STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES

2007

ANNUAL REPORT OF THE CALIFORNIA DUI MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM

ANNUAL REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN ACCORD WITH ASSEMBLY BILL 757
CHAPTER 450, 1989 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

JANUARY 2007

ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER
Governor

BARRY R. SEDLIK, Acting Secretary
Business, Transportation and Housing Agency

GEORGE VALVERDE
Director

© California Department of Motor Vehicles, 2007

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED
	January 2007	Final Report

4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE
2007 Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System

5. FUNDING NUMBERS

6. AUTHOR(S)
Helen N. Tashima and Sladjana Oulad Daoud

7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)
California Department of Motor Vehicles
Research and Development Section
P.O. Box 932382
Sacramento, CA 94232-3820

8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
CAL-DMV-RSS-07-222

9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)

10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE

13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)

In this sixteenth annual legislatively-mandated report, 2004 and 2005 DUI data from diverse sources were compiled and cross-referenced for the purpose of developing a single comprehensive DUI data reference and monitoring system. This report presents crosstabulated information on DUI arrests, convictions, court sanctions, administrative actions and alcohol-involved crashes. In addition, this report provides 1-year proportions of DUI recidivism and crash rates for first and second DUI offenders arrested in each year over a time period of fifteen years. Also, the long-term recidivism curves of the cumulative proportions of DUI reoffenses are shown for all DUI offenders arrested in 1994. Analyses were conducted on the effectiveness of alcohol education programs upon the 1-year postconviction records of those convicted of the reduced charge of alcohol-related reckless driving, and on the effectiveness of the 3-month versus 6-month alcohol education programs on the 1-year postconviction records of first offenders. Two additional subanalyses were conducted to determine if differences on the outcome measures were related to BAC level (below .20 and .20 and above). The proportions of 2004 convicted first and second offenders who completed their alcohol education/treatment program requirement are also presented.

14. SUBJECT TERMS
Drinking drivers, DUI tracking data system, DUI reporting system, DUI countermeasures, DUI recidivism, alcohol education and rehabilitation, driver license disqualification

15. NUMBER OF PAGES
157

16. PRICE CODE

17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified	None

DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 1995 - 2005

	YEAR										
	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
DUI Arrest Rate (per 100,000 licensed drivers)	983	995	933	908	896	847	803	783	809	792	786
Total DUI arrests[1]	198982	201765	191164	188327	188523	181336	176490	177056	183560	180957	180288
Felony DUI arrests[1]	6609	6313	5568	5330	5242	5476	5647	5859	5856	5646	5962
Misdemeanor DUI arrests[1]	192373	195452	185596	182997	183281	175860	170843	171197	177704	175311	174326
DUI convictions received to date (by year of arrest)[2]	149663	149763	142280	143967	149572	144079	140103	139245	143463	139331	134581
Percent convicted of DUI as of Sept. 2006[2]	75%	74%	74%	76%	79%	79%	79%	79%	78%	77%	75%
Alcohol-involved reckless driving convictions[2]	16329	17446	16867	15945	15514	14465	15773	15694	15735	14801	13523
Percent convicted of alcohol reckless as of Sept. 2006[2]	8.2%	8.6%	8.8%	8.5%	8.2%	8.0%	8.9%	8.9%	8.6%	8.2%	7.5%
Alcohol-involved fatalities[3]	1343	1254	1100	1072	1170	1233	1308	1416	1445	1462	1574
% of total fatalities	32.2	31.6	30.0	31.0	32.8	33.1	33.3	34.2	34.2	35.7	36.6
Alcohol-involved injuries[3]	36961	35654	31189	30985	29833	30971	31806	32013	31322	31538	30810
% of total injuries	12.1	11.9	10.9	10.7	10.3	10.2	10.4	10.4	10.2	10.4	10.5
Drug-involved fatalities[4]	266	264	253	266	290	428	509	639	784	799	880
% of total fatalities	6.2	6.6	6.9	7.7	8.2	11.5	13.0	15.6	18.6	19.5	20.4
Drug-involved injuries[4]	N/A	1606	1682	1549	1774	1917	2106	2373	2580	2646	2722
% of total injuries	N/A	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.9

[1]These totals do not include duplicate cases as originally reported in the Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, MACR data, and beginning with this report, the counts for all the years reflect this change.

[2]These data represent a DMV master file count of the number of DUI abstracts (data received from the courts), by year of violation, minus duplicates. Each year, the DUI conviction totals are updated to include the amended and new abstracts for current and previous years. These additions are also reflected in changes for the percent convicted. These totals do not include conviction abstracts not yet received. Thus, for the most recent years, these figures will underestimate the final conviction totals.

[3]These figures include overlap with drugs. That is, drugs are also involved in some of these cases.

[4]These figures include overlap with alcohol. That is, alcohol is also involved in some of these cases.

New this year is the reporting of drug-involved fatalities. Also, total reckless driving convictions, total mandatory suspensions/revocations, APS/refusal actions and postconviction suspension/revocations were removed from this page. This information can be found on the next page of the DUI Summary Statistics except for total reckless driving convictions.

DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 1995 - 2005 (continued)

	YEAR										
	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
TOTAL MANDATORY SUSPENSION/ REVOCATION (S/R) ACTIONS	226158[r]	230600[r]	205462[1]	238612[1]	236141	240597	231217	236603	241242	239580	247568
PRECONVICTION											
Admin Per Se (APS) Actions	173696[r]	180343[r]	169511	175365	179332	172606	164840	165505	171470	171828	168569
.01 Zero tolerance suspensions	8608[r]	9327[r]	11517	15640	17775	18185	18549	19129	19949	19967	19374
.08 First-offender suspensions	116636[r]	122111[r]	114247	116827	119621	114997	109695	109888	114975	116022	107466
.08 Repeat-offender suspensions	43218[r]	43922[r]	39636	39024	38487	36147	33517	33580	33413	32903	38097
.08 Repeat-offender revocations	5234[r]	4983[r]	4111	3874	3449	3277	3079	2908	3133	2936	3632
Commercial driver actions	4743[r]	4939[r]	4496	4609	4471	4139	4013	3936	3853	3801	3525
Chemical test refusal actions	11711[r]	11436[r]	10110	9935	9435	9433	8841	8772	9399	9353	9599
.01 Test refusal suspensions	170	154	134	229	268	270	280	290	341	326	364
.08 Test refusal suspensions	6307[r]	6299[r]	5865	5832	5718	5886	5482	5547	5925	6091	5603
.08 Test refusal revocations	5234[r]	4983[r]	4111	3874	3449	3277	3079	2908	3133	2936	3632
POSTCONVICTION[2]											
Juvenile DUI suspensions	677	995	769[1]	1026[1]	918	741	714	896	794	838	737
First-offender suspensions	7266	7229	4847[1]	9588[1]	15072	29924	31097	32716	32521	31012	39078
Misdemeanor	5806	5753	3834[1]	7497[1]	13401	28118	29188	30563	30298	28799	36808
Felony	1460	1476	1013[1]	2091[1]	1671	1806	1909	2153	2223	2213	2270
Second-offender S/R actions	31489	30404	22945[1]	40238[1]	31940	29097	26911	29345	28737	28400	30294
Misdemeanor	30955	29864	22532[1]	39065[1]	31455	28571	26334	28748	28160	27847	29699
Felony	534	540	413[1]	633[1]	485	526	577	597	577	553	595
Third-offender revocations	9471	8728	5569[1]	9397[1]	6573	6163	5727	6171	5953	5581	6720
Misdemeanor	9261	8550	5471[1]	9167[1]	6452	6015	5585	5996	5758	5429	6537
Felony	210	178	98[1]	230[1]	121	148	142	175	195	152	183
Fourth-offender revocations	3559	2901	1821[1]	2998[1]	2306	2066	1928	1970	1767	1921	2170
TOTAL POSTCONVICTION ACTIONS	52462	50257[r]	35951[1]	63247[1]	56809	67991	66377	71098	69772	67752	78999[3]

[1]The 1997/1998 counts reflect backlogged actions from 1997 that were processed in 1998.

[2]These totals include suspension actions that are associated with lack of compliance with statutory requirements, and include workload counts.

[3]This count has increased as a result of the law change, effective 09/20/2005, which assigned to DMV the sole responsibility for imposing license actions for all DUI and removed this responsibility from the courts.

[r]Revised from prior reports.

HIGHLIGHTS OF YEAR 2007 CALIFORNIA DUI-MIS REPORT

- Alcohol-involved traffic fatalities rose by 7.7% in 2005, continuing a reversal in trend that started in 1999 after well over a decade of continuous decline (see DUI Summary Statistics).

- Drug-involved fatalities show a significant growing trend in the past decade, increasing by 231%, from 266 in 1995 to 880 in 2005 (new this year, see DUI Summary Statistics).

- The number of persons injured in alcohol-involved crashes decreased by 2.3% in 2005, following an increase of 0.7% in 2004 (see DUI Summary Statistics).

- DUI arrests decreased by 0.4% in 2005, following a decrease of 1.4% in 2004, after an increase of 3.7% in 2003 and 0.3% in 2002 (see Table 1).

- The DUI arrest rate declined slightly in 2005. The rate has remained fairly stable over the last five years. The 2005 rate represents a 20% reduction from the arrest rate in 1995 (see DUI Summary Statistics).

- 14.8% of all 2004 DUI arrests were associated with a reported traffic crash, compared to 14.3% in 2003. 6.2% of DUI arrests were associated with crashes involving injuries or fatalities, relatively unchanged from 6.1% in 2003 (see Table 19).

- Among 2005 DUI arrestees, Hispanics (45.5%) again constituted the largest racial/ethnic group, as they have each year since 1992 (with the exception of 1999). Hispanics continued to be arrested at a rate substantially higher than their estimated percentage of California's adult population (32.5% in 2005). This is shown in Figure 3.

- The median (midpoint) age of an arrested DUI offender in 2005 was 30 years. Less than 1% of arrested DUI offenders were juveniles (under age 18). This is shown in Table 3a.

- Among convicted DUI offenders in 2004, 76.5% were first offenders and 23.5% were repeat offenders (one or more prior convictions within the previous 7 years). The

proportion of repeat offenders has decreased considerably since 1989, when it stood at 37% (see Table 10).

- The median blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of a convicted DUI offender, as reported by law enforcement on APS forms, was .150% in 2004, down slightly from the last several years, yet almost double the California illegal per se BAC limit of .08% (see Table 9a).

- 11.2% of 2004 DUI arrest cases did not show any corresponding conviction on DMV records, relatively unchanged from 2003 DUI arrests (see Table 8).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge with appreciation the many individuals who have contributed to the success of this project. In particular, we wish to acknowledge the cooperation of the Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, in providing annual DUI arrest data and documentation. The contributions of Debbie McKenzie, Associate Governmental Program Analyst, in transforming mainframe computer files into readable data tables and figures, as well as her general assistance in the production of this report, are acknowledged with appreciation. We also would like to acknowledge the contributions of Patrice Rogers, Research Program Specialist II, Kevin L. Nguyen, Staff Programmer Analyst, Leonard A. Marowitz, Research Manager II, Alcohol/Drug Projects, and David J. DeYoung, Chief, Research and Development Branch.

Report Authors:
Helen N. Tashima, Research Program Specialist II and
Sladjana Oulad Daoud, Research Program Specialist I, Principal Investigators

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 1995-2005 i
HIGHLIGHTS OF YEAR 2007 CALIFORNIA DUI-MIS REPORT iii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS v
INTRODUCTION 1
SECTION 1: DUI ARRESTS 4
SECTION 2: CONVICTIONS 12
SECTION 3: POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS 24
SECTION 4: POSTCONVICTION SANCTION EFFECTIVENESS 37
DUI RECIDIVISM AND CRASH RATES 41
One-Year DUI Recidivism and Crash Rates for First and Second DUI Offenders from 1990-2004 41
One-Year DUI Recidivism and Crash Rates by County for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested in 2004 44
The Proportions of Alcohol Education Program Completions for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested in 2004 47
Long Term Recidivism Rates of the 1994 DUI Offenders 48
ALCOHOL EDUCATION PROGRAM EVALUATION FOR THE ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS OFFENDERS AND FIRST DUI OFFENDERS 54
Alcohol Education Program Evaluation for Drivers Convicted of Alcohol-Reckless Driving 57
Results of the Evaluation of the 3-Month and 6-Month Alcohol Education Programs for First DUI Offenders 58
Results of the Evaluation of the 6-Month Alcohol Education Programs for First DUI Offenders with BAC Levels Below .20 Versus .20 and Above 60
Results of the Evaluation of the 3-Month and 6-Month Alcohol Education Programs for First DUI Offenders with BAC Levels of .20 and Above 61
SECTION 5: ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS 63
SECTION 6: CRASHES INVOLVING ALCOHOL 67
DATA SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS 77
HISTORY OF MAJOR DUI LAWS IN CALIFORNIA SINCE 1975 78
REFERENCES 90
GLOSSARY 94

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

APPENDICES

NUMBER		PAGE
A	ASSEMBLY BILL NO. 757 - CHAPTER 450	97
B1	2005 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY	99
B2	2004 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE	114
B3	TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2004 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT	124
B4	2004 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS	133
B5	DEMOGRAPHIC 2-YEAR PRIOR DRIVER RECORD VARIABLES BY YEAR AND SANCTION GROUP FOR ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS AND 3- AND 6-MONTH ALCOHOL PROGRAM DRIVERS	157

LIST OF TABLES

1	DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND ANNUAL PERCENTAGE CHANGE FROM 2003-2005	8
2	2005 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST	9
3a	2005 DUI ARRESTS BY AGE, SEX, AND RACE/ETHNICITY	10
3b	2005 DUI ARRESTS BY SEX, AGE, AND RACE/ETHNICITY	10
3c	DUI ARRESTS UNDER AGE 21, 1995-2005	11
4	2004 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE AND SEX	17
5	MATCHABLE 2004 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE, RACE/ETHNICITY, AND SEX	18
6	ADJUSTED 2004 DUI CONVICTION RATES AND RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD OF CONVICTION BY AGE AND RACE/ETHNICITY	18
7	TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2004 DUI ARRESTEES	19
8	ADJUDICATION STATUS OF 2004 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY	21

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

NUMBER		PAGE
9a	2004 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF DUI CONVICTIONS	22
9b	2004 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF CONVICTED DUI OFFENDERS UNDER AGE 21	23
10	2004 DUI CONVICTIONS BY OFFENDER STATUS AND REPORTED BAC LEVEL	23
11	2004 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS	27
12	2004 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS	28
13a	ONE-YEAR UNADJUSTED PERCENTAGES OF SUBSEQUENT DUI-INCIDENT-INVOLVED AND CRASH-INVOLVED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS, 1990-2004	42
13b	2004 1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT DUI RECIDIVISM RATES BY COUNTY FOR FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS	45
13c	2004 1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT CRASH RATES BY COUNTY FOR FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS	46
14	COUNTS AND PROPORTIONS OF REPORTED ALCOHOL PROGRAM COMPLETIONS FOR CONVICTED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2004	47
15	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGES OF THE FIRST SUBSEQUENT DUI REOFFENSES FOR 1994 DUI OFFENDERS	48
16a	THE EFFECT OF ALCOHOL EDUCATION PROGRAM ON SUBSEQUENT CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS FOR DRIVERS CONVICTED OF ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS DRIVING	58
16b	FIRST OFFENDER 3-MONTH AND 6-MONTH ALCOHOL EDUCATION PROGRAM EFFECTS ON TOTAL CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS	59

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

NUMBER		PAGE
16c	FIRST OFFENDER 6-MONTH ALCOHOL EDUCATION PROGRAM EFFECTS ON TOTAL CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS FOR DRIVERS WITH BAC LEVELS BELOW .20 VERSUS .20 AND ABOVE	61
16d	FIRST OFFENDER 3-MONTH AND 6-MONTH ALCOHOL EDUCATION PROGRAM EFFECTS ON TOTAL CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS FOR DRIVERS WITH BAC LEVELS .20 AND ABOVE	62
17	MANDATORY DUI LICENSE DISQUALIFICATION ACTIONS, 1995-2005	65
18	ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE PROCESS MEASURES	66
19	DUI ARRESTS ASSOCIATED WITH REPORTED CRASHES, 1994-2004	70
20	2004 HAD BEEN DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND SOBRIETY CODE	71
21	2004 HAD BEEN DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY ADJUDICATION STATUS AND SOBRIETY CODE	71
22	2004 HAD BEEN DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES WITH NO RECORD OF CONVICTION BY COUNTY AND SOBRIETY LEVEL	72
23	HAD BEEN DRINKING DRIVERS UNDER AGE 21 INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES, 1995-2004	73
24a	2004 HAD BEEN DRINKING DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY AGE AND SEX	73
24b	2004 HAD BEEN DRINKING DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY AGE AND SEX (NOT ARRESTED)	73
25a	SOBRIETY LEVEL BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2004 HAD BEEN DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES	74

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

NUMBER		PAGE
25b	SOBRIETY LEVEL BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2004 HAD BEEN DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES (NOT ARRESTED)	74
26a	2004 HAD BEEN DRINKING DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS	75
26b	2004 HAD BEEN DRINKING DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NOT ARRESTED)	75
27	2004 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF DRIVERS INVOLVED IN ALCOHOL-RELATED CRASHES	76

LIST OF FIGURES

1	DUI management information system	2
2	DUI arrests 1995-2005	5
3	Percentage of 2005 DUI arrests and 2005 projected population (age 15 and over, based on the 2000 census) by race/ethnicity	7
4	DUI abstracts received by DMV and conviction rates, 1995-2005	14
5	Relative likelihood of conviction by race/ethnicity	17
6	Percentage representation of court-ordered DUI sanctions (2004)	25
7	Percentages of first- and second-DUI offenders reoffending in a DUI incident within one year after conviction (arrested in 1990-2004)	41
8	Percentages of first- and second-DUI offenders involved in a crash within one year after conviction (arrested in 1990-2004)	43
9a	Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction, and first subsequent DUI conviction and DUI incidents (alcohol crashes, major convictions, APS suspensions and DUI FTAs)	49

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

NUMBER		PAGE
9b	Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI conviction by number of prior DUI convictions	50
9c	Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI conviction by sex	51
9d	Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI conviction by age group (age at conviction date)	52
9e	Length of time between DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI reoffense of 1980, 1984, 1994, and 2000 DUI drivers	52
10a	Adjusted 1-year crash and DUI incident rates for 2004-2005 (fiscal year) alcohol reckless drivers by type of sanction	57
10b	Adjusted 1-year crash and DUI incident rates for first offender drivers (arrested in year 2004) by length of alcohol education program	59
11	Percentage of total injuries and total fatalities that were alcohol-involved, 1995-2005	68
12	Alcohol and drug involved total fatalities, 1995-2005	69

INTRODUCTION

This report is the sixteenth Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System, produced in response to Assembly Bill 757 (Friedman), Chapter 450, 1989 legislative session (see Appendix A). This bill required the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) to "establish and maintain a data and monitoring system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted" of DUI in order to provide "accurate and up-to-date comprehensive statistics" to enhance "the ability of the Legislature to make informed and timely policy decisions." The need for such a data system had long been documented by numerous authorities, including the 1983 Presidential Commission on Drunk Driving. In responding to this legislative mandate, this report combines and cross-references DUI data from diverse sources and presents them in a single reference. Data sources drawn upon include the California Highway Patrol (CHP) for crash data, Department of Justice (DOJ) for arrest data, and the DMV driver record database. Each of these reporting agencies, however, initially draw their data from diffuse primary sources such as individual law enforcement agencies (arrest and crash reports) and the courts (abstracts of conviction).

The general conceptual design of the California DUI management information system (DUI-MIS) is presented in Figure 1. The basic theme of the DUI-MIS is to track the processing of offenders through the DUI system from the point of arrest and to identify the frequency with which offenders flow through each branch of the system process (from law enforcement through adjudication to treatment and license control actions). Figure 1 also illustrates the relationship between offender flow and data collection at each point of the process. The initiating data source for the DUI-MIS is the DUI arrest report, as compiled by the DOJ Law Enforcement Information Center's Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system.

Another major objective of this report is to evaluate the effectiveness of court and administrative sanctions on convicted DUI offenders. In the earlier years of this report, these evaluations were accomplished by examining the postconviction recidivism records (alcohol/drug-related crashes and traffic convictions) of offenders assigned to alternative sanctions within offender group. In recent years as the sanctions became increasingly homogenous within each offender group, the evaluations (as mandated by law) became focused on available sanctions in selected groups. These evaluations are detailed in Section 4 on "Postconviction Sanction Effectiveness."



Figure 1. DUI management information system.

It should again be noted that it is not an objective of this report to make recommendations based on the data presented. Rather, the primary purpose of a reporting system such as the DUI-MIS is to provide objective data on the operating and performance characteristics of the system for others to assess in making policy decisions, formulating improvements and conducting more in-depth evaluations.

The DUI-MIS data system and report has led to numerous improvements in the California DUI system, from the identification of inappropriate dismissals in a small central valley court to major initiatives to improve the tracking and reporting of DUI cases. The success of the California DUI-MIS has also contributed to a national initiative to design a model DUI reporting system, developed under contract to the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA).

SECTION 1:

DUI ARRESTS

SECTION 1: DUI ARRESTS

The information presented below on DUI arrests is based primarily on data collected annually by the Department of Justice (DOJ), Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system. These data are the most current nonaggregated data available on DUI arrests.

Table 1: DUI Arrests by County and Annual Percentage Change from 2003-2005. The number of DUI arrests by county for the years 2003-2005 and the percentage change from 2004-2005 are shown in Table 1.

Table 2: 2005 DUI Arrests by County and Type of Arrest. This table shows a breakdown of 2005 DUI arrests by felony, misdemeanor, and juvenile arrest type, by county. The table also shows county and statewide DUI arrest rates per 100 licensed drivers.

Tables 3a and 3b: 2005 DUI Arrests by Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity. Table 3a crosstabulates age by sex and age by race/ethnicity of 2005 DUI arrestees statewide. The same tabulations by county are found in Appendix Table B1. Also, Table 3a shows the average age for 2005 arrestees. In addition to the mean, the median (midpoint) was reported to minimize the influence of data values that are not equally distributed. Table 3b shows the same data cross tabulated by sex and age within race/ethnicity.

Table 3c: DUI Arrests Under Age 21, 1995-2005. Table 3c shows a breakdown of DUI arrests under 21, by age, from 1995 to 2005. It also shows a proportion of DUI arrests under 21 in the total count for the state over the same time period.

Figure 2 (below) displays the trend in DUI arrests from 1995 to 2005.



Figure 2. DUI arrests 1995-2005.

Based on the data shown in Figure 2 and previously listed tables, the following statements can be made about DUI arrests in California:

Statewide Parameters:

- DUI arrests decreased by 0.4% in 2005, following a decrease of 1.4% in 2004, and an increase of 3.7% in 2003 (see Table 1).

- Table 2 shows that the per capita DUI arrest rate was 0.8 in 2005 (as it was in 2000-04, but down from 0.9 in 1997-99). This represents over a 50% reduction from the 1.8 rate in 1990.

- The percentage of DUI arrests that were felonies (involving bodily injury or death) increased slightly from 3.1% in 2004 to 3.3% in 2005; felony DUI arrests continue to constitute a relatively small percentage of all DUI arrests (see Table 2).

County Variation:

- 21.3% of all 2005 California DUI arrests occurred in Los Angeles County. Four counties (Los Angeles, San Diego, Orange, and San Bernardino) had over 10,000 DUI arrests each, accounting for 43.9% of all arrests (see Table 2).

- The 2005 county per capita DUI arrest rates ranged from 0.3 to 3.2 DUI arrests per 100 licensed drivers (the statewide average rate is 0.8). Eight counties again had

rates of 0.7 or below. These low per capita arrest rate counties were San Francisco (0.3), Contra Costa (0.5), Santa Clara (0.6), Los Angeles, Orange, San Mateo, Shasta and Solano (0.7). Four counties had rates of 2.0 or higher—Glen (3.2), Sierra (3.0), Alpine (2.5) and Colusa (2.3). This is shown in Table 2.

- As in past years, many counties again showed a decline in DUI arrests in 2005. Among the larger counties, the greatest percentage declines occurred in Monterey (-17.0%) and Contra Costa (-9.1%). Among smaller counties, the largest percentage decreases in DUI arrests occurred in Alpine (-32.5%), Lake (-20.8%), Plumas and Tuolumne (-17.8) and San Francisco (-17.3%). Among counties showing percentage increases in DUI arrests were Glenn (31.2%), Tehama (21.3%), Sierra (20.3%) and Modoc (20.0%). This is shown in Table 1.

Demographic Characteristics:

- The median age of a DUI arrestee in 2005 was 30 years. Slightly more than half (51.3%) of all arrestees were age 30 or younger and almost three-quarters (74.4%) were age 40 or younger. Less than 1% of all DUI arrests involved juveniles (under age 18). 2.1% of all arrestees were over age 60 (see Table 3a).

- Among all DUI arrestees, the proportion of DUI arrests under age 18 remained relatively stable in the past 10 years (varying between 0.8% and 0.9%); however, the proportion of DUI arrests under age 21 increased from 7.2% in 1995 to 8.9% in 2005 (23.6% increase). This is shown in Table 3c.

- Males comprised 82.7% of all 2005 DUI arrests (see Table 3a). The proportion of females among DUI arrestees has risen slightly each year this report has been produced, from 10.6% in 1989 to 17.3% in 2005.

- In 2005, Hispanics (45.5%) again represented the largest ethnic group among DUI arrestees as they have each year since 1992 (with the exception of 1999, when Whites were the largest group at 42.8%). Hispanics continued to be arrested at a rate substantially higher than their estimated 2005 population parity of 32.5% (Department of Finance, Demographic Research and Census Data Center). Blacks were also slightly overrepresented among DUI arrestees (7.1% of arrests, 6.6% of the population), while other racial/ethnic groups were underrepresented among DUI arrestees, relative to their estimated 2005 population parity. These

underrepresented groups were Whites (40.7% of arrests, 46.4% of the population), and "Other" (6.7% of arrests, 14.6% of the population). This is shown in Table 3a and Figure 3.

- Among male 2005 DUI arrestees, 50.1% were Hispanic, 36.5% were White, 6.9% were Black, and 6.5% were "Other." Among female DUI arrestees, 60.7% were White, 23.8% were Hispanic, 8.1% were Black, and 7.4% were "Other." The overrepresentation of Hispanics among DUI offenders is clearly limited to males (see Table 3b).

- In some counties where the population of Hispanics is high, the DUI arrest rate is also higher. For example, in the following 7 counties, Hispanics comprised 60% or more of those arrested for DUI during 2005: Tulare (74.9%), Merced (65.3%), Fresno (66.8%), San Benito (68.2%), Imperial (70.4%), Monterey (67.1%) and Madera (69.4%). However, in most other counties, the majority of arrestees were White (see Appendix Table B1).

- The median age of a DUI arrestee varied considerably by race: Blacks were the oldest with a median age of 34.0 years, while Hispanics were the youngest, with a median age of 29.0 years (see Table 3a).



Figure 3. Percentage of 2005 DUI arrests and 2005 projected population (age 15 and over, based on the 2000 census) by race/ethnicity.

TABLE 1: DUI ARRESTS* BY COUNTY AND ANNUAL PERCENTAGE CHANGE FROM 2003-2005

COUNTY	2003	2004	2005	% CHANGE 2004-2005
STATEWIDE	183560	180957	180288	-0.4
ALAMEDA	6517	6454	7241	12.2
ALPINE	59	40	27	-32.5
AMADOR	216	319	310	-2.8
BUTTE	1448	1395	1432	2.7
CALAVERAS	296	375	313	-16.5
COLUSA	254	267	294	10.1
CONTRA COSTA	4275	3845	3494	-9.1
DEL NORTE	328	378	327	-13.5
EL DORADO	1374	1358	1370	0.9
FRESNO	5741	6064	6388	5.3
GLENN	380	449	589	31.2
HUMBOLDT	1254	1279	1240	-3.0
IMPERIAL	1303	1215	1181	-2.8
INYO	277	259	218	-15.8
KERN	5576	5541	5105	-7.9
KINGS	931	935	992	6.1
LAKE	635	601	476	-20.8
LASSEN	304	171**	244	N/A
LOS ANGELES	38015	36705	38329	4.4
MADERA	835	1001	1016	1.5
MARIN	1667	1533	1557	1.6
MARIPOSA	139	135	130	-3.7
MENDOCINO	861	778	759	-2.4
MERCED	1442	1495	1753	17.3
MODOC	60	60	72	20.0
MONO	158	130	125	-3.8
MONTEREY	3468	3584	2973	-17.0
NAPA	1230	1099	981	-10.7
NEVADA	669	789	664	-15.8
ORANGE	13742	13492	13586	0.7
PLACER	1741	1805	1834	1.6
PLUMAS	215	269	221	-17.8
RIVERSIDE	8566	8533	8754	2.6
SACRAMENTO	8075	7193	7172	-0.3
SAN BENITO	355	357	377	5.6
SAN BERNARDINO	11466	10695	10810	1.1
SAN DIEGO	16127	17129	16467	-3.9
SAN FRANCISCO	1528	1648	1363	-17.3
SAN JOAQUIN	4565	4219	3955	-6.3
SAN LUIS OBISPO	2247	2321	2267	-2.3
SAN MATEO	3682	3501	3310	-5.5
SANTA BARBARA	2293***	2770	2518	-9.1
SANTA CLARA	7014	7000	6619	-5.4
SANTA CRUZ	1755	1697	1605	-5.4
SHASTA	1201	1042	891	-14.5
SIERRA	66	69	83	20.3
SISKIYOU	377	396	365	-7.8
SOLANO	1734	1660	1746	5.2
SONOMA	2764	2907	2985	2.7
STANISLAUS	2375	2575	2660	3.3
SUTTER	584	530	473	-10.8
TEHAMA	1087	592	718	21.3
TRINITY	208	182	169	-7.1
TULARE	3621	3446	3315	-3.8
TUOLUMNE	548	551	453	-17.8
VENTURA	4047	4370	4139	-5.3
YOLO	1124	1146	1273	11.1
YUBA	741	608	560	-7.9

*DOJ DUI arrest totals with boat DUI (*N* = 304) removed.

**The count for 2004 was incomplete.

***The count for 2003 was incomplete.

TABLE 2: 2005 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST

COUNTY	TOTAL		TYPE OF ARREST						DUI ARRESTS PER 100 LICENSED DRIVERS
			FELONY		JUVENILE		MISDEMEANOR		
	N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	
STATEWIDE	180288	100.0	5895	3.3	1432	0.8	172905	95.9	0.8
ALAMEDA	7241	4.0	97	1.3	42	0.6	7102	98.1	0.8
ALPINE	27	0.0	3	11.1	0	0.0	24	88.9	2.5
AMADOR	310	0.2	8	2.6	0	0.0	302	97.4	1.1
BUTTE	1432	0.8	45	3.1	22	1.5	1365	95.3	1.0
CALAVERAS	313	0.2	12	3.8	2	0.6	299	95.5	0.9
COLUSA	294	0.2	14	4.8	9	3.1	271	92.2	2.3
CONTRA COSTA	3494	1.9	103	2.9	44	1.3	3347	95.8	0.5
DEL NORTE	327	0.2	6	1.8	5	1.5	316	96.6	1.8
EL DORADO	1370	0.8	60	4.4	21	1.5	1289	94.1	1.0
FRESNO	6388	3.5	173	2.7	57	0.9	6158	96.4	1.3
GLENN	589	0.3	13	2.2	10	1.7	566	96.1	3.2
HUMBOLDT	1240	0.7	25	2.0	5	0.4	1210	97.6	1.3
IMPERIAL	1181	0.7	23	1.9	10	0.8	1148	97.2	1.3
INYO	218	0.1	3	1.4	7	3.2	208	95.4	1.5
KERN	5105	2.8	147	2.9	48	0.9	4909	96.2	1.2
KINGS	992	0.6	36	3.6	15	1.5	941	94.9	1.5
LAKE	476	0.3	14	2.9	5	1.1	457	96.0	1.0
LASSEN	244	0.1	6	2.5	7	2.9	231	94.7	1.3
LOS ANGELES	38329	21.3	1574	4.1	136	0.4	36619	95.5	0.7
MADERA	1016	0.6	39	3.8	7	0.7	970	95.5	1.4
MARIN	1557	0.9	33	2.1	16	1.0	1508	96.9	0.8
MARIPOSA	130	0.1	4	3.1	0	0.0	126	96.9	0.9
MENDOCINO	759	0.4	25	3.3	14	1.8	720	94.9	1.2
MERCED	1753	1.0	60	3.4	25	1.4	1668	95.2	1.3
MODOC	72	0.0	3	4.2	0	0.0	69	95.8	1.1
MONO	125	0.1	1	0.8	0	0.0	124	99.2	1.3
MONTEREY	2973	1.7	61	2.1	27	0.9	2885	97.0	1.3
NAPA	981	0.5	22	2.2	15	1.5	944	96.2	1.1
NEVADA	664	0.4	23	3.5	5	0.8	636	95.8	0.8
ORANGE	13586	7.5	291	2.1	72	0.5	13223	97.3	0.7
PLACER	1834	1.0	51	2.8	20	1.1	1763	96.1	0.8
PLUMAS	221	0.1	8	3.6	3	1.4	210	95.0	1.3
RIVERSIDE	8754	4.9	296	3.4	86	1.0	8372	95.6	0.8
SACRAMENTO	7172	4.0	293	4.1	43	0.6	6836	95.3	0.8
SAN BENITO	377	0.2	12	3.2	2	0.5	363	96.3	1.1
SAN BERNARDINO	10810	6.0	407	3.8	80	0.7	10323	95.5	0.9
SAN DIEGO	16467	9.1	490	3.0	139	0.8	15838	96.2	0.8
SAN FRANCISCO	1363	0.8	113	8.3	0	0.0	1250	91.7	0.3
SAN JOAQUIN	3955	2.2	114	2.9	39	1.0	3802	96.1	1.0
SAN LUIS OBISPO	2267	1.3	57	2.5	30	1.3	2180	96.2	1.2
SAN MATEO	3310	1.8	85	2.6	24	0.7	3201	96.7	0.7
SANTA BARBARA	2518	1.4	96	3.8	26	1.0	2396	95.2	0.9
SANTA CLARA	6619	3.7	264	4.0	63	1.0	6291	95.1	0.6
SANTA CRUZ	1605	0.9	40	2.5	34	2.1	1531	95.4	0.9
SHASTA	891	0.5	47	5.3	9	1.0	835	93.7	0.7
SIERRA	83	0.1	6	7.2	0	0.0	77	92.8	3.0
SISKIYOU	365	0.2	14	3.8	2	0.5	349	95.6	1.0
SOLANO	1746	1.0	46	2.6	23	1.3	1677	96.0	0.7
SONOMA	2985	1.7	68	2.3	41	1.4	2876	96.3	0.9
STANISLAUS	2660	1.5	114	4.3	28	1.1	2518	94.7	0.9
SUTTER	473	0.3	20	4.2	5	1.1	448	94.7	0.8
TEHAMA	718	0.4	24	3.3	7	1.0	687	95.7	1.8
TRINITY	169	0.1	8	4.7	0	0.0	161	95.3	1.6
TULARE	3315	1.8	107	3.2	45	1.4	3163	95.4	1.5
TUOLUMNE	453	0.3	13	2.9	1	0.2	439	96.9	1.1
VENTURA	4139	2.3	133	3.2	39	0.9	3967	95.8	0.8
YOLO	1273	0.7	30	2.4	19	1.5	1224	96.2	1.1
YUBA	560	0.3	15	2.7	2	0.4	543	97.0	1.4

TABLE 3a: 2005 DUI ARRESTS BY AGE, SEX, AND RACE/ ETHNICITY*

AGE	TOTAL		SEX (100%)				RACE/ ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
	N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE	180288	100.0	149072	82.7	31160	17.3	73343	40.7	82082	45.5	12804	7.1	12003	6.7
UNDER 18	1436	0.8	1143	79.6	293	20.4	745	51.9	559	38.9	36	2.5	96	6.7
18-20	14617	8.1	12154	83.1	2463	16.9	6068	41.5	7066	48.3	592	4.1	891	6.1
21-30	76414	42.4	63678	83.3	12736	16.7	27031	35.4	39215	51.3	4526	5.9	5642	7.4
31-40	41617	23.1	34747	83.5	6870	16.5	14708	35.3	20864	50.1	3339	8.0	2706	6.5
41-50	29871	16.6	23738	79.5	6133	20.5	14909	49.9	10421	34.9	2811	9.4	1730	5.8
51-60	12442	6.9	10337	83.1	2105	16.9	7339	59.0	3214	25.8	1151	9.3	738	5.9
61-70	3086	1.7	2643	85.6	443	14.4	1973	63.9	655	21.2	291	9.4	167	5.4
71 & ABOVE	805	0.4	680	84.5	125	15.5	586	72.8	118	14.7	64	8.0	37	4.6
MEAN AGE (YEARS)	33.0		33.0		33.3		34.9		31.0		35.8		32.1	
MEDIAN AGE (YEARS)	30.0		30.0		31.0		32.0		29.0		34.0		29.0	

*Tabulations for DUI arrests by age, sex, race/ ethnicity and county are found in Appendix Table B1.

TABLE 3b: 2005 DUI ARRESTS BY SEX, AGE, AND RACE/ ETHNICITY

SEX	AGE	TOTAL		RACE/ ETHNICITY (100%)							
				WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE		180288	100.0	73359	40.7	82112	45.5	12810	7.1	12007	6.7
MALE	UNDER 18	1143	0.8	530	46.4	511	44.7	32	2.8	70	6.1
	18-20	12154	8.2	4555	37.5	6422	52.8	464	3.8	713	5.9
	21-30	63678	42.7	20147	31.6	35554	55.8	3603	5.7	4374	6.4
	31-40	34747	23.3	10752	30.9	19109	55.0	2655	7.6	2234	6.4
	41-50	23738	15.9	10664	44.9	9397	39.6	2230	9.4	1447	6.1
	51-60	10337	6.9	5698	55.1	2991	28.9	982	9.5	666	6.4
	61-70	2643	1.8	1610	60.9	611	23.1	268	10.1	154	5.8
	71 & ABOVE	680	0.5	481	70.7	110	16.2	56	8.2	33	4.9
	TOTAL	149120	100.0	54437	36.5	74705	50.1	10290	6.9	9688	6.5
FEMALE	UNDER 18	293	0.9	215	73.4	48	16.4	4	1.4	26	8.9
	18-20	2463	7.9	1513	61.4	644	26.1	128	5.2	178	7.2
	21-30	12736	40.9	6884	54.1	3661	28.7	923	7.2	1268	10.0
	31-40	6870	22.0	3956	57.6	1755	25.5	684	10.0	475	6.9
	41-50	6133	19.7	4245	69.2	1024	16.7	581	9.5	283	4.6
	51-60	2105	6.8	1641	78.0	223	10.6	169	8.0	72	3.4
	61-70	443	1.4	363	81.9	44	9.9	23	5.2	13	2.9
	71 & ABOVE	125	0.4	105	84.0	8	6.4	8	6.4	4	3.2
	TOTAL	31168	100.0	18922	60.7	7407	23.8	2520	8.1	2319	7.4

TABLE 3c: DUI ARRESTS UNDER AGE 21, 1995-2005

AGE		1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
TOTAL	N	198792	201765	191164	188327	188523	181336	176490	177056	183560	180957	180288
UNDER 18	N	1654	1814	1709	1761	1741	1527	1645	1557	1576	1488	1436
	%	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.8
18-20	N	12573	13357	12267	13093	13875	14145	14075	14410	14612	14672	14617
	%	6.3	6.6	6.4	7.0	7.4	7.8	8.0	8.1	8.0	8.1	8.1
UNDER 21	N	14227	15171	13976	14854	15616	15672	15720	15967	16188	16160	16053
	%	7.2	7.5	7.3	7.9	8.3	8.6	8.9	9.0	8.8	8.9	8.9

SECTION 2:

CONVICTIONS

SECTION 2: CONVICTIONS

Data on convictions resulting from court adjudication of DUI arrests are reported directly to the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) on court abstracts of conviction. Although the DUI arrest data reported earlier are based on arrests that occurred in 2005, the DUI conviction data are based on convictions of DUI offenders arrested in 2004, in order to allow sufficient time for courts to report convictions to DMV. The following tables compile and crosstabulate these conviction data by demographic, geographic, and adjudicative categories. To describe certain characteristics of the 2004 conviction data, the median was calculated and reported, in addition to the mean, to minimize the influence of data values that are not symmetrically distributed. In what follows, expressions like "2004 convictions" refer to DUI offenders arrested in 2004, who were subsequently convicted in that year.

Table 4: 2004 DUI Convictions by Age and Sex. This table crosstabulates statewide DUI conviction information by age and sex. Corresponding county-specific conviction data are presented in Appendix Table B2.

Table 5: Matchable 2004 DUI Convictions by Age, Race/Ethnicity, and Sex. This table displays DUI conviction information by age, race/ethnicity, and sex. "Matchable" DUI convictions are those which are traceable to a DUI arrest appearing on the MACR system. Because not all arrests could be matched to an existing record, these conviction totals underestimate the total number of actual convictions.

Table 6: Adjusted 2004 DUI Conviction Rates and Relative Likelihood of Conviction by Age and Race/Ethnicity. This table shows the relative probability of a DUI arrest leading to a DUI conviction by age and race/ethnicity. DUI conviction totals from categories in Table 5 ("matchable DUI convictions") were increased by the proportion which matchable convictions constituted of "total DUI convictions," shown in Table 7, to arrive at the adjusted DUI conviction rates. As explained above, without this adjustment DUI conviction rates would be underestimated using the conviction data from Table 5, because not all reported convictions are "matchable" to an arrest.

Table 7: Total Conviction Data for 2004 DUI Arrestees. This table portrays county and statewide DUI-related conviction data as reported to the DMV on court abstracts of conviction. Corresponding court-specific data are shown in Appendix Table B3. Convictions not reported to DMV are considered nonconvictions for the purposes of this report. Actual nonconvictions include cases where the DUI arrest was not filed, not

prosecuted, or resulted in a not-guilty verdict. The DUI conviction rates by county were calculated by comparing the county conviction totals with DOJ arrest totals. Because not all 2004 DUI arrests have yet been adjudicated, these conviction totals and rates will slightly underestimate the "final" figures. The DUI conviction rates shown in the "DUI Summary Statistics: 1995-2005" table at the very beginning of this report include an estimate of these late convictions, and thus are slightly higher than those shown in Tables 7 and 8. Conviction variables include felony and misdemeanor DUI convictions, alcohol- and nonalcohol-related reckless driving convictions, convictions of "other" lesser offenses, and DUI convictions dismissed or found unconstitutional. DUI arrest dates from the DOJ MACR system were matched to driver record violation dates to identify nonalcohol-related reckless driving and "other" convictions. New this year, the median adjudication time lags from DUI arrest to conviction, and from conviction to update on the DMV database, were calculated for each county.

Table 8: Adjudication Status of 2004 DUI Arrests by County. This table shows the adjudication status (court disposition) of 2004 DUI arrests, by county. Included are the percentages of arrests which resulted in DUI convictions (misdemeanor or felony), reckless driving convictions (alcohol-related or nonalcohol-related), convictions of "other" offenses, or no reported conviction, as of the date of writing. Again, because not all 2004 DUI arrests have yet been adjudicated, these rates will slightly underestimate the "final" rate for each category, except for the category "no record of any conviction," which will be slightly reduced (approximately 1-2%) by the eventual adjudication of these few late cases.

Table 9a: 2004 Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of DUI Convictions and Table 9b: 2004 Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of Convicted DUI Offenders Under Age 21. Table 9a shows the frequency of reported positive BAC levels for DUI and alcohol-reckless convictions. Because APS forms more completely report BAC levels (79.1%) than do abstracts of conviction, APS forms are used to calculate statewide BAC levels. Table 9b shows the BAC distribution for convicted arrestees under age 21.

Table 10: 2004 DUI Convictions by Offender Status and Reported BAC Level. This table displays the proportions of convicted DUI offenders by offender status (number of prior convictions in seven years), with the average (mean) and median BAC level from APS reporting forms and abstracts of conviction, for each offense level.

Figure 4 (below) shows, for the years 1995 to 2005, the number of DUI abstracts received to date by DMV from the courts, and conviction rates based on the data received as of September 2006.



Percent convicted of DUI as of Sept. 2006 (conviction rate)	75%	74%	74%	76%	79%	79%	79%	79%	78%	77%	75%

Figure 4. DUI abstracts received by DMV and conviction rates, 1995-2005.

Based on these data, the following statements can be made:

Statewide Adjudication Parameters:

- 77% of 2004 DUI arrests resulted in convictions of DUI offenses.

- 9.9% of 2004 DUI arrests resulted in reckless driving convictions, and 17.2% (1.7%/9.9%) of these DUI arrestees were eventually convicted of a nonalcohol-related reckless violation (see Table 8).

- 1.9% of 2004 DUI arrests have resulted in convictions of offenses other than DUI or reckless driving, which is the same as last year (see Table 8).

- 11.2% of 2004 DUI arrests have not yet resulted in any conviction on DMV's records, relatively unchanged from 11.1% in 2003, and down from 18.8% in 1993. As

additional cases are adjudicated and reported by the courts, this figure will decrease slightly (see Table 8).

- The average reported BAC level for all convicted DUI offenders in 2004, using APS reporting forms as the data source, was 0.162% (median BAC level was 0.150), which is down slightly from the last several years, yet still more than double the illegal per se BAC limit of 0.08% (see Table 9a).

- Average and median BAC levels increase as a function of the number of prior DUI convictions. Average BAC level increases from a 0.158% BAC for a first offense to a 0.189% BAC for a fourth or subsequent offense (when the median is reported, BAC level increases from 0.15% BAC for a first offense to a 0.19% BAC for a fourth or subsequent offense). This is shown in Table 10.

- Among 2004 convicted DUI offenders, 76.5% were first offenders, 18.7% were second offenders, 3.8% were third offenders, and 1.0% were on their fourth or more offense. (The statutorily defined time period for counting priors in California has traditionally been seven years, although that period was just changed to 10 years by SB 1694 – effective 1/1/05, Torlakson, 2004.) The proportion of repeat offenders (23.5%), shown in Table 10, among all convicted DUI offenders, has decreased since 1989 (at which time 37% of all convictions were repeat offenses).

- The median adjudication time lags were 69 days from DUI arrest to conviction and 16 days from conviction to update on the DMV database, totaling a little less than 3 months from arrest to update on the offender's driving record. This total elapsed time from arrest to update appears substantially shorter than in previous years, because elapsed time for 2004 data reported here was calculated using the median instead of the mean (see Table 7).

Variation by County:

- Among the larger counties, 2004 DUI conviction rates varied from highs of 89.8% in Orange to a low of 53.6% in San Francisco. Los Angeles County, which accounted for almost a quarter of all DUI arrests in the state, had a DUI conviction rate of 70.7% (see Table 7).

- Among the smaller counties, 2004 DUI conviction rates varied from a high of 91.5% in Placer to a low of 37.7% in Sierra (see Table 7).

- The rates at which DUI arrests were plea-bargained to alcohol-related reckless driving convictions varied from 22.2% in Del Norte County to 0% in Ventura County (see Table 8).

- The percentage of DUI arrests that were improperly adjudicated as nonalcohol-related reckless driving convictions varied from 0% (Ventura) to 9.2% (San Francisco). This is shown in Table 8.

- The percentage of DUI arrests adjudicated as minor convictions ("other" convictions) varied from 0% to 5.8%. Del Norte, Los Angeles, Marin, Modoc and San Luis Obispo counties had rates of 3% or more (see Table 8).

- In 5 counties, the proportion of arrestees not showing a conviction of any offense exceeded 30%. These counties were Imperial, Trinity, Yuba, Mariposa, and Sierra. Twenty one counties had nonconviction rates of less than 10%, with one county, Amador, at 0% (seven counties did not have available conviction data). This is shown in Table 8.

Variation by Court:

- Court time lags from arrest to conviction (for courts with more than 200 reported convictions) varied from a high of 251 days in the Imperial court (Imperial County) to a low of 25 days for the Lamont and Bakersfield (Kern County) court (see Table B3 in Appendix).

- Statewide, the proportion of DUI arrests resulting in reckless driving convictions (alcohol and nonalcohol), was 9.9% in 2004. Four counties adjudicated more than 20% of their DUI arrests as reckless driving convictions, including Del Norte, Inyo, San Luis Obispo and San Francisco (see Table 8).

- Statewide, 17.2% of all DUI-related reckless driving convictions in 2004 are inappropriately designated as nonalcohol, about the same as 17.7% in 2003, but lower than 21.1% in 1999 (see Table 8).

Demographic Characteristics:

- The median age of a convicted DUI offender in 2004 was 31.0 years (see Table 4).

- 48.8% of 2004 DUI convictees were 30 years of age or younger and 73.2% were 40 years or younger (see Table 4).

- Females comprised 16.9% of convicted DUI offenders arrested in 2004. The proportion of females among convicted DUI offenders has risen slightly each year since 1994 (see Table 4).

- The racial/ethnic distribution of 2004 DUI convictions (White = 44.1%; Hispanic = 43.1%; Black = 6.4%; "Other" = 6.4%) generally paralleled that of 2004 arrests, although Whites were somewhat more likely than other racial/ethnic groups to be convicted of the offense (as shown in Figure 5 and Table 5 below).



Figure 5. Relative likelihood of conviction by race/ethnicity. (Adjusted conviction rate by ethnicity ÷ overall conviction rate.)

TABLE 4: 2004 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE AND SEX*

	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
AGE	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE	139331	100.0	115739	83.1	23592	16.9
UNDER 18	574	0.4	481	83.8	93	16.2
18-20	9968	7.2	8375	84.0	1593	16.0
21-30	57341	41.2	48235	84.1	9106	15.9
31-40	33898	24.3	28398	83.8	5500	16.2
41-50	24646	17.7	19521	79.2	5125	20.8
51-60	9752	7.0	8048	82.5	1704	17.5
61-70	2551	1.8	2180	85.5	371	14.5
71 & ABOVE	601	0.4	501	83.4	100	16.6
MEAN AGE (YEARS)	33.6		33.5		34.2	
MEDIAN AGE (YEARS)	31.0		31.0		33.0	

*County-specific tabulations of 2004 DUI convictions by age and sex are shown in Appendix Table B2.

TABLE 5: MATCHABLE 2004 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE, RACE/ETHNICITY, AND SEX*

AGE	TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)															
			WHITE				HISPANIC				BLACK				OTHER			
			MALE		FEMALE		MALE		FEMALE		MALE		FEMALE		MALE		FEMALE	
	N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE	117579	100.0	38641	32.9	13129	11.2	46140	39.2	4527	3.9	6145	5.2	1427	1.2	6172	5.2	1398	1.2
UNDER 18	495	0.4	200	40.4	71	14.3	167	33.7	16	3.2	11	2.2	2	0.4	25	5.1	3	0.6
18-20	9074	7.7	3143	34.6	986	10.9	3779	41.6	353	3.9	221	2.4	53	0.6	424	4.7	115	1.3
21-30	48040	40.9	14085	29.3	4654	9.7	21230	44.2	2162	4.5	2073	4.3	484	1.0	2621	5.5	731	1.5
31-40	28146	23.9	8147	28.9	2891	10.3	12105	43.0	1071	3.8	1715	6.1	434	1.5	1486	5.3	297	1.1
41-50	20763	17.7	7777	37.5	3112	15.0	6219	30.0	706	3.4	1414	6.8	347	1.7	1022	4.9	166	0.8
51-60	8374	7.1	3866	46.2	1080	12.9	2103	25.1	180	2.1	536	6.4	88	1.1	454	5.4	67	0.8
61-70	2172	1.8	1122	51.7	251	11.6	453	20.9	37	1.7	147	6.8	18	0.8	127	5.8	17	0.8
71 & ABOVE	515	0.4	301	58.4	84	16.3	84	16.3	2	0.4	28	5.4	1	0.2	13	2.5	2	0.4

*Not all convictions are matchable to an existing record, so these counts underestimate the total number of convictions.

TABLE 6: ADJUSTED 2004 DUI CONVICTION RATES[1] AND RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD[2] OF CONVICTION BY AGE AND RACE/ETHNICITY

AGE	TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY							
			WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD
STATEWIDE	0.77	1.00	0.81	1.05	0.74	0.97	0.71	0.92	0.75	0.98
UNDER 18	0.39	0.51	0.40	0.52	0.37	0.48	0.44	0.57	0.45	0.59
18-20	0.73	0.95	0.79	1.02	0.70	0.91	0.59	0.77	0.71	0.92
21-30	0.76	0.99	0.83	1.07	0.72	0.94	0.70	0.91	0.74	0.96
31-40	0.77	1.00	0.81	1.05	0.75	0.98	0.72	0.94	0.77	1.00
41-50	0.80	1.04	0.82	1.06	0.80	1.04	0.73	0.95	0.79	1.03
51-60	0.81	1.06	0.81	1.05	0.86	1.11	0.72	0.93	0.80	1.04
61-70	0.79	1.03	0.79	1.03	0.84	1.09	0.74	0.97	0.76	0.99
71 & ABOVE	0.76	0.99	0.77	0.99	0.75	0.98	0.70	0.91	0.81	1.05

[1]Adjusted DUI Conviction Rates = The matchable DUI conviction rate proportionally adjusted to the overall DUI conviction rate.

[2]Relative Likelihood = Adjusted DUI Conviction Rate/Overall Total DUI Conviction Rate.

TABLE 7: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2004 DUI ARRESTEES[1]

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI[2]	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NONALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSED[3]	MEDIAN[4] DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
								VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
STATEWIDE	77.0	135536	3795	14801	3069	3439	1413	69.0	16.0
ALAMEDA	65.6	4197	37	581	141	118	54	96.0	26.0
ALPINE	85.0	33	1	3	1	0	0	49.0	31.0
AMADOR	87.5	269	10	29	6	5	0	86.0	13.0
BUTTE	75.8	1002	55	179	63	23	69	81.0	27.0
CALAVERAS	62.7	223	12	67	6	9	1	61.0	7.0
COLUSA	74.5	197	2	39	6	3	5	46.5	7.5
CONTRA COSTA	83.5	3121	89	385	18	35	43	101.0	34.0
DEL NORTE	41.8	155	3	84	7	22	5	70.0	118.0
EL DORADO	83.3	1084	47	114	40	9	12	68.0	27.0
FRESNO	72.0	4269	100	337	43	36	35	116.0	81.0
GLENN	69.9	309	5	42	8	5	0	45.0	20.0
HUMBOLDT	57.4	714	20	218	23	21	0	80.0	17.0
IMPERIAL	51.1	611	10	21	98	13	18	250.0	85.5
INYO	68.0	171	5	48	10	2	1	65.0	8.0
KERN	78.4	4227	117	598	78	64	42	30.0	13.0
KINGS	77.8	698	29	50	25	9	4	80.0	0.0
LAKE	67.9	400	8	30	14	10	7	180.5	85.5
LASSEN[5]	74.4	173	4	3	5	2	0	104.0	191.0
LOS ANGELES	70.7	25549	401	2655	696	1364	117	63.0	14.0
MADERA	67.2	640	33	103	20	9	23	118.0	14.0
MARIN	82.1	1247	12	1	1	46	12	57.5	19.0
MARIPOSA[6]	50.0	90	5	15	5	1	0	54.0	64.0
MENDOCINO	83.7	623	28	103	21	10	10	44.0	56.0
MERCED	68.3	996	25	142	22	22	22	86.0	30.0
MODOC	61.7	36	1	3	2	2	0	52.0	20.0
MONO	90.0	115	2	16	2	2	0	51.0	23.0

[1]Conviction data by court are found in Appendix Table B3.

[2]This count includes misdemeanors which carried a felony disposition code. These counts do not include 4th offenses (in seven years) which are statutorily defined as felonies.

[3]These dismissals were identified in DMV's DUI Audit and Tracking System Summary Report.

[4]Adjudication time is presented using median values (in days) for 2004 data.

[5]The calculation of the conviction rate was based on adjustments made for Lassen County for incomplete arrest data in the DOJ MACR system.

[6]The calculation of the conviction rate was based on total arrests including federal DUI arrests of Yosemite National Park not reported in the DOJ MACR system.

[7]This count includes both dismissed and reduced convictions. Sonoma's program for counting dismissals is currently being revised to reflect only dismissed cases.

TABLE 7: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2004 DUI ARRESTEES[1]

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI[2]	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NONALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSED[3]	MEDIAN[4] DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
								VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
MONTEREY	84.1	2946	68	288	60	38	16	33.0	41.0
NAPA	83.6	885	34	96	4	14	14	67.0	6.0
NEVADA	77.6	600	12	107	21	9	13	55.0	71.5
ORANGE	89.8	11799	321	684	46	133	51	78.0	3.0
PLACER	91.5	1604	48	141	21	12	6	72.0	9.0
PLUMAS	78.1	202	8	41	3	3	0	62.0	44.0
RIVERSIDE	86.5	7134	246	33	271	115	53	72.0	5.0
SACRAMENTO	76.9	5316	214	809	30	96	9	59.0	18.0
SAN BENITO	80.4	275	12	23	7	3	1	142.0	10.5
SAN BERNARDINO	77.0	7871	362	222	210	278	131	93.0	5.0
SAN DIEGO	80.7	13440	382	2089	230	235	58	68.0	49.0
SAN FRANCISCO	53.6	851	32	254	152	42	45	68.0	8.0
SAN JOAQUIN	74.5	3040	102	458	39	64	21	39.0	8.0
SAN LUIS OBISPO	73.8	1637	76	420	59	99	24	46.0	22.0
SAN MATEO	72.0	2484	35	497	29	67	14	123.0	22.0
SANTA BARBARA	89.2	2379	92	370	79	41	8	46.0	21.0
SANTA CLARA	83.7	5689	173	559	227	90	1	61.0	12.0
SANTA CRUZ	83.3	1393	20	178	40	13	5	46.0	51.0
SHASTA	86.8	835	69	155	7	10	5	64.0	15.0
SIERRA	37.7	26	0	8	3	0	0	109.0	9.0
SISKIYOU	78.5	282	29	50	4	2	4	61.5	10.0
SOLANO	87.8	1410	48	216	13	23	37	76.0	25.5
SONOMA[7]	79.5	2213	99	492	21	27	380	54.0	16.0
STANISLAUS	65.2	1628	52	208	37	19	4	68.0	57.0
SUTTER	80.8	412	16	93	5	6	4	56.0	12.0
TEHAMA	62.5	357	13	75	3	4	4	53.0	15.0
TRINITY	44.5	77	4	24	4	2	0	81.0	32.5
TULARE	70.8	2385	55	41	69	60	8	43.0	24.0
TUOLUMNE	80.0	423	18	84	2	12	0	57.0	20.0
VENTURA	85.6	3684	57	1	0	76	3	56.0	2.0
YOLO	71.2	783	33	162	4	5	11	107.0	12.0
YUBA	54.4	327	4	57	8	0	3	78.0	30.0

TABLE 8: ADJUDICATION STATUS OF 2004 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY[1]

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTIONS		RECKLESS DRIVING CONVICTIONS		% OTHER CONVICTIONS	% NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTION[2]
	% MISDEMEANOR	% FELONY	% ALCOHOL RELATED	% NONALCOHOL RELATED		
STATEWIDE	74.9	2.1	8.2	1.7	1.9	11.2
ALAMEDA	65.0	0.6	9.0	2.2	1.8	21.4
ALPINE	82.5	2.5	7.5	2.5	0.0	5.0
AMADOR	84.3	3.1	9.1	1.9	1.6	0.0
BUTTE	71.8	3.9	12.8	4.5	1.6	5.2
CALAVERAS	59.5	3.2	17.9	1.6	2.4	15.5
COLUSA	73.8	0.7	14.6	2.2	1.1	7.5
CONTRA COSTA	81.2	2.3	10.0	0.5	0.9	5.1
DEL NORTE	41.0	0.8	22.2	1.9	5.8	28.3
EL DORADO	79.8	3.5	8.4	2.9	0.7	4.7
FRESNO	70.4	1.6	5.6	0.7	0.6	21.1
GLENN	68.8	1.1	9.4	1.8	1.1	17.8
HUMBOLDT	55.8	1.6	17.0	1.8	1.6	22.1
IMPERIAL	50.3	0.8	1.7	8.1	1.1	38.0
INYO	66.0	1.9	18.5	3.9	0.8	8.9
KERN	76.3	2.1	10.8	1.4	1.2	8.2
KINGS	74.7	3.1	5.3	2.7	1.0	13.3
LAKE	66.6	1.3	5.0	2.3	1.7	23.1
LASSEN[3]	72.7	1.7	1.3	2.1	0.8	21.4
LOS ANGELES	69.6	1.1	7.2	1.9	3.7	16.5
MADERA	63.9	3.3	10.3	2.0	0.9	19.6
MARIN	81.3	0.8	0.1	0.1	3.0	14.7
MARIPOSA[4]	47.4	2.6	7.9	2.6	0.5	38.9
MENDOCINO	80.1	3.6	13.2	2.7	1.3	N/A
MERCED	66.6	1.7	9.5	1.5	1.5	19.3
MODOC	60.0	1.7	5.0	3.3	3.3	26.7
MONO	88.5	1.5	12.3	1.5	1.5	N/A
MONTEREY	82.2	1.9	8.0	1.7	1.1	5.1
NAPA	80.5	3.1	8.7	0.4	1.3	6.0
NEVADA	76.0	1.5	13.6	2.7	1.1	5.1
ORANGE	87.5	2.4	5.1	0.3	1.0	3.8
PLACER	88.9	2.7	7.8	1.2	0.7	N/A
PLUMAS	75.1	3.0	15.2	1.1	1.1	4.5
RIVERSIDE	83.6	2.9	0.4	3.2	1.3	8.6
SACRAMENTO	73.9	3.0	11.2	0.4	1.3	10.1
SAN BENITO	77.0	3.4	6.4	2.0	0.8	10.4
SAN BERNARDINO	73.6	3.4	2.1	2.0	2.6	16.4
SAN DIEGO	78.5	2.2	12.2	1.3	1.4	4.4
SAN FRANCISCO	51.6	1.9	15.4	9.2	2.5	19.2
SAN JOAQUIN	72.1	2.4	10.9	0.9	1.5	12.2
SAN LUIS OBISPO	70.5	3.3	18.1	2.5	4.3	1.3
SAN MATEO	71.0	1.0	14.2	0.8	1.9	11.1
SANTA BARBARA	85.9	3.3	13.4	2.9	1.5	N/A
SANTA CLARA	81.3	2.5	8.0	3.2	1.3	3.7
SANTA CRUZ	82.1	1.2	10.5	2.4	0.8	3.1
SHASTA	80.1	6.6	14.9	0.7	1.0	N/A
SIERRA	37.7	0.0	11.6	4.3	0.0	46.4
SISKIYOU	71.2	7.3	12.6	1.0	0.5	7.3
SOLANO	84.9	2.9	13.0	0.8	1.4	N/A
SONOMA	76.1	3.4	16.9	0.7	0.9	1.9
STANISLAUS	63.2	2.0	8.1	1.4	0.7	24.5
SUTTER	77.7	3.0	17.5	0.9	1.1	N/A
TEHAMA	60.3	2.2	12.7	0.5	0.7	23.6
TRINITY	42.3	2.2	13.2	2.2	1.1	39.0
TULARE	69.2	1.6	1.2	2.0	1.7	24.3
TUOLUMNE	76.8	3.3	15.2	0.4	2.2	2.2
VENTURA	84.3	1.3	0.0	0.0	1.7	12.6
YOLO	68.3	2.9	14.1	0.3	0.4	13.9
YUBA	53.8	0.7	9.4	1.3	0.0	34.9

[1]The percentages total to 100 by row (county).

[2]These include failure-to-appear (FTA) notices; the statewide average is 4.3%.

[3]The calculation of the DUI conviction rate was based on adjustments made for Lassen County for incomplete arrest data in the DOJ MACR system.

[4]The calculation of the conviction rates was based on total arrests including federal DUI arrests (Yosemite National Park) not reported in the DOJ MACR system.

N/A - These counties had more total convictions than arrests, which could be due to arrests occurring in a different county from the county of conviction, or due to underreported arrests by arresting agencies, or underreported arrests by federal agencies (reporting not required by the DOJ MACR system).

TABLE 9a: 2004 REPORTED* BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF DUI CONVICTIONS

DUI CONVICTIONS			ALCOHOL-RECKLESS CONVICTIONS		
BAC LEVEL	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	BAC LEVEL	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
.01	85	0.1	.01	23	0.2
.02	64	0.1	.02	19	0.2
.03	69	0.1	.03	33	0.3
.04	98	0.1	.04	39	0.3
.05	124	0.1	.05	64	0.6
.06	206	0.2	.06	197	1.7
.07	506	0.5	.07	651	5.8
.08	1963	1.8	.08	2692	23.8
.09	3612	3.3	.09	3223	28.5
.10	6437	5.8	.10	2005	17.7
.11	7989	7.3	.11	863	7.6
.12	8435	7.7	.12	465	4.1
.13	8756	7.9	.13	292	2.6
.14	8679	7.9	.14	194	1.7
.15	8586	7.8	.15	142	1.3
.16	8138	7.4	.16	107	0.9
.17	7596	6.9	.17	76	0.7
.18	6619	6.0	.18	56	0.5
.19	5927	5.4	.19	54	0.5
.20	5187	4.7	.2.0	27	0.2
.21	4393	4.0	.21	22	0.2
.22	3610	3.3	.22	17	0.2
.23	2984	2.7	.23	17	0.2
.24	2253	2.0	.24	11	0.1
.25	1783	1.6	.25	14	0.1
.26	1405	1.3	.26	7	0.1
.27	1119	1.0	.27	4	0.0
.28	868	0.8	.28	1	0.0
.29	653	0.6	.29	2	0.0
.30	489	0.4	.30	3	0.0
.31	396	0.4	.31	1	0.0
.32	294	0.3	.33	2	0.0
.33	222	0.2	.38	1	0.0
.34	150	0.1	.43	1	0.0
.35	128	0.1			
.36	114	0.1			
.37	80	0.1			
.38	79	0.1			
.39	43	0.0			
.40	35	0.0			
.41	18	0.0			
.42	18	0.0			
.43	7	0.0			
.44	4	0.0			
.45	6	0.0			
.46	6	0.0			
.47	1	0.0			
.48	1	0.0			
.49	2	0.0			
.50	1	0.0			
.54	1	0.0			
	---	---		---	---
TOTAL	110239	100.0	TOTAL	11325	100.0
	MEAN BAC .162			MEAN BAC .096	
	MEDIAN BAC .150			MEDIAN BAC .090	

*The source of BAC data is the APS reporting form for convicted DUI offenders, rather than the abstract of conviction for those offenders, which was the data source in the earliest reports. This change in data source was made because of the more complete BAC reporting on APS forms (79.1% of total). This chart does not show 1,598 zero BAC levels, which may include driving-under-the-influence of drug convictions.

TABLE 9b: 2004 REPORTED* BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF CONVICTED DUI OFFENDERS UNDER AGE 21

BAC LEVEL	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	BAC LEVEL	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
.01	28	0.3	.19	369	4.4
.02	14	0.2	.20	253	3.0
.03	18	0.2	.21	176	2.1
.04	28	0.3	.22	165	2.0
.05	31	0.4	.23	118	1.4
.06	64	0.8	.24	55	0.7
.07	147	1.8	.25	49	0.6
.08	321	3.9	.26	39	0.5
.09	474	5.7	.27	19	0.2
.10	657	7.9	.28	20	0.2
.11	801	9.6	.29	11	0.1
.12	741	8.9	.30	9	0.1
.13	773	9.3	.31	5	0.1
.14	681	8.2	.32	1	0.0
.15	692	8.3	.33	2	0.0
.16	613	7.4		---	---
.17	536	6.5	TOTAL	8315	100.0
.18	405	4.9		MEAN BAC .140 MEDIAN BAC .140	

*The source of BAC data is the APS reporting form for arrested DUI offenders. The percentage of BAC levels found on these forms for 2004 convicted under age 21 cases is 78.9%.

TABLE 10: 2004 DUI CONVICTIONS BY OFFENDER STATUS AND REPORTED BAC LEVEL

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	PERCENT	AVERAGE BAC LEVEL FROM APS REPORTING FORM (%)	MEDIAN BAC LEVEL FROM APS REPORTING FORM (%)
STATEWIDE	100.0	.162	.15
1ST DUI	76.5	.158	.15
2ND DUI	18.7	.172	.17
3RD DUI	3.8	.181	.18
4TH+ DUI	1.0	.189	.19

SECTION 3:

POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS

SECTION 3: POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS

Data on court sanctions assigned to convicted DUI offenders were obtained from DUI abstracts of conviction for offenders arrested in 2004. Although counts of postconviction court license actions are included, total counts of all license actions, including DMV administrative per se (APS) license suspensions and revocations, are shown in the Administrative Actions Section. APS actions (effective July 1990) are initiated by law enforcement immediately upon arrest for DUI, and are administered independently of the criminal adjudication process. In previous reports, ending with the 2005 DUI MIS report, sanction combinations for first and second offenders were reported by county; however, they are no longer presented because recent legislation has eliminated variation in sanctions statewide. This section includes the following tables:

Table 11: 2004 DUI Court Sanctions by DUI Offender Status. This table shows the frequency of specific court sanctions statewide by number of prior DUI convictions. The specific court sanctions tallied include percentages of probation, jail, alcohol treatment programs (first offender, 18-month, and 30-month alcohol programs), license restriction, court suspension, and ignition interlock. Crosstabulations of sanctions by county, court, and number of prior convictions appear in Appendix Table B4.

Table 12: 2004 DUI Court Sanctions by County and Offender Status. This table displays the distribution of court sanctions by county for all DUI offenders.

From the data in these and the tables in the Appendix, it is evident that the use of alternative sanctions continued to vary widely by county, court, and offender status in 2004. For example:

Statewide Parameters:

- The most frequently applied court sanction among all convicted DUI offenders was probation (96.8%), while the least frequently used court sanction was ignition interlock (4.3%). DUI offenders were sentenced to jail in 75.3% of the cases. (However, in many jurisdictions, jail is often served as community service rather than actual jail time.) This is shown in Table 11.

Figure 6 (below) graphically displays the statewide data from Table 11 showing the percentage representation of specific types of court-ordered sanctions among all convicted DUI offenders. Because virtually all offenders receive more than one type of sanction, the cumulative percentage adds to much more than 100%.



Figure 6. Percentage of representation of court-ordered DUI sanctions (2004).

County Variation:

- The proportion of first-DUI offenders arrested in 2004 who received a court postconviction suspension varied by county, from 43.8% in Monterey County, to 0% in Alpine and Sierra Counties (see Table 12).

- The use of first DUI offender alcohol programs for the first DUI offenders varies by county from over 90% in 21 counties to 14.7% in Placer County (see Table 12).

Court Variation:

- Statewide, courts vary significantly in how they use available sanctions for DUI offenders. In Los Angeles County alone, one court (Lancaster) assigned jail to 96.5% of all convicted DUI offenders (n = 916), while another court (Malibu) in the same county assigned jail to only 16.7% of all convicted DUI offenders (n = 341). This is shown in Table B4 in the Appendix.

- In 2004, 0.2% DUI offenders received 30-month alcohol treatment program. Assignment of DUI offenders (mostly third-or-more) to 30-month programs was low, and varied significantly by court (see Table B4 in the Appendix).

- Statewide, courts required only 4.3% of all convicted DUI offenders to install an ignition interlock device in 2004. This is down from 6.3% in 1997 and 1998, primarily because legislation in 1999 shifted the mandatory interlock requirement from all repeat DUI offenders to all suspended or revoked DUI offenders caught driving while disqualified, and data on the new "mandatory" suspended or revoked interlock assignments are not captured by the DUI-MIS report.

Variation by Offender Status:

- About two-thirds of first-DUI offenders arrested in 2004 were sentenced to jail, compared to over 90% of all repeat offenders (see Table 11).

- 87.5% of first DUI-offenders were assigned by courts to alcohol treatment programs, along with 83.7% of second offenders, 60.3% of third offenders, and 33.6% of fourth-or-more DUI offenders. (By statute, however, all offenders must eventually complete specified alcohol treatment programs in order to be eligible for license reinstatement). This is shown in Table 11.

- 6.5% of first-DUI offenders and 10.7% of repeat-DUI offenders received court license suspensions in 2004 (see Table 11). Under the APS law (since July 1990), all DUI offenders with BAC levels of 0.08% or more are also subject to a 30-day to 1-year administrative license suspension, or two to three years revocation.

- 14.0% of repeat-DUI offenders were assigned ignition interlock in 2004, compared to 12.9% in 2003, 10.9% in 2002, 8.1% in 2001, 7.5% in 2000, 13.3% in 1999 and 22.3% in 1998. In spite of the old mandatory interlock law for all repeat offenders (AB 2851 - Freidman), which took effect on July 1, 1993, judges routinely did not assign interlock to these offenders (over 75% of "mandatory" assignments were not made). This law was repealed in 1998, and a new ignition interlock law (AB 762 - Torlakson) and program was enacted and implemented July 1, 1999, that established mandatory interlock for DUI suspension/revocation violators, while providing incentives for repeat offenders to reinstate early with interlock. Judicial assignments to the new mandatory provisions have steadily risen since the law was implemented, and proportionally more DUI suspension violators are now assigned to interlock than were repeat offenders under the old "mandatory" law.

TABLE 11: 2004 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS*

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROGRAM	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROGRAM	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE	139331	96.8	75.3	67.4	17.7	0.2	74.2	7.5	4.3
1ST DUI	106451	97.8	69.4	85.1	2.4	0.0	79.8	6.5	1.3
REPEAT DUI	32880	93.6	94.3	9.9	67.1	0.6	56.2	10.7	14.0
2ND DUI	25950	96.1	94.3	11.7	71.8	0.2	67.0	9.2	12.5
3RD DUI	5478	90.4	94.2	3.4	54.7	2.2	18.5	17.2	21.7
4TH+ DUI	1452	61.3	92.8	1.7	30.2	1.7	5.4	12.9	12.1

*Entries represent percentages of 2004 DUI convictees receiving each sanction by offender status. Sanctions within each offender status group (row) are not independent; therefore, row percentages always add to more than 100%. Percentages of sanctions by county and court appear in Appendix Table B4.

TABLE 12: 2004 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS*

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE		139331	96.8	75.3	67.4	17.7	0.2	74.2	7.5	4.3
ALAMEDA	1ST DUI	3230	99.5	96.6	83.6	2.3	0.0	79.3	7.2	1.1
	2ND DUI	797	99.5	98.0	16.3	61.2	0.1	76.8	6.3	25.2
	3RD DUI	163	97.5	94.5	6.7	53.4	0.0	31.9	38.0	31.3
	4TH+ DUI	44	79.5	100.0	0.0	38.6	0.0	18.2	38.6	18.2
	TOTAL	4234	99.2	96.8	67.1	15.7	0.0	76.4	8.5	6.9
ALPINE	1ST DUI	31	93.5	83.9	90.3	3.2	0.0	67.7	0.0	0.0
	2ND DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	34	94.1	85.3	82.4	8.8	0.0	67.6	0.0	0.0
AMADOR	1ST DUI	209	96.7	89.0	74.6	1.0	0.0	84.7	8.1	9.1
	2ND DUI	48	100.0	97.9	12.5	83.3	0.0	68.8	6.3	43.8
	3RD DUI	15	93.3	100.0	6.7	60.0	0.0	0.0	26.7	60.0
	4TH+ DUI	7	100.0	85.7	0.0	85.7	0.0	0.0	14.3	42.9
	TOTAL	279	97.1	91.0	58.4	20.4	0.0	75.3	9.0	18.6
BUTTE	1ST DUI	781	93.6	91.5	86.9	2.4	0.0	79.5	6.8	1.5
	2ND DUI	205	84.4	94.1	17.1	62.4	0.0	70.7	5.9	4.4
	3RD DUI	53	88.7	77.4	7.5	62.3	1.9	49.1	7.5	13.2
	4TH+ DUI	18	44.4	94.4	5.6	33.3	0.0	16.7	5.6	22.2
	TOTAL	1057	90.7	91.4	68.0	17.6	0.1	75.2	6.6	3.0
CALAVERAS	1ST DUI	158	98.1	96.2	85.4	0.6	0.0	70.3	6.3	1.3
	2ND DUI	61	95.1	98.4	6.6	82.0	0.0	32.8	16.4	19.7
	3RD DUI	15	86.7	93.3	0.0	60.0	6.7	6.7	6.7	53.3
	4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	235	96.6	96.6	59.1	25.5	0.4	56.2	8.9	9.4
COLUSA	1ST DUI	139	95.0	98.6	82.7	0.0	0.0	80.6	7.2	0.0
	2ND DUI	45	82.2	95.6	2.2	55.6	0.0	53.3	17.8	0.0
	3RD DUI	13	69.2	100.0	0.0	7.7	0.0	7.7	38.5	0.0
	4TH+ DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	199	89.4	98.0	58.3	13.1	0.0	68.8	11.6	0.0
CONTRA COSTA	1ST DUI	2337	98.2	96.3	89.5	3.4	0.0	86.6	6.8	0.0
	2ND DUI	667	97.6	98.4	10.8	80.7	0.0	70.5	9.1	0.3
	3RD DUI	162	89.5	98.8	1.2	54.9	0.0	11.7	14.2	5.6
	4TH+ DUI	44	75.0	100.0	0.0	29.5	0.0	4.5	11.4	11.4
	TOTAL	3210	97.4	96.9	67.5	22.4	0.0	78.3	7.7	0.5

*Entries represent percentages of 2004 convictees receiving each sanction by county and offender status.

TABLE 12: 2004 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
DEL NORTE	1ST DUI	107	90.7	96.3	86.9	0.9	0.0	86.0	0.9	0.9
	2ND DUI	38	84.2	92.1	23.7	57.9	0.0	73.7	0.0	36.8
	3RD DUI	9	77.8	88.9	11.1	44.4	11.1	44.4	0.0	44.4
	4TH+ DUI	4	25.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0
	TOTAL	158	86.7	94.9	65.2	17.7	0.6	78.5	0.6	12.7
EL DORADO	1ST DUI	799	98.0	97.2	89.6	2.0	0.1	86.1	4.8	1.3
	2ND DUI	249	98.8	96.8	13.3	75.5	0.0	82.7	6.8	11.2
	3RD DUI	66	90.9	97.0	6.1	69.7	0.0	21.2	16.7	15.2
	4TH+ DUI	17	64.7	94.1	0.0	11.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.6
	TOTAL	1131	97.3	97.1	66.6	22.3	0.1	80.3	5.8	4.5
FRESNO	1ST DUI	3151	95.6	93.0	85.2	2.9	0.0	78.8	2.3	1.1
	2ND DUI	929	93.5	97.5	8.8	76.0	0.0	68.4	2.8	8.7
	3RD DUI	217	90.8	94.9	3.2	62.2	0.5	12.9	0.5	21.2
	4TH+ DUI	72	61.1	93.1	0.0	22.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.1
	TOTAL	4369	94.3	94.1	63.5	21.7	0.0	72.0	2.2	3.9
GLENN	1ST DUI	222	97.3	50.0	89.6	2.7	0.0	51.8	8.1	0.9
	2ND DUI	70	95.7	97.1	15.7	55.7	0.0	32.9	17.1	4.3
	3RD DUI	16	93.8	100.0	0.0	68.8	0.0	18.8	43.8	18.8
	4TH+ DUI	6	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	314	95.9	64.0	66.9	17.8	0.0	44.9	11.8	2.5
HUMBOLDT	1ST DUI	531	97.0	21.3	91.7	3.8	0.0	94.5	2.6	1.9
	2ND DUI	159	94.3	87.4	10.7	82.4	0.0	91.2	3.8	45.3
	3RD DUI	36	86.1	88.9	0.0	83.3	0.0	30.6	52.8	66.7
	4TH+ DUI	8	100.0	87.5	12.5	75.0	0.0	50.0	25.0	62.5
	TOTAL	734	95.9	39.6	68.8	25.5	0.0	90.2	5.6	15.1
IMPERIAL	1ST DUI	515	98.3	16.9	67.4	0.8	0.0	67.2	1.0	0.0
	2ND DUI	82	96.3	47.6	35.4	31.7	0.0	61.0	1.2	0.0
	3RD DUI	19	94.7	68.4	21.1	42.1	5.3	26.3	0.0	0.0
	4TH+ DUI	5	100.0	80.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	40.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	621	97.9	23.0	61.2	6.3	0.3	64.9	1.0	0.0
INYO	1ST DUI	132	97.0	19.7	90.9	2.3	0.0	87.9	2.3	0.8
	2ND DUI	35	97.1	77.1	8.6	74.3	0.0	74.3	5.7	11.4
	3RD DUI	9	88.9	88.9	0.0	66.7	0.0	11.1	11.1	22.2
	TOTAL	176	96.6	34.7	69.9	19.9	0.0	81.3	3.4	4.0

TABLE 12: 2004 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
KERN	1ST DUI	3208	97.0	95.9	75.3	0.8	0.0	66.0	4.1	0.3
	2ND DUI	900	95.0	98.4	9.1	27.7	0.2	26.2	4.9	9.0
	3RD DUI	187	87.2	97.9	3.2	17.6	0.0	6.4	11.2	7.0
	4TH+ DUI	49	55.1	93.9	0.0	8.2	2.0	0.0	6.1	4.1
	TOTAL	4344	95.7	96.5	57.6	7.2	0.1	54.5	4.6	2.5
KINGS	1ST DUI	554	91.0	95.5	74.7	1.6	0.0	66.1	19.5	0.7
	2ND DUI	139	86.3	98.6	24.5	47.5	0.0	55.4	21.6	3.6
	3RD DUI	26	73.1	92.3	11.5	26.9	0.0	19.2	34.6	11.5
	4TH+ DUI	8	12.5	87.5	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	12.5	0.0
	TOTAL	727	88.6	95.9	62.0	11.4	0.0	61.6	20.4	1.7
LAKE	1ST DUI	301	96.3	26.2	89.7	1.3	0.0	85.4	3.7	1.3
	2ND DUI	85	95.3	85.9	18.8	63.5	0.0	55.3	4.7	2.4
	3RD DUI	14	92.9	85.7	0.0	42.9	0.0	7.1	42.9	35.7
	4TH+ DUI	8	75.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	37.5	0.0
	TOTAL	408	95.6	42.2	70.1	15.7	0.0	74.8	5.9	2.7
LASSEN	1ST DUI	130	96.9	94.6	91.5	0.0	0.0	77.7	2.3	0.0
	2ND DUI	41	95.1	97.6	14.6	65.9	0.0	31.7	22.0	19.5
	3RD DUI	6	83.3	100.0	16.7	50.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0
	TOTAL	177	96.0	95.5	71.2	16.9	0.0	64.4	7.3	4.5
LOS ANGELES	1ST DUI	20619	97.9	38.3	90.3	2.5	0.1	82.7	1.5	0.2
	2ND DUI	4391	96.4	88.0	12.6	76.8	1.1	74.9	4.1	2.4
	3RD DUI	783	89.8	84.2	3.4	49.0	11.4	16.3	6.9	5.1
	4TH+ DUI	157	43.9	91.7	3.2	12.7	6.4	5.1	7.6	0.6
	TOTAL	25950	97.1	48.4	74.0	16.6	0.6	78.9	2.1	0.7
MADERA	1ST DUI	463	96.1	90.9	86.0	3.5	0.0	55.5	11.7	0.0
	2ND DUI	159	91.2	90.6	23.9	56.6	1.3	48.4	10.1	0.0
	3RD DUI	32	96.9	93.8	25.0	46.9	3.1	25.0	34.4	3.1
	4TH+ DUI	19	89.5	78.9	0.0	52.6	15.8	15.8	10.5	5.3
	TOTAL	673	94.8	90.6	66.0	19.5	0.9	51.3	12.3	0.3
MARIN	1ST DUI	1060	99.3	6.8	93.4	2.4	0.0	90.5	8.4	0.5
	2ND DUI	161	99.4	92.5	5.0	85.1	0.0	83.9	14.3	6.8
	3RD DUI	36	97.2	94.4	2.8	19.4	0.0	16.7	63.9	33.3
	4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0
	TOTAL	1259	99.3	20.4	79.3	13.6	0.0	87.4	10.8	2.3

TABLE 12: 2004 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
MARIPOSA	1ST DUI	66	95.5	97.0	80.3	3.0	0.0	81.8	3.0	0.0
	2ND DUI	23	100.0	73.9	34.8	52.2	0.0	73.9	13.0	13.0
	3RD DUI	4	100.0	75.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	50.0
	4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	50.0
	TOTAL	95	95.8	89.5	65.3	15.8	2.1	77.9	6.3	6.3
MENDOCINO	1ST DUI	458	93.7	93.7	82.1	2.8	0.0	84.3	3.1	2.8
	2ND DUI	150	89.3	96.0	10.7	70.7	0.0	71.3	4.0	32.7
	3RD DUI	33	87.9	93.9	0.0	87.9	0.0	30.3	3.0	48.5
	4TH+ DUI	10	100.0	100.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0
	TOTAL	651	92.5	94.3	60.2	24.0	0.0	77.3	3.4	12.0
MERCED	1ST DUI	744	97.6	94.8	90.1	3.0	0.1	86.8	3.0	0.0
	2ND DUI	207	98.6	98.6	15.9	77.3	0.0	85.5	3.4	0.0
	3RD DUI	60	90.0	96.7	5.0	55.0	0.0	20.0	31.7	0.0
	4TH+ DUI	10	40.0	90.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	0.0
	TOTAL	1021	96.8	95.6	69.1	21.3	0.1	81.9	4.8	0.0
MODOC	1ST DUI	34	100.0	55.9	50.0	0.0	0.0	32.4	2.9	0.0
	2ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	37	100.0	59.5	45.9	8.1	0.0	37.8	2.7	0.0
MONO	1ST DUI	85	97.6	65.9	92.9	1.2	0.0	62.4	3.5	0.0
	2ND DUI	27	96.3	96.3	11.1	85.2	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
	3RD DUI	5	80.0	80.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	117	96.6	73.5	70.9	20.5	0.0	61.5	2.6	0.0
MONTEREY	1ST DUI	2369	98.5	92.7	56.9	2.4	0.0	38.6	43.8	9.6
	2ND DUI	491	98.6	98.6	8.8	60.5	0.0	10.6	44.6	74.3
	3RD DUI	133	94.7	98.5	4.5	47.4	0.8	2.3	51.1	85.0
	4TH+ DUI	21	81.0	100.0	0.0	23.8	0.0	0.0	61.9	47.6
	TOTAL	3014	98.2	94.0	46.4	14.0	0.0	32.1	44.4	23.8
NAPA	1ST DUI	704	98.9	95.3	92.2	1.8	0.0	87.1	3.3	2.6
	2ND DUI	174	97.1	99.4	13.8	79.3	0.0	86.8	1.7	46.0
	3RD DUI	35	97.1	97.1	0.0	94.3	0.0	85.7	0.0	77.1
	4TH+ DUI	6	66.7	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	50.0
	TOTAL	919	98.3	96.2	73.2	20.3	0.0	86.6	2.8	13.9

TABLE 12: 2004 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
NEVADA	1ST DUI	440	99.5	94.8	89.5	2.5	0.0	84.8	0.5	1.6
	2ND DUI	134	98.5	99.3	13.4	77.6	0.0	81.3	0.0	10.4
	3RD DUI	25	100.0	100.0	4.0	56.0	0.0	36.0	4.0	36.0
	4TH+ DUI	13	84.6	84.6	0.0	61.5	0.0	23.1	0.0	30.8
	TOTAL	612	99.0	95.8	67.5	22.4	0.0	80.7	0.5	5.6
ORANGE	1ST DUI	9592	99.0	26.9	92.4	2.0	0.0	82.5	8.6	0.8
	2ND DUI	2094	98.0	92.5	8.4	80.9	0.1	70.4	19.5	14.8
	3RD DUI	353	95.5	95.5	1.1	82.4	0.6	14.4	13.3	38.8
	4TH+ DUI	81	50.6	96.3	0.0	38.3	0.0	1.2	4.9	14.8
	TOTAL	12120	98.4	40.7	74.6	18.3	0.0	77.9	10.6	4.4
PLACER	1ST DUI	1221	98.4	97.6	14.7	3.0	0.0	82.7	6.1	5.6
	2ND DUI	342	97.1	99.7	1.5	81.6	0.0	80.7	3.5	35.1
	3RD DUI	70	84.3	98.6	1.4	74.3	0.0	11.4	2.9	42.9
	4TH+ DUI	19	36.8	100.0	0.0	31.6	0.0	5.3	0.0	21.1
	TOTAL	1652	96.8	98.1	11.2	22.6	0.0	78.4	5.4	13.4
PLUMAS	1ST DUI	153	99.3	99.3	85.6	9.2	0.0	96.1	2.0	0.0
	2ND DUI	47	97.9	100.0	14.9	80.9	0.0	95.7	2.1	0.0
	3RD DUI	8	87.5	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	50.0	25.0	0.0
	4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	210	98.1	99.5	65.7	28.1	0.0	93.3	2.9	0.0
RIVERSIDE	1ST DUI	5756	97.7	91.4	90.0	1.5	0.0	82.6	3.9	0.1
	2ND DUI	1266	95.5	97.5	14.7	78.5	0.0	67.6	4.0	1.4
	3RD DUI	256	87.5	98.4	5.1	77.3	0.0	22.7	16.4	11.7
	4TH+ DUI	102	55.9	97.1	2.0	47.1	0.0	7.8	10.8	6.9
	TOTAL	7380	96.4	92.8	72.9	18.0	0.0	76.9	4.4	0.9
SACRAMENTO	1ST DUI	3964	99.0	97.3	87.7	2.5	0.0	77.5	11.7	0.6
	2ND DUI	1134	97.5	98.8	8.3	65.6	0.0	60.2	16.4	6.4
	3RD DUI	322	93.8	99.7	2.2	32.3	0.0	14.0	10.2	8.4
	4TH+ DUI	110	74.5	97.3	1.8	32.7	0.0	3.6	12.7	9.1
	TOTAL	5530	97.9	97.7	64.7	17.8	0.0	68.8	12.6	2.4
SAN BENITO	1ST DUI	180	98.3	88.9	68.9	0.6	0.0	62.8	31.1	0.6
	2ND DUI	84	95.2	98.8	8.3	63.1	0.0	69.0	22.6	17.9
	3RD DUI	17	94.1	88.2	0.0	29.4	5.9	5.9	29.4	70.6
	4TH+ DUI	6	83.3	83.3	0.0	16.7	16.7	0.0	0.0	66.7
	TOTAL	287	96.9	91.6	45.6	20.9	0.7	59.9	27.9	11.1

TABLE 12: 2004 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN BERNARDINO	1ST DUI	6297	97.6	56.6	92.8	2.3	0.0	89.9	0.7	0.0
	2ND DUI	1486	95.8	90.0	15.2	76.0	0.1	81.2	3.0	0.3
	3RD DUI	348	90.8	94.0	3.7	51.7	0.0	29.0	12.9	0.0
	4TH+ DUI	102	56.9	56.9	4.9	28.4	0.0	8.8	4.9	0.0
	TOTAL	8233	96.5	64.2	73.9	18.0	0.0	84.7	1.7	0.0
SAN DIEGO	1ST DUI	10796	97.0	57.4	86.1	3.1	0.0	83.3	6.9	0.1
	2ND DUI	2487	95.7	90.4	12.5	71.5	0.0	74.6	8.2	1.6
	3RD DUI	451	87.1	97.3	2.4	64.3	0.0	27.7	16.4	8.6
	4TH+ DUI	88	44.3	97.7	0.0	22.7	0.0	4.5	3.4	4.5
	TOTAL	13822	96.1	64.9	69.6	17.5	0.0	79.4	7.4	0.7
SAN FRANCISCO	1ST DUI	698	98.9	98.4	95.6	2.1	0.0	94.7	0.6	4.3
	2ND DUI	159	97.5	99.4	22.6	71.1	0.0	85.5	0.0	51.6
	3RD DUI	22	100.0	100.0	4.5	95.5	0.0	50.0	0.0	86.4
	4TH+ DUI	4	100.0	75.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	50.0
	TOTAL	883	98.6	98.5	79.7	17.2	0.0	91.6	0.5	15.1
SAN JOAQUIN	1ST DUI	2206	98.8	98.5	92.1	2.4	0.1	78.6	4.9	0.7
	2ND DUI	686	97.5	99.3	9.5	82.4	0.3	75.8	6.1	18.8
	3RD DUI	178	92.7	86.0	2.2	60.7	10.7	12.9	41.0	27.5
	4TH+ DUI	72	76.4	88.9	0.0	34.7	9.7	8.3	41.7	18.1
	TOTAL	3142	97.6	97.7	66.8	23.9	1.0	72.6	8.1	6.6
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1ST DUI	1267	98.3	96.8	91.5	1.8	0.0	84.6	0.7	0.0
	2ND DUI	347	98.6	97.7	9.2	84.7	0.0	78.7	0.0	0.6
	3RD DUI	87	92.0	100.0	8.0	69.0	0.0	12.6	0.0	0.0
	4TH+ DUI	12	75.0	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	1713	97.9	97.2	69.9	22.1	0.0	79.2	0.5	0.1
SAN MATEO	1ST DUI	2005	98.3	96.2	91.1	2.4	0.0	83.6	0.6	0.6
	2ND DUI	422	95.5	99.1	10.4	81.8	0.0	34.4	1.4	30.8
	3RD DUI	78	88.5	98.7	1.3	75.6	0.0	5.1	1.3	26.9
	4TH+ DUI	14	71.4	100.0	0.0	42.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.1
	TOTAL	2519	97.4	96.7	74.3	18.2	0.0	72.5	0.8	6.6
SANTA BARBARA	1ST DUI	1867	97.8	65.6	27.0	1.5	0.0	79.2	15.5	0.9
	2ND DUI	474	95.6	96.4	4.0	70.5	0.0	42.6	44.5	7.2
	3RD DUI	98	88.8	96.9	0.0	59.2	1.0	12.2	64.3	13.3
	4TH+ DUI	32	50.0	96.9	0.0	37.5	0.0	0.0	34.4	6.3
	TOTAL	2471	96.4	73.1	21.2	17.5	0.0	68.5	23.3	2.6

TABLE 12: 2004 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SANTA CLARA	1ST DUI	4435	99.1	97.0	88.3	4.1	0.0	83.2	7.8	1.9
	2ND DUI	1141	96.9	98.7	12.7	76.2	0.0	77.3	6.0	18.4
	3RD DUI	231	92.6	98.3	3.0	43.3	0.0	10.0	4.8	48.9
	4TH+ DUI	55	69.1	100.0	7.3	36.4	0.0	3.6	1.8	20.0
	TOTAL	5862	98.1	97.4	69.4	20.0	0.0	78.4	7.3	7.1
SANTA CRUZ	1ST DUI	1040	99.5	97.0	75.5	0.9	0.0	73.3	22.7	0.0
	2ND DUI	283	98.2	98.2	7.8	56.2	0.0	60.4	34.6	0.0
	3RD DUI	77	92.2	98.7	0.0	13.0	0.0	6.5	77.9	0.0
	4TH+ DUI	13	84.6	100.0	0.0	23.1	0.0	0.0	69.2	0.0
	TOTAL	1413	98.7	97.4	57.1	12.8	0.0	66.4	28.5	0.0
SHASTA	1ST DUI	583	98.1	98.1	92.6	2.7	0.0	82.7	12.3	2.2
	2ND DUI	238	90.3	99.6	13.0	73.9	0.0	77.3	8.8	64.7
	3RD DUI	56	82.1	98.2	3.6	41.1	0.0	23.2	39.3	69.6
	4TH+ DUI	27	44.4	100.0	7.4	18.5	0.0	3.7	37.0	14.8
	TOTAL	904	93.5	98.6	63.6	24.3	0.0	75.2	13.8	23.2
SIERRA	1ST DUI	19	100.0	89.5	94.7	5.3	0.0	94.7	0.0	0.0
	2ND DUI	6	100.0	100.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
	3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	26	100.0	92.3	76.9	23.1	0.0	84.6	0.0	0.0
SISKIYOU	1ST DUI	224	95.1	96.4	78.1	3.6	0.0	62.9	11.6	2.7
	2ND DUI	70	91.4	97.1	14.3	60.0	0.0	24.3	11.4	24.3
	3RD DUI	12	75.0	83.3	0.0	58.3	0.0	0.0	8.3	58.3
	4TH+ DUI	5	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	311	92.0	96.1	59.5	18.3	0.0	50.8	11.3	9.6
SOLANO	1ST DUI	1076	97.0	97.4	90.8	3.8	0.0	87.5	0.4	0.1
	2ND DUI	300	96.0	99.0	9.0	83.7	0.0	79.0	0.0	5.3
	3RD DUI	69	91.3	100.0	4.3	78.3	0.0	46.4	1.4	5.8
	4TH+ DUI	13	69.2	100.0	0.0	61.5	0.0	7.7	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	1458	96.3	97.9	69.1	24.3	0.0	83.1	0.3	1.4
SONOMA	1ST DUI	1640	96.3	95.6	69.7	0.8	0.0	65.9	1.8	0.8
	2ND DUI	502	91.4	98.8	6.2	45.4	0.0	45.6	0.0	10.0
	3RD DUI	127	81.9	99.2	0.0	15.0	0.0	8.7	0.0	18.1
	4TH+ DUI	43	55.8	100.0	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.0
	TOTAL	2312	93.7	96.6	50.8	11.3	0.0	57.1	1.3	3.8

TABLE 12: 2004 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
STANISLAUS	1ST DUI	1311	99.1	95.7	90.2	3.9	0.0	59.2	4.6	0.8
	2ND DUI	295	98.0	97.3	12.9	78.0	0.3	20.3	7.1	16.3
	3RD DUI	63	95.2	96.8	1.6	81.0	0.0	3.2	17.5	44.4
	4TH+ DUI	11	72.7	100.0	0.0	72.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.2
	TOTAL	1680	98.6	96.1	72.7	20.2	0.1	49.9	5.5	5.2
SUTTER	1ST DUI	323	94.1	96.6	84.8	1.2	0.0	80.2	2.5	5.9
	2ND DUI	83	96.4	100.0	14.5	72.3	0.0	71.1	4.8	28.9
	3RD DUI	18	94.4	100.0	11.1	77.8	0.0	16.7	11.1	38.9
	4TH+ DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.0
	TOTAL	428	94.4	97.4	67.3	18.5	0.0	75.0	3.3	12.4
TEHAMA	1ST DUI	259	91.9	98.5	74.1	1.5	0.0	78.0	10.8	1.9
	2ND DUI	83	94.0	98.8	13.3	74.7	0.0	62.7	6.0	9.6
	3RD DUI	25	60.0	96.0	4.0	52.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	24.0
	4TH+ DUI	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3
	TOTAL	370	89.5	98.4	55.1	21.4	0.0	70.0	10.3	5.4
TRINITY	1ST DUI	53	90.6	98.1	81.1	1.9	0.0	75.5	0.0	0.0
	2ND DUI	18	77.8	100.0	0.0	55.6	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
	3RD DUI	9	66.7	100.0	0.0	44.4	0.0	11.1	33.3	11.1
	4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	81	85.2	98.8	53.1	18.5	0.0	65.4	3.7	1.2
TULARE	1ST DUI	1815	92.9	92.0	80.1	3.4	0.0	54.0	1.9	2.6
	2ND DUI	450	92.4	94.7	15.8	64.0	0.0	32.0	8.9	17.3
	3RD DUI	118	87.3	90.7	5.1	55.9	0.8	12.7	19.5	38.1
	4TH+ DUI	57	82.5	96.5	3.5	43.9	0.0	0.0	8.8	22.8
	TOTAL	2440	92.3	92.5	62.8	18.0	0.0	46.7	4.2	7.5
TUOLUMNE	1ST DUI	297	94.6	97.3	89.9	1.3	0.0	85.9	3.7	0.3
	2ND DUI	112	92.9	98.2	14.3	70.5	0.9	77.7	4.5	3.6
	3RD DUI	30	93.3	96.7	3.3	26.7	3.3	16.7	0.0	10.0
	4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	441	93.9	97.5	64.6	20.6	0.5	78.9	3.6	1.8
VENTURA	1ST DUI	2964	99.2	97.4	75.9	1.4	0.0	73.1	23.4	14.0
	2ND DUI	628	97.0	98.7	9.2	63.1	0.0	69.7	25.2	71.7
	3RD DUI	124	96.0	94.4	0.8	63.7	0.0	29.8	38.7	87.9
	4TH+ DUI	25	56.0	92.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	8.0	56.0	52.0
	TOTAL	3741	98.4	97.5	61.7	14.1	0.0	70.7	24.5	26.4

TABLE 12: 2004 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
YOLO	1ST DUI	591	96.8	97.1	90.4	1.9	0.0	84.4	6.1	0.5
	2ND DUI	169	96.4	98.2	14.8	73.4	0.0	81.1	5.9	32.5
	3RD DUI	41	92.7	97.6	12.2	39.0	0.0	24.4	36.6	31.7
	4TH+ DUI	15	86.7	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	13.3	46.7	46.7
	TOTAL	816	96.3	97.4	69.1	19.2	0.0	79.4	8.3	9.6
YUBA	1ST DUI	242	99.2	71.5	88.0	2.9	0.0	85.1	0.4	1.2
	2ND DUI	72	94.4	93.1	16.7	65.3	0.0	36.1	1.4	4.2
	3RD DUI	16	75.0	81.3	6.3	12.5	0.0	6.3	0.0	12.5
	4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	331	96.7	76.7	68.3	16.9	0.0	70.4	0.6	2.4

SECTION 4:

POSTCONVICTION SANCTION EFFECTIVENESS

SECTION 4: POSTCONVICTION SANCTION EFFECTIVENESS

This section presents reoffense and crash rates of DUI offenders over various time periods, as well as the methodology and results of evaluations assessing the effectiveness of alcohol education programs applied to drivers convicted for the first time of an alcohol-related offense.

The first part of the section examines descriptive indicators, such as DUI recidivism and crash rates, for different groups of DUI offenders within different periods of time: 1) one-year DUI recidivism and crash rates for first and second DUI offenders from 1990-2004, 2) one-year DUI recidivism and crash rates by county, for 2004 first and second DUI offenders, 3) proportions of alcohol program completions for 2004 first and second DUI offenders, and 4) long term recidivism rates of the 1994 DUI offenders.

The second part of the section contains the results of several sanction analyses evaluating the effectiveness of alcohol education programs for two groups of DUI offenders: 1) drivers convicted of the reduced charge of alcohol-related reckless driving, and 2) first DUI offenders, who attended 3-month or 6-month alcohol education programs.

Also in the second part of the section, and like last year, are two additional subanalyses: 1) an evaluation of 6-month alcohol education programs for first DUI offenders with BAC levels below .20 versus first DUI offenders with BACs of .20 or above, and 2) an evaluation of the relative effectiveness of 3-month versus 6-month alcohol education programs for first DUI offenders with BAC levels of .20 and above.

The following are highlights of the findings:

- The 1-year recidivism rates for all first offenders in 2004 continued to remain at the lower level of the past six years. Comparing these rates to the much higher rate of 1990, DUI reoffenses in 2004 were 40.1% lower than for 1990's first offenders (see Figure 7 and Table 13a).

- The 1-year reoffense rates for second offenders was lower than last year, and overall the rates have leveled out in the past nine years; recidivism decreased from 9.7% in

1990 to 5.9% in 2004, a 39.2% relative decrease for all second offenders (see Figure 7 and Table 13a).

- Overall, subsequent 1-year crash rates among second offenders have declined from 4.0% in 1990 to 3.1% in 2004, a 22.5% relative decrease; although their rate was the lowest in 1996, crashes have continued to gradually increase in recent years for all second offenders. The crash rate for first offenders steadily increased from 1993 to 2001, and has decreased since then. The 2004 rate is 9.4% lower than the 1990 crash rate (see Figure 8 and Table 13a).

- Of those arrested in 2004 that were referred to alcohol education or treatment programs, 67.9% of first offenders and 31.9% of second offenders completed their program assignment (see Table 14). Program completions increased for both groups compared to the previous year.

- At the end of 11 years, 27% of DUI offenders originally convicted in 1994 had at least one subsequent DUI conviction, and 31% incurred at least one DUI incident (see Figure 9a).

- Over 11 years, recidivism rates increased as the number of prior offenses increased. The proportion of third offenders reoffending was 38%, while 31% of second offenders and 25% of first offenders reoffended (see Figure 9b).

- Males showed a much higher cumulative proportion (28%) of reoffenses than did females (20%) over the 11-year time period (see Figure 9c).

- Long-term recidivism rates are inversely related to age, with higher reoffense rates associated with the youngest age group, and lowest rates with the oldest group (see Figure 9d).

- After 5 years, the proportion of DUI offenders reoffending in the 1994 group was much lower (18%) compared to the proportion reoffending in the 1984 group (27%) and in the 1980 group (35%). The 2000 group of DUI offenders had the lowest proportion of reoffending (17%). This is shown in Figure 9e.

- Similar to the last two year's evaluations, this year's results show that the subsequent 1-year crash rates of alcohol-related reckless offenders assigned to an alcohol education program were not significantly different than those of the nonparticipants. However, the subsequent DUI incident rates of the program participants were significantly lower than those of the nonparticipants (see Table 16a). This finding was also evident in the two previous evaluations.

- In contrast to the last four years' evaluations, the 1-year crash rates of first offenders attending the 3-month program were significantly higher (by 14.2%) than those attending 6-month programs. However, the subsequent DUI incident rate of the short-term program participants was again significantly lower than that of the long-term participants (see Table 16b).

- Among first DUI offenders assigned to 6-month alcohol treatment programs, crash rates of the offenders with BAC levels .20 and above did not vary significantly from crash rates of those with BAC levels below .20. However, DUI offenders with BAC levels .20 and above had more DUI incidents than those with BAC levels below .20 (see Table 16c). Both of these findings were evident in last year's evaluations.

- Consistent with last year's results, the length of time of alcohol treatment program (3-month vs. 6-month) had no effect on crash rates and DUI incidents for first DUI offenders with BAC levels .20 and above (see Table 16d).

Subject Selection and Data Collection: Convicted DUI and alcohol-related reckless offenders were identified from monthly abstract update tapes which contain all DUI conviction data reported to DMV by the courts. Except for the 1994 cases, subjects were selected based on the number of prior DUI and alcohol-related reckless driving convictions within the seven years prior to their entry DUI arrest in 2004. For this year's report, subjects selected were: 1) first-DUI offenders—drivers who had no DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving convictions within the previous seven years, 2) second-DUI offenders—drivers who had one DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving conviction within the previous seven years, 3) alcohol-related reckless offenders with no previous DUI offenses in the past seven years, and 4) first-DUI offenders referred to 3-month and 6-month alcohol education programs. In addition, all DUI offenders arrested in 1994 were selected for the 11-year follow-up evaluation.

The crash and recidivism rates of first and second DUI offenders over time, and the effectiveness of alcohol education programs for persons convicted of an alcohol-reckless or first DUI offense, are evaluated in terms of postconviction driving record, as measured by: 1) total crashes, and 2) DUI incidents, which include alcohol-involved crashes, DUI convictions, Administrative Per Se suspensions (APS for 0.08% BAC or chemical test refusal), and DUI failure-to-appear notices (FTA). For the 1994 DUI offenders, recidivism is measured by subsequent DUI convictions, along with one comparison of DUI incidents.

Previous DUI-MIS reports included evaluations of sanction effectiveness for second offenders but these analyses have not been conducted for the past several years and will no longer be conducted because AB 762 (Torlakson, 7/1/99) not only altered the ignition interlock requirements for second offenders, but also added the application of ignition interlock to offenders who were convicted of driving with a suspended or revoked license. These changes to the DUI laws would complicate evaluations of the effectiveness of second offender sanctions, and make the results non-comparable to those of previous DUI-MIS studies. In addition, the law required a new evaluation of the effectiveness of ignition interlock devices that was recently completed by the California DMV, and this study provides a rigorous approach to assessing the impact of ignition interlock devices.

Although the sanction analyses are not conducted for first and second-DUI offenders as in previous years, the 1-year unadjusted crash and DUI reoffense data from all of the previous and current evaluations were included. In order to maintain comparability to the previous subject selection criteria, certain types of offenders had to be excluded. These previous and current analyses excluded offenders with felony convictions and chemical test refusal suspensions because their license control penalties were different from the misdemeanor offender groups. Drivers who did not have a full 1-year subsequent time period (because of late conviction dates) were also excluded, as well as drivers with "X" license numbers (meaning that no California license number could be found) and drivers with out-of-state ZIP Codes. Altogether, the excluded cases represented about 23.5% of the original convicted offender file. The only exclusions made for the 1994 offenders were the out-of-state cases and drivers with "X" license numbers.

DUI RECIDIVISM AND CRASH RATES

One-Year DUI Recidivism and Crash Rates for First and Second DUI Offenders from 1990-2004

The 1-year subsequent DUI-incident reoffense rates for both first- and second-offender sanction groups were compiled from the 15 previous and current annual DUI-MIS evaluations and configured onto two separate graphs to display these rates over time.

Figure 7 shows the proportions of first- and second-offender sanction groups, respectively, arrested between 1990 and 2004 who reoffended within one year after conviction.



Figure 7. Percentage of first- and second-DUI offenders reoffending in a DUI incident within one year after conviction (arrested in 1990-2004).

This figure and Table 13a show an ongoing gradual decline in the 1-year recidivism rates for first-offenders overall from 1990 to 2004; although there are slight upward trends in 1995 and 1998, these trends are followed by a leveling out of the rates over the past 6 years. The overall decline translates into a 40.1% reduction in recidivism for all first offenders compared to the rates of 1990. The decline in DUI reoffenses is steeper in the early years (1990-1994), following the enactment of APS suspensions for all DUI arrestees. As is evident in Figure 7, the reoffense rates of first offenders continue to be

lower than those of the second offenders; this has been consistently evident throughout all previous analyses conducted on first and second offenders.

TABLE 13a: ONE-YEAR UNADJUSTED PERCENTAGES OF SUBSEQUENT DUI-INCIDENT-INVOLVED AND CRASH-INVOLVED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS, 1990-2004

YEAR	DUI-INCIDENT-INVOLVED		CRASH-INVOLVED	
	FIRST-DUI OFFENDERS	SECOND-DUI OFFENDERS	FIRST-DUI OFFENDERS	SECOND-DUI OFFENDERS
1990	7.6	9.7	5.3	4.0
1991	7.1	9.5	4.7	3.6
1992	6.2	9.1	4.1	3.5
1993	5.8	8.8	4.1	3.5
1994	5.4	7.0	4.5	3.1
1995	5.8	7.0	4.6	3.0
1996	5.1	6.1	4.5	2.4
1997	5.2	6.0	4.7	2.7
1998	5.3	6.0	4.8	2.6
1999	5.0	6.1	5.0	2.8
2000	4.9	6.1	5.1	3.1
2001	4.9	5.9	5.2	3.0
2002	4.8	6.1	5.1	3.3
2003	4.7	6.5	4.8	3.2
2004	4.5	5.9	4.8	3.1
% DIFFERENCE 1990-2004	-40.1%	-39.2%	-9.4%	-22.5%

As noted last year, a similar overall decline is evident in the 1-year reoffense rates for the second offender group as displayed in Figure 7 and Table 13a. The rate of decline is greatest during the years from 1993 to 1996, with a leveling out for several years, followed by a slight decline in 2001; from 2001 to 2003 there is a modest increase, followed by a decline in 2004. Table 13a shows that, from 1990 to 2004, the reoffense rates decreased 39.2% for the second offender group as a whole. The overall reoffense rates of second offenders remain relatively higher than those of first offenders. Previous DUI-MIS reports suggested that, while many factors may be associated with the overall decline in DUI incidents for both first and second offenders, the reduction may largely be attributable to the implementation of APS suspensions in 1990. An

evaluation (Rogers, 1997) of the California APS Law, documents recidivism reductions of up to 21.1% for first offenders, and 19.5% for repeat offenders, attributable to the law.

The 1-year subsequent crash rates for both first and second offenders were also compiled from previous and current DUI-MIS evaluations and graphically displayed over time. Figure 8 shows the proportions of 1990-2004 first and second offenders who had crashes within one year after their conviction.



Figure 8. Percentages of first-and second-DUI offenders involved in a crash within one year after conviction (arrested in 1990-2004).

Among first offenders from 1990 through 2004, Figure 8 and Table 13a show an initial decline in crash rates for the earliest years, followed by an ongoing increase after 1993, and slight decline after 2002. The relative difference between first offender crash rates between 1990 and 2004 is the same as last year's, -9.4%, whereas the relative difference for second offenders for those same years shows a much greater decline in crash involvement of -22.5%.

Figure 8 indicates a declining trend in the overall crash rate of second offenders up to 1996. Following the downward trend in crashes from 1990 through 1996, the crash rates gradually increase and continue on a slow upward trend, dropping slightly in 2001, increasing again in 2002, and decreasing slightly through 2004. Overall, second offenders have lower crash rates than do first offenders (Table 13a), and this fact has been well documented in past evaluations; it has been speculated that the lower crash

rate of second offenders may be related to the longer-term (one to two years) license (restriction/suspension) actions imposed on second offenders.

One-Year DUI Recidivism and Crash Rates by County for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested in 2004

For the second year, the 1-year subsequent DUI recidivism and crash rates, by county, are reported for both first and second DUI offenders arrested in 2004.

Table 13b displays 1-year subsequent DUI recidivism rates described above. As shown in this table, among the larger counties, the rate at which first offenders had a subsequent DUI incident within one year varied from 7.0 in San Joaquin County to 3.4 in Orange County. Among the smaller counties, Amador, Lake, Madera, and Modoc had DUI recidivism rates above 8.5, while Sierra and Alpine had zero DUI recidivism rates. Second offenders had generally higher DUI recidivism rates than first offenders. Among the larger counties, San Joaquin County had again the highest rate, with 9.6% of second offenders having a subsequent DUI incident within one year whereas Orange County's second offenders had the lowest rate of 2.8 for subsequent DUI incidents. Among the smaller counties, the DUI recidivism rate for second offenders ranged from 11.1 (Colusa) to 0.0 (Alpine, Mariposa, Modoc, Sierra, and Trinity).

One-year subsequent crash rates, by county, for both first and second offenders arrested in 2004 are displayed in Table 13c. Among the larger counties, the rate at which first offenders had a subsequent crash within one year varied from 6.4 in San Joaquin County to 3.6 in Santa Clara County. Among the smaller counties, San Benito had a crash rate of 6.8, while Modoc, Sierra, and Trinity had a 0.0 crash rate. In contrast to DUI recidivism rates, second offenders have generally lower crash rates than first offenders. Among the larger counties, the rate at which second offenders have a subsequent crash within one year varied from 4.9 (Alameda) to 1.7 (Santa Clara). Among the smaller counties, one county had a crash rate above 7.0 (Amador), and nine counties had 0.0 crash rates (Alpine, Calaveras, Del Norte, Inyo, Lassen, Modoc, Sierra, Siskiyou, and Trinity).

TABLE 13b. 2004 1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT DUI RECIDIVISM RATES BY COUNTY FOR FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS

	1ST OFFENDER		2ND OFFENDER	
COUNTY	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	3430	4.5	1102	5.9
ALAMEDA	130	5.5	34	6.5
ALPINE	0	0.0	0	0.0
AMADOR	13	8.5	4	10.0
BUTTE	36	5.7	14	10.4
CALAVERAS	6	4.7	1	1.9
COLUSA	3	3.1	3	11.1
CONTRA COSTA	112	6.3	27	5.7
DEL NORTE	3	3.9	1	3.3
EL DORADO	18	3.1	9	5.1
FRESNO	145	6.8	45	7.7
GLENN	9	6.9	4	7.4
HUMBOLDT	26	5.9	7	5.1
IMPERIAL	12	5.1	2	6.3
INYO	5	4.5	2	6.9
KERN	149	6.9	49	7.8
KINGS	25	6.4	8	7.9
LAKE	17	8.6	3	5.5
LASSEN	4	4.4	1	3.2
LOS ANGELES	568	3.8	159	5.0
MADERA	27	10.2	3	3.1
MARIN	31	3.9	8	6.3
MARIPOSA	2	3.7	0	0.0
MENDOCINO	18	5.3	9	7.4
MERCED	22	4.3	8	5.9
MODOC	2	8.7	0	0.0
MONO	2	3.9	1	6.3
MONTEREY	42	3.7	17	5.7
NAPA	7	1.5	10	9.3
NEVADA	12	3.5	7	6.2
ORANGE	242	3.4	44	2.8
PLACER	46	5.1	14	5.3
PLUMAS	1	0.9	4	9.8
RIVERSIDE	202	4.8	60	6.4
SACRAMENTO	166	5.4	65	7.3
SAN BENITO	5	4.3	3	6.1
SAN BERNARDINO	245	5.4	60	5.8
SAN DIEGO	260	3.5	104	5.7
SAN FRANCISCO	22	4.2	9	8.1
SAN JOAQUIN	114	7.0	51	9.6
SAN LUIS OBISPO	52	5.3	22	8.1
SAN MATEO	51	3.5	18	6.4
SANTA BARBARA	54	4.5	18	5.6
SANTA CLARA	107	3.5	36	4.7
SANTA CRUZ	33	4.1	10	4.7
SHASTA	27	5.6	15	7.7
SIERRA	0	0.0	0	0.0
SISKIYOU	7	4.6	2	4.1
SOLANO	39	5.2	20	8.8
SONOMA	60	5.5	19	6.3
STANISLAUS	58	5.8	16	6.5
SUTTER	13	6.1	6	10.0
TEHAMA	11	5.4	5	7.2
TRINITY	2	4.7	0	0.0
TULARE	58	5.0	22	7.1
TUOLUMNE	19	7.1	8	8.5
VENTURA	59	3.3	25	5.6
YOLO	19	4.6	9	8.6
YUBA	12	7.2	1	1.8

TABLE 13c. 2004 1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT CRASH RATES BY COUNTY FOR FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS

	1ST OFFENDER		2ND OFFENDER	
COUNTY	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	3625	4.8	579	3.1
ALAMEDA	133	5.7	26	4.9
ALPINE	1	6.3	0	0.0
AMADOR	9	5.9	3	7.5
BUTTE	24	3.8	2	1.5
CALAVERAS	6	4.7	0	0.0
COLUSA	4	4.2	1	3.7
CONTRA COSTA	97	5.4	11	2.3
DEL NORTE	4	5.2	0	0.0
EL DORADO	22	3.8	3	1.7
FRESNO	93	4.3	14	2.4
GLENN	7	5.4	2	3.7
HUMBOLDT	24	5.5	2	1.5
IMPERIAL	6	2.6	1	3.1
INYO	6	5.4	0	0.0
KERN	82	3.8	17	2.7
KINGS	21	5.4	2	2.0
LAKE	11	5.6	2	3.6
LASSEN	2	2.2	0	0.0
LOS ANGELES	779	5.3	107	3.4
MADERA	9	3.4	3	3.1
MARIN	37	4.6	4	3.1
MARIPOSA	3	5.6	1	6.3
MENDOCINO	14	4.1	5	4.1
MERCED	23	4.5	5	3.7
MODOC	0	0.0	0	0.0
MONO	3	5.9	1	6.3
MONTEREY	55	4.8	8	2.7
NAPA	19	4.1	5	4.7
NEVADA	12	3.5	4	3.5
ORANGE	372	5.2	32	2.1
PLACER	53	5.8	7	2.7
PLUMAS	3	2.6	2	4.9
RIVERSIDE	227	5.4	34	3.7
SACRAMENTO	161	5.3	35	3.9
SAN BENITO	8	6.8	2	4.1
SAN BERNARDINO	244	5.3	38	3.7
SAN DIEGO	281	3.8	57	3.2
SAN FRANCISCO	30	5.7	2	1.8
SAN JOAQUIN	105	6.4	22	4.1
SAN LUIS OBISPO	34	3.5	5	1.8
SAN MATEO	81	5.6	7	2.5
SANTA BARBARA	52	4.4	6	1.9
SANTA CLARA	109	3.6	13	1.7
SANTA CRUZ	31	3.9	5	2.3
SHASTA	21	4.4	12	6.2
SIERRA	0	0.0	0	0.0
SISKIYOU	4	2.6	0	0.0
SOLANO	31	4.2	10	4.4
SONOMA	44	4.0	10	3.3
STANISLAUS	51	5.1	10	4.1
SUTTER	5	2.3	2	3.3
TEHAMA	9	4.4	3	4.3
TRINITY	0	0.0	0	0.0
TULARE	36	3.1	12	3.9
TUOLUMNE	10	3.7	5	5.3
VENTURA	86	4.8	14	3.1
YOLO	27	6.5	4	3.8
YUBA	4	2.4	1	1.8

The Proportions of Alcohol Education Program Completions for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested in 2004

Consistent with last year, an effort was made to capture the number and proportions of convicted first and second offenders (arrested in 2004) whose records indicated that they had completed the alcohol education or treatment programs. This effort was made possible by the recent addition of a subrecord to each person's driving record that contains data on program enrollment and completion dates, court information relevant to the DUI conviction, and program length of time. Previous efforts were limited by the lack of organized fields of data even though part of this information was available.

The proportions of referrals to the various DUI programs by the number of prior DUIs appear in Table 14. It can be seen from this table that 85.5% of first offenders and 71.6% of second offenders were referred to an alcohol treatment program. Table 14 shows the counts and proportions of convicted first and second offenders, arrested in 2004, who completed the requirements for attending an alcohol education or treatment program; 61,833 first offenders and 5,923 second offenders completed their alcohol program assignment. This translates into 67.9% of referred first offenders and 31.9% of referred second offenders completing the program requirement. The underreporting of program completions for second offenders is probably related to the insufficient follow-up time thus far allowed for the 18-month completions. Among the offenders who completed the program, 97.8% of first offenders had attended alcohol programs that range from 3 to 6 months, while 89.8% of second offenders attended the 18-month alcohol program. Note that to obtain a license restriction, there must be evidence that DUI offenders have enrolled in an alcohol program, and that in order to remove the restriction from their driver license, they must have completed the program.

TABLE 14: COUNTS AND PROPORTIONS OF REPORTED ALCOHOL PROGRAM COMPLETIONS FOR CONVICTED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2004

DUI OFFENDERS	TOTAL *N*	PROGRAM REFERRALS		PROGRAM COMPLETION	
		N	%	*N*	%
1ST offenders (3 months to 6 months)	106,445	91,044	85.5%	61,838	67.9%
2nd offenders (18 months)	25,957	18,583	71.6%	5,923	31.9%

Long Term Recidivism Rates of the 1994 DUI Offenders

Since all DUI offenders were included in the 1994 group, it was possible to observe and compare the long-term recidivism rates for subdivided groups within the 1994 cohort, and to see how these groups differ in their long-term recidivism rates. This approach was also taken in a previous study conducted by Peck (1991), in which the reoffense failure curves of various groups among 1980 and 1984 DUI offenders were evaluated. Failure curves are cumulative percentages over time that display the first reoffense that occurs after the initial DUI conviction. Both DUI convictions (alone) and DUI incidents over the 11-year follow-up period for the 1994 group were included as outcome data in order to maintain comparability with the 1984 and 1980 cohorts from a previous evaluation (Peck, 1991).

Table 15 shows cumulative percentages of the first DUI reoffenses for the 1994 offenders, as well as 9- and 11-year cumulative percentages for the 1980 and 1994 groups and 5-year cumulative percentages for the 1984 group (dates were not available beyond 5 years.

TABLE 15: CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGES OF THE FIRST SUBSEQUENT DUI REOFFENSES FOR THE 1994 DUI OFFENDERS

YEAR	PERCENTAGE											
	1ST DUI	2ND DUI	3RD DUI	MALES	FEMALES	16-25	26-45	46-65	65+	1980	1984	1994
1ST	4	6	6	5	3	5	5	4	3	11	7	5
2ND	8	10	12	10	6	10	9	8	6	19	15	9
3RD	12	14	17	13	9	14	13	11	8	25	20	13
4TH	14	18	21	16	11	18	16	13	9	30	24	16
5TH	17	21	25	19	13	20	18	15	10	35	27	18
6TH	19	23	28	22	14	23	21	17	10	38	NA	21
7TH	20	25	31	23	16	25	23	18	11	40	NA	22
8TH	22	27	33	25	17	26	24	19	11	42	NA	24
9TH	23	28	35	26	18	28	25	20	12	44	NA	25
10th	24	30	36	27	19	29	27	21	12	NA	NA	26
11th	25	31	38	28	20	30	28	22	12	NA	NA	27

In addition to Table 15, figures 9a through 9e, display recidivism rates for 1994 offenders over 11 years.



Figure 9a. Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction, and first subsequent DUI conviction and DUI incidents (alcohol crashes, major convictions, APS suspensions and DUI FTAs).

Figure 9a shows that, for 1994 offenders as a whole, at the end of 11 years 27% accumulated at least one DUI reoffense. Considering a more expanded view of DUI reoffenses to include all DUI incidents, the recidivism rate increased to 31%. These failure curves are steepest in the earliest years following the initial conviction, and then start to flatten out in the 7th through 11th years. For both measures, the steepest climb occurs in the first year following conviction. It is probable that those with more serious alcohol problems would be likely to recidivate earlier than those with less severe drinking problems.

One way to explore the extent of drinking severity is to examine the recidivism rates by the number of prior DUIs within seven years of the entry DUI violation. Figure 9b displays the cumulative proportions of reoffenses by first, second, and third-or-more DUI offenders.



Figure 9b. Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI conviction by number of prior DUI convictions.

It is evident from this graph and from Table 15 that the recidivism failure curves increase as the number of prior offenses becomes greater. Third-or-more offenders have the highest overall failure curve, and continue to maintain the higher proportions over the eleven year period of time. At the end of 11 years, 38% of third offenders have reoffended compared to 31% of second offenders and 25% of first offenders.

Since the majority of DUI offenders has always been male (87% in 1994), it is relevant to inspect the recidivism rates of the 1994 offenders by gender. As evident in Figure 9c and Table 15, males show much higher cumulative proportions reoffending than females. At the end of 11 years, 28% of males have reoffended as compared to 20% of females. The failure curve of females is noticeably lower and increases at a slower pace throughout the 11 years as compared to the curve of males. In the final 3 years, the proportion of both males and females recidivating is only one percent per year.



Figure 9c. Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI conviction by sex.

Since it is also well known that DUI violations are associated with certain age groups, the recidivism curves are assessed also by age. Figure 9d displays the failure curves of four age groups. It is evident that reoffense rates are inversely related to age; the failure rates are highest for the youngest group and lowest for the oldest group. Over eleven years, the failure curves of the two youngest groups are quite close to each other and are much steeper than the curve of the oldest group; the failure curves of the youngest groups are steepest during the first two years following the entry conviction. The failure curve of the 65+ group flattens out at the 5th year, much sooner than the curves of the other groups. The mortality factor of the oldest group could influence the lower recidivism rate; also, this group may be restricting their driving by driving less frequently than the other age groups. After 11 years, the youngest two groups reoffended by 30% and 28%, respectively, while 22% of the middle age group and 12% of the oldest group recidivated.



Figure 9d. Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI conviction by age group (age at conviction date).

The final figure, Figure 9e, compares the 1994 recidivism curves with those of the 1980, 1984, and 2000 cohorts over a 5-year time period.



Figure 9e. Length of time between DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI reoffense of 1980, 1984, 1994, and 2000 DUI drivers.

New this year was the addition of the reoffense rates of the 2000 cohort over the 5-year time period along with the cumulative percentages of the 1980, 1984 and 1994 groups (Figure 9e and Table 15). It is possible to view this long-term historical comparison with consideration of the probable influence of major DUI laws in California over a 15-year time period.

Figure 9e reveals that at the end of five years, 35% of the 1980 offenders reoffended compared to 27% of the 1984 group, 18% of the 1994 offenders and 17% of the 2000 group. Quite dramatically, the proportion recidivating in the 1994 and 2000 groups (18%, 17%) dropped by half compared to those in the 1980 group (35%). Major pieces of DUI legislation were enacted in California over this time span of 15 years. The noticeably lower reoffense proportions of the 1984 group (27%) compared to the 1980 group (35%) can likely be attributed to the 1982 laws, AB 541 (Moorhead), which applied tougher sanctions on DUI offenders, and AB 7 (Hart) which established the 0.10% per se BAC illegal limit. The effectiveness of these laws was confirmed by a previous California study by Tashima and Peck (1986). Table 15, which compare the 1980 cohort with the 1994 group over nine years, shows that 44% of the 1980 group recidivated while 25% of the 1994 group reoffended. The difference between the recidivism rates of these two groups remains quite dramatic at the end of nine years. There was only a one percent increase in recidivism for the 1994 group in the last year of 11 years.

Continuing with Figure 9e, it is evident that the difference in the reoffending proportions between the 1984 group (27%) and the 1994 group (18%) is substantial; this reduction in reoffenses is possibly attributable to the enactment of the 1990 laws, SB 1623 (Lockyer), which established APS suspensions for all offenders at the time of arrest, and SB 1150 (Lockyer), which set the illegal BAC limit to 0.08% and imposed other stringent sanctions on DUI offenders. As noted earlier, an evaluation (Rogers, 1997) of the California APS law documented recidivism reductions of up to 21.1% for first offenders and 19.5% for repeat offenders, both attributable to the APS law. Figure 9e also shows that the level of reoffenses is very similar for both the 1994 and 2000 cohorts. At each of the five years, the reoffenses of the 2000 offenders were only 1% lower than that of the 1994 group.

In summary, the 1994 offenders have long-term reoffense rates that are higher among those with more DUI priors (within seven years), among males, and among younger-aged drivers. These are not surprising findings, and are consistent with and supported by previous studies. In comparing the reoffense rates between the 1994 and 2000 groups with the 1980 and 1984 offenders, it was found that the cumulative proportions

of reoffenses was much lower among the 1994 and 2000 offenders. The dramatically lower reoffense rates of the 1994 and 2000 groups could be attributed to the enactment of more stringent sanctions for DUI offenders in the past 15 years, including the APS suspension law of 1990.

ALCOHOL EDUCATION PROGRAM EVALUATION FOR THE ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS OFFENDERS AND FIRST DUI OFFENDERS

Subject Selection and Follow-up Data: The basis for evaluating the effectiveness of alcohol education programs for offenders convicted at alcohol-related reckless driving, or of first DUI offense, was established by legislation. The evaluation for the offenders with alcohol-related reckless convictions was mandated by SB 1176 (Johnson); for these offenders, this legislation requires the courts to order enrollment in an alcohol/drug education program as a condition of probation. An evaluation of the efficacy of the 3-month versus 6-month alcohol education/counseling program for first offenders was mandated by AB 1916 (Torlakson). In 2004, the courts were required to refer first offenders whose BAC level is less than .20% to a 3-month program, and those with a BAC level of .20% or above, or who refuse to take a chemical test, to a 6-month program. Effective 2005, AB 1353 (Liu) increases the duration of alcohol treatment programs from 6 to 9 months for first DUI offenders on probation whose BAC level is 0.20% or greater or who refuse to take a chemical test.

Two groups of alcohol-related reckless convictees were identified, including: 1) those who were assigned to an alcohol education program, and 2) those who were not assigned to a program. These sanctions are reported by the courts to DMV via disposition codes on the conviction abstracts. Although courts are mandated to require all alcohol-related reckless drivers to attend an alcohol education program as a condition of probation, it was found that 38% of such offenders were not assigned. This discrepancy allowed a comparison of subsequent crashes and DUI incidents between the two groups.

In evaluating the traffic safety impact of length of time of first offender programs, only first offenders who showed the 3-month and 6-month designations on their conviction abstracts were identified and selected for this analysis. Unfortunately, the records of 63% of first offenders who were referred to the alcohol education programs did not display the specific length of time. These individuals were not included in this evaluation, and this analysis is limited to first offenders who were adjudicated by courts

that were in compliance with the law. Of the total sample selected, 75% were referred to 3-month programs, while 25% were assigned to 6-month programs. Subjects for the initial analyses consisted of first offenders assigned to a 3-month alcohol program versus first offenders assigned to a 6-month program. To further explore the possible effects of BAC levels, two additional subanalyses included 1) first offenders assigned to a 6-month alcohol program with BAC levels below .20 versus those with BAC levels of .20 and above, and 2) first offenders with BAC levels .20 and above assigned to a 3-month alcohol program versus first offenders with BAC levels .20 and above assigned to a 6-month alcohol program.

The conviction date for the prior/post analyses was considered to be the "treatment date" for defining prior and subsequent driving record data, because the penalties and sanctions for the offense are typically effective as of that date. The evaluation period for the postconviction driving measures starts from the conviction date, and was 1) 1-year following conviction for alcohol-related reckless offenders who were arrested from July, 2004 through June, 2005. 2) 1-year following conviction for first DUI convictees who were arrested in 2004, and who were referred to 3-month and 6-month alcohol education programs.

A buffer period of 4 months was allowed between the end of the evaluation period and the data extraction date to allow for processing and reporting of the most recent data to DMV. DUI offenders who had less than the full one-year follow-up time period (from conviction date to the buffer period) were excluded. For all of these groups, the outcome driver record measures consisted of the proportion of offenders who were involved in: 1) any crash, and 2) DUI incidents (alcohol-involved crashes, major convictions, APS/refusal suspensions, or DUI failures-to-appear). Only the first crash or DUI incident or "failure" was evaluated. This is not an important limitation with these data because the incidence of repeat failures (two or more crashes or DUI incidents) was very low over the study time window. More importantly, analysis of repeat failures would be subject to confounding by court sanctions received in connection with the first failure incident. This type of confounding is avoided because multiple incidents were not included in this analysis.

Evaluation Design and Analytical Procedures: Since it was not possible to randomly assign drivers to the various sanction groups, potential biases due to preexisting group differences were statistically controlled to the extent possible by using biographical data, prior driving record data, and ZIP Code indices, such as crash and traffic conviction averages for each driver's ZIP Code area (Appendix Table B5). While this

"quasi-experimental" design is subject to a number of limitations in assessing cause-effect relationships, the attempt at statistical control of group differences removes at least part of the bias in group assignment and provides a more precise estimate of the relationship between type of sanction and subsequent record. It is possible, of course, that the groups also differ on characteristics not measured by, or reflected in, the covariates. The possibility of uncontrolled biases becomes particularly problematic if sanctions are commonly received by offenders through self- or judicial-selectivity (e.g., drivers of higher socio-economic status may be more likely to receive program with restriction and less likely to receive jail than those of lower status).

For the alcohol-related reckless drivers and first offenders attending 3-month and 6-month programs, prior driver record data were extracted for the 2 years preceding their DUI or alcohol-reckless conviction date. The prior driver record variables for these offenders are shown in Appendix Table B5, and since some of these driver record variables were significantly different between the two groups, they were used as covariates in the analyses to remove these differences.

Following the extraction of covariates, simple correlations were computed between demographic and prior driving variables and the outcome measures, number of days to first subsequent crash and number of days to first subsequent DUI incident. The demographic and 2-year prior driving variables that had statistically significant correlations with the outcome measures were identified and selected as potential variables. For each analysis, logistic regression was used to test for potential interactions between the covariates and alcohol education program. In the analyses where there were significant interactions, the interaction term was included in the final logistic regression analyses.

There was a significant ($p < .002$) interaction between the ZIP Code covariate major violation averages and the alcohol program intervention and between age and alcohol programs ($p < .001$) in the analyses involving the alcohol-related reckless drivers. Such an interaction indicates that there is a differential effect of the program intervention at different age levels and with differing ZIP Code major violation averages. The interaction between age and alcohol programs on subsequent DUI incidents was investigated, and not found to undermine the effectiveness of the program intervention. Since the ZIP Code averages do not contain data elements specific to the individual but rather to a larger geographic area (ZIP Code), the interaction between major violation averages and the alcohol program intervention was not considered to be strongly conclusive and further investigation of this interaction was not explored.

Alcohol Education Program Evaluation for Drivers Convicted of Alcohol-Reckless Driving

Figure 10a and Table 16a display the results of the sixth evaluation of the effectiveness of the alcohol education program on drivers convicted of alcohol-related reckless driving violations.



Figure 10a. Adjusted 1-year crash and DUI incident rates for 2004-2005 (fiscal year) alcohol reckless drivers by type of sanction.

Total Crashes: Like last year's findings, the results show that assignment to the alcohol education program does not have a significant effect on 1-year subsequent crash rates of alcohol-related reckless offenders. Comparing these rates to those of the 2004 first DUI offenders, it is evident that alcohol-related reckless drivers who are assigned and those not assigned to an alcohol program are more involved in crashes (5.24 and 5.13 per 100 drivers, respectively; see Table 16a) than are first DUI offenders (4.8 per 100 drivers; see Table 13a). It has been suggested in the past that the lack of postconviction license suspension/restriction prescribed by the court for the alcohol-related reckless drivers might explain the higher crash rates. Past studies have documented the effectiveness of license suspension on crash reduction. However, it is possible that alcohol-related reckless drivers who were arrested with a BAC level of .08 and above incurred an APS license suspension/restriction prior to their conviction.

TABLE 16a: THE EFFECT OF ALCOHOL EDUCATION PROGRAM ON SUBSEQUENT CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS FOR DRIVERS CONVICTED OF ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS DRIVING

YEAR	SANCTION GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	NUMBER OF CRASH-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{\text{GRP 1 - GRP 2}}{\text{GRP 2}} \times 100$	NUMBER OF DUI INCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{\text{GRP 1 - GRP 2}}{\text{GRP 2}} \times 100$
7/2004 - 6/2005	1) No program	(2,449)	5.13	-2.1%	4.13	79.6%*
(follow-up period = 1 year)	2) Alcohol-education program	(4,471)	5.24		2.30	

*p = < .0001

DUI Incidents: Figure 10a and Table 16a indicate that program participants show fewer DUI incidents in the one year following their assignment to the alcohol-education programs than the nonparticipants, and this difference is statistically significant ($p < .0001$). The reoffense rate of the program participants is 79.6% lower than that of alcohol-reckless offenders not assigned to the programs, and is very similar to the findings of previous analyses. In exploring the interaction between age and alcohol program, the interaction was found to be an ordinal one; that is, while DUI recidivism was higher for the "no program" group consistently across all ages and was lower for the program participants for all ages, reoffenses noticeably declined as age increased. Recidivism was the lowest for those at age 48 and older. These results have to be viewed with some caution because random assignment to program attendance was not possible; there still remains the possibility of uncontrolled biases through self- or judicial-selectivity, even though statistical control of group differences removed part of the biases based on available covariates.

Results of the Evaluation of the 3-Month and 6-Month Alcohol Education Programs for First DUI Offenders

Total Crashes: Figure 10b and Table 16b display the results of the fifth evaluation of the effectiveness of the alcohol education program on first DUI offenders assigned to 3-month versus 6-month programs. Differing from the past four years, the results show that the length of time of the alcohol education program seems to have a significant ($p = .04$) effect on the 1-year subsequent crash rates of first-time DUI offenders. However, these findings should be viewed with caution since the results of the four

previous years did not show significant differences between the two groups. The 6-month program participants have a 14.2% lower crash rate than that of the 3-month participants. As noted earlier, the short-term APS license suspension/restriction for all first offenders might explain their lower crash rates, compared to the rates of the alcohol reckless drivers. Past studies have supported the effectiveness of license suspension on crash reduction.



Figure 10b. Adjusted 1-year crash and DUI incident rates for first offender drivers (arrested in year 2004) by length of alcohol education program.

TABLE 16b: FIRST OFFENDER 3-MONTH AND 6-MONTH ALCOHOL EDUCATION PROGRAM EFFECTS ON TOTAL CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS

YEAR	SANCTION GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	NUMBER OF CRASH-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{\text{GRP 1 - GRP 2}}{\text{GRP 2}} \times 100$	NUMBER OF DUI INCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{\text{GRP 1 - GRP 2}}{\text{GRP 2}} \times 100$
2004	1) 3-month program	(24,457)	4.27	14.2%*	4.15	-28.8%**
(follow-up period = 1 year)	2) 6-month program	(8,370)	3.74		5.83	

*p = .04

**p = < .0001

DUI Incidents: Similar to the last two year's results, Figure 10b and Table 16b indicate that, among first DUI offenders, the 3-month program participants have significantly (p = .0001) fewer DUI incidents in the one year following their assignment to the alcohol-education programs than do the 6-month program participants. This finding is consistent with the last four years' evaluations of subsequent DUI incidents. The reoffense rate of the 3-month program participants is 28.8% lower than that of the 6-month participants. Again, this finding is not surprising given that those assigned to the longer-term program have higher BAC levels (.20% and above), and would be more likely to recidivate than those with lower BAC levels.

In order to determine whether BAC level was a major factor in the outcome of the previous analysis, two further subanalyses were conducted to investigate this possibility. Among first offenders assigned to the 6-month program, 33% actually had BAC levels below .20 and 67% had BAC levels .20 and above. This difference in BAC levels allowed for conducting additional analyses comparing the outcome measures between those with BAC levels below .20 and those with BAC levels .20 and above. A second subanalysis was conducted comparing 3-month versus 6-month alcohol treatment program effects for those with BAC levels of .20 and above. There were a sufficient number of drivers who had BAC levels of .20 and above who were assigned to the 3-month program to allow for this second comparison. The results of these additional subanalyses are described below.

Results of the Evaluation of the 6-Month Alcohol Education Programs for First DUI Offenders with BAC Levels Below .20 Versus .20 and Above

Total Crashes and DUI Incidents: Table 16c shows the results of the effects of the 6-month alcohol treatment program on crashes and DUI incidents for two groups: 1) those with BAC levels below .20 and, 2) those with BAC levels of .20 and above. As evident in Table 16c, differences in crash rates between the two groups were not significant. Therefore, regardless of differences in BAC levels, the 6-month alcohol program did not have an impact on the two groups relative to subsequent 1-year crashes.

TABLE 16c: FIRST OFFENDER 6-MONTH ALCOHOL EDUCATION PROGRAM EFFECTS ON TOTAL CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS FOR DRIVERS WITH BAC LEVELS BELOW .20 VERSUS .20 AND ABOVE

YEAR	SANCTION GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	NUMBER OF CRASH-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{\text{GRP 1 - GRP 2}}{\text{GRP 2}} \times 100$	NUMBER OF DUI INCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{\text{GRP 1 - GRP 2}}{\text{GRP 2}} \times 100$
2004	1) BAC below .20	(2,573)	3.57	-6.1%	4.55	-26.3%*
(follow-up period = 1 year)	2) BAC .20 and above	(5,180)	3.80		6.17	

*p = .001

However, significant differences were apparent between these two groups on DUI incidents, substantiating the fact that those with BAC levels of .20 and above had more DUI incidents in the one-year following their conviction than drivers with BAC levels below .20. These drivers with lower BAC levels had 26.3% fewer DUI incidents than did those with BAC levels of .20 and above. The results of this analysis confirm the earlier suggestion that those with higher BAC levels are more likely to recidivate than those with lower BAC levels, regardless of the length of time of alcohol treatment program. Thus, it may not be that the 6-month programs are less effective than the 3-month programs, but rather that the 6-month programs have a higher proportion of offenders with high arrest BACs, who are more likely to recidivate.

Results of the Evaluation of the 3-Month and 6-Month Alcohol Education Programs for First DUI Offenders with BAC Levels of .20 and Above

Total Crashes and DUI Incidents: As shown in Table 16d, and consistent with last year's results, the length of time of alcohol treatment program for first DUI offenders had no effect on those with BAC levels of .20 and above on both outcome measures, 1-year subsequent crashes and DUI incidents. Although the crash and DUI incident rates for those attending the 3-month program are slightly lower than for those attending the 6-month program, these differences were not significant. Thus, with BAC level held constant, the findings of this analysis indicate that the extended 6-month alcohol treatment program has no beneficial effect on first offenders with high BAC levels on both subsequent 1-year crashes and DUI incidents. While the results of the previous analysis left unclear the relationship between recidivism and length of program or BAC level, the findings from this analysis more clearly indicate that extending the program

length for first offenders with high BAC levels does not reduce subsequent 1-year crashes or DUI incidents.

TABLE 16d: FIRST OFFENDER 3-MONTH AND 6-MONTH ALCOHOL EDUCATION PROGRAM EFFECTS ON TOTAL CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS FOR DRIVERS WITH BAC LEVELS .20 AND ABOVE

YEAR	SANCTION GROUP (BAC LEVELS .20 AND ABOVE)	SAMPLE SIZE	NUMBER OF CRASH-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{\text{GRP 1 - GRP 2}}{\text{GRP 2}} \times 100$	NUMBER OF DUI INCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{\text{GRP 1 - GRP 2}}{\text{GRP 2}} \times 100$
2004	1) 3-month program	(1,450)	3.62	-3.7%	6.33	-2.8%
(follow-up period = 1 year)	2) 6-month program	(5,180)	3.76		6.51	

The effectiveness of increasing the duration of time for alcohol education/treatment programs has not been supported in the literature. DeYoung examined the effectiveness of lengthening SB 38 alcohol treatment programs from 12 to 18 months for second offenders and found no evidence that the additional 6 months contributed to reducing DUI recidivism (DeYoung, 1995). A final limitation of these analyses should be noted. Since this study only included first offenders whose conviction abstract had information on the length of alcohol program, there are probably additional unknown biases that this quasi-experimental design cannot rule out. However, some statistical control of group differences removed part of the biases based on available covariates.

SECTION 5:

ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

SECTION 5: ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

Data on DMV administrative license disqualification actions (license suspension or revocation [S/R]) taken in DUI cases are presented below. These statutorily mandated actions, which are taken in cases of alcohol-impaired driving, are initiated by the receipt of either a law enforcement APS report (.08% BAC, zero tolerance, or chemical test refusal) or court abstract of conviction. It should be noted that multiple actions can result from a single DUI incident—for example, a single DUI arrest frequently will result in both an APS suspension and a (later) mandatory postconviction suspension action. This section includes the following tables:

Table 17: Mandatory DUI License Disqualification Actions, 1995-2005. This table shows preconviction (APS) and postconviction license disqualification totals from 1995 through 2005. The postconviction totals include juvenile suspensions, first-offender suspensions, second-offender suspensions and revocations, and third- and fourth-offender revocations.

Table 18: Administrative Per Se Process Measures. This table presents APS process measure data for fiscal years 2003/2004 through 2005/2006.

The following statements are based on the data shown in the previously listed tables.

- The total number of DMV DUI preconviction and postconviction S/R actions increased by 9.5% over that for 1995. These totals have fluctuated up and down since 1995. After a 2.0% increase in 2003, and 0.7% decrease in 2004, S/R actions increased again by 3.3% in 2005 (see Table 17).

- In 2005, 168,569 APS license actions were taken. Of these actions, 75% were first-offender actions and 25% were repeat-offender actions (see Table 17).

- In FY 2005/2006, total APS actions increased by 6.7% from FY 2004/2005, following a 3.9% decrease in the previous fiscal year (see Table 18).

- The number of chemical test refusal actions increased by 2.6% in 2005, after being relatively stable in 2003 and 2004. The total number of refusal actions has fallen 18% in the past decade (see Table 17).

- The number of mandatory postconviction license actions increased 16.6% in 2005, following a 2.9% decrease in 2004 (see Table 17).

- Requests for APS hearings have increased from 23.8% of all APS actions in FY 2003/2004 to 25.3% in 2005/2006. The rate at which APS S/R actions are upheld after hearing has increased during the past several fiscal years, from 86.4% upheld in 2003/2004, to 86.7% upheld in 2004/2005, to 89.8 upheld in 2005/2006 (see Table 18).

- During the first 12.5 years after implementation (on January 1, 1994) of the "zero tolerance" law for minors, 196,902 suspension actions have been taken.

TABLE 17: MANDATORY DUI LICENSE DISQUALIFICATION ACTIONS, 1995-2005

	YEAR										
	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
TOTAL MANDATORY SUSPENSION/ REVOCATION (S/R) ACTIONS	226158[r]	230600[r]	205462[1]	238612[1]	236141	240597	231217	236603	241242	239580	247568
PRECONVICTION											
Admin Per Se (APS) Actions	173696[r]	180343[r]	169511	175365	179332	172606	164840	165505	171470	171828	168569
.01 Zero tolerance suspensions	8608[r]	9327[r]	11517	15640	17775	18185	18549	19129	19949	19967	19374
.08 First-offender suspensions	116636[r]	122111[r]	114247	116827	119621	114997	109695	109888	114975	116022	107466
.08 Repeat-offender suspensions	43218[r]	43922[r]	39636	39024	38487	36147	33517	33580	33413	32903	38097
.08 Repeat-offender revocations	5234[r]	4983[r]	4111	3874	3449	3277	3079	2908	3133	2936	3632
Commercial driver actions	4743[r]	4939[r]	4496	4609	4471	4139	4013	3936	3853	3801	3525
Chemical test refusal actions	11711[r]	11436[r]	10110	9935	9435	9433	8841	8772	9399	9353	9599
.01 Test refusal suspensions	170	154	134	229	268	270	280	290	341	326	364
.08 Test refusal suspensions	6307[r]	6299[r]	5865	5832	5718	5886	5482	5547	5925	6091	5603
.08 Test refusal revocations	5234[r]	4983[r]	4111	3874	3449	3277	3079	2908	3133	2936	3632
POSTCONVICTION[2]											
Juvenile DUI suspensions	677	995	769[1]	1026[1]	918	741	714	896	794	838	737
First-offender suspensions	7266	7229	4847[1]	9588[1]	15072	29924	31097	32716	32521	31012	39078
Misdemeanor	5806	5753	3834[1]	7497[1]	13401	28118	29188	30563	30298	28799	36808
Felony	1460	1476	1013[1]	2091[1]	1671	1806	1909	2153	2223	2213	2270
Second-offender S/R actions	31489	30404	22945[1]	40238[1]	31940	29097	26911	29345	28737	28400	30294
Misdemeanor	30955	29864	22532[1]	39065[1]	31455	28571	26334	28748	28160	27847	29699
Felony	534	540	413[1]	633[1]	485	526	577	597	577	553	595
Third-offender revocations	9471	8728	5569[1]	9397[1]	6573	6163	5727	6171	5953	5581	6720
Misdemeanor	9261	8550	5471[1]	9167[1]	6452	6015	5585	5996	5758	5429	6537
Felony	210	178	98[1]	230[1]	121	148	142	175	195	152	183
Fourth-offender revocations	3559	2901	1821[1]	2998[1]	2306	2066	1928	1970	1767	1921	2170
TOTAL POSTCONVICTION ACTIONS	52462	50257[r]	35951[1]	63247[1]	56809	67991	66377	71098	69772	67752	78999[3]

[1]The 1997/1998 counts reflect backlogged actions from 1997 that were processed in 1998.

[2]These totals include suspension actions that are associated with lack of compliance with statutory requirements, and include workload counts.

[3]This count has increased as a result of the law change, effective 09/20/2005, which assigned to DMV the sole responsibility for imposing license actions for all DUI and removed this responsibility from the courts.

[r]Revised from prior reports.

TABLE 18. ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE PROCESS MEASURES

	7/03-6/04	7/04-6/05	7/05-6/06
Total APS actions taken (including actions later set aside)	191,842	184,324	196,691
Total .08[1] APS actions set aside	16,540	16,761	16,763
Total .01[2] suspensions set aside	1,392	1,349	1,369
Net total APS actions taken (excluding actions later set aside)	173,910	166,214	178,559
Net total .08 APS actions	153,490	147,196	157,602
Net total .01 suspensions	20,420	19,018	20,957
Net APS Actions by Offender Status/License Classification:[3]			
Net total APS actions, noncommercial drivers	170,166	162,473	175,458
Net total commercial driver (CDL) APS actions taken	3,744	3,741	3,101
Net total actions of commercial drivers in commercial vehicles	15	15	12
Net APS .08 actions for drivers with no prior DUI convictions or APS actions[4]	116,948	109,174	113,707
4-month license suspensions	85,392	83,908	84,983
30-day suspensions plus 3-month restrictions	1,465	896	56
30-day suspensions plus 5-month COE[5] restrictions	20,961	15,705	20,798
First-offender chemical test refusals	6,039	5,761	5,509
CDL first offender suspensions/restrictions	3,091	2,904	2,361
Net APS .08 actions taken for drivers with prior DUI convictions	36,542	38,022	43,895
Suspensions	33,502	34,769	40,284
Revocations	3,040	3,253	3,611
APS Chemical Test Refusal Process Measures:			
Total .08 and .01 APS refusal actions taken (including actions later set aside)	10,100	10,015	10,140
Total .08 refusal actions set aside	661	614	505
Total .01 refusal actions set aside	28	24	28
Net total .08 and .01 APS refusal actions (excluding actions later set aside)	9,411	9,377	9,607
Net total .08 refusal actions	9,079	9,018	9,222
Net total .01 refusal actions	332	359	385
Chemical test refusal rate (excluding actions later set aside)	5.26%	5.43%	5.16%
Net .08 APS refusal (suspension) actions for subjects with no prior DUIs	6,039	5,761	5,509
Net .08 APS refusal (revocation) actions for subjects with prior DUIs	3,040	3,253	3,611
APS Hearings[6]			
Total .08 and .01 inperson or telephone APS hearings scheduled	45,627	52,023	49,856
Percentage of total APS actions resulting in a scheduled hearing[7]	23.8%	28.2%	25.3%
.08 hearings held and/or completed	41,062	47,139	45,098
.08 actions sustained/upheld following hearings	35,476	40,847	40,511
Percentage of .08 APS actions sustained/upheld following hearings	86.4%	86.7%	89.8%
.01 hearings held and/or completed	4,088	4,556	4,532
.01 actions sustained/upheld following hearings	3,411	3,830	4,010
Percentage of .01 APS actions sustained/upheld following hearings	83.4%	84.1%	88.5%
APS Chemical Test Refusal Hearings			
Total .08 and .01 APS refusal hearings scheduled	3,113	3,610	3,308
.08 APS refusal hearings held and/or completed	3,009	3,488	3,196
.08 APS refusal actions sustained/upheld following hearings	2,517	2,963	2,758

[1].08 refers to APS actions taken subsequent to obtaining evidence of a BAC equal to or in excess of the .08% per se level or on the basis of a chemical test refusal. Such an action is taken in conjunction with a DUI arrest.

[2].01 refers to APS suspensions taken against drivers under the age of 21 with BACs .01% or greater, or on the basis of a chemical test refusal, and are not necessarily taken in conjunction with a DUI arrest.

[3]All entries in this category exclude actions later set aside but, where possible, include actions taken on the basis of either a chemical test refusal or a BAC test result.

[4]Prior DUI convictions or APS actions consist of any such conviction or action where the violation occurred within ten years (seven years before 1/1/05) prior to the current violation.

[5]This restriction allows driving to, from, and during the course-of-employment (enacted 1/1/95).

[6]These figures include refusal hearings but exclude Driver Safety/Investigation hearings, subsequent APS dismissal hearings and departmental reviews.

[7]Both numerator and denominator include those actions later set aside as a result of the hearing.

SECTION 6:

CRASHES INVOLVING ALCOHOL

SECTION 6: CRASHES INVOLVING ALCOHOL

This section presents data on alcohol-involved crashes, as compiled and reported by the California Highway Patrol. Only crashes involving injury or fatality are assessed, due to incomplete reporting of property-damage-only (PDO) crashes[1]. Drivers identified as being under the influence of drugs other than alcohol are also included in the "alcohol-involved crash" category, but typically comprise less than 1% of the total. This section includes the following tables and figures:

Table 19: DUI Arrests Associated with Reported Crashes, 1994-2004. This table shows the number of DUI arrests and percentage of DUI arrests associated with reported crashes from 1994-2004.

Table 20: 2004 Had Been Drinking (HBD) Drivers Involved in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Race/Ethnicity and Sobriety Code. This table shows the law enforcement officer's determination of sobriety and race/ethnicity for 2004 HBD drivers involved in crashes.

Table 21: 2004 Had Been Drinking (HBD) Drivers Involved in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Adjudication Status and Sobriety Code. This table crosstabulates crash sobriety codes (from law enforcement crash reports) with the court disposition for 2004 DUI convictions associated with those crashes.

Table 22: 2004 Had Been Drinking (HBD) Drivers Involved in Fatal/Injury Crashes With No Record of Conviction by County and Sobriety Level. This table shows the number of HBD drivers involved in fatal/injury crashes without a corresponding conviction, by sobriety level, by county.

Table 23: 2004 Had Been Drinking Drivers Under Age 21 Involved in Fatal/Injury Crashes. This table shows a breakdown of HBD drivers under 21, by age, from 1995 to 2004. It also shows a proportion of HBD drivers under 21 in the total count of HBD drivers for the state over the same time period.

Tables 24a-24b: 2004 Had Been Drinking Drivers Involved in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Age and Sex (Total and Not Arrested). These two tables show the number of 2004 HBD drivers in fatal and injury crashes by age and sex, both total (24a) and for drivers who were not arrested in conjunction with the crash (24b).

[1] Among 2004 DUI arrests, 26,844 were associated with a reported traffic crash, with 11,240 involving an injury or fatality, and 15,604 were PDO.

Tables 25a-25b: Sobriety Level by Prior DUI Convictions for 2004 Had Been Drinking (HBD) Drivers Involved in Fatal/Injury Crashes (Total and Not Arrested). These two tables show the number of 2004 HBD drivers involved in fatal and injury crashes by sobriety level and prior conviction status, both total (25a) and for drivers who were not arrested in conjunction with the crash (25b).

Tables 26a-26b: 2004 Had Been Drinking Drivers Involved in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Prior DUI Convictions (Total and Not Arrested). These two tables show the number of 2004 HBD drivers involved in fatal and injury crashes by number of prior convictions, both total (26a) and for drivers who were not arrested in conjunction with the crash (26b).

Table 27: 2004 Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of Drivers Involved in Alcohol-Related Crashes. This table shows the mean, the median and frequency distribution of BAC levels for HBD drivers involved in alcohol-related crashes in 2004.

Figure 11 (below) shows the annual percentages of traffic injuries and fatalities that were alcohol-involved from 1995 to 2005. The numerical data for this graph are shown on the DUI summary statistics sheet at the beginning of this report.



Figure 11. Percentage of total injuries and total fatalities that were alcohol-involved, 1995-2005.

Figure 12 (below) shows the alcohol and drug involved fatalities from 1995 to 2005. It also shows a breakdown of the number of fatalities when only alcohol was involved, only drugs were involved, or when both alcohol and drugs were involved in the fatality.



Figure 12. Alcohol and drug involved total fatalities, 1995-2005.

Based on these data, the following statements can be made:

- The number of alcohol-involved traffic fatalities increased by 7.7% in 2005, following increases of 1.2% in 2004, 2.0% in 2003, 8.3% in 2002, 6.1% in 2001, 5.4% in 2000 and 9.1% in 1999, which had been the first increase in over a decade. The proportion of traffic fatalities which are alcohol-involved increased to 36.6%, the second consecutive year of increases, after two years of being stable at 34.2% (see Figure 11).

- Drug-involved fatalities show a significant growing trend in the past decade, increasing by 231%, from 266 in 1995 to 880 in 2005. However, the greatest proportion of fatalities remains alcohol related (see Figure 12).

- 10.5% of traffic crash injuries in 2005 were alcohol-involved, relatively unchanged from 10.4% in 2004 (see DUI Summary Statistics).

- The proportion of HBD drivers involved in fatal/injury crashes under age of 21 increased 21.5% in the past decade (see Table 23).

- 14.8% of all 2004 DUI arrests were associated with a reported traffic crash, compared to 14.3% in 2003. 6.2% of DUI arrests were associated with crashes involving injuries or fatalities, relatively unchanged from 6.1% in 2003 (see Table 19).

- In 45.2% of cases where a DUI offender was arrested in connection with a fatal/injury traffic crash, there is no record of any corresponding conviction. In 54.7% of these nonconvicted cases, the crash report indicated that the drivers had been drinking and that their ability was impaired (see Table 21).

- Non-arrested drivers in alcohol-involved fatal/injury crashes in 2004 were less likely to have a prior conviction for DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving than did drivers who were arrested in conjunction with the crash (see Tables 25a and 25b).

- Over three-quarters (75.7%) of arrested drivers in alcohol-involved fatal crashes had no prior DUI or reckless driving conviction (see Table 26a).

TABLE 19: DUI ARRESTS ASSOCIATED WITH REPORTED CRASHES, 1994-2004

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	206583	198982	201765	191164	188327	188523	181336	176490	177056	183560	180957
PERCENT OF DUI ARRESTS ASSOCIATED WITH CRASHES	13.2%	12.5%	12.7%	12.3%	12.9%	12.6%	13.7%	14.3%	15.0%	14.3%	14.8%
PERCENT OF DUI ARRESTS ASSOCIATED WITH CRASHES INVOLVING INJURIES/FATALITIES	6.6%	6.0%	6.1%	5.8%	5.9%	5.8%	6.4%	6.3%	6.4%	6.1%	6.2%

TABLE 20: 2004 HAD BEEN DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND SOBRIETY CODE*

SOBRIETY CODE	TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)									
			WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER		UNKNOWN	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	20847	100.0	9070	43.5	7929	38.0	1504	7.2	1182	5.7	1162	5.6
HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	15828	75.9	6998	44.2	6370	40.2	1082	6.8	857	5.4	521	3.3
HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)	2988	14.3	1435	48.0	939	31.4	265	8.9	236	7.9	113	3.8
HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	2031	9.7	637	31.4	620	30.5	157	7.7	89	4.4	528	26.0

*For each sobriety code, percentages are based on row totals. These data are derived from the 2004 California Highway Patrol data files.

TABLE 21: 2004 HAD BEEN DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY ADJUDICATION STATUS AND SOBRIETY CODE*

SOBRIETY CODE	TOTAL		TYPE OF CONVICTION									
			MISDEMEANOR DUI		FELONY DUI		ALCOHOL-RECKLESS		YOUTH DUI		NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTIONS	
	N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
TOTAL	18351	100.0	7566	41.2	1971	10.7	444	2.4	71	0.4	8299	45.2
HBD-OBVIOUSLY DRUNK (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	1	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0
HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	14299	77.9	7373	51.6	1924	13.5	431	3.0	52	0.4	4519	31.6
HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)	1219	6.6	147	12.1	35	2.9	9	0.7	4	0.3	1024	84.0
HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	2714	14.8	44	1.6	10	0.4	4	0.1	15	0.6	2641	97.3
HNBD-HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING	33	0.2	1	3.0	1	3.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	31	93.9
NOT REPORTED	85	0.5	1	1.2	1	1.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	83	97.6

*For each sobriety code, percentages are based on row totals. These data are derived from the 2004 California Highway Patrol data files, and include only cases where the drivers license was found in the DMV Master file.

TABLE 22: 2004 HAD BEEN DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES WITH NO RECORD OF CONVICTION, BY COUNTY AND SOBRIETY LEVEL

COUNTY	TOTAL (100%)	SOBRIETY LEVEL					
		HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)		HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079)		HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE	5492	3394	61.8	720	13.1	1378	25.1
ALAMEDA	245	150	61.2	37	15.1	58	23.7
AMADOR	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
BUTTE	32	25	78.1	1	3.1	6	18.8
CALAVERAS	6	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
COLUSA	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
CONTRA COSTA	104	64	61.5	19	18.3	21	20.2
DEL NORTE	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
EL DORADO	22	16	72.7	2	9.1	4	18.2
FRESNO	127	83	65.4	18	14.2	26	20.5
GLENN	5	4	80.0	0	0.0	1	20.0
HUMBOLDT	33	25	75.8	1	3.0	7	21.2
IMPERIAL	31	30	96.8	0	0.0	1	3.2
INYO	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
KERN	103	79	76.7	9	8.7	15	14.6
KINGS	18	13	72.2	1	5.6	4	22.2
LAKE	22	17	77.3	3	13.6	2	9.1
LASSEN	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	0	0.0
LOS ANGELES	1497	835	55.8	232	15.5	430	28.7
MADERA	24	22	91.7	1	4.2	1	4.2
MARIN	34	21	61.8	5	14.7	8	23.5
MARIPOSA	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
MENDOCINO	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
MERCED	29	22	75.9	4	13.8	3	10.3
MODOC	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
MONO	3	1	33.3	0	0.0	2	66.7
MONTEREY	60	42	70.0	7	11.7	11	18.3
NAPA	30	18	60.0	4	13.3	8	26.7
NEVADA	10	5	50.0	1	10.0	4	40.0
ORANGE	368	196	53.3	56	15.2	116	31.5
PLACER	35	23	65.7	3	8.6	9	25.7
PLUMAS	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
RIVERSIDE	322	222	68.9	27	8.4	73	22.7
SACRAMENTO	198	134	67.7	17	8.6	47	23.7
SAN BENITO	13	4	30.8	4	30.8	5	38.5
SAN BERNARDINO	357	253	70.9	55	15.4	49	13.7
SAN DIEGO	574	328	57.1	75	13.1	171	29.8
SAN FRANCISCO	137	67	48.9	10	7.3	60	43.8
SAN JOAQUIN	102	71	69.6	6	5.9	25	24.5
SAN LUIS OBISPO	36	27	75.0	3	8.3	6	16.7
SAN MATEO	59	26	44.1	13	22.0	20	33.9
SANTA BARBARA	50	30	60.0	4	8.0	16	32.0
SANTA CLARA	180	113	62.8	21	11.7	46	25.6
SANTA CRUZ	31	18	58.1	4	12.9	9	29.0
SHASTA	40	21	52.5	5	12.5	14	35.0
SISKIYOU	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
SOLANO	48	34	70.8	4	8.3	10	20.8
SONOMA	64	43	67.2	6	9.4	15	23.4
STANISLAUS	137	92	67.2	18	13.1	27	19.7
SUTTER	13	7	53.8	1	7.7	5	38.5
TEHAMA	8	6	75.0	0	0.0	2	25.0
TRINITY	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TULARE	78	59	75.6	8	10.3	11	14.1
TUOLUMNE	14	11	78.6	0	0.0	3	21.4
VENTURA	115	67	58.3	27	23.5	21	18.3
YOLO	26	15	57.7	6	23.1	5	19.2
YUBA	8	6	75.0	1	12.5	1	12.5

*These cases include 65.3% of HBD drivers whose crashes showed alcohol or drug-impaired sobriety codes and who had available county codes on their records.

TABLE 23: HAD BEEN DRINKING DRIVERS UNDER AGE 21 INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES, 1995-2004

AGE		1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
TOTAL	N	22804	21959	19277	19080	18720	19591	20530	20633	20632	20847
UNDER 18	N	399	459	407	375	354	366	375	382	376	409
	%	1.7	2.1	2.1	2.0	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.9	1.8	2.0
18-20	N	1711	1796	1509	1608	1678	1811	1943	2016	1894	1943
	%	7.5	8.2	7.8	8.4	9.0	9.2	9.5	9.8	9.2	9.3
UNDER 21	N	2110	2255	1916	1983	2032	2177	2318	2398	2270	2352
	%	9.3	10.3	9.9	10.4	10.9	11.1	11.3	11.6	11.0	11.3

TABLE 24a: 2004 HAD BEEN DRINKING DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES* BY AGE AND SEX

	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
AGE	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	20847	100.0	16750	80.3	4097	19.7
UNDER 18	409	2.0	309	75.6	100	24.4
18-20	1943	9.3	1544	79.5	399	20.5
21-30	7477	35.9	6110	81.7	1367	18.3
31-40	4358	20.9	3464	79.5	894	20.5
41-50	3497	16.8	2685	76.8	812	23.2
51-59	1500	7.2	1202	80.1	298	19.9
60-69	564	2.7	459	81.4	105	18.6
70 & ABOVE	264	1.3	210	79.5	54	20.5
AGE UNKNOWN	835	4.0	767	91.9	68	8.1

*These data are derived from the 2004 California Highway Patrol's Annual Report of Fatal and Injury Motor Vehicle Traffic Collisions.

TABLE 24b: 2004 HAD BEEN DRINKING DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY AGE AND SEX (NOT ARRESTED)

	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
AGE	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	8185	100.0	6559	80.1	1626	19.9
UNDER 18	227	2.8	188	82.8	39	17.2
18-20	805	9.8	636	79.0	169	21.0
21-30	3065	37.4	2503	81.7	562	18.3
31-40	1758	21.5	1388	79.0	370	21.0
41-50	1354	16.5	1073	79.2	281	20.8
51-60	642	7.8	516	80.4	126	19.6
61-70	209	2.6	157	75.1	52	24.9
71 & ABOVE	125	1.5	98	78.4	27	21.6

TABLE 25a: SOBRIETY LEVEL BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2004 HAD BEEN DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES*

SOBRIETY LEVEL	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN SEVEN YEARS							
					ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	18351	100.0	7983	43.5	8167	44.5	1786	9.7	336	1.8	79	0.4
HBD-OBVIOUSLY DRUNK (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	1	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	14299	77.9	4543	31.8	7675	53.7	1692	11.8	314	2.2	75	0.5
HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)	1219	6.6	910	74.7	234	19.2	58	4.8	14	1.1	3	0.2
HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	2714	14.8	2422	89.2	249	9.2	34	1.3	8	0.3	1	0.0
HNBD-HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING	33	0.2	30	90.9	3	9.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
NOT REPORTED	85	0.5	77	90.6	6	7.1	2	2.4	0	0.0	0	0.0

*These data are derived from the California Highway Patrol's alcohol-accident files and include only those cases with available driver license numbers.

TABLE 25b: SOBRIETY LEVEL BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2004 HAD BEEN DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES (NOT ARRESTED)*

SOBRIETY LEVEL	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN SEVEN YEARS							
					ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	8185	100.0	5394	65.9	2187	26.7	475	5.8	97	1.2	32	0.4
HBD-OBVIOUSLY DRUNK (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	1	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	4333	52.9	2049	47.3	1774	40.9	399	9.2	83	1.9	28	0.6
HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)	1102	13.5	855	77.6	188	17.1	45	4.1	11	1.0	3	0.3
HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	2641	32.3	2389	90.5	219	8.3	29	1.1	3	0.1	1	0.0
HNBD-HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING	25	0.3	25	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
NOT REPORTED	83	1.0	75	90.4	6	7.2	2	2.4	0	0.0	0	0.0

*These figures are a subset of the counts in the table above.

TABLE 26a: 2004 HAD BEEN DRINKING DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS

ACCIDENTS	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN SEVEN YEARS							
					ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	18351	100.0	7983	43.5	8167	44.5	1786	9.7	336	1.8	79	0.4
FATAL	1053*	5.7	797	75.7	210	19.9	40	3.8	5	0.5	1	0.1
INJURY	17298	94.3	7186	41.5	7957	46.0	1746	10.1	331	1.9	78	0.5

*The records of 74.6% (786) of these cases indicated they were deceased.

TABLE 26b: 2004 HAD BEEN DRINKING DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NOT ARRESTED)

ACCIDENTS	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN SEVEN YEARS							
					ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	8185	100.0	5394	65.9	2187	26.7	475	5.8	97	1.2	32	0.4
FATAL	942*	11.5	744	79.0	160	17.0	34	3.6	3	0.3	1	0.1
INJURY	7243	88.5	4650	64.2	2027	28.0	441	6.1	94	1.3	31	0.4

These figures are a subset of the counts in the table above.
*The records of 75.9% (715) of these cases indicated they were deceased.

TABLE 27: 2004 REPORTED* BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF DRIVERS INVOLVED IN ALCOHOL-RELATED CRASHES

BAC LEVEL	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
.00	143	1.3
.01	55	0.5
.02	54	0.5
.03	101	0.9
.04	107	1.0
.05	121	1.1
.06	185	1.7
.07	214	1.9
.08	382	3.4
.09	414	3.7
.10	497	4.4
.11	546	4.9
.12	559	5.0
.13	583	5.2
.14	606	5.4
.15	631	5.6
.16	680	6.1
.17	669	6.0
.18	685	6.1
.19	565	5.1
.20	545	4.9
.21	476	4.3
.22	471	4.2
.23	397	3.6
.24	278	2.5
.25	278	2.5
.26	205	1.8
.27	159	1.4
.28	110	1.0
.29	97	0.9
.30	79	0.7
.31	71	0.6
.32	61	0.6
.33	43	0.4
.34	20	0.2
.35	26	0.2
.36	22	0.2
.37	17	0.2
.38	17	0.2
.39	9	0.1
.40	3	0.0
.41	4	0.0
.42	2	0.0
.43	1	0.0
.44	2	0.0
.45	1	0.0
TOTAL	12837	100.0
	MEAN BAC .162	
	MEDIAN BAC .160	

*The source of BAC data is the APS reporting form for convicted alcohol-crash drivers (61.6% of the records showed BAC levels).

DATA SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS

DUI Arrest Data:
Arrest data are reported to the Department of Justice (DOJ), Law Enforcement Information Center, by individual law enforcement agencies throughout the state. As such, these data are subject to reporting errors such as incorrect names, birthdates or arrest dates. Nonreporting of arrest data due to error or omission can also occur; for example, in 1995 the Oakland Police Department reported no DUI arrests, after reporting 960 such arrests in 1994. In addition, when data are entered into DOJ's Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system, only the highest-order offense is included. Therefore, in cases where a DUI arrest is made in conjunction with, for example, an auto theft arrest, that DUI arrest will not be included in the database. This results in a slight but systematic underreporting of the number of DUI arrests annually.

DUI Conviction Data:
Abstracts of conviction for DUI and other traffic-related offenses are reported to the DMV by courts throughout the state. As abstracts are received (either hard copy, magnetic tape or through direct electronic access from the courts) they are entered onto the DMV driver record database. Abstracts without an identifying driver license number are run through the automated name index (ANI) system in order to match the abstract with an existing driver record; in cases where no such match can be made, an "X"-numbered record is created to store the abstract. The total number of DUI abstracts of conviction received by DMV from the courts is tallied monthly and annually. Since this workload total includes abstracts which amend, correct or dismiss prior abstracts of conviction, it tends to overestimate the actual number of convictions which have occurred. Conviction data are also subject to reporting and nonreporting errors similar to those for DUI arrests. For example, the 1993 Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System documented the fact that thousands of DUI convictions appearing in court records do not appear on the DMV driver record database.

Alcohol-Involved Crash Data:
Crash data are reported to the California Highway Patrol (CHP) by local law enforcement agencies and district offices of the CHP. As such, these data are subject to reporting and nonreporting errors similar to those occurring in both DUI arrest and conviction data. While most local law enforcement agencies will investigate and file reports on crashes involving injury or death, the investigation and reporting of property-damage-only crashes varies widely by local jurisdiction. Data are entered onto CHP's Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS) and published in annual reports.

HISTORY OF MAJOR DUI LAWS IN CALIFORNIA SINCE 1975

SB 1756 (Migden), effective 1/1/2007, extends driver's license suspension from 6 to 10 months for a person convicted of a first DUI offense, who is granted probation, and whose blood alcohol level (BAC) is 0.20 or greater, or who refuse to take a chemical test.

AB 2520 (Committee on Transportation), effective 1/1/2007, requires the DMV to immediately suspend (APS action) the commercial driver's license of a driver operating a commercial vehicle with a blood alcohol level (BAC) of 0.04 or greater.

AB 2559 (Benoit), effective 1/1/2007, reorganizes the section of the Penal Code 192 (c) (3) related to gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated, to include the offense where the intoxication was a contributing factor in the killing.

AB 2752 (Spitzer), effective 1/1/2007, makes it an infraction for a person under the age of 21 to drive with any measurable (0.01 or greater) blood alcohol concentration. Persons under the age of 21 will now be subject to criminal penalties.

AB 3045 (Koretz), effective 1/1/2007, requires the DMV to verify installment of an ignition interlock device (IID) before reinstating the driving privilege, when an IID restriction is imposed by the courts.

SB 207 (Scott), effective 1/1/2006, establishes a statewide administrative vehicle impoundment program for repeat DUI offenders, when the driver's BAC level is 0.10 percent or more by weight, or when the driver refuses to submit to a chemical test. If the driver has one prior DUI conviction within the past 10 years, his/her vehicle shall be impounded for 5 days, and if the driver has two or more prior DUI convictions within the past 10 years, his/her vehicle shall be impounded for 15 days.

SB 547 (Cox), effective 1/1/2006, establishes a pilot program in Sacramento County that would authorize a peace officer to impound a person's vehicle for up to 30 days, if the driver has one or more prior DUI convictions within the past 10 years. Vehicle impoundment will take place in combination with a DUI intervention program established by the county. This bill shall remain operative until January 1, 2009, and would require the county to report the effectiveness of the pilot program to the Legislature.

SB 571 (Levine), effective 1/1/2006, lowers the blood alcohol level (BAC) at which the court must consider enhanced penalties from 0.20% to 0.15%, if a person is convicted of DUI.

AB 979 (Runner), effective 1/1/2006, reduces the mandatory suspension/revocation period, from a 12 to 30 month range to 12 months for repeat DUI offenders, before they become eligible to obtain a restricted driver's license. The license restriction requires the installation of an ignition interlock device (IID). This bill allows for a mandatory 30-day vehicle impoundment period if a person is operating the vehicle in violation of the ignition interlock device restriction.

AB 1353 (Liu), effective 9/20/2005, increases the duration of alcohol treatment programs from 6 to 9 months (consisting of at least 60 hours of program activities) for first DUI offenders, who are granted probation, and whose blood alcohol content (BAC) is 0.20% or greater, or who refuse to take a chemical test.

SB 1694 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/2005, increases the time period from seven to ten years during which arrests and/or convictions of DUI will be counted as prior offenses for enhanced penalties (includes DUI convictions of persons under age 21). This new law also requires the court to order a person convicted of a prior DUI to complete an alcohol/drug problem assessment program, even though that prior conviction occurred more than ten years ago, and authorizes the court to order the person to complete a repeat offender treatment program. Finally, it expands court-ordered participation in a county alcohol/drug assessment program to all persons convicted of a repeat DUI offense within ten years of a prior offense.

SB 1696 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/2005, requires the alcohol treatment program providers to send proof of enrollment in, or proof of completion of, the programs directly to DMV Headquarters, and prohibits the DMV from receiving the certificates from program participants.

SB 1697 (Torklakson), effective 9/20/2005, assigns sole responsibility for imposing driver license actions for DUI arrests and convictions to DMV, and removes this responsibility from the courts. It also ensures that all persons convicted of a DUI will receive a license restriction, suspension or revocation of the driving privilege.

SB 408 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/2004, prohibits the DMV (for cases showing a "critical need to drive") from issuing a restricted drivers license to minors convicted of DUI with a BAC of 0.01% or greater if the minor has other zero tolerance or DUI convictions within seven years of the current violation.

AB 1078 (Jackson), effective 1/1/2002, removes the 10-year limit on certain vehicular manslaughter convictions, resulting in the permanent retention of these violations on the driver's record. These convictions would be considered by the court as "priors" for enhancing penalties upon subsequent conviction for DUI.

AB 803 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/2001, requires the court to order a person who is at least 18 years of age who is convicted of a first violation of DUI with 0.05% or more, by weight, of alcohol to attend the educational component of a licensed DUI program; upon a second or subsequent conviction, the court is required to order the person, in addition to other penalties, to attend a 30-hour DUI program. If the person's license is suspended, the DMV cannot reinstate the driving privilege until the person provides proof of having completed the program as specified.

AB 1650 (Assembly Transportation Committee), effective 1/1/2000, is a committee bill intended to deal with transportation issues more efficiently by clarifying and making technical changes. This bill authorizes the DMV to impose a driver license suspension on those convicted of DUI in a water vessel involving injury; this remedies an oversight in existing law which provides for sanctions against drivers convicted of DUI in a water vessel without injury, but does not specify sanctions for cases involving injury.

AB 762 (Torlakson), effective 7/1/99, extends the suspension period for a second-DUI offender from 18 months to two years, but allows the second offender to serve 12 months of the license suspension period, followed by a restricted license, with continued enrollment in a treatment program and installation of an ignition interlock device; requires persons convicted of driving with a suspended or revoked license, where that suspension or revocation was based on prior DUI convictions, to install the ignition interlock device for a period not to exceed three years or until the driving privilege is reinstated, and requires DMV to study and report on the effectiveness of these devices. Judges are also encouraged to order installation of an ignition interlock device for first-time DUI offenders if there are aggravating factors such as high blood alcohol readings (0.20% or above), chemical test refusal, numerous traffic violations, or injury crashes. This law requires that upon a first DUI conviction, if a court grants probation, 1) the person's driving privilege shall be suspended for six months by the DMV, in addition to other penalties, or 2) the person may operate a motor vehicle restricted for 90 days, to and from work and alcohol treatment progam, if the person establishes proof of financial responsibility and complies with other penalties and fees.

SB 24 (Committee on Public Safety), effective 7/1/99, cleans up AB 762, AB 1916, and SB 1186. This law requires the DMV to revoke for one year the driving privilege of any ignition interlock device-restricted driver who is convicted of driving a vehicle not equipped with an ignition interlock device (IID) under authority section 23247(g); requires the department to suspend or revoke the driving privilege of any IID-restricted driver [under section 23246(g)] if notified by an installation facility that the driver attempted to bypass, tamper with or remove the device, or has three or more times failed to comply with calibration or servicing requirements of the device; amends certain sections to specify that completion of a program equals enrollment, participation, and completion subsequent to the date of the current violation.

SB 1186 (Committee on Public Safety), effective 7/1/99, reorganizes specified provisions relating to DUI-related statutes by amending, repealing, and/or renumbering the DUI-related sections without making substantive changes to the statutes.

SB 1176 (Johnson), effective 1/1/99, requires that, upon a conviction of an alcohol-related reckless driving charge, the courts order enrollment in an alcohol and drug education program as a condition of probation. This bill also requires an evaluation by the DMV of the effectiveness of the program and a discussion of the findings in its annual report to the Legislature.

SB 1890 (Hurtt), effective 1/1/99, deletes the choice of the urine test from the options for chemical tests relating to operating a vehicle under the influence of alcohol, unless both the blood and breath tests are unavailable or where there is a condition that warrants the use of the urine test.

AB 1916 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/99, provides that the court shall, as a condition of probation, refer a first offender whose BAC level is less than 0.20%, by weight, to participate for at least three months (minimum 30 hours) or longer to a licensed education/counseling program; if the BAC level is equal to 0.20% or more, by weight, or the person refused to take a chemical test, the court shall order the person to participate for at least six months or longer in a program consisting of 45 hours of education/counseling activities; requires the DMV to submit an annual report to the Legislature on the efficacy of the increased drug and alcohol intervention programs; requires repeat offenders who have twice failed the programs to participate in a county alcohol and drug problem assessment program, and requires each county, beginning 1/1/2000, to prepare, or contract to be prepared, an alcohol and drug

assessment report on each person ordered by the court to participate in an alcohol and drug assessment program.

AB 130 (Battin), effective 1/1/98, requires that any person guilty of a felony or misdemeanor DUI within 10 years of a prior felony offense be designated as a habitual traffic offender for a 3-year period and have their driver license revoked for four years.

SB 1177 (Johnson), effective 1/1/98, requires that anyone convicted of a second or subsequent DUI within seven years of a separate DUI, alcohol-related reckless driving, or DUI with bodily injury violation, is ordered to enroll in, participate and complete a DUI treatment program, subject to the latest violation, as a condition of probation. The person is not to be given credit for any treatment program activities prior to the date of the current violation.

AB 1985 (Speier), effective 1/1/97, cited as "Courtney's Law"; provides that a person convicted of gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated and who has one or more prior convictions of vehicular manslaughter or multiple prior DUI convictions shall be punished by imprisonment in the state prison for a term of 15 years to life. Also, any person fleeing the scene of a crime after committing specified vehicle offenses which resulted in death, serious injury, or great bodily injury is subject to an additional 5-year prison enhancement.

SB 1579 (Leonard), effective 1/1/97, permits DMV to suspend a driver license on a first FTA for DUI, and establishes an enhanced audit and tracking system to compare DUI arrests with subsequent actions.

SB 833 (Kopp), effective 1/1/96, permits peace officers to seize and cause the removal of a vehicle, without arresting the driver, when the vehicle was being operated by a person whose driving privilege was suspended or revoked or who had never been issued a license; requires an impounding agency to send a notice by certified, return receipt requested mail, to the legal owner of a vehicle that is impounded, and specifies under what conditions an impounded vehicle may be released to the legal owner.

AB 321 (Connolly), effective 1/1/95, allows juveniles cited for driving under the influence, with a BAC of 0.05% or more, by weight (Section 23140), to be charged with vehicular manslaughter (Penal Code (PC) 192) or gross vehicular manslaughter (PC 191.5) if they violate these vehicular manslaughter laws.

SB 1295 (Lockyer), effective 1/1/95, requires every person convicted of a first DUI offense to submit proof of completion of a treatment program within a time period set by the department; requires the department to suspend the driving privilege for noncompliance, prohibits reinstatement until proof of completion is received by the department; enhances the required administrative driving privilege revocation for a minor who refuses to take or fails to complete a preliminary alcohol screening (PAS) test, to two years revocation for the second offense in seven years and three years revocation for the third and subsequent offenses; applies the CVC section 23140 to drivers under age 21 (previously under age 18), making it unlawful to drive with a 0.05% BAC level or greater.

SB 1758 (Kopp), effective 1/1/95, permits a noncommercial driver, 21 years of age or older, who was arrested for a first APS DUI offense, who took a chemical test, and enrolled in an alcohol treatment program, to also obtain a restricted driver license, valid for driving to and from and during the course of that person's employment, after serving 30 days of the suspension period. The total time period for suspension/restriction shall be six months, rather than four months. Suspended/revoked and unlicensed drivers who drive are subject to having their vehicles towed and impounded for 30 days. If the driver is the registered owner of the vehicle and has a prior conviction for driving while unlicensed or suspended/revoked, the vehicle is subject to forfeiture to local authorities.

AB 2639 (Friedman), effective 9/30/94, repeals the statutes which authorized discretionary IID orders (23235), although part of the repealed statutes were incorporated into the sections establishing mandatory orders (section 23246 et seq.). Previously, the discretionary IID orders applied to all DUI offenders, but now they apply only to first-DUI offenders. For third and subsequent offenders, the statutes are amended to clarify that the court must require proof of installation of the device before issuing an order granting a restricted license. Some of the exemptions to the IID orders were revised.

SB 126 (Lockyer), effective 1/1/94, amends CVC 23161 to provide that if the court orders a 90-day restriction for a first offender, the restriction shall begin on the date of the reinstatement of the person's privilege to drive following the 4-month administrative suspension; as part of the sentencing of repeat-DUI offenders, 23161 requires an ignition interlock device to remain on the vehicle for one to three years after restoration of the driving privilege; specifies that the person cannot operate a motor vehicle when the driving privilege is suspended or revoked even if the

vehicle is equipped with an ignition interlock device; requires second offenders who have been suspended for 18 months to provide proof of financial responsibility and proof of successful completion of an alcohol or drug program in order to reinstate their license privilege, includes violation of 23140 for administrative suspension for minors driving with 0.05% BAC or greater.

SB 689 (Kopp), effective 1/1/94, prohibits a person under 21 years of age from driving with a BAC of 0.01% or greater, as measured by a PAS test; violators receive a 1-year license suspension. A person under the age of 21 who refuses the PAS test will be suspended for one year.

AB 2851 (Friedman), effective 7/1/93, requires anyone convicted of a second DUI within seven years of a prior conviction to install an IID on all their vehicles. The device must be maintained for a period of one to three years. Proof of installation must be provided to the court or probation officer within 30 days of conviction. If proof is not provided, the DMV will revoke the license for one year. Exceptions to installing a device are for medical problems, use of vehicle in emergencies, and driving the employer's vehicle during employment.

AB 3580 (Farr), effective 7/1/93, changes the effective date of APS suspension from 45 to 30 days after the notice is given.

SB 1600 (Bergeson), effective 9/26/92, provides that DMV is required to suspend or revoke the licenses of those who drop out of an alcohol treatment program a second time.

AB 37 (Katz), effective 1/1/92, combines elements of the formal and informal review hearing into a single hearing for those who were suspended under the APS laws, and provides that DMV need not stay a suspension or revocation pending review, if the hearing followed suspension or revocation for refusing a chemical test for alcohol or for driving with a BAC of 0.08 % or more.

SB 185 (Thompson), effective 1/1/92, amends Section 14602 to authorize the court to order the motor vehicle impounded for up to six months for a first conviction, and up to 12 months for a second or subsequent conviction of any of the following offenses: driving with a suspended or revoked license, violation of 2800.2 or .3 (evading a peace officer in a reckless manner, causing injury or death), within seven years of a violation of 23103, 23152, 23153, or PCs 191.5 or 192(c).

AB 2040 (Farr), effective 9/28/90, repeals previous statutes authorizing the installation of ignition interlock devices in DUI cases. This urgency statute authorizes the installation of such devices in all DUI cases, permits the court to grant subjects revoked for 3 or more DUI-related violations a restricted license after 24 months of the revocation have passed. The restricted license is conditioned on satisfactory completion of 18 months of an alcohol treatment program, submission of proof of financial responsibility, and agreement to have an ignition interlock device installed in their vehicles. Courts are authorized to reduce the minimum DUI fine to allow the person to pay the costs of the device.

SB 1150 (Lockyer), effective 7/26/90, provides clean-up legislation for APS; lowers the BAC level from .10 to .08, requires proof of financial responsibility to reinstate from any APS suspension or revocation action, increases sanctions for implied consent refusals (1-year license suspension for no priors or APS actions, 2-year license revocation for one prior or APS action, and 3-year revocation for two or more prior DUI offenses or APS actions), and authorizes suspension or revocation actions taken under 13353 and 13353.2 CVC to be considered as priors.

SB 1623 (Lockyer), effective 7/1/90, establishes authority for a peace officer to serve a notice of suspension or revocation (administrative per se or APS) personally on a person arrested for a DUI offense, to take possession of the driver license for forwarding to the department, and to issue a 45-day temporary operating permit; provides for an administrative review of the order, for an administrative hearing, and for a judicial review of the hearing, and provides for a fee, not to exceed $100, to be assessed upon the return of the driver license.

AB 757 (Friedman), effective 1/1/90, requires the DMV to establish and maintain a DUI data and recidivism tracking system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of DUI. Annual reports are to be made to the Legislature.

SB 310 (Seymour), effective 1/1/90, authorizes the courts to sell the vehicles of those registered owners who are found in violation of PCs 191.5 or 192 (C3), CVC 23152 which occurred within seven years of two or more convictions of 23152 or 23153, or a violation of 23153 which occurred within seven years of one or more convictions of 23152 or 23153 or the cited PC sections.

SB 408 (Leonard), effective 1/1/90, modifies AB 7 (Hart) to establish a BAC level of .08% or higher as per se evidence of impaired driving.

SB 1119 (Seymour), effective 1/1/90 for vessel provisions and 1/1/92 for commercial driver provisions, prohibits the operation of a commercial vehicle by a person with a BAC of .04% or above; requires a commercial vehicle driver to be ordered out of service for 24 hours if found with a BAC at or above .01%, but less than .04%; establishes separate penalties for refusing to take or complete a chemical test based on the type of vehicle involved. Under this bill, a conviction of operating a vessel while under the influence of alcohol or drugs would also be treated as a DUI prior for driver license sanctions.

SB 1344 (Seymour), effective 1/1/90, requires statewide implementation of 12-week (30-hour) first-offender alcohol education and counseling programs, and requires state licensing of such programs. This bill also adds 6 months of monitoring and follow-up to second offender programs, resulting in 18-month programs. It requires that DMV evaluate program effects on recidivism and report the findings to the Legislature.

SB 1902 (Davis), effective 1/1/90, prohibits DMV from issuing or renewing a driver license unless the applicant agrees in writing to comply with a blood, breath, or urine test. This bill also designates drivers convicted of a third or subsequent DUI within seven years as "habitual traffic offenders."

AB 3134 (Harris), effective 1/1/89, allows the 4th DUI within seven years to be charged as a felony or misdemeanor. The term of imprisonment to state prison or county jail is not less than 180 days and not more than one year. Allows for second offenders to attend either a one year or 30-month treatment program.

AB 3563 (Killea), effective 1/1/89, authorizes the court to order DMV to suspend, revoke, or delay the driving privilege of a minor failing to show proof of completion of a court-ordered alcohol education program when convicted of CVC 23140.

SB 1300 (Campbell), effective 1/1/89, amends CVC 13202.5 to allow courts to suspend the license of a person under the age of 21 (changed from age 18) for one year, or delay the driving privilege of those 13 years or older, upon conviction of various alcohol and drug offenses, including open container violations.

SB 1964 (Robbins), effective 1/1/89, requires all first-DUI offenders to file proof of insurance when applying for a restricted license or for reinstatement of the driving privilege following a period of license suspension.

SB 885 (Royce), effective 1/1/88, requires that a person who was granted probation for a second DUI offense must show proof of financial responsibility in order to be eligible for the 1-year restricted license.

SB 1365 (Seymour), effective 1/1/88, establishes a 30-month alcohol treatment program as an alternative to the 12-month program for third and subsequent DUI offenders, in counties where such a program exists. In these cases, imprisonment in the county jail shall be imposed for at least 30 days, but not more than one year, in lieu of the 120-day minimum jail term.

AB 2558 (Duffy), effective 1/1/87, provides that gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated is punishable in the state prison for 4, 6, or 10 years. Former PC 192(c3) was deleted and incorporated into 191.5(a).

AB 2831 (Killea), effective 1/1/87, makes it unlawful for a minor to drive with a BAC of .05% or more (CVC 23140). A conviction of this violation requires completion of an alcohol education program or alcohol-related community service program.

SB 2206 (Watson), effective 1/1/87, authorizes a county to develop and administer an alcohol and drug problem-assessment program, which could include a pre-sentence alcohol and drug problem-assessment report for persons convicted under CVC 23152 or 23153, and referral to treatment program with follow-up tracking.

SB 2344 (Lockyer), effective 1/1/87, extends the sentencing period for prior DUIs from five to seven years, and specifies a 3- to 5-year probation term for a DUI conviction.

SB 3939 (Farr), effective 1/1/87, authorizes courts to order the installation of IID for repeat offenders in four counties, and establishes a pilot project to evaluate the effectiveness of the devices.

SB 925 (Seymour), effective 7/1/86, extends the period of license suspension for second-misdemeanor offenders from one year to 18 months, and also requires that offenders with three or more DUI convictions show proof of treatment completion in order to have their licenses reinstated.

AB 144 (Naylor), effective 9/29/85, requires the court to take into consideration in a DUI case a blood alcohol concentration of 0.20 percent or above, or a refusal to take a

chemical test, as special factors in the enhancing of penalties for sentencing or to impose additional terms and conditions of probation.

SB 1441 (Petris), effective 1/1/85, requires a 3-year license revocation for persons with two or more DUI or alcohol-related reckless convictions within five years of refusing a chemical test.

SB 1522 (Alquist), effective 1/1/85, retains existing law for first offenders, which authorizes courts to impound a vehicle at the registered owner's expense for up to 30 days if the driver was convicted of DUI pursuant to CVC 23152 or 23153. The same time period for impoundment is required for second offenses within five years. For third and subsequent offenses, the vehicle can be impounded at the registered owner's expense for up to 90 days. Exceptions to the required impoundment arise "where the interests of justice would best be served by not ordering impoundment." Another limitation is that no vehicle driven by a class 3 or 4 licensee is subject to impoundment if another person has a community property interest in the vehicle, and it is the only vehicle available to the driver's family.

AB 624 (Moorhead), effective 1/1/84, requires a 1-year license revocation for minors (up to age 18) for a DUI conviction (Sections 23152, 23153 CVC).

SB 1601 (Sieroty), effective 7/1/82, modifies AB 541 provisions by requiring that SB 38 participants establish proof of insurance in order to remove the license restriction at the end of six months. In addition, SB 38 participants who dropped out of the program are given two more opportunities to reenroll, instead of receiving an immediate license suspension. Program providers are also required to report dropouts directly to DMV.

AB 7 (Hart), effective 1/1/82, makes it a misdemeanor under CVC 23152(b) to drive a vehicle with a BAC level of .10% or higher. Drivers with lower BAC levels (.05 - .09%) can be convicted of DUI when sufficient behavioral evidence of impairment is apparent.

AB 541 (Moorhead), effective 1/1/82, establishes that under CVC 23152(a), driving under the influence of an alcoholic beverage or drugs or their combined influence is a misdemeanor, while felony charges are filed under CVC 23153, and alcohol-related reckless charges are filed under CVC 23103.5. A conviction under 23103.5 constitutes a prior for a second offense (but not for third offenses). The penalties imposed are a 90-day license restriction (work- and treatment-related driving only)

and referral to an alcohol education program for most first offenders; a 1-year license restriction for second offenders who enroll in an approved 12-month alcohol treatment (SB 38) program. First offenders not placed on probation receive a 6-month license suspension. Second offenders not assigned to an alcohol program are suspended for one year. A minimum jail term of 48 hours is mandatory for all repeat offenders, and a minimum fine of $390 is assessed for all DUI offenses. Offenders with three or more DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving convictions receive a 3-year license revocation along with a jail term and fine, and a small proportion are referred to a 12-month SB 38 program. Enrollment in the program cannot be substituted for license revocation. The period defining prior DUIs changes from seven to five years. Convictions of a DUI offense with bodily injury or fatality, when prosecuted as a felony, continue to result in more severe penalties (such as longer license actions and jail terms) than the misdemeanor offenses. The only change in the 1982 law for felony second offenders is that those participating in the SB 38 program will receive a license suspension for one year and a license restriction for two years.

SB 38 (Gregorio), effective 1/1/78, extends the pilot 12-month alcohol treatment program for repeat offenders statewide.

SB 330 (Gregorio), effective 1/1/76, permits repeat DUI offenders in four counties to participate in a 12-month pilot alcohol treatment program in lieu of the usual 12-month suspension or 3-year revocation.

REFERENCES

Automobile Club of Southern California, California Highway Patrol, California State Automobile Association, & Department of Motor Vehicles. (2005). Digest of Traffic Legislation. Sacramento: Authors.

California Department of Motor Vehicles. (1984-87). *An evaluation of the California drunk driving countermeasure system. Volumes 1-8*. Sacramento: Author.

California Highway Patrol. (2003). *2003 annual report of fatal and injury motor vehicle traffic collisions*. Sacramento: Author.

DeYoung, D. J. (1995). *An evaluation of the effectiveness of California drinking driver programs* (Report No. 146). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

DeYoung, D. J. (1997). *An evaluation of the specific deterrent effect of vehicle impoundment on suspended, revoked and unlicensed drivers in California* (Report No. 171). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

DeYoung, D. J., Peck, R. C., & Helander, C. J. (1999). Estimating the exposure and fatal crash rates of suspended/revoked and unlicensed drivers in California. *Crash Analysis & Prevention*, *29*(1), 17-23.

Helander, C. J. (1989). *Development of a California DUI management information system*. Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Los Angeles County Municipal Courts Planning and Research Unit. (1995, September). DUI legislation 1982-1995. *The 1995 Judicial DUI Seminar*. Presented by the Committee on Drinking Drivers of the Municipal Court Judges Association and the Los Angeles County Municipal Courts Planning and Research Unit. Oxnard, California.

Peck, R. C. (1991). The general and specific deterrent effects of DUI sanctions: A review of California's experience. *Alcohol, Drugs and Driving*, *7*(1), 13-42.

Peck, R. C. (1993, January). *California DMV's driving under the influence R&D program: Some recent findings and activities*. Paper presented at the 72nd Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Board, Session 86A. Washington DC.

Rogers, P. N. (1997). *The specific deterrent impact of California's 0.08% blood alcohol concentration limit and administrative per se license suspension laws. Volume 2 of an evaluation of the effectiveness of California's 0.08% blood alcohol concentration limit and administrative per se license suspension laws* (Report No. 167). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Oulad Daoud, S. (2006). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 220). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2005). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 211). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2004). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 206). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2003). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 198). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2002). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 191). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2001). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 188). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2000). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 185). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1999). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 179). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1998). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 169). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1997). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 165). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1996). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 159). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1995). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 145). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1994). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 143). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1992). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 134). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., Marowitz, L. A., DeYoung, D. J., & Helander, C. J. (1993). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 138). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Peck, R. C. (1986). *An evaluation of the specific deterrent effects of alternative sanctions for first and repeat DUI offenders: Vol. 3 of an evaluation of the California drunk driving countermeasure system* (Report No. 95). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

GLOSSARY

ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE (APS)

Administrative per se ("on-the-spot") license suspension or revocation occurs immediately pursuant to lawful arrest of a person driving with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of 0.08% or more, or one who refuses a chemical test. Upon arrest, the driver's license is immediately confiscated by the law enforcement officer and an order of suspension or revocation served. The driver is issued a temporary license and allowed due process through administrative review. In July 1990, California became the 28th state to implement APS. In January 1994, California enacted a "zero tolerance" statute which requires the administrative suspension of any driver under age 21 with a BAC of 0.01% or greater, or who refuses to be tested.

ALCOHOL-INVOLVED CRASH

Alcohol-involved crashes are those in which the investigating law enforcement officer indicates on the crash report that the driver "had been drinking (HBD)." Crashes involving drivers who are determined to be under the influence of drugs other than alcohol (typically less than 1% of all crashes) are also included in the alcohol-involved crash category.

ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS DRIVING

Commonly called a "wet" reckless, alcohol-related reckless driving refers to an arrest/conviction incident which originated as a DUI arrest. DUI arrests involving drugs which are reduced to reckless driving are also referred to as alcohol-involved or "wet" reckless driving. "Wet" reckless convictions count as priors for the purposes of enhanced penalties upon subsequent conviction of DUI.

ALPHA

Alpha is the investigator's acceptable risk or probability level of making a Type 1 error (generally chosen to be small–e.g., 1% or .01, 5% or .05). There is always some risk of a Type 1 error, so alpha cannot be zero. Alpha is also called the significance level, because it is the criterion for claiming statistical significance.

BAC

Blood alcohol concentration, or BAC, is a measure of the percent, by weight, of alcohol in a person's blood. Statutorily, BAC is based upon grams of alcohol per 100 milliliters of blood or per 210 liters of breath.

CONVICTION

Conviction of an offense, as used in this report, refers to the receipt by DMV of a court abstract of conviction. In a small proportion of cases, an offender may be convicted of an offense but that conviction is not reported to DMV. Such cases would functionally be treated by DMV as though the offender had not been convicted. Because convictions can be amended, corrected, dismissed or simply not reported at all, the conviction totals reported herein are dynamic and subject to change.

COVARIATE

A variable used to statistically adjust the results of an analysis for differences (on that variable) existing among subjects prior to the comparison of treatment effects.

DUI

DUI is an acronym for "driving under the influence" of alcohol and/or drugs, a violation of Sections 23152 or 23153 of the California Vehicle Code.

LOGISTIC REGRESSION

Logistic regression analysis is a statistical procedure evaluating the linear relationship between various factors and the occurrence or nonoccurrence of an outcome event. In this study, the procedure was used to explain the relationship between the various sanctions and the proportion of DUI offenders who incurred crashes and/or DUI incidents.

MAJOR CONVICTION

Major convictions include primarily DUI convictions, but also reckless driving and hit-and-run convictions.

MEAN

Arithmetic average computed by adding up all the values and dividing them by the number of values.

MEDIAN

The median is the midpoint in a set of values arranged from lowest to highest, so that half of the values are below and half are above.

p

p stands for probability. For example, if $p < .05$, the probability is less than 5 chances in 100 that the difference found is by chance alone.

QUASI-EXPERIMENTAL DESIGNS

Quasi-experimental designs refer to analyses where the comparison groups are not equivalent on characteristics other than the treatment conditions because random assignment was not used. Caution should be excercised when interpreting the results because of possible confounding of group bias with treatment effects. Covariates are used to statistically reduce group differences prior to the comparison of treatment effects.

SIGNIFICANT (STATISTICALLY)

If the result of a statistical test is significant, this means that the difference found is very unlikely by chance alone. How unlikely is determined by alpha.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

Assembly Bill No. 757

CHAPTER 450

An act to add Section 1821 to the Vehicle Code. relating to driving offenses.

(Approved by Governor September 14, 1989. Filed with Secretary of State September 15, 1989.)

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 757, Friedman. Driving offenses: intervention programs: evaluation.

Under existing law, the Department of Motor Vehicles maintains records of driver's offenses reported by the courts. Including violations of the prohibitions against driving while under the influence of an alcoholic beverage, any drug, or both, driving with an excessive blood-alcohol concentration, or driving while addicted to any drug.

This bill would, additionally, require the department to establish and maintain a data and monitoring system, as specified, to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of those violations relating to alcohol and drugs, and to report thereon annually to the Legislature.

The bill would declare legislative findings.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. The Legislature finds and declares as follows:

(a) Drivers under the influence of drugs or alcohol continue to present a grave danger to the citizens of this state.

(b) The Legislature has taken stern action to deter this crime and punish its offenders and has provided a range of sanctions available to the courts to use at their discretion.

(c) No system exists to monitor and evaluate the efficacy of these measures or to determine the achievement of the Legislature's goals.

(d) This lack of accurate and up-to-date comprehensive statistics hampers the ability of the Legislature to make informed and timely policy decisions.

(e) It is essential that the Legislature acquire this information, from available resources, as soon as practicable, and that this information be updated and transmitted annually to the Legislature.

SEC. 2. Section 1821 is added to the Vehicle Code, to read:

1821: The department shall establish and maintain a data and monitoring system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of violations of Section 23152 or 23153.

The system may include a recidivism tracking system. The recidivism tracking system may include, but not be limited to, jail sentencing, license restriction, license suspension. Level I (first offender) and II (multiple offender) alcohol and drug education and treatment program assignment, alcohol and drug education treatment program readmission

and dropout rates, adjudicating court, length of jail term, actual jail or alternative sentence served, type of treatment program assigned, actual program compliance status, subsequent accidents related to driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs, and subsequent convictions of violations of Section 23152 or 23153.

The department shall submit an annual report of its evaluations to the Legislature. The evaluations shall include a ranking of the relative efficacy of criminal penalties, other sanctions, and intervention programs and the various combinations thereof.

O

APPENDIX B

TABLE B1: 2005 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ ETHNICITY

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE		180288	149120	82.7	31168	17.3	73359	40.7	82112	45.5	12810	7.1	12007	6.7
ALAMEDA	UNDER 18	42	33	78.6	9	21.4	23	54.8	8	19.0	1	2.4	10	23.8
	18-20	547	435	79.5	112	20.5	185	33.8	208	38.0	77	14.1	77	14.1
	21-30	3085	2493	80.8	592	19.2	840	27.2	1246	40.4	591	19.2	408	13.2
	31-40	1750	1445	82.6	305	17.4	543	31.0	586	33.5	422	24.1	199	11.4
	41-50	1171	946	80.8	225	19.2	495	42.3	278	23.7	273	23.3	125	10.7
	51-60	493	407	82.6	86	17.4	238	48.3	97	19.7	112	22.7	46	9.3
	61-70	118	100	84.7	18	15.3	65	55.1	15	12.7	28	23.7	10	8.5
	71 & ABOVE	35	31	88.6	4	11.4	23	65.7	3	8.6	6	17.1	3	8.1
	TOTAL	7241	5890	81.3	1351	18.7	2412	33.3	2441	33.7	1510	20.9	878	12.1
ALPINE	18-20	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	8	6	75.0	2	25.0	6	75.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	25.0
	31-40	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	41-50	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	27	22	81.5	5	18.5	24	88.9	1	3.7	0	0.0	2	7.4
AMADOR	18-20	24	22	91.7	2	8.3	20	83.3	3	12.5	1	4.2	0	0.0
	21-30	74	60	81.1	14	18.9	58	78.4	13	17.6	1	1.4	2	2.7
	31-40	67	43	64.2	24	35.8	52	77.6	14	20.9	0	0.0	1	1.5
	41-50	72	55	76.4	17	23.6	65	90.3	3	4.2	3	4.2	1	1.4
	51-60	55	43	78.2	12	21.8	49	89.1	4	7.3	2	3.6	0	0.0
	61-70	14	13	92.9	1	7.1	13	92.9	1	7.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	310	240	77.4	70	22.6	261	84.2	38	12.3	7	2.3	4	1.3
BUTTE	UNDER 18	22	11	50.0	11	50.0	21	95.5	1	4.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	180	135	75.0	45	25.0	153	85.0	22	12.2	2	1.1	3	1.7
	21-30	600	498	83.0	102	17.0	447	74.5	107	17.8	20	3.3	26	4.3
	31-40	244	201	82.4	43	17.6	187	76.6	40	16.4	6	2.5	11	4.5
	41-50	234	171	73.1	63	26.9	192	82.1	30	12.8	6	2.6	6	2.6
	51-60	119	96	80.7	23	19.3	112	94.1	4	3.4	1	0.8	2	1.7
	61-70	30	27	90.0	3	10.0	27	90.0	2	6.7	1	3.3	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	2	66.7	1	33.3	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1432	1141	79.7	291	20.3	1142	79.7	206	14.4	36	2.5	48	3.4

TABLE B1: 2005 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
CALAVERAS	UNDER 18	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	24	22	91.7	2	8.3	20	83.3	4	16.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	76	62	81.6	14	18.4	62	81.6	13	17.1	1	1.3	0	0.0
	31-40	73	56	76.7	17	23.3	60	82.2	10	13.7	0	0.0	3	4.1
	41-50	84	60	71.4	24	28.6	77	91.7	6	7.1	1	1.2	0	0.0
	51-60	40	36	90.0	4	10.0	37	92.5	2	5.0	0	0.0	1	2.5
	61-70	10	8	80.0	2	20.0	9	90.0	0	0.0	1	10.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	313	250	79.9	63	20.1	271	86.6	35	11.2	3	1.0	4	1.3
COLUSA	UNDER 18	9	8	88.9	1	11.1	6	66.7	3	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	22	19	86.4	3	13.6	11	50.0	10	45.5	1	4.5	0	0.0
	21-30	101	90	89.1	11	10.9	33	32.7	59	58.4	0	0.0	9	8.9
	31-40	55	47	85.5	8	14.5	22	40.0	30	54.5	3	5.5	0	0.0
	41-50	61	48	78.7	13	21.3	37	60.7	20	32.8	2	3.3	2	3.3
	51-60	33	23	69.7	10	30.3	24	72.7	7	21.2	0	0.0	2	6.1
	61-70	11	9	81.8	2	18.2	8	72.7	2	18.2	1	9.1	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	294	246	83.7	48	16.3	142	48.3	131	44.6	8	2.7	13	4.4
CONTRA COSTA	UNDER 18	44	35	79.5	9	20.5	30	68.2	5	11.4	2	4.5	7	15.9
	18-20	268	220	82.1	48	17.9	124	46.3	98	36.6	25	9.3	21	7.8
	21-30	1387	1131	81.5	256	18.5	568	41.0	535	38.6	170	12.3	114	8.2
	31-40	760	616	81.1	144	18.9	319	42.0	271	35.7	112	14.7	58	7.2
	41-50	614	462	75.2	152	24.8	365	59.4	105	17.1	100	16.3	44	7.2
	51-60	314	257	81.8	57	18.2	197	62.7	39	12.4	60	19.1	18	5.7
	61-70	89	73	82.0	16	18.0	56	62.9	9	10.1	21	23.6	3	3.0
	71 & ABOVE	18	15	83.3	3	16.7	14	77.8	2	11.1	2	11.1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	3494	2809	80.4	685	19.6	1673	47.9	1064	30.5	492	14.1	265	7.6
DEL NORTE	UNDER 18	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	4	80.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	20.0
	18-20	14	13	92.9	1	7.1	10	71.4	3	21.4	0	0.0	1	7.1
	21-30	84	74	88.1	10	11.9	55	65.5	15	17.9	1	1.2	13	15.5
	31-40	83	61	73.5	22	26.5	64	77.1	11	13.3	1	1.2	7	8.4
	41-50	95	63	66.3	32	33.7	81	85.3	3	3.2	1	1.1	10	10.5
	51-60	32	27	84.4	5	15.6	29	90.6	2	6.3	0	0.0	1	3.1
	61-70	9	6	66.7	3	33.3	8	88.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	11.1
	71 & ABOVE	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	3	60.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	40.0
	TOTAL	327	253	77.4	74	22.6	254	77.7	34	10.4	3	0.9	36	11.0

TABLE B1: 2005 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
EL DORADO	UNDER 18	21	12	57.1	9	42.9	20	95.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	4.8
	18-20	115	98	85.2	17	14.8	91	79.1	21	18.3	1	0.9	2	1.7
	21-30	434	354	81.6	80	18.4	349	80.4	70	16.1	4	0.9	11	2.5
	31-40	276	217	78.6	59	21.4	226	81.9	44	15.9	3	1.1	3	1.1
	41-50	309	205	66.3	104	33.7	286	92.6	14	4.5	3	1.0	6	1.9
	51-60	174	136	78.2	38	21.8	159	91.4	12	6.9	1	0.6	2	1.1
	61-70	35	23	65.7	12	34.3	32	91.4	3	8.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1370	1050	76.6	320	23.4	1169	85.3	164	12.0	12	0.9	25	1.8
FRESNO	UNDER 18	57	49	86.0	8	14.0	15	26.3	38	66.7	2	3.5	2	3.5
	18-20	590	508	86.1	82	13.9	136	23.1	410	69.5	12	2.0	32	5.4
	21-30	2757	2429	88.1	328	11.9	615	22.3	1956	70.9	84	3.0	102	3.7
	31-40	1501	1262	84.1	239	15.9	327	21.8	1058	70.5	65	4.3	51	3.4
	41-50	1012	855	84.5	157	15.5	303	29.9	591	58.4	79	7.8	39	3.9
	51-60	360	325	90.3	35	9.7	138	38.3	171	47.5	32	8.9	19	5.3
	61-70	95	83	87.4	12	12.6	42	44.2	42	44.2	8	8.4	3	3.2
	71 & ABOVE	16	13	81.3	3	18.8	11	68.8	4	25.0	0	0.0	1	6.3
	TOTAL	6388	5524	86.5	864	13.5	1587	24.8	4270	66.8	282	4.4	249	3.9
GLENN	UNDER 18	10	8	80.0	2	20.0	3	30.0	5	50.0	0	0.0	2	20.0
	18-20	45	36	80.0	9	20.0	28	62.2	16	35.6	1	2.2	0	0.0
	21-30	216	190	88.0	26	12.0	77	35.6	127	58.8	1	0.5	11	5.1
	31-40	138	118	85.5	20	14.5	65	47.1	65	47.1	3	2.2	5	3.6
	41-50	107	84	78.5	23	21.5	69	64.5	36	33.6	0	0.0	2	1.9
	51-60	52	47	90.4	5	9.6	35	67.3	14	26.9	1	1.9	2	3.8
	61-70	16	14	87.5	2	12.5	11	68.8	4	25.0	0	0.0	1	6.3
	71 & ABOVE	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	589	502	85.2	87	14.8	293	49.7	267	45.3	6	1.0	23	3.9
HUMBOLDT	UNDER 18	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	4	80.0	1	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	116	91	78.4	25	21.6	93	80.2	12	10.3	1	0.9	10	8.6
	21-30	487	371	76.2	116	23.8	396	81.3	44	9.0	12	2.5	35	7.2
	31-40	261	195	74.7	66	25.3	212	81.2	27	10.3	3	1.1	19	7.3
	41-50	195	135	69.2	60	30.8	163	83.6	12	6.2	2	1.0	18	9.2
	51-60	145	116	80.0	29	20.0	131	90.3	3	2.1	2	1.4	9	6.2
	61-70	25	19	76.0	6	24.0	21	84.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	2	8.0
	71 & ABOVE	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1240	937	75.6	303	24.4	1026	82.7	100	8.1	21	1.7	93	7.5

TABLE B1: 2005 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
IMPERIAL	UNDER 18	10	6	60.0	4	40.0	3	30.0	7	70.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	114	93	81.6	21	18.4	29	25.4	81	71.1	2	1.8	2	1.8
	21-30	437	380	87.0	57	13.0	101	23.1	317	72.5	8	1.8	11	2.5
	31-40	261	220	84.3	41	15.7	42	16.1	201	77.0	5	1.9	13	5.0
	41-50	220	189	85.9	31	14.1	56	25.5	148	67.3	6	2.7	10	4.5
	51-60	107	93	86.9	14	13.1	35	32.7	61	57.0	5	4.7	6	5.6
	61-70	25	25	100.0	0	0.0	10	40.0	14	56.0	1	4.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	7	7	100.0	0	0.0	4	57.1	3	42.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1181	1013	85.8	168	14.2	280	23.7	832	70.4	27	2.3	42	3.6
INYO	UNDER 18	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	6	85.7	1	14.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	12	12	100.0	0	0.0	8	66.7	1	8.3	0	0.0	3	25.0
	21-30	51	38	74.5	13	25.5	23	45.1	7	13.7	0	0.0	21	41.2
	31-40	54	47	87.0	7	13.0	41	75.9	9	16.7	1	1.9	3	5.6
	41-50	57	43	75.4	14	24.6	46	80.7	7	12.3	0	0.0	4	7.0
	51-60	23	19	82.6	4	17.4	18	78.3	2	8.7	1	4.3	2	8.7
	61-70	12	11	91.7	1	8.3	11	91.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	8.3
	71 & ABOVE	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	218	178	81.7	40	18.3	155	71.1	27	12.4	2	0.9	34	15.6
KERN	UNDER 18	48	42	87.5	6	12.5	19	39.6	28	58.3	0	0.0	1	2.1
	18-20	460	394	85.7	66	14.3	175	38.0	260	56.5	16	3.5	9	2.0
	21-30	2097	1807	86.2	290	13.8	698	33.3	1247	59.5	105	5.0	47	2.2
	31-40	1146	977	85.3	169	14.7	426	37.2	629	54.9	70	6.1	21	1.8
	41-50	898	700	78.0	198	22.0	453	50.4	354	39.4	67	7.5	24	2.7
	51-60	344	292	84.9	52	15.1	203	59.0	95	27.6	29	8.4	17	4.9
	61-70	89	78	87.6	11	12.4	56	62.9	28	31.5	4	4.5	1	1.1
	71 & ABOVE	23	18	78.3	5	21.7	12	52.2	8	34.8	3	13.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	5105	4308	84.4	797	15.6	2042	40.0	2649	51.9	294	5.8	120	2.4
KINGS	UNDER 18	15	15	100.0	0	0.0	2	13.3	13	86.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	100	88	88.0	12	12.0	30	30.0	67	67.0	2	2.0	1	1.0
	21-30	456	401	87.9	55	12.1	147	32.2	260	57.0	30	6.6	19	4.2
	31-40	222	179	80.6	43	19.4	67	30.2	134	60.4	17	7.7	4	1.8
	41-50	128	103	80.5	25	19.5	47	36.7	66	51.6	10	7.8	5	3.9
	51-60	57	53	93.0	4	7.0	27	47.4	24	42.1	5	8.8	1	1.8
	61-70	10	8	80.0	2	20.0	8	80.0	2	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	1	25.0	2	50.0	0	0.0	1	25.0
	TOTAL	992	850	85.7	142	14.3	329	33.2	568	57.3	64	6.5	31	3.1

TABLE B1: 2005 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
LAKE	UNDER 18	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	3	60.0	1	20.0	0	0.0	1	20.0
	18-20	44	39	88.6	5	11.4	31	70.5	11	25.0	0	0.0	2	4.5
	21-30	123	103	83.7	20	16.3	77	62.6	38	30.9	3	2.4	5	4.1
	31-40	100	78	78.0	22	22.0	77	77.0	13	13.0	3	3.0	7	7.0
	41-50	116	88	75.9	28	24.1	96	82.8	8	6.9	9	7.8	3	2.6
	51-60	68	52	76.5	16	23.5	64	94.1	1	1.5	1	1.5	2	2.9
	61-70	16	15	93.8	1	6.3	15	93.8	0	0.0	1	6.3	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	476	382	80.3	94	19.7	367	77.1	72	15.1	17	3.6	20	4.2
LASSEN	UNDER 18	7	5	71.4	2	28.6	5	71.4	1	14.3	0	0.0	1	14.3
	18-20	28	26	92.9	2	7.1	22	78.6	5	17.9	0	0.0	1	3.6
	21-30	63	55	87.3	8	12.7	43	68.3	9	14.3	0	0.0	11	17.5
	31-40	62	49	79.0	13	21.0	49	79.0	9	14.5	0	0.0	4	6.5
	41-50	42	35	83.3	7	16.7	38	90.5	2	4.8	1	2.4	1	2.4
	51-60	37	29	78.4	8	21.6	36	97.3	0	0.0	1	2.7	0	0.0
	61-70	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	244	204	83.6	40	16.4	198	81.1	26	10.7	2	0.8	18	7.4
LOS ANGELES	UNDER 18	136	103	75.7	33	24.3	62	45.6	65	47.8	4	2.9	5	3.7
	18-20	2379	1975	83.0	404	17.0	604	25.4	1509	63.4	120	5.0	146	6.1
	21-30	16144	13284	82.3	2860	17.7	3607	22.3	9878	61.2	1152	7.1	1507	9.3
	31-40	10152	8697	85.7	1455	14.3	2073	20.4	6201	61.1	1100	10.8	778	7.7
	41-50	6363	5381	84.6	982	15.4	1876	29.5	3101	48.7	954	15.0	432	6.8
	51-60	2424	2083	85.9	341	14.1	820	33.8	979	40.4	418	17.2	207	8.5
	61-70	596	536	89.9	60	10.1	220	36.9	214	35.9	114	19.1	48	8.1
	71 & ABOVE	135	119	88.1	16	11.9	66	48.9	28	20.7	28	20.7	13	9.6
	TOTAL	38329	32178	84.0	6151	16.0	9328	24.3	21975	57.3	3890	10.1	3136	8.2
MADERA	UNDER 18	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	1	14.3	5	71.4	1	14.3	0	0.0
	18-20	95	85	89.5	10	10.5	26	27.4	62	65.3	4	4.2	3	3.2
	21-30	435	403	92.6	32	7.4	81	18.6	344	79.1	4	0.9	6	1.4
	31-40	237	214	90.3	23	9.7	51	21.5	178	75.0	2	0.8	6	2.5
	41-50	163	137	84.0	26	16.0	70	42.9	84	51.5	5	3.1	4	2.5
	51-60	62	52	83.9	10	16.1	30	48.4	27	43.5	5	8.1	0	0.0
	61-70	14	14	100.0	0	0.0	8	57.1	4	28.6	1	7.1	1	7.1
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	2	66.7	1	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1016	914	90.0	102	10.0	269	26.5	705	69.4	22	2.2	20	2.0

TABLE B1: 2005 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
MARIN	UNDER 18	16	10	62.5	6	37.5	14	87.5	1	6.3	1	6.3	0	0.0
	18-20	108	83	76.9	25	23.1	58	53.7	38	35.2	5	4.6	7	6.5
	21-30	518	408	78.8	110	21.2	262	50.6	194	37.5	30	5.8	32	6.2
	31-40	415	311	74.9	104	25.1	270	65.1	112	27.0	16	3.9	17	4.1
	41-50	289	203	70.2	86	29.8	221	76.5	36	12.5	13	4.5	19	6.6
	51-60	144	104	72.2	40	27.8	128	88.9	4	2.8	5	3.5	7	4.9
	61-70	56	41	73.2	15	26.8	50	89.3	5	8.9	1	1.8	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	11	8	72.7	3	27.3	10	90.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	9.1
	TOTAL	1557	1168	75.0	389	25.0	1013	65.1	390	25.0	71	4.6	83	5.3
MARIPOSA	18-20	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	6	85.7	1	14.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	30	24	80.0	6	20.0	24	80.0	3	10.0	0	0.0	3	10.0
	31-40	31	27	87.1	4	12.9	22	71.0	7	22.6	0	0.0	2	6.5
	41-50	34	20	58.8	14	41.2	31	91.2	3	8.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	19	17	89.5	2	10.5	18	94.7	1	5.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	130	102	78.5	28	21.5	110	84.6	15	11.5	0	0.0	5	3.8
MENDOCINO	UNDER 18	14	12	85.7	2	14.3	7	50.0	4	28.6	0	0.0	3	21.4
	18-20	59	45	76.3	14	23.7	41	69.5	16	27.1	0	0.0	2	3.4
	21-30	265	218	82.3	47	17.7	168	63.4	77	29.1	4	1.5	16	6.0
	31-40	175	137	78.3	38	21.7	117	66.9	36	20.6	3	1.7	19	10.9
	41-50	147	107	72.8	40	27.2	112	76.2	21	14.3	1	0.7	13	8.8
	51-60	70	60	85.7	10	14.3	58	82.9	7	10.0	0	0.0	5	7.1
	61-70	20	18	90.0	2	10.0	15	75.0	4	20.0	0	0.0	1	5.0
	71 & ABOVE	9	8	88.9	1	11.1	8	88.9	1	11.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	759	605	79.7	154	20.3	526	69.3	166	21.9	8	1.1	59	7.8
MERCED	UNDER 18	25	19	76.0	6	24.0	4	16.0	15	60.0	1	4.0	5	20.0
	18-20	193	177	91.7	16	8.3	51	26.4	126	65.3	7	3.6	9	4.7
	21-30	770	687	89.2	83	10.8	155	20.1	555	72.1	31	4.0	29	3.8
	31-40	388	331	85.3	57	14.7	105	27.1	260	67.0	16	4.1	7	1.8
	41-50	241	205	85.1	36	14.9	85	35.3	129	53.5	13	5.4	14	5.8
	51-60	108	93	86.1	15	13.9	49	45.4	45	41.7	9	8.3	5	4.6
	61-70	23	21	91.3	2	8.7	10	43.5	12	52.2	1	4.3	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	3	60.0	2	40.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1753	1537	87.7	216	12.3	462	26.4	1144	65.3	78	4.4	69	3.9

TABLE B1: 2005 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
MODOC	18-20	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	3	60.0	2	40.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	21	15	71.4	6	28.6	15	71.4	1	4.8	0	0.0	5	23.8
	31-40	15	10	66.7	5	33.3	11	73.3	2	13.3	0	0.0	2	13.3
	41-50	22	16	72.7	6	27.3	15	68.2	5	22.7	0	0.0	2	9.1
	51-60	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	4	80.0	1	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	3	2	66.7	1	33.3	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	72	53	73.6	19	26.4	52	72.2	11	15.3	0	0.0	9	12.5
MONO	18-20	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	4	50.0	3	37.5	0	0.0	1	12.5
	21-30	37	32	86.5	5	13.5	28	75.7	7	18.9	0	0.0	2	5.4
	31-40	29	25	86.2	4	13.8	18	62.1	9	31.0	1	3.4	1	3.4
	41-50	27	23	85.2	4	14.8	26	96.3	1	3.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	17	15	88.2	2	11.8	16	94.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	5.9
	61-70	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	125	108	86.4	17	13.6	99	79.2	20	16.0	1	0.8	5	4.0
MONTEREY	UNDER 18	27	22	81.5	5	18.5	9	33.3	16	59.3	1	3.7	1	3.7
	18-20	280	251	89.6	29	10.4	59	21.1	215	76.8	2	0.7	4	1.4
	21-30	1351	1214	89.9	137	10.1	243	18.0	1036	76.7	36	2.7	36	2.7
	31-40	623	545	87.5	78	12.5	144	23.1	444	71.3	19	3.0	16	2.6
	41-50	434	343	79.0	91	21.0	199	45.9	199	45.9	24	5.5	12	2.8
	51-60	190	153	80.5	37	19.5	106	55.8	67	35.3	9	4.7	8	4.2
	61-70	54	44	81.5	10	18.5	36	66.7	14	25.9	3	5.6	1	1.9
	71 & ABOVE	14	8	57.1	6	42.9	10	71.4	3	21.4	1	7.1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2973	2580	86.8	393	13.2	806	27.1	1994	67.1	95	3.2	78	2.6
NAPA	UNDER 18	15	13	86.7	2	13.3	10	66.7	4	26.7	1	6.7	0	0.0
	18-20	92	81	88.0	11	12.0	42	45.7	48	52.2	0	0.0	2	2.2
	21-30	367	317	86.4	50	13.6	166	45.2	187	51.0	8	2.2	6	1.6
	31-40	217	178	82.0	39	18.0	115	53.0	88	40.6	6	2.8	8	3.7
	41-50	160	113	70.6	47	29.4	117	73.1	36	22.5	2	1.2	5	3.1
	51-60	98	83	84.7	15	15.3	77	78.6	19	19.4	0	0.0	2	2.0
	61-70	21	18	85.7	3	14.3	20	95.2	0	0.0	1	4.8	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	11	10	90.9	1	9.1	11	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	981	813	82.9	168	17.1	558	56.9	382	38.9	18	1.8	23	2.3

TABLE B1: 2005 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
NEVADA	UNDER 18	5	3	60.0	2	40.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	61	49	80.3	12	19.7	56	91.8	4	6.6	0	0.0	1	1.6
	21-30	230	188	81.7	42	18.3	183	79.6	32	13.9	3	1.3	12	5.2
	31-40	126	104	82.5	22	17.5	102	81.0	17	13.5	3	2.4	4	3.2
	41-50	136	107	78.7	29	21.3	112	82.4	14	10.3	3	2.2	7	5.1
	51-60	80	56	70.0	24	30.0	73	91.3	3	3.8	0	0.0	4	5.0
	61-70	15	11	73.3	4	26.7	14	93.3	0	0.0	1	6.7	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	11	7	63.6	4	36.4	10	90.9	1	9.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	664	525	79.1	139	20.9	555	83.6	71	10.7	10	1.5	28	4.2
ORANGE	UNDER 18	72	63	87.5	9	12.5	40	55.6	26	36.1	1	1.4	5	6.9
	18-20	1028	804	78.2	224	21.8	506	49.2	427	41.5	17	1.7	78	7.6
	21-30	5967	4824	80.8	1143	19.2	2645	44.3	2593	43.5	131	2.2	598	10.0
	31-40	3254	2747	84.4	507	15.6	1386	42.6	1478	45.4	98	3.0	292	9.0
	41-50	2186	1743	79.7	443	20.3	1282	58.6	659	30.1	69	3.2	176	8.1
	51-60	823	663	80.6	160	19.4	535	65.0	190	23.1	26	3.2	72	8.7
	61-70	197	163	82.7	34	17.3	145	73.6	29	14.7	5	2.5	18	9.1
	71 & ABOVE	59	48	81.4	11	18.6	47	79.7	10	16.9	0	0.0	2	3.4
	TOTAL	13586	11055	81.4	2531	18.6	6586	48.5	5412	39.8	347	2.6	1241	9.1
PLACER	UNDER 18	20	17	85.0	3	15.0	16	80.0	4	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	161	137	85.1	24	14.9	121	75.2	30	18.6	4	2.5	6	3.7
	21-30	736	590	80.2	146	19.8	527	71.6	166	22.6	18	2.4	25	3.4
	31-40	349	281	80.5	68	19.5	263	75.4	60	17.2	15	4.3	11	3.2
	41-50	364	275	75.5	89	24.5	304	83.5	43	11.8	6	1.6	11	3.0
	51-60	157	125	79.6	32	20.4	139	88.5	12	7.6	2	1.3	4	2.5
	61-70	36	33	91.7	3	8.3	32	88.9	4	11.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	11	9	81.8	2	18.2	11	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1834	1467	80.0	367	20.0	1413	77.0	319	17.4	45	2.5	57	3.1
PLUMAS	UNDER 18	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	12	10	83.3	2	16.7	11	91.7	0	0.0	1	8.3	0	0.0
	21-30	69	55	79.7	14	20.3	58	84.1	1	1.4	1	1.4	9	13.0
	31-40	51	42	82.4	9	17.6	47	92.2	2	3.9	1	2.0	1	2.0
	41-50	48	29	60.4	19	39.6	45	93.8	2	4.2	0	0.0	1	2.1
	51-60	24	21	87.5	3	12.5	21	87.5	1	4.2	2	8.3	0	0.0
	61-70	13	13	100.0	0	0.0	13	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	221	174	78.7	47	21.3	199	90.0	6	2.7	5	2.3	11	5.0

TABLE B1: 2005 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
RIVERSIDE	UNDER 18	86	71	82.6	15	17.4	40	46.5	37	43.0	5	5.8	4	4.7
	18-20	809	705	87.1	104	12.9	296	36.6	453	56.0	30	3.7	30	3.7
	21-30	3665	3194	87.1	471	12.9	1080	29.5	2326	63.5	137	3.7	122	3.3
	31-40	1891	1616	85.5	275	14.5	573	30.3	1144	60.5	120	6.3	54	2.9
	41-50	1520	1204	79.2	316	20.8	710	46.7	647	42.6	119	7.8	44	2.9
	51-60	564	474	84.0	90	16.0	325	57.6	162	28.7	51	9.0	26	4.6
	61-70	165	143	86.7	22	13.3	119	72.1	32	19.4	9	5.5	5	3.0
	71 & ABOVE	54	41	75.9	13	24.1	45	83.3	5	9.3	2	3.7	2	3.7
	TOTAL	8754	7448	85.1	1306	14.9	3188	36.4	4806	54.9	473	5.4	287	3.3
SACRAMENTO	UNDER 18	43	40	93.0	3	7.0	24	55.8	11	25.6	3	7.0	5	11.6
	18-20	653	542	83.0	111	17.0	321	49.2	214	32.8	52	8.0	66	10.1
	21-30	3247	2657	81.8	590	18.2	1337	41.2	1207	37.2	400	12.3	303	9.3
	31-40	1563	1231	78.8	332	21.2	691	44.2	483	30.9	277	17.7	112	7.2
	41-50	1115	851	76.3	264	23.7	622	55.8	190	17.0	229	20.5	74	6.6
	51-60	422	352	83.4	70	16.6	252	59.7	53	12.6	86	20.4	31	7.3
	61-70	105	82	78.1	23	21.9	65	61.9	11	10.5	18	17.1	11	10.5
	71 & ABOVE	24	18	75.0	6	25.0	17	70.8	3	12.5	4	16.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	7172	5773	80.5	1399	19.5	3329	46.4	2172	30.3	1069	14.9	602	8.4
SAN BENITO	UNDER 18	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	36	28	77.8	8	22.2	6	16.7	27	75.0	1	2.8	2	5.6
	21-30	159	149	93.7	10	6.3	31	19.5	123	77.4	1	0.6	4	2.5
	31-40	93	72	77.4	21	22.6	33	35.5	55	59.1	1	1.1	4	4.3
	41-50	57	48	84.2	9	15.8	19	33.3	34	59.6	1	1.8	3	5.3
	51-60	20	19	95.0	1	5.0	10	50.0	10	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	9	8	88.9	1	11.1	3	33.3	6	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	377	327	86.7	50	13.3	103	27.3	257	68.2	4	1.1	13	3.5
SAN BERNARDINO	UNDER 18	80	70	87.5	10	12.5	42	52.5	32	40.0	2	2.5	4	5.0
	18-20	865	730	84.4	135	15.6	346	40.0	450	52.0	40	4.6	29	3.4
	21-30	4465	3816	85.5	649	14.5	1461	32.7	2531	56.7	312	7.0	161	3.6
	31-40	2604	2198	84.4	406	15.6	788	30.3	1496	57.5	234	9.0	86	3.3
	41-50	1844	1496	81.1	348	18.9	850	46.1	745	40.4	198	10.7	51	2.8
	51-60	729	630	86.4	99	13.6	393	53.9	236	32.4	75	10.3	25	3.4
	61-70	183	163	89.1	20	10.9	110	60.1	47	25.7	19	10.4	7	3.8
	71 & ABOVE	40	38	95.0	2	5.0	30	75.0	6	15.0	4	10.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	10810	9141	84.6	1669	15.4	4020	37.2	5543	51.3	884	8.2	363	3.4

TABLE B1: 2005 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SAN DIEGO	UNDER 18	139	103	74.1	36	25.9	82	59.0	47	33.8	2	1.4	8	5.8
	18-20	1438	1172	81.5	266	18.5	743	51.7	543	37.8	58	4.0	94	6.5
	21-30	7634	6237	81.7	1397	18.3	3671	48.1	2992	39.2	519	6.8	452	5.9
	31-40	3450	2805	81.3	645	18.7	1542	44.7	1446	41.9	275	8.0	187	5.4
	41-50	2531	1938	76.6	593	23.4	1408	55.6	793	31.3	203	8.0	127	5.0
	51-60	1012	829	81.9	183	18.1	676	66.8	230	22.7	60	5.9	46	4.5
	61-70	203	168	82.8	35	17.2	136	67.0	41	20.2	14	6.9	12	5.9
	71 & ABOVE	60	51	85.0	9	15.0	44	73.3	9	15.0	3	5.0	4	6.7
	TOTAL	16467	13303	80.8	3164	19.2	8302	50.4	6101	37.0	1134	6.9	930	5.6
SAN FRANCISCO	18-20	79	56	70.9	23	29.1	25	31.6	19	24.1	11	13.9	24	30.4
	21-30	616	488	79.2	128	20.8	233	37.8	100	16.2	84	13.6	199	32.3
	31-40	347	286	82.4	61	17.6	155	44.7	56	16.1	59	17.0	77	22.2
	41-50	211	167	79.1	44	20.9	102	48.3	25	11.8	39	18.5	45	21.3
	51-60	87	78	89.7	9	10.3	49	56.3	8	9.2	13	14.9	17	19.5
	61-70	16	14	87.5	2	12.5	10	62.5	2	12.5	2	12.5	2	12.5
	71 & ABOVE	7	7	100.0	0	0.0	3	42.9	0	0.0	2	28.6	2	28.6
	TOTAL	1363	1096	80.4	267	19.6	577	42.3	210	15.4	210	15.4	366	26.9
SAN JOAQUIN	UNDER 18	39	33	84.6	6	15.4	16	41.0	16	41.0	3	7.7	4	10.3
	18-20	350	314	89.7	36	10.3	94	26.9	209	59.7	19	5.4	28	8.0
	21-30	1656	1475	89.1	181	10.9	411	24.8	1053	63.6	108	6.5	84	5.1
	31-40	922	779	84.5	143	15.5	286	31.0	504	54.7	85	9.2	47	5.1
	41-50	636	511	80.3	125	19.7	263	41.4	246	38.7	91	14.3	36	5.7
	51-60	261	225	86.2	36	13.8	132	50.6	85	32.6	34	13.0	10	3.8
	61-70	80	63	78.8	17	21.2	52	65.0	16	20.0	10	12.5	2	2.5
	71 & ABOVE	11	10	90.9	1	9.1	4	36.4	4	36.4	2	18.2	1	9.1
	TOTAL	3955	3410	86.2	545	13.8	1258	31.8	2133	53.9	352	8.9	212	5.4
SAN LUIS OBISPO	UNDER 18	30	21	70.0	9	30.0	25	83.3	4	13.3	0	0.0	1	3.3
	18-20	274	210	76.6	64	23.4	203	74.1	68	24.8	1	0.4	2	0.7
	21-30	927	755	81.4	172	18.6	574	61.9	296	31.9	24	2.6	33	3.6
	31-40	399	324	81.2	75	18.8	240	60.2	148	37.1	5	1.3	6	1.5
	41-50	372	273	73.4	99	26.6	287	77.2	68	18.3	9	2.4	8	2.2
	51-60	195	146	74.9	49	25.1	170	87.2	15	7.7	3	1.5	7	3.6
	61-70	50	41	82.0	9	18.0	43	86.0	5	10.0	1	2.0	1	2.0
	71 & ABOVE	20	16	80.0	4	20.0	19	95.0	0	0.0	1	5.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2267	1786	78.8	481	21.2	1561	68.9	604	26.6	44	1.9	58	2.6

TABLE B1: 2005 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SAN MATEO	UNDER 18	24	17	70.8	7	29.2	14	58.3	5	20.8	1	4.2	4	16.7
	18-20	230	189	82.2	41	17.8	86	37.4	92	40.0	12	5.2	40	17.4
	21-30	1447	1154	79.8	293	20.2	493	34.1	598	41.3	68	4.7	288	19.9
	31-40	724	581	80.2	143	19.8	274	37.8	249	34.4	42	5.8	159	22.0
	41-50	549	426	77.6	123	22.4	306	55.7	123	22.4	41	7.5	79	14.4
	51-60	251	203	80.9	48	19.1	163	64.9	46	18.3	14	5.6	28	11.2
	61-70	63	53	84.1	10	15.9	47	74.6	4	6.3	3	4.8	9	14.3
	71 & ABOVE	22	20	90.9	2	9.1	17	77.3	2	9.1	3	13.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	3310	2643	79.8	667	20.2	1400	42.3	1119	33.8	184	5.6	607	18.3
SANTA BARBARA	UNDER 18	26	22	84.6	4	15.4	12	46.2	13	50.0	0	0.0	1	3.8
	18-20	201	183	91.0	18	9.0	76	37.8	117	58.2	2	1.0	6	3.0
	21-30	1163	968	83.2	195	16.8	488	42.0	601	51.7	26	2.2	48	4.1
	31-40	529	447	84.5	82	15.5	195	36.9	315	59.5	7	1.3	12	2.3
	41-50	376	267	71.0	109	29.0	220	58.5	123	32.7	18	4.8	15	4.0
	51-60	177	135	76.3	42	23.7	128	72.3	39	22.0	5	2.8	5	2.8
	61-70	34	30	88.2	4	11.8	26	76.5	3	8.8	3	8.8	2	5.9
	71 & ABOVE	12	10	83.3	2	16.7	10	83.3	2	16.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2518	2062	81.9	456	18.1	1155	45.9	1213	48.2	61	2.4	89	3.5
SANTA CLARA	UNDER 18	63	46	73.0	17	27.0	22	34.9	33	52.4	1	1.6	7	11.1
	18-20	530	436	82.3	94	17.7	182	34.3	266	50.2	22	4.2	60	11.3
	21-30	3105	2547	82.0	558	18.0	779	25.1	1726	55.6	130	4.2	470	15.1
	31-40	1454	1219	83.8	235	16.2	363	25.0	800	55.0	67	4.6	224	15.4
	41-50	965	754	78.1	211	21.9	476	49.3	318	33.0	49	5.1	122	12.6
	51-60	383	312	81.5	71	18.5	205	53.5	103	26.9	22	5.7	53	13.8
	61-70	96	81	84.4	15	15.6	60	62.5	16	16.7	7	7.3	13	13.5
	71 & ABOVE	23	19	82.6	4	17.4	17	73.9	5	21.7	0	0.0	1	4.3
	TOTAL	6619	5414	81.8	1204	18.2	2104	31.8	3267	49.4	298	4.5	950	14.4
SANTA CRUZ	UNDER 18	34	18	52.9	16	47.1	26	76.5	7	20.6	0	0.0	1	2.9
	18-20	157	123	78.3	34	21.7	84	53.5	61	38.9	3	1.9	9	5.7
	21-30	629	483	76.8	146	23.2	363	57.7	235	37.4	15	2.4	16	2.5
	31-40	362	289	79.8	73	20.2	217	59.9	128	35.4	9	2.5	8	2.2
	41-50	271	190	70.1	81	29.9	197	72.7	66	24.4	4	1.5	4	1.5
	51-60	121	89	73.6	32	26.4	102	84.3	16	13.2	2	1.7	1	0.8
	61-70	29	25	86.2	4	13.8	24	82.8	4	13.8	0	0.0	1	3.4
	71 & ABOVE	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1605	1219	76.0	386	24.0	1015	63.2	517	32.2	33	2.1	40	2.5

TABLE B1: 2005 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SHASTA	UNDER 18	9	7	77.8	2	22.2	8	88.9	1	11.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	63	51	81.0	12	19.0	52	82.5	7	11.1	1	1.6	3	4.8
	21-30	304	232	76.3	72	23.7	265	87.2	28	9.2	3	1.0	8	2.6
	31-40	195	138	70.8	57	29.2	164	84.1	15	7.7	4	2.1	12	6.2
	41-50	189	134	70.9	55	29.1	172	91.0	8	4.2	3	1.6	6	3.2
	51-60	98	72	73.5	26	26.5	93	94.9	2	2.0	1	1.0	2	2.0
	61-70	24	22	91.7	2	8.3	23	95.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	4.2
	71 & ABOVE	9	8	88.9	1	11.1	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	891	664	74.5	227	25.5	786	88.2	61	6.8	12	1.3	32	3.6
SIERRA	18-20	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	4	66.7	2	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	16	13	81.3	3	18.8	15	93.8	1	6.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	31-40	21	18	85.7	3	14.3	20	95.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	4.8
	41-50	24	21	87.5	3	12.5	21	87.5	3	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	12	11	91.7	1	8.3	11	91.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	8.3
	61-70	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	2	66.7	1	33.3	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	83	71	85.5	12	14.5	75	90.4	6	7.2	0	0.0	2	2.4
SISKIYOU	UNDER 18	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	20	15	75.0	5	25.0	14	70.0	4	20.0	0	0.0	2	10.0
	21-30	75	61	81.3	14	18.7	66	88.0	5	6.7	1	1.3	3	4.0
	31-40	88	66	75.0	22	25.0	77	87.5	9	10.2	1	1.1	1	1.1
	41-50	107	89	83.2	18	16.8	97	90.7	8	7.5	0	0.0	2	1.9
	51-60	54	48	88.9	6	11.1	51	94.4	1	1.9	1	1.9	1	1.9
	61-70	14	12	85.7	2	14.3	14	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	365	298	81.6	67	18.4	326	89.3	27	7.4	3	0.8	9	2.5
SOLANO	UNDER 18	23	18	78.3	5	21.7	13	56.5	6	26.1	3	13.0	1	4.3
	18-20	150	119	79.3	31	20.7	81	54.0	40	26.7	16	10.7	13	8.7
	21-30	687	590	85.9	97	14.1	272	39.6	264	38.4	106	15.4	45	6.6
	31-40	375	309	82.4	66	17.6	161	42.9	118	31.5	69	18.4	27	7.2
	41-50	328	259	79.0	69	21.0	177	54.0	61	18.6	72	22.0	18	5.5
	51-60	136	113	83.1	23	16.9	88	64.7	14	10.3	27	19.9	7	5.1
	61-70	36	32	88.9	4	11.1	22	61.1	5	13.9	6	16.7	3	8.3
	71 & ABOVE	11	9	81.8	2	18.2	8	72.7	1	9.1	2	18.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1746	1449	83.0	297	17.0	822	47.1	509	29.2	301	17.2	114	6.5

TABLE B1: 2005 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SONOMA	UNDER 18	41	36	87.8	5	12.2	23	56.1	16	39.0	0	0.0	2	4.9
	18-20	276	233	84.4	43	15.6	140	50.7	117	42.4	6	2.2	13	4.7
	21-30	1278	1068	83.6	210	16.4	575	45.0	622	48.7	29	2.3	52	4.1
	31-40	531	434	81.7	97	18.3	269	50.7	228	42.9	14	2.6	20	3.8
	41-50	491	375	76.4	116	23.6	349	71.1	104	21.2	12	2.4	26	5.3
	51-60	281	216	76.9	65	23.1	240	85.4	31	11.0	5	1.8	5	1.8
	61-70	68	53	77.9	15	22.1	63	92.6	3	4.4	0	0.0	2	2.9
	71 & ABOVE	19	14	73.7	5	26.3	17	89.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	10.5
	TOTAL	2985	2429	81.4	556	18.6	1676	56.1	1121	37.6	66	2.2	122	4.1
STANISLAUS	UNDER 18	28	25	89.3	3	10.7	10	35.7	17	60.7	0	0.0	1	3.6
	18-20	258	204	79.1	54	20.9	107	41.5	135	52.3	5	1.9	11	4.3
	21-30	1188	971	81.7	217	18.3	422	35.5	670	56.4	37	3.1	59	5.0
	31-40	563	455	80.8	108	19.2	214	38.0	297	52.8	24	4.3	28	5.0
	41-50	412	319	77.4	93	22.6	200	48.5	174	42.2	27	6.6	11	2.7
	51-60	157	133	84.7	24	15.3	94	59.9	54	34.4	6	3.8	3	1.9
	61-70	38	35	92.1	3	7.9	27	71.1	7	18.4	2	5.3	2	5.3
	71 & ABOVE	16	13	81.3	3	18.8	7	43.8	7	43.8	0	0.0	2	12.5
	TOTAL	2660	2155	81.0	505	19.0	1081	40.6	1361	51.2	101	3.8	117	4.4
SUTTER	UNDER 18	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	3	60.0	2	40.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	48	41	85.4	7	14.6	25	52.1	19	39.6	2	4.2	2	4.2
	21-30	175	161	92.0	14	8.0	65	37.1	100	57.1	4	2.3	6	3.4
	31-40	97	83	85.6	14	14.4	40	41.2	48	49.5	2	2.1	7	7.2
	41-50	96	73	76.0	23	24.0	53	55.2	35	36.5	1	1.0	7	7.3
	51-60	41	34	82.9	7	17.1	30	73.2	4	9.8	3	7.3	4	9.8
	61-70	10	9	90.0	1	10.0	7	70.0	3	30.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	473	406	85.8	67	14.2	224	47.4	211	44.6	12	2.5	26	5.5
TEHAMA	UNDER 18	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	42	33	78.6	9	21.4	27	64.3	14	33.3	1	2.4	0	0.0
	21-30	238	200	84.0	38	16.0	170	71.4	63	26.5	2	0.8	3	1.3
	31-40	165	135	81.8	30	18.2	121	73.3	38	23.0	0	0.0	6	3.6
	41-50	146	107	73.3	39	26.7	122	83.6	18	12.3	2	1.4	4	2.7
	51-60	95	81	85.3	14	14.7	82	86.3	13	13.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	19	17	89.5	2	10.5	17	89.5	1	5.3	0	0.0	1	5.3
	71 & ABOVE	6	6	100.0	0	0.0	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	718	585	81.5	133	18.5	552	76.9	147	20.5	5	0.7	14	1.9

TABLE B1: 2005 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TRINITY	18-20	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	39	35	89.7	4	10.3	36	92.3	1	2.6	0	0.0	2	5.1
	31-40	38	29	76.3	9	23.7	33	86.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	13.2
	41-50	39	26	66.7	13	33.3	36	92.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	7.7
	51-60	33	28	84.8	5	15.2	33	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	12	9	75.0	3	25.0	11	91.7	1	8.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	169	134	79.3	35	20.7	157	92.9	2	1.2	0	0.0	10	5.9
TULARE	UNDER 18	45	39	86.7	6	13.3	7	15.6	36	80.0	0	0.0	2	4.4
	18-20	353	316	89.5	37	10.5	68	19.3	277	78.5	2	0.6	6	1.7
	21-30	1441	1295	89.9	146	10.1	244	16.9	1153	80.0	14	1.0	30	2.1
	31-40	800	704	88.0	96	12.0	151	18.9	616	77.0	15	1.9	18	2.3
	41-50	454	397	87.4	57	12.6	140	30.8	288	63.4	11	2.4	15	3.3
	51-60	180	161	89.4	19	10.6	73	40.6	96	53.3	3	1.7	8	4.4
	61-70	39	34	87.2	5	12.8	21	53.8	17	43.6	0	0.0	1	2.6
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	3315	2949	89.0	366	11.0	707	21.3	2483	74.9	45	1.4	80	2.4
TUOLUMNE	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	41	38	92.7	3	7.3	41	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	132	114	86.4	18	13.6	126	95.5	4	3.0	0	0.0	2	1.5
	31-40	77	58	75.3	19	24.7	72	93.5	4	5.2	1	1.3	0	0.0
	41-50	109	79	72.5	30	27.5	104	95.4	4	3.7	0	0.0	1	0.9
	51-60	60	49	81.7	11	18.3	60	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	22	21	95.5	1	4.5	22	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	11	9	81.8	2	18.2	11	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	453	369	81.5	84	18.5	437	96.5	12	2.6	1	0.2	3	0.7
VENTURA	UNDER 18	39	30	76.9	9	23.1	19	48.7	15	38.5	1	2.6	4	10.3
	18-20	366	294	80.3	72	19.7	193	52.7	158	43.2	3	0.8	12	3.3
	21-30	1833	1514	82.6	319	17.4	723	39.4	1015	55.4	29	1.6	66	3.6
	31-40	869	720	82.9	149	17.1	356	41.0	469	54.0	22	2.5	22	2.5
	41-50	675	535	79.3	140	20.7	407	60.3	229	33.9	20	3.0	19	2.8
	51-60	273	221	81.0	52	19.0	174	63.7	84	30.8	4	1.5	11	4.0
	61-70	70	62	88.6	8	11.4	46	65.7	20	28.6	3	4.3	1	1.4
	71 & ABOVE	14	13	92.9	1	7.1	11	78.6	3	21.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	4139	3389	81.9	750	18.1	1929	46.6	1992	48.2	82	2.0	135	3.2

TABLE B1: 2005 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
YOLO	UNDER 18	19	13	68.4	6	31.6	11	57.9	6	31.6	0	0.0	2	10.5
	18-20	137	114	83.2	23	16.8	67	48.9	54	39.4	3	2.2	13	9.5
	21-30	599	505	84.3	94	15.7	262	43.7	274	45.7	17	2.8	46	7.7
	31-40	223	190	85.2	33	14.8	92	41.3	115	51.6	8	3.6	8	3.6
	41-50	210	172	81.9	38	18.1	115	54.8	77	36.7	6	2.9	12	5.7
	51-60	65	48	73.8	17	26.2	47	72.3	14	21.5	4	6.2	0	0.0
	61-70	14	9	64.3	5	35.7	12	85.7	2	14.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	3	50.0	3	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1273	1056	83.0	217	17.0	609	47.8	545	42.8	38	3.0	81	6.4
YUBA	UNDER 18	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	38	32	84.2	6	15.8	27	71.1	7	18.4	1	2.6	3	7.9
	21-30	220	195	88.6	25	11.4	112	50.9	90	40.9	11	5.0	7	3.2
	31-40	118	98	83.1	20	16.9	75	63.6	37	31.4	4	3.4	2	1.7
	41-50	108	77	71.3	31	28.7	85	78.7	18	16.7	3	2.8	2	1.9
	51-60	54	44	81.5	10	18.5	45	83.3	4	7.4	3	5.6	2	3.7
	61-70	15	13	86.7	2	13.3	15	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	560	466	83.2	94	16.8	365	65.2	157	28.0	22	3.9	16	2.9

TABLE B2: 2004 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE		139331	100.0	115739	83.1	23592	16.9
ALAMEDA	UNDER 18	12	0.3	12	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	254	6.0	203	5.8	51	6.7
	21-30	1684	39.8	1401	40.3	283	37.2
	31-40	1039	24.5	864	24.9	175	23.0
	41-50	812	19.2	637	18.3	175	23.0
	51-60	344	8.1	286	8.2	58	7.6
	61-70	75	1.8	60	1.7	15	2.0
	71 & ABOVE	14	0.3	10	0.3	4	0.5
	TOTAL	4234	100.0	3473	100.0	761	100.0
ALPINE	18-20	2	5.9	2	6.3	0	0.0
	21-30	4	11.8	4	12.5	0	0.0
	31-40	14	41.2	13	40.6	1	50.0
	41-50	6	17.6	5	15.6	1	50.0
	51-60	7	20.6	7	21.9	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	2.9	1	3.1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	34	100.0	32	100.0	2	100.0
AMADOR	18-20	16	5.7	16	6.9	0	0.0
	21-30	83	29.7	73	31.6	10	20.8
	31-40	50	17.9	39	16.9	11	22.9
	41-50	73	26.2	57	24.7	16	33.3
	51-60	42	15.1	32	13.9	10	20.8
	61-70	14	5.0	13	5.6	1	2.1
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.4	1	0.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	279	100.0	231	100.0	48	100.0
BUTTE	UNDER 18	12	1.1	8	0.9	4	2.0
	18-20	112	10.6	92	10.8	20	9.8
	21-30	423	40.0	357	41.9	66	32.2
	31-40	208	19.7	164	19.2	44	21.5
	41-50	184	17.4	133	15.6	51	24.9
	51-60	82	7.8	67	7.9	15	7.3
	61-70	27	2.6	25	2.9	2	1.0
	71 & ABOVE	9	0.9	6	0.7	3	1.5
	TOTAL	1057	100.0	852	100.0	205	100.0
CALAVERAS	UNDER 18	1	0.4	1	0.5	0	0.0
	18-20	16	6.8	14	7.7	2	3.8
	21-30	59	25.1	49	26.8	10	19.2
	31-40	58	24.7	42	23.0	16	30.8
	41-50	66	28.1	48	26.2	18	34.6
	51-60	24	10.2	21	11.5	3	5.8
	61-70	10	4.3	7	3.8	3	5.8
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.4	1	0.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	235	100.0	183	100.0	52	100.0
COLUSA	UNDER 18	1	0.5	1	0.6	0	0.0
	18-20	12	6.0	10	5.8	2	7.7
	21-30	66	33.2	62	35.8	4	15.4
	31-40	49	24.6	43	24.9	6	23.1
	41-50	37	18.6	29	16.8	8	30.8
	51-60	26	13.1	22	12.7	4	15.4
	61-70	7	3.5	5	2.9	2	7.7
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.5	1	0.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	199	100.0	173	100.0	26	100.0
CONTRA COSTA	UNDER 18	14	0.4	11	0.4	3	0.5
	18-20	237	7.4	196	7.5	41	6.7
	21-30	1231	38.3	1050	40.4	181	29.6
	31-40	781	24.3	634	24.4	147	24.1
	41-50	619	19.3	457	17.6	162	26.5
	51-60	240	7.5	180	6.9	60	9.8
	61-70	71	2.2	58	2.2	13	2.1
	71 & ABOVE	17	0.5	13	0.5	4	0.7
	TOTAL	3210	100.0	2599	100.0	611	100.0

TABLE B2: 2004 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
DEL NORTE	UNDER 18	1	0.6	0	0.0	1	2.7
	18-20	8	5.1	7	5.8	1	2.7
	21-30	40	25.3	31	25.6	9	24.3
	31-40	36	22.8	26	21.5	10	27.0
	41-50	47	29.7	36	29.8	11	29.7
	51-60	20	12.7	15	12.4	5	13.5
	61-70	5	3.2	5	4.1	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.6	1	0.8	0	0.0
	TOTAL	158	100.0	121	100.0	37	100.0
EL DORADO	UNDER 18	9	0.8	8	0.9	1	0.5
	18-20	80	7.1	70	7.7	10	4.6
	21-30	397	35.1	327	35.9	70	32.0
	31-40	248	21.9	208	22.8	40	18.3
	41-50	253	22.4	189	20.7	64	29.2
	51-60	109	9.6	82	9.0	27	12.3
	61-70	26	2.3	22	2.4	4	1.8
	71 & ABOVE	9	0.8	6	0.7	3	1.4
	TOTAL	1131	100.0	912	100.0	219	100.0
FRESNO	UNDER 18	22	0.5	20	0.5	2	0.3
	18-20	358	8.2	311	8.3	47	7.5
	21-30	1756	40.2	1514	40.5	242	38.4
	31-40	1086	24.9	939	25.1	147	23.3
	41-50	785	18.0	640	17.1	145	23.0
	51-60	275	6.3	233	6.2	42	6.7
	61-70	72	1.6	67	1.8	5	0.8
	71 & ABOVE	15	0.3	15	0.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	4369	100.0	3739	100.0	630	100.0
GLENN	18-20	27	8.6	23	8.8	4	7.7
	21-30	112	35.7	103	39.3	9	17.3
	31-40	72	22.9	59	22.5	13	25.0
	41-50	72	22.9	55	21.0	17	32.7
	51-60	22	7.0	15	5.7	7	13.5
	61-70	8	2.5	6	2.3	2	3.8
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.3	1	0.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	314	100.0	262	100.0	52	100.0
HUMBOLDT	UNDER 18	2	0.3	2	0.4	0	0.0
	18-20	56	7.6	50	9.0	6	3.4
	21-30	291	39.6	221	39.7	70	39.5
	31-40	142	19.3	107	19.2	35	19.8
	41-50	151	20.6	104	18.7	47	26.6
	51-60	68	9.3	55	9.9	13	7.3
	61-70	20	2.7	14	2.5	6	3.4
	71 & ABOVE	4	0.5	4	0.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	734	100.0	557	100.0	177	100.0
IMPERIAL	UNDER 18	1	0.2	1	0.2	0	0.0
	18-20	42	6.8	35	6.4	7	9.3
	21-30	207	33.3	176	32.2	31	41.3
	31-40	156	25.1	138	25.3	18	24.0
	41-50	142	22.9	127	23.3	15	20.0
	51-60	56	9.0	53	9.7	3	4.0
	61-70	16	2.6	15	2.7	1	1.3
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.2	1	0.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	621	100.0	546	100.0	75	100.0

TABLE B2: 2004 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
INYO	UNDER 18	1	0.6	1	0.7	0	0.0
	18-20	9	5.1	8	5.8	1	2.6
	21-30	46	26.1	34	24.8	12	30.8
	31-40	50	28.4	37	27.0	13	33.3
	41-50	42	23.9	34	24.8	8	20.5
	51-60	18	10.2	15	10.9	3	7.7
	61-70	9	5.1	7	5.1	2	5.1
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.6	1	0.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	176	100.0	137	100.0	39	100.0
KERN	UNDER 18	42	1.0	38	1.0	4	0.7
	18-20	389	9.0	343	9.2	46	7.5
	21-30	1837	42.3	1607	43.1	230	37.6
	31-40	990	22.8	847	22.7	143	23.4
	41-50	699	16.1	558	15.0	141	23.0
	51-60	298	6.9	257	6.9	41	6.7
	61-70	79	1.8	74	2.0	5	0.8
	71 & ABOVE	10	0.2	8	0.2	2	0.3
	TOTAL	4344	100.0	3732	100.0	612	100.0
KINGS	UNDER 18	7	1.0	7	1.1	0	0.0
	18-20	69	9.5	61	9.7	8	7.9
	21-30	325	44.7	285	45.5	40	39.6
	31-40	148	20.4	121	19.3	27	26.7
	41-50	121	16.6	99	15.8	22	21.8
	51-60	43	5.9	40	6.4	3	3.0
	61-70	11	1.5	10	1.6	1	1.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	0.4	3	0.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	727	100.0	626	100.0	101	100.0
LAKE	UNDER 18	5	1.2	5	1.5	0	0.0
	18-20	29	7.1	28	8.5	1	1.2
	21-30	96	23.5	82	25.0	14	17.5
	31-40	80	19.6	62	18.9	18	22.5
	41-50	121	29.7	86	26.2	35	43.8
	51-60	54	13.2	45	13.7	9	11.3
	61-70	14	3.4	11	3.4	3	3.8
	71 & ABOVE	9	2.2	9	2.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	408	100.0	328	100.0	80	100.0
LASSEN	UNDER 18	1	0.6	0	0.0	1	2.7
	18-20	15	8.5	12	8.6	3	8.1
	21-30	44	24.9	36	25.7	8	21.6
	31-40	47	26.6	33	23.6	14	37.8
	41-50	43	24.3	34	24.3	9	24.3
	51-60	22	12.4	20	14.3	2	5.4
	61-70	2	1.1	2	1.4	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	1.7	3	2.1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	177	100.0	140	100.0	37	100.0
LOS ANGELES	UNDER 18	22	0.1	16	0.1	6	0.1
	18-20	1353	5.2	1126	5.1	227	5.6
	21-30	10584	40.8	8825	40.3	1759	43.7
	31-40	7193	27.7	6214	28.3	979	24.3
	41-50	4587	17.7	3853	17.6	734	18.2
	51-60	1711	6.6	1454	6.6	257	6.4
	61-70	423	1.6	370	1.7	53	1.3
	71 & ABOVE	77	0.3	66	0.3	11	0.3
	TOTAL	25950	100.0	21924	100.0	4026	100.0

TABLE B2: 2004 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
MADERA	UNDER 18	7	1.0	5	0.8	2	2.7
	18-20	50	7.4	43	7.2	7	9.3
	21-30	242	36.0	222	37.1	20	26.7
	31-40	176	26.2	161	26.9	15	20.0
	41-50	127	18.9	101	16.9	26	34.7
	51-60	55	8.2	52	8.7	3	4.0
	61-70	10	1.5	9	1.5	1	1.3
	71 & ABOVE	6	0.9	5	0.8	1	1.3
	TOTAL	673	100.0	598	100.0	75	100.0
MARIN	UNDER 18	4	0.3	4	0.4	0	0.0
	18-20	97	7.7	77	8.3	20	6.1
	21-30	402	31.9	297	31.9	105	32.0
	31-40	335	26.6	257	27.6	78	23.8
	41-50	252	20.0	173	18.6	79	24.1
	51-60	117	9.3	89	9.6	28	8.5
	61-70	38	3.0	25	2.7	13	4.0
	71 & ABOVE	14	1.1	9	1.0	5	1.5
	TOTAL	1259	100.0	931	100.0	328	100.0
MARIPOSA	18-20	9	9.5	9	11.7	0	0.0
	21-30	19	20.0	19	24.7	0	0.0
	31-40	23	24.2	15	19.5	8	44.4
	41-50	26	27.4	18	23.4	8	44.4
	51-60	14	14.7	13	16.9	1	5.6
	61-70	4	4.2	3	3.9	1	5.6
	TOTAL	95	100.0	77	100.0	18	100.0
MENDOCINO	UNDER 18	10	1.5	7	1.3	3	2.4
	18-20	49	7.5	40	7.6	9	7.2
	21-30	224	34.4	197	37.5	27	21.6
	31-40	138	21.2	107	20.3	31	24.8
	41-50	135	20.7	98	18.6	37	29.6
	51-60	78	12.0	64	12.2	14	11.2
	61-70	14	2.2	11	2.1	3	2.4
	71 & ABOVE	3	0.5	2	0.4	1	0.8
	TOTAL	651	100.0	526	100.0	125	100.0
MERCED	UNDER 18	7	0.7	6	0.7	1	0.8
	18-20	89	8.7	82	9.1	7	5.8
	21-30	409	40.1	376	41.8	33	27.3
	31-40	248	24.3	214	23.8	34	28.1
	41-50	167	16.4	130	14.4	37	30.6
	51-60	74	7.2	68	7.6	6	5.0
	61-70	22	2.2	20	2.2	2	1.7
	71 & ABOVE	5	0.5	4	0.4	1	0.8
	TOTAL	1021	100.0	900	100.0	121	100.0
MODOC	18-20	1	2.7	1	3.3	0	0.0
	21-30	10	27.0	10	33.3	0	0.0
	31-40	6	16.2	4	13.3	2	28.6
	41-50	11	29.7	8	26.7	3	42.9
	51-60	5	13.5	3	10.0	2	28.6
	61-70	3	8.1	3	10.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	2.7	1	3.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	37	100.0	30	100.0	7	100.0

TABLE B2: 2004 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
MONO	UNDER 18	1	0.9	0	0.0	1	7.7
	18-20	9	7.7	7	6.7	2	15.4
	21-30	39	33.3	36	34.6	3	23.1
	31-40	25	21.4	23	22.1	2	15.4
	41-50	29	24.8	26	25.0	3	23.1
	51-60	13	11.1	11	10.6	2	15.4
	61-70	1	0.9	1	1.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	117	100.0	104	100.0	13	100.0
MONTEREY	UNDER 18	14	0.5	13	0.5	1	0.3
	18-20	229	7.6	211	7.8	18	5.6
	21-30	1415	46.9	1291	47.9	124	38.9
	31-40	729	24.2	661	24.5	68	21.3
	41-50	414	13.7	345	12.8	69	21.6
	51-60	149	4.9	119	4.4	30	9.4
	61-70	45	1.5	38	1.4	7	2.2
	71 & ABOVE	19	0.6	17	0.6	2	0.6
	TOTAL	3014	100.0	2695	100.0	319	100.0
NAPA	UNDER 18	2	0.2	2	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	77	8.4	63	8.3	14	8.9
	21-30	365	39.7	317	41.6	48	30.6
	31-40	212	23.1	182	23.9	30	19.1
	41-50	152	16.5	106	13.9	46	29.3
	51-60	77	8.4	64	8.4	13	8.3
	61-70	26	2.8	21	2.8	5	3.2
	71 & ABOVE	8	0.9	7	0.9	1	0.6
	TOTAL	919	100.0	762	100.0	157	100.0
NEVADA	UNDER 18	8	1.3	7	1.4	1	0.8
	18-20	40	6.5	36	7.3	4	3.3
	21-30	186	30.4	150	30.5	36	30.0
	31-40	137	22.4	109	22.2	28	23.3
	41-50	142	23.2	108	22.0	34	28.3
	51-60	79	12.9	66	13.4	13	10.8
	61-70	18	2.9	16	3.3	2	1.7
	71 & ABOVE	2	0.3	0	0.0	2	1.7
	TOTAL	612	100.0	492	100.0	120	100.0
ORANGE	UNDER 18	55	0.5	37	0.4	18	0.8
	18-20	849	7.0	685	6.9	164	7.3
	21-30	5145	42.5	4197	42.6	948	41.9
	31-40	3028	25.0	2498	25.3	530	23.4
	41-50	2045	16.9	1631	16.5	414	18.3
	51-60	774	6.4	626	6.3	148	6.5
	61-70	189	1.6	159	1.6	30	1.3
	71 & ABOVE	35	0.3	26	0.3	9	0.4
	TOTAL	12120	100.0	9859	100.0	2261	100.0
PLACER	UNDER 18	11	0.7	9	0.7	2	0.5
	18-20	129	7.8	104	8.2	25	6.6
	21-30	652	39.5	525	41.2	127	33.5
	31-40	354	21.4	265	20.8	89	23.5
	41-50	341	20.6	235	18.5	106	28.0
	51-60	122	7.4	99	7.8	23	6.1
	61-70	37	2.2	31	2.4	6	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	6	0.4	5	0.4	1	0.3
	TOTAL	1652	100.0	1273	100.0	379	100.0

TABLE B2: 2004 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
PLUMAS	18-20	13	6.2	12	7.4	1	2.1
	21-30	50	23.8	42	25.8	8	17.0
	31-40	45	21.4	33	20.2	12	25.5
	41-50	55	26.2	40	24.5	15	31.9
	51-60	38	18.1	28	17.2	10	21.3
	61-70	6	2.9	6	3.7	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	1.4	2	1.2	1	2.1
	TOTAL	210	100.0	163	100.0	47	100.0
RIVERSIDE	UNDER 18	21	0.3	21	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	618	8.4	513	8.4	105	8.5
	21-30	2923	39.6	2492	40.6	431	34.8
	31-40	1699	23.0	1408	22.9	291	23.5
	41-50	1388	18.8	1102	17.9	286	23.1
	51-60	534	7.2	434	7.1	100	8.1
	61-70	156	2.1	137	2.2	19	1.5
	71 & ABOVE	41	0.6	36	0.6	5	0.4
	TOTAL	7380	100.0	6143	100.0	1237	100.0
SACRAMENTO	UNDER 18	15	0.3	14	0.3	1	0.1
	18-20	379	6.9	306	6.9	73	6.5
	21-30	2351	42.5	1915	43.5	436	38.7
	31-40	1340	24.2	1078	24.5	262	23.3
	41-50	993	18.0	728	16.5	265	23.5
	51-60	327	5.9	255	5.8	72	6.4
	61-70	101	1.8	89	2.0	12	1.1
	71 & ABOVE	24	0.4	19	0.4	5	0.4
	TOTAL	5530	100.0	4404	100.0	1126	100.0
SAN BENITO	UNDER 18	2	0.7	1	0.4	1	2.2
	18-20	26	9.1	23	9.5	3	6.7
	21-30	106	36.9	95	39.3	11	24.4
	31-40	69	24.0	60	24.8	9	20.0
	41-50	59	20.6	43	17.8	16	35.6
	51-60	22	7.7	18	7.4	4	8.9
	61-70	3	1.0	2	0.8	1	2.2
	TOTAL	287	100.0	242	100.0	45	100.0
SAN BERNARDINO	UNDER 18	9	0.1	8	0.1	1	0.1
	18-20	572	6.9	507	7.3	65	5.2
	21-30	3405	41.4	2944	42.1	461	37.1
	31-40	2050	24.9	1710	24.5	340	27.4
	41-50	1460	17.7	1176	16.8	284	22.9
	51-60	542	6.6	469	6.7	73	5.9
	61-70	163	2.0	148	2.1	15	1.2
	71 & ABOVE	32	0.4	29	0.4	3	0.2
	TOTAL	8233	100.0	6991	100.0	1242	100.0
SAN DIEGO	UNDER 18	52	0.4	44	0.4	8	0.3
	18-20	996	7.2	821	7.2	175	7.2
	21-30	6451	46.7	5373	47.2	1078	44.3
	31-40	3115	22.5	2597	22.8	518	21.3
	41-50	2142	15.5	1690	14.8	452	18.6
	51-60	796	5.8	646	5.7	150	6.2
	61-70	222	1.6	186	1.6	36	1.5
	71 & ABOVE	48	0.3	34	0.3	14	0.6
	TOTAL	13822	100.0	11391	100.0	2431	100.0

TABLE B2: 2004 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
SAN FRANCISCO	UNDER 18	1	0.1	0	0.0	1	0.6
	18-20	31	3.5	19	2.7	12	7.1
	21-30	368	41.7	289	40.4	79	47.0
	31-40	259	29.3	215	30.1	44	26.2
	41-50	123	13.9	106	14.8	17	10.1
	51-60	74	8.4	61	8.5	13	7.7
	61-70	22	2.5	21	2.9	1	0.6
	71 & ABOVE	5	0.6	4	0.6	1	0.6
	TOTAL	883	100.0	715	100.0	168	100.0
SAN JOAQUIN	UNDER 18	13	0.4	12	0.4	1	0.2
	18-20	263	8.4	237	8.9	26	5.6
	21-30	1187	37.8	1024	38.3	163	34.8
	31-40	804	25.6	678	25.4	126	26.9
	41-50	569	18.1	467	17.5	102	21.8
	51-60	224	7.1	183	6.8	41	8.8
	61-70	68	2.2	62	2.3	6	1.3
	71 & ABOVE	14	0.4	11	0.4	3	0.6
	TOTAL	3142	100.0	2674	100.0	468	100.0
SAN LUIS OBISPO	UNDER 18	16	0.9	13	1.0	3	0.8
	18-20	161	9.4	130	9.6	31	8.6
	21-30	735	42.9	594	43.9	141	39.1
	31-40	336	19.6	276	20.4	60	16.6
	41-50	292	17.0	203	15.0	89	24.7
	51-60	141	8.2	109	8.1	32	8.9
	61-70	24	1.4	19	1.4	5	1.4
	71 & ABOVE	8	0.5	8	0.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1713	100.0	1352	100.0	361	100.0
SAN MATEO	UNDER 18	12	0.5	11	0.5	1	0.2
	18-20	146	5.8	116	5.6	30	6.7
	21-30	1002	39.8	838	40.5	164	36.6
	31-40	621	24.7	519	25.1	102	22.8
	41-50	454	18.0	360	17.4	94	21.0
	51-60	216	8.6	171	8.3	45	10.0
	61-70	54	2.1	44	2.1	10	2.2
	71 & ABOVE	14	0.6	12	0.6	2	0.4
	TOTAL	2519	100.0	2071	100.0	448	100.0
SANTA BARBARA	UNDER 18	9	0.4	8	0.4	1	0.2
	18-20	226	9.1	194	9.5	32	7.5
	21-30	1119	45.3	949	46.4	170	39.8
	31-40	504	20.4	424	20.7	80	18.7
	41-50	401	16.2	306	15.0	95	22.2
	51-60	169	6.8	132	6.5	37	8.7
	61-70	35	1.4	25	1.2	10	2.3
	71 & ABOVE	8	0.3	6	0.3	2	0.5
	TOTAL	2471	100.0	2044	100.0	427	100.0
SANTA CLARA	UNDER 18	21	0.4	18	0.4	3	0.3
	18-20	379	6.5	315	6.4	64	6.8
	21-30	2625	44.8	2208	44.9	417	44.3
	31-40	1431	24.4	1209	24.6	222	23.6
	41-50	930	15.9	762	15.5	168	17.8
	51-60	371	6.3	317	6.4	54	5.7
	61-70	77	1.3	65	1.3	12	1.3
	71 & ABOVE	28	0.5	26	0.5	2	0.2
	TOTAL	5862	100.0	4920	100.0	942	100.0

TABLE B2: 2004 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
SANTA CRUZ	UNDER 18	20	1.4	19	1.7	1	0.3
	18-20	139	9.8	98	8.9	41	13.1
	21-30	582	41.2	468	42.5	114	36.5
	31-40	276	19.5	220	20.0	56	17.9
	41-50	256	18.1	191	17.3	65	20.8
	51-60	117	8.3	88	8.0	29	9.3
	61-70	14	1.0	9	0.8	5	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	9	0.6	8	0.7	1	0.3
	TOTAL	1413	100.0	1101	100.0	312	100.0
SHASTA	UNDER 18	5	0.6	4	0.6	1	0.5
	18-20	87	9.6	73	10.5	14	6.8
	21-30	285	31.5	224	32.1	61	29.5
	31-40	215	23.8	164	23.5	51	24.6
	41-50	192	21.2	131	18.8	61	29.5
	51-60	85	9.4	69	9.9	16	7.7
	61-70	29	3.2	26	3.7	3	1.4
	71 & ABOVE	6	0.7	6	0.9	0	0.0
	TOTAL	904	100.0	697	100.0	207	100.0
SIERRA	21-30	5	19.2	5	21.7	0	0.0
	31-40	6	23.1	6	26.1	0	0.0
	41-50	11	42.3	9	39.1	2	66.7
	51-60	4	15.4	3	13.0	1	33.3
	TOTAL	26	100.0	23	100.0	3	100.0
SISKIYOU	UNDER 18	3	1.0	3	1.2	0	0.0
	18-20	22	7.1	19	7.5	3	5.1
	21-30	73	23.5	59	23.4	14	23.7
	31-40	82	26.4	67	26.6	15	25.4
	41-50	75	24.1	58	23.0	17	28.8
	51-60	43	13.8	35	13.9	8	13.6
	61-70	10	3.2	8	3.2	2	3.4
	71 & ABOVE	3	1.0	3	1.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	311	100.0	252	100.0	59	100.0
SOLANO	UNDER 18	9	0.6	6	0.5	3	1.2
	18-20	122	8.4	104	8.7	18	6.9
	21-30	503	34.5	434	36.2	69	26.5
	31-40	367	25.2	296	24.7	71	27.3
	41-50	293	20.1	226	18.9	67	25.8
	51-60	127	8.7	105	8.8	22	8.5
	61-70	30	2.1	23	1.9	7	2.7
	71 & ABOVE	7	0.5	4	0.3	3	1.2
	TOTAL	1458	100.0	1198	100.0	260	100.0
SONOMA	UNDER 18	35	1.5	31	1.6	4	1.0
	18-20	175	7.6	150	7.8	25	6.5
	21-30	946	40.9	825	42.8	121	31.6
	31-40	482	20.8	413	21.4	69	18.0
	41-50	410	17.7	304	15.8	106	27.7
	51-60	209	9.0	167	8.7	42	11.0
	61-70	44	1.9	32	1.7	12	3.1
	71 & ABOVE	11	0.5	7	0.4	4	1.0
	TOTAL	2312	100.0	1929	100.0	383	100.0
STANISLAUS	UNDER 18	26	1.5	21	1.5	5	1.7
	18-20	177	10.5	140	10.1	37	12.8
	21-30	718	42.7	591	42.5	127	43.8
	31-40	345	20.5	292	21.0	53	18.3
	41-50	276	16.4	223	16.0	53	18.3
	51-60	105	6.3	92	6.6	13	4.5
	61-70	23	1.4	21	1.5	2	0.7
	71 & ABOVE	10	0.6	10	0.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1680	100.0	1390	100.0	290	100.0

TABLE B2: 2004 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
SUTTER	UNDER 18	5	1.2	4	1.1	1	1.6
	18-20	42	9.8	37	10.1	5	7.9
	21-30	173	40.4	149	40.8	24	38.1
	31-40	105	24.5	91	24.9	14	22.2
	41-50	58	13.6	46	12.6	12	19.0
	51-60	32	7.5	26	7.1	6	9.5
	61-70	10	2.3	9	2.5	1	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	3	0.7	3	0.8	0	0.0
	TOTAL	428	100.0	365	100.0	63	100.0
TEHAMA	UNDER 18	4	1.1	4	1.3	0	0.0
	18-20	18	4.9	15	5.0	3	4.2
	21-30	114	30.8	97	32.6	17	23.6
	31-40	90	24.3	71	23.8	19	26.4
	41-50	96	25.9	68	22.8	28	38.9
	51-60	30	8.1	27	9.1	3	4.2
	61-70	14	3.8	12	4.0	2	2.8
	71 & ABOVE	4	1.1	4	1.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	370	100.0	298	100.0	72	100.0
TRINITY	18-20	1	1.2	0	0.0	1	5.9
	21-30	14	17.3	13	20.3	1	5.9
	31-40	22	27.2	14	21.9	8	47.1
	41-50	22	27.2	18	28.1	4	23.5
	51-60	15	18.5	13	20.3	2	11.8
	61-70	4	4.9	3	4.7	1	5.9
	71 & ABOVE	3	3.7	3	4.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	81	100.0	64	100.0	17	100.0
TULARE	UNDER 18	9	0.4	5	0.2	4	1.4
	18-20	238	9.8	223	10.3	15	5.4
	21-30	1030	42.2	928	42.9	102	36.8
	31-40	578	23.7	492	22.7	86	31.0
	41-50	408	16.7	359	16.6	49	17.7
	51-60	130	5.3	116	5.4	14	5.1
	61-70	39	1.6	34	1.6	5	1.8
	71 & ABOVE	8	0.3	6	0.3	2	0.7
	TOTAL	2440	100.0	2163	100.0	277	100.0
TUOLUMNE	UNDER 18	2	0.5	2	0.6	0	0.0
	18-20	38	8.6	31	9.3	7	6.5
	21-30	134	30.4	101	30.3	33	30.6
	31-40	78	17.7	55	16.5	23	21.3
	41-50	113	25.6	80	24.0	33	30.6
	51-60	56	12.7	45	13.5	11	10.2
	61-70	15	3.4	14	4.2	1	0.9
	71 & ABOVE	5	1.1	5	1.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	441	100.0	333	100.0	108	100.0
VENTURA	UNDER 18	4	0.1	4	0.1	0	0.0
	18-20	276	7.4	233	7.7	43	6.1
	21-30	1586	42.4	1305	43.0	281	39.9
	31-40	885	23.7	725	23.9	160	22.7
	41-50	661	17.7	501	16.5	160	22.7
	51-60	240	6.4	190	6.3	50	7.1
	61-70	67	1.8	58	1.9	9	1.3
	71 & ABOVE	22	0.6	21	0.7	1	0.1
	TOTAL	3741	100.0	3037	100.0	704	100.0

TABLE B2: 2004 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
YOLO	UNDER 18	8	1.0	6	0.9	2	1.6
	18-20	80	9.8	67	9.7	13	10.6
	21-30	352	43.1	302	43.6	50	40.7
	31-40	162	19.9	143	20.6	19	15.4
	41-50	142	17.4	113	16.3	29	23.6
	51-60	53	6.5	47	6.8	6	4.9
	61-70	16	2.0	12	1.7	4	3.3
	71 & ABOVE	3	0.4	3	0.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	816	100.0	693	100.0	123	100.0
YUBA	UNDER 18	1	0.3	1	0.4	0	0.0
	18-20	31	9.4	27	9.9	4	6.8
	21-30	111	33.5	97	35.7	14	23.7
	31-40	74	22.4	56	20.6	18	30.5
	41-50	66	19.9	51	18.8	15	25.4
	51-60	34	10.3	29	10.7	5	8.5
	61-70	9	2.7	7	2.6	2	3.4
	71 & ABOVE	5	1.5	4	1.5	1	1.7
	TOTAL	331	100.0	272	100.0	59	100.0

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2004 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSALS	MEDIAN ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)*	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
STATEWIDE	77.0%		135536	3795	14801	3069	3439	1413	69.0	16.0
ALAMEDA	65.6%	OAKLAND	37	9	1	0	5	0	145.0	68.0
		JUV OAKLAND	14	6	1	1	1	0	138.0	55.0
		ALAMEDA	153	0	16	10	10	7	31.0	18.0
		BERKELEY	0	0	0	0	7	0	36.0	1.0
		FREMONT	624	5	116	18	8	5	102.0	28.0
		PLEASANTON	948	5	205	27	33	3	104.0	19.0
		OAKLAND	1196	9	113	62	18	5	73.0	22.0
		HAYWARD	1225	3	129	23	36	34	116.0	48.0
		TOTAL	4197	37	581	141	118	54	---	---
ALPINE	85.0%	ALPINE	32	1	3	1	0	0	49.0	27.5
		JUV ALPINE	1	0	0	0	0	0	83.0	42.0
		TOTAL	33	1	3	1	0	0	---	---
AMADOR	87.5%	JACKSON	269	10	29	6	5	0	86.0	13.0
		TOTAL	269	10	29	6	5	0	---	---
BUTTE	75.8%	BUTTE	696	55	141	38	19	14	91.0	20.0
		JUV BUTTE	17	0	0	0	0	1	97.0	117.0
		CHICO	10	0	0	1	0	31	36.0	50.0
		OROVILLE	271	0	36	24	4	21	59.0	42.0
		PARADISE	8	0	2	0	0	2	35.0	42.0
		TOTAL	1002	55	179	63	23	69	---	---
CALAVAREAS	62.7%	CALAVERAS	222	12	67	5	9	1	61.5	7.0
		JUV SAN ANDREAS	1	0	0	1	0	0	18.0	35.0
		TOTAL	223	12	67	6	9	1	---	---
COLUSA	74.5%	JUV COLUSA	1	0	0	0	0	1	44.0	325.0
		COLUSA OAK	196	2	39	6	3	4	47.0	7.0
		TOTAL	197	2	39	6	3	5	---	---
CONTRA COSTA	83.5%	CONTRA COSTA	29	63	1	0	4	0	261.5	36.0
		MARTINEZ EAST DIV	16	0	0	1	0	0	110.0	50.0
		CONCORD	707	6	78	2	10	12	80.0	28.0
		RICHMOND	704	14	98	5	5	15	118.0	26.0
		PITTSBURG	877	5	48	5	7	4	99.0	53.0
		WALNUT CREEK	788	1	160	5	9	12	102.0	41.0
		TOTAL	3121	89	385	18	35	43	---	---
DEL NORTE	41.8%	DEL NORTE	155	3	84	7	21	5	70.0	118.0
		TOTAL	155	3	84	7	22	5	---	---
EL DORADO	83.3%	SO LAKE TAHOE	422	9	72	14	3	3	34.0	28.0
		PLACERVILLE	662	38	42	26	5	9	85.5	23.0
		TOTAL	1084	47	114	40	9	12	---	---

*Adjudication time is presented using median values (in days) for 2004 data.

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2004 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSALS	MEDIAN ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)*	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
FRESNO	72.0%	JUV FRESNO	25	2	0	0	0	0	118.0	57.0
		FRESNO CENTRAL	2948	76	220	27	28	31	113.0	106.0
		CLOVIS	373	0	26	5	1	0	138.5	42.0
		COALINGA	121	3	30	4	1	0	113.0	8.0
		FIREBAUGH	149	2	10	1	0	0	121.0	62.0
		FOWLER	104	0	4	1	1	0	131.0	4.0
		KERMAN	78	3	5	1	2	0	136.5	12.5
		KINGSBURG	180	7	25	0	1	1	117.0	3.0
		REEDLEY	212	7	14	2	2	3	113.0	42.5
		SELMA	78	0	3	2	0	0	117.0	3.0
		US CT FRESNO	1	0	0	0	0	0	325.0	43.0
		TOTAL	4269	100	337	43	36	35	---	---
GLENN	69.9%	GLENN	309	5	42	8	5	0	45.0	20.0
		TOTAL	309	5	42	8	5	0	---	---
HUMBOLDT	57.4%	EUREKA	714	20	218	23	21	0	80.0	17.0
		TOTAL	714	20	218	23	21	0	---	---
IMPERIAL	51.1%	IMPERIAL	252	2	2	46	7	0	251.0	95.5
		JUV IMPERIAL	1	0	0	0	0	0	94.0	73.0
		BRAWLEY	124	0	13	14	1	8	262.0	74.5
		CALEXICO	2	0	0	0	0	7	171.0	132.0
		EL CENTRO	232	8	6	38	5	3	243.0	84.0
		TOTAL	611	10	21	98	13	18	---	---
INYO	68.0%	INYO	2	2	0	0	0	0	154.0	181.5
		JUV INYO	1	0	0	0	0	0	57.0	24.0
		BISHOP	168	3	48	10	2	1	65.0	7.0
		TOTAL	171	5	48	10	2	1	---	---
KERN	78.4%	KERN	0	1	0	0	0	3	13.0	49.0
		JUV KERN	49	1	2	0	1	0	61.0	20.0
		LAMONT	479	13	26	12	8	1	25.0	3.0
		BAKERSFIELD	2463	60	420	43	29	27	25.0	15.0
		DELANO	256	11	25	1	2	1	27.5	3.0
		LAKE ISABELLA	130	1	14	0	2	0	46.0	1.0
		TAFT	196	10	20	5	5	3	32.5	4.0
		SHAFTER	216	3	28	7	5	7	26.0	1.0
		MOJAVE	249	10	39	9	10	0	41.5	0.0
		RIDGECREST	188	7	24	1	2	0	44.5	0.0
		EDWARDS AFB	1	0	0	0	0	0	137.0	117.0
		TOTAL	4227	117	598	78	64	42	---	---
KINGS	77.8%	JUV KINGS	11	0	0	0	0	0	111.0	2.0
		HANFORD	539	24	34	23	8	4	75.0	0.0
		AVENAL	65	4	10	1	1	0	78.0	1.0
		CORCORAN	83	1	6	1	0	0	95.0	0.0
		TOTAL	698	29	50	25	9	4	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2004 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT – continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSALS	MEDIAN ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)*	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
LAKE	67.9%	LAKE	228	3	16	9	5	6	184.0	44.0
		CLEARLAKE	172	5	14	5	5	1	174.0	260.0
		TOTAL	400	8	30	14	10	7	---	---
LASSEN	74.4%	JUV LASSEN	0	1	0	0	0	0	114.0	17.0
		SUSANVILLE	173	3	3	5	2	0	104.0	191.0
		TOTAL	173	4	3	5	2	0	---	---
LOS ANGELES	70.7%	LOS ANGELES	86	36	0	0	0	0	91.5	11.0
		POMONA	45	31	0	0	2	0	97.0	9.0
		LANCASTER	33	11	0	1	0	0	53.0	10.0
		SAN FERNANDO	86	27	0	0	0	0	108.0	9.0
		PASADENA	13	4	0	0	0	0	215.0	9.0
		VAN NUYS	25	9	0	0	0	0	193.0	11.0
		LONG BEACH	18	9	0	0	1	0	103.0	17.0
		COMPTON	12	5	0	0	0	0	165.0	10.0
		NORWALK	51	22	0	0	0	0	87.0	10.0
		TORRANCE	9	11	0	0	1	0	238.5	11.5
		SANTA MONICA	10	7	0	1	0	0	225.0	8.5
		JUV LOS ANGELES	1	0	1	0	1	0	101.0	201.0
		LOS ANGELES DLQ	13	2	0	0	0	0	126.0	168.0
		LA AIRPORT	1136	17	172	45	81	1	74.0	13.0
		ALHAMBRA	902	5	89	42	22	10	88.0	10.0
		LANCASTER	904	12	101	15	24	12	65.0	11.0
		BEVERLY HILLS	229	4	29	9	6	4	101.0	9.0
		BURBANK	233	4	23	10	7	0	46.0	10.0
		WEST COVINA	1477	8	73	5	32	1	81.0	10.0
		CHATSWORTH	0	0	0	0	0	0	75.0	0.0
		COMPTON	1098	23	48	50	25	7	78.5	16.0
		DOWNEY	817	3	40	15	19	0	80.0	10.0
		EAST LA	889	3	130	44	35	9	76.0	11.0
		EL MONTE	696	4	56	14	16	8	68.0	9.0
		GLENDALE	461	6	72	12	13	1	79.0	12.0
		INGLEWOOD	365	6	23	16	7	6	102.0	14.0
		LONG BEACH	1170	10	176	48	78	4	43.0	20.5
		LA METRO	4711	11	482	21	536	3	29.0	20.0
		BELL FLOWER	523	7	58	9	16	0	84.5	16.0
		SANTA CLARITA	902	10	107	23	15	2	75.0	11.0
		PASADENA	616	7	117	90	30	10	76.0	10.0
		MALIBU	340	1	87	17	27	1	108.0	9.0
		POMONA	984	9	37	9	32	15	68.0	12.0
		HUNTINGTON PARK	267	6	13	4	5	0	47.0	10.0
		SANTA MONICA	85	0	33	3	6	0	62.5	30.0
		TORRANCE	1235	8	357	69	14	7	68.0	13.0

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2004 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT – continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSALS	MEDIAN ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)*	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
LOS ANGELES (cont.)		WHITTIER	812	16	52	16	15	10	73.0	13.0
		HOLLYWOOD	176	0	10	5	10	1	39.0	12.0
		SAN FERNANDO	1376	12	42	48	63	0	29.0	31.0
		SAN PEDRO	0	0	0	0	1	1	81.0	0.0
		VAN NUYS	2685	35	225	55	222	4	46.0	11.0
		LA PURD	0	0	1	0	2	0	---	---
		AVALON	7	0	0	0	0	0	63.0	19.0
		US DIST CT LA	51	0	1	0	0	0	248.0	39.0
		TOTAL	25549	401	2655	696	1364	117	---	---
MADERA	67.2%	MADERA	8	5	2	0	1	1	378.0	42.0
		JUV MADERA	8	0	0	0	0	0	26.5	67.5
		CHOWCHILLA	406	0	62	4	3	7	111.0	12.0
		MADERA CRIM	52	22	8	1	2	7	110.0	21.5
		BASS LAKE SIERRA	166	6	31	15	3	8	132.0	15.0
		TOTAL	640	33	103	20	9	23	---	---
MARIN	82.1%	MARIN	1247	12	1	1	46	12	57.5	19.0
		TOTAL	1247	12	1	1	46	12	---	---
MARIPOSA	50.0%	JUV MARIPOSA	1	0	0	0	0	0	480.0	109.0
		MARIPOSA JUD	84	5	14	5	1	0	52.0	58.0
		USMG YOSEMITE	5	0	1	0	0	0	157.0	114.0
		TOTAL	90	5	15	5	1	0	---	---
MENDOCINO	83.7%	MENDOCINO	5	8	0	0	0	0	75.0	191.0
		JUV MENDOCINO	8	0	1	1	0	0	107.0	73.5
		WILLITS	128	8	28	2	1	0	53.0	74.0
		UKIAH	352	6	57	8	6	10	37.0	41.5
		POINT ARENA	6	0	0	0	0	0	69.5	8.0
		LEGGETT	27	0	7	1	2	0	61.0	83.0
		COVELO	16	1	2	1	0	0	32.0	179.0
		FORT BRAGG	81	5	8	8	1	0	51.5	42.5
		TOTAL	623	28	103	21	10	10	---	---
MERCED	68.3%	MERCED PROB	9	1	1	0	0	3	137.5	14.0
		MERCED	734	15	107	16	18	17	83.5	27.0
		LOSBANOS	253	1	34	6	4	2	86.0	38.0
		TOTAL	996	25	142	22	22	22	---	---
MODOC	61.7%	ALTURAS	36	1	3	2	2	0	52.0	20.0
		TOTAL	36	1	3	2	2	0	---	---
MONO	90.0%	MONO	0	1	0	0	0	0	215.0	106.0
		BRIDGEPORT	29	1	1	2	0	0	44.0	51.0
		MAMMOTH LAKES	86	0	15	0	2	0	53.0	22.0
		TOTAL	115	2	16	2	2	0	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2004 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT – continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSALS	MEDIAN ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)*	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
MONTEREY	84.1%	MONTEREY	91	57	3	0	2	1	68.0	115.0
		JUV MONTEREY	13	1	2	0	0	0	106.0	111.0
		MARINA	4	0	0	0	2	1	84.0	178.5
		SALINAS	2474	9	258	55	30	12	26.0	40.0
		KING CITY	364	1	25	5	4	2	53.0	34.0
		TOTAL	2946	68	288	60	38	16	---	---
NAPA	83.6%	NAPA	885	34	96	4	14	14	67.0	6.0
		TOTAL	885	34	96	4	14	14	---	---
NEVADA	77.6%	NEVADA	12	9	2	0	1	0	72.0	44.0
		JUV NEVADA	10	0	1	0	1	0	97.0	30.0
		JUV TRUCKEE	2	0	0	0	0	0	120.5	44.5
		NEVADA CITY	346	2	57	7	4	10	52.0	105.0
		TRUCKEE	230	1	47	14	3	3	53.5	6.0
		TOTAL	600	12	107	21	9	13	---	---
ORANGE	89.8%	ORANGE	0	0	1	0	0	0	---	---
		JUV ORANGE	81	3	0	0	1	0	102.0	12.0
		FULLERTON	3086	86	146	13	48	8	62.0	3.0
		WESTMINSTER	2518	59	111	9	34	11	66.0	2.0
		LAGUNA HILLS	965	29	80	2	7	4	109.0	2.0
		NEWPORT BEACH	3147	78	295	15	26	19	83.0	2.0
		SANTA ANA	2002	66	51	7	17	9	86.0	4.0
		TOTAL	11799	321	684	46	133	51	---	---
PLACER	91.5%	JUV PLACER	12	1	1	0	0	0	117.0	14.0
		AUBURN	1367	41	96	18	10	4	30.0	18.0
		ROSEVILLE	0	0	0	0	1	2	---	---
		TAHOE CITY	225	6	44	3	1	0	66.0	2.0
		TOTAL	1604	48	141	21	12	6	---	---
PLUMAS	78.1%	PORTOLA	2	0	1	0	1	0	64.0	30.0
		QUINCY	200	8	40	3	2	0	61.5	44.0
		TOTAL	202	8	41	3	3	0	---	---
RIVERSIDE	86.5%	RIVERSIDE	3369	186	3	68	36	19	64.5	14.0
		INDIO	120	19	6	1	1	18	78.0	63.0
		JUV RIVERSIDE	10	0	0	0	0	0	130.0	77.5
		JUV INDIO	9	1	1	0	0	0	90.0	29.0
		HEMET	19	1	0	0	1	2	102.0	317.5
		BANNING	370	7	7	4	7	2	87.0	7.0
		INDIO	1370	5	8	146	46	8	64.0	5.0
		BLYTHE	96	3	7	4	2	1	51.0	8.0
		MURRIETA	1765	24	1	48	21	1	74.0	4.0
		TEMECULA	6	0	0	0	1	1	85.0	228.0
		TOTAL	7134	246	33	271	115	53	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2004 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT – continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSALS	MEDIAN ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)*	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SACRAMENTO	76.9%	SACRAMENTO	130	124	3	0	4	0	114.0	44.0
		JUV SACRAMENTO	26	1	0	0	0	0	122.0	35.0
		SACRAMENTO CM	5150	89	805	30	92	9	57.0	17.0
		US DIST COURT	10	0	1	0	0	0	267.5	26.5
		TOTAL	5316	214	809	30	96	9	---	---
SAN BENITO	80.4%	SAN BENITO	273	11	23	7	3	1	142.0	10.0
		JUV SAN BENITO	2	1	0	0	0	0	159.5	21.0
		TOTAL	275	12	23	7	3	1	---	---
SAN BERNARDINO	77.0%	SAN BERNARDINO	12	61	0	0	2	19	126.0	53.0
		RNCHO CUCAMONGA	23	51	0	0	0	1	86.5	43.0
		VICTORVILLE	31	36	0	0	0	3	132.0	48.0
		BARSTOW	360	19	10	28	43	10	129.0	54.0
		JOSHUA TREE	2	18	0	0	0	0	54.0	41.0
		JUV INFTR SN BRDN	0	0	1	0	0	0	---	---
		JUV SN BRNDNO	7	3	0	0	0	0	72.0	30.0
		JUV TR CUCAMONGA	1	0	0	0	0	0	73.0	10.0
		JUV R CUCAMONGA	1	0	0	0	0	0	74.0	34.0
		CHINO	385	7	4	8	8	7	72.5	2.0
		REDLANDS	318	16	4	8	7	4	66.0	4.0
		SN SN BRNDN DIV	1821	40	111	44	117	13	111.0	5.0
		FONTANA	966	56	10	21	11	9	84.0	5.0
		VICTORVILLE	1333	15	32	32	16	14	108.0	6.0
		RNCH CUMGA DIST	1912	17	14	30	27	19	94.0	3.0
		BIGBEAR LAKE	189	10	2	6	3	0	72.0	8.0
		TWINPEAKS	124	3	4	2	11	15	50.0	4.0
		SUP NEEDLES	108	5	20	20	14	11	96.0	9.5
		JOSHUA TREE DIST	278	5	10	11	19	6	76.0	9.0
		TOTAL	7871	362	222	210	278	131	---	---
SAN DIEGO	80.7%	SAN DIEGO	143	93	4	0	0	0	137.5	28.0
		VISTA	133	115	4	1	0	2	59.0	123.0
		JUV SAN DIEGO	65	4	0	0	5	0	136.0	34.5
		EL CAJON	2360	49	181	40	29	15	68.0	36.0
		VISTA	3875	69	922	123	36	14	65.0	150.0
		SN DIEGO KRNYBR	5196	12	732	33	145	22	69.0	29.0
		CHULA VISTA	1668	40	246	33	20	5	71.0	64.0
		TOTAL	13440	382	2089	230	235	58	---	---
SAN FRANCISCO	53.6%	SAN FRANCISCO	3	13	0	0	0	0	153.0	59.0
		JUV SAN FRAN	1	0	0	0	0	0	44.0	0.0
		JUV DEL S FRAN	3	0	0	0	0	0	154.0	10.0
		TRAF SAN FRAN	844	19	254	152	42	45	67.0	8.0
		TOTAL	851	32	254	152	42	45	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2004 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT – continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSALS	MEDIAN ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)*	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SAN JOAQUIN	74.5%	JUV SAN JOAQUIN	25	1	1	1	1	1	177.0	130.0
		LODI	458	25	54	9	12	2	39.0	5.0
		MANTECA	441	16	58	5	7	3	53.0	5.0
		TRACY	284	8	45	1	14	3	45.0	7.0
		STOCKTON	1832	52	300	23	30	12	34.0	9.0
		TOTAL	3040	102	458	39	64	21	---	---
SAN LUIS OBISPO	73.8%	JUV SAN L OBISPO	1	0	0	0	0	0	100.0	35.0
		SAN L OBISPO MONT	1636	76	420	59	99	24	46.0	22.0
		TOTAL	1637	76	420	59	99	24	---	---
SAN MATEO	72.0%	SAN MATEO	42	21	0	0	4	14	91.0	68.5
		JUV SAN MATEO	12	1	0	0	0	0	110.0	24.0
		SAN MATEO NRTHM	2	0	2	0	3	0	61.5	7.0
		SO SAN FRANCSCO	1265	8	229	18	24	0	115.0	15.0
		REDWOOD CITY	1163	5	266	11	36	0	130.0	29.0
		TOTAL	2484	35	497	29	67	14	---	---
SANTA BARBARA	89.2%	JUV SANTA BARBARA	3	1	0	0	0	0	62.0	168.5
		JUV SNT MARIA WST	8	1	0	0	0	0	16.0	33.0
		SANTA BARBARA	1373	28	286	66	25	5	61.0	20.0
		SANTA MARIA	712	47	42	8	9	2	33.0	21.0
		LOMPOC	283	15	42	5	7	1	45.0	22.0
		TOTAL	2379	92	370	79	41	8	---	---
SANTA CLARA	83.7%	SANTA CLARA	128	110	0	0	1	0	109.0	47.0
		JUV SNTA CLARA	35	2	0	0	1	0	158.0	29.0
		PALO ALTO	907	11	125	9	7	0	67.0	28.0
		SAN JOSE	3981	44	355	211	64	1	53.0	10.0
		SAN JOSE TRAF	1	0	0	0	4	0	12.0	14.0
		PALO ALTO 2	2	2	0	0	0	0	148.5	47.0
		SAN MARTIN	634	4	79	7	13	0	60.0	2.0
		US MAG SAN JOSE	1	0	0	0	0	0	531.0	20.0
		TOTAL	5689	173	559	227	90	1	---	---
SANTA CRUZ	83.3%	SANTA CRUZ	13	1	1	0	0	3	171.5	62.5
		JUV SANTA CRUZ	25	1	2	1	2	0	58.0	7.0
		TRAF SANTA CRUZ	1036	12	143	33	5	2	55.0	57.0
		WATSONVILLE	319	6	32	6	6	0	27.5	61.0
		TOTAL	1393	20	178	40	13	5	---	---
SHASTA	86.8%	JUV SHASTA	7	2	0	0	1	0	119.0	1.5
		BURNEY	44	0	10	0	1	0	91.0	13.0
		REDDING	784	67	145	7	8	5	60.0	15.0
		TOTAL	835	69	155	7	10	5	---	---
SIERRA	37.7%	DOWNIEVILLE	26	0	8	3	0	0	109.0	9.0
		TOTAL	26	0	8	3	0	0	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2004 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT – continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSALS	MEDIAN ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)*	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SISKIYOU	78.5%	SISKIYOU	0	1	0	0	0	0	26.0	42.0
		JUV SISKIYOU	3	0	0	0	0	0	90.0	22.0
		WEED	128	0	25	4	1	1	56.5	7.0
		YREKA	151	28	25	0	1	3	65.5	9.5
		TOTAL	282	29	50	4	2	4	---	---
SOLANO	87.8%	SOLANO	41	12	3	1	0	0	86.0	50.0
		JUV SOLANO	13	0	0	0	0	0	83.0	38.5
		FAIRFIELD	859	19	154	4	13	24	58.0	25.0
		VALLEJO	497	17	59	8	10	13	101.0	23.0
		TOTAL	1410	48	216	13	23	37	---	---
SONOMA	79.5%	SONOMA	743	53	137	2	7	0	61.0	19.0
		JUV SONOMA	34	2	7	2	0	0	59.0	23.0
		SANTA ROSA	1436	44	348	17	20	380	51.0	12.0
		TOTAL	2213	99	492	21	27	380	---	---
STANISLAUS	65.2%	STANISLAUS	1596	49	208	36	14	2	67.0	57.0
		JUV STANISLAUS	30	2	0	1	2	0	137.0	32.0
		MODESTO	2	0	0	0	2	2	96.0	10.0
		TURLOCK	0	1	0	0	1	0	98.0	209.0
		TOTAL	1628	52	208	37	19	4	---	---
SUTTER	80.8%	TRAF YUBA CITY	412	16	93	5	6	4	52.5	12.0
		TOTAL	412	16	93	5	6	4	---	---
TEHAMA	62.5%	TEHAMA	10	9	2	0	0	0	142.0	102.0
		JUV TEHAMA	4	0	0	0	0	0	67.0	2.0
		CORNING	129	0	28	2	2	0	50.0	14.0
		RED BLUFF	214	4	45	1	2	4	52.0	16.0
		TOTAL	357	13	75	3	4	4	---	---
TRINITY	44.5%	TRINITY	77	4	24	4	2	0	82.5	33.5
		TOTAL	77	4	24	4	2	0	---	---
TULARE	70.8%	VISALIA	0	1	0	0	0	1	8.0	103.0
		JUV VISALIA	17	1	0	0	1	0	126.0	7.0
		DINUBA	293	6	13	4	5	1	36.0	28.0
		PORTERVILLE	868	13	0	36	24	2	43.0	13.0
		TULARE	482	10	8	5	10	2	38.0	23.0
		VISALIA DIV	725	24	20	24	20	2	47.0	29.0
		TOTAL	2385	55	41	69	60	8	---	---
TUOLUMNE	80.0%	TUOLUMNE	421	18	84	2	12	0	57.0	20.0
		JUV TUOLUMNE	2	0	0	0	0	0	157.0	87.0
		TOTAL	423	18	84	2	12	0	---	---
VENTURA	85.6%	VENTURA	1	0	0	0	0	0	229.5	133.5
		VENTURA 2	3683	57	1	0	76	3	56.0	2.0
		TOTAL	3684	57	1	0	76	3	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2004 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT – continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSALS	MEDIAN ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)*	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
YOLO	71.2%	YOLO	783	33	162	4	5	11	107.0	12.0
		TOTAL	783	33	162	4	5	11	---	---
YUBA	54.4%	YUBA	5	2	0	0	0	0	99.0	77.5
		JUV YUBA	1	0	0	0	0	0	205.0	107.0
		MARYSVILLE	321	2	57	8	0	3	77.0	30.0
		TOTAL	327	4	57	8	0	3	---	---

TABLE B4: 2004 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS*

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE			139331	96.8	75.3	67.4	17.7	0.2	74.2	7.5	4.3
ALAMEDA	SUP OAKLAND	1ST DUI	13	100.0	84.6	7.7	7.7	0.0	61.5	23.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	14	85.7	100.0	0.0	7.1	0.0	35.7	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	100.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	16	62.5	100.0	0.0	18.8	0.0	25.0	18.8	12.5
		TOTAL	46	82.6	93.5	2.2	10.9	0.0	39.1	13.0	4.3
	JUV OAKLAND	1ST DUI	19	89.5	15.8	36.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	20	90.0	15.0	35.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.0	0.0
	ALAMEDA	1ST DUI	128	100.0	96.9	82.0	0.8	0.0	92.2	4.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	19	100.0	94.7	10.5	63.2	0.0	94.7	5.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	153	100.0	96.7	69.9	11.1	0.0	91.5	4.6	0.0
	FREMONT	1ST DUI	485	99.4	96.3	89.3	2.3	0.0	60.6	6.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	112	100.0	98.2	17.9	75.0	0.0	58.9	6.3	0.9
		3RD DUI	31	100.0	93.5	3.2	67.7	0.0	25.8	16.1	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	629	99.5	96.5	72.2	18.6	0.0	58.5	6.8	0.2
	PLEASANTON	1ST DUI	700	99.7	99.1	95.9	1.7	0.0	91.7	2.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	200	100.0	99.0	15.0	81.0	0.0	92.0	3.0	2.0
		3RD DUI	40	97.5	97.5	5.0	75.0	0.0	32.5	60.0	22.5
		4TH+ DUI	13	92.3	100.0	0.0	84.6	0.0	23.1	69.2	15.4
		TOTAL	953	99.6	99.1	73.8	22.6	0.0	88.4	6.2	1.6
	OAKLAND	1ST DUI	951	99.7	95.5	76.6	1.5	0.1	79.7	13.8	1.7
		2ND DUI	215	99.1	97.2	16.3	32.1	0.5	70.7	10.2	42.3
		3RD DUI	33	100.0	90.9	6.1	12.1	0.0	27.3	42.4	24.2
		4TH+ DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	16.7	33.3	16.7
		TOTAL	1205	99.6	95.7	63.5	7.3	0.2	76.3	14.0	9.6
	HAYWARD	1ST DUI	934	99.5	97.9	80.8	3.7	0.0	79.6	4.0	1.9
		2ND DUI	236	100.0	98.3	18.2	67.8	0.0	79.2	5.5	44.5
		3RD DUI	50	94.0	96.0	12.0	56.0	0.0	34.0	38.0	68.0
		4TH+ DUI	8	75.0	100.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	37.5	37.5
		TOTAL	1228	99.2	97.9	65.5	18.2	0.0	77.1	5.9	13.0
ALPINE	SUP ALPINE	1ST DUI	30	93.3	86.7	90.0	3.3	0.0	70.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	33	93.9	87.9	81.8	9.1	0.0	69.7	0.0	0.0
	JUV ALPINE	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
AMADOR	JACKSON	1ST DUI	209	96.7	89.0	74.6	1.0	0.0	84.7	8.1	9.1
		2ND DUI	48	100.0	97.9	12.5	83.3	0.0	68.8	6.3	43.8
		3RD DUI	15	93.3	100.0	6.7	60.0	0.0	0.0	26.7	60.0
		4TH+ DUI	7	100.0	85.7	0.0	85.7	0.0	0.0	14.3	42.9
		TOTAL	279	97.1	91.0	58.4	20.4	0.0	75.3	9.0	18.6
BUTTE	SUP BUTTE	1ST DUI	531	94.4	93.8	88.3	3.2	0.0	81.5	6.0	2.1
		2ND DUI	160	83.1	94.4	16.9	61.9	0.0	69.4	6.9	5.6
		3RD DUI	43	86.0	76.7	9.3	58.1	0.0	51.2	7.0	11.6
		4TH+ DUI	17	41.2	94.1	5.9	35.3	0.0	17.6	5.9	23.5
		TOTAL	751	90.3	92.9	66.7	19.6	0.0	75.8	6.3	3.9

*Entries represent percentages of 2004 DUI convictees receiving each sanction by county, court, and offender status.

TABLE B4: 2004 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
BUTTE (cont.)	JUV BUTTE	1ST DUI	17	23.5	5.9	17.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	70.6	0.0
		TOTAL	17	23.5	5.9	17.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	70.6	0.0
	CHICO	1ST DUI	9	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	88.9	11.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	10	90.0	100.0	90.0	0.0	0.0	80.0	10.0	0.0
	OROVILLE	1ST DUI	217	96.8	92.2	88.0	0.9	0.0	80.6	3.2	0.5
		2ND DUI	43	90.7	93.0	18.6	65.1	0.0	76.7	2.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	10	100.0	80.0	0.0	80.0	10.0	40.0	10.0	20.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	271	95.9	91.9	73.4	14.0	0.4	78.2	3.3	1.1
	PARADISE	1ST DUI	7	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	71.4	14.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	100.0	100.0	87.5	12.5	0.0	75.0	12.5	0.0
CALAVERAS	SUP CALAVERAS	1ST DUI	157	98.1	96.8	86.0	0.6	0.0	70.7	5.7	1.3
		2ND DUI	61	95.1	98.4	6.6	82.0	0.0	32.8	16.4	19.7
		3RD DUI	15	86.7	93.3	0.0	60.0	6.7	6.7	6.7	53.3
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	234	96.6	97.0	59.4	25.6	0.4	56.4	8.5	9.4
	JUV CALAVERAS	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
COLUSA	JUV COLUSA	1ST DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	COLUSA	1ST DUI	138	95.7	99.3	83.3	0.0	0.0	81.2	6.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	45	82.2	95.6	2.2	55.6	0.0	53.3	17.8	0.0
		3RD DUI	13	69.2	100.0	0.0	7.7	0.0	7.7	38.5	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	198	89.9	98.5	58.6	13.1	0.0	69.2	11.1	0.0
CONTRA COSTA	SUP CONTRA COSTA	1ST DUI	30	83.3	93.3	10.0	6.7	0.0	23.3	3.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	17	64.7	100.0	0.0	23.5	0.0	11.8	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	16	25.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	29	65.5	100.0	0.0	13.8	0.0	0.0	3.4	6.9
		TOTAL	92	64.1	97.8	3.3	10.9	0.0	9.8	2.2	2.2
	MARTINEZ	1ST DUI	16	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	31.3	0.0
		TOTAL	16	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	31.3	0.0
	CONCORD	1ST DUI	523	99.2	96.9	90.6	2.5	0.2	81.5	12.4	0.2
		2ND DUI	142	100.0	100.0	9.2	81.7	0.0	85.9	4.9	0.7
		3RD DUI	44	97.7	100.0	2.3	15.9	0.0	11.4	4.5	18.2
		4TH+ DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.0
		TOTAL	713	99.3	97.8	68.4	19.1	0.1	77.6	10.4	1.8
	RICHMOND	1ST DUI	508	98.8	96.5	87.8	5.7	0.0	88.6	3.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	157	98.1	94.9	13.4	77.7	0.0	68.8	5.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	47	93.6	97.9	0.0	78.7	0.0	19.1	25.5	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	83.3	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	16.7	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	718	98.2	96.2	65.0	26.7	0.0	79.1	5.8	0.0
	PITTSBURG	1ST DUI	642	99.1	97.2	90.5	3.3	0.0	89.4	6.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	200	97.0	98.5	9.5	84.0	0.0	86.0	1.0	0.5
		3RD DUI	36	97.2	97.2	0.0	80.6	0.0	11.1	0.0	2.8
		4TH+ DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	882	98.5	97.5	68.0	25.2	0.0	85.1	4.8	0.2

TABLE B4: 2004 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
CONTRA COSTA (cont.)	WALNUT CREEK	1ST DUI	618	99.4	97.2	95.1	2.4	0.0	91.7	4.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	151	99.3	100.0	12.6	84.8	0.0	43.7	28.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	19	100.0	100.0	5.3	84.2	0.0	5.3	47.4	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	789	99.4	97.8	77.1	20.3	0.0	80.4	10.5	0.0
DEL NORTE	SUP DEL NORTE	1ST DUI	107	90.7	96.3	86.9	0.9	0.0	86.0	0.9	0.9
		2ND DUI	38	84.2	92.1	23.7	57.9	0.0	73.7	0.0	36.8
		3RD DUI	9	77.8	88.9	11.1	44.4	11.1	44.4	0.0	44.4
		4TH+ DUI	4	25.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0
		TOTAL	158	86.7	94.9	65.2	17.7	0.6	78.5	0.6	12.7
EL DORADO	SO LAKE TAHOE	1ST DUI	331	98.2	97.0	87.9	3.3	0.0	83.7	3.3	1.8
		2ND DUI	80	100.0	93.8	17.5	66.3	0.0	78.8	6.3	23.7
		3RD DUI	17	94.1	94.1	0.0	76.5	0.0	23.5	0.0	23.5
		4TH+ DUI	3	66.7	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	431	98.1	96.1	70.8	17.9	0.0	79.8	3.7	6.7
	PLACERVILLE	1ST DUI	468	97.9	97.4	90.8	1.1	0.2	87.8	5.8	0.9
		2ND DUI	169	98.2	98.2	11.2	79.9	0.0	84.6	7.1	5.3
		3RD DUI	49	89.8	98.0	8.2	67.3	0.0	20.4	22.4	12.2
		4TH+ DUI	14	64.3	100.0	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.4
		TOTAL	700	96.7	97.7	64.0	25.0	0.1	80.6	7.1	3.1
FRESNO	JUV FRESNO	1ST DUI	27	100.0	0.0	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	85.2	0.0
		TOTAL	27	100.0	0.0	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	85.2	0.0
	FRESNO CENTRAL	1ST DUI	2183	95.2	93.1	85.6	3.1	0.0	79.4	1.0	1.3
		2ND DUI	641	91.9	96.9	7.8	74.6	0.0	64.7	2.3	8.6
		3RD DUI	151	92.7	95.4	4.6	64.2	0.7	17.2	0.0	26.5
		4TH+ DUI	49	69.4	91.8	0.0	24.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3
		TOTAL	3024	93.9	94.0	63.7	21.7	0.0	71.9	1.2	4.3
	CLOVIS	1ST DUI	288	99.0	98.3	94.4	2.1	0.0	95.8	0.3	0.7
		2ND DUI	70	100.0	100.0	12.9	87.1	0.0	94.3	0.0	12.9
		3RD DUI	15	100.0	100.0	0.0	93.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.7
		TOTAL	373	99.2	98.7	75.3	21.7	0.0	91.7	0.3	3.2
	COALINGA	1ST DUI	87	89.7	95.4	78.2	3.4	0.0	59.8	2.3	1.1
		2ND DUI	29	96.6	100.0	20.7	72.4	0.0	72.4	0.0	10.3
		3RD DUI	5	80.0	100.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	124	89.5	96.8	59.7	22.6	0.0	59.7	1.6	4.0
	FIREBAUGH	1ST DUI	109	99.1	97.2	82.6	3.7	0.0	79.8	0.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	31	96.8	96.8	16.1	71.0	0.0	64.5	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	7	85.7	100.0	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	151	96.7	97.4	62.9	18.5	0.0	70.9	0.7	0.0
	FOWLER	1ST DUI	66	98.5	95.5	84.8	1.5	0.0	92.4	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	22	100.0	95.5	4.5	68.2	0.0	72.7	4.5	9.1
		3RD DUI	9	77.8	88.9	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.1
		4TH+ DUI	7	71.4	85.7	0.0	42.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3
		TOTAL	104	95.2	94.2	54.8	21.2	0.0	74.0	1.0	3.8

TABLE B4: 2004 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
FRESNO (cont.)	KERMAN	1ST DUI	51	96.1	94.1	78.4	0.0	0.0	72.5	2.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	26	92.3	100.0	3.8	76.9	0.0	65.4	3.8	3.8
		3RD DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0
		TOTAL	81	95.1	96.3	50.6	28.4	0.0	66.7	2.5	2.5
	KINGSBURG	1ST DUI	131	95.4	92.4	83.2	3.1	0.0	83.2	1.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	40	100.0	97.5	17.5	75.0	0.0	87.5	0.0	7.5
		3RD DUI	11	81.8	100.0	0.0	45.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	40.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	187	94.1	94.1	62.0	20.9	0.0	77.0	1.1	1.6
	REEDLEY	1ST DUI	161	95.0	94.4	89.4	1.9	0.0	54.7	11.8	0.6
		2ND DUI	46	95.7	100.0	0.0	87.0	0.0	52.2	19.6	13.0
		3RD DUI	9	66.7	77.8	0.0	33.3	0.0	11.1	11.1	22.2
		4TH+ DUI	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	219	92.7	95.0	65.8	21.0	0.0	51.6	13.2	4.1
	SELMA	1ST DUI	47	91.5	91.5	74.5	2.1	0.0	85.1	0.0	2.1
		2ND DUI	24	91.7	100.0	12.5	79.2	0.0	87.5	0.0	8.3
		3RD DUI	6	100.0	83.3	0.0	83.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	78	91.0	93.6	48.7	32.1	0.0	78.2	0.0	3.8
	USCT FRESNO	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
GLENN	SUP WILLOWS	1ST DUI	222	97.3	50.0	89.6	2.7	0.0	51.8	8.1	0.9
		2ND DUI	70	95.7	97.1	15.7	55.7	0.0	32.9	17.1	4.3
		3RD DUI	16	93.8	100.0	0.0	68.8	0.0	18.8	43.8	18.8
		4TH+ DUI	6	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	314	95.9	64.0	66.9	17.8	0.0	44.9	11.8	2.5
HUMBOLDT	SUP JUV HUMBOLDT	1ST DUI	531	97.0	21.3	91.7	3.8	0.0	94.5	2.6	1.9
		2ND DUI	159	94.3	87.4	10.7	82.4	0.0	91.2	3.8	45.3
		3RD DUI	36	86.1	88.9	0.0	83.3	0.0	30.6	52.8	66.7
		4TH+ DUI	8	100.0	87.5	12.5	75.0	0.0	50.0	25.0	62.5
		TOTAL	734	95.9	39.6	68.8	25.5	0.0	90.2	5.6	15.1
IMPERIAL	SUP IMPERIAL	1ST DUI	224	98.7	9.4	71.9	0.4	0.0	79.5	1.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	24	100.0	45.8	58.3	16.7	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	100.0	80.0	20.0	60.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	254	98.8	14.6	69.3	3.1	0.0	78.0	1.2	0.0
	JUV IMPERIAL	1ST DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	BRAWLEY	1ST DUI	99	98.0	45.5	79.8	1.0	0.0	61.6	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	18	94.4	55.6	50.0	27.8	0.0	55.6	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	6	100.0	83.3	16.7	50.0	16.7	16.7	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	124	97.6	49.2	71.8	7.3	0.8	58.1	0.0	0.0
	CALEXICO	1ST DUI	2	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 2004 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
IMPERIAL (cont.)	EL CENTRO	1ST DUI	189	98.4	11.1	55.6	1.1	0.0	55.6	1.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	40	95.0	45.0	15.0	42.5	0.0	55.0	2.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	8	87.5	50.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	66.7	0.0	33.3	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	240	97.5	18.8	47.1	9.2	0.4	54.6	1.2	0.0
INYO	SUP INYO	1ST DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0
		TOTAL	4	50.0	75.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	25.0
	SUP JUV INYO	1STDUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	BISHOP	1ST DUI	129	97.7	17.8	93.0	1.6	0.0	89.9	2.3	0.8
		2ND DUI	33	100.0	78.8	9.1	78.8	0.0	78.8	3.0	9.1
		3RD DUI	9	88.9	88.9	0.0	66.7	0.0	11.1	11.1	22.2
		TOTAL	171	97.7	33.3	71.9	19.9	0.0	83.6	2.9	3.5
KERN	SUP KERN	1ST DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV KERN	1ST DUI	47	93.6	2.1	61.7	0.0	0.0	2.1	4.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	100.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	50	94.0	4.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	4.0	0.0
	LAMONT	1ST DUI	354	97.5	98.0	77.1	2.0	0.0	44.6	16.1	0.8
		2ND DUI	108	96.3	97.2	24.1	59.3	0.0	35.2	9.3	12.0
		3RD DUI	21	95.2	100.0	9.5	52.4	0.0	14.3	38.1	4.8
		4TH+ DUI	9	44.4	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.1	0.0
		TOTAL	492	96.1	98.0	61.2	16.7	0.0	40.4	15.4	3.5
	BAKERSFIELD	1ST DUI	1858	96.6	99.2	76.6	0.2	0.0	75.2	0.0	0.2
		2ND DUI	536	94.2	98.9	6.3	10.3	0.0	16.4	0.4	8.8
		3RD DUI	101	91.1	97.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	6.9
		4TH+ DUI	28	50.0	92.9	0.0	3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.6
		TOTAL	2523	95.4	99.0	57.9	2.4	0.0	59.0	0.1	2.3
	DELANO	1ST DUI	199	97.0	72.9	76.9	2.0	0.0	44.7	5.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	51	94.1	98.0	5.9	70.6	2.0	43.1	17.6	3.9
		3RD DUI	13	84.6	100.0	0.0	69.2	0.0	0.0	7.7	7.7
		4TH+ DUI	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
		TOTAL	267	95.1	79.4	58.4	19.1	0.4	41.6	8.2	1.1
	LAKE ISABELLA	1ST DUI	95	100.0	97.9	73.7	0.0	0.0	74.7	1.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	31	100.0	100.0	6.5	38.7	0.0	45.2	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	131	100.0	98.5	55.0	9.9	0.0	65.6	0.8	0.0
	TAFT	1ST DUI	162	95.7	96.3	74.1	1.9	0.0	58.6	29.6	3.1
		2ND DUI	38	97.4	100.0	15.8	68.4	0.0	42.1	36.8	50.0
		3RD DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	25.0	50.0	75.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	50.0
		TOTAL	206	95.6	96.6	61.2	16.0	0.5	54.4	31.6	13.6
	SHAFTER	1ST DUI	157	99.4	99.4	87.9	2.5	0.0	53.5	6.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	46	97.8	97.8	2.2	71.7	2.2	54.3	15.2	0.0
		3RD DUI	16	68.8	100.0	0.0	43.8	0.0	6.3	62.5	0.0
		TOTAL	219	96.8	99.1	63.5	20.1	0.5	50.2	12.3	0.0

TABLE B4: 2004 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
KERN (cont.)	MOJAVE	1ST DUI	194	99.0	99.0	62.9	1.5	0.0	68.0	1.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	47	91.5	97.9	17.0	25.5	0.0	44.7	4.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	15	93.3	93.3	6.7	13.3	0.0	26.7	0.0	6.7
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	259	97.3	98.5	50.6	6.6	0.0	60.6	1.5	0.4
	RIDGECREST	1ST DUI	140	96.4	100.0	62.1	1.4	0.0	63.6	0.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	40	97.5	100.0	2.5	27.5	0.0	30.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	13	61.5	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	195	93.8	100.0	45.1	6.7	0.0	51.8	0.5	0.0
	EDWARD AFB	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
KINGS	JUV KINGS	1ST DUI	11	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	90.9	0.0
		TOTAL	11	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	90.9	0.0
	HANFORD	1ST DUI	428	92.3	98.1	77.8	2.1	0.0	68.2	16.8	0.5
		2ND DUI	111	85.6	100.0	18.9	51.4	0.0	57.7	21.6	2.7
		3RD DUI	18	72.2	88.9	5.6	27.8	0.0	22.2	33.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	16.7	83.3	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	563	89.5	98.0	63.1	12.8	0.0	63.9	18.1	0.9
	AVENAL	1ST DUI	54	96.3	90.7	72.2	0.0	0.0	66.7	16.7	1.9
		2ND DUI	10	90.0	90.0	40.0	30.0	0.0	40.0	40.0	20.0
		3RD DUI	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	25.0	50.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	69	91.3	91.3	62.3	5.8	0.0	59.4	20.3	7.2
	CORCORAN	1ST DUI	61	93.4	98.4	68.9	0.0	0.0	62.3	27.9	1.6
		2ND DUI	18	88.9	94.4	50.0	33.3	0.0	50.0	11.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	100.0	100.0	50.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	25.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	84	91.7	97.6	63.1	8.3	0.0	56.0	26.2	2.4
LAKE	SUP LAKE	1ST DUI	169	95.9	35.5	90.5	1.2	0.0	87.0	5.9	2.4
		2ND DUI	47	95.7	87.2	21.3	59.6	0.0	78.7	6.4	2.1
		3RD DUI	8	87.5	75.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	12.5	62.5	25.0
		4TH+ DUI	7	85.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.9	0.0
		TOTAL	231	95.2	49.4	70.6	13.4	0.0	80.1	9.1	3.0
	CLEARLAKE	1ST DUI	132	97.0	14.4	88.6	1.5	0.0	83.3	0.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	38	94.7	84.2	15.8	68.4	0.0	26.3	2.6	2.6
		3RD DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	83.3	0.0	0.0	16.7	50.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	177	96.0	32.8	69.5	18.6	0.0	67.8	1.7	2.3
LASSEN	JUV LASSEN	1ST DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	SUSANVILLE	1ST DUI	129	97.7	95.3	92.2	0.0	0.0	78.3	1.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	41	95.1	97.6	14.6	65.9	0.0	31.7	22.0	19.5
		3RD DUI	6	83.3	100.0	16.7	50.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0
		TOTAL	176	96.6	96.0	71.6	17.0	0.0	64.8	6.8	4.5

TABLE B4: 2004 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES	SUP LA CENTRAL	1ST DUI	73	65.8	82.2	27.4	6.8	0.0	23.3	8.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	20	25.0	90.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	5.0	5.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	11	45.5	81.8	9.1	0.0	9.1	9.1	9.1	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	18	38.9	88.9	5.6	5.6	11.1	0.0	11.1	0.0
		TOTAL	122	53.3	84.4	18.0	5.7	2.5	15.6	8.2	0.0
	SUP POMONA	1ST DUI	41	48.8	82.9	12.2	0.0	0.0	4.9	7.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	14	28.6	92.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.1	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	8	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	13	7.7	100.0	0.0	7.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	76	32.9	89.5	6.6	1.3	0.0	3.9	3.9	0.0
	SUP LANCASTER	1ST DUI	33	51.5	75.8	24.2	0.0	0.0	18.2	9.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	5	60.0	100.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	66.7	66.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	44	54.5	79.5	20.5	6.8	0.0	18.2	6.8	0.0
	SUP VAN NUYS	1ST DUI	82	65.9	79.3	28.0	0.0	0.0	13.4	4.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	33	36.4	93.9	3.0	12.1	3.0	3.0	12.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	12	16.7	91.7	0.0	8.3	8.3	0.0	8.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	20	15.0	100.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0
		TOTAL	147	48.3	86.4	16.3	4.1	1.4	8.2	6.8	0.0
	SUP PASADENA	1ST DUI	8	62.5	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	80.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	17	52.9	94.1	0.0	0.0	5.9	0.0	5.9	0.0
	SUP LONG BEACH	1ST DUI	14	50.0	85.7	14.3	0.0	7.1	7.1	14.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	8	12.5	100.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	27	40.7	92.6	7.4	11.1	3.7	11.1	7.4	0.0
	SUP COMPTON	1ST DUI	7	85.7	85.7	71.4	0.0	0.0	42.9	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	6	33.3	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	16.7	16.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	25.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	17	52.9	94.1	29.4	11.8	0.0	23.5	5.9	0.0
	SUP NORWALK	1ST DUI	45	66.7	91.1	44.4	6.7	0.0	46.7	4.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	20	60.0	95.0	10.0	35.0	5.0	35.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	75.0	50.0	0.0	25.0	50.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	25.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	73	63.0	90.4	30.1	16.4	4.1	39.7	2.7	0.0
	SUP TORRANCE	1ST DUI	15	66.7	86.7	20.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	13.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	100.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	20	65.0	90.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	15.0	10.0	0.0
	SUP SANTA MONICA	1ST DUI	7	85.7	57.1	57.1	0.0	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	6	50.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	17	64.7	70.6	35.3	0.0	0.0	23.5	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 2004 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES (cont.)	JUV LA	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV LA CENTRAL	1ST DUI	15	100.0	6.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	15	100.0	6.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	MUNI LA	1ST DUI	912	99.3	23.6	94.0	2.2	0.0	88.5	0.7	0.1
		2ND DUI	198	97.5	87.9	11.1	79.3	0.0	83.3	0.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	38	89.5	89.5	0.0	28.9	7.9	15.8	2.6	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	40.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1153	98.4	37.1	76.2	16.3	0.3	84.8	0.7	0.1
	ALHAMBRA	1ST DUI	716	97.8	30.3	91.5	1.1	0.0	89.4	1.5	0.1
		2ND DUI	149	96.6	82.6	16.8	75.2	0.7	87.9	3.4	1.3
		3RD DUI	33	87.9	84.8	3.0	54.5	12.1	21.2	3.0	6.1
		4TH+ DUI	9	44.4	77.8	0.0	0.0	22.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	907	96.7	41.3	75.1	15.2	0.8	85.8	1.9	0.6
	LANCASTER	1ST DUI	667	95.1	97.5	89.7	2.4	0.0	82.6	3.4	1.9
		2ND DUI	195	97.4	96.9	9.7	75.9	0.5	19.0	44.1	34.9
		3RD DUI	52	86.5	82.7	3.8	53.8	17.3	5.8	19.2	38.5
		4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	916	95.0	96.5	67.6	21.1	1.1	64.5	13.0	11.0
	BEVERLY HILLS	1ST DUI	193	99.5	66.8	92.7	3.6	0.0	93.3	1.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	34	100.0	91.2	20.6	73.5	0.0	85.3	8.8	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	100.0	80.0	20.0	60.0	20.0	40.0	60.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	233	99.1	70.8	80.3	15.0	0.4	90.6	3.9	0.0
	BURBANK	1ST DUI	182	99.5	32.4	91.8	0.5	0.5	91.8	0.0	1.6
		2ND DUI	44	95.5	88.6	6.8	77.3	2.3	86.4	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	10	100.0	80.0	0.0	30.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	237	98.3	45.1	71.7	16.0	1.7	86.5	0.8	1.3
	WEST COVINA	1ST DUI	1203	99.1	24.8	95.2	1.0	0.0	91.7	0.2	0.2
		2ND DUI	256	97.3	89.8	15.2	78.9	0.4	90.2	1.2	2.3
		3RD DUI	24	95.8	87.5	0.0	79.2	8.3	25.0	0.0	12.5
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1485	98.7	37.1	79.7	15.8	0.2	90.4	0.4	0.8
	COMPTON	1ST DUI	852	97.4	84.4	90.8	2.5	0.0	89.7	1.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	226	96.9	96.9	13.7	76.1	0.9	85.8	2.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	38	100.0	81.6	2.6	52.6	13.2	23.7	7.9	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	40.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	40.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1121	97.1	86.8	71.9	19.0	0.7	86.3	1.8	0.0
	DOWNEY	1ST DUI	664	98.0	57.1	85.8	2.6	0.0	86.0	4.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	139	97.8	91.4	10.8	81.3	0.0	87.1	0.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	17	88.2	76.5	5.9	47.1	11.8	35.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	820	97.8	63.3	71.5	16.8	0.2	85.1	3.9	0.0
	EAST LA	1ST DUI	713	97.9	21.6	91.9	3.2	0.1	93.5	0.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	148	99.3	81.8	19.6	75.7	0.0	92.6	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	29	86.2	69.0	6.9	37.9	27.6	34.5	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	892	97.6	33.2	77.0	16.4	1.0	91.4	0.3	0.0

TABLE B4: 2004 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES (cont.)	EL MONTE	1ST DUI	560	99.6	18.6	95.4	1.6	0.0	90.9	1.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	118	100.0	86.4	16.1	83.1	0.0	88.1	4.2	0.0
		3RD DUI	22	95.5	86.4	9.1	63.6	4.5	22.7	9.1	0.0
		TOTAL	700	99.6	32.1	79.3	17.3	0.1	88.3	2.3	0.0
	GLENDALE	1ST DUI	365	99.2	19.5	94.2	2.5	0.0	95.3	1.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	76	97.4	81.6	15.8	75.0	1.3	88.2	1.3	2.6
		3RD DUI	21	85.7	90.5	4.8	33.3	9.5	19.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	80.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	467	98.1	33.6	76.4	16.1	0.6	90.1	1.1	0.4
	INGLEWOOD	1ST DUI	307	96.7	16.0	90.9	1.6	0.0	87.0	2.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	59	93.2	76.3	37.3	50.8	1.7	83.1	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	80.0	80.0	0.0	60.0	20.0	40.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	371	96.0	26.4	81.1	10.2	0.5	85.7	1.6	0.0
	LONG BEACH	1ST DUI	958	99.2	27.2	85.8	1.7	0.1	84.0	0.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	190	98.4	76.8	11.1	70.5	1.6	60.5	3.2	0.0
		3RD DUI	30	96.7	60.0	6.7	33.3	13.3	23.3	6.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1180	99.0	36.0	71.6	13.6	0.8	78.6	1.5	0.0
	LA METRO	1ST DUI	3880	99.0	47.3	92.7	3.9	0.0	78.5	0.8	0.3
		2ND DUI	701	99.1	94.2	10.3	86.4	0.1	76.0	1.1	2.3
		3RD DUI	129	96.1	98.4	2.3	79.1	0.8	15.5	3.1	7.0
		4TH+ DUI	12	91.7	100.0	8.3	41.7	0.0	8.3	0.0	8.3
		TOTAL	4722	99.0	55.8	77.8	18.3	0.0	76.2	0.9	0.8
	BELLFLOWER	1ST DUI	428	99.3	17.3	91.4	1.6	0.2	89.0	2.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	93	100.0	80.6	21.5	68.8	1.1	84.9	2.2	0.0
		3RD DUI	7	100.0	71.4	14.3	28.6	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	530	99.4	29.4	77.7	14.2	0.4	87.4	2.5	0.0
	VALENCIA	1ST DUI	722	98.5	27.8	90.2	3.7	0.0	85.2	0.3	0.4
		2ND DUI	170	96.5	91.8	8.2	80.6	0.0	81.8	0.6	1.8
		3RD DUI	16	100.0	87.5	6.3	56.3	6.3	12.5	18.8	6.3
		4TH+ DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
		TOTAL	912	98.0	41.1	73.0	19.0	0.1	82.9	0.8	0.8
	PASADENA	1ST DUI	486	98.1	59.5	90.5	2.3	0.2	94.4	0.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	116	97.4	91.4	9.5	83.6	0.9	87.1	2.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	18	100.0	100.0	0.0	44.4	0.0	5.6	5.6	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	623	97.8	66.8	72.4	18.6	0.3	90.0	1.3	0.0
	MALIBU	1ST DUI	285	98.9	5.3	95.4	0.7	0.0	93.7	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	49	95.9	79.6	14.3	71.4	2.0	85.7	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	6	100.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	341	98.5	16.7	81.8	11.7	1.5	91.2	0.0	0.0
	POMONA	1ST DUI	792	98.5	19.9	92.6	1.8	0.0	83.8	0.6	0.3
		2ND DUI	165	98.8	68.5	15.8	80.0	0.0	86.7	1.8	3.6
		3RD DUI	36	94.4	80.6	2.8	41.7	8.3	16.7	13.9	8.3
		TOTAL	993	98.4	30.2	76.5	16.2	0.3	81.9	1.3	1.1

TABLE B4: 2004 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES (cont.)	HUNTINGTON PARK	1ST DUI	217	97.2	21.7	90.8	2.8	0.5	91.2	0.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	52	98.1	78.8	13.5	78.8	0.0	90.4	1.9	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	100.0	66.7	0.0	66.7	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	273	97.4	33.3	74.7	17.9	0.7	90.5	1.1	0.0
	SANTA MONICA	1ST DUI	71	100.0	8.5	93.0	0.0	0.0	97.2	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	12	100.0	66.7	0.0	100.0	0.0	91.7	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	85	100.0	17.6	77.6	15.3	0.0	94.1	0.0	0.0
	TORRANCE	1ST DUI	963	98.3	31.2	93.8	1.2	0.0	88.7	0.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	247	98.8	82.2	15.8	75.7	2.8	78.1	1.2	0.4
		3RD DUI	29	89.7	79.3	10.3	48.3	6.9	41.4	13.8	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	25.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1243	98.0	42.6	76.0	17.1	0.8	85.2	1.1	0.1
	WHITTIER	1ST DUI	654	97.9	11.9	93.3	1.8	0.0	88.8	5.0	0.2
		2ND DUI	147	98.0	86.4	8.2	85.0	0.7	87.1	6.8	0.7
		3RD DUI	26	92.3	80.8	0.0	50.0	15.4	15.4	19.2	3.8
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	828	97.7	27.4	75.1	18.2	0.6	86.2	5.8	0.4
	HOLLYWOOD	1ST DUI	151	100.0	11.9	95.4	1.3	0.0	94.7	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	20	100.0	70.0	20.0	70.0	0.0	85.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	50.0	25.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	176	100.0	21.0	84.1	11.4	0.0	92.0	0.6	0.0
	SAN FERNANDO	1ST DUI	1079	99.4	48.9	82.9	4.8	0.7	59.8	4.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	248	99.6	82.3	12.1	70.6	8.9	54.0	3.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	56	94.6	82.1	3.6	55.4	17.9	5.4	5.4	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	60.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1388	99.1	56.3	66.8	18.6	2.9	56.3	4.5	0.0
	VAN NUYS	1ST DUI	2207	99.0	34.8	92.8	2.4	0.0	76.1	0.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	420	97.4	95.5	10.0	81.0	0.0	68.3	4.3	0.2
		3RD DUI	83	91.6	79.5	2.4	26.5	19.3	2.4	2.4	1.2
		4TH+ DUI	10	30.0	100.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2720	98.2	45.7	76.9	15.2	0.6	72.4	1.4	0.1
	AVALON	1ST DUI	7	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	7	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	US DISTRICT LA	1ST DUI	44	27.3	0.0	4.5	0.0	0.0	13.6	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	6	16.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	51	25.5	3.9	3.9	0.0	0.0	13.7	0.0	0.0
MADERA	SUP MADERA	1ST DUI	8	87.5	100.0	50.0	12.5	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	4	75.0	50.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	13	76.9	84.6	38.5	15.4	7.7	15.4	7.7	0.0
	JUV MADERA	1ST DUI	6	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	83.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 2004 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
MADERA (cont.)	CHOWCHILLA	1ST DUI	288	96.2	96.9	88.2	4.5	0.0	62.2	9.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	91	95.6	93.4	28.6	61.5	1.1	67.0	7.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	22	95.5	90.9	22.7	40.9	4.5	36.4	36.4	4.5
		4TH+ DUI	5	100.0	80.0	0.0	80.0	20.0	60.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	406	96.1	95.6	70.2	20.2	0.7	61.8	10.6	0.2
	MADERA	1ST DUI	50	92.0	70.0	74.0	2.0	0.0	16.0	32.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	17	58.8	70.6	17.6	23.5	0.0	17.6	17.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	100.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	74	85.1	68.9	55.4	6.8	2.7	14.9	28.4	0.0
	BASS LAKE	1ST DUI	111	98.2	89.2	92.8	0.9	0.0	61.3	4.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	45	95.6	100.0	17.8	64.4	0.0	28.9	8.9	0.0
		3RD DUI	8	100.0	100.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	8	87.5	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	12.5
		TOTAL	172	97.1	93.0	65.7	24.4	0.0	47.1	7.0	0.6
MARIN	SUP SAN RAFAEL	1ST DUI	1060	99.3	6.8	93.4	2.4	0.0	90.5	8.4	0.5
		2ND DUI	161	99.4	92.5	5.0	85.1	0.0	83.9	14.3	6.8
		3RD DUI	36	97.2	94.4	2.8	19.4	0.0	16.7	63.9	33.3
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0
		TOTAL	1259	99.3	20.4	79.3	13.6	0.0	87.4	10.8	2.3
MARIPOSA	JUV MARIPOSA	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	SUP MARIPOSA	1ST DUI	65	95.4	98.5	81.5	3.1	0.0	83.1	1.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	18	100.0	83.3	16.7	66.7	0.0	72.2	16.7	16.7
		3RD DUI	4	100.0	75.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	50.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	50.0
		TOTAL	89	95.5	93.3	64.0	16.9	2.2	78.7	5.6	6.7
	US MAG YOSEMITE	2ND DUI	5	100.0	40.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	100.0	40.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	0.0
MENDOCINO	SUP UKIAH	1ST DUI	5	40.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	4	25.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	13	53.8	76.9	15.4	30.8	0.0	0.0	15.4	7.7
	JUV UKIAH	1ST DUI	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	85.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	87.5	0.0
	WILLITS	1ST DUI	95	97.9	94.7	87.4	5.3	0.0	86.3	3.2	1.1
		2ND DUI	29	89.7	100.0	3.4	79.3	0.0	58.6	3.4	10.3
		3RD DUI	8	87.5	100.0	0.0	87.5	0.0	37.5	0.0	37.5
		4TH+ DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	136	95.6	96.3	61.8	27.9	0.0	75.0	2.9	5.1
	UKIAH	1ST DUI	252	94.4	95.2	80.6	1.6	0.0	84.9	1.2	4.0
		2ND DUI	87	92.0	95.4	12.6	71.3	0.0	77.0	3.4	40.2
		3RD DUI	17	88.2	88.2	0.0	88.2	0.0	35.3	0.0	64.7
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	358	93.6	95.0	59.8	22.9	0.0	80.2	2.0	15.6

TABLE B4: 2004 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
MENDOCINO (cont.)	PT. ARENA	1ST DUI	3	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	100.0	100.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	33.3	33.3	33.3
		TOTAL	6	100.0	100.0	66.7	16.7	0.0	66.7	16.7	16.7
	LEGGETT	1ST DUI	20	95.0	100.0	90.0	0.0	0.0	90.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	5	100.0	100.0	20.0	60.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	20.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	27	96.3	100.0	70.4	18.5	0.0	88.9	3.7	3.7
	COVELO	1ST DUI	13	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	92.3	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	17	100.0	100.0	76.5	23.5	0.0	88.2	0.0	0.0
	FORT BRAGG	1ST DUI	63	96.8	96.8	85.7	6.3	0.0	90.5	0.0	3.2
		2ND DUI	18	88.9	94.4	11.1	77.8	0.0	77.8	0.0	50.0
		3RD DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	86	94.2	96.5	65.1	25.6	0.0	82.6	0.0	14.0
MERCED	SUP MERCED	1ST DUI	13	76.9	69.2	23.1	0.0	0.0	38.5	7.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	100.0	100.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	40.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	23	65.2	82.6	17.4	0.0	0.0	21.7	4.3	0.0
	JUV MERCED	1ST DUI	10	40.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0
		TOTAL	10	40.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0
	MERCED	1ST DUI	532	98.9	96.1	91.7	3.6	0.2	87.4	3.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	155	98.7	99.4	16.1	77.4	0.0	85.2	3.9	0.0
		3RD DUI	41	95.1	97.6	7.3	51.2	0.0	26.8	36.6	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	50.0	83.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	16.7	16.7	0.0
		TOTAL	734	98.2	96.7	70.3	22.1	0.1	83.0	5.3	0.0
	LOS BANOS	1ST DUI	189	98.4	97.4	94.2	1.6	0.0	93.1	1.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	49	98.0	95.9	14.3	81.6	0.0	91.8	2.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	14	92.9	92.9	0.0	85.7	0.0	7.1	28.6	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	254	97.6	96.9	72.8	21.7	0.0	87.4	3.1	0.0
MODOC	SUP MODOC	1ST DUI	34	100.0	55.9	50.0	0.0	0.0	32.4	2.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	37	100.0	59.5	45.9	8.1	0.0	37.8	2.7	0.0
MONO	SUP MONO	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	BRIDGEPORT	1ST DUI	23	95.7	60.9	82.6	4.3	0.0	34.8	4.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	4	75.0	75.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	30	90.0	66.7	63.3	13.3	0.0	33.3	3.3	0.0
	MAMMOTH LAKES	1ST DUI	61	98.4	67.2	96.7	0.0	0.0	73.8	1.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	23	100.0	100.0	13.0	87.0	0.0	69.6	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	86	98.8	75.6	73.3	23.3	0.0	72.1	1.2	0.0

TABLE B4: 2004 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
MONTEREY	SUP MONTEREY	1ST DUI	93	88.2	95.7	44.1	5.4	0.0	15.1	51.6	16.1
		2ND DUI	26	84.6	92.3	0.0	26.9	0.0	3.8	53.8	42.3
		3RD DUI	16	68.8	93.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	68.8	62.5
		4TH+ DUI	13	69.2	100.0	0.0	15.4	0.0	0.0	53.8	38.5
		TOTAL	148	83.8	95.3	27.7	9.5	0.0	10.1	54.1	27.7
	JUV MONTEREY	1ST DUI	14	85.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.1	71.4	0.0
		TOTAL	14	85.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.1	71.4	0.0
	CASTROVILLE	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	MARINA	1ST DUI	3	100.0	100.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
		TOTAL	4	100.0	100.0	50.0	25.0	0.0	50.0	25.0	25.0
	SALINAS	1ST DUI	1976	98.9	92.3	56.0	2.3	0.0	38.9	42.6	8.6
		2ND DUI	393	99.2	99.0	7.6	64.9	0.0	11.5	37.9	76.8
		3RD DUI	105	98.1	99.0	3.8	58.1	1.0	2.9	43.8	87.6
		4TH+ DUI	8	100.0	100.0	0.0	37.5	0.0	0.0	75.0	62.5
		TOTAL	2482	98.9	93.6	46.0	14.7	0.0	32.9	42.0	22.9
	KING CITY	1ST DUI	282	99.6	99.3	70.2	2.5	0.0	45.4	48.9	15.2
		2ND DUI	71	100.0	98.6	18.3	47.9	0.0	8.5	78.9	71.8
		3RD DUI	12	100.0	100.0	16.7	16.7	0.0	0.0	91.7	91.7
		TOTAL	365	99.7	99.2	58.4	11.8	0.0	36.7	56.2	28.8
NAPA	NAPA	1ST DUI	704	98.9	95.3	92.2	1.8	0.0	87.1	3.3	2.6
		2ND DUI	174	97.1	99.4	13.8	79.3	0.0	86.8	1.7	46.0
		3RD DUI	35	97.1	97.1	0.0	94.3	0.0	85.7	0.0	77.1
		4TH+ DUI	6	66.7	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	50.0
		TOTAL	919	98.3	96.2	73.2	20.3	0.0	86.6	2.8	13.9
NEVADA	NEVADA CITY	1ST DUI	273	99.3	92.3	86.4	1.8	0.0	78.4	0.0	2.6
		2ND DUI	83	100.0	98.8	6.0	81.9	0.0	72.3	0.0	15.7
		3RD DUI	13	100.0	100.0	0.0	23.1	0.0	7.7	7.7	61.5
		4TH+ DUI	10	80.0	80.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0
		TOTAL	379	98.9	93.7	63.6	21.4	0.0	72.6	0.3	8.4
	JUV TRUCKEE	1ST DUI	2	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
	TRUCKEE	1ST DUI	165	100.0	100.0	95.2	3.6	0.0	96.4	0.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	51	96.1	100.0	25.5	70.6	0.0	96.1	0.0	2.0
		3RD DUI	12	100.0	100.0	8.3	91.7	0.0	66.7	0.0	8.3
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	231	99.1	100.0	74.0	24.2	0.0	94.8	0.4	0.9
ORANGE	JUV ORANGE	1ST DUI	84	96.4	13.1	58.3	0.0	0.0	14.3	77.4	0.0
		TOTAL	84	96.4	13.1	58.3	0.0	0.0	14.3	77.4	0.0
	FULLERTON	1ST DUI	2487	99.3	36.2	94.4	2.3	0.0	80.8	11.7	1.1
		2ND DUI	569	98.6	94.6	5.3	87.5	0.0	77.0	12.0	25.1
		3RD DUI	98	96.9	98.0	1.0	89.8	0.0	9.2	17.3	52.0
		4TH+ DUI	18	50.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	5.6	11.1
		TOTAL	3172	98.8	49.0	75.0	20.5	0.0	77.4	11.9	7.1

TABLE B4: 2004 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
ORANGE (cont.)	WESTMINSTER	1ST DUI	2011	98.8	14.7	91.6	1.8	0.0	90.2	3.7	1.0
		2ND DUI	465	99.1	92.0	8.0	80.9	0.2	86.5	7.5	17.6
		3RD DUI	84	94.0	91.7	1.2	75.0	1.2	19.0	3.6	54.8
		4TH+ DUI	17	41.2	94.1	0.0	29.4	0.0	5.9	0.0	17.6
		TOTAL	2577	98.3	31.7	73.0	18.6	0.1	86.6	4.4	5.9
	LAGUNA HILLS	1ST DUI	782	99.5	16.0	94.6	1.8	0.1	84.4	12.5	0.5
		2ND DUI	183	98.4	88.0	11.5	78.7	0.0	75.4	18.0	14.8
		3RD DUI	22	86.4	100.0	0.0	72.7	0.0	9.1	4.5	27.3
		4TH+ DUI	7	71.4	85.7	0.0	42.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3
		TOTAL	994	98.8	31.6	76.6	17.8	0.1	80.5	13.3	3.8
	NEWPORT BEACH	1ST DUI	2578	99.2	22.3	91.3	1.2	0.0	88.1	8.6	0.1
		2ND DUI	537	97.6	92.4	9.1	75.8	0.2	46.7	47.1	2.2
		3RD DUI	90	98.9	94.4	1.1	83.3	1.1	12.2	25.6	10.0
		4TH+ DUI	20	50.0	95.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	5.0
		TOTAL	3225	98.6	36.5	74.6	16.2	0.1	78.5	15.5	0.8
	SANTA ANA	1ST DUI	1650	98.6	40.7	92.7	3.5	0.0	69.4	4.5	1.0
		2ND DUI	340	96.2	92.1	11.5	79.4	0.3	72.4	5.9	13.2
		3RD DUI	59	93.2	96.6	1.7	83.1	0.0	22.0	5.1	42.4
		4TH+ DUI	19	52.6	100.0	0.0	47.4	0.0	0.0	5.3	26.3
		TOTAL	2068	97.6	51.3	75.9	18.6	0.0	67.9	4.8	4.4
PLACER	SUP AUBURN	1ST DUI	1023	98.2	98.3	0.7	3.4	0.0	82.9	5.8	6.6
		2ND DUI	306	96.7	99.7	0.7	82.4	0.0	80.7	3.6	38.9
		3RD DUI	59	81.4	98.3	1.7	72.9	0.0	10.2	3.4	50.8
		4TH+ DUI	18	38.9	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	5.6	0.0	22.2
		TOTAL	1406	96.4	98.6	0.7	23.9	0.0	78.4	5.1	15.7
	JUV AUBURN	1ST DUI	13	92.3	38.5	69.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	92.3	0.0
		TOTAL	13	92.3	38.5	69.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	92.3	0.0
	AUBURN MUNI	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	ROSEVILLE	2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	SUP TAHOE CITY	1ST DUI	184	99.5	97.8	88.6	1.1	0.0	87.5	2.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	35	100.0	100.0	8.6	77.1	0.0	82.9	0.0	2.9
		3RD DUI	11	100.0	100.0	0.0	81.8	0.0	18.2	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	231	99.1	98.3	71.9	16.5	0.0	83.1	1.7	0.4
PLUMAS	PORTOLA	1ST DUI	2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	QUINCY	1ST DUI	151	99.3	99.3	85.4	9.3	0.0	96.0	2.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	47	97.9	100.0	14.9	80.9	0.0	95.7	2.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	8	87.5	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	50.0	25.0	0.0
		4TH+DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	208	98.1	99.5	65.4	28.4	0.0	93.3	2.9	0.0
RIVERSIDE	SUP RIVERSIDE	1ST DUI	327	79.8	94.5	67.6	1.5	0.0	52.0	4.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	81	61.7	96.3	14.8	44.4	0.0	30.9	4.9	2.5
		3RD DUI	37	54.1	94.6	5.4	40.5	0.0	21.6	8.1	10.8
		4TH+ DUI	39	41.0	97.4	0.0	33.3	0.0	5.1	5.1	2.6
		TOTAL	484	71.7	95.0	48.6	14.3	0.0	42.4	5.0	1.4

TABLE B4: 2004 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
RIVERSIDE (cont.)	SUP INDIO	1ST DUI	82	84.1	82.9	72.0	4.9	0.0	62.2	3.7	2.4
		2ND DUI	21	76.2	95.2	23.8	52.4	0.0	52.4	9.5	28.6
		3RD DUI	13	69.2	100.0	0.0	69.2	0.0	53.8	7.7	69.2
		4TH+ DUI	23	34.8	100.0	0.0	26.1	0.0	4.3	4.3	17.4
		TOTAL	139	73.4	89.2	46.0	21.6	0.0	50.4	5.0	15.1
	JUV RIVERSIDE	1ST DUI	10	80.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	10	80.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV INDIO	1ST DUI	10	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	90.0	0.0
		TOTAL	10	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	90.0	0.0
	CORONA	1ST DUI	9	100.0	100.0	88.9	11.1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	10	100.0	100.0	90.0	10.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	HEMET	1ST DUI	14	100.0	71.4	57.1	35.7	0.0	14.3	0.0	7.1
		2ND DUI	3	100.0	100.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3
		3RD DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7
		TOTAL	20	100.0	80.0	45.0	50.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	20.0
	BANNING	1ST DUI	284	99.3	85.2	94.7	0.7	0.0	84.9	2.1	0.7
		2ND DUI	83	98.8	96.4	12.0	83.1	0.0	77.1	3.6	1.2
		3RD DUI	9	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	44.4	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	377	99.2	88.1	74.0	21.5	0.0	80.9	3.4	0.8
	INDIO	1ST DUI	1102	99.5	86.5	96.6	1.5	0.0	95.4	1.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	226	98.7	98.7	12.8	84.1	0.0	94.7	2.2	0.9
		3RD DUI	38	97.4	100.0	7.9	84.2	0.0	34.2	55.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	9	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	11.1	77.8	0.0
		TOTAL	1375	99.3	88.9	79.8	18.0	0.0	93.0	3.4	0.1
	MORENO VALLEY	1ST DUI	2413	98.8	96.4	87.9	1.4	0.0	85.4	6.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	534	98.7	98.1	15.5	80.7	0.0	81.8	4.7	0.7
		3RD DUI	92	92.4	98.9	3.3	83.7	0.0	22.8	10.9	13.0
		4TH+ DUI	19	73.7	100.0	5.3	68.4	0.0	10.5	0.0	5.3
		TOTAL	3058	98.5	96.8	72.2	18.1	0.0	82.4	6.2	0.6
	PALM SPRINGS	1ST DUI	3	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	3	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0
	BLYTHE	1ST DUI	80	100.0	52.5	92.5	1.2	0.0	82.5	10.0	1.2
		2ND DUI	13	100.0	69.2	30.8	69.2	0.0	53.8	46.2	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	100.0	100.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	33.3
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	100.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3
		TOTAL	99	100.0	57.6	80.8	10.1	0.0	74.7	15.2	3.0
	PERRIS	1ST DUI	1418	98.7	91.4	95.0	1.3	0.0	77.4	0.8	0.1
		2ND DUI	302	96.7	97.4	12.9	81.5	0.0	32.1	2.0	0.7
		3RD DUI	61	95.1	98.4	6.6	86.9	0.0	14.8	3.3	3.3
		4TH+ DUI	8	75.0	75.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	12.5	12.5	0.0
		TOTAL	1789	98.2	92.6	77.7	18.1	0.0	67.4	1.2	0.3
	TEMECULA	1ST DUI	4	100.0	75.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	6	100.0	83.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 2004 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SACRAMENTO	SUP SACRAMENTO	1ST DUI	94	87.2	94.7	38.3	14.9	0.0	3.2	34.0	2.1
		2ND DUI	50	62.0	98.0	10.0	28.0	0.0	6.0	14.0	2.0
		3RD DUI	35	57.1	100.0	5.7	28.6	0.0	0.0	25.7	5.7
		4TH+ DUI	75	66.7	96.0	0.0	36.0	0.0	0.0	14.7	6.7
		TOTAL	254	72.0	96.5	16.9	25.6	0.0	2.4	23.2	3.9
	JUV SACRAMENTO	1ST DUI	25	100.0	8.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	52.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	27	100.0	7.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	55.6	0.0
	SACRAMENTO	1ST DUI	3838	99.5	98.1	89.6	2.2	0.0	79.9	10.9	0.6
		2ND DUI	1079	99.4	99.3	8.2	67.7	0.0	63.0	16.4	6.7
		3RD DUI	287	98.3	99.7	1.7	32.8	0.0	15.7	8.4	8.7
		4TH+ DUI	35	91.4	100.0	5.7	25.7	0.0	11.4	8.6	14.3
		TOTAL	5239	99.3	98.5	67.5	17.5	0.0	72.5	11.9	2.4
	SACTO US MAG	1ST DUI	7	14.3	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	10	20.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SAN BENITO	SUP SAN BENITO	1ST DUI	178	99.4	89.9	69.7	0.6	0.0	63.5	31.5	0.6
		2ND DUI	83	96.4	100.0	8.4	63.9	0.0	69.9	22.9	18.1
		3RD DUI	17	94.1	88.2	0.0	29.4	5.9	5.9	29.4	70.6
		4TH+ DUI	6	83.3	83.3	0.0	16.7	16.7	0.0	0.0	66.7
		TOTAL	284	97.9	92.6	46.1	21.1	0.7	60.6	28.2	11.3
	JUV HOLLISTER	1ST DUI	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SAN BERNARDINO	SUP MUN SAN BERNARDINO	1ST DUI	48	70.8	85.4	45.8	6.3	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	12	66.7	75.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	66.7	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	10	50.0	50.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	73	67.1	78.1	35.6	11.0	0.0	4.1	0.0	0.0
	SUP RANCHO CUCAMONGA	1ST DUI	44	75.0	79.5	56.8	9.1	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	13	76.9	76.9	7.7	69.2	0.0	7.7	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	8	75.0	100.0	0.0	37.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	9	33.3	44.4	11.1	22.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	74	70.3	77.0	36.5	24.3	0.0	6.8	0.0	0.0
	SUP BARSTOW	1ST DUI	288	97.9	50.3	87.5	6.3	0.0	88.9	1.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	65	92.3	89.2	12.3	72.3	0.0	78.5	4.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	20	95.0	95.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	15.0	10.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	16.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0
		TOTAL	379	95.5	59.1	68.6	21.1	0.0	81.8	2.6	0.0
	SUP JOSHUA TREE	1ST DUI	11	90.9	100.0	45.5	27.3	0.0	9.1	18.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	5	40.0	80.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	20	70.0	85.0	35.0	25.0	0.0	5.0	10.0	0.0
	JUV RANCHO CUCAMONGA	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SUP JUV SAN BERNARDINO	1ST DUI	10	100.0	10.0	70.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.0	0.0
		TOTAL	10	100.0	10.0	70.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 2004 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN BERNARDINO (cont.)	JUV TRAFFIC	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	SUP CHINO	1ST DUI	304	98.4	26.0	95.4	0.7	0.0	94.7	1.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	72	100.0	95.8	8.3	86.1	0.0	91.7	1.4	0.0
		3RD DUI	11	100.0	90.9	0.0	72.7	0.0	18.2	18.2	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	60.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	392	98.2	41.3	75.5	18.4	0.0	90.8	1.5	0.0
	SUP REDLAND	1ST DUI	268	98.1	40.7	94.4	1.9	0.0	92.2	0.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	51	90.2	74.5	27.5	56.9	0.0	76.5	2.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	10	90.0	90.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	60.0	60.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	334	96.1	47.6	79.9	11.7	0.0	87.4	0.6	0.0
	SUP SAN BERNARDINO	1ST DUI	1434	97.8	73.7	93.4	2.0	0.0	88.4	1.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	347	97.4	90.8	19.0	71.8	0.0	77.2	8.1	0.9
		3RD DUI	68	95.6	95.6	7.4	51.5	0.0	25.0	8.8	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	12	91.7	83.3	16.7	41.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1861	97.6	77.8	75.9	17.0	0.0	83.7	2.9	0.2
	SUP FONTANA	1ST DUI	768	98.3	72.9	93.4	2.9	0.0	88.8	0.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	187	95.7	92.0	12.3	78.1	0.0	79.1	1.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	48	87.5	93.8	6.3	35.4	0.0	31.3	20.8	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	19	47.4	52.6	0.0	31.6	0.0	0.0	10.5	0.0
		TOTAL	1022	96.4	77.0	72.7	18.7	0.0	82.7	1.9	0.0
	VICTORVILLE	1ST DUI	1076	96.8	72.4	92.5	2.4	0.2	91.2	0.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	238	93.7	92.9	11.3	77.7	0.8	78.6	2.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	79	84.8	91.1	2.5	65.8	0.0	31.6	20.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	22	50.0	50.0	4.5	36.4	0.0	13.6	9.1	0.0
		TOTAL	1415	94.9	76.5	72.4	19.2	0.3	84.5	1.8	0.0
	SUP RANCHO CUCAMONGA	1ST DUI	1492	99.5	30.1	96.2	1.8	0.0	96.4	0.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	366	98.6	89.3	14.2	82.2	0.0	92.6	0.8	0.3
		3RD DUI	62	98.4	98.4	1.6	33.9	0.0	21.0	9.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	9	100.0	77.8	0.0	44.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1929	99.3	43.8	77.1	18.3	0.0	92.8	0.6	0.1
	BIG BEAR LAKE	1ST DUI	148	98.6	48.0	95.3	0.7	0.0	97.3	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	42	95.2	88.1	14.3	81.0	0.0	88.1	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	8	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	199	98.0	58.3	74.4	21.6	0.0	93.5	0.0	0.0
	TWIN PEAKS	1STDUI	106	100.0	37.7	99.1	0.9	0.0	98.1	0.0	0.0
		2NDDUI	13	92.3	92.3	7.7	84.6	0.0	69.2	0.0	0.0
		3RDDUI	6	100.0	50.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	127	99.2	44.9	85.0	11.0	0.0	93.7	0.0	0.0
	SUP NEEDLES	1ST DUI	94	95.7	51.1	76.6	1.1	0.0	79.8	3.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	16	87.5	68.8	18.8	50.0	0.0	62.5	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0
		TOTAL	113	94.7	54.9	66.4	10.6	0.0	76.1	4.4	0.0

TABLE B4: 2004 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN BERNARDINO (cont.)	JOSHUA TREE	1ST DUI	204	93.1	67.6	89.7	0.5	0.0	82.8	1.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	59	100.0	93.2	22.0	76.3	0.0	86.4	1.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	20	75.0	100.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	50.0	5.0	0.0
		TOTAL	283	93.3	75.3	69.3	20.5	0.0	81.3	1.4	0.0
SAN DIEGO	SUP SAN DIEGO	1ST DUI	169	80.5	97.0	62.1	4.1	0.0	32.5	3.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	38	78.9	100.0	13.2	57.9	0.0	21.1	7.9	0.0
		3RD DUI	9	55.6	100.0	0.0	44.4	0.0	22.2	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	20	30.0	100.0	0.0	30.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	236	75.0	97.9	46.6	16.5	0.0	28.0	3.8	0.0
	SUP VISTA	1ST DUI	157	80.9	85.4	44.6	5.1	0.0	21.0	3.8	2.5
		2ND DUI	45	53.3	97.8	4.4	24.4	0.0	17.8	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	21	28.6	100.0	0.0	9.5	0.0	4.8	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	25	28.0	100.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	248	66.1	90.3	29.0	8.9	0.0	16.9	2.4	1.6
	SUP CHULA VISTA	1ST DUI	46	78.3	91.3	15.2	4.3	0.0	13.0	6.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	10	50.0	90.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	60.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	7	28.6	100.0	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	68	67.6	92.6	11.8	5.9	0.0	10.3	4.4	0.0
	SUP EL CAHON	1ST DUI	16	75.0	87.5	18.8	0.0	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	4	75.0	100.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	26	69.2	92.3	15.4	0.0	0.0	7.7	0.0	0.0
	JUV SAN DIEGO	1ST DUI	68	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	69	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4	0.0
	EL CAJON	1ST DUI	1806	97.6	10.9	88.0	2.1	0.0	83.7	5.3	0.1
		2ND DUI	481	95.6	84.8	12.1	68.4	0.0	75.5	4.0	0.2
		3RD DUI	91	83.5	95.6	2.2	46.2	0.0	22.0	6.6	8.8
		4TH+ DUI	5	100.0	80.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2383	96.6	29.2	69.2	17.2	0.0	79.5	5.1	0.5
	VISTA	1ST DUI	2965	98.4	45.6	76.9	4.5	0.0	75.7	17.2	0.1
		2ND DUI	822	96.2	87.6	10.1	66.1	0.0	69.3	18.5	1.0
		3RD DUI	142	97.2	97.9	0.7	71.1	0.0	29.6	22.5	3.5
		4TH+ DUI	14	64.3	92.9	0.0	50.0	0.0	7.1	21.4	7.1
		TOTAL	3943	97.8	56.4	59.9	19.9	0.0	72.5	17.7	0.5
	SAN MARCOS	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SAN DIEGO	1ST DUI	4287	98.6	97.2	95.3	2.7	0.0	93.8	2.6	0.1
		2ND DUI	785	98.3	98.9	13.2	83.7	0.0	83.3	2.9	2.3
		3RD DUI	130	90.0	99.2	4.6	81.5	0.0	32.3	23.8	14.6
		4TH+ DUI	6	83.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	16.7	0.0	50.0
		TOTAL	5208	98.3	97.5	80.5	16.9	0.0	90.6	3.2	0.8
	CHULA VISTA	1ST DUI	1281	97.9	10.1	90.3	2.0	0.0	87.3	0.6	0.1
		2ND DUI	301	98.0	83.1	18.6	71.4	0.0	83.1	2.0	4.7
		3RD DUI	50	94.0	92.0	4.0	68.0	0.0	36.0	10.0	14.0
		4TH+ DUI	8	37.5	100.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1640	97.5	26.4	74.1	16.8	0.0	84.5	1.2	1.3

TABLE B4: 2004 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN FRANCISCO	SUP SAN FRANCISCO	1ST DUI	12	75.0	75.0	50.0	16.7	0.0	8.3	25.0	16.7
		2ND DUI	5	60.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0
		TOTAL	19	73.7	78.9	31.6	21.1	0.0	15.8	15.8	21.1
	JUV SAN FRAN	1ST DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	SAN FRANCISCO	1ST DUI	685	99.4	99.0	96.5	1.9	0.0	96.4	0.0	4.1
		2ND DUI	154	98.7	99.4	23.4	72.7	0.0	87.7	0.0	52.6
		3RD DUI	22	100.0	100.0	4.5	95.5	0.0	50.0	0.0	86.4
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0
		TOTAL	863	99.3	99.1	80.9	17.1	0.0	93.4	0.0	14.9
SAN JOAQUIN	FRENCH CAMP	1ST DUI	26	100.0	46.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.7	11.5	0.0
		TOTAL	26	100.0	46.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.7	11.5	0.0
	LODI	1ST DUI	334	99.1	98.8	88.6	1.5	0.0	79.3	13.2	0.3
		2ND DUI	108	98.1	100.0	10.2	72.2	0.0	74.1	19.4	1.9
		3RD DUI	24	95.8	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	4.2	91.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	17	82.4	100.0	0.0	23.5	0.0	0.0	70.6	0.0
		TOTAL	483	98.1	99.2	63.6	19.3	0.0	71.6	20.5	0.6
	ESCALON	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	MANTECA	1ST DUI	335	98.8	99.1	94.6	2.4	0.3	84.5	4.5	3.0
		2ND DUI	95	98.9	100.0	14.7	80.0	0.0	90.5	2.1	63.2
		3RD DUI	20	100.0	95.0	5.0	75.0	0.0	30.0	65.0	80.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	66.7	100.0
		TOTAL	456	98.9	99.1	72.8	22.1	0.2	82.2	7.5	20.2
	TRACY	1ST DUI	219	99.5	99.5	96.8	1.4	0.0	83.6	11.9	0.5
		2ND DUI	55	100.0	100.0	9.1	90.9	0.0	72.7	12.7	52.7
		3RD DUI	13	84.6	84.6	0.0	69.2	0.0	7.7	30.8	69.2
		4TH+ DUI	5	60.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	20.0	40.0	40.0
		TOTAL	292	98.3	99.0	74.3	21.9	0.0	77.1	13.4	14.0
	STOCKTON	1ST DUI	1291	98.5	99.1	93.3	2.8	0.2	77.4	1.5	0.2
		2ND DUI	428	96.7	98.8	8.2	84.3	0.5	73.4	2.8	8.9
		3RD DUI	121	91.7	81.8	2.5	64.5	15.7	12.4	28.1	19.8
		4TH+ DUI	44	72.7	81.8	0.0	38.6	15.9	11.4	27.3	11.4
		TOTAL	1884	97.1	97.5	66.0	26.1	1.6	70.8	4.1	3.7
SAN LUIS OBSPO	JUV SAN L OBISPO	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SAN LUIS OBISPO	1ST DUI	1266	98.3	96.9	91.5	1.8	0.0	84.7	0.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	347	98.6	97.7	9.2	84.7	0.0	78.7	0.0	0.6
		3RD DUI	87	92.0	100.0	8.0	69.0	0.0	12.6	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	12	75.0	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1712	97.9	97.3	69.9	22.1	0.0	79.2	0.5	0.1
SAN MATEO	SUP REDWOODD CITY	1ST DUI	32	71.9	96.9	34.4	9.4	0.0	15.6	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	19	47.4	94.7	5.3	31.6	0.0	10.5	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	6	66.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	66.7	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	63	63.5	96.8	19.0	19.0	0.0	11.1	1.6	0.0

TABLE B4: 2004 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN MATEO (cont.)	SUP SAN MATEO	1ST DUI	13	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	61.5	0.0
		TOTAL	13	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	61.5	0.0
	SAN MATEO	1ST DUI	2	100.0	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	SO SAN FRANCISCO	1ST DUI	1029	99.1	95.7	93.8	1.7	0.0	89.1	0.1	0.8
		2ND DUI	204	99.0	99.5	9.8	86.8	0.0	47.5	0.5	51.5
		3RD DUI	38	89.5	100.0	2.6	76.3	0.0	7.9	0.0	34.2
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0
		TOTAL	1273	98.8	96.5	77.5	17.7	0.0	79.9	0.2	10.0
	REDWOOD CITY	1ST DUI	929	98.3	98.1	91.4	3.0	0.0	81.1	0.4	0.5
		2ND DUI	199	96.5	99.0	11.6	81.4	0.0	23.1	2.5	12.6
		3RD DUI	34	91.2	97.1	0.0	82.4	0.0	2.9	0.0	23.5
		4TH+ DUI	6	66.7	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1168	97.6	98.2	74.7	18.9	0.0	68.5	0.8	3.3
SANTA BARBARA	JUV SANTA BARBA	1ST DUI	4	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
	JUV LOMPOC	1ST DUI	9	88.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.4	0.0
		TOTAL	9	88.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.4	0.0
	SANTA BARBARA	1ST DUI	1120	97.9	74.0	26.2	1.4	0.0	86.7	9.2	1.0
		2ND DUI	237	96.2	95.8	3.8	74.7	0.0	65.4	18.6	9.3
		3RD DUI	35	97.1	97.1	0.0	74.3	0.0	34.3	37.1	20.0
		4TH+ DUI	9	88.9	100.0	0.0	77.8	0.0	0.0	22.2	22.2
		TOTAL	1401	97.5	78.4	21.6	16.1	0.0	81.2	11.6	3.0
	SANTA MARIA	1ST DUI	521	98.3	61.0	28.2	1.5	0.0	63.1	30.9	1.0
		2ND DUI	166	94.6	97.6	3.6	60.2	0.0	18.7	70.5	6.6
		3RD DUI	53	84.9	98.1	0.0	52.8	1.9	0.0	81.1	5.7
		4TH+ DUI	19	26.3	100.0	0.0	21.1	0.0	0.0	31.6	0.0
		TOTAL	759	94.7	72.6	20.2	18.4	0.1	47.4	43.1	2.5
	LOMPOC	1ST DUI	213	98.1	36.2	29.6	1.9	0.0	83.6	9.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	71	95.8	95.8	5.6	80.3	0.0	22.5	70.4	1.4
		3RD DUI	10	80.0	90.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	70.0	30.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	75.0	75.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	0.0
		TOTAL	298	96.6	52.7	22.5	22.1	0.0	65.1	27.2	1.3
SANTA CLARA	SUP SANTA CLARA	1ST DUI	105	80.0	97.1	42.9	9.5	0.0	13.3	8.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	63	57.1	95.2	3.2	28.6	0.0	7.9	9.5	6.3
		3RD DUI	33	57.6	100.0	3.0	39.4	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	37	56.8	100.0	0.0	37.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.7
		TOTAL	238	67.2	97.5	20.2	23.1	0.0	8.4	6.3	2.1
	JUV SANTA CLARA	1ST DUI	33	87.9	3.0	6.1	0.0	0.0	24.2	57.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	4	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	75.0	0.0
		TOTAL	37	89.2	2.7	5.4	0.0	0.0	27.0	59.5	0.0
	PALO ALTO	1ST DUI	731	99.7	94.7	94.8	2.3	0.0	87.8	8.2	1.6
		2ND DUI	163	99.4	99.4	12.3	84.7	0.0	82.2	8.0	21.5
		3RD DUI	24	100.0	100.0	8.3	58.3	0.0	16.7	4.2	66.7
		TOTAL	918	99.7	95.6	77.9	18.4	0.0	85.0	8.1	6.9

TABLE B4: 2004 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SANTA CLARA (cont.)	SAN JOSE	1ST DUI	3090	99.7	98.1	91.0	4.3	0.0	88.0	5.6	1.9
		2ND DUI	791	99.4	99.2	13.8	79.6	0.0	83.8	3.8	18.0
		3RD DUI	133	100.0	97.0	2.3	50.4	0.0	10.5	6.8	51.9
		4TH+ DUI	11	100.0	100.0	36.4	36.4	0.0	18.2	0.0	54.5
		TOTAL	4025	99.7	98.3	72.7	20.7	0.0	84.4	5.2	6.9
	SAN JOSE TRAF	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	SUNNYVALE	1ST DUI	4	100.0	100.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	100.0	100.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	50.0	0.0
	GILROY	1ST DUI	471	98.9	99.6	76.2	4.7	0.0	65.0	18.0	2.5
		2ND DUI	119	98.3	100.0	10.9	70.6	0.0	64.7	13.4	24.4
		3RD DUI	41	92.7	100.0	2.4	14.6	0.0	9.8	2.4	68.3
		4TH+ DUI	7	85.7	100.0	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0	14.3	57.1
		TOTAL	638	98.3	99.7	58.5	17.9	0.0	60.7	16.1	11.4
	US MAG SAN JOSE	2ND DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
SANTA CRUZ	SUP SANTA CRUZ	1ST DUI	5	80.0	100.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	40.0	40.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	6	50.0	83.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	14	64.3	92.9	7.1	14.3	0.0	14.3	35.7	0.0
	JUV SANTA CRUZ	1ST DUI	24	95.8	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	37.5	33.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	26	96.2	0.0	34.6	0.0	0.0	34.6	34.6	0.0
	SANTA CRUZ	1ST DUI	778	99.7	99.1	85.5	1.0	0.0	82.3	13.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	204	99.5	99.0	9.8	65.7	0.0	72.1	24.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	55	90.9	100.0	0.0	14.5	0.0	5.5	76.4	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	11	81.8	100.0	0.0	18.2	0.0	0.0	63.6	0.0
		TOTAL	1048	99.0	99.1	65.4	14.5	0.0	75.4	19.8	0.0
	WATSONVILLE	1ST DUI	233	99.6	100.0	47.6	0.0	0.0	47.6	50.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	72	98.6	98.6	1.4	34.7	0.0	33.3	65.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	20	100.0	100.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	10.0	85.0	0.0
		TOTAL	325	99.4	99.7	34.5	8.3	0.0	42.2	56.0	0.0
SHASTA	JUV SHASTA	1ST DUI	9	55.6	55.6	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	88.9	0.0
		TOTAL	9	55.6	55.6	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	88.9	0.0
	BURNEY	1ST DUI	31	100.0	90.3	96.8	3.2	0.0	80.6	16.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	11	100.0	100.0	9.1	81.8	0.0	72.7	9.1	45.5
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	100.0
		TOTAL	44	100.0	93.2	70.5	22.7	0.0	75.0	15.9	15.9
	REDDING	1ST DUI	543	98.7	99.3	93.7	2.8	0.0	84.2	10.9	2.4
		2ND DUI	227	89.9	99.6	13.2	73.6	0.0	77.5	8.8	65.6
		3RD DUI	54	81.5	98.1	3.7	42.6	0.0	24.1	38.9	68.5
		4TH+ DUI	27	44.4	100.0	7.4	18.5	0.0	3.7	37.0	14.8
		TOTAL	851	93.5	99.3	63.8	24.7	0.0	76.0	12.9	23.9

TABLE B4: 2004 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SIERRA	DOWNIEVILLE	1ST DUI	19	100.0	89.5	94.7	5.3	0.0	94.7	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	6	100.0	100.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	26	100.0	92.3	76.9	23.1	0.0	84.6	0.0	0.0
SISKIYOU	SUP SISKIYOU	2ND DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV YREKA	1ST DUI	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	WEED	1ST DUI	90	98.9	95.6	77.8	3.3	0.0	66.7	13.3	2.2
		2ND DUI	31	100.0	100.0	6.5	71.0	0.0	29.0	16.1	19.4
		3RD DUI	7	85.7	85.7	0.0	71.4	0.0	0.0	14.3	71.4
		TOTAL	128	98.4	96.1	56.3	23.4	0.0	53.9	14.1	10.2
	YREKA JUD DIST	1ST DUI	131	94.7	99.2	80.2	3.8	0.0	61.8	10.7	3.1
		2ND DUI	38	86.8	97.4	21.1	52.6	0.0	21.1	7.9	28.9
		3RD DUI	5	60.0	80.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	179	89.4	98.3	63.1	15.1	0.0	49.7	9.5	9.5
SOLANO	SUP SOLANO	1ST DUI	34	85.3	100.0	67.6	11.8	0.0	52.9	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	11	72.7	100.0	9.1	45.5	0.0	45.5	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	8	50.0	100.0	0.0	37.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	53	77.4	100.0	45.3	22.6	0.0	43.4	0.0	0.0
	JUV SOLANO	1ST DUI	13	69.2	0.0	7.7	0.0	0.0	7.7	23.1	0.0
		TOTAL	13	69.2	0.0	7.7	0.0	0.0	7.7	23.1	0.0
	FAIRFIELD	1ST DUI	660	97.7	99.5	92.7	3.8	0.0	88.9	0.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	177	96.6	98.9	6.8	86.4	0.0	80.2	0.0	4.0
		3RD DUI	40	90.0	100.0	7.5	67.5	0.0	32.5	2.5	2.5
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	878	97.2	99.4	71.4	23.5	0.0	84.5	0.2	0.9
	BENICIA	1ST DUI	2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	VALLEJO	1ST DUI	367	97.8	96.7	92.4	3.3	0.0	90.7	0.0	0.3
		2ND DUI	112	97.3	99.1	12.5	83.0	0.0	80.4	0.0	8.0
		3RD DUI	29	93.1	100.0	0.0	93.1	0.0	65.5	0.0	10.3
		4TH+ DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	512	97.5	97.5	68.9	26.6	0.0	86.5	0.0	2.5
SONOMA	SUP SONOMA	1ST DUI	550	97.6	96.4	71.6	0.5	0.0	68.7	0.0	0.7
		2ND DUI	185	88.6	97.3	4.3	45.4	0.0	45.9	0.0	7.6
		3RD DUI	45	71.1	100.0	0.0	15.6	0.0	6.7	0.0	22.2
		4TH+ DUI	16	56.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	796	93.2	96.9	50.5	11.8	0.0	58.5	0.0	3.5
	JUV SONOMA	1ST DUI	36	72.2	30.6	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	80.6	0.0
		TOTAL	36	72.2	30.6	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	80.6	0.0
	SANTA ROSA	1ST DUI	1054	96.4	97.4	68.8	0.9	0.0	66.6	0.1	0.9
		2ND DUI	317	93.1	99.7	7.3	45.4	0.0	45.4	0.0	11.4
		3RD DUI	82	87.8	98.8	0.0	14.6	0.0	9.8	0.0	15.9
		4TH+ DUI	27	55.6	100.0	0.0	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.1
		TOTAL	1480	94.5	98.0	50.5	11.3	0.0	57.7	0.1	4.1

TABLE B4: 2004 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
STANISLAUS	SUP STANISLAUS	1ST DUI	1277	99.3	97.8	90.7	4.0	0.0	60.7	3.8	0.8
		2ND DUI	294	98.0	97.3	12.9	77.9	0.3	20.4	7.1	16.3
		3RD DUI	63	95.2	96.8	1.6	81.0	0.0	3.2	17.5	44.4
		4TH+ DUI	11	72.7	100.0	0.0	72.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.2
		TOTAL	1645	98.7	97.7	72.8	20.6	0.1	50.9	4.9	5.3
	JUV STANISLAUS	1ST DUI	32	93.8	15.6	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	34.4	0.0
		TOTAL	32	93.8	15.6	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	34.4	0.0
	MODESTO	1ST DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TURLOCK	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
SUTTER	SUP MUN YUBA	1ST DUI	11	72.7	100.0	27.3	0.0	0.0	27.3	0.0	18.2
		2ND DUI	4	25.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	25.0
		3RD DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	18	55.6	100.0	16.7	11.1	0.0	22.2	5.6	16.7
	YUBA CITY	1ST DUI	312	94.9	96.5	86.9	1.3	0.0	82.1	2.6	5.4
		2ND DUI	79	100.0	100.0	15.2	74.7	0.0	74.7	3.8	29.1
		3RD DUI	16	100.0	100.0	12.5	81.3	0.0	12.5	12.5	43.8
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
		TOTAL	410	96.1	97.3	69.5	18.8	0.0	77.3	3.2	12.2
TEHAMA	SUP TEHAMA	1ST DUI	14	57.1	100.0	42.9	0.0	0.0	21.4	57.1	21.4
		2ND DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
		3RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
		TOTAL	19	52.6	100.0	31.6	10.5	0.0	15.8	42.1	42.1
	JUV TEHAMA	1ST DUI	4	100.0	100.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	100.0	100.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	CORNING	1ST DUI	89	92.1	100.0	91.0	1.1	0.0	82.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	33	100.0	100.0	12.1	87.9	0.0	39.4	0.0	6.1
		3RD DUI	7	71.4	100.0	14.3	57.1	0.0	14.3	0.0	42.9
		TOTAL	129	93.0	100.0	66.7	26.4	0.0	67.4	0.0	3.9
	RED BLUFF	1ST DUI	152	94.7	97.4	67.1	2.0	0.0	82.9	10.5	1.3
		2ND DUI	47	91.5	97.9	14.9	66.0	0.0	83.0	10.6	6.4
		3RD DUI	17	58.8	94.1	0.0	52.9	0.0	23.5	29.4	11.8
		4TH+ DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	218	90.4	97.2	50.0	19.7	0.0	77.5	11.9	3.2
TRINITY	SUP TRINITY	1ST DUI	51	90.2	98.0	80.4	2.0	0.0	74.5	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	18	77.8	100.0	0.0	55.6	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	9	66.7	100.0	0.0	44.4	0.0	11.1	33.3	11.1
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	79	84.8	98.7	51.9	19.0	0.0	64.6	3.8	1.3
	WEAVERVILLE TR	1ST DUI	2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
TULARE	SUP TULARE	4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 2004 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	18-MONTH ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
TULARE (cont.)	JUV TULARE	1ST DUI	18	94.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.6	0.0
		TOTAL	18	94.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.6	0.0
	DINUBA	1ST DUI	241	94.6	95.0	82.6	4.6	0.0	85.1	0.0	0.4
		2ND DUI	51	94.1	82.4	13.7	58.8	0.0	70.6	0.0	5.9
		3RD DUI	5	100.0	80.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	40.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	299	94.6	92.6	69.2	14.0	0.0	81.3	0.0	2.0
	PORTERVILLE	1ST DUI	640	86.9	95.5	73.8	4.8	0.0	33.1	1.6	2.0
		2ND DUI	173	87.9	98.8	16.2	66.5	0.0	21.4	0.6	15.6
		3RD DUI	44	86.4	84.1	4.5	59.1	0.0	9.1	0.0	38.6
		4TH+ DUI	24	83.3	95.8	8.3	41.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0
		TOTAL	881	86.9	95.6	57.2	20.7	0.0	28.7	1.2	7.2
	TULARE	1ST DUI	349	97.7	83.1	88.8	4.0	0.0	41.8	4.9	2.3
		2ND DUI	90	94.4	94.4	15.6	67.8	0.0	20.0	27.8	17.8
		3RD DUI	34	91.2	97.1	2.9	64.7	0.0	5.9	50.0	32.4
		4TH+ DUI	19	89.5	100.0	0.0	73.7	0.0	0.0	26.3	15.8
		TOTAL	492	96.3	86.8	66.1	22.6	0.0	33.7	13.0	7.7
	VISALIA	1ST DUI	567	96.1	95.2	83.4	0.9	0.0	73.5	1.1	4.6
		2ND DUI	136	96.3	94.1	16.2	60.3	0.0	39.0	10.3	23.5
		3RD DUI	35	82.9	94.3	5.7	48.6	2.9	20.0	17.1	42.9
		4TH+ DUI	11	72.7	90.9	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.4
		TOTAL	749	95.2	94.9	66.4	14.0	0.1	63.7	3.5	10.3
TUOLUMNE	SUP SONORA	1ST DUI	297	94.6	97.3	89.9	1.3	0.0	85.9	3.7	0.3
		2ND DUI	112	92.9	98.2	14.3	70.5	0.9	77.7	4.5	3.6
		3RD DUI	30	93.3	96.7	3.3	26.7	3.3	16.7	0.0	10.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	441	93.9	97.5	64.6	20.6	0.5	78.9	3.6	1.8
VENTURA	SUP VENTURA	1ST DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	MUN VENTURA	1ST DUI	2963	99.2	97.5	75.9	1.4	0.0	73.1	23.5	14.0
		2ND DUI	628	97.0	98.7	9.2	63.1	0.0	69.7	25.2	71.7
		3RD DUI	124	96.0	94.4	0.8	63.7	0.0	29.8	38.7	87.9
		4TH+ DUI	25	56.0	92.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	8.0	56.0	52.0
		TOTAL	3740	98.4	97.5	61.7	14.1	0.0	70.7	24.5	26.4
YOLO	WOODLAND	1ST DUI	591	96.8	97.1	90.4	1.9	0.0	84.4	6.1	0.5
		2ND DUI	169	96.4	98.2	14.8	73.4	0.0	81.1	5.9	32.5
		3RD DUI	41	92.7	97.6	12.2	39.0	0.0	24.4	36.6	31.7
		4TH+ DUI	15	86.7	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	13.3	46.7	46.7
		TOTAL	816	96.3	97.4	69.1	19.2	0.0	79.4	8.3	9.6
YUBA	SUP YUBA	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	5	80.0	100.0	20.0	40.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	20.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	7	71.4	100.0	28.6	28.6	0.0	28.6	14.3	14.3
	JUV YUBA	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	MARYSVILLE	1ST DUI	240	99.2	71.7	87.9	2.9	0.0	85.4	0.0	1.2
		2ND DUI	67	95.5	92.5	16.4	67.2	0.0	37.3	0.0	3.0
		3RD DUI	16	75.0	81.3	6.3	12.5	0.0	6.3	0.0	12.5
		TOTAL	323	97.2	76.5	69.0	16.7	0.0	71.5	0.0	2.2

TABLE B5: DEMOGRAPHIC 2-YEAR PRIOR DRIVER RECORD VARIABLES BY YEAR AND SANCTION GROUP FOR ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS AND 3- AND 6-MONTH ALCOHOL PROGRAM DRIVERS

YEAR GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	PERCENT FEMALE	MEAN AGE	PERCENT COMMERCIAL DRIVERS	MONTHS IN STUDY	2-YEAR PRIOR INCIDENTS PER 100 DRIVERS				ZIP CODE ACCIDENT AND CONVICTION INDICES			
						TOTAL ACCIDENTS	ALCOHOL ACCIDENTS	MAJOR CONVICTIONS	MINOR CONVICTIONS	TOTAL ACCIDENTS	INJURY ACCIDENTS	MAJOR VIOLATIONS	MOVING VIOLATIONS
7/04-6/05													
No program	2,499 (35.9%)	22.1	33.3	4.2	16.3	3.0	0.9	.20	9.8	1.53	.39	.43	2.03
Alcohol education program	4,471 (64.2%)	26.0	33.1	2.8	16.0	2.7	0.9	.12	9.4	1.54	.38	.36	2.00
Statistical significance test		X^2 = 13.2*	F = 2.49	X^2 = 10.3*	F = 15.5*	F = 2.75	F = .01	F = 7.99*	F = 1.31	F = 2.6	F = 11.4*	F = 171.8*	F = 12.7*
2004													
3-month program	24,457 (74.5%)	20.6	33.3	2.5	19.7	3.0	1.4	.08	7.9	1.5	.37	.40	2.02
6-month program	8,370 (25.5%)	20.6	35.8	2.6	19.9	3.7	2.4	.07	5.5	1.5	.38	.41	2.00
Statistical significance test		X^2 = .00	F = 281.2*	X^2 = .32	F = 11.4*	F = 100.2*	F = 443.6*	F = 0.89	F = 279.2*	F = .32	F = 8.0*	F = 6.1*	F = 9.6*

*p < .05