.2	31	73.8	5	11.9	2	4.8	4	9.5
	61-70	19	17	89.5	2	10.5	15	78.9	2	10.5	0	0.0	2	10.5
	71 & ABOVE	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	352	274	77.8	78	22.2	282	80.1	45	12.8	6	1.7	19	5.4
BUTTE	UNDER 18	21	17	81.0	4	19.0	16	76.2	3	14.3	1	4.8	1	4.8
	18-20	204	163	79.9	41	20.1	164	80.4	27	13.2	4	2.0	9	4.4
	21-30	687	592	86.2	95	13.8	516	75.1	122	17.8	21	3.1	28	4.1
	31-40	279	230	82.4	49	17.6	219	78.5	48	17.2	7	2.5	5	1.8
	41-50	269	199	74.0	70	26.0	228	84.8	24	8.9	9	3.3	8	3.0
	51-60	136	101	74.3	35	25.7	118	86.8	10	7.4	4	2.9	4	2.9
	61-70	39	33	84.6	6	15.4	35	89.7	3	7.7	0	0.0	1	2.6
	71 & ABOVE	12	11	91.7	1	8.3	9	75.0	2	16.7	1	8.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1647	1346	81.7	301	18.3	1305	79.2	239	14.5	47	2.9	56	3.4

TABLE B1: 2006 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
CALAVERAS	UNDER 18	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	17	14	82.4	3	17.6	15	88.2	1	5.9	1	5.9	0	0.0
	21-30	102	85	83.3	17	16.7	88	86.3	14	13.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	31-40	61	41	67.2	20	32.8	51	83.6	10	16.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
	41-50	70	44	62.9	26	37.1	67	95.7	0	0.0	1	1.4	2	2.9
	51-60	53	37	69.8	16	30.2	48	90.6	4	7.5	1	1.9	0	0.0
	61-70	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	6	85.7	1	14.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	319	235	73.7	84	26.3	283	88.7	31	9.7	3	0.9	2	0.6
COLUSA	UNDER 18	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	19	16	84.2	3	15.8	6	31.6	12	63.2	0	0.0	1	5.3
	21-30	97	86	88.7	11	11.3	30	30.9	59	60.8	2	2.1	6	6.2
	31-40	54	47	87.0	7	13.0	20	37.0	27	50.0	1	1.9	6	11.1
	41-50	49	39	79.6	10	20.4	27	55.1	21	42.9	1	2.0	0	0.0
	51-60	29	25	86.2	4	13.8	18	62.1	8	27.6	2	6.9	1	3.4
	61-70	11	10	90.9	1	9.1	5	45.5	5	45.5	0	0.0	1	9.1
	71 & ABOVE	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	268	232	86.6	36	13.4	107	39.9	140	52.2	6	2.2	15	5.6
CONTRA COSTA	UNDER 18	53	44	83.0	9	17.0	33	62.3	15	28.3	1	1.9	4	7.5
	18-20	330	265	80.3	65	19.7	167	50.6	113	34.2	17	5.2	33	10.0
	21-30	1694	1356	80.0	338	20.0	699	41.3	626	37.0	194	11.5	175	10.3
	31-40	860	703	81.7	157	18.3	309	35.9	302	35.1	184	21.4	65	7.6
	41-50	660	478	72.4	182	27.6	389	58.9	112	17.0	108	16.4	51	7.7
	51-60	328	268	81.7	60	18.3	203	61.9	43	13.1	58	17.7	24	7.3
	61-70	67	60	89.6	7	10.4	49	73.1	8	11.9	6	9.0	4	6.0
	71 & ABOVE	12	11	91.7	1	8.3	10	83.3	0	0.0	2	16.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	4004	3185	79.5	819	20.5	1859	46.4	1219	30.4	570	14.2	356	8.9
DEL NORTE	UNDER 18	4	2	50.0	2	50.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	28	21	75.0	7	25.0	23	82.1	3	10.7	1	3.6	1	3.6
	21-30	91	75	82.4	16	17.6	75	82.4	9	9.9	0	0.0	7	7.7
	31-40	49	35	71.4	14	28.6	41	83.7	4	8.2	0	0.0	4	8.2
	41-50	59	40	67.8	19	32.2	55	93.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	6.8
	51-60	26	20	76.9	6	23.1	24	92.3	2	7.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	10	9	90.0	1	10.0	9	90.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	10.0
	71 & ABOVE	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	272	207	76.1	65	23.9	236	86.8	18	6.6	1	0.4	17	6.3

TABLE B1: 2006 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
EL DORADO	UNDER 18	18	13	72.2	5	27.8	16	88.9	1	5.6	0	0.0	1	5.6
	18-20	126	102	81.0	24	19.0	102	81.0	20	15.9	1	0.8	3	2.4
	21-30	473	377	79.7	96	20.3	383	81.0	76	16.1	2	0.4	12	2.5
	31-40	317	264	83.3	53	16.7	259	81.7	47	14.8	3	0.9	8	2.5
	41-50	291	198	68.0	93	32.0	264	90.7	16	5.5	5	1.7	6	2.1
	51-60	155	121	78.1	34	21.9	144	92.9	8	5.2	0	0.0	3	1.9
	61-70	25	20	80.0	5	20.0	24	96.0	1	4.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	6	4	66.7	2	33.3	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1411	1099	77.9	312	22.1	1198	84.9	169	12.0	11	0.8	33	2.3
FRESNO	UNDER 18	74	66	89.2	8	10.8	21	28.4	50	67.6	0	0.0	3	4.1
	18-20	750	643	85.7	107	14.3	172	22.9	517	68.9	27	3.6	34	4.5
	21-30	3444	3003	87.2	441	12.8	705	20.5	2450	71.1	121	3.5	168	4.9
	31-40	1791	1560	87.1	231	12.9	333	18.6	1288	71.9	93	5.2	77	4.3
	41-50	1124	901	80.2	223	19.8	345	30.7	668	59.4	81	7.2	30	2.7
	51-60	520	456	87.7	64	12.3	195	37.5	255	49.0	49	9.4	21	4.0
	61-70	99	86	86.9	13	13.1	46	46.5	40	40.4	7	7.1	6	6.1
	71 & ABOVE	24	21	87.5	3	12.5	15	62.5	6	25.0	1	4.2	2	8.3
	TOTAL	7826	6736	86.1	1090	13.9	1832	23.4	5274	67.4	379	4.8	341	4.4
GLENN	UNDER 18	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	60	51	85.0	9	15.0	26	43.3	31	51.7	1	1.7	2	3.3
	21-30	179	163	91.1	16	8.9	76	42.5	96	53.6	1	0.6	6	3.4
	31-40	132	115	87.1	17	12.9	50	37.9	77	58.3	0	0.0	5	3.8
	41-50	92	73	79.3	19	20.7	69	75.0	19	20.7	0	0.0	4	4.3
	51-60	35	28	80.0	7	20.0	30	85.7	5	14.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	4	57.1	1	14.3	1	14.3	1	14.3
	71 & ABOVE	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	4	80.0	1	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	512	442	86.3	70	13.7	260	50.8	231	45.1	3	0.6	18	3.5
HUMBOLDT	UNDER 18	13	10	76.9	3	23.1	8	61.5	1	7.7	1	7.7	3	23.1
	18-20	116	85	73.3	31	26.7	96	82.8	10	8.6	2	1.7	8	6.9
	21-30	444	335	75.5	109	24.5	363	81.8	21	4.7	14	3.2	46	10.4
	31-40	246	186	75.6	60	24.4	203	82.5	10	4.1	8	3.3	25	10.2
	41-50	204	155	76.0	49	24.0	172	84.3	9	4.4	0	0.0	23	11.3
	51-60	109	87	79.8	22	20.2	100	91.7	3	2.8	0	0.0	6	5.5
	61-70	27	21	77.8	6	22.2	23	85.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	14.8
	71 & ABOVE	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1164	883	75.9	281	24.1	970	83.3	54	4.6	25	2.1	115	9.9

TABLE B1: 2006 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
IMPERIAL	UNDER 18	13	11	84.6	2	15.4	4	30.8	9	69.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	165	130	78.8	35	21.2	55	33.3	100	60.6	2	1.2	8	4.8
	21-30	521	435	83.5	86	16.5	107	20.5	366	70.2	18	3.5	30	5.8
	31-40	318	276	86.8	42	13.2	65	20.4	224	70.4	6	1.9	23	7.2
	41-50	197	173	87.8	24	12.2	56	28.4	133	67.5	1	0.5	7	3.6
	51-60	115	110	95.7	5	4.3	32	27.8	78	67.8	2	1.7	3	2.6
	61-70	30	27	90.0	3	10.0	13	43.3	15	50.0	0	0.0	2	6.7
	71 & ABOVE	12	12	100.0	0	0.0	8	66.7	4	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1371	1174	85.6	197	14.4	340	24.8	929	67.8	29	2.1	73	5.3
INYO	UNDER 18	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	25	12	48.0	13	52.0	10	40.0	9	36.0	0	0.0	6	24.0
	21-30	99	80	80.8	19	19.2	52	52.5	16	16.2	0	0.0	31	31.3
	31-40	54	43	79.6	11	20.4	28	51.9	9	16.7	0	0.0	17	31.5
	41-50	89	63	70.8	26	29.2	71	79.8	12	13.5	1	1.1	5	5.6
	51-60	43	36	83.7	7	16.3	31	72.1	4	9.3	0	0.0	8	18.6
	61-70	14	12	85.7	2	14.3	5	35.7	3	21.4	0	0.0	6	42.9
	71 & ABOVE	7	7	100.0	0	0.0	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	334	256	76.6	78	23.4	207	62.0	53	15.9	1	0.3	73	21.9
KERN	UNDER 18	45	37	82.2	8	17.8	22	48.9	22	48.9	1	2.2	0	0.0
	18-20	455	388	85.3	67	14.7	160	35.2	278	61.1	7	1.5	10	2.2
	21-30	2265	1934	85.4	331	14.6	733	32.4	1370	60.5	121	5.3	41	1.8
	31-40	1115	941	84.4	174	15.6	366	32.8	635	57.0	88	7.9	26	2.3
	41-50	918	713	77.7	205	22.3	461	50.2	372	40.5	67	7.3	18	2.0
	51-60	322	275	85.4	47	14.6	185	57.5	98	30.4	24	7.5	15	4.7
	61-70	90	82	91.1	8	8.9	61	67.8	21	23.3	6	6.7	2	2.2
	71 & ABOVE	22	21	95.5	1	4.5	14	63.6	7	31.8	1	4.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	5232	4391	83.9	841	16.1	2002	38.3	2803	53.6	315	6.0	112	2.1
KINGS	UNDER 18	18	15	83.3	3	16.7	2	11.1	12	66.7	2	11.1	2	11.1
	18-20	125	106	84.8	19	15.2	31	24.8	89	71.2	2	1.6	3	2.4
	21-30	625	523	83.7	102	16.3	179	28.6	389	62.2	40	6.4	17	2.7
	31-40	271	223	82.3	48	17.7	74	27.3	174	64.2	17	6.3	6	2.2
	41-50	169	144	85.2	25	14.8	68	40.2	77	45.6	17	10.1	7	4.1
	51-60	68	59	86.8	9	13.2	26	38.2	38	55.9	2	2.9	2	2.9
	61-70	20	20	100.0	0	0.0	8	40.0	10	50.0	2	10.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1297	1091	84.1	206	15.9	388	29.9	789	60.8	83	6.4	37	2.9

TABLE B1: 2006 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
LAKE	UNDER 18	11	10	90.9	1	9.1	8	72.7	1	9.1	1	9.1	1	9.1
	18-20	42	38	90.5	4	9.5	27	64.3	10	23.8	1	2.4	4	9.5
	21-30	135	110	81.5	25	18.5	85	63.0	37	27.4	2	1.5	11	8.1
	31-40	102	81	79.4	21	20.6	73	71.6	19	18.6	5	4.9	5	4.9
	41-50	156	118	75.6	38	24.4	131	84.0	16	10.3	4	2.6	5	3.2
	51-60	63	44	69.8	19	30.2	52	82.5	5	7.9	4	6.3	2	3.2
	61-70	16	15	93.8	1	6.3	14	87.5	2	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	10	8	80.0	2	20.0	10	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	535	424	79.3	111	20.7	400	74.8	90	16.8	17	3.2	28	5.2
LASSEN	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	22	12	54.5	10	45.5	16	72.7	3	13.6	0	0.0	3	13.6
	21-30	66	57	86.4	9	13.6	44	66.7	16	24.2	0	0.0	6	9.1
	31-40	51	37	72.5	14	27.5	40	78.4	7	13.7	1	2.0	3	5.9
	41-50	72	56	77.8	16	22.2	61	84.7	5	6.9	0	0.0	6	8.3
	51-60	31	25	80.6	6	19.4	28	90.3	1	3.2	1	3.2	1	3.2
	61-70	12	12	100.0	0	0.0	11	91.7	1	8.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	7	7	100.0	0	0.0	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	262	207	79.0	55	21.0	208	79.4	33	12.6	2	0.8	19	7.3
LOS ANGELES	UNDER 18	169	134	79.3	35	20.7	70	41.4	82	48.5	4	2.4	13	7.7
	18-20	2611	2072	79.4	539	20.6	721	27.6	1575	60.3	127	4.9	188	7.2
	21-30	17140	13820	80.6	3320	19.4	3934	23.0	10203	59.5	1228	7.2	1775	10.4
	31-40	9987	8440	84.5	1547	15.5	2030	20.3	6032	60.4	1103	11.0	822	8.2
	41-50	6359	5344	84.0	1015	16.0	1743	27.4	3249	51.1	906	14.2	461	7.2
	51-60	2535	2191	86.4	344	13.6	835	32.9	1060	41.8	423	16.7	217	8.6
	61-70	601	526	87.5	75	12.5	250	41.6	177	29.5	121	20.1	53	8.8
	71 & ABOVE	116	97	83.6	19	16.4	56	48.3	27	23.3	22	19.0	11	9.5
	TOTAL	39518	32624	82.6	6894	17.4	9639	24.4	22405	56.7	3934	10.0	3540	9.0
MADERA	UNDER 18	13	13	100.0	0	0.0	2	15.4	11	84.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	103	94	91.3	9	8.7	17	16.5	82	79.6	1	1.0	3	2.9
	21-30	455	426	93.6	29	6.4	83	18.2	357	78.5	7	1.5	8	1.8
	31-40	252	230	91.3	22	8.7	62	24.6	184	73.0	2	0.8	4	1.6
	41-50	188	156	83.0	32	17.0	83	44.1	96	51.1	3	1.6	6	3.2
	51-60	69	55	79.7	14	20.3	29	42.0	32	46.4	3	4.3	5	7.2
	61-70	19	17	89.5	2	10.5	12	63.2	6	31.6	0	0.0	1	5.3
	71 & ABOVE	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	2	40.0	2	40.0	1	20.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1104	995	90.1	109	9.9	290	26.3	770	69.7	17	1.5	27	2.4

TABLE B1: 2006 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
MARIN	UNDER 18	21	19	90.5	2	9.5	14	66.7	5	23.8	1	4.8	1	4.8
	18-20	114	87	76.3	27	23.7	66	57.9	35	30.7	3	2.6	10	8.8
	21-30	577	472	81.8	105	18.2	252	43.7	252	43.7	25	4.3	48	8.3
	31-40	366	281	76.8	85	23.2	218	59.6	111	30.3	15	4.1	22	6.0
	41-50	277	184	66.4	93	33.6	213	76.9	33	11.9	9	3.2	22	7.9
	51-60	169	115	68.0	54	32.0	153	90.5	9	5.3	3	1.8	4	2.4
	61-70	45	36	80.0	9	20.0	38	84.4	2	4.4	1	2.2	4	8.9
	71 & ABOVE	14	11	78.6	3	21.4	13	92.9	1	7.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1583	1205	76.1	378	23.9	967	61.1	448	28.3	57	3.6	111	7.0
MARIPOSA	18-20	12	10	83.3	2	16.7	11	91.7	1	8.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	46	40	87.0	6	13.0	35	76.1	8	17.4	0	0.0	3	6.5
	31-40	23	18	78.3	5	21.7	18	78.3	3	13.0	0	0.0	2	8.7
	41-50	48	32	66.7	16	33.3	45	93.8	1	2.1	0	0.0	2	4.2
	51-60	26	19	73.1	7	26.9	24	92.3	2	7.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	5	83.3	1	16.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	161	124	77.0	37	23.0	138	85.7	16	9.9	0	0.0	7	4.3
MENDOCINO	UNDER 18	14	9	64.3	5	35.7	9	64.3	3	21.4	0	0.0	2	14.3
	18-20	84	68	81.0	16	19.0	56	66.7	24	28.6	1	1.2	3	3.6
	21-30	399	313	78.4	86	21.6	252	63.2	106	26.6	10	2.5	31	7.8
	31-40	241	183	75.9	58	24.1	160	66.4	58	24.1	3	1.2	20	8.3
	41-50	196	144	73.5	52	26.5	148	75.5	28	14.3	5	2.6	15	7.7
	51-60	110	87	79.1	23	20.9	93	84.5	10	9.1	1	0.9	6	5.5
	61-70	31	25	80.6	6	19.4	26	83.9	3	9.7	1	3.2	1	3.2
	71 & ABOVE	12	12	100.0	0	0.0	11	91.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	8.3
	TOTAL	1087	841	77.4	246	22.6	755	69.5	232	21.3	21	1.9	79	7.3
MERCED	UNDER 18	16	11	68.8	5	31.3	2	12.5	13	81.3	0	0.0	1	6.3
	18-20	205	182	88.8	23	11.2	54	26.3	135	65.9	5	2.4	11	5.4
	21-30	868	785	90.4	83	9.6	186	21.4	622	71.7	18	2.1	42	4.8
	31-40	470	402	85.5	68	14.5	117	24.9	316	67.2	22	4.7	15	3.2
	41-50	267	225	84.3	42	15.7	86	32.2	148	55.4	19	7.1	14	5.2
	51-60	120	98	81.7	22	18.3	56	46.7	52	43.3	9	7.5	3	2.5
	61-70	33	30	90.9	3	9.1	14	42.4	15	45.5	2	6.1	2	6.1
	71 & ABOVE	9	9	100.0	0	0.0	4	44.4	3	33.3	1	11.1	1	11.1
	TOTAL	1988	1742	87.6	246	12.4	519	26.1	1304	65.6	76	3.8	89	4.5

TABLE B1: 2006 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
MODOC	18-20	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	4	50.0	3	37.5	0	0.0	1	12.5
	21-30	21	15	71.4	6	28.6	15	71.4	4	19.0	0	0.0	2	9.5
	31-40	23	16	69.6	7	30.4	18	78.3	2	8.7	0	0.0	3	13.0
	41-50	26	21	80.8	5	19.2	21	80.8	4	15.4	1	3.8	0	0.0
	51-60	13	10	76.9	3	23.1	12	92.3	1	7.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	98	76	77.6	22	22.4	77	78.6	14	14.3	1	1.0	6	6.1
MONO	UNDER 18	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	2	66.7	1	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	7	5	71.4	2	28.6	4	57.1	1	14.3	0	0.0	2	28.6
	21-30	58	53	91.4	5	8.6	43	74.1	15	25.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
	31-40	34	28	82.4	6	17.6	28	82.4	5	14.7	0	0.0	1	2.9
	41-50	23	16	69.6	7	30.4	22	95.7	0	0.0	1	4.3	0	0.0
	51-60	16	13	81.3	3	18.8	12	75.0	2	12.5	0	0.0	2	12.5
	61-70	6	6	100.0	0	0.0	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	149	126	84.6	23	15.4	119	79.9	24	16.1	1	0.7	5	3.4
MONTEREY	UNDER 18	34	27	79.4	7	20.6	12	35.3	20	58.8	1	2.9	1	2.9
	18-20	287	257	89.5	30	10.5	68	23.7	214	74.6	3	1.0	2	0.7
	21-30	1406	1258	89.5	148	10.5	271	19.3	1055	75.0	40	2.8	40	2.8
	31-40	673	574	85.3	99	14.7	152	22.6	482	71.6	19	2.8	20	3.0
	41-50	377	296	78.5	81	21.5	183	48.5	170	45.1	15	4.0	9	2.4
	51-60	207	164	79.2	43	20.8	119	57.5	66	31.9	13	6.3	9	4.3
	61-70	51	38	74.5	13	25.5	36	70.6	6	11.8	6	11.8	3	5.9
	71 & ABOVE	17	15	88.2	2	11.8	14	82.4	1	5.9	1	5.9	1	5.9
	TOTAL	3052	2629	86.1	423	13.9	855	28.0	2014	66.0	98	3.2	85	2.8
NAPA	UNDER 18	9	7	77.8	2	22.2	4	44.4	4	44.4	1	11.1	0	0.0
	18-20	101	87	86.1	14	13.9	47	46.5	51	50.5	1	1.0	2	2.0
	21-30	417	348	83.5	69	16.5	174	41.7	216	51.8	9	2.2	18	4.3
	31-40	239	201	84.1	38	15.9	117	49.0	104	43.5	4	1.7	14	5.9
	41-50	165	129	78.2	36	21.8	107	64.8	40	24.2	9	5.5	9	5.5
	51-60	95	74	77.9	21	22.1	76	80.0	14	14.7	2	2.1	3	3.2
	61-70	25	18	72.0	7	28.0	23	92.0	1	4.0	0	0.0	1	4.0
	71 & ABOVE	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	4	80.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	20.0
	TOTAL	1056	869	82.3	187	17.7	552	52.3	430	40.7	26	2.5	48	4.5

TABLE B1: 2006 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
NEVADA	UNDER 18	6	1	16.7	5	83.3	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	55	40	72.7	15	27.3	50	90.9	4	7.3	1	1.8	0	0.0
	21-30	251	202	80.5	49	19.5	196	78.1	49	19.5	1	0.4	5	2.0
	31-40	152	115	75.7	37	24.3	125	82.2	18	11.8	5	3.3	4	2.6
	41-50	169	126	74.6	43	25.4	154	91.1	11	6.5	0	0.0	4	2.4
	51-60	94	82	87.2	12	12.8	91	96.8	3	3.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	29	25	86.2	4	13.8	25	86.2	2	6.9	0	0.0	2	6.9
	71 & ABOVE	2	0	0.0	2	100.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	758	591	78.0	167	22.0	649	85.6	87	11.5	7	0.9	15	2.0
ORANGE	UNDER 18	93	73	78.5	20	21.5	59	63.4	28	30.1	3	3.2	3	3.2
	18-20	1328	1060	79.8	268	20.2	680	51.2	513	38.6	25	1.9	110	8.3
	21-30	7199	5903	82.0	1296	18.0	2968	41.2	3345	46.5	180	2.5	706	9.8
	31-40	3648	3004	82.3	644	17.7	1458	40.0	1722	47.2	113	3.1	355	9.7
	41-50	2456	1910	77.8	546	22.2	1417	57.7	755	30.7	73	3.0	211	8.6
	51-60	988	812	82.2	176	17.8	627	63.5	241	24.4	27	2.7	93	9.4
	61-70	240	189	78.8	51	21.2	179	74.6	37	15.4	4	1.7	20	8.3
	71 & ABOVE	60	46	76.7	14	23.3	43	71.7	10	16.7	2	3.3	5	8.3
	TOTAL	16012	12997	81.2	3015	18.8	7431	46.4	6651	41.5	427	2.7	1503	9.4
PLACER	UNDER 18	31	18	58.1	13	41.9	27	87.1	1	3.2	1	3.2	2	6.5
	18-20	180	133	73.9	47	26.1	145	80.6	25	13.9	5	2.8	5	2.8
	21-30	947	723	76.3	224	23.7	695	73.4	190	20.1	28	3.0	34	3.6
	31-40	505	390	77.2	115	22.8	387	76.6	78	15.4	17	3.4	23	4.6
	41-50	418	312	74.6	106	25.4	347	83.0	55	13.2	6	1.4	10	2.4
	51-60	211	165	78.2	46	21.8	184	87.2	15	7.1	4	1.9	8	3.8
	61-70	48	38	79.2	10	20.8	40	83.3	6	12.5	0	0.0	2	4.2
	71 & ABOVE	11	7	63.6	4	36.4	10	90.9	1	9.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2351	1786	76.0	565	24.0	1835	78.1	371	15.8	61	2.6	84	3.6
PLUMAS	UNDER 18	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	2	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	33.3
	18-20	25	18	72.0	7	28.0	21	84.0	2	8.0	0	0.0	2	8.0
	21-30	56	44	78.6	12	21.4	49	87.5	3	5.4	1	1.8	3	5.4
	31-40	63	49	77.8	14	22.2	55	87.3	3	4.8	1	1.6	4	6.3
	41-50	63	46	73.0	17	27.0	56	88.9	4	6.3	2	3.2	1	1.6
	51-60	42	31	73.8	11	26.2	35	83.3	2	4.8	3	7.1	2	4.8
	61-70	9	9	100.0	0	0.0	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	262	200	76.3	62	23.7	228	87.0	14	5.3	7	2.7	13	5.0

TABLE B1: 2006 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
RIVERSIDE	UNDER 18	99	80	80.8	19	19.2	42	42.4	49	49.5	4	4.0	4	4.0
	18-20	1017	870	85.5	147	14.5	374	36.8	567	55.8	36	3.5	40	3.9
	21-30	4043	3462	85.6	581	14.4	1270	31.4	2473	61.2	172	4.3	128	3.2
	31-40	2224	1919	86.3	305	13.7	676	30.4	1357	61.0	135	6.1	56	2.5
	41-50	1578	1207	76.5	371	23.5	759	48.1	654	41.4	123	7.8	42	2.7
	51-60	688	572	83.1	116	16.9	362	52.6	245	35.6	51	7.4	30	4.4
	61-70	195	163	83.6	32	16.4	124	63.6	45	23.1	19	9.7	7	3.6
	71 & ABOVE	52	46	88.5	6	11.5	37	71.2	12	23.1	1	1.9	2	3.8
	TOTAL	9896	8319	84.1	1577	15.9	3644	36.8	5402	54.6	541	5.5	309	3.1
SACRAMENTO	UNDER 18	73	58	79.5	15	20.5	42	57.5	15	20.5	9	12.3	7	9.6
	18-20	696	566	81.3	130	18.7	307	44.1	256	36.8	82	11.8	51	7.3
	21-30	3489	2753	78.9	736	21.1	1455	41.7	1217	34.9	438	12.6	379	10.9
	31-40	1682	1302	77.4	380	22.6	711	42.3	513	30.5	322	19.1	136	8.1
	41-50	1242	935	75.3	307	24.7	692	55.7	214	17.2	252	20.3	84	6.8
	51-60	502	402	80.1	100	19.9	295	58.8	73	14.5	96	19.1	38	7.6
	61-70	115	96	83.5	19	16.5	64	55.7	22	19.1	21	18.3	8	7.0
	71 & ABOVE	19	18	94.7	1	5.3	13	68.4	3	15.8	0	0.0	3	15.8
	TOTAL	7818	6130	78.4	1688	21.6	3579	45.8	2313	29.6	1220	15.6	706	9.0
SAN BENITO	UNDER 18	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	44	33	75.0	11	25.0	18	40.9	22	50.0	0	0.0	4	9.1
	21-30	154	137	89.0	17	11.0	36	23.4	112	72.7	2	1.3	4	2.6
	31-40	83	73	88.0	10	12.0	12	14.5	68	81.9	1	1.2	2	2.4
	41-50	76	64	84.2	12	15.8	34	44.7	34	44.7	1	1.3	7	9.2
	51-60	26	22	84.6	4	15.4	10	38.5	14	53.8	0	0.0	2	7.7
	61-70	10	10	100.0	0	0.0	6	60.0	4	40.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	396	342	86.4	54	13.6	117	29.5	256	64.6	4	1.0	19	4.8
SAN BERNARDINO	UNDER 18	90	71	78.9	19	21.1	45	50.0	41	45.6	1	1.1	3	3.3
	18-20	1099	934	85.0	165	15.0	402	36.6	607	55.2	54	4.9	36	3.3
	21-30	5129	4308	84.0	821	16.0	1619	31.6	2996	58.4	344	6.7	170	3.3
	31-40	2813	2360	83.9	453	16.1	814	28.9	1620	57.6	278	9.9	101	3.6
	41-50	2082	1687	81.0	395	19.0	902	43.3	880	42.3	224	10.8	76	3.7
	51-60	796	649	81.5	147	18.5	399	50.1	289	36.3	85	10.7	23	2.9
	61-70	185	168	90.8	17	9.2	110	59.5	50	27.0	15	8.1	10	5.4
	71 & ABOVE	39	33	84.6	6	15.4	28	71.8	9	23.1	2	5.1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	12233	10210	83.5	2023	16.5	4319	35.3	6492	53.1	1003	8.2	419	3.4

TABLE B1: 2006 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
SAN DIEGO	UNDER 18	154	103	66.9	51	33.1	86	55.8	58	37.7	2	1.3	8	5.2
	18-20	1632	1328	81.4	304	18.6	845	51.8	606	37.1	75	4.6	106	6.5
	21-30	8613	6874	79.8	1739	20.2	4106	47.7	3392	39.4	578	6.7	537	6.2
	31-40	3679	2981	81.0	698	19.0	1590	43.2	1614	43.9	259	7.0	216	5.9
	41-50	2602	2017	77.5	585	22.5	1514	58.2	773	29.7	191	7.3	124	4.8
	51-60	1085	885	81.6	200	18.4	689	63.5	260	24.0	71	6.5	65	6.0
	61-70	265	219	82.6	46	17.4	181	68.3	62	23.4	11	4.2	11	4.2
	71 & ABOVE	71	55	77.5	16	22.5	56	78.9	14	19.7	1	1.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	18101	14462	79.9	3639	20.1	9067	50.1	6779	37.5	1188	6.6	1067	5.9
SAN FRANCISCO	UNDER 18	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	50.0
	18-20	83	64	77.1	19	22.9	27	32.5	22	26.5	11	13.3	23	27.7
	21-30	596	450	75.5	146	24.5	226	37.9	127	21.3	88	14.8	155	26.0
	31-40	353	288	81.6	65	18.4	184	52.1	47	13.3	43	12.2	79	22.4
	41-50	190	153	80.5	37	19.5	104	54.7	20	10.5	38	20.0	28	14.7
	51-60	93	77	82.8	16	17.2	46	49.5	7	7.5	21	22.6	19	20.4
	61-70	16	13	81.3	3	18.8	11	68.8	0	0.0	4	25.0	1	6.3
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	2	66.7	0	0.0	1	33.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1336	1050	78.6	286	21.4	601	45.0	223	16.7	206	15.4	306	22.9
SAN JOAQUIN	UNDER 18	47	43	91.5	4	8.5	17	36.2	27	57.4	1	2.1	2	4.3
	18-20	414	363	87.7	51	12.3	127	30.7	244	58.9	20	4.8	23	5.6
	21-30	1951	1732	88.8	219	11.2	495	25.4	1235	63.3	107	5.5	114	5.8
	31-40	945	801	84.8	144	15.2	273	28.9	526	55.7	106	11.2	40	4.2
	41-50	687	559	81.4	128	18.6	318	46.3	263	38.3	62	9.0	44	6.4
	51-60	294	242	82.3	52	17.7	145	49.3	92	31.3	37	12.6	20	6.8
	61-70	79	73	92.4	6	7.6	49	62.0	18	22.8	6	7.6	6	7.6
	71 & ABOVE	19	16	84.2	3	15.8	8	42.1	9	47.4	1	5.3	1	5.3
	TOTAL	4436	3829	86.3	607	13.7	1432	32.3	2414	54.4	340	7.7	250	5.6
SAN LUIS OBISPO	UNDER 18	43	36	83.7	7	16.3	30	69.8	13	30.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	321	247	76.9	74	23.1	228	71.0	85	26.5	2	0.6	6	1.9
	21-30	1065	848	79.6	217	20.4	663	62.3	350	32.9	32	3.0	20	1.9
	31-40	415	341	82.2	74	17.8	267	64.3	130	31.3	9	2.2	9	2.2
	41-50	434	318	73.3	116	26.7	337	77.6	81	18.7	11	2.5	5	1.2
	51-60	217	155	71.4	62	28.6	190	87.6	17	7.8	4	1.8	6	2.8
	61-70	45	39	86.7	6	13.3	38	84.4	4	8.9	2	4.4	1	2.2
	71 & ABOVE	9	6	66.7	3	33.3	8	88.9	1	11.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2549	1990	78.1	559	21.9	1761	69.1	681	26.7	60	2.4	47	1.8

TABLE B1: 2006 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
SAN MATEO	UNDER 18	32	28	87.5	4	12.5	21	65.6	7	21.9	1	3.1	3	9.4
	18-20	264	216	81.8	48	18.2	115	43.6	113	42.8	4	1.5	32	12.1
	21-30	1568	1285	82.0	283	18.0	504	32.1	669	42.7	76	4.8	319	20.3
	31-40	755	625	82.8	130	17.2	270	35.8	305	40.4	46	6.1	134	17.7
	41-50	559	430	76.9	129	23.1	318	56.9	114	20.4	34	6.1	93	16.6
	51-60	272	237	87.1	35	12.9	176	64.7	46	16.9	15	5.5	35	12.9
	61-70	73	62	84.9	11	15.1	55	75.3	4	5.5	2	2.7	12	16.4
	71 & ABOVE	19	16	84.2	3	15.8	17	89.5	1	5.3	1	5.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	3542	2899	81.8	643	18.2	1476	41.7	1259	35.5	179	5.1	628	17.7
SANTA BARBARA	UNDER 18	24	19	79.2	5	20.8	9	37.5	15	62.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	248	209	84.3	39	15.7	105	42.3	138	55.6	2	0.8	3	1.2
	21-30	1165	943	80.9	222	19.1	474	40.7	602	51.7	23	2.0	66	5.7
	31-40	525	437	83.2	88	16.8	193	36.8	302	57.5	15	2.9	15	2.9
	41-50	450	353	78.4	97	21.6	224	49.8	193	42.9	22	4.9	11	2.4
	51-60	188	149	79.3	39	20.7	132	70.2	49	26.1	4	2.1	3	1.6
	61-70	50	43	86.0	7	14.0	38	76.0	11	22.0	0	0.0	1	2.0
	71 & ABOVE	15	13	86.7	2	13.3	10	66.7	2	13.3	3	20.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2665	2166	81.3	499	18.7	1185	44.5	1312	49.2	69	2.6	99	3.7
SANTA CLARA	UNDER 18	80	55	68.8	25	31.3	42	52.5	30	37.5	0	0.0	8	10.0
	18-20	526	431	81.9	95	18.1	168	31.9	287	54.6	16	3.0	55	10.5
	21-30	3179	2621	82.4	558	17.6	766	24.1	1811	57.0	141	4.4	461	14.5
	31-40	1440	1233	85.6	207	14.4	394	27.4	774	53.8	62	4.3	210	14.6
	41-50	967	792	81.9	175	18.1	411	42.5	345	35.7	62	6.4	149	15.4
	51-60	401	313	78.1	88	21.9	214	53.4	108	26.9	23	5.7	56	14.0
	61-70	94	82	87.2	12	12.8	56	59.6	19	20.2	9	9.6	10	10.6
	71 & ABOVE	10	10	100.0	0	0.0	6	60.0	4	40.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	6697	5537	82.7	1160	17.3	2057	30.7	3378	50.4	313	4.7	949	14.2
SANTA CRUZ	UNDER 18	25	18	72.0	7	28.0	14	56.0	10	40.0	0	0.0	1	4.0
	18-20	199	151	75.9	48	24.1	115	57.8	72	36.2	3	1.5	9	4.5
	21-30	733	546	74.5	187	25.5	426	58.1	263	35.9	16	2.2	28	3.8
	31-40	313	254	81.2	59	18.8	162	51.8	133	42.5	7	2.2	11	3.5
	41-50	258	175	67.8	83	32.2	176	68.2	70	27.1	6	2.3	6	2.3
	51-60	167	131	78.4	36	21.6	137	82.0	26	15.6	1	0.6	3	1.8
	61-70	37	30	81.1	7	18.9	34	91.9	1	2.7	1	2.7	1	2.7
	71 & ABOVE	7	4	57.1	3	42.9	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1739	1309	75.3	430	24.7	1071	61.6	575	33.1	34	2.0	59	3.4

TABLE B1: 2006 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
SHASTA	UNDER 18	15	12	80.0	3	20.0	13	86.7	0	0.0	1	6.7	1	6.7
	18-20	124	98	79.0	26	21.0	111	89.5	5	4.0	1	0.8	7	5.6
	21-30	402	327	81.3	75	18.7	334	83.1	36	9.0	7	1.7	25	6.2
	31-40	262	185	70.6	77	29.4	231	88.2	19	7.3	1	0.4	11	4.2
	41-50	295	219	74.2	76	25.8	263	89.2	18	6.1	5	1.7	9	3.1
	51-60	127	100	78.7	27	21.3	113	89.0	4	3.1	3	2.4	7	5.5
	61-70	39	31	79.5	8	20.5	35	89.7	0	0.0	2	5.1	2	5.1
	71 & ABOVE	12	11	91.7	1	8.3	12	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1276	983	77.0	293	23.0	1112	87.1	82	6.4	20	1.6	62	4.9
SIERRA	18-20	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	25	21	84.0	4	16.0	22	88.0	2	8.0	1	4.0	0	0.0
	31-40	19	14	73.7	5	26.3	16	84.2	3	15.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
	41-50	15	12	80.0	3	20.0	14	93.3	1	6.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	15	14	93.3	1	6.7	13	86.7	1	6.7	0	0.0	1	6.7
	61-70	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	100.0
	TOTAL	80	67	83.8	13	16.2	67	83.8	9	11.3	1	1.2	3	3.8
SISKIYOU	UNDER 18	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	5	62.5	2	25.0	1	12.5	0	0.0
	18-20	25	23	92.0	2	8.0	20	80.0	2	8.0	0	0.0	3	12.0
	21-30	121	95	78.5	26	21.5	97	80.2	12	9.9	3	2.5	9	7.4
	31-40	95	72	75.8	23	24.2	73	76.8	14	14.7	2	2.1	6	6.3
	41-50	123	95	77.2	28	22.8	107	87.0	8	6.5	2	1.6	6	4.9
	51-60	50	44	88.0	6	12.0	43	86.0	5	10.0	1	2.0	1	2.0
	61-70	20	17	85.0	3	15.0	19	95.0	0	0.0	1	5.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	447	358	80.1	89	19.9	369	82.6	43	9.6	10	2.2	25	5.6
SOLANO	UNDER 18	24	19	79.2	5	20.8	14	58.3	6	25.0	2	8.3	2	8.3
	18-20	184	147	79.9	37	20.1	90	48.9	58	31.5	17	9.2	19	10.3
	21-30	774	657	84.9	117	15.1	287	37.1	281	36.3	141	18.2	65	8.4
	31-40	373	309	82.8	64	17.2	133	35.7	117	31.4	90	24.1	33	8.8
	41-50	335	265	79.1	70	20.9	162	48.4	79	23.6	79	23.6	15	4.5
	51-60	181	147	81.2	34	18.8	105	58.0	29	16.0	35	19.3	12	6.6
	61-70	33	29	87.9	4	12.1	25	75.8	4	12.1	4	12.1	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	12	12	100.0	0	0.0	6	50.0	2	16.7	4	33.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1916	1585	82.7	331	17.3	822	42.9	576	30.1	372	19.4	146	7.6

TABLE B1: 2006 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
SONOMA	UNDER 18	49	36	73.5	13	26.5	32	65.3	17	34.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	327	248	75.8	79	24.2	178	54.4	129	39.4	4	1.2	16	4.9
	21-30	1452	1222	84.2	230	15.8	669	46.1	697	48.0	27	1.9	59	4.1
	31-40	695	575	82.7	120	17.3	344	49.5	300	43.2	19	2.7	32	4.6
	41-50	520	378	72.7	142	27.3	361	69.4	116	22.3	16	3.1	27	5.2
	51-60	264	195	73.9	69	26.1	209	79.2	40	15.2	4	1.5	11	4.2
	61-70	51	44	86.3	7	13.7	44	86.3	6	11.8	0	0.0	1	2.0
	71 & ABOVE	26	20	76.9	6	23.1	25	96.2	1	3.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	3384	2718	80.3	666	19.7	1862	55.0	1306	38.6	70	2.1	146	4.3
STANISLAUS	UNDER 18	38	30	78.9	8	21.1	14	36.8	20	52.6	1	2.6	3	7.9
	18-20	259	204	78.8	55	21.2	103	39.8	134	51.7	12	4.6	10	3.9
	21-30	1304	1076	82.5	228	17.5	449	34.4	752	57.7	40	3.1	63	4.8
	31-40	582	471	80.9	111	19.1	211	36.3	324	55.7	26	4.5	21	3.6
	41-50	441	351	79.6	90	20.4	215	48.8	178	40.4	24	5.4	24	5.4
	51-60	162	126	77.8	36	22.2	104	64.2	34	21.0	15	9.3	9	5.6
	61-70	51	43	84.3	8	15.7	29	56.9	17	33.3	3	5.9	2	3.9
	71 & ABOVE	9	7	77.8	2	22.2	6	66.7	2	22.2	0	0.0	1	11.1
	TOTAL	2846	2308	81.1	538	18.9	1131	39.7	1461	51.3	121	4.3	133	4.7
SUTTER	UNDER 18	7	5	71.4	2	28.6	3	42.9	4	57.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	60	53	88.3	7	11.7	32	53.3	23	38.3	0	0.0	5	8.3
	21-30	240	211	87.9	29	12.1	114	47.5	95	39.6	14	5.8	17	7.1
	31-40	135	114	84.4	21	15.6	52	38.5	65	48.1	7	5.2	11	8.1
	41-50	92	70	76.1	22	23.9	53	57.6	26	28.3	3	3.3	10	10.9
	51-60	38	34	89.5	4	10.5	25	65.8	8	21.1	2	5.3	3	7.9
	61-70	10	9	90.0	1	10.0	7	70.0	3	30.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	584	498	85.3	86	14.7	287	49.1	224	38.4	27	4.6	46	7.9
TEHAMA	UNDER 18	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	3	60.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	40.0
	18-20	43	37	86.0	6	14.0	32	74.4	10	23.3	1	2.3	0	0.0
	21-30	259	215	83.0	44	17.0	196	75.7	56	21.6	4	1.5	3	1.2
	31-40	153	111	72.5	42	27.5	124	81.0	29	19.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	41-50	159	110	69.2	49	30.8	141	88.7	16	10.1	1	0.6	1	0.6
	51-60	103	83	80.6	20	19.4	94	91.3	5	4.9	2	1.9	2	1.9
	61-70	21	12	57.1	9	42.9	19	90.5	1	4.8	1	4.8	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	748	577	77.1	171	22.9	614	82.1	117	15.6	9	1.2	8	1.1

TABLE B1: 2006 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
TRINITY	UNDER 18	4	2	50.0	2	50.0	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	5	2	40.0	3	60.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	69	61	88.4	8	11.6	68	98.6	1	1.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
	31-40	33	25	75.8	8	24.2	31	93.9	1	3.0	0	0.0	1	3.0
	41-50	50	37	74.0	13	26.0	49	98.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	2.0
	51-60	17	16	94.1	1	5.9	16	94.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	5.9
	61-70	8	4	50.0	4	50.0	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	190	151	79.5	39	20.5	183	96.3	4	2.1	0	0.0	3	1.6
TULARE	UNDER 18	48	44	91.7	4	8.3	8	16.7	37	77.1	1	2.1	2	4.2
	18-20	365	326	89.3	39	10.7	61	16.7	297	81.4	3	0.8	4	1.1
	21-30	1507	1371	91.0	136	9.0	250	16.6	1214	80.6	17	1.1	26	1.7
	31-40	801	711	88.8	90	11.2	153	19.1	624	77.9	2	0.2	22	2.7
	41-50	504	447	88.7	57	11.3	145	28.8	335	66.5	10	2.0	14	2.8
	51-60	203	179	88.2	24	11.8	72	35.5	121	59.6	5	2.5	5	2.5
	61-70	43	40	93.0	3	7.0	20	46.5	22	51.2	0	0.0	1	2.3
	71 & ABOVE	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	3	60.0	2	40.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	3476	3122	89.8	354	10.2	712	20.5	2652	76.3	38	1.1	74	2.1
TUOLUMNE	UNDER 18	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	6	85.7	1	14.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	33	25	75.8	8	24.2	31	93.9	2	6.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	127	109	85.8	18	14.2	120	94.5	5	3.9	1	0.8	1	0.8
	31-40	86	64	74.4	22	25.6	81	94.2	2	2.3	0	0.0	3	3.5
	41-50	119	78	65.5	41	34.5	117	98.3	1	0.8	0	0.0	1	0.8
	51-60	64	44	68.8	20	31.3	62	96.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	3.1
	61-70	24	22	91.7	2	8.3	24	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	463	351	75.8	112	24.2	444	95.9	11	2.4	1	0.2	7	1.5
VENTURA	UNDER 18	53	42	79.2	11	20.8	38	71.7	15	28.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	492	405	82.3	87	17.7	222	45.1	251	51.0	8	1.6	11	2.2
	21-30	2386	1945	81.5	441	18.5	1011	42.4	1256	52.6	45	1.9	74	3.1
	31-40	1093	886	81.1	207	18.9	458	41.9	564	51.6	36	3.3	35	3.2
	41-50	784	609	77.7	175	22.3	465	59.3	265	33.8	22	2.8	32	4.1
	51-60	310	247	79.7	63	20.3	214	69.0	80	25.8	8	2.6	8	2.6
	61-70	66	53	80.3	13	19.7	45	68.2	18	27.3	0	0.0	3	4.5
	71 & ABOVE	12	10	83.3	2	16.7	9	75.0	3	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	5196	4197	80.8	999	19.2	2462	47.4	2452	47.2	119	2.3	163	3.1

TABLE B1: 2006 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
YOLO	UNDER 18	22	21	95.5	1	4.5	12	54.5	8	36.4	1	4.5	1	4.5
	18-20	151	129	85.4	22	14.6	56	37.1	81	53.6	4	2.6	10	6.6
	21-30	591	506	85.6	85	14.4	231	39.1	296	50.1	17	2.9	47	8.0
	31-40	245	199	81.2	46	18.8	114	46.5	118	48.2	10	4.1	3	1.2
	41-50	167	126	75.4	41	24.6	80	47.9	68	40.7	11	6.6	8	4.8
	51-60	91	74	81.3	17	18.7	57	62.6	20	22.0	8	8.8	6	6.6
	61-70	22	21	95.5	1	4.5	15	68.2	5	22.7	0	0.0	2	9.1
	71 & ABOVE	4	2	50.0	2	50.0	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1293	1078	83.4	215	16.6	568	43.9	597	46.2	51	3.9	77	6.0
YUBA	UNDER 18	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	44	38	86.4	6	13.6	28	63.6	12	27.3	2	4.5	2	4.5
	21-30	286	240	83.9	46	16.1	147	51.4	110	38.5	12	4.2	17	5.9
	31-40	128	95	74.2	33	25.8	83	64.8	38	29.7	4	3.1	3	2.3
	41-50	148	98	66.2	50	33.8	122	82.4	18	12.2	3	2.0	5	3.4
	51-60	53	47	88.7	6	11.3	42	79.2	7	13.2	4	7.5	0	0.0
	61-70	14	12	85.7	2	14.3	10	71.4	4	28.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	2	50.0	2	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	681	538	79.0	143	21.0	437	64.2	192	28.2	25	3.7	27	4.0

TABLE B2: 2005 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE		140879	100.0	116340	82.6	24539	17.4
ALAMEDA	UNDER 18	6	0.1	6	0.1	0	0.0
	18-20	270	5.4	220	5.4	50	5.3
	21-30	2137	42.4	1729	42.2	408	43.6
	31-40	1309	26.0	1074	26.2	235	25.1
	41-50	847	16.8	684	16.7	163	17.4
	51-60	360	7.1	297	7.2	63	6.7
	61-70	85	1.7	71	1.7	14	1.5
	71 & ABOVE	23	0.5	21	0.5	2	0.2
	TOTAL	5037	100.0	4102	100.0	935	100.0
ALPINE	21-30	8	47.1	6	42.9	2	66.7
	31-40	2	11.8	1	7.1	1	33.3
	41-50	4	23.5	4	28.6	0	0.0
	51-60	3	17.6	3	21.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	17	100.0	14	100.0	3	100.0
AMADOR	18-20	12	4.5	12	5.8	0	0.0
	21-30	66	24.6	53	25.5	13	21.7
	31-40	58	21.6	36	17.3	22	36.7
	41-50	65	24.3	51	24.5	14	23.3
	51-60	51	19.0	41	19.7	10	16.7
	61-70	12	4.5	11	5.3	1	1.7
	71 & ABOVE	4	1.5	4	1.9	0	0.0
	TOTAL	268	100.0	208	100.0	60	100.0
BUTTE	UNDER 18	11	1.0	7	0.8	4	2.0
	18-20	118	10.9	86	9.8	32	15.6
	21-30	471	43.6	399	45.5	72	35.1
	31-40	187	17.3	154	17.6	33	16.1
	41-50	183	16.9	138	15.8	45	22.0
	51-60	84	7.8	69	7.9	15	7.3
	61-70	21	1.9	19	2.2	2	1.0
	71 & ABOVE	6	0.6	4	0.5	2	1.0
	TOTAL	1081	100.0	876	100.0	205	100.0
CALAVERAS	UNDER 18	1	0.5	1	0.6	0	0.0
	18-20	15	7.3	14	8.6	1	2.4
	21-30	56	27.3	46	28.2	10	23.8
	31-40	46	22.4	33	20.2	13	31.0
	41-50	54	26.3	40	24.5	14	33.3
	51-60	25	12.2	22	13.5	3	7.1
	61-70	5	2.4	4	2.5	1	2.4
	71 & ABOVE	3	1.5	3	1.8	0	0.0
	TOTAL	205	100.0	163	100.0	42	100.0
COLUSA	18-20	11	5.6	8	4.9	3	9.4
	21-30	70	35.7	60	36.6	10	31.3
	31-40	40	20.4	38	23.2	2	6.3
	41-50	43	21.9	33	20.1	10	31.3
	51-60	24	12.2	19	11.6	5	15.6
	61-70	7	3.6	5	3.0	2	6.3
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.5	1	0.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	196	100.0	164	100.0	32	100.0
CONTRA COSTA	UNDER 18	14	0.4	12	0.5	2	0.3
	18-20	228	7.2	184	7.3	44	6.5
	21-30	1255	39.4	995	39.6	260	38.6
	31-40	732	23.0	593	23.6	139	20.7
	41-50	584	18.3	438	17.4	146	21.7
	51-60	283	8.9	224	8.9	59	8.8
	61-70	75	2.4	56	2.2	19	2.8
	71 & ABOVE	17	0.5	13	0.5	4	0.6
	TOTAL	3188	100.0	2515	100.0	673	100.0

TABLE B2: 2005 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
DEL NORTE	UNDER 18	6	4.5	4	3.8	2	7.4
	18-20	4	3.0	4	3.8	0	0.0
	21-30	35	26.5	31	29.5	4	14.8
	31-40	29	22.0	22	21.0	7	25.9
	41-50	42	31.8	30	28.6	12	44.4
	51-60	11	8.3	9	8.6	2	7.4
	61-70	3	2.3	3	2.9	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	1.5	2	1.9	0	0.0
	TOTAL	132	100.0	105	100.0	27	100.0
EL DORADO	UNDER 18	4	0.4	3	0.4	1	0.4
	18-20	76	7.0	67	7.9	9	3.7
	21-30	355	32.5	295	34.7	60	24.9
	31-40	228	20.9	185	21.8	43	17.8
	41-50	265	24.3	176	20.7	89	36.9
	51-60	130	11.9	103	12.1	27	11.2
	61-70	31	2.8	20	2.4	11	4.6
	71 & ABOVE	2	0.2	1	0.1	1	0.4
	TOTAL	1091	100.0	850	100.0	241	100.0
FRESNO	UNDER 18	12	0.3	8	0.2	4	0.6
	18-20	351	7.8	297	7.8	54	7.8
	21-30	1875	41.8	1614	42.6	261	37.5
	31-40	1087	24.2	898	23.7	189	27.2
	41-50	785	17.5	643	17.0	142	20.4
	51-60	284	6.3	252	6.6	32	4.6
	61-70	83	1.9	70	1.8	13	1.9
	71 & ABOVE	9	0.2	8	0.2	1	0.1
	TOTAL	4486	100.0	3790	100.0	696	100.0
GLENN	UNDER 18	2	0.5	2	0.6	0	0.0
	18-20	26	6.9	22	6.9	4	7.0
	21-30	136	36.3	119	37.4	17	29.8
	31-40	88	23.5	76	23.9	12	21.1
	41-50	74	19.7	54	17.0	20	35.1
	51-60	38	10.1	35	11.0	3	5.3
	61-70	8	2.1	7	2.2	1	1.8
	71 & ABOVE	3	0.8	3	0.9	0	0.0
	TOTAL	375	100.0	318	100.0	57	100.0
HUMBOLDT	UNDER 18	2	0.3	2	0.4	0	0.0
	18-20	44	6.5	34	6.8	10	5.7
	21-30	283	42.0	208	41.7	75	42.9
	31-40	144	21.4	115	23.0	29	16.6
	41-50	110	16.3	73	14.6	37	21.1
	51-60	74	11.0	56	11.2	18	10.3
	61-70	14	2.1	9	1.8	5	2.9
	71 & ABOVE	3	0.4	2	0.4	1	0.6
	TOTAL	674	100.0	499	100.0	175	100.0
IMPERIAL	18-20	45	7.2	38	7.0	7	8.2
	21-30	232	37.1	202	37.4	30	35.3
	31-40	156	25.0	131	24.3	25	29.4
	41-50	117	18.7	97	18.0	20	23.5
	51-60	64	10.2	61	11.3	3	3.5
	61-70	8	1.3	8	1.5	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	0.5	3	0.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	625	100.0	540	100.0	85	100.0

TABLE B2: 2005 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
INYO	UNDER 18	1	0.6	1	0.8	0	0.0
	18-20	12	7.3	11	8.6	1	2.8
	21-30	39	23.8	28	21.9	11	30.6
	31-40	37	22.6	33	25.8	4	11.1
	41-50	41	25.0	26	20.3	15	41.7
	51-60	21	12.8	16	12.5	5	13.9
	61-70	10	6.1	10	7.8	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	1.8	3	2.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	164	100.0	128	100.0	36	100.0
KERN	UNDER 18	44	1.1	40	1.2	4	0.6
	18-20	338	8.3	292	8.5	46	7.2
	21-30	1693	41.6	1460	42.6	233	36.4
	31-40	960	23.6	814	23.8	146	22.8
	41-50	702	17.3	539	15.7	163	25.5
	51-60	257	6.3	218	6.4	39	6.1
	61-70	60	1.5	53	1.5	7	1.1
	71 & ABOVE	12	0.3	10	0.3	2	0.3
	TOTAL	4066	100.0	3426	100.0	640	100.0
KINGS	UNDER 18	10	1.4	10	1.6	0	0.0
	18-20	58	7.8	52	8.2	6	5.8
	21-30	335	45.3	291	45.8	44	42.3
	31-40	166	22.4	140	22.0	26	25.0
	41-50	116	15.7	94	14.8	22	21.2
	51-60	43	5.8	41	6.4	2	1.9
	61-70	8	1.1	6	0.9	2	1.9
	71 & ABOVE	4	0.5	2	0.3	2	1.9
	TOTAL	740	100.0	636	100.0	104	100.0
LAKE	UNDER 18	2	0.5	1	0.4	1	1.2
	18-20	30	8.2	25	9.0	5	5.9
	21-30	101	27.7	81	29.0	20	23.5
	31-40	77	21.2	58	20.8	19	22.4
	41-50	95	26.1	66	23.7	29	34.1
	51-60	44	12.1	35	12.5	9	10.6
	61-70	12	3.3	11	3.9	1	1.2
	71 & ABOVE	3	0.8	2	0.7	1	1.2
	TOTAL	364	100.0	279	100.0	85	100.0
LASSEN	UNDER 18	2	1.0	2	1.2	0	0.0
	18-20	15	7.3	14	8.2	1	2.9
	21-30	61	29.8	55	32.4	6	17.1
	31-40	51	24.9	38	22.4	13	37.1
	41-50	36	17.6	27	15.9	9	25.7
	51-60	32	15.6	26	15.3	6	17.1
	61-70	7	3.4	7	4.1	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.5	1	0.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	205	100.0	170	100.0	35	100.0
LOS ANGELES	UNDER 18	20	0.1	17	0.1	3	0.1
	18-20	1485	5.2	1235	5.1	250	5.5
	21-30	11769	41.3	9719	40.5	2050	45.5
	31-40	7746	27.2	6685	27.9	1061	23.5
	41-50	4976	17.5	4155	17.3	821	18.2
	51-60	1932	6.8	1665	6.9	267	5.9
	61-70	455	1.6	415	1.7	40	0.9
	71 & ABOVE	110	0.4	94	0.4	16	0.4
	TOTAL	28493	100.0	23985	100.0	4508	100.0

TABLE B2: 2005 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
MADERA	UNDER 18	6	0.9	6	1.0	0	0.0
	18-20	38	5.8	34	5.8	4	6.1
	21-30	260	39.7	235	39.9	25	37.9
	31-40	168	25.6	153	26.0	15	22.7
	41-50	117	17.9	103	17.5	14	21.2
	51-60	50	7.6	42	7.1	8	12.1
	61-70	12	1.8	12	2.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	0.6	4	0.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	655	100.0	589	100.0	66	100.0
MARIN	UNDER 18	10	0.8	8	0.8	2	0.6
	18-20	90	6.9	71	7.2	19	6.1
	21-30	433	33.3	351	35.5	82	26.3
	31-40	332	25.5	250	25.3	82	26.3
	41-50	250	19.2	173	17.5	77	24.7
	51-60	126	9.7	92	9.3	34	10.9
	61-70	49	3.8	37	3.7	12	3.8
	71 & ABOVE	11	0.8	7	0.7	4	1.3
	TOTAL	1301	100.0	989	100.0	312	100.0
MARIPOSA	18-20	4	3.9	3	3.6	1	5.3
	21-30	23	22.3	18	21.4	5	26.3
	31-40	26	25.2	24	28.6	2	10.5
	41-50	27	26.2	17	20.2	10	52.6
	51-60	18	17.5	17	20.2	1	5.3
	61-70	5	4.9	5	6.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	103	100.0	84	100.0	19	100.0
MENDOCINO	UNDER 18	8	1.4	7	1.5	1	1.0
	18-20	37	6.6	31	6.8	6	5.8
	21-30	201	35.9	169	37.0	32	31.1
	31-40	134	23.9	106	23.2	28	27.2
	41-50	107	19.1	80	17.5	27	26.2
	51-60	58	10.4	51	11.2	7	6.8
	61-70	13	2.3	12	2.6	1	1.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	0.4	1	0.2	1	1.0
	TOTAL	560	100.0	457	100.0	103	100.0
MERCED	UNDER 18	9	0.8	8	0.8	1	0.6
	18-20	118	10.2	108	10.9	10	6.3
	21-30	490	42.5	435	43.7	55	34.6
	31-40	276	23.9	232	23.3	44	27.7
	41-50	164	14.2	133	13.4	31	19.5
	51-60	77	6.7	62	6.2	15	9.4
	61-70	19	1.6	16	1.6	3	1.9
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.1	1	0.1	0	0.0
	total	1154	100.0	995	100.0	159	100.0
MODOC	18-20	2	3.8	2	4.9	0	0.0
	21-30	16	30.8	12	29.3	4	36.4
	31-40	10	19.2	8	19.5	2	18.2
	41-50	15	28.8	12	29.3	3	27.3
	51-60	7	13.5	6	14.6	1	9.1
	61-70	1	1.9	0	0.0	1	9.1
	71 & ABOVE	1	1.9	1	2.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	52	100.0	41	100.0	11	100.0
MONO	UNDER 18	3	2.4	3	2.8	0	0.0
	18-20	10	8.1	8	7.5	2	11.8
	21-30	36	29.3	33	31.1	3	17.6
	31-40	31	25.2	26	24.5	5	29.4
	41-50	23	18.7	20	18.9	3	17.6
	51-60	14	11.4	11	10.4	3	17.6
	61-70	6	4.9	5	4.7	1	5.9
	TOTAL	123	100.0	106	100.0	17	100.0

TABLE B2: 2005 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
MONTEREY	UNDER 18	13	0.5	10	0.4	3	1.0
	18-20	213	8.3	195	8.6	18	5.9
	21-30	1159	45.2	1058	46.9	101	32.9
	31-40	565	22.0	502	22.2	63	20.5
	41-50	388	15.1	305	13.5	83	27.0
	51-60	174	6.8	146	6.5	28	9.1
	61-70	43	1.7	38	1.7	5	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	10	0.4	4	0.2	6	2.0
	TOTAL	2565	100.0	2258	100.0	307	100.0
NAPA	UNDER 18	1	0.1	1	0.1	0	0.0
	18-20	64	7.6	54	7.6	10	7.6
	21-30	343	40.7	303	42.7	40	30.3
	31-40	192	22.8	161	22.7	31	23.5
	41-50	133	15.8	96	13.5	37	28.0
	51-60	81	9.6	71	10.0	10	7.6
	61-70	20	2.4	17	2.4	3	2.3
	71 & ABOVE	8	1.0	7	1.0	1	0.8
	TOTAL	842	100.0	710	100.0	132	100.0
NEVADA	UNDER 18	6	1.1	3	0.7	3	2.9
	18-20	41	7.3	33	7.2	8	7.8
	21-30	189	33.6	160	34.7	29	28.4
	31-40	114	20.2	93	20.2	21	20.6
	41-50	118	21.0	95	20.6	23	22.5
	51-60	75	13.3	61	13.2	14	13.7
	61-70	12	2.1	10	2.2	2	2.0
	71 & ABOVE	8	1.4	6	1.3	2	2.0
	TOTAL	563	100.0	461	100.0	102	100.0
ORANGE	UNDER 18	51	0.4	38	0.4	13	0.6
	18-20	830	6.8	631	6.4	199	8.7
	21-30	5367	44.0	4350	44.0	1017	44.2
	31-40	2940	24.1	2492	25.2	448	19.5
	41-50	2029	16.6	1598	16.1	431	18.7
	51-60	747	6.1	595	6.0	152	6.6
	61-70	178	1.5	145	1.5	33	1.4
	71 & ABOVE	54	0.4	47	0.5	7	0.3
	TOTAL	12196	100.0	9896	100.0	2300	100.0
PLACER	UNDER 18	13	0.7	12	0.9	1	0.3
	18-20	144	8.2	122	8.8	22	5.9
	21-30	719	40.9	573	41.4	146	38.9
	31-40	347	19.7	267	19.3	80	21.3
	41-50	353	20.1	257	18.6	96	25.6
	51-60	139	7.9	112	8.1	27	7.2
	61-70	29	1.6	28	2.0	1	0.3
	71 & ABOVE	14	0.8	12	0.9	2	0.5
	TOTAL	1758	100.0	1383	100.0	375	100.0
PLUMAS	UNDER 18	1	0.6	1	0.8	0	0.0
	18-20	8	4.7	7	5.4	1	2.5
	21-30	50	29.6	40	31.0	10	25.0
	31-40	39	23.1	28	21.7	11	27.5
	41-50	42	24.9	26	20.2	16	40.0
	51-60	20	11.8	18	14.0	2	5.0
	61-70	8	4.7	8	6.2	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.6	1	0.8	0	0.0
	TOTAL	169	100.0	129	100.0	40	100.0

TABLE B2: 2005 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
RIVERSIDE	UNDER 18	15	0.2	12	0.2	3	0.2
	18-20	647	9.0	547	9.2	100	8.1
	21-30	2929	40.7	2464	41.3	465	37.8
	31-40	1610	22.4	1353	22.7	257	20.9
	41-50	1335	18.6	1032	17.3	303	24.6
	51-60	484	6.7	406	6.8	78	6.3
	61-70	134	1.9	120	2.0	14	1.1
	71 & ABOVE	42	0.6	32	0.5	10	0.8
	TOTAL	7196	100.0	5966	100.0	1230	100.0
SACRAMENTO	UNDER 18	23	0.4	22	0.5	1	0.1
	18-20	406	7.6	331	7.7	75	7.1
	21-30	2384	44.5	1957	45.4	427	40.7
	31-40	1201	22.4	947	22.0	254	24.2
	41-50	893	16.7	685	15.9	208	19.8
	51-60	341	6.4	276	6.4	65	6.2
	61-70	90	1.7	75	1.7	15	1.4
	71 & ABOVE	18	0.3	14	0.3	4	0.4
	TOTAL	5356	100.0	4307	100.0	1049	100.0
SAN BENITO	UNDER 18	3	0.8	3	1.0	0	0.0
	18-20	25	6.9	20	6.5	5	9.8
	21-30	159	44.2	146	47.2	13	25.5
	31-40	80	22.2	61	19.7	19	37.3
	41-50	61	16.9	50	16.2	11	21.6
	51-60	22	6.1	20	6.5	2	3.9
	61-70	6	1.7	6	1.9	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	1.1	3	1.0	1	2.0
	TOTAL	360	100.0	309	100.0	51	100.0
SAN BERNARDINO	UNDER 18	27	0.3	22	0.3	5	0.4
	18-20	565	6.6	463	6.5	102	7.3
	21-30	3601	42.3	3048	42.9	553	39.5
	31-40	2039	24.0	1701	23.9	338	24.1
	41-50	1485	17.5	1190	16.7	295	21.1
	51-60	586	6.9	498	7.0	88	6.3
	61-70	174	2.0	155	2.2	19	1.4
	71 & ABOVE	29	0.3	29	0.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	8506	100.0	7106	100.0	1400	100.0
SAN DIEGO	UNDER 18	56	0.4	43	0.4	13	0.5
	18-20	1017	7.5	827	7.5	190	7.5
	21-30	6233	46.0	5133	46.5	1100	43.5
	31-40	3039	22.4	2496	22.6	543	21.5
	41-50	2156	15.9	1661	15.1	495	19.6
	51-60	840	6.2	685	6.2	155	6.1
	61-70	174	1.3	150	1.4	24	0.9
	71 & ABOVE	46	0.3	39	0.4	7	0.3
	TOTAL	13561	100.0	11034	100.0	2527	100.0
SAN FRANCISCO	UNDER 18	2	0.2	1	0.1	1	0.6
	18-20	36	4.2	28	4.1	8	4.7
	21-30	367	43.1	284	41.8	83	48.3
	31-40	231	27.1	188	27.7	43	25.0
	41-50	139	16.3	112	16.5	27	15.7
	51-60	61	7.2	52	7.7	9	5.2
	61-70	8	0.9	7	1.0	1	0.6
	71 & ABOVE	7	0.8	7	1.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	851	100.0	679	100.0	172	100.0

TABLE B2: 2005 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
SAN JOAQUIN	UNDER 18	15	0.5	15	0.6	0	0.0
	18-20	247	8.4	220	8.8	27	6.1
	21-30	1150	39.1	1006	40.2	144	32.7
	31-40	705	24.0	589	23.6	116	26.3
	41-50	537	18.3	429	17.2	108	24.5
	51-60	228	7.7	197	7.9	31	7.0
	61-70	56	1.9	41	1.6	15	3.4
	71 & ABOVE	4	0.1	4	0.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2942	100.0	2501	100.0	441	100.0
SAN LUIS OBISPO	UNDER 18	7	0.4	6	0.4	1	0.3
	18-20	169	9.8	131	9.6	38	10.8
	21-30	740	43.0	605	44.2	135	38.2
	31-40	314	18.2	260	19.0	54	15.3
	41-50	303	17.6	223	16.3	80	22.7
	51-60	150	8.7	111	8.1	39	11.0
	61-70	28	1.6	23	1.7	5	1.4
	71 & ABOVE	11	0.6	10	0.7	1	0.3
	TOTAL	1722	100.0	1369	100.0	353	100.0
SAN MATEO	UNDER 18	11	0.4	9	0.4	2	0.4
	18-20	137	5.5	109	5.4	28	5.9
	21-30	1079	43.2	880	43.4	199	42.2
	31-40	581	23.2	473	23.3	108	22.9
	41-50	423	16.9	334	16.5	89	18.9
	51-60	203	8.1	165	8.1	38	8.1
	61-70	50	2.0	44	2.2	6	1.3
	71 & ABOVE	16	0.6	14	0.7	2	0.4
	TOTAL	2500	100.0	2028	100.0	472	100.0
SANTA BARBARA	NDER 18	3	0.1	2	0.1	1	0.3
	18-20	214	9.6	178	9.6	36	9.4
	21-30	1009	45.0	851	45.9	158	41.0
	31-40	475	21.2	413	22.3	62	16.1
	41-50	338	15.1	253	13.6	85	22.1
	51-60	157	7.0	123	6.6	34	8.8
	61-70	34	1.5	28	1.5	6	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	10	0.4	7	0.4	3	0.8
	TOTAL	2240	100.0	1855	100.0	385	100.0
SANTA CLARA	UNDER 18	29	0.5	25	0.5	4	0.4
	18-20	402	7.3	336	7.4	66	7.1
	21-30	2532	46.1	2129	46.7	403	43.1
	31-40	1276	23.2	1092	23.9	184	19.7
	41-50	820	14.9	625	13.7	195	20.9
	51-60	333	6.1	264	5.8	69	7.4
	61-70	87	1.6	77	1.7	10	1.1
	71 & ABOVE	16	0.3	13	0.3	3	0.3
	TOTAL	5495	100.0	4561	100.0	934	100.0
SANTA CRUZ	UNDER 18	28	2.1	18	1.8	10	3.1
	18-20	108	8.2	85	8.5	23	7.2
	21-30	515	39.0	402	40.1	113	35.5
	31-40	305	23.1	244	24.3	61	19.2
	41-50	244	18.5	162	16.2	82	25.8
	51-60	98	7.4	72	7.2	26	8.2
	61-70	21	1.6	18	1.8	3	0.9
	71 & ABOVE	2	0.2	2	0.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1321	100.0	1003	100.0	318	100.0

TABLE B2: 2005 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
SHASTA	UNDER 18	6	0.7	5	0.8	1	0.5
	18-20	50	5.7	39	6.0	11	5.0
	21-30	316	36.3	245	37.7	71	32.3
	31-40	186	21.4	137	21.1	49	22.3
	41-50	184	21.1	124	19.1	60	27.3
	51-60	91	10.5	67	10.3	24	10.9
	61-70	28	3.2	25	3.8	3	1.4
	71 & ABOVE	9	1.0	8	1.2	1	0.5
	TOTAL	870	100.0	650	100.0	220	100.0
SIERRA	18-20	2	7.1	1	4.2	1	25.0
	21-30	6	21.4	5	20.8	1	25.0
	31-40	6	21.4	5	20.8	1	25.0
	41-50	7	25.0	6	25.0	1	25.0
	51-60	5	17.9	5	20.8	0	0.0
	61-70	1	3.6	1	4.2	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	3.6	1	4.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	28	100.0	24	100.0	4	100.0
SISKIYOU	UNDER 18	1	0.4	1	0.4	0	0.0
	18-20	9	3.3	6	2.7	3	6.3
	21-30	63	23.2	52	23.2	11	22.9
	31-40	73	26.8	57	25.4	16	33.3
	41-50	76	27.9	62	27.7	14	29.2
	51-60	39	14.3	36	16.1	3	6.3
	61-70	8	2.9	7	3.1	1	2.1
	71 & ABOVE	3	1.1	3	1.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	272	100.0	224	100.0	48	100.0
SOLANO	UNDER 18	11	0.7	11	0.9	0	0.0
	18-20	113	7.4	87	6.8	26	10.6
	21-30	590	38.6	512	40.0	78	31.7
	31-40	346	22.7	289	22.6	57	23.2
	41-50	306	20.0	245	19.1	61	24.8
	51-60	124	8.1	103	8.0	21	8.5
	61-70	29	1.9	27	2.1	2	0.8
	71 & ABOVE	8	0.5	7	0.5	1	0.4
	TOTAL	1527	100.0	1281	100.0	246	100.0
SONOMA	UNDER 18	24	1.0	22	1.1	2	0.5
	18-20	187	7.9	164	8.4	23	5.5
	21-30	1014	42.7	860	44.0	154	36.8
	31-40	436	18.4	355	18.2	81	19.3
	41-50	404	17.0	309	15.8	95	22.7
	51-60	226	9.5	180	9.2	46	11.0
	61-70	64	2.7	50	2.6	14	3.3
	71 & ABOVE	17	0.7	13	0.7	4	1.0
	TOTAL	2372	100.0	1953	100.0	419	100.0
STANISLAUS	UNDER 18	16	1.0	14	1.0	2	0.6
	18-20	151	9.0	119	8.8	32	10.0
	21-30	729	43.6	595	44.0	134	41.7
	31-40	361	21.6	292	21.6	69	21.5
	41-50	281	16.8	211	15.6	70	21.8
	51-60	98	5.9	88	6.5	10	3.1
	61-70	25	1.5	22	1.6	3	0.9
	71 & ABOVE	12	0.7	11	0.8	1	0.3
	TOTAL	1673	100.0	1352	100.0	321	100.0
SUTTER	UNDER 18	6	1.7	5	1.6	1	1.9
	18-20	33	9.2	25	8.2	8	15.1
	21-30	122	34.0	114	37.3	8	15.1
	31-40	76	21.2	65	21.2	11	20.8
	41-50	75	20.9	56	18.3	19	35.8
	51-60	38	10.6	33	10.8	5	9.4
	61-70	9	2.5	8	2.6	1	1.9
	TOTAL	359	100.0	306	100.0	53	100.0

TABLE B2: 2005 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
TEHAMA	UNDER 18	1	0.2	1	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	19	4.6	15	4.5	4	4.9
	21-30	135	32.8	113	34.1	22	27.2
	31-40	99	24.0	79	23.9	20	24.7
	41-50	89	21.6	67	20.2	22	27.2
	51-60	58	14.1	47	14.2	11	13.6
	61-70	9	2.2	7	2.1	2	2.5
	71 & ABOVE	2	0.5	2	0.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	412	100.0	331	100.0	81	100.0
TRINITY	21-30	16	26.2	14	29.2	2	15.4
	31-40	14	23.0	11	22.9	3	23.1
	41-50	13	21.3	7	14.6	6	46.2
	51-60	12	19.7	11	22.9	1	7.7
	61-70	5	8.2	4	8.3	1	7.7
	71 & ABOVE	1	1.6	1	2.1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	61	100.0	48	100.0	13	100.0
TULARE	UNDER 18	16	0.6	15	0.7	1	0.3
	18-20	268	10.7	238	10.8	30	10.2
	21-30	1069	42.8	945	42.9	124	42.3
	31-40	602	24.1	531	24.1	71	24.2
	41-50	359	14.4	312	14.1	47	16.0
	51-60	151	6.0	136	6.2	15	5.1
	61-70	32	1.3	27	1.2	5	1.7
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.0	1	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2498	100.0	2205	100.0	293	100.0
TUOLUMNE	UNDER 18	3	0.8	1	0.3	2	2.8
	18-20	27	6.9	25	7.8	2	2.8
	21-30	125	32.0	109	34.2	16	22.2
	31-40	66	16.9	50	15.7	16	22.2
	41-50	93	23.8	69	21.6	24	33.3
	51-60	42	10.7	34	10.7	8	11.1
	61-70	27	6.9	25	7.8	2	2.8
	71 & ABOVE	8	2.0	6	1.9	2	2.8
	TOTAL	391	100.0	319	100.0	72	100.0
VENTURA	UNDER 18	11	0.3	11	0.4	0	0.0
	18-20	284	7.8	223	7.5	61	9.4
	21-30	1651	45.6	1365	45.9	286	44.1
	31-40	781	21.6	653	21.9	128	19.7
	41-50	574	15.8	453	15.2	121	18.6
	51-60	245	6.8	199	6.7	46	7.1
	61-70	67	1.8	61	2.1	6	0.9
	71 & ABOVE	11	0.3	10	0.3	1	0.2
	TOTAL	3624	100.0	2975	100.0	649	100.0
YOLO	UNDER 18	6	0.6	6	0.7	0	0.0
	18-20	86	9.0	72	9.0	14	9.5
	21-30	446	46.8	386	48.0	60	40.5
	31-40	169	17.8	144	17.9	25	16.9
	41-50	166	17.4	134	16.7	32	21.6
	51-60	60	6.3	50	6.2	10	6.8
	61-70	14	1.5	8	1.0	6	4.1
	71 & ABOVE	5	0.5	4	0.5	1	0.7
	TOTAL	952	100.0	804	100.0	148	100.0
YUBA	UNDER 18	1	0.3	1	0.4	0	0.0
	18-20	18	5.3	16	5.6	2	3.4
	21-30	125	36.5	111	39.1	14	24.1
	31-40	72	21.1	63	22.2	9	15.5
	41-50	73	21.3	50	17.6	23	39.7
	51-60	38	11.1	31	10.9	7	12.1
	61-70	11	3.2	8	2.8	3	5.2
	71 & ABOVE	4	1.2	4	1.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	342	100.0	284	100.0	58	100.0

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2005 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSALS	MEDIAN ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)*	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
STATEWIDE	78.1%		136591	4288	14452	2890	3488	1253	73.0	13.0
ALAMEDA	69.6%	OAKLAND	62	28	0	0	3	0	134.0	53.0
		JUV OAKLAND	11	1	2	0	0	0	205.0	70.0
		ALAMEDA	142	2	23	2	11	6	47.0	2.0
		BERKELEY	1	0	0	0	1	0	332.0	46.0
		FREMONT	666	4	101	11	9	7	116.0	18.0
		PLEASANTON	1183	7	271	14	24	20	115.0	11.0
		OAKLAND	1556	16	103	52	29	9	62.0	4.0
		HAYWARD	1352	6	190	24	60	55	107.0	19.0
		TOTAL	4973	64	690	103	137	97	95.0	11.0
ALPINE	63.0%	ALPINE	16	1	7	1	1	0	42.0	17.0
		TOTAL	16	1	7	1	1	0	42.0	17.0
AMADOR	86.5%	JACKSON	261	7	35	7	4	2	76.0	14.0
		TOTAL	261	7	35	7	4	2	76.0	14.0
BUTTE	75.5%	BUTTE	1013	44	200	64	21	37	72.0	19.0
		JUV BUTTE	13	0	2	0	0	0	91.0	17.0
		CHICO	4	0	1	1	0	31	64.0	19.0
		OROVILLE	6	1	2	0	5	30	88.0	26.0
		TOTAL	1036	45	205	65	26	98	72.0	19.0
CALAVERAS	65.5%	CALAVERAS	190	14	37	1	6	3	48.0	14.0
		JUV SAN ANDREAS	1	0	0	0	0	0	56.0	42.0
		TOTAL	191	14	37	1	6	3	48.0	14.0
COLUSA	66.7%	COLUSA	0	1	1	0	0	0	97.0	83.0
		JUV COLUSA	1	0	0	0	0	1	44.0	60.0
		COLUSA OAK	191	3	47	9	1	3	63.0	7.0
		TOTAL	192	4	48	9	1	4	63.0	7.0
CONTRA COSTA	91.2%	CONTRA COSTA	27	68	1	0	3	0	191.0	48.0
		MARTINEZ	26	2	2	0	2	0	136.0	56.0
		CONCORD	716	4	74	0	7	9	108.0	8.0
		RICHMOND	675	14	103	2	14	18	103.0	19.0
		PITTSBURG	704	15	61	6	10	11	125.0	21.0
		WALNUT CREEK	928	9	196	3	12	26	129.0	10.0
		TOTAL	3076	112	437	11	48	64	118.0	14.0
DEL NORTE	40.4%	DEL NORTE	125	7	73	2	12	10	59.0	33.0
		TOTAL	125	7	73	2	12	10	59.0	33.0
EL DORADO	79.6%	SOUTH LAKE TAHOE	391	7	102	16	5	0	67.0	22.0
		PLACERVILLE	656	37	66	13	5	9	82.0	13.0
		TOTAL	1047	44	168	29	10	9	77.0	16.0

* Adjudication time is presented using median values (in days) for 2005 data.

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2005 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSALS	MEDIAN ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)*	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
FRESNO	70.2%	FRESNO	1	0	0	0	0	9	14.0	248.0
		JUV FRESNO	24	0	1	0	0	0	125.0	54.0
		FRESNO CENTRAL	3218	95	312	37	38	1	107.0	42.0
		CLOVIS	384	0	25	4	2	1	135.0	50.0
		COALINGA	110	0	38	2	5	1	114.0	11.0
		FIREBAUGH	105	1	17	4	1	0	136.0	24.0
		FOWLER	135	2	9	1	1	0	109.0	7.0
		KERMAN	51	0	4	1	1	0	126.0	21.0
		KINGSBURG	118	6	15	6	1	0	116.0	8.0
		REEDLEY	185	4	26	2	1	0	139.0	32.0
		SUP SANGER	0	1	0	0	0	0	202.0	285.0
		SELMA	45	1	7	0	1	0	111.0	7.0
		TOTAL	4376	110	454	57	51	12	112.0	40.0
GLENN	63.7%	GLENN	366	6	65	15	15	1	41.0	15.0
		JUV GLENN	3	0	0	0	0	0	318.0	183.0
		TOTAL	369	6	65	15	15	1	42.0	15.0
HUMBOLDT	54.4%	EUREKA	642	32	223	24	28	3	79.0	21.0
		TOTAL	642	32	223	24	28	3	79.0	21.0
IMPERIAL	52.9%	IMPERIAL	258	3	2	55	5	0	172.0	90.0
		BRAWLEY	106	0	22	7	1	17	216.0	70.0
		CALEXICO	13	0	0	1	0	4	368.0	170.0
		EL CENTRO	232	13	3	61	2	7	184.0	28.0
		TOTAL	609	16	27	124	8	28	192.0	63.0
INYO	75.2%	INYO	3	5	0	0	0	2	39.0	80.0
		JUV INYO	3	0	0	0	0	0	85.0	95.0
		BISHOP	149	2	47	4	2	1	71.0	8.0
		TOTAL	156	8	47	4	2	3	71.0	9.0
KERN	79.6%	KERN	1	0	0	0	0	25	244.0	62.0
		JUV KERN	51	0	1	0	2	0	73.0	21.0
		LAMONT	389	15	31	14	12	3	25.0	1.0
		BAKERSFIELD	2432	41	324	27	18	14	34.0	24.0
		DELANO	167	10	15	1	1	0	29.0	1.0
		LAKE ISABELLA	98	2	16	6	1	0	46.0	1.0
		TAFT	166	9	12	1	0	3	33.0	2.0
		SHAFTER	184	7	13	4	9	4	26.0	2.0
		MOJAVE	333	6	55	45	14	5	45.0	0.0
		RIDGECREST	154	1	30	6	6	6	44.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3975	91	497	104	63	60	34.0	19.0

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2005 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSALS	MEDIAN ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)*	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
KINGS	74.6%	JUV KINGS	13	0	0	0	0	0	101.0	1.0
		HANFORD	589	18	52	23	8	4	83.0	0.0
		AVENAL	40	2	6	0	0	0	74.0	0.0
		CORCORAN	75	2	4	2	0	0	80.0	0.0
		LEMOORE	1	0	0	0	0	2	90.0	6.0
		TOTAL	718	22	62	25	9	6	82.0	0.0
LAKE	76.5%	LAKE	197	7	18	8	3	3	192.0	40.0
		CLEARLAKE	152	8	12	8	1	2	213.0	91.0
		TOTAL	349	15	30	16	4	5	202.0	51.0
LASSEN	84.0%	LASSEN	1	0	0	0	0	0	94.0	3.0
		JUV LASSEN	3	0	0	0	0	0	142.0	3.0
		SUSANVILLE	199	2	10	11	7	1	120.0	18.0
		TOTAL	203	2	10	11	7	1	122.0	17.0
LOS ANGELES	74.3%	LOS ANGELES	118	48	2	0	1	0	108.0	12.0
		POMONA	63	20	0	0	3	1	86.0	9.0
		LANCASTER	44	24	0	0	0	0	165.0	10.0
		SAN FERNANDO	75	16	0	0	0	0	86.0	9.0
		VAN NUYS	21	5	0	0	1	0	229.0	10.0
		LONG BEACH	34	16	0	0	0	0	124.0	11.0
		COMPTON	13	5	0	0	0	0	92.0	9.0
		NORWALK	72	21	0	0	1	0	118.0	9.0
		TORRANCE	21	5	0	0	0	0	157.0	10.0
		SANTA MONICA	10	6	0	0	1	0	219.0	12.0
		JUV LOS ANGELES	9	0	0	0	0	0	81.0	248.0
		LA AIRPORT	1341	23	278	54	85	2	83.0	12.0
		ALHAMBRA	688	6	54	4	23	8	95.0	9.0
		LANCASTER	1056	6	80	8	13	11	58.0	10.0
		BEVERLY HILLS	294	2	26	13	9	3	112.0	10.0
		BURBANK	238	6	38	11	11	2	44.0	10.0
		WEST COVINA	1631	11	73	6	42	1	80.0	9.0
		COMPTON	1388	20	66	26	26	13	94.0	17.0
		DOWNEY	903	5	43	10	19	2	74.0	9.0
		EAST LOS ANGELES	1288	3	162	45	22	28	77.0	10.0
		EL MONTE	615	9	13	11	11	4	72.0	12.0
		GLENDALE	511	7	65	11	15	0	80.0	14.0
		INGLEWOOD	294	5	16	18	8	3	96.0	16.0
		LONG BEACH	1342	10	184	47	55	2	48.0	23.0
		LA METRO	5378	19	550	17	682	3	45.0	22.0
		BELLFLOWER	705	11	52	5	16	1	85.0	13.0
		SANTA CLARITA	882	12	67	43	19	1	82.0	13.0
		PASADENA	813	6	193	84	41	3	90.0	10.0

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2005 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSALS	MEDIAN ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)*	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
LOS ANGELES (cont.)		MALIBU	242	5	61	19	16	1	110.0	12.0
		POMONA	1188	18	46	6	31	11	67.0	10.0
		HUNTINGTON PARK	0	0	0	0	0	2	199.0	85.0
		SANTA MONICA	1	0	0	0	0	0	80.0	0.0
		TORRANCE	1375	18	340	72	20	4	70.0	12.0
		WHITTIER	798	12	39	11	22	8	75.0	13.0
		HOLLYWOOD	190	0	10	0	10	1	43.0	10.0
		SAN FERNANDO	1486	19	78	37	59	1	27.0	13.0
		VAN NUYS	2850	32	262	42	230	3	43.0	9.0
		AVALON	13	0	0	0	0	0	65.0	13.0
		US DIST CT LA	43	0	0	0	0	0	167.0	26.0
		TOTAL	28056	437	2798	600	1493	63	66.0	14.0
MADERA	64.5%	MADERA	32	22	0	0	1	11	161.0	30.0
		JUV MADERA	6	0	0	0	1	0	89.0	68.0
		CHOWCHILLA	452	0	75	8	3	0	185.0	15.0
		MADERA CRIM	34	0	2	0	0	6	71.0	29.0
		BASS LAKE SIERRA	105	4	9	17	3	1	164.0	97.0
		TOTAL	629	26	86	25	8	18	170.0	20.0
MARIN	83.6%	MARIN	1279	22	1	5	61	0	68.0	23.0
		TOTAL	1279	22	1	5	61	0	68.0	23.0
MARIPOSA	52.3%	MARIPOSA	82	3	10	9	5	0	69.0	21.0
		US MG YOSEMITE	18	0	6	0	0	0	75.0	86.0
		TOTAL	100	3	16	9	5	0	69.0	32.0
MENDOCINO	73.8%	MENDOCINO	3	9	0	0	0	0	49.0	63.0
		JUV MENDOCINO	8	1	0	0	0	0	107.0	37.0
		WILLITS	90	11	33	3	1	0	51.0	88.0
		UKIAH	295	5	67	16	1	3	36.0	57.0
		POINT ARENA	5	1	4	0	0	0	28.0	90.0
		LEGGETT	16	0	7	0	0	0	19.0	150.0
		COVELO	20	0	4	0	0	0	32.0	135.0
		FORT BRAGG	88	8	6	9	0	0	60.0	94.0
		TOTAL	525	35	121	28	2	3	43.0	78.0
MERCED	65.8%	MERCED PROB	15	0	1	1	0	0	160.0	13.0
		MERCED	851	27	136	10	10	19	87.0	60.0
		LOS BANOS	255	6	27	3	8	4	127.0	59.0
		TOTAL	1121	33	164	14	18	23	90.0	57.0
MODOC	72.2%	ALTURAS	49	3	6	3	1	1	60.0	13.0
		TOTAL	49	3	6	3	1	1	60.0	13.0
MONO	98.4%	BRIDGEPORT	26	0	1	2	1	0	20.0	25.0
		MAMMOTH LAKES	97	0	11	1	0	2	54.0	15.0
		TOTAL	123	0	12	3	1	2	49.0	17.0

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2005 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSALS	MEDIAN ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)*	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
MONTEREY	86.3%	MONTEREY	131	37	8	1	3	1	46.0	104.0
		JUV MONTEREY	15	1	1	0	1	0	108.0	20.0
		MARINA	3	0	0	0	13	1	89.0	119.0
		SALINAS	2017	12	149	52	17	24	24.0	42.0
		KING CITY	342	7	27	3	1	1	58.0	41.0
		TOTAL	2508	57	185	56	35	27	30.0	43.0
NAPA	85.8%	NAPA	811	31	89	5	13	6	54.0	3.0
		TOTAL	811	31	89	5	13	6	54.0	3.0
NEVADA	84.8%	NEVADA	7	14	0	0	0	0	176.0	65.0
		JUV NEVADA	6	1	1	0	0	0	79.0	48.0
		NEVADA CITY	335	2	55	7	6	5	55.0	94.0
		TRUCKEE	194	4	48	2	1	7	53.0	9.0
		TOTAL	542	21	104	9	7	12	56.0	72.0
ORANGE	89.8%	JUV ORANGE	69	4	2	1	2	0	118.0	9.0
		FULLERTON	2862	97	96	11	44	10	77.0	2.0
		WESTMINSTER	2905	69	149	16	41	15	73.0	1.0
		LAGUNA HILLS	1017	36	74	0	12	6	100.0	2.0
		NEWPORT BEACH	2861	78	257	22	24	13	101.0	2.0
		SANTA ANA	2107	91	67	11	26	8	82.0	5.0
		TOTAL	11821	375	645	61	149	52	85.0	2.0
PLACER	95.9%	JUV PLACER	20	0	0	3	0	0	137.0	5.0
		AUBURN	1481	68	83	28	9	12	74.0	14.0
		TAHOE CITY	187	2	28	2	0	0	65.0	3.0
		TOTAL	1688	70	111	33	9	12	74.0	10.0
PLUMAS	76.5%	GREENVILLE	1	0	0	0	0	0	99.0	100.0
		CHESTER	6	0	1	0	0	0	28.0	17.0
		PORTOLA	1	0	0	0	0	0	62.0	37.0
		QUINCY	158	3	29	4	0	1	64.0	16.0
		TOTAL	166	3	30	4	0	1	60.0	17.0
RIVERSIDE	82.2%	RIVERSIDE	3005	183	3	0	4	11	69.0	3.0
		INDIO	90	29	5	1	1	1	67.0	43.0
		JUV RIVERSIDE	16	0	1	0	0	0	119.0	27.0
		HEMET	4	0	1	0	0	1	62.0	88.0
		BANNING	390	3	8	5	5	5	94.0	4.0
		INDIO	1384	10	28	104	37	3	65.0	4.0
		BLYTHE	114	3	14	6	6	4	37.0	16.0
		MURRIETA	1940	25	5	38	14	2	76.0	2.0
		TEMECULA	0	0	0	0	4	0	95.0	1.0
		TOTAL	6943	253	65	189	96	27	73.0	3.0

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2005 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSALS	MEDIAN ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)*	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SACRAMENTO	74.7%	SACRAMENTO	179	133	0	0	4	0	118.0	49.0
		JUV SACRAMENTO	27	3	0	1	1	0	76.0	37.0
		SACRAMENTO TRAF	4919	83	716	20	90	12	50.0	28.0
		US DIST COURT	12	0	1	0	0	0	221.0	141.0
		TOTAL	5137	219	717	21	95	12	52.0	29.0
SAN BENITO	95.5%	SAN BENITO	341	16	19	8	5	0	136.0	24.0
		JUV SAN BENITO	3	0	0	0	0	0	138.0	53.0
		TOTAL	344	16	19	8	5	0	136.0	27.0
SAN BERNARDINO	78.7%	SAN BERNARDINO	17	72	1	0	0	48	70.0	55.0
		R CUCAMONGA	15	52	0	0	0	2	123.0	43.0
		VICTORVILLE	27	53	0	0	0	0	87.0	44.0
		BARSTOW	365	29	22	10	18	13	97.0	10.0
		JOSHUA TREE	3	20	0	0	0	3	72.0	50.0
		JUV SN BRNDNO	16	4	1	0	0	0	132.0	3.0
		JUV R CUCAMONGA	1	0	0	1	0	0	323.0	7.0
		JUV TR VCTORVLLE	3	0	0	0	0	0	78.0	34.0
		JUV VCTORVLLE	7	2	0	0	1	0	101.0	10.0
		CHINO	371	16	11	11	8	3	73.0	103.0
		REDLANDS	460	2	6	7	22	5	63.0	5.0
		SAN BRNDNO TRAF	1813	43	63	59	101	7	117.0	5.0
		FONTANA	837	63	24	17	19	1	102.0	7.0
		VICTORVILLE	1470	11	66	70	43	39	101.0	6.0
		RANCHO CUCMNGA	2083	27	11	24	28	27	85.0	8.0
		BIG BEAR LAKE	193	6	16	1	5	1	67.0	76.0
		TWIN PEAKS	85	0	1	7	0	6	46.0	5.0
		SUP NEEDLES	112	5	37	20	9	2	105.0	43.0
		JOSHUA TREE	220	3	35	13	15	0	89.0	12.0
		TOTAL	8098	408	294	240	269	157	94.0	8.0
SAN DIEGO	82.4%	SAN DIEGO	141	98	7	1	1	11	127.0	23.0
		VISTA	76	139	2	2	1	8	69.0	14.0
		JUV SAN DIEGO	78	1	0	0	1	1	130.0	22.0
		EL CAJON	2291	65	190	40	19	5	69.0	6.0
		VISTA	3951	103	799	176	29	20	51.0	8.0
		SAN DIEGO KEARNY	4838	4	567	22	75	28	89.0	7.0
		CHULA VISTA	1724	51	102	36	17	6	75.0	53.0
		US CT SAN DIEGO	1	0	0	0	0	0	531.0	3.0
		TOTAL	13100	461	1667	277	143	79	73.0	10.0
SAN FRANCISCO	62.4%	SAN FRANCISCO	3	22	2	0	0	0	280.0	48.0
		JUV SAN FRAN	1	1	0	0	0	0	81.0	50.0
		TRAF SAN FRAN	799	25	197	155	40	47	80.0	6.0
		TOTAL	803	48	199	155	40	47	82.0	6.0

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2005 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSALS	MEDIAN ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)*	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SAN JOAQUIN	74.4%	JUV SAN JOAQUIN	28	1	0	0	0	0	215.0	129.0
		LODI	415	24	42	8	11	0	42.0	6.0
		MANTECA	388	13	45	5	10	2	59.0	7.0
		TRACY	240	10	24	5	7	2	59.0	8.0
		STOCKTON	1755	68	242	26	22	6	37.0	7.0
		TOTAL	2826	116	353	44	50	10	44.0	7.0
SAN LUIS OBISPO	76.0%	SAN LUIS OBISPO	1648	74	354	37	107	15	45.0	27.0
		TOTAL	1648	74	354	37	107	15	45.0	27.0
SAN MATEO	75.5%	SAN MATEO	45	22	0	0	2	0	95.0	64.0
		JUV SAN MATEO	15	0	1	1	1	0	108.0	49.0
		SAN MATEO	0	0	0	0	5	29	92.0	113.0
		SO SN FRANCSCO	1242	13	227	9	35	1	138.0	12.0
		REDWOOD CITY	1156	7	249	7	21	7	86.0	22.0
		TOTAL	2458	42	477	17	64	37	121.0	16.0
SANTA BARBARA	89.0%	JUV SNTA BARBRA	2	2	0	0	0	0	86.0	70.0
		JUV SNTA MARIA	7	0	0	0	0	0	96.0	21.0
		SANTA BARBARA	1199	59	235	60	22	5	61.0	14.0
		SANTA MARIA	706	50	21	19	12	1	34.0	14.0
		LOMPOC	204	11	14	4	6	0	47.0	14.0
		TOTAL	2118	122	270	83	40	6	48.0	14.0
SANTA CLARA	83.0%	SANTA CLARA	135	144	0	0	3	0	117.0	49.0
		JUV SNTA CLARA	44	4	0	0	0	0	137.0	28.0
		PALO ALTO	847	13	126	10	10	0	75.0	20.0
		SAN JOSE	3624	34	458	115	59	3	69.0	3.0
		SAN JOSE TRAF	2	0	0	0	0	0	207.0	228.0
		SAN MARTIN	639	7	92	13	10	0	75.0	1.0
		US MAG SAN JOSE	2	0	0	0	0	0	126.0	20.0
		TOTAL	5293	202	676	138	82	3	73.0	5.0
SANTA CRUZ	82.3%	SANTA CRUZ	14	7	0	0	1	1	138.0	19.0
		JUV SANTA CRUZ	29	0	2	1	2	0	81.0	6.0
		TRAF SNTA CRUZ	975	13	133	13	11	0	66.0	39.0
		WATSONVILLE	281	2	16	6	4	0	40.0	34.0
		TOTAL	1299	22	151	20	18	1	61.0	34.0
SHASTA	97.6%	JUV SHASTA	7	0	1	0	0	0	150.0	62.0
		BURNEY	43	0	3	0	0	0	95.0	15.0
		REDDING	741	78	75	3	12	6	61.0	5.0
		TOTAL	792	78	79	3	12	6	65.0	6.0
SIERRA	33.7%	SIERRA	10	2	3	0	0	0	122.0	91.0
		DOWNIEVILLE	15	1	11	0	0	0	101.0	12.0
		TOTAL	25	3	14	0	0	0	105.0	30.0

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2005 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSALS	MEDIAN ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)*	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SISKIYOU	74.5%	SISKIYOU	0	1	0	0	0	0	140.0	20.0
		JUV SISKIYOU	1	0	0	0	0	0	37.0	6.0
		WEED	114	2	26	2	0	1	70.0	13.0
		YREKA	140	14	40	0	3	3	62.0	24.0
		TOTAL	255	17	66	2	3	4	66.0	17.0
SOLANO	87.5%	SOLANO	56	15	6	1	0	0	120.0	44.0
		JUV SOLANO	13	1	0	2	0	0	124.0	48.0
		FAIRFIELD	936	9	161	23	10	26	61.0	15.0
		VALLEJO	483	14	66	9	7	4	104.0	18.0
		TOTAL	1488	39	233	35	17	30	81.0	18.0
SONOMA	79.5%	SONOMA	2219	124	548	30	22	0	58.0	21.0
		JUV SONOMA	25	0	4	2	2	0	72.0	23.0
		SANTA ROSA	3	1	0	0	0	79	80.0	63.0
		TOTAL	2247	125	552	32	24	79	58.0	22.0
STANISLAUS	62.9%	STANISLAUS	1588	63	232	40	24	1	64.0	53.0
		JUV STANISLAUS	18	0	0	0	0	0	98.0	28.0
		MODESTO	2	0	0	0	1	11	92.0	1.0
		TURLOCK	2	0	0	0	0	2	56.0	4.0
		TOTAL	1610	63	232	40	25	14	64.0	52.0
SUTTER	75.9%	TRAF YUBA CITY	337	22	85	6	8	2	63.0	17.0
		TOTAL	337	22	85	6	8	2	63.0	17.0
TEHAMA	57.4%	TEHAMA	11	13	2	0	0	0	157.0	118.0
		JUV TEHAMA	1	1	0	0	0	0	19.0	21.0
		CORNING	164	0	29	0	0	0	65.0	14.0
		RED BLUFF	220	2	36	7	2	9	53.0	24.0
		TOTAL	396	16	67	7	2	9	57.0	20.0
TRINITY	36.1%	TRINITY	58	3	14	8	5	1	71.0	35.0
		TOTAL	58	3	14	8	5	1	71.0	35.0
TULARE	75.4%	JUV VISALIA	22	1	0	0	0	0	146.0	20.0
		DINUBA	277	14	5	3	2	0	44.0	56.0
		PORTERVILLE	735	13	4	20	23	2	46.0	90.0
		TULARE	988	11	26	4	27	5	48.0	67.0
		VISALIA	398	39	6	12	15	10	63.0	21.0
		TOTAL	2420	78	41	39	67	17	51.0	39.0
TUOLUMNE	86.3%	TUOLUMNE	370	21	69	1	4	1	60.0	6.0
		TOTAL	370	21	69	1	4	1	60.0	6.0
VENTURA	86.6%	VENTURA	3553	71	0	0	68	3	62.0	1.0
		TOTAL	3553	71	0	0	68	3	62.0	1.0
YOLO	74.8%	YOLO	914	38	198	18	5	4	108.0	23.0
		TOTAL	914	38	198	18	5	4	108.0	23.0

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2005 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSALS	MEDIAN ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)*	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
YUBA	61.1%	YUBA	8	11	3	0	1	0	100.0	58.0
		JUV YUBA	2	0	0	0	0	0	73.0	21.0
		MARYSVILLE	314	4	74	7	5	6	69.0	35.0
		BEALE AFB	3	0	0	0	0	0	83.0	332.0
		TOTAL	327	15	77	7	6	6	69.0	36.0

TABLE B4: 2005 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS*

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE			140879	96.6	74.6	65.0	19.3	0.2	51.2	6.1	4.3
ALAMEDA	OAKLAND	1ST DUI	1228	99.2	97.9	78.3	0.7	0.0	63.8	7.3	0.7
		2ND DUI	295	98.3	95.3	17.6	15.9	0.0	45.1	11.2	23.7
		3RD DUI	83	97.6	90.4	3.6	16.9	0.0	25.3	20.5	18.1
		4TH+ DUI	56	89.3	100.0	0.0	28.6	0.0	8.9	10.7	10.7
		TOTAL	1662	98.6	97.1	61.2	5.1	0.0	56.7	8.8	6.0
	JUV OAKLAND	1ST DUI	10	60.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	12	66.7	16.7	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0
	ALAMEDA	1ST DUI	109	100.0	94.5	80.7	0.9	0.0	73.4	4.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	28	100.0	100.0	21.4	67.9	0.0	85.7	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	71.4	0.0	28.6	14.3	0.0
		TOTAL	144	100.0	95.8	65.3	17.4	0.0	73.6	4.2	0.0
	BERKELEY	1ST DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	FREMONT	1ST DUI	479	99.8	99.6	92.1	3.5	0.0	48.9	15.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	148	100.0	99.3	16.9	70.9	0.0	49.3	14.2	0.0
		3RD DUI	40	100.0	97.5	7.5	60.0	2.5	17.5	27.5	2.5
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	670	99.9	99.4	70.0	22.2	0.1	46.9	15.7	0.1
	PLEASANTON	1ST DUI	867	99.5	99.2	94.0	1.6	0.0	43.9	5.3	0.7
		2ND DUI	267	100.0	99.3	12.7	79.4	0.0	52.1	4.1	7.5
		3RD DUI	46	100.0	100.0	4.3	76.1	0.0	23.9	41.3	32.6
		4TH+ DUI	10	100.0	100.0	10.0	90.0	0.0	30.0	20.0	30.0
		TOTAL	1190	99.7	99.2	71.6	22.7	0.0	44.9	6.6	3.7
	HAYWARD	1ST DUI	1001	99.2	98.1	78.0	2.4	0.0	54.0	4.1	1.4
		2ND DUI	266	99.6	98.5	24.8	57.5	0.0	60.5	5.6	36.8
		3RD DUI	75	97.3	97.3	6.7	64.0	1.3	37.3	37.3	52.0
		4TH+ DUI	16	87.5	100.0	18.8	43.8	0.0	18.8	25.0	25.0
		TOTAL	1358	99.0	98.2	63.0	17.1	0.1	54.0	6.5	11.4
ALPINE	ALPINE	1ST DUI	12	100.0	83.3	75.0	25.0	0.0	75.0	8.3	8.3
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	100.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	17	100.0	82.4	52.9	35.3	5.9	64.7	11.8	23.5
AMADOR	JACKSON	1ST DUI	177	98.3	93.8	72.3	3.4	0.0	49.2	10.2	5.6
		2ND DUI	61	96.7	98.4	6.6	82.0	0.0	42.6	14.8	44.3
		3RD DUI	21	76.2	85.7	9.5	57.1	0.0	9.5	47.6	66.7
		4TH+ DUI	9	33.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	11.1	22.2	33.3
		TOTAL	268	94.0	94.4	50.0	26.5	0.0	43.3	14.6	20.1
BUTTE	BUTTE	1ST DUI	693	96.1	95.4	89.0	3.2	0.0	60.5	11.3	2.3
		2ND DUI	254	94.9	97.6	19.3	71.7	0.0	56.7	5.9	4.7
		3RD DUI	84	85.7	85.7	9.5	65.5	3.6	42.9	15.5	21.4
		4TH+ DUI	26	65.4	96.2	7.7	42.3	0.0	15.4	7.7	34.6
		TOTAL	1057	94.2	95.2	64.0	25.5	0.3	57.0	10.2	5.2
	JUV BUTTE	1ST DUI	13	84.6	0.0	46.2	0.0	0.0	7.7	23.1	0.0
		TOTAL	13	84.6	0.0	46.2	0.0	0.0	7.7	23.1	0.0
	CHICO	1ST DUI	3	100.0	100.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	75.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0

*Entries represent percentages of 2005 DUI convictees receiving each sanction by county, court, and offender status.

TABLE B4: 2005 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
BUTTE (cont.)	OROVILLE	1ST DUI	6	100.0	83.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	16.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	7	100.0	85.7	85.7	14.3	0.0	71.4	14.3	0.0
CALAVERAS	CALAVERAS	1ST DUI	138	96.4	97.1	82.6	2.2	0.0	46.4	5.8	4.3
		2ND DUI	41	97.6	100.0	12.2	78.0	0.0	26.8	4.9	17.1
		3RD DUI	15	86.7	93.3	6.7	66.7	6.7	6.7	13.3	26.7
		4TH+ DUI	10	50.0	100.0	0.0	30.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0
		TOTAL	204	93.6	97.5	58.8	23.5	0.5	37.3	5.9	8.8
	JUV CALAVERAS	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
COLUSA	SUP COLUSA	4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV COLUSA	1ST DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	COLUSA	1ST DUI	124	94.4	97.6	78.2	4.0	0.0	58.1	7.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	55	94.5	98.2	18.2	63.6	0.0	60.0	5.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	11	72.7	100.0	18.2	18.2	0.0	27.3	9.1	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	25.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
		TOTAL	194	91.8	97.4	56.2	21.6	0.0	55.7	7.2	0.0
CONTRA COSTA	CONTRA COSTA	1ST DUI	29	69.0	96.6	17.2	3.4	0.0	3.4	13.8	3.4
		2ND DUI	13	92.3	92.3	7.7	46.2	0.0	15.4	7.7	15.4
		3RD DUI	11	81.8	100.0	0.0	18.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.1
		4TH+ DUI	42	66.7	97.6	0.0	31.0	0.0	0.0	9.5	9.5
		TOTAL	95	72.6	96.8	6.3	23.2	0.0	3.2	9.5	8.4
	MARTINEZ	1ST DUI	27	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	74.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	28	3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	71.4	0.0
	CONCORD	1ST DUI	520	99.2	97.1	91.2	1.7	0.0	61.5	10.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	152	100.0	97.4	11.8	78.9	0.0	61.2	4.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	44	97.7	95.5	4.5	43.2	0.0	9.1	6.8	6.8
		4TH+ DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	720	99.3	97.1	68.6	20.7	0.0	57.9	8.8	0.4
	RICHMOND	1ST DUI	479	99.4	99.4	90.2	3.1	0.0	53.2	2.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	159	98.7	98.7	26.4	63.5	0.0	39.0	2.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	31	93.5	100.0	3.2	74.2	0.0	12.9	12.9	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	20	95.0	95.0	0.0	45.0	0.0	5.0	15.0	0.0
		TOTAL	689	98.8	99.1	68.9	21.5	0.0	46.7	3.6	0.0
	PITTSBURG	1ST DUI	467	99.6	93.8	90.4	3.2	0.0	59.7	7.7	0.2
		2ND DUI	188	97.3	96.3	13.8	77.1	0.0	70.2	2.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	55	92.7	96.4	0.0	65.5	0.0	14.5	5.5	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	9	66.7	100.0	0.0	44.4	0.0	22.2	11.1	0.0
		TOTAL	719	98.1	94.7	62.3	27.8	0.0	58.6	6.1	0.1
	WALNUT CREEK	1ST DUI	721	98.2	95.0	95.7	0.6	0.0	58.1	4.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	173	99.4	98.8	15.0	77.5	0.0	32.9	17.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	37	100.0	100.0	8.1	70.3	0.0	16.2	21.6	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0
		TOTAL	937	98.5	95.9	76.7	17.7	0.0	51.4	7.5	0.0

TABLE B4: 2005 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
DEL NORTE	DEL NORTE	1ST DUI	92	91.3	92.4	89.1	0.0	0.0	59.8	4.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	25	84.0	96.0	16.0	64.0	4.0	36.0	0.0	60.0
		3RD DUI	10	80.0	80.0	0.0	40.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	40.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	20.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	132	86.4	92.4	65.2	15.2	2.3	50.0	3.0	14.4
EL DORADO	SO LAKE TAHOE	1ST DUI	299	97.0	95.7	86.3	2.3	0.0	42.8	5.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	79	96.2	96.2	31.6	59.5	0.0	41.8	1.3	8.9
		3RD DUI	15	86.7	80.0	6.7	40.0	0.0	6.7	13.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	80.0	60.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0
		TOTAL	398	96.2	94.7	71.4	15.3	0.0	41.0	5.0	2.0
	PLACERVILLE	1ST DUI	450	99.3	99.1	91.3	3.3	0.0	63.1	1.8	0.2
		2ND DUI	159	98.7	97.5	16.4	76.1	0.0	49.1	4.4	2.5
		3RD DUI	58	91.4	96.6	6.9	69.0	0.0	22.4	1.7	19.0
		4TH+ DUI	26	69.2	80.8	7.7	34.6	0.0	3.8	0.0	11.5
		TOTAL	693	97.4	97.8	63.9	26.7	0.0	54.3	2.3	2.7
FRESNO	FRESNO	2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV FRESNO	1ST DUI	22	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.1	77.3	4.5
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	24	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	75.0	4.2
	FRESNO CENTRAL	1ST DUI	2219	95.1	97.4	85.8	3.0	0.0	54.3	0.3	1.6
		2ND DUI	783	91.7	96.7	13.4	72.3	0.0	51.1	0.4	9.3
		3RD DUI	229	90.4	96.9	4.8	62.0	0.0	12.7	1.7	14.4
		4TH+DUI	82	57.3	93.9	0.0	23.2	0.0	4.9	0.0	6.1
		TOTAL	3313	93.1	97.1	60.9	23.9	0.0	49.4	0.4	4.4
	CLOVIS	1ST DUI	272	98.2	94.5	92.3	3.3	0.0	71.7	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	88	96.6	100.0	13.6	80.7	0.0	65.9	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	24	91.7	100.0	4.2	70.8	0.0	8.3	0.0	8.3
		TOTAL	384	97.4	96.1	68.8	25.3	0.0	66.4	0.0	0.5
	COALINGA	1ST DUI	79	93.7	94.9	83.5	5.1	0.0	39.2	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	20	100.0	95.0	20.0	75.0	0.0	35.0	5.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	6	83.3	83.3	16.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7
		4TH+ DUI	5	60.0	80.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	110	92.7	93.6	64.5	20.9	0.0	36.4	0.9	0.9
	FIREBAUGH	1ST DUI	71	98.6	95.8	91.5	0.0	0.0	57.7	0.0	1.4
		2ND DUI	20	95.0	95.0	20.0	60.0	0.0	30.0	5.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	13	76.9	100.0	0.0	76.9	0.0	7.7	7.7	15.4
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	106	95.3	96.2	65.1	21.7	0.0	45.3	1.9	2.8
	FOWLER	1ST DUI	87	96.6	98.9	71.3	2.3	0.0	41.4	0.0	1.1
		2ND DUI	29	100.0	100.0	20.7	65.5	0.0	37.9	0.0	3.4
		3RD DUI	16	93.8	93.8	0.0	81.3	0.0	6.3	0.0	12.5
		4TH+ DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	137	97.1	98.5	49.6	27.0	0.0	35.8	0.0	2.9
	KERMAN	1ST DUI	34	100.0	97.1	94.1	0.0	0.0	41.2	2.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	13	100.0	100.0	38.5	61.5	0.0	23.1	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		4TH+DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	51	98.0	98.0	72.5	21.6	0.0	35.3	2.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 2005 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
FRESNO (cont.)	KINGSBURG	1ST DUI	82	97.6	97.6	76.8	8.5	0.0	51.2	1.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	29	89.7	100.0	17.2	62.1	0.0	44.8	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	10	100.0	70.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	10.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	66.7	66.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	124	95.2	95.2	54.8	25.8	0.0	45.2	0.8	0.8
	REEDLEY	1ST DUI	129	95.3	96.9	89.9	0.8	0.0	34.9	6.2	0.8
		2ND DUI	38	92.1	100.0	26.3	65.8	0.0	36.8	5.3	10.5
		3RD DUI	18	88.9	100.0	5.6	83.3	0.0	11.1	5.6	16.7
		4TH+ DUI	4	25.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	189	92.6	97.9	67.2	21.7	0.0	32.3	5.8	4.2
	SUP SANGER	4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SELMA	1ST DUI	33	100.0	100.0	87.9	0.0	0.0	60.6	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	7	100.0	100.0	14.3	71.4	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	46	97.8	100.0	65.2	21.7	0.0	47.8	0.0	0.0
GLENN	GLENN	1ST DUI	242	95.9	70.2	74.8	2.1	0.0	28.1	2.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	84	94.0	92.9	22.6	48.8	0.0	29.8	14.3	3.6
		3RD DUI	34	79.4	100.0	11.8	52.9	0.0	20.6	8.8	2.9
		4TH+ DUI	12	66.7	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	372	93.0	79.0	54.8	17.7	0.0	27.4	5.9	1.1
	JUV GLENN	1ST DUI	3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
HUMBOLDT	SUP HUMBOLDT	1ST DUI	453	97.1	20.8	91.4	2.0	0.0	75.1	2.9	3.5
		2ND DUI	165	98.2	86.1	18.2	70.9	0.0	69.1	4.8	47.3
		3RD DUI	41	95.1	87.8	7.3	80.5	0.0	43.9	9.8	53.7
		4TH+ DUI	15	93.3	100.0	26.7	33.3	0.0	20.0	13.3	46.7
		TOTAL	674	97.2	42.6	66.9	24.3	0.0	70.5	4.0	18.2
IMPERIAL	IMPERIAL	1ST DUI	211	99.1	9.5	75.4	0.0	0.0	78.7	3.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	45	95.6	44.4	37.8	40.0	0.0	60.0	6.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	100.0	75.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	261	98.5	16.9	67.8	8.4	0.0	74.7	3.8	0.0
	BRAWLEY	1ST DUI	82	96.3	24.4	82.9	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	20	100.0	75.0	50.0	45.0	0.0	55.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	100.0	66.7	66.7	33.3	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	106	97.2	35.8	75.5	9.4	0.0	50.9	0.0	0.0
	CALEXICO	1ST DUI	11	100.0	27.3	36.4	0.0	0.0	27.3	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	13	100.0	23.1	38.5	0.0	0.0	30.8	0.0	0.0
	EL CENTRO	1ST DUI	187	98.4	13.4	69.0	2.1	0.0	57.8	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	48	91.7	45.8	22.9	39.6	0.0	52.1	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	7	85.7	85.7	14.3	42.9	0.0	28.6	14.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	100.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	245	96.7	22.9	58.4	10.6	0.0	55.9	0.4	0.0

TABLE B4: 2005 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
INYO	INYO	1ST DUI	2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	25.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	62.5	87.5	37.5	12.5	0.0	37.5	0.0	0.0
	JUV TRAFFIC INYO	1ST DUI	2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV INYO	1ST DUI	3	100.0	100.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	100.0	100.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	BISHOP	1ST DUI	100	98.0	13.0	94.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	2.0	1.0
		2ND DUI	40	97.5	87.5	17.5	72.5	0.0	75.0	0.0	5.0
		3RD DUI	9	100.0	33.3	0.0	22.2	11.1	11.1	33.3	55.6
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	151	98.0	33.8	66.9	20.5	0.7	60.3	3.3	6.6
KERN	KERN	4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV KERN	1ST DUI	47	97.9	10.6	83.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	4	100.0	25.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	51	98.0	11.8	84.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	ARVIN LAMONT	1ST DUI	276	96.4	97.8	78.3	3.3	0.0	51.1	13.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	91	96.7	98.9	17.6	56.0	0.0	42.9	15.4	2.2
		3RD DUI	25	100.0	100.0	16.0	60.0	0.0	24.0	24.0	8.0
		4TH+ DUI	12	66.7	100.0	0.0	8.3	0.0	8.3	8.3	0.0
		TOTAL	404	95.8	98.3	58.4	18.8	0.0	46.3	14.4	1.0
	BAKERSFIELD	1ST DUI	1706	95.4	99.3	74.7	0.2	0.0	45.3	0.4	0.6
		2ND DUI	554	96.2	99.1	10.5	9.6	0.0	16.6	2.3	4.7
		3RD DUI	155	90.3	98.7	5.2	0.6	0.6	3.2	1.3	4.5
		4TH+ DUI	58	51.7	98.3	1.7	0.0	1.7	1.7	0.0	3.4
		TOTAL	2473	94.3	99.2	54.3	2.3	0.1	35.2	0.8	1.8
	DELANO	1ST DUI	122	94.3	74.6	78.7	3.3	0.8	40.2	6.6	2.5
		2ND DUI	39	100.0	87.2	12.8	61.5	0.0	38.5	15.4	0.0
		3RD DUI	13	92.3	100.0	7.7	61.5	0.0	38.5	23.1	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	177	94.9	79.7	57.6	20.9	0.6	39.0	10.2	1.7
	LAKE ISABELLA	1ST DUI	76	100.0	100.0	84.2	0.0	0.0	78.9	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	21	100.0	100.0	23.8	23.8	0.0	47.6	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	100	98.0	100.0	69.0	6.0	0.0	71.0	1.0	0.0
	TAFT	1ST DUI	126	96.8	98.4	81.0	2.4	0.0	50.0	40.5	2.4
		2ND DUI	38	100.0	97.4	18.4	63.2	0.0	28.9	42.1	42.1
		3RD DUI	7	85.7	100.0	14.3	57.1	0.0	14.3	57.1	71.4
		4TH+ DUI	4	75.0	75.0	0.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	100.0	25.0
		TOTAL	175	96.6	97.7	62.9	18.3	0.6	42.9	42.9	14.3
	SHAFTER	1ST DUI	129	99.2	99.2	89.9	1.6	0.0	53.5	3.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	46	100.0	97.8	8.7	69.6	2.2	43.5	10.9	0.0
		3RD DUI	13	100.0	100.0	23.1	30.8	0.0	15.4	46.2	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	191	99.5	99.0	64.4	20.4	0.5	47.6	9.9	0.0

TABLE B4: 2005 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
KERN (cont.)	MOJAVE	1ST DUI	245	97.6	98.8	54.7	1.2	0.0	44.1	0.0	0.4
		2ND DUI	72	90.3	98.6	4.2	36.1	0.0	22.2	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	16	87.5	100.0	0.0	31.3	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0
		TOTAL	339	94.4	98.8	40.4	10.0	0.0	37.2	0.3	0.3
	RIDGECREST	1ST DUI	105	99.0	100.0	64.8	0.0	0.0	53.3	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	37	91.9	97.3	5.4	24.3	0.0	16.2	0.0	5.4
		3RD DUI	10	90.0	100.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	155	96.1	99.4	45.2	6.5	0.0	40.0	1.3	1.3
KINGS	JUV KINGS	1ST DUI	13	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	13	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	HANFORD	1ST DUI	410	91.7	98.3	79.8	0.7	0.0	45.1	14.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	141	87.2	98.6	38.3	34.0	0.0	32.6	17.0	2.8
		3RD DUI	37	86.5	97.3	18.9	21.6	0.0	21.6	18.9	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	19	21.1	94.7	10.5	0.0	0.0	5.3	5.3	0.0
		TOTAL	607	88.1	98.2	64.3	9.7	0.0	39.5	15.3	0.7
	AVENAL	1ST DUI	31	96.8	100.0	77.4	3.2	0.0	35.5	25.8	3.2
		2ND DUI	6	100.0	100.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	42	95.2	100.0	66.7	14.3	0.0	28.6	19.0	2.4
	CORCORAN	1ST DUI	39	94.9	97.4	61.5	2.6	0.0	28.2	33.3	7.7
		2ND DUI	23	82.6	100.0	43.5	34.8	0.0	21.7	17.4	17.4
		3RD DUI	11	90.9	100.0	36.4	9.1	0.0	0.0	18.2	27.3
		4TH+ DUI	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	77	88.3	98.7	49.4	13.0	0.0	20.8	24.7	13.0
	LEMOORE	2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
LAKE	LAKE	1ST DUI	131	96.2	42.0	80.9	5.3	0.0	55.0	2.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	50	90.0	88.0	22.0	58.0	0.0	30.0	8.0	14.0
		3RD DUI	19	89.5	94.7	0.0	52.6	0.0	10.5	42.1	21.1
		4TH+ DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	25.0
		TOTAL	204	94.1	59.3	57.4	23.5	0.0	43.6	8.3	5.9
	CLEARLAKE	1ST DUI	104	90.4	26.9	76.9	1.9	0.0	48.1	2.9	1.0
		2ND DUI	46	95.7	73.9	8.7	54.3	8.7	15.2	6.5	10.9
		3RD DUI	8	75.0	100.0	0.0	62.5	0.0	12.5	0.0	12.5
		4TH+ DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	160	90.0	45.0	52.5	20.0	2.5	36.3	3.8	4.4
LASSEN	LASSEN	1ST DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	JUV LASSEN	1ST DUI	3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	SUSANVILLE	1ST DUI	136	95.6	98.5	83.1	3.7	0.0	46.3	5.9	0.7
		2ND DUI	50	88.0	96.0	20.0	60.0	0.0	18.0	6.0	2.0
		3RD DUI	11	81.8	100.0	36.4	45.5	0.0	0.0	9.1	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	100.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	201	93.0	96.5	63.2	20.4	0.0	35.8	6.0	1.0

TABLE B4: 2005 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES	1ST DUI	80	65.0	83.8	27.5	5.0	0.0	18.8	8.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	28	46.4	92.9	3.6	10.7	0.0	7.1	7.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	16	31.3	93.8	0.0	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	42	38.1	95.2	2.4	7.1	4.8	0.0	4.8	0.0
		TOTAL	166	51.8	89.2	14.5	6.0	1.8	10.2	6.6	0.0
	POMONA	1ST DUI	944	96.7	21.5	88.2	2.9	0.1	58.9	2.3	0.2
		2ND DUI	254	93.7	76.8	12.6	72.0	1.6	50.0	1.6	0.8
		3RD DUI	61	90.2	73.8	11.5	44.3	14.8	18.0	9.8	1.6
		4TH+ DUI	30	16.7	90.0	6.7	3.3	3.3	6.7	6.7	0.0
		TOTAL	1289	93.9	36.5	67.8	18.5	1.2	54.0	2.6	0.4
	LANCASTER	1ST DUI	838	94.6	96.4	86.2	3.1	0.1	47.9	3.5	0.7
		2ND DUI	216	93.1	95.4	19.9	63.4	1.4	19.4	17.1	23.1
		3RD DUI	57	84.2	80.7	1.8	54.4	19.3	3.5	14.0	40.4
		4TH+ DUI	19	36.8	100.0	5.3	21.1	0.0	5.3	0.0	21.1
		TOTAL	1130	92.8	95.5	67.9	17.5	1.3	39.5	6.5	7.3
	SAN FERNANDO	1ST DUI	1167	97.8	49.4	80.1	3.9	0.2	50.0	4.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	308	95.1	92.2	11.7	76.9	0.0	45.8	7.8	0.0
		3RD DUI	91	83.5	90.1	5.5	52.7	4.4	16.5	4.4	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	30	23.3	100.0	0.0	13.3	0.0	3.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1596	95.1	61.0	61.2	21.0	0.4	46.4	5.1	0.0
	PASADENA	1ST DUI	624	98.6	56.6	91.7	1.6	0.0	67.6	0.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	170	99.4	89.4	12.9	82.4	0.6	67.6	0.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	43	95.3	81.4	11.6	41.9	9.3	18.6	2.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	11	72.7	100.0	9.1	18.2	0.0	18.2	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	848	98.2	65.0	70.8	20.0	0.6	64.5	0.5	0.0
	VAN NUYS	1ST DUI	2227	98.4	39.7	89.8	3.4	0.1	53.7	0.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	552	97.6	93.7	6.9	83.2	1.3	51.4	2.2	0.0
		3RD DUI	102	92.2	76.5	3.9	38.2	21.6	11.8	2.9	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	27	48.1	92.6	7.4	7.4	7.4	7.4	3.7	3.7
		TOTAL	2908	97.6	51.7	70.3	19.8	1.2	51.3	0.8	0.1
	LONG BEACH	1ST DUI	1099	98.7	36.9	90.2	1.0	0.1	70.5	1.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	227	96.9	79.3	23.8	58.6	0.9	47.6	10.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	61	88.5	65.6	8.2	37.7	24.6	21.3	3.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	15	20.0	100.0	0.0	6.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1402	97.1	45.7	74.9	12.0	1.3	63.9	3.1	0.0
	COMPTON	1ST DUI	1079	97.4	85.9	88.9	2.4	0.1	66.0	0.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	266	98.5	92.5	26.3	65.0	0.4	61.3	2.3	0.4
		3RD DUI	63	85.7	85.7	7.9	49.2	11.1	27.0	1.6	1.6
		4TH+ DUI	18	50.0	83.3	5.6	0.0	11.1	5.6	16.7	0.0
		TOTAL	1426	96.5	87.1	72.6	16.1	0.8	62.6	1.1	0.1
	NORWALK	1ST DUI	39	59.0	79.5	28.2	7.7	5.1	30.8	2.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	19	42.1	94.7	5.3	10.5	5.3	10.5	5.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	8	25.0	100.0	12.5	12.5	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	27	33.3	85.2	3.7	0.0	14.8	3.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	93	45.2	86.0	15.1	6.5	7.5	18.3	2.2	0.0
	TORRANCE	1ST DUI	1049	98.7	24.7	93.1	1.7	0.1	62.9	0.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	280	97.9	80.0	14.3	72.9	1.1	60.0	2.9	0.0
		3RD DUI	58	93.1	86.2	1.7	63.8	5.2	20.7	5.2	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	32	40.6	96.9	0.0	18.8	0.0	9.4	3.1	0.0
		TOTAL	1419	97.0	39.7	71.7	18.7	0.5	59.4	1.4	0.0

TABLE B4: 2005 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES (cont.)	SANTA MONICA	1ST DUI	8	75.0	75.0	37.5	0.0	12.5	12.5	12.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	75.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	100.0	75.0	25.0	50.0	25.0	0.0	75.0	0.0
		TOTAL	17	82.4	70.6	23.5	17.6	17.6	11.8	23.5	0.0
	JUV LOS ANGELES	1ST DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	LOS ANGELES DLQ	1ST DUI	8	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	25.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	25.0	0.0
	LA AIRPORT	1ST DUI	1040	99.4	25.0	92.5	1.7	0.0	65.9	0.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	244	96.3	86.1	13.5	77.9	0.0	64.3	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	57	98.2	89.5	5.3	43.9	3.5	24.6	1.8	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	23	73.9	95.7	0.0	26.1	4.3	8.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1364	98.4	39.8	73.2	17.5	0.2	62.9	0.2	0.0
	ALHAMBRA	1ST DUI	524	98.9	14.9	94.3	0.4	0.0	60.9	1.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	128	100.0	75.0	23.4	69.5	1.6	56.3	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	35	91.4	82.9	5.7	54.3	17.1	20.0	5.7	17.1
		4TH+ DUI	7	85.7	57.1	28.6	42.9	14.3	14.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	694	98.6	29.8	76.1	16.3	1.3	57.5	1.0	0.9
	BEVERLY HILLS	1ST DUI	245	99.6	49.4	89.8	3.7	0.0	71.4	1.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	45	100.0	80.0	35.6	53.3	0.0	64.4	6.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	6	100.0	83.3	0.0	83.3	16.7	16.7	16.7	0.0
		TOTAL	296	99.7	54.7	79.7	12.8	0.3	69.3	2.4	0.0
	BURBANK	1ST DUI	183	98.4	29.0	92.9	2.7	0.0	61.2	1.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	42	100.0	92.9	16.7	78.6	0.0	54.8	2.4	2.4
		3RD DUI	17	100.0	52.9	0.0	11.8	47.1	11.8	0.0	17.6
		4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	244	98.4	42.2	72.5	16.4	3.3	56.1	1.6	1.6
	WEST COVINA	1ST DUI	1271	99.4	19.8	93.2	2.2	0.1	61.3	0.6	0.2
		2ND DUI	294	99.7	84.7	18.0	78.9	0.0	66.0	0.0	0.3
		3RD DUI	72	95.8	88.9	2.8	75.0	9.7	20.8	0.0	2.8
		4TH+ DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	40.0	20.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1642	99.3	34.7	75.5	19.4	0.5	60.3	0.5	0.3
	DOWNEY	1ST DUI	707	99.0	36.6	90.8	3.4	0.1	71.7	3.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	163	97.5	89.6	16.0	77.9	0.0	79.8	0.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	36	91.7	72.2	13.9	52.8	22.2	38.9	2.8	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	908	98.5	47.6	74.1	18.8	1.1	71.7	2.9	0.0
	EAST LOS ANGELES	1ST DUI	987	98.2	16.0	90.2	3.3	0.0	65.8	0.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	246	99.2	74.4	25.6	67.5	0.0	58.9	0.4	0.0
		3RD DUI	50	96.0	90.0	10.0	62.0	0.0	32.0	4.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	8	75.0	100.0	0.0	62.5	0.0	25.0	0.0	12.5
		TOTAL	1291	98.1	30.5	74.2	18.2	0.0	62.9	0.5	0.1
	EL MONTE	1ST DUI	472	98.9	21.0	93.2	1.5	0.2	48.5	2.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	119	99.2	82.4	20.2	73.1	0.0	56.3	1.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	29	100.0	69.0	10.3	55.2	24.1	17.2	17.2	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	50.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	624	98.7	35.3	74.8	17.6	1.4	48.2	2.9	0.0

TABLE B4: 2005 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES (cont.)	GLENDALE	1ST DUI	384	99.5	24.5	95.1	1.3	0.0	64.3	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	104	97.1	75.0	20.2	68.3	0.0	66.3	0.0	1.9
		3RD DUI	21	100.0	81.0	23.8	61.9	0.0	38.1	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	9	77.8	88.9	0.0	33.3	0.0	22.2	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	518	98.6	38.0	75.5	17.8	0.0	62.9	0.0	0.4
	INGLEWOOD	1ST DUI	214	98.1	15.4	90.2	4.2	0.0	79.9	1.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	65	98.5	63.1	26.2	64.6	1.5	78.5	1.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	14	100.0	71.4	28.6	42.9	7.1	64.3	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	83.3	100.0	16.7	66.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	299	98.0	30.1	71.9	20.4	0.7	77.9	1.7	0.0
	LA METRO	1ST DUI	4158	98.7	48.1	91.0	5.4	0.0	50.3	0.5	0.2
		2ND DUI	1011	99.2	93.4	8.9	85.9	0.1	45.0	0.9	2.5
		3RD DUI	212	97.2	96.2	2.4	76.4	1.9	7.5	1.4	5.7
		4TH+ DUI	16	81.3	93.8	6.3	68.8	0.0	18.8	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5397	98.6	58.6	71.9	23.5	0.1	47.5	0.6	0.8
	BELLFLOWER	1ST DUI	544	99.6	14.0	93.2	1.1	0.2	66.5	1.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	140	99.3	75.7	23.6	67.1	1.4	69.3	2.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	31	96.8	58.1	6.5	35.5	22.6	19.4	6.5	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	716	99.4	27.9	75.7	15.5	1.5	64.9	1.8	0.0
	SANTA CLARITA	1ST DUI	643	97.5	27.5	83.7	4.5	0.0	49.1	0.5	0.2
		2ND DUI	191	94.8	90.6	8.9	75.9	0.0	51.8	3.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	50	94.0	88.0	10.0	46.0	6.0	14.0	10.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	10	20.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	894	95.9	45.2	62.6	22.0	0.3	47.2	1.6	0.1
	MALIBU	1ST DUI	198	99.0	4.5	88.9	1.5	0.0	51.5	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	39	100.0	84.6	7.7	82.1	0.0	59.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	10	100.0	60.0	0.0	50.0	40.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	247	99.2	19.4	72.5	16.2	1.6	51.4	0.0	0.0
	WHITTIER	1ST DUI	622	98.4	17.4	91.5	2.4	0.0	60.6	6.4	0.5
		2ND DUI	148	94.6	84.5	14.2	74.3	0.0	60.1	4.7	0.7
		3RD DUI	36	91.7	75.0	2.8	55.6	22.2	25.0	13.9	13.9
		4TH+ DUI	4	75.0	50.0	0.0	25.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	810	97.3	32.3	73.0	18.0	1.2	58.6	6.4	1.1
	HOLLYWOOD	1ST DUI	151	100.0	15.9	90.1	2.6	0.0	87.4	1.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	31	100.0	77.4	12.9	64.5	3.2	71.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	8	100.0	62.5	0.0	37.5	25.0	12.5	12.5	0.0
		TOTAL	190	100.0	27.9	73.7	14.2	1.6	81.6	1.6	0.0
	AVALON	1ST DUI	11	100.0	9.1	100.0	0.0	0.0	81.8	9.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	13	100.0	15.4	100.0	0.0	0.0	76.9	7.7	0.0
	US DISTCT LA	1ST DUI	35	17.1	0.0	5.7	0.0	0.0	5.7	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	6	33.3	16.7	16.7	16.7	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	43	18.6	4.7	7.0	2.3	0.0	7.0	0.0	0.0
MADERA	SUP MADERA	1ST DUI	31	77.4	90.3	61.3	3.2	0.0	9.7	32.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	10	80.0	100.0	20.0	30.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	40.0	80.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	8	25.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	12.5	0.0
		TOTAL	54	66.7	88.9	38.9	9.3	3.7	7.4	29.6	0.0

TABLE B4: 2005 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
MADERA (cont.)	JUV MADERA	1ST DUI	6	83.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	6	83.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
	CHOWCHILLA	1ST DUI	309	95.1	98.7	86.1	3.2	0.0	34.0	11.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	104	96.2	97.1	23.1	66.3	0.0	39.4	12.5	1.9
		3RD DUI	32	87.5	96.9	6.3	59.4	0.0	18.8	12.5	3.1
		4TH+ DUI	7	100.0	100.0	14.3	71.4	0.0	57.1	14.3	0.0
		TOTAL	452	94.9	98.2	64.8	22.8	0.0	34.5	11.5	0.7
	MADERA CRIM	1ST DUI	18	77.8	72.2	61.1	0.0	5.6	11.1	22.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	6	100.0	100.0	50.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	40.0	80.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	20.0	60.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0
		TOTAL	34	67.6	76.5	41.2	11.8	2.9	8.8	26.5	0.0
	BASS LAKE SIERRA	1ST DUI	64	98.4	93.8	92.2	6.3	0.0	42.2	3.1	1.6
		2ND DUI	31	93.5	100.0	12.9	74.2	0.0	32.3	6.5	3.2
		3RD DUI	13	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	7.7	0.0	7.7
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	109	97.2	96.3	57.8	37.6	0.0	34.9	4.6	2.8
MARIN	SUP SAN RAFAEL	1ST DUI	1003	99.2	10.3	91.7	3.3	0.0	66.4	7.6	0.6
		2ND DUI	231	100.0	86.6	9.5	83.5	0.0	79.2	4.8	6.1
		3RD DUI	55	98.2	92.7	5.5	27.3	0.0	18.2	54.5	30.9
		4TH+ DUI	12	75.0	91.7	8.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	33.3
		TOTAL	1301	99.1	28.1	72.7	18.8	0.0	66.0	9.3	3.2
MARIPOSA	SUP MARIPOSA	1ST DUI	56	100.0	96.4	83.9	3.6	0.0	89.3	1.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	20	100.0	100.0	25.0	65.0	0.0	75.0	5.0	10.0
		3RD DUI	8	100.0	87.5	25.0	50.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	50.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	85	100.0	95.3	63.5	22.4	1.2	83.5	2.4	7.1
	USMG YOSEMITE	2ND DUI	17	100.0	29.4	41.2	0.0	0.0	76.5	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	18	100.0	33.3	38.9	0.0	0.0	72.2	0.0	0.0
MENDOCINO	SUP UKIAH	1ST DUI	2	50.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	33.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	16.7
		TOTAL	12	50.0	100.0	8.3	41.7	0.0	0.0	25.0	8.3
	JUV MENDOCINO	1ST DUI	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
		TOTAL	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
	WILLITS	1ST DUI	67	97.0	95.5	82.1	3.0	0.0	52.2	1.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	24	100.0	100.0	20.8	58.3	0.0	45.8	0.0	8.3
		3RD DUI	5	100.0	100.0	20.0	60.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	60.0	100.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
		TOTAL	101	96.0	97.0	60.4	21.8	0.0	46.5	3.0	2.0
	UKIAH	1ST DUI	199	96.5	98.0	82.9	4.0	0.0	55.8	0.5	2.0
		2ND DUI	82	96.3	100.0	19.5	63.4	0.0	47.6	0.0	25.6
		3RD DUI	17	100.0	100.0	11.8	58.8	0.0	17.6	0.0	47.1
		4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	300	96.3	98.7	61.0	23.7	0.0	51.3	0.3	11.0
	POINT ARENA	1ST DUI	3	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	6	83.3	83.3	50.0	16.7	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 2005 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
MENDOCINO (cont.)	LEGGETT	1ST DUI	10	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	4	100.0	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	16	100.0	100.0	75.0	25.0	0.0	81.3	0.0	0.0
	COVELO	1ST DUI	13	92.3	100.0	76.9	0.0	0.0	76.9	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	4	75.0	75.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0
		TOTAL	20	90.0	95.0	55.0	25.0	0.0	60.0	5.0	10.0
	FORT BRAGG	1ST DUI	60	98.3	96.7	86.7	0.0	0.0	56.7	1.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	26	92.3	96.2	23.1	57.7	0.0	57.7	0.0	26.9
		3RD DUI	9	100.0	88.9	11.1	66.7	0.0	11.1	11.1	55.6
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	96	96.9	95.8	61.5	22.9	0.0	52.1	2.1	12.5
MERCED	SUP MERCED	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	40.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	9	55.6	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.1	0.0
	MERCED JUV	1ST DUI	15	6.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.7	0.0
		TOTAL	15	6.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.7	0.0
	MERCED	1ST DUI	613	98.7	97.1	90.9	2.6	0.0	57.1	2.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	186	99.5	99.5	14.0	81.7	0.0	57.0	2.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	61	88.5	93.4	18.0	54.1	0.0	26.2	19.7	1.6
		4TH+ DUI	9	100.0	88.9	11.1	55.6	0.0	22.2	11.1	0.0
		TOTAL	869	98.2	97.2	68.5	23.7	0.0	54.5	3.7	0.1
	LOS BANOS	1ST DUI	191	97.9	96.9	92.7	0.5	0.0	83.8	1.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	48	97.9	95.8	35.4	58.3	0.0	81.3	2.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	16	100.0	100.0	6.3	56.3	0.0	43.8	6.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	16.7	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	261	98.1	96.9	74.7	14.9	0.0	79.3	2.3	0.0
MODOC	ALTURAS	1ST DUI	34	100.0	55.9	44.1	0.0	2.9	41.2	2.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	14	100.0	92.9	21.4	21.4	0.0	35.7	0.0	14.3
		3RD DUI	4	100.0	75.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0
		TOTAL	52	100.0	67.3	34.6	9.6	1.9	36.5	1.9	5.8
MONO	BRIDGEPORT	1ST DUI	17	100.0	76.5	82.4	11.8	0.0	47.1	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	6	100.0	83.3	33.3	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	26	96.2	80.8	61.5	19.2	0.0	42.3	0.0	0.0
	MAMMOTH LAKES	1ST DUI	61	98.4	60.7	93.4	3.3	0.0	55.7	3.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	30	100.0	96.7	3.3	93.3	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	97	97.9	74.2	59.8	35.1	0.0	56.7	2.1	0.0
MONTEREY	MONTEREY	1ST DUI	86	90.7	94.2	36.0	5.8	0.0	10.5	41.9	16.3
		2ND DUI	33	84.8	97.0	12.1	33.3	0.0	9.1	36.4	45.5
		3RD DUI	16	87.5	100.0	6.3	18.8	0.0	0.0	56.3	62.5
		4TH+ DUI	33	60.6	97.0	0.0	6.1	0.0	0.0	48.5	39.4
		TOTAL	168	83.3	95.8	21.4	12.5	0.0	7.1	43.5	31.0

TABLE B4: 2005 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
MONTEREY (cont.)	JUV MONTEREY	1ST DUI	16	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.3	93.8	0.0
		TOTAL	16	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.3	93.8	0.0
	MARINA	1ST DUI	3	66.7	66.7	66.7	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3
		TOTAL	3	66.7	66.7	66.7	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3
	SALINAS	1ST DUI	1521	99.8	99.6	62.8	2.2	0.0	24.4	35.3	9.7
		2ND DUI	413	99.3	99.5	12.3	54.5	0.0	8.2	21.5	74.8
		3RD DUI	79	97.5	100.0	1.3	53.2	0.0	0.0	58.2	89.9
		4TH+ DUI	16	100.0	93.8	0.0	43.8	6.3	6.3	50.0	68.8
		TOTAL	2029	99.6	99.6	49.6	15.2	0.0	20.0	33.5	26.6
	KING CITY	1ST DUI	259	99.2	99.2	56.4	1.9	0.0	16.6	44.0	5.8
		2ND DUI	68	97.1	98.5	13.2	41.2	0.0	7.4	51.5	58.8
		3RD DUI	17	100.0	94.1	5.9	52.9	0.0	5.9	47.1	76.5
		4TH+ DUI	5	60.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	20.0
		TOTAL	349	98.3	98.6	44.7	12.0	0.0	14.0	45.6	19.8
NAPA	NAPA	1ST DUI	612	98.2	93.1	93.5	2.3	0.0	85.9	2.8	2.8
		2ND DUI	172	97.7	99.4	12.2	82.0	0.0	74.4	1.2	64.5
		3RD DUI	45	91.1	100.0	4.4	77.8	0.0	53.3	0.0	73.3
		4TH+ DUI	13	38.5	100.0	0.0	38.5	0.0	23.1	0.0	23.1
		TOTAL	842	96.8	94.9	70.7	23.2	0.0	80.9	2.3	19.5
NEVADA	NEVADA	1ST DUI	10	90.0	100.0	30.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	6	83.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	21	85.7	100.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	4.8	0.0	9.5
	JUV NEVADA	1ST DUI	6	83.3	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	7	85.7	14.3	28.6	0.0	0.0	14.3	28.6	0.0
	NEVADA CITY	1ST DUI	242	99.6	93.8	91.3	1.7	0.0	56.2	0.0	2.1
		2ND DUI	70	97.1	100.0	7.1	74.3	0.0	28.6	0.0	14.3
		3RD DUI	19	100.0	100.0	5.3	52.6	0.0	15.8	0.0	57.9
		4TH+ DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0
		TOTAL	337	99.1	95.5	67.4	20.5	0.0	47.2	0.0	8.6
	TRUCKEE	1ST DUI	157	100.0	99.4	94.3	3.8	0.0	79.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	31	93.5	100.0	25.8	67.7	0.0	71.0	0.0	3.2
		3RD DUI	7	100.0	100.0	28.6	71.4	0.0	71.4	14.3	14.3
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	33.3
		TOTAL	198	99.0	99.5	79.8	17.7	0.0	77.3	0.5	1.5
ORANGE	JUV ORANGE	1ST DUI	73	97.3	16.4	64.4	0.0	0.0	11.0	83.6	0.0
		TOTAL	73	97.3	16.4	64.4	0.0	0.0	11.0	83.6	0.0
	FULLERTON	1ST DUI	2228	99.4	42.4	93.9	2.8	0.0	49.7	13.2	2.2
		2ND DUI	576	97.7	90.8	10.4	80.0	0.0	45.5	9.5	39.8
		3RD DUI	120	92.5	95.8	3.3	79.2	0.0	19.2	15.0	63.3
		4TH+ DUI	35	60.0	97.1	2.9	40.0	0.0	8.6	5.7	20.0
		TOTAL	2959	98.3	54.6	72.9	21.4	0.0	47.2	12.5	12.2
	WESTMINSTER	1ST DUI	2188	98.6	16.5	92.1	1.5	0.0	54.9	4.1	0.9
		2ND DUI	618	98.5	87.1	11.5	75.9	0.0	51.1	3.7	14.7
		3RD DUI	135	97.0	97.0	3.7	85.2	0.0	21.5	3.0	37.8
		4TH+ DUI	33	75.8	100.0	0.0	54.5	0.0	9.1	0.0	24.2
		TOTAL	2974	98.3	35.7	70.3	21.3	0.0	52.1	3.9	5.7

TABLE B4: 2005 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
ORANGE (cont.)	LAGUNA HILLS	1ST DUI	834	99.9	15.9	94.4	1.3	0.0	55.2	12.1	0.4
		2ND DUI	180	98.3	87.2	11.1	80.6	0.0	43.3	17.2	8.3
		3RD DUI	31	96.8	100.0	0.0	93.5	0.0	3.2	12.9	22.6
		4TH+ DUI	8	62.5	100.0	0.0	62.5	0.0	0.0	25.0	37.5
		TOTAL	1053	99.2	31.2	76.6	18.0	0.0	51.2	13.1	2.7
	NEWPORT BEACH	1ST DUI	2212	99.3	22.4	91.7	1.3	0.0	61.3	5.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	564	98.8	93.4	8.3	78.4	0.0	36.5	22.9	5.1
		3RD DUI	136	95.6	95.6	0.7	77.2	0.0	10.3	15.4	13.2
		4TH+ DUI	27	70.4	100.0	3.7	48.1	0.0	3.7	3.7	3.7
		TOTAL	2939	98.8	40.1	70.7	20.0	0.0	53.7	9.1	1.7
	SANTA ANA	1ST DUI	1672	98.5	37.2	91.7	3.4	0.0	45.0	4.4	0.7
		2ND DUI	394	97.7	92.4	11.7	79.7	0.0	38.6	4.1	14.7
		3RD DUI	105	91.4	97.1	4.8	73.3	0.0	12.4	4.8	35.2
		4TH+ DUI	27	29.6	100.0	0.0	25.9	0.0	7.4	11.1	11.1
		TOTAL	2198	97.2	50.7	72.1	20.7	0.0	41.9	4.5	5.0
PLACER	JUV PLACER	1ST DUI	20	95.0	75.0	45.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	90.0	0.0
		TOTAL	20	95.0	75.0	45.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	90.0	0.0
	AUBURN	1ST DUI	1144	99.0	97.2	3.5	1.7	0.0	45.0	4.1	3.1
		2ND DUI	286	93.0	98.6	2.4	73.4	0.0	38.5	11.5	33.6
		3RD DUI	88	81.8	98.9	1.1	68.2	0.0	8.0	4.5	67.0
		4TH+ DUI	31	38.7	96.8	0.0	38.7	0.0	6.5	0.0	29.0
		TOTAL	1549	95.7	97.5	3.1	19.5	0.0	40.9	5.4	12.8
	TAHOE CITY	1ST DUI	143	100.0	100.0	80.4	2.1	0.0	59.4	1.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	40	100.0	100.0	7.5	85.0	0.0	45.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	100.0	66.7	33.3	33.3	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	189	100.0	98.9	63.0	20.1	1.1	55.6	1.1	0.0
PLUMAS	GREENVILLE	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	CHESTER	1ST DUI	6	100.0	100.0	83.3	16.7	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	6	100.0	100.0	83.3	16.7	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	PORTOLA	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	QUINCY	1ST DUI	105	98.1	99.0	89.5	3.8	0.0	62.9	5.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	38	100.0	100.0	18.4	76.3	0.0	68.4	2.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	13	100.0	100.0	23.1	76.9	0.0	53.8	7.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	40.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	161	96.9	99.4	64.6	26.7	0.0	61.5	5.0	0.0
RIVERSIDE	RIVERSIDE	1ST DUI	2360	96.9	96.8	89.5	1.4	0.0	56.9	5.8	0.1
		2ND DUI	565	94.0	97.9	16.8	73.8	0.0	51.5	7.4	2.1
		3RD DUI	173	91.3	98.8	8.7	76.3	0.0	22.5	6.9	6.9
		4TH+ DUI	90	57.8	98.9	2.2	51.1	0.0	11.1	7.8	1.1
		TOTAL	3188	95.0	97.1	69.8	19.7	0.0	52.8	6.2	0.9
	INDIO	1ST DUI	1111	97.0	86.9	92.1	2.4	0.0	73.3	1.8	0.3
		2ND DUI	302	96.0	96.4	21.5	69.5	0.0	68.9	2.3	1.3
		3RD DUI	71	91.5	97.2	11.3	69.0	0.0	18.3	32.4	9.9
		4TH+ DUI	29	34.5	100.0	0.0	31.0	0.0	0.0	10.3	3.4
		TOTAL	1513	95.4	89.6	72.4	19.5	0.0	68.4	3.5	1.0
	JUV RIVERSIDE	1ST DUI	16	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	16	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 2005 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
RIVERSIDE (cont.)	HEMET	1ST DUI	3	100.0	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	100.0	75.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	BANNING	1ST DUI	312	99.4	87.8	93.9	1.3	0.0	67.0	1.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	61	100.0	98.4	31.1	67.2	0.0	57.4	4.9	3.3
		3RD DUI	17	100.0	94.1	17.6	82.4	0.0	41.2	5.9	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	393	99.2	89.8	80.2	15.5	0.0	63.9	2.3	0.5
	BLYTHE	1ST DUI	90	97.8	26.7	96.7	1.1	0.0	64.4	3.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	21	100.0	71.4	23.8	71.4	0.0	33.3	9.5	4.8
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0
		TOTAL	117	97.4	38.5	78.6	17.1	0.0	56.4	5.1	1.7
	MURRIETA	1ST DUI	1493	98.8	90.9	96.5	1.2	0.0	53.4	1.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	372	96.8	96.8	16.9	78.5	0.0	17.5	1.3	1.3
		3RD DUI	90	91.1	96.7	8.9	81.1	0.0	14.4	1.1	3.3
		4TH+ DUI	10	90.0	90.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1965	98.0	92.3	76.9	19.9	0.0	44.6	1.1	0.4
SACRAMENTO	SACRAMENTO	1ST DUI	93	74.2	94.6	36.6	12.9	0.0	2.2	29.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	62	67.7	98.4	8.1	33.9	0.0	6.5	16.1	3.2
		3RD DUI	46	58.7	95.7	0.0	21.7	0.0	0.0	6.5	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	111	70.3	99.1	0.0	44.1	0.0	0.0	5.4	2.7
		TOTAL	312	69.2	97.1	12.5	29.5	0.0	1.9	14.7	1.6
	JUV SACRAMENTO	1ST DUI	30	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.3	70.0	0.0
		TOTAL	30	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.3	70.0	0.0
	SACTO TRAFFIC	1ST DUI	3539	99.2	99.2	91.4	2.1	0.0	54.4	11.5	0.3
		2ND DUI	1099	99.5	99.3	8.6	73.5	0.0	44.0	13.6	4.3
		3RD DUI	327	98.5	98.8	1.5	48.0	0.0	10.7	5.2	8.9
		4TH+ DUI	37	94.6	100.0	0.0	29.7	0.0	2.7	2.7	5.4
		TOTAL	5002	99.2	99.2	66.6	21.0	0.0	48.9	11.5	1.8
	US DIST COURT	1ST DUI	9	88.9	22.2	77.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	12	91.7	41.7	58.3	8.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SAN BENITO	SAN BENITO	1ST DUI	236	98.3	91.5	64.0	0.0	0.0	42.8	32.2	3.4
		2ND DUI	82	96.3	95.1	13.4	43.9	0.0	31.7	26.8	18.3
		3RD DUI	29	93.1	100.0	3.4	17.2	0.0	10.3	3.4	48.3
		4TH+ DUI	10	30.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0
		TOTAL	357	95.5	93.3	45.7	11.5	0.0	36.4	27.7	10.6
	JUV SAN BENITO	1ST DUI	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SAN BERNARDINO	SAN BERNARDINO	1ST DUI	49	79.6	89.8	61.2	8.2	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	16	68.8	87.5	25.0	37.5	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	9	88.9	100.0	11.1	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	15	66.7	80.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	89	76.4	88.8	39.3	23.6	0.0	2.2	0.0	0.0
	RCH CUCAMONGA	1ST DUI	35	68.6	85.7	45.7	5.7	0.0	5.7	2.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	11	81.8	81.8	9.1	45.5	0.0	9.1	9.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	60.0	60.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	16	50.0	75.0	25.0	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	67	65.7	80.6	31.3	13.4	0.0	4.5	3.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 2005 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN BERNARDINO (cont.)	VICTORVILLE	1ST DUI	1114	96.1	73.2	89.0	2.7	0.0	82.9	0.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	323	95.0	91.6	21.4	68.7	0.0	69.3	8.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	88	89.8	96.6	5.7	75.0	0.0	45.5	6.8	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	36	61.1	58.3	0.0	38.9	0.0	16.7	8.3	0.0
		TOTAL	1561	94.7	78.0	68.3	21.3	0.0	76.4	2.8	0.0
	BARSTOW	1ST DUI	294	96.9	58.8	88.8	3.7	0.0	83.3	1.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	71	93.0	84.5	32.4	49.3	0.0	64.8	1.4	0.0
		3RD DUI	20	95.0	100.0	15.0	65.0	0.0	15.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	9	55.6	88.9	0.0	44.4	0.0	0.0	11.1	0.0
		TOTAL	394	95.2	66.2	72.8	16.0	0.0	74.6	1.8	0.0
	JOSHUA TREE	1ST DUI	13	92.3	100.0	92.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	25.0	75.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	60.0	60.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	23	69.6	87.0	52.2	17.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV SAN BERNDNO	1ST DUI	20	95.0	20.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0
		TOTAL	20	95.0	20.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0
	JUV R CUCAMONG	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	JUV VCTORVILLE	1ST DUI	12	55.6	0.0	44.4	0.0	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	12	55.6	0.0	44.4	0.0	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0
	CHINO	1ST DUI	293	98.0	32.8	93.5	2.0	0.0	63.8	1.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	63	96.8	87.3	12.7	76.2	0.0	58.7	1.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	27	100.0	100.0	0.0	63.0	0.0	18.5	33.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	50.0	75.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	387	97.4	46.8	72.9	18.6	0.0	59.2	3.4	0.0
	REDLANDS	1ST DUI	381	100.0	14.7	96.6	1.0	0.0	94.2	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	62	100.0	51.6	38.7	56.5	3.2	83.9	4.8	0.0
		3RD DUI	15	100.0	60.0	20.0	66.7	0.0	60.0	6.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	75.0	75.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	462	99.8	21.6	85.5	11.0	0.4	90.9	0.9	0.0
	SAN BERNRDN SUP	1ST DUI	1320	97.4	71.8	90.4	1.6	0.0	65.8	2.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	406	95.8	87.4	17.7	66.7	0.0	61.1	4.2	0.0
		3RD DUI	102	93.1	94.1	13.7	48.0	0.0	30.4	11.8	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	28	71.4	89.3	3.6	46.4	0.0	7.1	10.7	0.0
		TOTAL	1856	96.4	76.7	69.0	19.1	0.0	62.0	3.3	0.0
	FONTANA	1ST DUI	653	96.3	74.7	85.9	2.5	0.0	60.9	0.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	175	92.6	92.0	10.3	77.1	0.0	54.9	0.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	49	83.7	89.8	2.0	36.7	0.0	20.4	6.1	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	23	47.8	78.3	4.3	13.0	0.0	17.4	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	900	93.7	79.0	64.6	19.1	0.0	56.4	0.8	0.0
	RNCH CUMGA DIST	1ST DUI	1589	99.2	30.4	93.3	1.6	0.0	87.3	0.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	417	99.3	88.7	16.5	78.7	0.0	84.4	0.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	85	96.5	97.6	4.7	37.6	0.0	37.6	5.9	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	19	78.9	89.5	0.0	26.3	0.0	10.5	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2110	99.0	45.2	73.7	18.5	0.0	84.0	0.9	0.0
	BIG BEAR LAKE	1ST DUI	148	100.0	44.6	94.6	4.1	0.0	93.2	0.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	42	97.6	83.3	23.8	73.8	0.0	85.7	2.4	0.0
		3RD DUI	6	100.0	100.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	33.3	16.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	199	98.0	54.3	75.9	21.1	0.0	88.4	2.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 2005 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN BERNARDINO (cont.)	TWINPEAKS	1ST DUI	75	100.0	16.0	97.3	0.0	0.0	98.7	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	10	100.0	80.0	20.0	80.0	0.0	70.0	30.0	0.0
		TOTAL	85	100.0	23.5	88.2	9.4	0.0	95.3	3.5	0.0
	SUP NEEDLES	1ST DUI	96	97.9	52.1	87.5	0.0	0.0	76.0	1.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	15	100.0	73.3	46.7	46.7	0.0	66.7	13.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	117	97.4	56.4	78.6	7.7	0.0	71.8	2.6	0.0
	JOSHUA TREE DIST	1ST DUI	168	95.8	71.4	86.3	7.1	0.0	82.7	1.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	42	88.1	97.6	19.0	64.3	0.0	52.4	11.9	0.0
		3RD DUI	8	87.5	100.0	0.0	87.5	0.0	50.0	12.5	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	60.0	80.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	20.0
		TOTAL	223	93.3	77.6	69.1	21.1	0.0	74.4	4.5	0.4
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO	1ST DUI	153	85.0	96.1	71.9	2.0	0.0	34.6	1.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	41	78.0	100.0	12.2	48.8	0.0	14.6	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	19	68.4	100.0	10.5	36.8	0.0	5.3	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	26	42.3	100.0	0.0	15.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	239	77.8	97.5	49.0	14.2	0.0	25.1	0.8	0.0
	VISTA	1ST DUI	3087	97.1	21.3	77.2	4.3	0.0	52.5	12.1	0.4
		2ND DUI	895	95.1	86.1	7.3	68.3	0.0	47.9	10.4	3.4
		3RD DUI	208	88.9	95.2	6.3	60.1	0.0	19.7	12.5	8.2
		4TH+ DUI	79	54.4	97.5	3.8	39.2	0.0	5.1	1.3	15.2
		TOTAL	4269	95.5	39.9	57.7	21.1	0.0	49.0	11.6	1.7
	JUV SAN DIEGO	1ST DUI	78	2.6	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	79	2.5	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	EL CAJON	1ST DUI	1747	97.1	14.7	90.4	1.5	0.0	51.4	3.9	0.1
		2ND DUI	457	95.4	84.0	10.5	72.9	0.2	49.5	2.2	2.0
		3RD DUI	125	90.4	88.8	1.6	53.6	0.0	18.4	14.4	7.2
		4TH+ DUI	27	66.7	96.3	0.0	40.7	0.0	7.4	0.0	3.7
		TOTAL	2356	96.1	33.0	69.2	18.6	0.0	48.8	4.1	0.9
	SN DIEGO KEARNY	1ST DUI	3829	98.9	89.2	94.8	3.4	0.0	64.9	2.2	0.1
		2ND DUI	846	98.5	96.6	15.0	82.4	0.0	63.0	1.2	2.6
		3RD DUI	157	94.9	96.2	4.5	85.4	0.0	28.7	10.8	25.5
		4TH+ DUI	10	90.0	100.0	30.0	60.0	0.0	10.0	20.0	40.0
		TOTAL	4842	98.7	90.7	77.8	20.0	0.0	63.3	2.3	1.4
	CHULA VISTA	1ST DUI	1349	97.3	11.6	88.1	1.4	0.0	49.4	1.2	0.1
		2ND DUI	344	96.2	82.0	17.7	66.6	0.0	44.5	2.3	16.3
		3RD DUI	64	87.5	87.5	7.8	56.3	0.0	17.2	12.5	17.2
		4TH+ DUI	18	33.3	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.6
		TOTAL	1775	96.1	28.9	70.7	16.2	0.0	46.8	1.8	3.9
	USCT SAN DIEGO	2ND DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO	1ST DUI	18	77.8	88.9	50.0	5.6	0.0	0.0	5.6	11.1
		2ND DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	66.7	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	66.7
		TOTAL	27	85.2	88.9	33.3	29.6	3.7	0.0	3.7	33.3

TABLE B4: 2005 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN FRANCISCO (cont.)	TRAF SAN FRAN	1ST DUI	656	99.1	99.2	96.0	1.5	0.0	60.2	0.0	2.0
		2ND DUI	136	99.3	98.5	25.0	70.6	0.0	51.5	0.0	48.5
		3RD DUI	28	96.4	92.9	3.6	85.7	3.6	32.1	0.0	75.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	824	99.0	98.9	80.7	16.3	0.1	57.6	0.0	12.6
SAN JOAQUIN	JUV SAN JOAQUIN	1ST DUI	27	96.3	40.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	29	96.6	44.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.7	0.0
	LODI	1ST DUI	289	99.7	100.0	87.2	2.8	0.0	46.4	10.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	104	97.1	97.1	6.7	73.1	0.0	42.3	13.5	5.8
		3RD DUI	31	100.0	100.0	0.0	35.5	0.0	3.2	90.3	6.5
		4TH+ DUI	15	66.7	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	6.7	73.3	6.7
		TOTAL	439	97.9	99.3	59.0	22.3	0.0	41.0	18.9	2.1
	MANTECA	1ST DUI	285	99.3	100.0	91.9	3.5	0.0	54.7	1.8	3.2
		2ND DUI	83	100.0	100.0	18.1	78.3	0.0	41.0	3.6	57.8
		3RD DUI	20	90.0	100.0	5.0	75.0	0.0	20.0	35.0	50.0
		4TH+ DUI	13	69.2	92.3	0.0	38.5	0.0	0.0	7.7	46.2
		TOTAL	401	98.0	99.8	69.3	23.7	0.0	48.4	4.0	18.2
	TRACY	1ST DUI	177	98.9	99.4	94.4	3.4	0.0	61.6	4.0	1.1
		2ND DUI	44	97.7	95.5	6.8	77.3	2.3	56.8	0.0	15.9
		3RD DUI	23	95.7	91.3	13.0	60.9	4.3	21.7	34.8	43.5
		4TH+ DUI	6	66.7	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	16.7	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	250	97.6	98.0	69.2	22.8	0.8	56.0	7.2	7.6
	STOCKTON	1ST DUI	1191	98.8	93.9	90.2	5.0	0.1	49.9	1.8	0.4
		2ND DUI	413	98.1	100.0	12.6	82.6	0.0	47.0	1.5	11.1
		3RD DUI	160	96.9	98.8	1.9	91.9	0.0	15.0	11.9	18.8
		4TH+ DUI	59	79.7	93.2	1.7	67.8	0.0	8.5	11.9	20.3
		TOTAL	1823	97.9	95.7	62.0	32.2	0.1	44.8	2.9	5.1
SAN LUIS OBISPO	SAN LUIS OBISPO	1ST DUI	1199	98.8	96.6	92.2	1.8	0.0	53.8	0.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	361	97.5	97.5	13.0	79.5	0.0	50.1	0.3	0.3
		3RD DUI	112	97.3	100.0	9.8	74.1	0.0	16.1	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	50	72.0	100.0	2.0	36.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1722	97.7	97.1	67.6	23.8	0.0	49.0	0.5	0.1
SAN MATEO	SAN MATEO	1ST DUI	30	56.7	83.3	6.7	6.7	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	13	76.9	100.0	0.0	23.1	0.0	7.7	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	12	41.7	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	12	83.3	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	67	62.7	92.5	3.0	14.9	0.0	6.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV SAN MATEO	1ST DUI	15	100.0	6.7	6.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	86.7	0.0
		TOTAL	15	100.0	6.7	6.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	86.7	0.0
	SO SAN FRANCISCO	1ST DUI	956	99.1	93.3	91.6	1.9	0.0	68.3	0.2	0.6
		2ND DUI	226	97.3	98.2	12.4	81.9	0.0	26.1	0.0	32.7
		3RD DUI	64	98.4	100.0	7.8	82.8	0.0	7.8	0.0	28.1
		4TH+ DUI	9	88.9	100.0	0.0	88.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.2
		TOTAL	1255	98.6	94.6	72.4	21.0	0.0	57.1	0.2	8.0
	REDWOOD CITY	1ST DUI	874	99.0	98.2	94.6	2.3	0.0	76.2	0.1	0.5
		2ND DUI	226	96.0	99.6	9.3	83.6	0.0	14.6	0.9	9.7
		3RD DUI	59	96.6	100.0	3.4	83.1	0.0	5.1	1.7	8.5
		4TH+ DUI	4	100.0	100.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0
		TOTAL	1163	98.3	98.5	73.2	22.4	0.0	60.4	0.3	2.8

TABLE B4: 2005 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SANTA BARBARA	JUV SANTA BARB	1ST DUI	4	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	50.0	0.0
	JUV SNT MARIA WST	1ST DUI	7	71.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	0.0
		TOTAL	7	71.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	0.0
	SANTA BARBARA	1ST DUI	937	97.4	77.7	13.7	1.0	0.0	62.1	7.6	0.1
		2ND DUI	239	95.8	97.5	1.3	82.4	0.0	40.6	18.4	6.3
		3RD DUI	59	89.8	96.6	0.0	62.7	0.0	20.3	27.1	8.5
		4TH+ DUI	23	65.2	100.0	0.0	43.5	0.0	0.0	21.7	8.7
		TOTAL	1258	96.2	82.8	10.4	20.1	0.0	54.9	10.8	1.8
	SNTA MARIA	1ST DUI	511	98.6	57.3	5.9	2.0	0.0	51.3	17.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	166	95.8	95.2	0.0	59.0	0.0	7.8	72.9	6.6
		3RD DUI	67	86.6	100.0	0.0	64.2	0.0	3.0	71.6	11.9
		4TH+ DUI	12	33.3	100.0	0.0	8.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	756	95.9	70.1	4.0	20.1	0.0	36.6	34.4	2.5
	LOMPOC	1ST DUI	152	99.3	53.3	3.9	2.0	0.0	57.2	12.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	46	100.0	76.1	0.0	56.5	0.0	21.7	58.7	4.3
		3RD DUI	14	85.7	100.0	0.0	57.1	0.0	0.0	71.4	21.4
		4TH+ DUI	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	215	97.2	61.9	2.8	17.2	0.0	45.1	26.0	2.3
SANTA CLARA	SANTA CLARA	1ST DUI	119	75.6	97.5	33.6	6.7	0.0	5.0	3.4	3.4
		2ND DUI	57	56.1	100.0	7.0	35.1	0.0	7.0	3.5	3.5
		3RD DUI	32	40.6	100.0	3.1	21.9	0.0	3.1	0.0	3.1
		4TH+ DUI	71	43.7	100.0	0.0	32.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.6
		TOTAL	279	59.5	98.9	16.1	20.8	0.0	3.9	2.2	3.9
	JUV SANTA CLARA	1ST DUI	47	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.8	80.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	48	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	81.3	0.0
	SUP PALO ALTO	1ST DUI	674	99.4	96.0	93.5	2.4	0.0	77.2	1.2	1.3
		2ND DUI	142	97.9	99.3	23.2	69.0	0.7	66.9	3.5	28.9
		3RD DUI	34	100.0	100.0	2.9	67.6	0.0	23.5	0.0	67.6
		4TH+ DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3
		TOTAL	856	99.2	96.7	77.6	16.5	0.1	72.8	1.5	8.8
	SAN JOSE	1ST DUI	2750	99.7	98.7	92.8	4.6	0.0	57.1	3.7	2.3
		2ND DUI	740	99.3	99.5	14.3	80.3	0.1	51.5	3.0	29.9
		3RD DUI	162	96.9	100.0	2.5	66.0	0.0	12.3	1.2	59.9
		4TH+ DUI	6	83.3	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	66.7
		TOTAL	3658	99.5	98.9	72.7	22.7	0.0	53.9	3.4	10.5
	SAN JOSE TRAF	1ST DUI	2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	PALO ALTO	1ST DUI	3	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	33.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	100.0	100.0	75.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	25.0	0.0
	SAN MARTIN	1ST DUI	433	100.0	98.6	73.2	2.8	0.0	42.0	16.9	0.7
		2ND DUI	149	100.0	99.3	6.7	72.5	0.0	32.9	4.7	22.1
		3RD DUI	53	98.1	100.0	11.3	18.9	0.0	5.7	5.7	60.4
		4TH+ DUI	11	100.0	100.0	0.0	63.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.4
		TOTAL	646	99.8	98.9	51.5	21.2	0.0	36.2	12.8	11.1
	US MAG SAN JOSE	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 2005 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SANTA CRUZ	SANTA CRUZ	1ST DUI	5	80.0	100.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	6	66.7	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	7	71.4	85.7	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0
		TOTAL	21	76.2	95.2	9.5	14.3	0.0	4.8	28.6	0.0
	JUV SANTA CRUZ	1ST DUI	29	96.6	3.4	37.9	0.0	0.0	27.6	51.7	0.0
		TOTAL	29	96.6	3.4	37.9	0.0	0.0	27.6	51.7	0.0
	TRAF SANTA CRUZ	1ST DUI	685	99.1	98.4	81.9	0.7	0.0	53.0	13.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	230	98.7	97.8	8.3	63.9	0.0	42.2	20.9	0.0
		3RD DUI	64	92.2	100.0	1.6	12.5	0.0	7.8	73.4	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	9	66.7	100.0	0.0	11.1	0.0	11.1	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	988	98.3	98.4	58.8	16.3	0.0	47.2	19.0	0.0
	WATSONVILLE	1ST DUI	195	99.5	99.5	47.7	0.5	0.0	40.0	49.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	62	100.0	100.0	9.7	38.7	0.0	40.3	50.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	23	95.7	100.0	0.0	4.3	0.0	4.3	91.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
		TOTAL	283	99.3	99.6	35.0	9.2	0.0	36.7	53.4	0.0
SHASTA	JUV SHASTA	1ST DUI	7	100.0	57.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.0
		TOTAL	7	100.0	57.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.0
	BURNEY	1ST DUI	24	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	54.2	12.5	4.2
		2ND DUI	15	93.3	100.0	6.7	80.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	86.7
		3RD DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	100.0
		TOTAL	43	97.7	100.0	58.1	32.6	0.0	53.5	9.3	41.9
	REDDING	1ST DUI	501	96.6	99.6	91.4	1.8	0.0	58.3	8.2	2.6
		2ND DUI	219	93.6	99.1	15.1	73.5	0.0	58.9	5.5	66.7
		3RD DUI	61	90.2	98.4	4.9	27.9	0.0	11.5	26.2	80.3
		4TH+ DUI	38	21.1	97.4	0.0	2.6	0.0	0.0	21.1	7.9
		TOTAL	819	91.8	99.3	60.3	23.0	0.0	52.3	9.4	25.8
	US DIST REDDING	2ND DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SIERRA	SIERRA	1ST DUI	4	100.0	100.0	75.0	25.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	12	91.7	91.7	25.0	41.7	8.3	16.7	0.0	0.0
	DOWNIEVILLE	1ST DUI	7	100.0	100.0	71.4	14.3	0.0	71.4	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	7	100.0	100.0	14.3	85.7	0.0	57.1	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	16	100.0	100.0	37.5	56.3	0.0	56.3	0.0	0.0
SISKIYOU	SISKIYOU	3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV SISKIYOU	1ST DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	WEED	1ST DUI	82	100.0	98.8	73.2	4.9	0.0	32.9	2.4	2.4
		2ND DUI	27	96.3	100.0	25.9	70.4	0.0	33.3	3.7	25.9
		3RD DUI	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	85.7	0.0	0.0	42.9	71.4
		TOTAL	116	99.1	99.1	57.8	25.0	0.0	31.0	5.2	12.1

TABLE B4: 2005 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	YREKA	1ST DUI	97	96.9	99.0	78.4	4.1	0.0	34.0	2.1	4.1
		2ND DUI	38	97.4	97.4	23.7	68.4	0.0	7.9	5.3	21.1
		3RD DUI	14	92.9	100.0	0.0	85.7	0.0	0.0	7.1	50.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	20.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0
		TOTAL	154	94.2	98.7	55.2	27.3	0.0	23.4	3.2	13.0
SOLANO	SOLANO	1ST DUI	31	83.9	96.8	77.4	6.5	0.0	22.6	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	14	64.3	100.0	14.3	50.0	0.0	7.1	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	6	50.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	20	75.0	100.0	0.0	55.0	0.0	15.0	0.0	5.0
		TOTAL	71	74.6	98.6	36.6	31.0	0.0	16.9	0.0	1.4
	JUV SOLANO	1ST DUI	13	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	14	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.1	0.0
	FAIRFIELD	1ST DUI	684	98.4	99.0	93.9	1.9	0.0	66.1	0.0	0.1
		2ND DUI	200	97.5	100.0	17.0	78.0	0.0	47.5	0.0	6.5
		3RD DUI	55	83.6	100.0	10.9	63.6	0.0	21.8	0.0	10.9
		4TH+ DUI	6	50.0	100.0	16.7	33.3	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	945	97.0	99.3	72.3	21.8	0.0	59.3	0.0	2.1
	VALLEJO	1ST DUI	316	98.7	99.1	94.0	2.8	0.0	64.2	0.9	1.6
		2ND DUI	118	93.2	100.0	16.9	70.3	0.0	55.9	0.0	7.6
		3RD DUI	46	93.5	95.7	6.5	76.1	0.0	41.3	0.0	15.2
		4TH+ DUI	17	64.7	94.1	11.8	47.1	0.0	5.9	0.0	5.9
		TOTAL	497	95.8	98.8	64.8	27.2	0.0	58.1	0.6	4.4
SONOMA	SONOMA	1ST DUI	1583	97.2	90.7	71.5	0.6	0.0	44.5	0.0	0.3
		2ND DUI	512	94.5	98.4	9.6	48.4	0.0	36.9	0.0	6.4
		3RD DUI	180	87.8	100.0	1.7	31.7	0.0	8.3	0.0	20.0
		4TH+ DUI	68	57.4	97.1	0.0	5.9	0.0	1.5	0.0	5.9
		TOTAL	2343	94.8	93.3	50.5	13.6	0.0	38.8	0.0	3.3
	JUV SONOMA	1ST DUI	24	66.7	8.3	62.5	0.0	0.0	4.2	75.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	25	64.0	12.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	76.0	0.0
	SANTA ROSA	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	50.0	75.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
STANISLAUS	STANISLAUS	1ST DUI	1202	99.1	99.3	85.4	4.3	0.0	32.8	2.7	0.7
		2ND DUI	311	98.1	99.0	18.0	68.8	0.0	18.0	4.2	6.1
		3RD DUI	97	97.9	99.0	9.3	71.1	1.0	4.1	2.1	16.5
		4TH+ DUI	41	68.3	100.0	4.9	56.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.1
		TOTAL	1651	98.1	99.3	66.2	21.7	0.1	27.5	2.9	3.0
	JUV STANISLAUS	1ST DUI	18	100.0	0.0	72.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	77.8	0.0
		TOTAL	18	100.0	0.0	72.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	77.8	0.0
	MODESTO	1ST DUI	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TURLOCK	1ST DUI	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SUTTER	TRAF YUBA CITY	1ST DUI	241	97.1	93.8	90.9	0.8	0.0	61.4	0.8	2.5
		2ND DUI	74	95.9	98.6	14.9	71.6	0.0	55.4	1.4	21.6
		3RD DUI	31	93.5	96.8	12.9	58.1	0.0	9.7	12.9	41.9
		4TH+ DUI	13	84.6	84.6	7.7	53.8	0.0	7.7	7.7	30.8
		TOTAL	359	96.1	94.7	65.5	22.3	0.0	53.8	2.2	10.9

TABLE B4: 2005 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
TEHAMA	TEHAMA	1ST DUI	8	62.5	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	37.5	50.0
		2ND DUI	6	16.7	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0
		3RD DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
		4TH+ DUI	7	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	57.1
		TOTAL	24	29.2	100.0	16.7	8.3	0.0	0.0	25.0	58.3
	JUV TEHAMA	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	2	50.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0
	CORNING	1ST DUI	93	98.9	100.0	94.6	2.2	0.0	68.8	0.0	2.2
		2ND DUI	52	92.3	100.0	11.5	75.0	0.0	23.1	0.0	7.7
		3RD DUI	16	68.8	100.0	0.0	62.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.5
		4TH+ DUI	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	164	92.1	100.0	57.3	31.1	0.0	46.3	0.0	4.9
	REDBLUFF	1ST DUI	139	91.4	97.1	78.4	4.3	0.0	82.0	7.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	60	95.0	100.0	18.3	70.0	0.0	86.7	8.3	5.0
		3RD DUI	14	64.3	92.9	0.0	57.1	0.0	35.7	28.6	21.4
		4TH+ DUI	9	66.7	100.0	22.2	44.4	0.0	66.7	0.0	33.3
		TOTAL	222	89.6	97.7	55.0	27.0	0.0	79.7	8.6	4.1
TRINITY	TRINITY	1ST DUI	40	65.0	90.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	42.5	2.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	17	94.1	88.2	11.8	47.1	0.0	58.8	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	75.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	25.0	0.0
		TOTAL	61	73.8	88.5	42.6	13.1	0.0	45.9	3.3	0.0
TULARE	JUV VISALIA	1ST DUI	21	100.0	0.0	9.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	23	100.0	0.0	8.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	DINUBA	1ST DUI	216	94.0	96.3	80.1	0.5	0.0	57.9	0.0	2.8
		2ND DUI	51	98.0	94.1	15.7	58.8	0.0	54.9	0.0	11.8
		3RD DUI	16	87.5	87.5	12.5	25.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	37.5
		4TH+ DUI	8	87.5	75.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	37.5
		TOTAL	291	94.2	94.8	62.9	12.7	0.0	54.6	0.0	7.2
	PORTERVILLE	1ST DUI	476	93.1	92.4	79.4	3.6	0.0	23.7	1.9	2.1
		2ND DUI	202	85.1	95.5	14.9	57.9	0.0	10.4	1.0	10.9
		3RD DUI	47	89.4	93.6	0.0	74.5	0.0	4.3	6.4	27.7
		4TH+ DUI	23	56.5	100.0	4.3	26.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.7
		TOTAL	748	89.6	93.6	54.7	23.4	0.0	18.2	1.9	6.3
	TULARE	1ST DUI	730	96.4	82.7	84.8	4.4	0.0	27.1	6.4	1.5
		2ND DUI	206	97.6	97.6	14.1	78.2	0.0	13.6	24.8	13.6
		3RD DUI	46	97.8	93.5	2.2	87.0	0.0	2.2	34.8	28.3
		4TH+ DUI	17	88.2	94.1	0.0	47.1	0.0	0.0	11.8	47.1
		TOTAL	999	96.6	86.5	65.0	24.1	0.0	22.7	11.6	6.0
	VISALIA DIV	1ST DUI	297	89.9	89.6	70.4	1.7	0.0	58.6	1.7	5.7
		2ND DUI	93	93.5	95.7	19.4	43.0	0.0	45.2	2.2	16.1
		3RD DUI	27	92.6	100.0	11.1	25.9	0.0	22.2	18.5	48.1
		4TH+ DUI	20	55.0	95.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	437	89.2	91.8	52.6	12.4	0.0	50.8	2.7	10.3
TUOLUMNE	TUOLUMNE	1ST DUI	257	92.6	95.7	82.9	3.5	0.0	56.0	2.7	0.4
		2ND DUI	91	93.4	98.9	13.2	73.6	0.0	54.9	1.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	32	93.8	100.0	3.1	18.8	0.0	34.4	6.3	21.9
		4TH+ DUI	11	72.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	391	92.3	96.9	57.8	21.0	0.0	52.4	2.6	2.0

TABLE B4: 2005 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
VENTURA	VENTURA	1ST DUI	2768	99.1	90.4	75.1	1.2	0.0	48.0	19.4	5.9
		2ND DUI	640	98.6	96.6	11.4	66.3	0.0	45.9	13.6	67.2
		3RD DUI	165	94.5	94.5	4.2	63.0	0.0	20.6	18.8	79.4
		4TH+ DUI	51	72.5	98.0	2.0	43.1	0.0	3.9	27.5	64.7
		TOTAL	3624	98.5	91.8	59.6	16.1	0.0	45.8	18.4	20.9
YOLO	YOLO	1ST DUI	667	97.3	95.1	86.2	1.5	0.0	62.7	2.7	0.9
		2ND DUI	208	98.1	99.0	27.9	56.7	0.0	63.9	1.4	46.2
		3RD DUI	55	96.4	100.0	10.9	23.6	0.0	12.7	18.2	50.9
		4TH+ DUI	22	59.1	95.5	0.0	9.1	0.0	4.5	13.6	9.1
		TOTAL	952	96.5	96.2	67.1	15.0	0.0	58.7	3.6	13.9
YUBA	YUBA	1ST DUI	11	90.9	100.0	81.8	0.0	0.0	54.5	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	19	68.4	100.0	47.4	10.5	0.0	31.6	0.0	0.0
	JUV YUBA	1ST DUI	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	MARYSVILLE	1ST DUI	219	97.7	90.9	85.4	3.7	0.0	54.3	0.9	0.5
		2ND DUI	73	95.9	91.8	15.1	68.5	0.0	13.7	4.1	15.1
		3RD DUI	23	100.0	91.3	4.3	56.5	4.3	4.3	4.3	21.7
		4TH+ DUI	3	66.7	100.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	318	97.2	91.2	62.9	22.6	0.3	41.2	1.9	5.3
	BEALE AFB	1ST DUI	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B5: DEMOGRAPHIC 2-YEAR PRIOR DRIVER RECORD VARIABLES BY YEAR AND SANCTION GROUP FOR ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS AND 3- AND 6-MONTH ALCOHOL PROGRAM DRIVERS

YEAR GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	PERCENT FEMALE	MEAN AGE	PERCENT COMMERCIAL DRIVERS	MONTHS IN STUDY	2-YEAR PRIOR INCIDENTS PER 100 DRIVERS				ZIP CODE ACCIDENT AND CONVICTION INDICES			
						TOTAL ACCIDENTS	ALCOHOL ACCIDENTS	MAJOR CONVICTIONS	MINOR CONVICTIONS	TOTAL ACCIDENTS	INJURY ACCIDENTS	MAJOR VIOLATIONS	MOVING VIOLATIONS
7/05-6/06													
No program	2,474 (35.1%)	23.9	33.0	3.4	16.0	2.7	1.0	.19	9.1	1.50	.38	.43	2.12
Alcohol education program	4,570 (64.9%)	24.7	32.6	2.8	16.0	2.6	.9	.11	9.2	1.55	.37	.37	2.11
Statistical significance test		$X^2 = .67$	$F = 1.34$	$X^2 = 2.72$	$F = .02$	$F = .19$	$F = 2.93$	$F = 6.54$*	$F = .22$	$F = 36.7$*	$F = 1.4$	$F = 205.5$*	$F = .95$
2005													
3-month program	22,059 (77.3%)	24.8	33.5	2.1	19.5	3.3	1.5	.09	8.7	1.5	.36	.39	2.11
6-month program	6,471 (22.7%)	25.6	36.6	2.6	19.8	4.1	2.6	.08	6.1	1.5	.37	.39	2.11
Statistical significance test		$X^2 = 1.78$	$F = 327.7$*	$X^2 = 5.86$*	$F = 19.3$*	$F = 76.6$*	$F = 365.5$*	$F = 1.46$	$F = 228.3$*	$F = 1.63$	$F = 27.8$*	$F = .01$	$F = .71$

*$p < .05$