STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES

1998

ANNUAL REPORT OF THE CALIFORNIA DUI MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM

ANNUAL REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN ACCORD WITH ASSEMBLY BILL 757 CHAPTER 450, 1989 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

JANUARY 1998

PETE WILSON
Governor

DEAN R. DUNPHY, Secretary
Business, Transportation and Housing Agency

SALLY R. REED
Director

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED
	January 1998	Final Report

4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE
1998 Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System

5. FUNDING NUMBERS

6. AUTHOR(S)
Helen N. Tashima and Clifford J Helander

7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)
California Department of Motor Vehicles
Research and Development Section
P.O. Box 932382
Sacramento, CA 94232-3820

8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
CAL-DMV-RSS-98-169

9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)

10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE

13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)

In this seventh annual legislatively mandated report, 1995 and 1996 DUI data from diverse sources were compiled and cross-referenced for the purpose of developing a single comprehensive DUI data and monitoring system. This report presents crosstabulated information on DUI arrests, convictions, court sanctions, administrative actions and alcohol-involved accidents. In addition, this report provides an evaluation of the effectiveness of alternative court and administrative sanctions (including alcohol treatment programs and license actions) upon the postconviction records of first and second DUI offenders. The postconviction driving records of DUI offenders arrested in 1989, 1993, and 1995 were evaluated for seven-, three-, and one-year periods, respectively.

14. SUBJECT TERMS
Drinking drivers, DUI tracking data system, DUI reporting system, DUI countermeasures, DUI recidivism, alcohol education and rehabilitation, driver license disqualification

15. NUMBER OF PAGES
144

16. PRICE CODE

17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified	None

DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 1986 - 1996

	YEAR										
	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996
Total DUI arrests[1]	347286	349576	327186	336059	366834	312571	260150	233673	208844	200754	203794
Felony DUI arrests	8034	8488	8604	10448	12948	11220	9803	8738	7567	6642	6364
Misdemeanor DUI arrests	339252	341088	318582	325611	353886	301351	250347	224935	201277	194112	197430
DUI convictions received to date (by year of arrest)[2]	239257	242571[r]	219780[r]	226109[r]	257962[r]	224141[r]	187962[r]	167956[r]	148724[r]	144393[r]	138172
Percent convicted of DUI as of September 1997	69%	69%	67%	67%	70%	72%[r]	72%[r]	72%[r]	71%[r]	72%[r]	68%
Estimated final DUI convictions[3]	239322[r]	242396[r]	219767[r]	226135[r]	259810[r]	225748[r]	188116[r]	168964[r]	151550[r]	148725[r]	147153
Estimated final DUI conviction rate	69%	69%	67%[r]	67%	70%[r]	72%[r]	72%[r]	72%[r]	72%[r]	73%[6]	72%
Total reckless driving convictions[4]	44063	41724	39926	40456	39617	39386	34186	27835	27374	24516	25701
Alcohol-involved reckless	26316	24922	24013	25646	26960	27093	23675	18645	18246	16329	17446
Total mandatory susp/rev[5]	104333	103630	101779	111703	233680	373131[r]	308399	277447	243645	231954	252569
Admin per se/refusal susp/rev	26327	25474	22757	21466	142525	272273[r]	228790	209006	184045	179492	194088
Postconviction susp/rev	78006	78156	79022	90237	91155	100858	79609	68441	59600	52462	58481
Alcohol-involved fatalities	2543	2754	2510	2509	2382	2048	1832	1569	1488	1343	1254
% of total fatalities	48.7	50.1	46.6	46.6	46.0	44.1	43.8	37.7	35.3	32.2	31.6
Alcohol-involved injuries	69876	68816	65033	63937	63847	55779	48969	42936	39437	36961	35654
% of total injuries	20.1	19.1	18.2	17.6	17.5	15.9	14.5	13.6	12.5	12.1	11.9

[1]These totals were reported by the Department of Justice, Law Enforcement Information Center, and include a small number of duplicates (0.8% in 1996).

[2]These data represent a DMV master file count of the number of DUI abstracts received (by year of violation), minus duplicates. These totals do not include conviction abstracts not yet received. Thus, for the most recent years, these figures will substantially underestimate the final conviction totals.

[3]These data include a projected number of abstracts not yet received. This number is based on an empirically derived function of the court abstract reporting rate.

[4]These totals were taken from the DMV annual Suspension and Revocation reports and include late reporting of convictions from prior years.

[5]Since 1991, total mandatory suspension/revocation exceeds the number of DUI arrests because many offenders now receive both an APS and postconviction suspension/revocation.

[6]This estimated final conviction rate has been adjusted for arrest data known to be missing.

[r]Revised from prior reports.

DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 1986 - 1996 (continued)

	YEAR										
	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996
TOTAL MANDATORY SUSPENSION/ REVOCATION (S/R) ACTIONS	104333	103630	101779	111703	233680	373131	308399	277447	243645	231954	252569
PRECONVICTION											
Admin per se (APS) actions	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	119610	272273	228790	209006	184045	179492	194088
Zero tolerance suspensions	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	9971	8700	9736
First-offender suspensions	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	82503	187527	157545	144321	120582	121218	132794
Repeat-offender suspensions	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	34792	74351	62656	57279	47429	44193	46292
Repeat-offender revocations	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2315	10395	8589	7406	6063	5381	5266
Commercial driver actions	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3739	7976	6449	5829	5038	4921	5661
Chemical test refusal actions	26327	25474	22757	21466	22915	21296[r]	17963[r]	15662	13264	12308	12641
Test refusal suspensions	16352	14877	13720	12390	15128	10901[r]	9374[r]	8256	7022	6754	7211
Test refusal revocations	9975	10597	9037	9076	7787	10395[r]	8589[r]	7406	6063	5381	5266
TOTAL APS/REFUSAL ACTIONS	26327	25474	22757	21466	142525	272273	228790	209006	184045	179492	194088
POSTCONVICTION											
Juvenile DUI suspensions	2219	2374	1823	1995	1478	1576	1202	922	879	677	995
First-offender suspensions	7384	7278	6626	7679	10408	13575	10673	10208	8696	7266	7229
Misdemeanor	5592	5558	5050	5658	8467	11547	8989	8607	7188	5806	5753
Felony	1792	1720	1576	2021	1941	2028	1684	1601	1508	1460	1476
Second-offender S/R actions	45234	43608	47698	53927	52334	57350	45478	38849	34300	31489	30404
Misdemeanor	44601	42964	47093	53238	51593	56583	44756	38285	33794	30955	29864
Felony	633	644	605	689	741	767	722	564	506	534	540
Third-offender revocations	16946	17118	13991	18514	18650	19963	15553	12908	11193	9471	8728
Misdemeanor	16946	17118	13671	18182	18219	19595	15233	12644	10974	9261	8550
Felony	n/a	n/a	320	332	431	368	320	264	219	210	178
Fourth-offender revocations	6223	7778	8884	8122	8285	8394	6703	5554	4532	3559	2901
TOTAL POSTCONVICTION ACTIONS	78006	78156	79022	90237	91155	100858	79609	68441	59600	52462	58481

[r]Revised from prior reports.

HIGHLIGHTS OF 1998 CALIFORNIA DUI-MIS REPORT

- Alcohol-involved traffic fatalities continued to decline in 1996 (-6.6%), and have dropped by over half since 1987 (-54.5% overall).

- DUI arrests increased by 1.4% in 1996, breaking a string of five consecutive years of decline during which DUI arrests had dropped by 45%.

- The number of persons injured in alcohol-involved accidents during 1996 declined (-3.5%) for the tenth consecutive year, representing a 49% reduction in alcohol-involved injuries over the past decade.

- 12.4% of all 1995 DUI arrests were associated with a reported traffic accident, down from 13.2% in 1994 and 13.1% in 1993. Almost half (48.2%) of these accidents involved an injury or fatality.

- The average blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of a convicted DUI offender, as reported by law enforcement on APS forms, was .165% in 1995, which is more than double the California illegal per se BAC limit of .08%.

- Among 1996 DUI arrestees, Hispanics (45.3%) again constituted the largest racial/ethnic group, and were arrested at a rate substantially higher than their percentage of California's adult population (29.9%).

- The average age of a DUI offender in 1996 was 33.4 years. Less than 1% of arrested DUI offenders are juveniles (under age 18).

- Among convicted DUI offenders in 1995, 69.9% were first offenders and 30.1% were repeat offenders (one or more prior convictions within the previous 7 years). The proportion of repeat offenders has decreased slightly each year since 1989, when it stood at 37%.

- 16.3% of 1995 DUI arrest cases did not show any corresponding conviction on DMV records. This rate has decreased slightly in each of the past three years and has dropped 19.2% since 1992. This reduction could reflect system improvements initiated by the department to improve the reporting of DUI convictions.

- Alcohol treatment, in conjunction with license restriction, continued to be the most effective postconviction sanction in reducing subsequent DUI incidents among DUI offenders (1989-1995).

- License suspension was the most effective postconviction sanction in reducing subsequent accidents for first offenders, producing reductions of up to 28.5% fewer accidents than the next most effective sanction (program plus other sanctions). With the imposition of preconviction APS suspensions, beginning in July 1990, the postconviction total accident rates of all sanction groups were reduced. Because all DUI offenders were now suspended under the APS law, the incremental impact of postconviction suspension actions became less distinct.

- Jail, in the absence of treatment or postconviction suspension, was the least effective sanction for first offenders in terms of DUI recidivism; offenders sentenced to jail had up to 23% more DUI incidents than the second least effective sanction.

- Statewide, about 14% of repeat DUI offenders have been required to install an ignition interlock device, in combination with attending an SB 38 alcohol treatment program, since mandatory interlock for repeat offenders was enacted in July 1993. Although the one-year follow-up analyses of interlock plus treatment have looked promising, the three-year follow-up of 1993 offenders assigned to interlock shows a significantly higher recidivism rate (+10.8%) for the interlock group.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge with appreciation the many individuals who have contributed to the success of this project. In particular, we wish to acknowledge the cooperation of the Department of Justice, Statistical Analysis Center, in providing annual DUI arrest data and documentation. The contributions of Debbie McKenzie, Associate Government Program Analyst, in transforming mainframe computer files into readable data tables and figures, as well as her general assistance in the production of this report, are acknowledged with appreciation. We also would like to acknowledge the contributions of Patrice Rogers, Research Program Specialist I, Pat Timmons, Staff Programmer Analyst, and the administrative direction and report review efforts of Raymond C. Peck, Chief, Research and Development Branch.

Report Authors:
Helen N. Tashima, Research Program Specialist I, Principal Investigator
Clifford J. Helander, Research Manager I, Project Manager

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 1986-1996 i
HIGHLIGHTS OF 1998 DUI-MIS REPORT iii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS v
INTRODUCTION 1
SECTION 1: DUI ARRESTS 4
SECTION 2: CONVICTIONS 10
SECTION 3: POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS 22
SECTION 4: POSTCONVICTION SANCTION EFFECTIVENESS 29
EVALUATION METHOD AND RESULTS 34
Subject Selection and Data Collection 34
Evaluation Design and Analytical Procedures 37
Results of the First Offender Sanction Evaluation 37
Total Accidents 37
DUI Incidents 38
Results of the Second Offender Sanction Evaluation 39
Total Accidents 39
DUI Incidents 40
One-Year Recidivism Rates for First and Second Offenders from 1989-1995 43
SECTION 5: ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS 44
SECTION 6: ACCIDENTS INVOLVING ALCOHOL 48
DATA SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS 59
REFERENCES 60
GLOSSARY 61

APPENDICES

NUMBER

A ASSEMBLY BILL NO. 757 - FRIEDMAN CHAPTER 450 63

B1 1996 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY 64

B2 1995 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX AND AGE 79

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

APPENDICES (continued)

NUMBER		PAGE
B3	TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1995 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT	89
B4	1995 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS	100
B5	DEMOGRAPHIC 2-YEAR PRIOR DRIVER RECORD VARIABLES BY YEAR AND SANCTION GROUP FOR FIRST DUI OFFENDERS	138
B6	DEMOGRAPHIC 2-YEAR PRIOR DRIVER RECORD VARIABLES BY YEAR AND SANCTION GROUP FOR SECOND DUI OFFENDERS	139
B7	ZIP CODE CENSUS VARIABLES (COVARIATES) BY YEAR AND SANCTION GROUP FOR FIRST AND SECOND DUI OFFENDERS	140

LIST OF TABLES

1	DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND ANNUAL PERCENTAGE CHANGE FROM 1994-1996	7
2	1996 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST	8
3a	1996 DUI ARRESTS BY AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY	9
3b	1996 DUI ARRESTS BY SEX, AGE AND RACE/ETHNICITY	9
4	1995 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE AND SEX	15
5	MATCHABLE 1995 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE, RACE/ETHNICITY AND SEX	16
6	ADJUSTED 1995 DUI CONVICTION RATES AND RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD OF CONVICTION BY AGE AND RACE/ETHNICITY	16

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

NUMBER		PAGE
7	TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1995 DUI ARRESTEES	17
8	ADJUDICATION STATUS OF 1995 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY	19
9a	1995 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF DUI CONVICTIONS	20
9b	1995 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF THE PRELIMINARY ALCOHOL SCREENING TEST (PAS)	21
10	1995 DUI CONVICTIONS BY OFFENDER STATUS AND AVERAGE REPORTED BAC LEVEL	21
11	1995 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS	25
12	1995 DUI SANCTION COMBINATIONS BY COUNTY - FIRST OFFENDERS	26
13	1995 DUI SANCTION COMBINATIONS BY COUNTY - REPEAT OFFENDERS	27
14	FIRST OFFENDER SANCTION EFFECTS ON TOTAL ACCIDENTS AND DUI INCIDENTS BY YEAR	39
15	SECOND OFFENDER SANCTION EFFECTS ON TOTAL ACCIDENTS AND DUI INCIDENTS BY YEAR	41
16	SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT RESULTS FOR 1989, 1993, AND 1995 FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDER SANCTION GROUPS BY OUTCOME MEASURES	42
17	MANDATORY DUI LICENSE DISQUALIFICATION ACTIONS, 1986-1996	46
18	ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE PROCESS MEASURES	47
19	FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 1995 DUI ARRESTEES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND SOBRIETY CODE	52

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

NUMBER		PAGE
20	FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 1995 DUI ARRESTEES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND TYPE OF ARREST	52
21	FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 1995 DUI ARRESTEES BY ADJUDICATION STATUS AND SOBRIETY CODE	53
22	FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 1995 DUI ARRESTEES BY TYPE OF ARREST AND ADJUDICATION STATUS	53
23	1995 ACCIDENT-INVOLVED DUI ARRESTEES WITH NO RECORD OF CONVICTION, BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST	54
24a	1995 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS BY AGE AND SEX	55
24b	1995 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS BY AGE AND SEX (NOT ARRESTED)	55
25a	SOBRIETY LEVEL BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS OF 1995 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS	56
25b	SOBRIETY LEVEL BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS OF 1995 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS (NOT ARRESTED)	56
26a	1995 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS	57
26b	1995 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NOT ARRESTED)	57
27	1-, 3- AND 7-YEAR TOTAL, FATAL/INJURY AND ALCOHOL-RELATED ACCIDENT MEANS BY OFFENDER STATUS	58

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF FIGURES

NUMBER		PAGE
1	DUI management information system	2
2	DUI arrests 1986-1996	4
3	Percentage of 1996 DUI arrests and 1996 projected population (age 15 and over) by race/ethnicity	6
4	DUI abstracts received by DMV and DUI conviction volume and rate estimates, 1986-1996	12
5	Relative likelihood of conviction by race/ethnicity	15
6	Frequency of court-ordered DUI sanctions (1995)	23
7	Adjusted 7-year accident rates of 1989 first offenders by type of sanction	30
8	Adjusted 3-year accident rates of 1993 first offenders by type of sanction	30
9	Adjusted 1-year accident rates of 1995 first offenders by type of sanction	30
10	Adjusted 7-year DUI incident rates of 1989 first offenders by type of sanction	31
11	Adjusted 3-year DUI incident rates of 1993 first offenders by type of sanction	31
12	Adjusted 1-Year DUI incident rates of 1995 first offenders by type of sanction	31
13	Adjusted 7-year accident and DUI incident rates of 1989 second offenders by type of sanction	33
14	Adjusted 3-year accident and DUI incident rates of 1993 second offenders by type of sanction	33
15	Adjusted 1-year accident and DUI incident rates of 1995 second offenders by type of sanction	33

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

NUMBER		PAGE
16	Proportion of DUI offenders arrested in 1989-1995 reoffending (DUI incidents) one year after conviction	43
17	Mandatory DUI license disqualification actions, 1986-1996	45
18	Percentage of total injuries and total fatalities that were alcohol-involved, 1986-1996	49

INTRODUCTION

This report is the seventh Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System, produced in response to Assembly Bill 757 (Friedman), Chapter 450, 1989 legislative session (see Appendix A). This bill required the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) to "establish and maintain a data and monitoring system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted" of DUI in order to provide "accurate and up-to-date comprehensive statistics" to enhance "the ability of the Legislature to make informed and timely policy decisions." The need for such a data system had long been documented by numerous authorities, including the 1983 Presidential Commission on Drunk Driving. In responding to this legislative mandate, this report combines and cross-references DUI data from diverse sources and presents them in a single reference. Data sources drawn upon include the California Highway Patrol (CHP) for accident data, Department of Justice (DOJ) for arrest data, and the DMV driver record database. Each of these reporting agencies, however, initially draw their data from diffuse primary sources such as individual law enforcement agencies (arrest and accident reports) and the courts (abstracts of conviction).

The general conceptual design of the California DUI management information system (DUI-MIS) is presented in Figure 1. The basic theme of the DUI-MIS is to track the processing of offenders through the DUI system from the point of arrest and to identify the frequency with which offenders flow through each branch of the system process (from law enforcement through adjudication to treatment and license control actions). Figure 1 also illustrates the relationship between offender flow and data collection at each point of the process. The initiating data source for the DUI-MIS is the DUI arrest report, as compiled by the DOJ Law Enforcement Information Center's Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system.

Another major objective of this report is to evaluate the effectiveness of court and administrative sanctions on convicted DUI offenders. This is accomplished by examining the postconviction recidivism records (alcohol/drug-related accidents and traffic convictions) of offenders assigned to alternative sanctions, as detailed in the section on "Postconviction Sanction Effectiveness."



Figure 1. DUI management information system.

It should again be noted that it is not an objective of this report to interpret, analyze or make recommendations based on the data presented. Rather, the primary purpose of a reporting system such as the DUI-MIS is to provide objective data on the operating and performance characteristics of the system for others to assess in making policy decisions, formulating improvements and conducting more in-depth evaluations.

The DUI-MIS data system and report has led to numerous improvements in the California DUI system, from the identification of inappropriate dismissals in a small central valley court to major initiatives to improve the tracking and reporting of DUI cases. The success of the California DUI-MIS has also contributed to a national initiative to design a model DUI reporting system, developed under contract to the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA).

SECTION 1: DUI ARRESTS

The information presented below on DUI arrests is based primarily on data collected annually by the Department of Justice (DOJ), Law Enforcement Information Center, Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system. These data are the most current nonaggregated data available on DUI arrests.

Table 1: DUI Arrests By County and Annual Percentage Change from 1994-1996. The number of DUI arrests by county for the years 1994-1996 and the percentage changes from 1995 to 1996 are shown in Table 1.

Table 2: 1996 DUI Arrests by County and Type of Arrest. This table shows a breakdown of 1996 DUI arrests by felony, misdemeanor, and juvenile arrest type, by county. This table also shows county and statewide DUI arrest rates per 100 licensed drivers.

Tables 3a-3b: 1996 DUI Arrests by Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity. Table 3a crosstabulates age by sex and age by race/ethnicity of 1996 DUI arrestees statewide. The same tabulations by county are found in Appendix Table B1. Table 3b shows the same data crosstabulated by sex and age within race/ethnicity.

Figure 2 below displays the trend in DUI arrests from 1986 to 1996.



Figure 2 . DUI arrests 1986-1996.

Based on the data shown in Figure 2 and Tables 1, 2, 3a, and 3b, the following statements can be made about DUI arrests in California:

Statewide Parameters:

- DUI arrests increased by 1.4% in 1996, breaking a string of five straight years of decline during which DUI arrests had dropped by 45%.

- The 1996 per capita DUI arrest rate, however, was the same as in 1994 and 1995, 1.0 arrests per 100 licensed drivers. This compares to rates of 1.1 in 1993, 1.3 in 1992, 1.5 in 1991, and 1.8 in 1990.

- Felony DUI arrests (involving bodily injury or death) constitute a relatively small proportion (3.1% in 1996) of all DUI arrests.

County Variation:

- 24% of all 1996 California DUI arrests occurred in Los Angeles County. Four counties (Los Angeles, San Diego, Orange, and San Bernardino) had over 10,000 DUI arrests each, and accounting for almost half (45%) of all arrests.

- The 1996 county per capita DUI arrest rates ranged from 0.3 to 5.9 DUI arrests per 100 licensed drivers. Fourteen counties had rates below 1.0. These low per capita arrest rate counties were San Francisco (0.3), Mariposa (0.6), Alameda and Contra Costa (0.7), Orange, San Mateo, Solano and Ventura (0.8), and Amador, Los Angeles, Marin, Nevada, San Diego, and Santa Clara (0.9). Seven counties had rates of 2.0 or higher, with only one (Alpine at 5.9) having a rate in excess of 2.8 DUI arrests per 100 licensed drivers. The high rate for Alpine must be viewed with caution because of the extremely small population size of Alpine County (N = 800 licensed drivers in 1995).

- In spite of the small increase in DUI arrests statewide, many counties again showed a decline in DUI arrests in 1996. Among the larger counties, the greatest declines occurred in San Mateo (-11.1%) and Ventura (-9.9%). Among other counties, the largest decreases in DUI arrests occurred in Alpine (-26.4%) and Trinity (-20.3%). Among counties showing increases in DUI arrests were Mono (34.9%), Plumas (33.7%), Kings (25.6%), Kern (25.5%), and San Benito (24.3%).

Demographic Characteristics:

- The average age of a DUI arrestee in 1996 was 33.4 years. Roughly half (46.2%) of all arrestees were age 30 or younger and over three-quarters (76.7%) were age 40 or younger. Less than 1% of all DUI arrests involved juveniles (under age 18). 2.3% of all arrestees were over age 60.

- Males comprised 87.6% of all 1996 DUI arrests.

- Among 1996 DUI arrestees, Hispanics (45.3%) continued to be the largest racial/ethnic group, being arrested at a rate substantially higher than their 1996 population parity of 29.9% (Department of Finance, Demographic Research and Census Data Center). All other racial/ethnic groups were underrepresented among DUI arrestees, relative to their estimated 1996 population parity: Blacks (6.5% of arrests, 6.8% of the population), Whites (42.5% of arrests, 52.5% of the population), and Other (5.6% of arrests, an estimated 10.8% of the population). Figure 3 below shows the percentages of 1996 DUI arrests and 1996 estimated census adult population by race/ethnicity.

- Among male 1996 DUI arrestees, 49.0% were Hispanic, 39.0% were White, 6.4% were Black, and 5.7% were "Other." Among female DUI arrestees, 67.8% were White, 19.4% were Hispanic, 7.2% were Black, and 5.6% were "Other." The overrepresentation of Hispanics among DUI offenders is clearly limited to males.

- In the following 9 counties, Hispanics comprised over 60% of those arrested for DUI during 1996: Tulare (74.2%), Imperial (72.0%), Fresno (71.3%), San Benito (67.8%), Madera (67.3%), Merced (65.3%), Kings (64.0%), Monterey (62.3%), and Los Angeles (60.6%). In most other counties, the majority of arrestees were White.

- The average age of a DUI arrestee varied considerably by race: Blacks were the oldest with a mean age of 35.8 years, while Hispanics were the youngest, with a mean age of 31.1 years.



Figure 3 . Percentage of 1996 DUI arrests and 1996 projected population (age 15 and over) by race/ethnicity.

TABLE 1: DUI ARRESTS* BY COUNTY AND ANNUAL PERCENTAGE CHANGE FROM 1994-1996

COUNTY	1994	1995	1996	% CHANGE 1995-1996
STATEWIDE	206583	198982	201765	1.4
ALAMEDA	7208	5596	6148	9.9
ALPINE	59	72	53	-26.4
AMADOR	183	197	206	4.6
BUTTE	1297	1293	1346	4.1
CALAVERAS	287	309	320	3.6
COLUSA	302	323	329	1.9
CONTRA COSTA	4124	3990	4255	6.6
DEL NORTE	303	295	288	-2.4
EL DORADO	983	1256	1136	-9.6
FRESNO	6860	6593	6441	-2.3
GLENN	310	339	377	11.2
HUMBOLDT	1234	1333	1238	-7.1
IMPERIAL	1645	1899	1639	-13.7
INYO	346	306	281	-8.2
KERN	5095	4188	5258	25.5
KINGS	1143	1038	1304	25.6
LAKE	662	656	635	-3.2
LASSEN	252	241	236	-2.1
LOS ANGELES	51607	49570	49328	-0.5
MADERA	1159	1025	1056	3.0
MARIN	1664	1542	1623	5.3
MARIPOSA	112	92	78	-15.2
MENDOCINO	960	880	1017	15.6
MERCED	2138	2174	2173	0.0
MODOC	137	81	77	-4.9
MONO	157	129	174	34.9
MONTEREY	4423	3931	3791	-3.6
NAPA	1085	1011	1066	5.4
NEVADA	481	648	602	-7.1
ORANGE	15659	15925	15153	-4.8
PLACER	1629	1636	1716	4.9
PLUMAS	189	178	238	33.7
RIVERSIDE	8182	9190	9403	2.3
SACRAMENTO	7904	7225	7617	5.4
SAN BENITO	254	317	394	24.3
SAN BERNARDINO	10699	10474	11389	8.7
SAN DIEGO	15815	15530	15526	0.0
SAN FRANCISCO	1690	1436	1489	3.7
SAN JOAQUIN	3936	4122	4119	-0.1
SAN LUIS OBISPO	2351	2264	2255	-0.4
SAN MATEO	4622	4254	3782	-11.1
SANTA BARBARA	3837	3402	3125	-8.1
SANTA CLARA	9164	8685	9771	12.5
SANTA CRUZ	2645	2444	2603	6.5
SHASTA	1036	1044	1119	7.2
SIERRA	38	37	43	16.2
SISKIYOU	356	349	381	9.2
SOLANO	1809	1905	1795	-5.8
SONOMA	3245	2913	3074	5.5
STANISLAUS	2822	2839	2757	-2.9
SUTTER	898	913	935	2.4
TEHAMA	477	415	467	12.5
TRINITY	255	222	177	-20.3
TULARE	3820	3552	3723	4.8
TUOLUMNE	320	384	378	-1.6
VENTURA	4971	4285	3861	-9.9
YOLO	1202	1441	1488	3.3
YUBA	542	594	512	-13.8

*DOJ DUI arrest totals with duplicates and boat DUI removed.

TABLE 2: 1996 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST

COUNTY	TOTAL (100%)	TYPE OF ARREST						DUI ARRESTS PER 100
		FELONY		JUVENILE		MISDEMEANOR		
	N	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	LICENSED DRIVERS
STATEWIDE	201765	6205	3.1	1814	0.9	193746	96.0	1.0
ALAMEDA	6148	109	1.8	54	0.9	5985	97.3	0.7
ALPINE	53	1	1.9	0	0.0	52	98.1	5.9
AMADOR	206	17	8.3	1	0.5	188	91.3	0.9
BUTTE	1346	35	2.6	29	2.2	1282	95.2	1.0
CALAVERAS	320	13	4.1	5	1.6	302	94.4	1.1
COLUSA	329	9	2.7	2	0.6	318	96.7	2.8
CONTRA COSTA	4255	123	2.9	68	1.6	4064	95.5	0.7
DEL NORTE	288	10	3.5	10	3.5	268	93.1	1.7
EL DORADO	1136	64	5.6	25	2.2	1047	92.2	1.0
FRESNO	6441	196	3.0	79	1.2	6166	95.7	1.5
GLENN	377	15	4.0	5	1.3	357	94.7	2.2
HUMBOLDT	1238	56	4.5	18	1.5	1164	94.0	1.4
IMPERIAL	1639	37	2.3	11	0.7	1591	97.1	2.1
INYO	281	14	5.0	6	2.1	261	92.9	1.9
KERN	5258	176	3.3	75	1.4	5007	95.2	1.5
KINGS	1304	35	2.7	18	1.4	1251	95.9	2.3
LAKE	635	21	3.3	6	0.9	608	95.7	1.6
LASSEN	236	9	3.8	6	2.5	221	93.6	1.3
LOS ANGELES	49328	1633	3.3	233	0.5	47462	96.2	0.9
MADERA	1056	36	3.4	12	1.1	1008	95.5	1.7
MARIN	1623	28	1.7	13	0.8	1582	97.5	0.9
MARIPOSA	78	6	7.7	0	0.0	72	92.3	0.6
MENDOCINO	1017	25	2.5	20	2.0	972	95.6	1.7
MERCED	2173	83	3.8	19	0.9	2071	95.3	2.0
MODOC	77	4	5.2	1	1.3	72	93.5	1.2
MONO	174	3	1.7	1	0.6	170	97.7	2.2
MONTEREY	3791	110	2.9	62	1.6	3619	95.5	1.7
NAPA	1066	25	2.3	19	1.8	1022	95.9	1.3
NEVADA	602	25	4.2	6	1.0	571	94.9	0.9
ORANGE	15153	266	1.8	72	0.5	14815	97.8	0.8
PLACER	1716	65	3.8	14	0.8	1637	95.4	1.1
PLUMAS	238	6	2.5	4	1.7	228	95.8	1.4
RIVERSIDE	9403	283	3.0	70	0.7	9050	96.2	1.1
SACRAMENTO	7617	359	4.7	56	0.7	7202	94.6	1.0
SAN BENITO	394	14	3.6	8	2.0	372	94.4	1.5
SAN BERNARDINO	11389	305	2.7	70	0.6	11014	96.7	1.2
SAN DIEGO	15526	346	2.2	139	0.9	15041	96.9	0.9
SAN FRANCISCO	1489	125	8.4	8	0.5	1356	91.1	0.3
SAN JOAQUIN	4119	114	2.8	52	1.3	3953	96.0	1.4
SAN LUIS OBISPO	2255	66	2.9	42	1.9	2147	95.2	1.4
SAN MATEO	3782	102	2.7	38	1.0	3642	96.3	0.8
SANTA BARBARA	3125	107	3.4	36	1.2	2982	95.4	1.2
SANTA CLARA	9771	414	4.2	95	1.0	9262	94.8	0.9
SANTA CRUZ	2603	39	1.5	25	1.0	2539	97.5	1.6
SHASTA	1119	53	4.7	14	1.3	1052	94.0	1.0
SIERRA	43	3	7.0	0	0.0	40	93.0	1.7
SISKIYOU	381	14	3.7	7	1.8	360	94.5	1.1
SOLANO	1795	42	2.3	30	1.7	1723	96.0	0.8
SONOMA	3074	91	3.0	59	1.9	2924	95.1	1.0
STANISLAUS	2757	121	4.4	38	1.4	2598	94.2	1.1
SUTTER	935	41	4.4	8	0.9	886	94.8	1.9
TEHAMA	467	16	3.4	9	1.9	442	94.6	1.3
TRINITY	177	12	6.8	1	0.6	164	92.7	1.8
TULARE	3723	107	2.9	46	1.2	3570	95.9	1.9
TUOLUMNE	378	9	2.4	5	1.3	364	96.3	1.0
VENTURA	3861	104	2.7	41	1.1	3716	96.2	0.8
YOLO	1488	40	2.7	19	1.3	1429	96.0	1.5
YUBA	512	23	4.5	4	0.8	485	94.7	1.4

TABLE 3a: 1996 DUI ARRESTS BY AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY*

AGE	TOTAL		SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
	N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE	201765	100.0	176675	87.6	25090	12.4	85840	42.5	91483	45.3	13048	6.5	11394	5.6
UNDER 18	1814	0.9	1520	83.8	294	16.2	1019	56.2	667	36.8	57	3.1	71	3.9
18-20	13357	6.6	12017	90.0	1340	10.0	5312	39.8	6847	51.3	542	4.1	656	4.9
21-30	78090	38.7	69961	89.6	8129	10.4	26328	33.7	43502	55.7	4020	5.1	4240	5.4
31-40	61532	30.5	52683	85.6	8849	14.4	26890	43.7	26423	42.9	4629	7.5	3590	5.8
41-50	31199	15.5	26556	85.1	4643	14.9	16635	53.3	10219	32.8	2406	7.7	1939	6.2
51-60	11134	5.5	9766	87.7	1368	12.3	6575	59.1	2887	25.9	972	8.7	700	6.3
61-70	3588	1.8	3235	90.2	353	9.8	2314	64.5	760	21.2	358	10.0	156	4.3
71 & ABOVE	1051	0.5	937	89.2	114	10.8	767	73.0	178	16.9	64	6.1	42	4.0
MEAN AGE (YEARS)	33.4		33.3		34.4		35.5		31.1		35.8		34.0	

*Tabulations for DUI arrests by age, sex, race/ethnicity and county are found in Appendix Table B1.

TABLE 3b: 1996 DUI ARRESTS BY SEX, AGE AND RACE/ETHNICITY

SEX	AGE	TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
				WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE		201765	100.0	85840	42.5	91483	45.3	13048	6.5	11394	5.6
MALE	UNDER 18	1520	0.9	782	51.4	626	41.2	50	3.3	62	4.1
	18-20	12017	6.8	4461	37.1	6523	54.3	476	4.0	557	4.6
	21-30	69961	39.6	21174	30.3	41616	59.5	3495	5.0	3676	5.3
	31-40	52683	29.8	20942	39.8	24730	46.9	3873	7.4	3138	6.0
	41-50	26556	15.0	13261	49.9	9486	35.7	2077	7.8	1732	6.5
	51-60	9766	5.5	5522	56.5	2728	27.9	878	9.0	638	6.5
	61-70	3235	1.8	2027	62.7	725	22.4	340	10.5	143	4.4
	71 & ABOVE	937	0.5	666	71.1	172	18.4	61	6.5	38	4.1
	TOTAL	176675	100.0	68835	39.0	86606	49.0	11250	6.4	9984	5.7
FEMALE	UNDER 18	294	1.2	237	80.6	41	13.9	7	2.4	9	3.1
	18-20	1340	5.3	851	63.5	324	24.2	66	4.9	99	7.4
	21-30	8129	32.4	5154	63.4	1886	23.2	525	6.5	564	6.9
	31-40	8849	35.3	5948	67.2	1693	19.1	756	8.5	452	5.1
	41-50	4643	18.5	3374	72.7	733	15.8	329	7.1	207	4.5
	51-60	1368	5.5	1053	77.0	159	11.6	94	6.9	62	4.5
	61-70	353	1.4	287	81.3	35	9.9	18	5.1	13	3.7
	71 & ABOVE	114	0.5	101	88.6	6	5.3	3	2.6	4	3.5
	TOTAL	25090	100.0	17005	67.8	4877	19.4	1798	7.2	1410	5.6

SECTION 2: CONVICTIONS

Data on convictions resulting from court adjudication of DUI arrests are reported directly to the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) on court abstracts of conviction. The following tables compile and crosstabulate these conviction data by demographic, geographic, and adjudicative categories.

Table 4: 1995 DUI Convictions by Age and Sex. This table crosstabulates statewide DUI conviction information by age and sex. Corresponding county-specific conviction data are presented in Appendix Table B2.

Table 5: Matchable 1995 DUI Convictions by Age, Race/Ethnicity, and Sex. This table displays DUI conviction information by age, race/ethnicity, and sex. "Matchable" DUI convictions are those which are traceable to a DUI arrest appearing on the MACR system. Because not all arrests could be matched to an existing record, these conviction totals underestimate the total number of actual convictions.

Table 6: Adjusted 1995 DUI Conviction Rates and Relative Likelihood of Conviction, by Age and Race/Ethnicity. This table shows the relative probability of a DUI arrest leading to a DUI conviction, by age and race/ethnicity. DUI conviction totals from categories in Table 5 ("matchable DUI convictions") were increased by the proportion which matchable convictions constituted of "total DUI convictions," shown in Table 7, to arrive at the adjusted DUI conviction rates. Without this adjustment DUI conviction rates would be underestimated using the conviction data from Table 5 because not all reported convictions are "matchable" to an arrest.

Table 7: Total Conviction Data for 1995 DUI Arrestees. This table portrays county and statewide DUI-related conviction data as reported to the DMV on court abstracts of conviction. Corresponding court-specific data are shown in Appendix Table B3. Convictions not reported to DMV are considered nonconvictions for the purposes of this report. Actual nonconvictions include cases where the DUI arrest was not filed, not prosecuted, or resulted in a not guilty verdict. The DUI conviction rates by county were calculated by comparing the county conviction totals with DOJ arrest totals. Because not all 1995 DUI arrests have yet been adjudicated, these conviction totals and rates will slightly underestimate the "final" figures. The DUI conviction rates shown in the "DUI Summary Statistics: 1986-1996" table at the very beginning of this report include an estimate of these late convictions, and thus are slightly higher than those shown in Tables 7 and 8. Conviction variables include felony and misdemeanor DUI

convictions, alcohol- and nonalcohol-related reckless driving convictions, convictions of "other" lesser offenses, and DUI convictions dismissed or found unconstitutional. DUI arrest dates from the DOJ MACR system were matched to driver record violation dates to identify nonalcohol-related reckless driving and "other" convictions. The average (mean) adjudication time lags from DUI arrest to conviction, and from conviction to update on the DMV database, were calculated for each county.

Table 8: Adjudication Status of 1995 DUI Arrests by County. This table shows the adjudication status (court disposition) of 1995 DUI arrests, by county. Included are the percentages of arrests which have resulted in DUI convictions (misdemeanor or felony), reckless driving convictions (alcohol-related or nonalcohol-related), convictions of "other" offenses, or no reported conviction, as of the date of writing. Again, because not all 1995 DUI arrests have yet been adjudicated, these rates will slightly underestimate the "final" rate for each category, excepting the category "no record of any conviction," which will be slightly reduced (approximately 1-2%) by the eventual adjudication of these few late cases.

Table 9a: 1995 Reported* Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of DUI Convictions and Table 9b: 1995 Reported* Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of the Preliminary Alcohol Screening Test(PAS). Table 9a shows the frequency of reported positive BAC levels for DUI and alcohol-reckless convictions. Because of more complete reporting of BAC levels on APS reporting forms (68%), those reports are used to calculate statewide BAC levels. Abstracts of conviction, which were used in prior evaluations, report BAC levels in only 48% of cases. Table 9b shows the BAC distribution of arrested minors given the Preliminary Alcohol Screening (PAS) Test.

Table 10: 1995 DUI Convictions by Offender Status and Average Reported BAC Level. This table displays the proportions of convicted DUI offenders by offender status (number of prior convictions in seven years), and the average (mean) BAC level from APS reporting forms and abstracts of conviction, for each offense level.

Figure 4 (below) shows, for the years 1986 to 1996, the number of DUI abstracts received to date by DMV from the courts, the estimated final number of DUI convictions which will ultimately be received, and the estimated final DUI conviction rate.



	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996
Estimated final conviction rate	69%	69%	67%	67%	70%	72%	72%	72%	72%	73%	72%

Note. Estimated DUI convictions = see footnote 3 to "DUI Summary Statistics: 1986-1996."

Figure 4. DUI abstracts received by DMV and DUI conviction volume and rate estimates, 1986-1996.

Based on these data, the following statements can be made:

Statewide Adjudication Parameters:

- The estimated DUI conviction rate for 1996 is the same as for 5 of the last 6 years (72%), although it is a slight drop from last year (73%).

- 9.1% of 1995 DUI arrests resulted in reckless driving convictions, and 16.9% of these were not correctly identified as alcohol-related. Both of these rates are roughly similar to corresponding rates for the previous two years.

- 2.3% of 1995 DUI arrests have resulted in convictions of offenses other than DUI or reckless driving, down very slightly from the rate of the previous two years (2.4%).

- 16.3% of 1995 DUI arrests have not yet resulted in any conviction on DMV's records, compared to 18.0% in 1994, 18.8% in 1993 and 19.2% in 1992. As additional cases are adjudicated and reported by the courts, this figure will decrease slightly.

- The average reported BAC level for all convicted DUI offenders in 1995, using APS reporting forms as the data source, was 0.165%, which is slightly lower than the 1994 level

of 0.168%, yet still more than double the illegal per se BAC limit of 0.08%. The average BAC level of these offenders, using court abstracts of conviction as the data source, was almost identical (0.164%).

- Average BAC levels increase as a function of the number of prior DUI convictions, from a 0.161% BAC for a first offense to a 0.180% BAC for a fourth or subsequent offense.

- Among 1995 convicted DUI offenders, 69.9% were first offenders, 21.8% were second offenders, 6.0% were third offenders, and 2.3% were on their fourth or more offense. (The statutorily defined time period for counting priors in California is seven years.) The proportion of repeat offenders (30.1%) among all convicted DUI offenders has decreased slightly each year since 1989 (at which time 37% of all convictions were repeat offenses).

- The average adjudication time lags were 2.8 months from DUI arrest to conviction and 3.1 months from conviction to update on the DMV database, totalling almost 6 months from arrest to update on the offender's driving record. This time lag is almost identical to previous years.

Variation by County:

- Among the larger counties, 1995 DUI conviction rates varied from highs of 86.8% in Ventura, 81.8% in Orange, and 80.0% in Santa Clara, to a low of 53.3% in San Bernardino. Los Angeles County, which accounted for almost 25% of all DUI arrests in the state, had a DUI conviction rate of 73.3%.

- Among the smaller counties, 1995 DUI conviction rates varied from highs of 88.1% in Napa and 88.0% in San Benito to a low of 22.0% in Yolo.

- The rates at which DUI arrests were plea-bargained to alcohol-related reckless driving convictions varied from over 20% in Alpine and San Luis Obispo counties to 0% in Marin and Ventura counties.

- The percentage of DUI arrests that were improperly adjudicated as nonalcohol-related reckless driving convictions varied from 0% to 6.6%. Three counties had rates of 4% or more: Imperial, San Francisco, and San Joaquin.

- The percentage of DUI arrests adjudicated as minor convictions ("other" convictions) varied from 0% to 5.6%. San Luis Obispo, Los Angeles, and Marin counties had rates of 4% or more.

- In eight counties, the proportion of arrestees not showing a conviction of any offense exceeded 30%. These counties were Yolo, Sutter, San Bernardino, Trinity, Imperial, Sierra, Merced, and Mariposa. Fifteen counties had nonconviction rates of less than 10%, with Nevada and San Benito at less than 1%.

Variation by Court:

- As was true for prior years, the 1995 superior court time lags were generally longer than municipal court time lags, presumably due to the type of DUI case (felony) being adjudicated.

- Municipal court time lags from arrest to conviction (for courts with more than a handful of reported convictions) varied from a high of 5.4 months in the Barstow (San Bernardino County) court to a low of 1.2 months for the Los Angeles Metro court, which is also the busiest court in the state.

- Statewide, the proportion of reckless driving convictions (alcohol and nonalcohol), relative to all convictions resulting from DUI arrests, was about 11% in 1995 (as it was in 1994). Among the courts which substantially exceeded this statewide average were Eureka and Bishop, which adjudicated 29% and 27% (respectively) of their convictions in DUI cases as reckless driving.

- Statewide, 17% of all DUI-related reckless driving convictions are designated as nonalcohol. In Riverside County, however, the Superior Court reported 91% (161 out of 177) of its DUI-related reckless driving convictions as nonalcohol.

Demographic Characteristics:

- The average age of a convicted DUI offender in 1995 was 34.3 years.

- 42.6% of 1995 DUI convictees were aged 30 years or younger and 75.2% were 40 years or younger.

- Females comprised 12.8% of all 1995 convicted DUI offenders, compared to 12.2% in 1994, 12.3% in 1993, 12.1% in 1992, 12.2% in 1991, 11.7% in 1990, and 11.4% in 1989.

- The racial/ethnic distribution of 1995 DUI convictions (White = 43.9%; Hispanic = 44.5%; Black = 6.1%; Other = 5.4%) generally paralleled that of 1995 arrests, although Whites and Others were somewhat more likely to be convicted of the offense (as shown in Figure 5 below).



Figure 5 . Relative likelihood of conviction by race/ethnicity. (Adjusted conviction rate by ethnicity ÷ overall conviction rate.)

TABLE 4: 1995 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE AND SEX*

AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
	N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE	143758	100.0	125298	87.2	18460	12.8
UNDER 18	387	0.3	347	89.7	40	10.3
18-20	6204	4.3	5551	89.5	653	10.5
21-30	54644	38.0	48689	89.1	5955	10.9
31-40	46915	32.6	40205	85.7	6710	14.3
41-50	23615	16.4	19951	84.5	3664	15.5
51-60	8415	5.9	7364	87.5	1051	12.5
61-70	2822	2.0	2521	89.3	301	10.7
71 & ABOVE	756	0.5	670	88.6	86	11.4
MEAN AGE (YEARS)	34.3		34.1		35.3	

*County-specific tabulations of 1995 DUI convictions by age and sex are shown in Appendix Table B2.

TABLE 5: MATCHABLE 1995 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE, RACE/ETHNICITY AND SEX

AGE	TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)															
			WHITE				HISPANIC				BLACK				OTHER			
			MALE		FEMALE		MALE		FEMALE		MALE		FEMALE		MALE		FEMALE	
	N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE	124902	100.0	43759	35.0	11142	8.9	52439	42.0	3110	2.5	6643	5.3	1052	0.8	5968	4.8	789	0.6
UNDER 18	633	0.5	321	50.7	63	10.0	198	31.3	18	2.8	19	3.0	0	0.0	13	2.1	1	0.2
18-20	7167	5.7	2475	34.5	513	7.2	3477	48.5	178	2.5	216	3.0	24	0.3	248	3.5	36	0.5
21-30	47929	38.4	13916	29.0	3547	7.4	24573	51.3	1217	2.5	1944	4.1	314	0.7	2112	4.4	306	0.6
31-40	39359	31.5	13649	34.7	3903	9.9	15699	39.9	1061	2.7	2360	6.0	436	1.1	1995	5.1	256	0.7
41-50	19716	15.8	8240	41.8	2167	11	6111	31.0	483	2.4	1238	6.3	217	1.1	1113	5.6	147	0.7
51-60	7076	5.7	3456	48.8	680	9.6	1786	25.2	125	1.8	585	8.3	39	0.6	370	5.2	35	0.5
61-70	2392	1.9	1320	55.2	199	8.3	491	20.5	27	1.1	229	9.6	16	0.7	104	4.3	6	0.3
71 & ABOVE	630	0.5	382	60.6	70	11.1	104	16.5	1	0.2	52	8.3	6	1.0	13	2.1	2	0.3

TABLE 6: ADJUSTED 1995 DUI CONVICTION RATES[1] AND RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD[2] OF CONVICTION BY AGE AND RACE/ETHNICITY

AGE	TOTAL BY AGE		RACE/ETHNICITY							
			WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD
TOTAL BY RACE/ETHNICITY	0.72	1.00	0.74	1.03	0.71	0.98	0.7	0.97	0.73	1.01
UNDER 18	0.44	0.61	0.50	0.70	0.38	0.53	0.32	0.44	0.28	0.38
18-20	0.66	0.91	0.70	0.97	0.63	0.87	0.6	0.82	0.63	0.87
21-30	0.7	0.97	0.73	1.01	0.68	0.94	0.66	0.91	0.71	0.98
31-40	0.74	1.03	0.75	1.03	0.74	1.03	0.71	0.99	0.75	1.03
41-50	0.77	1.06	0.76	1.05	0.78	1.08	0.75	1.04	0.76	1.05
51-60	0.79	1.09	0.78	1.08	0.81	1.12	0.76	1.06	0.79	1.10
61-70	0.77	1.07	0.77	1.07	0.79	1.09	0.81	1.12	0.74	1.03
71 & ABOVE	0.74	1.02	0.72	1.00	0.81	1.12	0.79	1.10	0.60	0.82

[1] Adjusted DUI Conviction Rates = The matchable DUI conviction rate proportionally adjusted to the overall DUI conviction rate.

[2] Relative Likelihood = $\frac{\text{Adjusted DUI Conviction Rate}}{\text{Overall Total DUI Conviction Rate}}$

TABLE 7: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1995 DUI ARRESTEES[1]

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NONALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSED[2]/ UNCONST[3]	AVERAGE DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
								VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
STATEWIDE	72.2	141523	2235	15152	3079	4501	18/321	2.8	3.1
ALAMEDA	69.2[4]	4406	34	423	58	199	0/13	3.3	2.2
ALPINE	62.5	42	3	15	2	0	0/1	3.5	2.4
AMADOR	77.2	149	3	12	5	3	0/0	2.1	3.2
BUTTE	73.3	935	13	205	16	12	1/7	2.9	2.8
CALAVERAS	59.5	180	4	20	12	11	0/0	2.7	1.7
COLUSA	71.2	226	4	37	6	2	0/0	2.4	2.5
CONTRA COSTA	72.7	2866	35	355	36	53	1/14	4.5	2.5
DEL NORTE	60.3	175	3	52	8	7	0/0	3.6	5.3
EL DORADO	69.9	839	39	83	7	9	1/3	2.7	6.0
FRESNO	60.1	3841	121	645	56	53	0/2	3.8	5.6
GLENN	77.6	258	5	41	4	8	0/1	2.3	4.0
HUMBOLDT	47.7	621	15	233	44	49	0/2	3.8	2.2
IMPERIAL	51.1	968	3	141	126	14	0/0	3.5	2.5
INYO	58.5	174	5	58	8	8	0/0	3.1	4.0
KERN	78.0[4]	3863	79	457	74	190	0/16	2.4	3.8
KINGS	78.6	799	17	62	3	8	0/3	2.2	4.6
LAKE	79.0	511	7	18	9	6	0/0	3.6	3.5
LASSEN	78.4	185	4	7	4	3	0/0	3.8	2.1
LOS ANGELES	73.3	35877	450	4000	709	1987	8/92	2.3	2.1
MADERA	62.5	616	25	98	26	2	0/0	3.1	4.7
MARIN	81.6	1249	9	0	0	61	0/2	3.1	4.4
MARIPOSA	58.7[5]	107	1	19	0	1	0/2	2.5	1.4
MENDOCINO	71.5	616	13	86	14	16	0/3	3.1	4.5
MERCED	50.4	1078	18	289	58	42	0/4	4.1	6.0
MODOC	60.5	47	2	6	0	2	0/0	3.2	2.9
MONO	78.3	101	0	12	5	1	0/1	2.0	2.5

[1]Conviction data by court are found in Appendix Table B3.

[2]These may include dismissals of prior failure to appear (FTA) abstracts.

[3]These 1995 arrestees showed prior DUIs declared unconstitutional on their records. The counties reported here are those in which the current DUI conviction occurred and not necessarily those in which a prior conviction was declared unconstitutional.

[4]The calculation of the conviction rates incorporates estimates of 1995 arrest data known to be missing.

[5]The calculation of the conviction rate was based on total arrests including federal DUI arrests (Yosemite National Park) not reported in the DOJ MACR system.

TABLE 7: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1995 DUI ARRESTEES[1] - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NONALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSED[2]/ UNCONST[3]	AVERAGE DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
								VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
MONTEREY	78.5	3057	29	387	56	30	0/5	1.5	4.8
NAPA	88.1	859	32	60	6	8	1/3	2.9	2.5
NEVADA	86.3	549	10	68	8	7	0/1	2.6	4.4
ORANGE	81.8	12873	151	555	88	233	0/12	2.8	2.4
PLACER	80.0	1287	22	44	32	14	0/4	2.6	2.6
PLUMAS	68.5	122	0	27	5	3	0/1	2.5	5.1
RIVERSIDE	63.7	5705	147	298	295	157	1/11	3.5	2.5
SACRAMENTO	69.7	4883	151	818	169	68	0/5	3.2	2.0
SAN BENITO	88.0	274	5	29	2	5	0/7	2.5	8.1
SAN BERNARDINO	53.3	5508	78	324	140	199	0/8	3.8	3.0
SAN DIEGO	75.3	11617	79	824	240	222	2/17	3.1	4.1
SAN FRANCISCO	62.5	886	11	243	86	14	0/1	3.3	1.0
SAN JOAQUIN	73.2	2970	46	193	183	125	0/9	2.4	2.5
SAN LUIS OBISPO	66.0	1472	23	462	30	126	0/5	2.4	5.7
SAN MATEO	79.7	3350	41	475	43	77	0/8	2.5	3.8
SANTA BARBARA	74.8	2511	34	564	64	66	0/3	2.0	4.4
SANTA CLARA	80.0	6804	147	683	114	114	0/5	3.4	2.4
SANTA CRUZ	69.7	1688	15	204	60	21	0/10	2.2	5.4
SHASTA	74.0	749	24	119	8	4	0/1	3.6	4.1
SIERRA	54.1	20	0	5	0	0	0/1	2.8	6.1
SISKIYOU	75.4	253	10	37	4	7	0/0	2.8	3.7
SOLANO	70.1	1310	26	219	21	31	0/6	2.6	6.9
SONOMA	74.5	2121	49	422	36	34	0/15	3.2	4.3
STANISLAUS	71.2	1982	39	290	49	47	0/9	2.9	2.8
SUTTER	47.8	424	12	70	4	6	0/0	2.2	2.9
TEHAMA	78.8	324	3	49	4	11	0/0	2.5	4.0
TRINITY	44.6	93	6	30	7	5	0/0	2.6	3.1
TULARE	69.5	2402	65	78	16	37	0/4	3.0	3.8
TUOLUMNE	78.6	288	14	35	4	3	0/1	4.1	1.8
VENTURA	86.8	3673	45	0	0	68	3/1	2.2	3.5
YOLO	22.0	313	4	60	0	0	0/0	5.0	7.0
YUBA	72.7	427	5	106	0	0	0/0	2.6	2.5

TABLE 8: ADJUDICATION STATUS OF 1995 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY*

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTIONS		RECKLESS DRIVING CONVICTIONS		% OTHER CONVICTIONS	% NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTION
	% MISDEMEANOR	% FELONY	% ALCOHOL RELATED	% NONALCOHOL RELATED		
STATEWIDE	71.1	1.1	7.6	1.5	2.3	16.3
ALAMEDA**	68.7	0.5	6.6	0.9	3.1	20.2
ALPINE	58.3	4.2	20.8	2.8	0.0	13.9
AMADOR	75.6	1.5	6.1	2.5	1.5	12.7
BUTTE	72.3	1.0	15.9	1.2	0.9	8.7
CALAVERAS	58.3	1.3	6.5	3.9	3.6	26.5
COLUSA	70.0	1.2	11.5	1.9	0.6	14.9
CONTRA COSTA	71.8	0.9	8.9	0.9	1.3	16.2
DEL NORTE	59.3	1.0	17.6	2.7	2.4	16.9
EL DORADO	66.8	3.1	6.6	0.6	0.7	22.2
FRESNO	58.3	1.8	9.8	0.8	0.8	28.5
GLENN	76.1	1.5	12.1	1.2	2.4	6.8
HUMBOLDT	46.6	1.1	17.5	3.3	3.7	27.8
IMPERIAL	51.0	0.2	7.4	6.6	0.7	34.1
INYO	56.9	1.6	19.0	2.6	2.6	17.3
KERN**	76.4	1.6	9.0	1.5	3.8	7.8
KINGS	77.0	1.6	6.0	0.3	0.8	14.4
LAKE	77.9	1.1	2.7	1.4	0.9	16.0
LASSEN	76.8	1.7	2.9	1.7	1.2	15.8
LOS ANGELES	72.4	0.9	8.1	1.4	4.0	13.2
MADERA	60.1	2.4	9.6	2.5	0.2	25.2
MARIN	81.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	4.0	14.5
MARIPOSA***	58.2	0.5	10.3	0.0	0.5	30.4
MENDOCINO	70.0	1.5	9.8	1.6	1.8	15.3
MERCED	49.6	0.8	13.3	2.7	1.9	31.7
MODOC	58.0	2.5	7.4	0.0	2.5	29.6
MONO	78.3	0.0	9.3	3.9	0.8	7.8
MONTEREY	77.8	0.7	9.8	1.4	0.8	9.5
NAPA	85.0	3.2	5.9	0.6	0.8	4.5
NEVADA	84.7	1.5	10.5	1.2	1.1	0.9
ORANGE	80.8	0.9	3.5	0.6	1.5	12.7
PLACER	78.7	1.3	2.7	2.0	0.9	14.5
PLUMAS	68.5	0.0	15.2	2.8	1.7	11.8
RIVERSIDE	62.1	1.6	3.2	3.2	1.7	28.2
SACRAMENTO	67.6	2.1	11.3	2.3	0.9	15.7
SAN BENITO	86.4	1.6	9.1	0.6	1.6	0.6
SAN BERNARDINO	52.6	0.7	3.1	1.3	1.9	40.3
SAN DIEGO	74.8	0.5	5.3	1.5	1.4	16.4
SAN FRANCISCO	61.7	0.8	16.9	6.0	1.0	13.6
SAN JOAQUIN	72.1	1.1	4.7	4.4	3.0	14.7
SAN LUIS OBISPO	65.0	1.0	20.4	1.3	5.6	6.7
SAN MATEO	78.7	1.0	11.2	1.0	1.8	6.3
SANTA BARBARA	73.8	1.0	16.6	1.9	1.9	4.8
SANTA CLARA	78.3	1.7	7.9	1.3	1.3	9.5
SANTA CRUZ	69.1	0.6	8.3	2.5	0.9	18.7
SHASTA	71.7	2.3	11.4	0.8	0.4	13.4
SIERRA	54.1	0.0	13.5	0.0	0.0	32.4
SISKIYOU	72.5	2.9	10.6	1.1	2.0	10.9
SOLANO	68.8	1.4	11.5	1.1	1.6	15.6
SONOMA	72.8	1.7	14.5	1.2	1.2	8.6
STANISLAUS	69.8	1.4	10.2	1.7	1.7	15.2
SUTTER	46.4	1.3	7.7	0.4	0.7	43.5
TEHAMA	78.1	0.7	11.8	1.0	2.7	5.8
TRINITY	41.9	2.7	13.5	3.2	2.3	36.5
TULARE	67.6	1.8	2.2	0.5	1.0	26.9
TUOLUMNE	75.0	3.6	9.1	1.0	0.8	10.4
VENTURA	85.7	1.1	0.0	0.0	1.6	11.6
YOLO	21.7	0.3	4.2	0.0	0.0	73.8
YUBA	71.9	0.8	17.8	0.0	0.0	9.4

*The percentages total to 100 by row (county).

**The calculation of the conviction rates incorporates estimates of 1995 arrest data known to be missing.

***The calculation of the conviction rates was based on total arrests including federal DUI arrests (Yosemite National Park) not reported in the DOJ MACR system.

TABLE 9a: 1995 REPORTED* BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF DUI CONVICTIONS

DUI CONVICTIONS			ALCOHOL-RECKLESS CONVICTIONS		
BAC LEVEL	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	BAC LEVEL	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
.01	35	0.0	.01	3	0.0
.02	38	0.0	.02	7	0.1
.03	47	0.0	.03	8	0.1
.04	48	0.0	.04	16	0.2
.05	72	0.1	.05	29	0.3
.06	87	0.1	.06	90	0.9
.07	220	0.2	.07	332	3.3
.08	1289	1.3	.08	2263	22.7
.09	2410	2.5	.09	2867	28.8
.10	4831	5.0	.10	2096	21.1
.11	6585	6.8	.11	1015	10.2
.12	7627	7.9	.12	450	4.5
.13	7774	8.0	.13	233	2.3
.14	7873	8.1	.14	134	1.3
.15	7765	8.0	.15	99	1.0
.16	7470	7.7	.16	78	0.8
.17	6667	6.9	.17	52	0.5
.18	6241	6.4	.18	44	0.4
.19	5496	5.7	.19	35	0.4
.20	4890	5.0	.20	25	0.3
.21	4196	4.3	.21	22	0.2
.22	3490	3.6	.22	11	0.1
.23	2734	2.8	.23	12	0.1
.24	2249	2.3	.24	11	0.1
.25	1636	1.7	.25	4	0.0
.26	1207	1.2	.26	5	0.1
.27	972	1.0	.27	4	0.0
.28	767	0.8	.28	2	0.0
.29	580	0.6	.29	2	0.0
.30	440	0.5	.30	1	0.0
.31	322	0.3	.31	2	0.0
.32	240	0.2	.32	1	0.0
.33	198	0.2	.33	1	0.0
.34	126	0.1	.36	1	0.0
.35	81	0.1	.38+	2	0.0
.36	79	0.1			
.37	51	0.1			
.38	39	0.0			
.39	27	0.0			
.40	26	0.0			
.41	13	0.0			
.42	9	0.0			
.43	12	0.0			
.44	4	0.0			
.45	4	0.0			
.46	1	0.0			
.47	5	0.0			
.48+	3	0.0			
	---	---		---	---
TOTAL	96976	100.0	TOTAL	9957	100.0
	MEAN BAC .165			MEAN BAC .098	

*The source of BAC data is the APS reporting form for convicted DUI offenders, rather than the abstract of conviction for those offenders, which was the data source in prior reports. This change in data source was made because of the more complete BAC reporting on APS forms (68% of total) versus court abstracts (with only 48% showing BAC levels).

TABLE 9b: 1995 REPORTED* BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF THE PRELIMINARY ALCOHOL SCREENING TEST (PAS)

BAC LEVEL	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	BAC LEVEL	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
.01	4	0.2	.21	51	2.4
.02	5	0.2	.22	33	1.6
.03	5	0.2	.23	29	1.4
.04	12	0.6	.24	26	1.2
.05	19	0.9	.25	13	0.6
.06	28	1.3	.26	7	0.3
.07	44	2.1	.27	8	0.4
.08	139	6.5	.28	2	0.1
.09	145	6.8	.29	2	0.1
.10	181	8.5	.30	5	0.2
.11	201	9.4	.31	2	0.1
.12	193	9.1	.34	1	0.0
.13	194	9.1	.36+	6	0.2
.14	155	7.3		-------	--------
.15	143	6.7	TOTAL	2122	100.0
.16	136	6.4			
.17	112	5.3		MEAN BAC .136	
.18	93	4.4			
.19	71	3.3			
.20	58	2.7			

*The source of BAC data is the APS reporting form for arrested DUI offenders. The proportion of BAC levels found for 1995 PAS cases is 24.4%.

TABLE 10: 1995 DUI CONVICTIONS BY OFFENDER STATUS AND AVERAGE REPORTED BAC LEVEL

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	PERCENT	AVERAGE BAC LEVEL FROM APS REPORTING FORM (%)	AVERAGE BAC LEVEL FROM CONVICTION ABSTRACT (%)
STATEWIDE	100.0	.165	.164
1ST DUI	69.9	.161	.160
2ND DUI	21.8	.172	.172
3RD DUI	6.0	.178	.178
4TH+ DUI	2.3	.180	.177

SECTION 3: POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS

Data on court sanctions assigned to convicted DUI offenders were taken from DUI abstracts of conviction for offenders arrested in 1995. Also included are counts of postconviction DMV license actions for selected offender groups, while total counts of all license actions, including administrative per se (APS) license suspensions and revocations, are shown in the Administrative Actions Section. APS actions (effective July 1990) are initiated by law enforcement immediately upon arrest for DUI, and are administered independent of the criminal adjudication process. This section includes the following tables:

Table 11: 1995 DUI Court Sanctions by DUI Offender Status. This table shows the frequency of specific court sanctions statewide by number of prior DUI convictions. The specific court sanctions tallied include percentages of probation, jail, alcohol treatment programs (first offender, SB 38 second offender, and 30-month third offender programs), license restriction, court suspension, and ignition interlock. Crosstabulations of sanctions by county, court, and number of prior convictions appear in Appendix Table B4.

Table 12: 1995 DUI Sanction Combinations by County - First Offenders. This table displays the frequency of commonly assigned court sanction combinations (such as first offender alcohol program plus license restriction) by county for first DUI offenders. License suspensions include both court and DMV postconviction (non-APS) suspensions. The sanction combination groups portrayed in this table, as well as Table 13, were defined according to the conventions described in the "Evaluation Methods and Results" portion of Section 4: "Postconviction Sanction Effectiveness."

Table 13: 1995 DUI Sanction Combinations by County - Repeat Offenders. This table shows the frequency of commonly assigned court sanction combinations by county for second, third, and fourth (or subsequent) DUI offenders. License actions include both court and DMV postconviction (non-APS) license suspensions and revocations.

From the data in these and the Appendix tables, it is evident that the use of alternative sanctions continued to vary widely by county, court, and offender status in 1995. For example:

Statewide Parameters:

- The most frequently applied court sanction among all convicted DUI offenders was probation (96.5%), while the least frequently used court sanction was ignition interlock (5.2%). DUI offenders were sentenced to jail in 75.7% of the cases. (However, in many jurisdictions, jail is often served as community service rather than actual jail time.)

Figure 6 (below) graphically displays the statewide data from Table 11 showing the frequency of specific types of court-ordered sanctions among all convicted DUI offenders. Because virtually all offenders receive more than one type of sanction, the cumulative percentage adds to more than 100%.



Figure 6 . Frequency of court-ordered DUI sanctions (1995).

County Variation:

- The proportion of first DUI offenders sentenced to jail varied by county from less than 10% in Marin to almost 100% in Amador, Calaveras, Sutter, and Tuolumne.

- Counties such as Amador, Calaveras, Napa, San Luis Obispo, San Mateo and Tuolumne preferred to assign first offenders to treatment program and jail (over 85%) rather than treatment program and license restriction (less than 3%). Humboldt, Marin, and San Bernardino counties assigned treatment program and jail to less than 2% of their first offenders. Inyo, Marin, and Orange counties assigned treatment program and license restriction to over 80% of first offenders.

- Counties departing from using typical first offender sanction combinations were Alpine, Humboldt, Mariposa, and San Bernardino, as shown by relatively high percentages (over 10%) in the "other" category. ("Other" includes license restriction without treatment program assignment, probation only, and other unofficial nonstatutory sanction combinations.)

Court Variation:

- Statewide, six municipal courts (Gridley, Butte County; Lake Isabella, Kern County; Corcoran, Kings County; King City, Monterey County, Dinuba and Woodlake-Exeter, Tulare County), among those courts adjudicating more than a handful of DUI cases, used jail as a sanction in 100% of their DUI sentences. At the other extreme, two courts (Redlands and Joshua Tree, San Bernardino County) used jail as a sanction in less than 20% of their DUI sentences.

- In Los Angeles County, two municipal courts (Burbank and Lancaster) used jail as a sanction in 95% or more of their DUI sentences. On the other hand, two other courts (Malibu and Torrance) used jail as a sanction in less than a third of their DUI sentences.

- Los Angeles is the only county with an active 30-month third offender treatment program. Even within the county, however, assignment of third offenders to this program modality varies by court from 40.8% of third offenders sentenced in the Lancaster court to 0% of such offenders in the Culver City, Hollywood, Monrovia, Pomona, and Valencia municipal courts.

- Although courts required only about 16% of repeat offenders to install the ignition interlock device statewide, the Oakland court required almost three-fourths of such offenders to use interlock.

Variation by Offender Status:

- Less than 70% of 1995 first DUI offenders were sentenced to jail, compared to over 90% of all repeat offenders.

- 85% of first DUI offenders were assigned to alcohol treatment programs, along with 79% of second offenders, 43% of third offenders, and 19% of fourth or more DUI offenders. (By statute, however, all repeat offenders must eventually complete specified alcohol treatment programs in order to be eligible for license reinstatement.)

- 8.5% of first DUI offenders and 23.7% of second DUI offenders received DMV or court license suspensions after adjudication. Since July 1990, of course, all DUI offenders with BAC levels of 0.08% or more are also subject to 30 days to 1-year administrative license suspension/revocation under the APS law.

- Only 16% of repeat DUI offenders were assigned ignition interlock in 1995, in spite of the mandatory interlock law for all repeat offenders (AB 2851 - Freidman), which took effect on July 1, 1993.

TABLE 11: 1995 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS*

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROGRAM	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROGRAM	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE	143758	96.5	75.7	60.0	19.4	0.1	45.2	5.5	5.2
1ST DUI	100496	98.0	68.3	82.7	2.0	0.0	40.6	4.1	0.4
2ND DUI	31331	96.9	93.2	9.0	70.2	0.2	68.2	7.3	18.2
3RD DUI	8671	92.1	91.3	3.4	38.5	1.4	28.1	14.1	13.9
4TH+ DUI	3260	60.9	94.5	2.6	15.9	0.2	8.8	8.6	4.1

*Entries represent percentages of 1995 DUI convictees receiving each sanction by offender status. Sanctions within each offender status group (row) are not independent; therefore, row percentages always add to more than 100%. Percentages of sanctions by county and court appear in Appendix Table B4.

TABLE 12: 1995 DUI SANCTION COMBINATIONS BY COUNTY - FIRST OFFENDERS

COUNTY	TOTAL (100%)	DMV OR COURT SUSPENSION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG + JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG + RESTRICTION	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG + RESTRICTION*	OTHER
	N	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE	100496	8.5	4.5	45.0	34.4	4.8	2.7
ALAMEDA	3147	12.1	4.4	76.4	4.7	1.9	0.4
ALPINE	29	10.3	0.0	24.1	44.8	6.9	13.8
AMADOR	91	7.7	5.5	85.7	0.0	1.1	0.0
BUTTE	626	21.1	7.3	68.5	0.8	1.8	0.5
CALAVERAS	117	4.3	3.4	91.5	0.0	0.0	0.9
COLUSA	140	9.3	10.7	75.0	0.7	2.1	2.1
CONTRA COSTA	1989	7.9	17.9	69.9	1.6	1.7	1.0
DEL NORTE	105	13.3	8.6	73.3	1.0	2.9	1.0
EL DORADO	583	9.4	24.4	58.0	5.3	2.4	0.5
FRESNO	2526	14.3	5.3	51.9	24.7	1.8	2.1
GLENN	171	11.7	1.2	83.6	2.3	1.2	0.0
HUMBOLDT	422	8.8	2.4	1.9	48.1	0.9	37.9
IMPERIAL	710	0.7	7.5	33.9	49.7	1.3	6.9
INYO	109	6.4	0.0	7.3	85.3	0.0	0.9
KERN	2539	7.9	16.1	69.2	0.6	1.5	4.6
KINGS	554	17.9	8.8	71.3	0.5	0.9	0.5
LAKE	325	8.0	4.9	82.2	0.6	4.3	0.0
LASSEN	133	6.8	3.0	79.7	0.0	9.8	0.8
LOS ANGELES	26422	6.9	2.1	21.2	65.3	1.6	2.9
MADERA	410	8.3	3.9	72.9	8.8	4.6	1.5
MARIN	937	7.3	0.3	1.4	82.8	1.2	7.0
MARIPOSA	67	10.4	7.5	19.4	38.8	1.5	22.4
MENDOCINO	420	16.7	5.2	57.1	18.1	2.4	0.5
MERCED	684	7.6	9.1	75.1	2.6	3.9	1.6
MODOC	31	9.7	0.0	80.6	6.5	3.2	0.0
MONO	71	4.2	1.4	70.4	16.9	1.4	5.6
MONTEREY	2072	20.2	4.0	72.3	1.5	1.4	0.6
NAPA	624	6.4	4.3	85.4	1.1	1.9	0.8
NEVADA	380	6.8	2.9	50.0	35.8	2.1	2.4
ORANGE	9646	6.1	0.9	6.3	81.1	1.8	3.9
PLACER	906	7.0	2.6	76.0	8.7	3.4	2.2
PLUMAS	78	10.3	1.3	2.6	79.5	3.8	2.6
RIVERSIDE	4189	5.2	2.6	48.4	39.4	1.3	3.1
SACRAMENTO	3261	7.6	4.2	65.7	16.0	3.6	2.9
SAN BENITO	171	25.7	7.0	63.2	2.3	0.0	1.8
SAN BERNARDINO	4011	5.3	8.0	0.3	0.2	75.7**	10.4
SAN DIEGO	8675	6.0	4.2	51.0	35.0	2.7	1.2
SAN FRANCISCO	664	5.0	1.8	80.4	11.1	1.5	0.2
SAN JOAQUIN	1937	7.3	9.4	80.3	0.8	1.6	0.6
SAN LUIS OBISPO	997	7.6	1.5	88.2	0.9	1.6	0.2
SAN MATEO	2411	5.8	3.6	87.4	0.8	1.3	1.0
SANTA BARBARA	1714	13.2	3.4	20.6	56.1	0.5	6.2
SANTA CLARA	4481	19.9	2.9	70.3	4.1	1.6	1.2
SANTA CRUZ	1120	16.8	1.9	77.0	1.8	1.4	1.2
SHASTA	525	10.9	2.9	84.6	1.0	0.6	0.2
SIERRA	14	7.1	0.0	57.1	28.6	7.1	0.0
SISKIYOU	176	14.2	10.2	68.2	6.3	0.6	0.6
SOLANO	900	7.4	4.4	81.3	4.3	1.9	0.6
SONOMA	1341	10.7	19.7	67.1	0.8	0.2	1.4
STANISLAUS	1285	6.5	5.5	82.3	0.5	4.6	0.5
SUTTER	288	5.6	7.3	84.7	1.4	1.0	0.0
TEHAMA	216	13.4	27.8	27.3	27.8	1.4	2.3
TRINITY	70	10.0	2.9	81.4	4.3	1.4	0.0
TULARE	1618	7.0	8.7	78.0	1.4	4.8	0.1
TUOLUMNE	192	9.9	1.0	88.5	0.5	0.0	0.0
VENTURA	2716	10.6	3.4	83.8	0.3	1.4	0.4
YOLO	180	11.1	21.1	55.6	3.3	6.1	2.8
YUBA	280	11.8	8.9	74.3	1.1	0.0	3.9

Note: The vast majority of convicted DUI offenders also receive fine and probation.
*Includes referral to alcohol clinics and 30-month programs.
**The majority of these are referrals to alcohol clinics.

TABLE 13: 1995 DUI SANCTION COMBINATIONS BY COUNTY - REPEAT OFFENDERS

COUNTY	TOTAL REPEAT DUI	2ND OFFENDERS				3RD OFFENDERS				4TH+ OFFENDERS			
		LICENSE SUSPENSION	SB 38 PROGRAM + RESTRICTION	OTHER	TOTAL 100%	LICENSE REVOCATION + JAIL	ALCOHOL* PROGRAM + REVOCATION	OTHER	TOTAL 100%	LICENSE REVOCATION + JAIL	ALCOHOL PROGRAM + REVOCATION	OTHER	TOTAL 100%
	N	%	%	%	*N*	%	%	%	*N*	%	%	%	*N*
STATEWIDE	43262	23.7	39.8	36.6	31331	57.1	36.4	6.6	8671	77.7	14.8	7.4	3260
ALAMEDA	1293	14.1	52.0	33.9	930	41.5	51.9	6.5	260	62.1	31.1	6.8	103
ALPINE	16	27.3	18.2	54.5	11	100.0	0.0	0.0	3	50.0	0.0	50.0	2
AMADOR	61	16.3	7.0	76.7	43	35.7	64.3	0.0	14	75.0	25.0	0.0	4
BUTTE	322	33.3	46.5	20.2	213	84.4	14.3	1.3	77	90.6	3.1	6.3	32
CALAVERAS	67	7.0	51.2	41.9	43	38.9	44.4	16.7	18	83.3	0.0	16.7	6
COLUSA	90	28.4	38.8	32.8	67	78.9	10.5	10.5	19	75.0	25.0	0.0	4
CONTRA COSTA	912	14.6	46.1	39.3	651	59.4	34.8	5.9	187	51.4	40.5	8.1	74
DEL NORTE	73	29.2	14.6	56.3	48	44.4	55.6	0.0	18	42.9	57.1	0.0	7
EL DORADO	295	24.2	36.5	39.3	219	48.4	50.0	1.6	62	78.6	14.3	7.1	14
FRESNO	1436	23.7	40.4	35.9	908	62.1	30.1	7.7	375	86.3	5.2	8.5	153
GLENN	92	41.8	37.3	20.9	67	94.1	0.0	5.9	17	87.5	12.5	0.0	8
HUMBOLDT	214	52.0	26.7	21.3	150	81.3	16.7	2.1	48	93.8	6.3	0.0	16
IMPERIAL	261	27.8	37.9	34.3	198	59.6	35.1	5.3	57	33.3	66.7	0.0	6
INYO	70	14.3	62.5	23.2	56	58.3	41.7	0.0	12	50.0	0.0	50.0	2
KERN	1403	66.1	6.7	27.2	911	92.4	3.5	4.1	342	93.3	0.0	6.7	150
KINGS	262	44.0	33.7	22.3	166	84.4	7.8	7.8	64	90.6	0.0	9.4	32
LAKE	193	14.8	38.5	46.7	135	57.1	33.3	9.5	42	93.8	6.3	0.0	16
LASSEN	56	7.9	18.4	73.7	38	56.3	43.8	0.0	16	100.0	0.0	0.0	2
LOS ANGELES	9905	19.3	44.8	35.8	7418	52.3	40.2	7.5	1897	80.2	9.2	10.7	590
MADERA	231	28.7	35.0	36.3	157	83.6	12.7	3.6	55	68.4	15.8	15.8	19
MARIN	321	11.3	66.5	22.2	239	81.3	15.6	3.1	64	61.1	27.8	11.1	18
MARIPOSA	41	43.8	37.5	18.8	32	62.5	37.5	0.0	8	100.0	0.0	0.0	1
MENDOCINO	209	32.9	35.0	32.1	140	42.9	49.0	8.2	49	60.0	30.0	10.0	20
MERCED	412	18.9	43.2	37.9	285	62.0	30.4	7.6	92	77.1	17.1	5.7	35
MODOC	18	28.6	21.4	50.0	14	66.7	33.3	0.0	3	100.0	0.0	0.0	1
MONO	30	14.8	70.4	14.8	27	33.3	66.7	0.0	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
MONTEREY	1014	50.4	7.0	42.6	698	87.1	11.1	1.8	225	91.2	7.7	1.1	91
NAPA	267	17.9	36.3	45.8	201	47.9	45.8	6.3	48	66.7	27.8	5.6	18
NEVADA	179	22.5	51.2	26.4	129	61.1	27.8	11.1	36	92.9	0.0	7.1	14

Note: The vast majority of convicted offenders also receive fine and probation.
*Includes referral to alcohol clinics and 30-month programs.

TABLE 13: 1995 DUI SANCTION COMBINATIONS BY COUNTY - REPEAT OFFENDERS - continued

COUNTY	TOTAL REPEAT DUI	2ND OFFENDERS				3RD OFFENDERS				4TH+ OFFENDERS			
		LICENSE SUSPENSION	SB 38 PROGRAM + RESTRICTION	OTHER	TOTAL 100%	LICENSE REVOCATION + JAIL	ALCOHOL* PROGRAM + REVOCATION	OTHER	TOTAL 100%	LICENSE REVOCATION + JAIL	ALCOHOL PROGRAM + REVOCATION	OTHER	TOTAL 100%
	N	%	%	%	*N*	%	%	%	*N*	%	%	%	*N*
ORANGE	3378	11.4	54.9	33.7	2658	19.1	70.6	10.3	554	71.7	20.5	7.8	166
PLACER	403	15.4	50.7	34.0	306	49.2	49.2	1.5	65	50.0	43.8	6.3	32
PLUMAS	44	44.1	32.4	23.5	34	80.0	20.0	0.0	5	100.0	0.0	0.0	5
RIVERSIDE	1663	26.5	29.3	44.2	1202	63.9	29.2	6.9	319	78.9	15.5	5.6	142
SACRAMENTO	1773	28.7	47.2	24.1	1197	58.3	34.5	7.2	362	74.8	17.3	7.9	214
SAN BENITO	108	39.7	28.2	32.1	78	47.4	47.4	5.3	19	54.5	45.5	0.0	11
SAN BERNARDINO	1575	24.4	29.2	46.4	1197	58.1	27.8	14.1	270	77.8	9.3	13.0	108
SAN DIEGO	3021	20.0	36.4	43.5	2366	49.2	43.2	7.6	514	76.6	9.9	13.5	141
SAN FRANCISCO	233	21.1	34.6	44.3	185	56.8	43.2	0.0	37	72.7	9.1	18.2	11
SAN JOAQUIN	1079	15.6	50.3	34.1	716	62.7	30.3	7.0	228	85.9	11.9	2.2	135
SAN LUIS OBISPO	498	10.8	58.7	30.5	351	24.8	66.7	8.6	105	76.2	14.3	9.5	42
SAN MATEO	980	12.3	23.2	64.5	710	25.7	72.8	1.6	191	70.9	25.3	3.8	79
SANTA BARBARA	831	43.3	10.2	46.5	587	49.5	47.4	3.1	192	84.6	9.6	5.8	52
SANTA CLARA	2470	28.4	49.2	22.4	1717	82.1	12.5	5.5	530	71.3	26.5	2.2	223
SANTA CRUZ	583	42.8	36.0	21.2	397	87.5	6.6	5.9	136	98.0	2.0	0.0	50
SHASTA	248	12.4	59.4	28.2	170	43.9	54.4	1.8	57	76.2	23.8	0.0	21
SIERRA	6	50.0	50.0	0.0	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	100.0	0.0	0.0	2
SISKIYOU	87	30.5	27.1	42.4	59	18.2	72.7	9.1	22	66.7	16.7	16.7	6
SOLANO	436	19.1	15.0	65.8	319	52.4	37.8	9.8	82	68.6	25.7	5.7	35
SONOMA	829	35.9	34.6	29.5	555	86.6	7.5	5.9	187	87.4	1.1	11.5	87
STANISLAUS	736	18.8	23.6	57.6	495	23.3	70.4	6.3	159	59.8	36.6	3.7	82
SUTTER	148	30.1	38.1	31.9	113	56.0	44.0	0.0	25	80.0	20.0	0.0	10
TEHAMA	111	32.6	39.3	28.1	89	75.0	18.8	6.3	16	66.7	16.7	16.7	6
TRINITY	29	47.6	4.8	47.6	21	42.9	42.9	14.3	7	100.0	0.0	0.0	1
TULARE	849	33.2	18.3	48.5	551	54.0	42.0	4.0	200	78.6	14.3	7.1	98
TUOLUMNE	110	17.3	57.3	25.3	75	85.0	15.0	0.0	20	80.0	13.3	6.7	15
VENTURA	1002	20.1	49.9	30.1	772	54.6	39.5	5.9	205	88.0	4.0	8.0	25
YOLO	137	14.8	58.3	26.9	108	75.0	25.0	0.0	16	69.2	15.4	15.4	13
YUBA	152	42.9	23.8	33.3	105	94.6	2.7	2.7	37	100.0	0.0	0.0	10

SECTION 4: POSTCONVICTION SANCTION EFFECTIVENESS

This section presents and describes the results of an evaluation assessing the effectiveness of court and administrative sanctions applied to first and second DUI offenders.[1] The effectiveness of alternative sanctions is evaluated in terms of postconviction driving record as measured by: 1) total accidents and 2) DUI incidents, including alcohol-involved accidents, major convictions (primarily DUI, also reckless driving and hit-and-run), APS (0.08% BAC or chemical test refusal) suspensions and DUI failure-to-appear notices (FTA). Displayed below in Figures 7-15 are failure proportions from the sanction analyses for 1989, 1993, and 1995 first and second offenders (grouped by sanction assignment) with follow-up time periods of 7 years, 3 years, and 1 year, respectively. (The 1990, 1991, 1992 and 1994 offenders were not included in this year's report in order to simplify the analyses and reporting of results.) These figures show the proportion of accident- or DUI incident-involved drivers following their conviction. They are followed by a narrative description of the evaluation design, subject selection, data collection, analytical procedures, and evaluation results. The reader is cautioned that license suspension refers to postconviction suspensions only, and does not include preconviction administrative per se license suspensions (which are applied to all offender groups).

Based on the data represented in Figures 7-12, the following conclusions can be drawn about first offender sanctions:

- In 1989 and 1995, first offenders who were suspended had significantly fewer subsequent accident-involved drivers than did any other first offender sanction group. The suspended group had 18% and 28.5% fewer accidents than the group with the next lowest accident rates (program with jail, program with restriction) among drivers convicted in 1989 and 1995, respectively. The 1993 suspended group had directionally the lowest accident rate compared to the other sanction groups.

[1] Third or more offenders were not included because a previous study (Tashima & Marelich, 1989) indicated serious confounding due to group differences on prior interventions. In addition, sanctions for third and subsequent offenders do not vary much, due to the statutorily prescribed sanction requirements.



Figure 7 . Adjusted 7-year accident rates of 1989 first offenders by type of sanction.



Figure 8 . Adjusted 3-year accident rates of 1993 first offenders by type of sanction.



Figure 9 . Adjusted 1-year accident rates of 1995 first offenders by type of sanction.



Figure 10 . Adjusted 7-year DUI incident* rates of 1989 first offenders by type of sanction (*alcohol-related accident or violation).



Figure 11 . Adjusted 3-year DUI incident* rates of 1993 first offenders by type of sanction (*alcohol-related accident or violation).



Figure 12 . Adjusted 1-year DUI incident* rates of 1995 first offenders by type of sanction (*alcohol-related accident or violation).

- First offenders assigned to first offender treatment programs plus license restriction in 1989, 1993 and 1995 had significantly fewer DUI incidents in the postconviction period than did any other first offender sanction group. The first offender treatment plus license restriction group had 12%, 1.1 and 12.6% fewer DUI incidents in 1989, 1993, and 1995, respectively, than the group with the next lowest DUI incident rate (program with jail).

- In all three years, first offenders sentenced to jail without treatment or postconviction suspension were significantly more likely to be involved in DUI incidents (at least 18.7%, 14%, and 23% higher in 1989, 1993, and 1995, respectively) in the postconviction period than any other first offender sanction group.

Based on the data represented in Figures 13-15, the following conclusions can be drawn about second offender sanctions:

- The 1989 suspended second offender group had 16.9% fewer accident-involved drivers than did the SB 38 program groups over the 7-year postconviction period.

- Consistent with the previous five DUI-MIS studies, but contrary to earlier California studies including the first annual DUI-MIS report (1989 offenders), second offenders suspended in 1993 and 1995 do not have significantly lower total accident rates than do those assigned to SB 38 treatment programs during the first year following suspension or SB 38 assignment. This change is likely due to the implementation of administrative per se (APS) license suspensions beginning in July, 1990, whereby all second offenders are suspended for one year.

- Although license suspension and SB 38 treatment programs with APS suspensions are equally effective in their short-term impact on accidents (post 1- year), license suspension is more effective over a longer time window (7 years).

- In 1989, 1993, and 1995, second offenders who were suspended had a significantly higher proportion of DUI incidents in the subsequent 1-year period than did those who received the SB 38 and license restriction sanction. The respective percentage increases associated with the license suspension group for the three years (1989, 1993 & 1995) were 20.3%, 31.0%, and 58.3%, respectively.



Figure 13 . Adjusted 7-year accident and DUI incident rates of 1989 second offenders by type of sanction.



Figure 14 . Adjusted 3-year accident and DUI incident rates of 1993 second offenders by type of sanction.



Figure 15 . Adjusted 1-year accident and DUI incident rates of 1995 second offenders by type of sanction.

- The SB 38 program plus restriction sanction for second offenders (with and without the addition of the ignition interlock) had significantly lower 1-year subsequent DUI incident rates than those of the other 1995 sanction groups.

EVALUATION METHODS AND RESULTS

Subject Selection and Data Collection

Convicted DUI offenders were identified from monthly abstract update tapes which contain all DUI conviction data reported to DMV by the courts. In the present study, follow-up data from three sets of offenders were evaluated for sanction effectiveness:

1) A 7-year follow-up period for the convicted 1989 DUI offenders who were evaluated in the first DUI-MIS report.

2) A 3-year follow-up period for convicted 1993 offenders who were evaluated in the 1995 DUI-MIS report.

3) A 1-year follow-up period for convicted DUI offenders who were arrested for DUI in 1995.

For each year's annual DUI-MIS report, an additional year of DUI data is added to the sanction analyses. In order to simplify the analyses and reporting of results, the 1990, 1991, 1992 and 1994 DUI offenders were not included in this year's evaluation.

The conviction date in all cases was considered to be the "treatment date" for defining prior and subsequent driving record data, because the penalties and sanctions for the DUI conviction are typically effective as of that date.

Since DUI penalties and sanctions are enhanced as a function of the number of prior DUI and alcohol-related reckless driving convictions within the previous seven years, subjects were selected based on the number of such convictions within the seven years prior to their entry DUI arrest. For the 1989, 1993, and 1995 drivers, two groups were selected for this evaluation: 1) first DUI offenders—drivers who had no DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving convictions within the previous seven years, and 2) second DUI offenders—drivers who had one DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving conviction within the previous seven years. DUI offenders with felony convictions and chemical test refusal suspensions were not included because their license control penalties are more severe than those of the other groups within their respective prior offender group. Also excluded were drivers who did not have a full one-year subsequent time period because of late conviction dates, drivers with “X” license numbers (no California license number could be found), drivers with out-of-state ZIP Codes and irregular or unofficial sanction

codes (first offenders). Altogether, the excluded cases came to 20.6% of the original convicted offenders.

Court sanctions are reported to and recorded by DMV in the form of disposition codes on the abstract of conviction. A convicted DUI offender, especially a first offender, might receive any one of many combinations of individual sanctions, including jail, fine, license restriction or suspension, alcohol treatment program, or probation. Therefore, in defining postconviction sanction combination groups for the purpose of this analysis, the following conventions were used for first offenders:

1) if suspension (non-APS) was one of the sanctions imposed by DMV or the court, then the offender was included in the suspension group;

2) if suspension (non-APS) was not imposed, but the offender was assigned to an alcohol treatment program, then the offender was included in one of the treatment groups, according to the type of treatment program (first offender or SB 38) and whether they were also sentenced to license restriction or jail; and

3) if neither suspension nor treatment was imposed, but the offender was sentenced to jail, then the offender was included in the jail-only group.

Fine and probation are generally imposed on most DUI offenders (except that probation is not usually granted to court-suspended first offenders), and for that reason are not included as sanctions evaluated in this report. Also, since July, 1990, all DUI offenders have had their licenses administratively suspended upon DUI arrest.

It should be noted that the definition of the sanction combination groups was not an arbitrary analytical convention, but rather a reflection of the most common naturally occurring sanction combinations assigned by the courts. Based on the above taxonomy, five first-offender sanction combination groups are used in this analysis: 1) license suspension, 2) jail, 3) first offender treatment program plus jail, 4) first offender treatment program plus license restriction, and 5) SB 38 (second offender) treatment program plus license restriction (some courts assign this sanction to a small number of first offenders).

One limitation of the above hierarchical grouping scheme is that it does not allow for an evaluation of the independent and interactive effects of each sanction as a treatment factor. Taking first offender treatment program plus jail as an example, the approach we used does not allow analysis of the separate effects of jail from the effects of the treatment program.

A similar convention was used for grouping second offenders with various sanction combinations; the groups used in this analysis are: 1) license suspension, 2) SB 38 treatment

program plus license restriction, 3) a group of 1993 and 1995 second offenders ("other") who did not meet the selection criteria of groups 1 or 2, and 4) a fourth group of 1995 second offenders who were ordered to install an ignition interlock device in their vehicles as mandated by AB 2851 (effective July, 1993). This device requires that the offender blow into it prior to starting the vehicle, which will not start if he/she has a BAC above a specified level. This group was identified by certain vehicle code designations on their abstract of conviction. In examining these abstract disposition codes, it was found that 86% of interlock cases were also referred to SB 38 treatment programs (along with license restrictions), while 37% had their licenses suspended (non-APS); of those that were suspended, 71% were assigned to SB 38 treatment programs. All second offenders who were assigned to install interlock are included in this evaluation, irrespective of other sanctions and regardless of actual installation. This is reflective of the "real world" conditions under which interlock is assigned which is an integral part of the total impact of this sanction.[2]

Most of the offenders in the group designated as "other" were originally referred to an SB 38 treatment program, but were suspended as well, either by intent (court sentence to both treatment program and suspension), or omission (court misreporting of disposition codes and/or the offender's lack of compliance with required procedures, such as failure to provide proof of insurance, program enrollment, pay fees, etc.). Even if the courts amend the mistaken abstracts of conviction, the offenders still need to meet the insurance and program enrollment requirements. The final sanctions ultimately received by this group are unclear, which makes interpretation difficult. The "other" group was not included in the first DUI-MIS 1989 arrest cohort analysis and, therefore, was not included in subsequent reanalyses of the 1989 arrestees.

Prior driver record data were extracted for the two years preceding an offender's DUI conviction date for all offenders. Appendix Tables B5, B6, and B7 list these prior driver record variables, which were used as covariates in the analyses. The evaluation period for the postconviction driving measures, starting from the conviction date, was seven years for the 1989 drivers, three years for the 1993 drivers, and one year for the 1995 drivers. A buffer period of six months was allowed between the end of the evaluation period and the data extraction date to allow for processing and reporting the most recent data to DMV. DUI offenders who had less than the full follow-up time period (from conviction date to the buffer period) were excluded. The outcome driving record measures consisted of the proportion of offenders who were involved in: 1) total accidents and 2) DUI incidents (alcohol-involved accidents, major convictions, APS/refusal suspensions, or DUI failures to appear).

[2] It should be noted, however, that a 1993 policy directive from DMV to the courts originally requested that only offenders who had shown proof of installation be reported as assigned to interlock. To the extent that this directive was followed by the courts (and there is evidence that it was not), the present evaluation would be assessing only those cases where the device was actually installed. This DMV policy directive has since been corrected.

Evaluation Design and Analytical Procedures

Logistic regression analysis was used to evaluate the differences in the proportion of accident- and DUI-incident-involved drivers in each sanction group at the end of the evaluation period. Only the first accident or DUI incident or "failure" was evaluated. This is not an important limitation with these data because the incidence of repeat failures (two or more accidents or DUI incidents) was very low over the study time window. More importantly, analysis of repeat failures would be subject to confounding by court sanctions received in connection with the first failure incident. This type of confounding is avoided because multiple incidents were not included in this analysis.

Since it was not possible to randomly assign drivers to the various sanction groups, potential biases due to preexisting group differences were statistically controlled by entering into the analyses as covariates biographical data, prior driving record data, and ZIP Code indices (accident and traffic conviction averages of each driver's ZIP Code area, and selected ZIP Code variables from the 1990 census data for the 1993 and 1995 drivers). (Tables B5, B6, and B7 show significant group differences on most of these variables.) While this "quasi-experimental" design is subject to a number of limitations in assessing cause-effect relationships, the statistical control of group differences removes at least part of the bias in group assignment and provides a more precise estimate of the relationship between type of sanction and subsequent record. It is likely, of course, that the groups also differ on characteristics not measured by, or reflected in, the covariates. The possibility of uncontrolled biases becomes particularly problematic if sanctions are commonly received by atypical offenders through self- or judicial-selectivity (e.g., drivers of higher socio-economic status might be more likely to receive program with restriction and less likely to receive jail than those of lower status).

In all of the analyses for accidents (except 1995 second offenders) and DUI incidents for both first and second offenders in all three years, several significant ($p<.01$) covariate by sanction interactions were evident (statistical significance at $p<x$ means that a differential effect between groups would occur by chance less than x% of the time). These significant interactions indicated that the relationship between the covariates and the outcome measure varied across sanction groups, and therefore the covariates were included in the initial analyses for all three data sets to determine the magnitude of the interactions. In all analyses, except three (1989, 1993, and 1995 first offender subsequent accidents) where sanction differences were significant ($p<.03$), the interaction effect was generally one-fourth or less than the main effect of sanction (chi squares were divided by their respective degrees of freedom to provide an approximate measure of effect size). Since the sanction main effect had substantially greater magnitude than the interaction effects, conclusions about sanction differences were based on analyses that did not include the interactions. The interaction effects of the remaining three analyses were examined and found not to create a serious impact on the sanction main effect.

Results of the First Offender Sanction Evaluation

Total Accidents: Figures 7, 8, and 9, and Table 14 display the results of the logistic regression analysis of total accidents for 1989, 1993, and 1995 first offenders. Among first offenders in 1989 and 1995, the suspended group continued to have the significantly lowest proportion of

accident-involved drivers relative to the other sanction groups (see Table 16 for a summary of significant results by sanction groups). These accident rates are 18% and 28.5% lower than the next lowest group's rates (program with jail) for the two time periods, respectively. First offenders sentenced to jail-only did not have a significantly higher accident rate over any of the groups in 1993, in contrast to previous reports where this group had consistently higher rates for all 3 years; however, this group did have higher rates than most of the other groups in 1995 and 1989, except when compared to the SB 38 group, where significant differences in rates did not occur. (It should be noted that the jail-only sanction may actually be a less aversive punishment than other sanctions when community service is substituted for jail time, which is frequently the case.)

In all three year's comparisons of first offenders on accidents, while there were fairly strong interactions between sanctions and covariates, there still remained a strong main effect of sanction differences on accidents; the suspended group continued to have the lowest accident rates overall, while the jail-only group had the highest accident rates in 1989 and 1995.

DUI Incidents: Figures 10, 11, and 12 and Tables 14 and 16 show that among the first offender groups in 1989, 1993, and 1995, the (statistically significant) lowest proportions of reoffending drivers were in the groups assigned to first-offender treatment program plus license restriction. This group had 12% and 12.6% fewer DUI incidents in 1989 and 1995, respectively, than the group with the next lowest recidivism rate (program with jail). In contrast, the highest recidivism rates occurred with the jail-only groups for all years.

An overall trend in the decline of percentage differences in DUI incidents between the best group (first-offender treatment program plus restriction) and the next lowest group continues to be evident over the years for the 1989 group. It would be expected that sanction differences between groups would diminish as the time window increases, particularly to a seven-year period. Differences in failure proportions among the other three sanction groups were again not significant for the 1989 offenders, but were significantly different among the three groups in 1993 and 1995. The first offender treatment program with jail group in 1995 had lower recidivism rates than that of the SB 38 group, and lower rates than that of the 1993 suspended group; however, the 1995 suspended group had significantly lower rates than the SB 38 group. Because of variations in the suspended group's rates, there is no totally consistent pattern in the DUI incident rates among these three groups over the three years. Overall, however, participation in the first-offender treatment program with license restriction continues to rank first in its effect on DUI incidents, while the jail-only sanction continues to have the least impact.

Results from the first offender sanction analyses on DUI incidents continue to replicate those from previous annual reports for all three analyses. Sanction group differences on accidents in this year's analyses were also consistent for 1989 and 1995, but differences between the jail group and the other groups were not significant for the 1993 first offenders.

TABLE 14: FIRST OFFENDER SANCTION EFFECTS ON TOTAL ACCIDENTS AND DUI INCIDENTS BY YEAR

YEAR	SANCTION GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	NUMBER OF ACCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	NUMBER OF DUI-INCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS
1989	Suspension	(5,639)	21.99	29.90
(follow-up period = 7 years)	Jail	(7,200)	28.11	36.78
	1st DUI program & jail	(43,651)	26.86	29.10
	1st DUI program & license restriction	(41,239)	27.26	25.61
	SB 38 program & license restriction	(4,429)	26.95	29.45
1993	Suspension	(6,092)	10.77	14.72
(follow-up period = 3 years)	Jail	(4,455)	11.49	18.41
	1st DUI program & jail	(42,268)	12.11	13.59
	1st DUI program & license restriction	(29,473)	12.36	13.44
	SB 38 program & license restriction	(3,474)	11.23	15.84
1995	Suspension	(4,933)	3.13	5.70
(follow-up period = 1 year)	Jail	(3,271)	5.69	10.21
	1st DUI program & jail	(37,234)	4.62	5.47
	1st DUI program & license restriction	(29,949)	4.38	4.78
	SB 38 program & license restriction	(3,894)	4.87	7.87

Results of the Second Offender Sanction Evaluation

Total Accidents: Among the 1989 second offenders, license suspension continued to be significantly more effective than treatment program plus restriction in reducing total accident risk (see Figure 13, and Tables 15 and 16), seven years subsequent to their convictions. The 1989 suspended group had 16.9% fewer accident-involved drivers than did the SB 38 program group. This finding is consistent with those of most prior studies of second offenders on total accidents. As noted below, the post-1989 cohorts show a different pattern.

Results of the 1993 and 1995 analyses (see Figures 14 and 15, Tables 15 and 16) were similar to those of the 1990, 1991 and 1992 one-year analyses (reported in the previous three DUI-MIS evaluations) in that significant differences were not evident among second offenders on accidents. It has been noted in previous reports that since license suspension has been consistently effective in reducing accident risk, it was likely that the lack of significant group differences in the one-year period was due to the immediate short-term positive effect of the imposition of one-year APS license suspensions on all second offenders. It was found in the previous three analyses and in this year's analysis that the accident rates of the SB 38 participants have declined and were actually lower than those of the suspended group. Since the

one-year follow-up period covers the time window when all second offenders are under APS suspension, it was reasoned that APS suspension would be expected to have a larger effect on the accident rates of previously nonsuspended SB 38 participants than it did on those who were suspended upon conviction. The data continue to support this interpretation.

Although significant differences were not evident among the 1993 offenders, this analysis was the first 3-year follow-up for the ignition interlock group. Their accident rate is slightly higher than those of the other groups over the longer period in contrast to the finding for the first year. However, this finding is not necessarily conclusive, given their small group size and the lack of significant sanction differences.

In order to increase the power of the statistical analysis for detecting the effects of the interlock sanction, an additional analysis was conducted in which the 1-year 1993, 1994 and 1995 second offender files were combined. Results from this analysis are shown in Tables 15 and 16. Differences in accident rates between sanctions were statistically significant ($p = .01$). The rates of the SB 38 and ignition interlock groups were significantly lower than those of the suspension and "other" groups; however, the accident rates between the SB 38 and ignition interlock groups were not significantly different.

In comparing accident rates between first and second offenders, Tables 14 and 15 show that, across all years, first offenders continue to have higher accident rates than second offenders, a finding that has been apparent in previous DUI studies on accidents, even prior to DUI-MIS evaluations. As noted in recent DUI-MIS reports, the fact that second offenders have more severe and longer-term sanctions than do first offenders (18-month postconviction suspension or 18-month SB 38 treatment programs) may explain this difference.

DUI Incidents: Figures 13, 14, and 15 and Tables 15 and 16 show that in all three years, the suspended groups had significantly higher failure rates (by 20.3%, 31.0%, and 58.3% for 1989, 1993, and 1995, respectively) than corresponding rates for the SB 38 program participants. The much smaller difference (20.3%) between the two sanctions for the 1989 groups compared to the more recent cohorts on subsequent DUI incidents may also be attributable to the much longer (7 year) followup period. As noted in connection with first offenders, one would expect treatment effects to lessen with the passage of time.

The third group ("other") in the 1993 and 1995 analyses had failure rates midway between the other two groups. Failure rates of all three groups in 1993 were significantly different from each other, except between the interlock and "other" groups. One notable finding is that the 3-year recidivism rate of the interlock group was significantly higher ($p = .06$) than that of the SB 38 group; this finding contrasts with the past three one-year analyses (including 1995) in which the interlock group had directionally lower rates than the SB 38 group. The combined 1993, 1994 and 1995 1-year analyses did not show significant differences in the recidivism rates between the ignition interlock and SB 38 group. However, in all of the three 1-year analyses, both the SB 38 and ignition interlock groups had significantly lower reoffense rates than the suspended or the "other" groups.

TABLE 15: SECOND OFFENDER SANCTION EFFECTS ON TOTAL ACCIDENTS AND DUI INCIDENTS BY YEAR

YEAR	SANCTION GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	NUMBER OF ACCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{\text{GRP 1 - GRP 2}}{\text{GRP 2}} \times 100$	NUMBER OF DUI INCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{\text{GRP 1 - GRP 2}}{\text{GRP 2}} \times 100$
1989 (follow-up period = 7 years)	1) Suspension	(9,493)	22.16		37.98	
	2) SB 38 program & license restriction	(23,943)	26.68	-16.9%	31.57	20.3%
1993 (follow-up period = 3 years)	1) Suspension	(7,153)	9.33		20.90	
	2) SB 38 program & license restriction	(11,697)	9.49	-1.7%	15.95	31.0%
	3) SB 38 program/ restriction interlock	(1,798)	10.16		17.68	
	4) Other	(7,890)	9.87		18.46	
1995 (follow-up period = 1 year)	1) Suspension	(4,873)	3.09		9.34	
	2) SB 38 program & license restriction	(7,724)	2.82	9.2%	5.90	58.3%
	3) SB 38 program/ restriction interlock	(4,884)	2.62		5.78	
	4) Other	(7,441)	3.14		6.84	
1993, 1994, & 1995 (follow-up period = 1 year)	1) Suspension	(17,530)	3.38		9.37	
	2) SB 38 program & license restriction	(28,072)	3.02	12.0%	6.16	52.1%
	3) SB 38 program/ restriction interlock	(11,050)	2.82		5.99	
	4) Other	(22,656)	3.45		7.58	

Overall, findings for the 1989 second offenders on accidents replicate previous evaluations indicating that postconviction license suspension continues to be more effective than SB 38 treatment program plus restriction in reducing accidents over the 7-year follow-up period. However, it should be recalled that these 1989 results pertain to a sanction structure that is quite different from the current one; there are now tougher requirements for insurance and program participation, lengthier suspension periods, more fees and the imposition of APS suspensions on all offenders.

Findings from the 1993 and 1995 second offender analyses were similar to previous post-APS one-year evaluations of second offenders in showing no evidence of significant differences between the sanction groups on subsequent accident rates. The fact that the 1-year accident rates for both the SB 38 treatment program participants and the interlock group were lower than that of the suspended group is likely attributable to the impact of APS suspensions. This trend reverses slightly (-1.7%) when more than a one-year time period is included. Because all second offenders since 1990 are suspended under APS for the duration of the one-year follow-up period, the differences between groups is more likely a measure of the incremental impact of alternative sanctions given in addition to suspension.

TABLE 16: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT RESULTS FOR 1989, 1993, AND 1995 FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDER SANCTION GROUPS BY OUTCOME MEASURES

YEAR GROUP	FIRST OFFENDER TOTAL ACCIDENTS (1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	DUI INCIDENTS (1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1989 (7-year follow up)										
(1) Suspension	na	S1	S1	S1	S1	na	S1	ns	S4	ns
(2) Jail		na	S3	ns	ns		na	S3	S4	S5
(3) 1st DUI program & jail			na	ns	ns			na	S4	ns
(4) 1st DUI program & restriction				na	ns				na	S4
(5) SB 38 program & restriction					na					na
1993 (3-year follow up)										
(1) Suspension	na	ns	S1	S1	ns	na	S1	S3	S4	ns
(2) Jail		na	ns	ns	ns		na	S3	S4	S5
(3) 1st DUI program & jail			na	ns	ns			na	S4	S3
(4) 1st DUI program & restriction				na	ns				na	S4
(5) SB 38 program & restriction					na					na
1995 (1-year follow up)										
(1) Suspension	na	S1	S1	S1	S1	na	S1	ns	S4	S1
(2) Jail		na	S3	S4	ns		na	S3	S4	S5
(3) 1st DUI program & jail			na	ns	ns			na	S4	S3
(4) 1st DUI program & restriction				na	ns				na	S4
(5) SB 38 program & restriction					na					na

YEAR GROUP	SECOND OFFENDER TOTAL ACCIDENTS (1)	(2)			DUI INCIDENTS (1)	(2)		
1989 (7-year follow up)								
(1) Suspension	na	S1			na	S2		
(2) SB 38 program & restriction		na				na		
1993 (3-year follow up)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
(1) Suspension	na	ns	ns	ns	na	S2	S3	S4
(2) SB 38 program & restriction		na	ns	ns		na	S2	S2
(3) SB 38 program & interlock			na	ns			na	ns
(4) Other				na				ns
1995 (1-year follow up)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
(1) Suspension	na	ns	ns	ns	na	S2	S3	S4
(2) SB 38 program & restriction		na	ns	ns		na	ns	S2
(3) SB 38 program & interlock			na	ns			na	S3
(4) Other				na				na
1993, 1994, & 1995 (1-year follow up)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
(1) Suspension	na	S2	S3	ns	na	S2	S3	S4
(2) SB 38 program & restriction		na	ns	S2		na	ns	S2
(3) SB 38 program & interlock			na	S3			na	S3
(4) Other				na				na

Note: A significant (p<.03 for 1st offenders and p<.06 for 2nd offenders) difference between sanction groups relative to the proportion of accident-involved or DUI incident-involved drivers is represented by an "S." The group number with the "S" indicates the group with the better (lower) rate. A nonsignificant difference is indicated by "ns." "na" means not applicable. Blanks appear in the lower half of each matrix, since the halves are identical.

The results on reoffense rates for second offenders continue to be consistent with the findings of prior studies on alcohol-related incidents, indicating that SB 38 programs with license restriction are associated with a reduction in subsequent DUI incidents over all three follow-up periods.

One-Year Recidivism Rates for First and Second Offenders from 1989-1995

The one-year subsequent DUI-incident reoffense rates for both first and second offenders were compiled from the seven annual DUI-MIS reports and configured onto a single graph to display these rates over time. Figure 16 shows the proportion of DUI offenders arrested each year between 1989 and 1995 who reoffended within one year after conviction. The DUI incidents include alcohol-involved accidents, major convictions (primarily DUI, reckless driving and hit-and-run), APS (0.08% BAC or chemical test refusal) suspensions (not included in the 1989 cases), and DUI failure-to-appear notices (FTA). Figure 16 shows a noticeable decline in the one-year recidivism rates of first and second offenders between 1989 to 1995. This decline translates into a 37.6% recidivism reduction for first offenders, and a 28.6% decrease for second offenders. Previous DUI-MIS reports have suggested that while many factors may be associated with this overall decline in DUI incidents, the reduction is likely attributable to the implementation of APS suspensions in 1990. An evaluation (Rogers, 1997) of the California APS Law, in fact, documents recidivism reductions of up to 21.1% for first offenders and 19.5% for repeat offenders attributable to the law.



Figure 16 . Proportion of DUI offenders (arrested in 1989-1995) reoffending (DUI incidents) within one-year after conviction.

SECTION 5: ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

Data on DMV administrative license disqualification actions (license suspension or revocation—S/R) taken in DUI cases are presented below. These statutorily mandated actions are initiated by the receipt of either a law enforcement APS report (.08% BAC, zero tolerance, or chemical test refusal) or court abstract of conviction. It should be noted that multiple actions can result from a single DUI incident—for example, a single DUI arrest frequently will result in both an APS suspension and a mandatory postconviction suspension action. This section includes the following tables and figure:

Table 17: Mandatory DUI License Disqualification Actions, 1986-1996. This table shows preconviction (APS) and postconviction license disqualification totals from 1986 through 1996. The postconviction totals include juvenile suspensions, first-offender suspensions, second-offender suspensions and revocations, and third- and fourth-offender revocations.

Table 18: Administrative Per Se Process Measures. This table presents APS process measure data for fiscal years 94/95 through 96/97.

Figure 17 graphically portrays mandatory DUI license disqualification totals from 1986 through 1996.

The following statements are based on the data shown in Tables 17-18 and Figure 17.

- During 1991, the first full calendar year of APS license suspension, the total number of DMV DUI suspension/revocation actions increased by 60% over 1990. Each year since then these numbers had declined (by an overall 38%) until 1996, when they rose by 8%.

- In 1996, 194,088 APS license actions were taken. Of these actions, 72% were first-offender actions and 28% were repeat-offender actions.

- In FY 96/97, APS actions decreased by 0.4%, following a 10.7% increase the previous fiscal year.

- While calendar year data show a slight increase in chemical test refusal actions in 1996 (2.7%), the latest fiscal year 96/97 data show a decline of 5.3%. The total number of refusal actions in 1996 was still 45% below the 1990 totals.

- The number of mandatory postconviction license actions increased in 1996 (11.5%), but was still 42% lower than in 1991.

- In the first seven years since APS was implemented in July 1990, over $1\frac{1}{2}$ million (1,587,528) APS suspension or revocation actions had been taken.

- During the first five years of APS implementation, requests for hearings increased each year, rising from 6.8% of all APS actions in FY 90/91 to 11.8% in 94/95. In 95/96 this trend stopped, with requests for hearing dropping 27% to 8.6% of all APS actions. This downward trend in hearing requests continued in 96/97, with a reduction of 16.1% and a hearing request rate of only 7.3%. The rate at which APS suspension/revocation actions are upheld after hearing increased slightly in 96/97 to 71.6%, after falling to only 68% in 95/96.

- During the first 3 years after implementation (on January 1, 1994) of the "zero tolerance" law for minors, 28,407 suspension actions were taken.



Figure 17 . Mandatory DUI license disqualification actions, 1986-1996.

TABLE 17: MANDATORY DUI LICENSE DISQUALIFICATION ACTIONS, 1986-1996

	YEAR										
	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996
TOTAL MANDATORY SUSPENSION/ REVOCATION (S/R) ACTIONS	104333	103630	101779	111703	233680	373131	308399	277447	243645	231954	252569
PRECONVICTION											
Admin Per Se (APS) Actions	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	119610	272273	228790	209006	184045	179492	194088
Zero tolerance suspensions	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	9971	8700	9736
First-offender suspensions	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	82503	187527	157545	144321	120582	121218	132794
Repeat-offender suspensions	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	34792	74351	62656	57279	47429	44193	46292
Repeat-offender revocations	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2315	10395	8589	7406	6063	5381	5266
Commercial driver actions	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3739	7976	6449	5829	5038	4921	5661
Chemical test refusal actions	26327	25474	22757	21466	22915	21296[r]	17963[r]	15662	13264	12308	12641
Test refusal suspensions	16352	14877	13720	12390	15128	10901[r]	9374[r]	8256	7022	6754	7211
Test refusal revocations	9975	10597	9037	9076	7787	10395[r]	8589[r]	7406	6063	5381	5266
TOTAL APS/REFUSAL ACTIONS	26327	25474	22757	21466	142525	272273	228790	209006	184045	179492	194088
POSTCONVICTION											
Juvenile DUI suspensions	2219	2374	1823	1995	1478	1576	1202	922	879	677	995
First-offender suspensions	7384	7278	6626	7679	10408	13575	10673	10208	8696	7266	7229
Misdemeanor	5592	5558	5050	5658	8467	11547	8989	8607	7188	5806	5753
Felony	1792	1720	1576	2021	1941	2028	1684	1601	1508	1460	1476
Second-offender S/R actions	45234	43608	47698	53927	52334	57350	45478	38849	34300	31489	30404
Misdemeanor	44601	42964	47093	53238	51593	56583	44756	38285	33794	30955	29864
Felony	633	644	605	689	741	767	722	564	506	534	540
Third-offender revocations	16946	17118	13991	18514	18650	19963	15553	12908	11193	9471	8728
Misdemeanor	16946	17118	13671	18182	18219	19595	15233	12644	10974	9261	8550
Felony	n/a	n/a	320	332	431	368	320	264	219	210	178
Fourth-offender revocations	6223	7778	8884	8122	8285	8394	6703	5554	4532	3559	2901
TOTAL POSTCONVICTION ACTIONS	78006	78156	79022	90237	91155	100858	79609	68441	59600	52462	58481

[r]Revised from prior reports.

TABLE 18. ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE PROCESS MEASURES

	7/94-6/95	7/95-6/96	7/96-6/97
Total APS actions taken (including actions later set aside)	185,266	205,173	204,353
Total .08[1] APS actions set aside	13,323	14,113	13,160
Total .01[2] Suspensions set aside	441	494	575
Net total APS actions taken (excluding actions later set aside)	171,502	190,566	190,618
Net total .08 APS actions	161,991	181,878	179,829
Net total .01 Suspensions	9,511	8,688	10,789
APS Actions by Offender Status/License Classification:[3]			
Total APS actions, noncommercial drivers	166,807	185,246	185,096
Total commercial driver (CDL) APS actions taken	4,695	5,320	5,522
Number of APS actions of commercial drivers in commercial vehicles	28	11	16
APS .08 suspensions for drivers with no prior DUI convictions[4]	114,365	129,642	130,658
4-month license suspensions	95,288	105,726	102,717
30-day suspensions plus 3-month restrictions	4,254	1,681	1,491
30-day suspensions plus 5-month COE[5] restrictions	5,022	11,278	15,309
First-offender chemical test refusals	6,527	7,063	7,073
CDL first offender suspensions/restrictions	3,274	3,894	4,140
Total APS .08 actions taken for drivers with prior DUI convictions	47,626	52,236	49,165
Suspensions	42,373	46,704	44,176
Revocations	5,253	5,532	4,989
APS Chemical Test Refusal Process Measures:			
Total .08 and .01 APS refusal actions taken (including actions later set aside)	12,696	13,395	12,689
Total .08 refusal actions set aside	716	641	460
Total .01 refusal actions set aside	12	6	9
Net total .08 and .01 APS refusal actions (excluding actions later set aside)	11,968	12,748	12,220
Net total .08 refusal actions	11,780	12,595	12,062
Net total .01 refusal actions	188	153	158
Chemical test refusal rate (excluding actions later set aside)	6.98%	6.69%	6.41%
Net .08 APS refusal (suspension) actions for subjects with no prior DUIs	6,527	7,063	7,073
Net .08 APS refusal (revocation) actions for subjects with prior DUIs	5,253	5,532	4,989
APS Hearings			
Total .08 and .01 APS hearings scheduled[6]	21,774	17,697	14,845
Proportion of total APS actions	11.8%	8.6%	7.3%
.08 hearings held and/or completed	18,300	15,248	13,075
.08 actions sustained or upheld following a hearing	14,657	10,418	9,367
.08 hearing action sustain/upheld rate	80.1%	68.3%	71.6%
.01 hearings held and/or completed	888	775	658
.01 actions sustained or upheld following a hearing	743	615	567
.01 hearing action sustain/upheld rate	83.7%	79.4%	86.2%
APS Chemical Test Refusal Hearings			
Total .08 and .01 APS refusal hearings scheduled	2,133	1,705	1,366
.08 APS refusal hearings held and/or completed	1,836	1,513	1,215
.08 APS refusal actions sustained or upheld following a hearing	1,326	1,108	891

[1].08 refers to APS actions taken subsequent to obtaining evidence of a BAC equal to or in excess of the .08% per se level or on the basis of a chemical test refusal. Such an action is taken in conjunction with a DUI arrest.

[2].01 refers to APS suspensions taken against drivers under the age of 21 with BACs in excess of .01%, or on the basis of a chemical test refusal, and are not necessarily taken in conjunction with a DUI arrest.

[3]All entries in this category exclude actions later set aside but, where possible, include actions taken on the basis of either a chemical test refusal or a BAC test result. This category does not include .01 actions, which are not varied by offender status or license class.

[4]Prior DUI convictions consist of any such conviction where the violation occurred within seven years prior to the current violation.

[5]Introduced 1/1/95 this restriction allows driving to, from, and during the course-of-employment.

[6]This figure excludes subsequent APS dismissal hearings and departmental review hearings or procedures. Both numerator and denominator include those actions later set aside as a result of the hearing.

SECTION 6: ACCIDENTS INVOLVING ALCOHOL

This section presents data on alcohol-involved accidents, as compiled and reported by the California Highway Patrol, as well as accident data which have been crosstabulated with Department of Justice DUI arrest data. Only accidents involving injury or fatality are assessed, due to incomplete reporting on property-damage-only (PDO) accidents.[3] Drivers identified as being under the influence of drugs other than alcohol are also included in the "alcohol-involved accident" category, but typically comprise less than 1% of the total (only 3 total cases for 1994 data). This section includes the following tables:

Table 19: Fatal/Injury Accidents of 1995 DUI Arrestees by Race/Ethnicity and Sobriety Code. This table shows the law enforcement officer's determination of sobriety for accident-involved 1995 DUI arrestees, by race/ethnicity.

Table 20: Fatal/Injury Accidents of 1995 DUI Arrestees by Race/Ethnicity and Type of Arrest. This table portrays the fatal/injury accident involvement of DUI arrestees, by race/ethnicity and type of arrest (felony, juvenile, or misdemeanor).

Table 21: Fatal/Injury Accidents of 1995 DUI Arrestees by Adjudication Status and Sobriety Code. This table crosstabulates accident sobriety codes (from law enforcement accident reports) with the court disposition of the 1995 DUI arrests associated with those accidents.

Table 22: Fatal/Injury Accidents of 1995 DUI Arrestees by Type of Arrest and Adjudication Status. This table displays the adjudication status of fatal and injury accident-involved 1995 DUI arrestees, by type of arrest.

Table 23: 1995 Accident-Involved DUI Arrestees With No Record of Conviction, by County and Type of Arrest. This table shows the number of accident-involved 1995 DUI arrestees without a corresponding recorded conviction, by type of DUI arrest, by county.

Tables 24a-24b: 1995 Alcohol-Involved Fatal/Injury Accidents by Age and Sex (Total and Not Arrested). These two tables show the number of 1995 alcohol-involved fatal and injury accidents by age and sex, both total (24a) and for subjects who were not arrested in conjunction with the accident (24b).

[3] Among 1995 DUI arrests, 24,932 were associated with a reported traffic accident, with 12,014 involving an injury or fatality, and 12,918 being PDO.

Tables 25a-25b: Sobriety Level by Prior DUI Convictions of 1995 Alcohol-Involved Fatal/Injury Accidents (Total and Not Arrested). These two tables show the number of 1995 alcohol-involved fatal and injury accidents by sobriety level and prior conviction status, both total (25a) and for subjects who were not arrested in conjunction with the accident (25b).

Tables 26a-26b: 1995 Alcohol-Involved Fatal/Injury Accidents by Prior DUI Convictions (Total and Not Arrested). These two tables show the number of 1995 alcohol-involved fatal and injury accidents by number of prior convictions, both total (26a) and for subjects who were not arrested in conjunction with the accident (26b).

Table 27: 1-, 3-, and 7-Year Total, Fatal/Injury, and Alcohol-Related Accident Means by Offender Status. This table shows the average number of total, fatal/injury, and alcohol-related accidents for 1995, 1993, and 1989 DUI arrestees for time periods of 1, 3, and 7 years subsequent to their arrests, respectively, by offender status (number of prior offenses).

Figure 18 (below) shows the annual percentages of traffic injuries and fatalities that were alcohol-involved from 1986 to 1996. The numerical data for this graph are shown on the DUI summary statistics sheet at the beginning of this report.



Figure 18 . Percentage of total injuries and total fatalities that were alcohol-involved, 1986-1996.

Based on these data, the following statements can be made:

- The number of alcohol-involved traffic fatalities decreased by 6.6% in 1996, and has declined by over half (54.5%) since 1987. The proportion of fatalities which are alcohol-involved declined from 50.1% in 1987 to 31.6% in 1996.

- The proportion of traffic accident injuries that are alcohol-involved has also declined each year since 1987. Alcohol-involved injuries dropped 3.5% during 1996 and 48.2% from 1987 to 1996.

- 12.4% of all 1995 DUI arrests were associated with a reported traffic accident, compared to 13.2% in 1994, 13.1% in 1993, and 11.1% in 1992 and 1991. 48.2% of these accidents involved an injury or fatality.

- In over 25% of cases where a DUI offender was arrested in connection with a reported traffic accident, there is no record of any corresponding conviction. In 90.9% of these nonconvicted cases, the accident report indicated that the drivers had been drinking and that their ability was impaired.

- Of all 1995 accident-involved DUI arrestees with no record of conviction, 17.7% had been arrested for felony DUI.

- 6.0% (12,014) of 1995 DUI arrests were associated with a fatal or injury accident. Of these fatal/injury accidents, only 29.6% (3,558) led to an arrest for felony DUI, and only 9.5% (1,141) led to a conviction of felony DUI. Approximately 74% of DUI arrests stemming from a fatal/injury accident resulted in a reported conviction.

- The fatal/injury and total accident risk of DUI offenders generally decreases with the number of prior DUI convictions for periods up to five years after arrest, while, conversely, the risk of involvement in an alcohol-related accident generally increases with number of priors over the same time periods. This is not surprising because as the number of prior DUIs increases, the time period of the suspension/revocation lengthens, and prior research has demonstrated that suspension/revocation has a larger impact on reducing non-DUI accidents than DUI accidents. In addition, drivers with multiple DUI offenses are more likely to have serious drinking problems.

- Drivers in alcohol-involved fatal/injury accidents in 1995 were less likely to be arrested for an associated DUI offense if they were under age 30, over age 70, or female (of any age).

- Non-arrested drivers in alcohol-involved fatal/injury accidents in 1995 were less likely to have a prior conviction for DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving, and had lower estimated BAC levels than were drivers who were arrested in conjunction with the accident.

- Almost 70% of drivers in alcohol-involved fatal accidents had no prior DUI or reckless driving conviction.

TABLE 19: FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 1995 DUI ARRESTEES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND SOBRIETY CODE*

SOBRIETY CODE	TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	12014	100.0	6065	50.5	4420	36.8	768	6.4	761	6.3
HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	11307	94.1	5669	50.1	4201	37.2	707	6.3	730	6.5
HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	25	0.2	6	24.0	16	64.0	3	12.0	0	0.0
HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (.05-.079)	251	2.1	122	48.6	101	40.2	18	7.2	10	4.0
HNBD-HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING	82	0.7	42	51.2	25	30.5	8	9.8	7	8.5
NOT REPORTED	349	2.9	226	64.8	77	22.1	32	9.2	14	4.0

*For each sobriety code, percentages are based on row totals.

TABLE 20: FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 1995 DUI ARRESTEES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND TYPE OF ARREST*

TYPE OF ARREST	TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	12014	100.0	6065	50.5	4420	36.8	768	6.4	761	6.3
FELONY DUI	3558	29.6	1642	46.1	1441	40.5	260	7.3	215	6.0
JUVENILE DUI	161	1.3	91	56.5	59	36.6	6	3.7	5	3.1
MISDEMEANOR DUI	8295	69.0	4332	52.2	2920	35.2	502	6.1	541	6.5

*For each type of arrest, percentages are based on row totals.

TABLE 21: FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 1995 DUI ARRESTEES BY ADJUDICATION STATUS AND SOBRIETY CODE*

SOBRIETY CODE	TOTAL		TYPE OF CONVICTION											
			MISDEMEANOR DUI		FELONY DUI		ALCOHOL-RECKLESS		NONALCOHOL-RECKLESS		OTHER CONVICTIONS		NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTION	
	N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
TOTAL	12014	100.0	6669	55.5	1328	11.1	469	3.9	144	1.2	274	2.3	3130	26.1
HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	11307	94.1	6415	56.7	1247	11.0	448	4.0	128	1.1	224	2.0	2845	25.2
HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	25	0.2	8	32.0	2	8.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	16.0	11	44.0
HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (.05-.079)	251	2.1	119	47.4	31	12.4	5	2.0	1	0.4	14	5.6	81	32.3
HNBD-HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING	82	0.7	34	41.5	5	6.1	3	3.7	1	1.2	8	9.8	31	37.8
NOT REPORTED	349	2.9	93	26.6	43	12.3	13	3.7	14	4.0	24	6.9	162	46.4

*For each sobriety code, percentages are based on row totals.

TABLE 22: FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 1995 DUI ARRESTEES BY TYPE OF ARREST AND ADJUDICATION STATUS*

TYPE OF ARREST	TOTAL		TYPE OF CONVICTION											
			MISDEMEANOR DUI		FELONY DUI		ALCOHOL-RECKLESS		NONALCOHOL-RECKLESS		OTHER CONVICTIONS		NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTION	
	N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
TOTAL	12014	100.0	6669	55.5	1328	11.1	469	3.9	144	1.2	274	2.3	3130	26.1
FELONY DUI	3558	29.6	1191	33.5	1141	32.1	63	1.8	37	1.0	81	2.3	1045	29.4
JUVENILE DUI	161	1.3	47	29.2	14	8.7	3	1.9	1	0.6	6	3.7	90	55.9
MISDEMEANOR DUI	8295	69.0	5431	65.5	173	2.1	403	4.9	106	1.3	187	2.3	1995	24.1

*For each type of arrest, percentages are based on row totals.

TABLE 23: 1995 ACCIDENT-INVOLVED* DUI ARRESTEES WITH NO RECORD OF CONVICTION, BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST

COUNTY	TOTAL (100%)	TYPE OF ARREST					
		FELONY DUI		JUVENILE DUI		MISDEMEANOR DUI	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	5354	972	18.2	148	2.8	4234	79.1
ALAMEDA	156	11	7.1	0	0.0	145	92.9
AMADOR	9	2	22.2	0	0.0	7	77.8
BUTTE	30	2	6.7	2	6.7	26	86.7
CALAVERAS	6	2	33.3	0	0.0	4	66.7
COLUSA	5	4	80.0	0	0.0	1	20.0
CONTRA COSTA	133	11	8.3	6	4.5	116	87.2
DEL NORTE	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
EL DORADO	22	5	22.7	0	0.0	17	77.3
FRESNO	240	57	23.7	9	3.8	174	72.5
GLENN	6	4	66.7	0	0.0	2	33.3
HUMBOLDT	48	13	27.1	3	6.3	32	66.7
IMPERIAL	39	13	33.3	2	5.1	24	61.5
INYO	5	1	20.0	0	0.0	4	80.0
KERN	121	25	20.7	4	3.3	92	76.0
KINGS	21	3	14.3	0	0.0	18	85.7
LAKE	18	1	5.6	1	5.6	16	88.9
LASSEN	5	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	100.0
LOS ANGELES	1212	169	13.9	39	3.2	1004	82.8
MADERA	45	10	22.2	2	4.4	33	73.3
MARIN	46	4	8.7	0	0.0	42	91.3
MARIPOSA	2	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	100.0
MENDOCINO	27	11	40.7	0	0.0	16	59.3
MERCED	61	13	21.3	0	0.0	48	78.7
MODOC	2	1	50.0	0	0.0	1	50.0
MONO	3	1	33.3	0	0.0	2	66.7
MONTEREY	52	9	17.3	0	0.0	43	82.7
NAPA	29	5	17.2	1	3.4	23	79.3
NEVADA	8	3	37.5	0	0.0	5	62.5
ORANGE	382	43	11.3	8	2.1	331	86.6
PLACER	40	9	22.5	2	5.0	29	72.5
PLUMAS	14	3	21.4	1	7.1	10	71.4
RIVERSIDE	322	57	17.7	17	5.3	248	77.0
SACRAMENTO	243	90	37.0	9	3.7	144	59.3
SAN BENITO	8	2	25.0	0	0.0	6	75.0
SAN BERNARDINO	528	82	15.5	9	1.7	437	82.8
SAN DIEGO	404	111	27.5	5	1.2	288	71.3
SAN FRANCISCO	67	19	28.4	2	3.0	46	68.7
SAN JOAQUIN	102	15	14.7	1	1.0	86	84.3
SAN LUIS OBISPO	39	10	25.6	0	0.0	29	74.4
SAN MATEO	68	7	10.3	3	4.4	58	85.3
SANTA BARBARA	27	4	14.8	0	0.0	23	85.2
SANTA CLARA	131	23	17.6	1	0.8	107	81.7
SANTA CRUZ	37	2	5.4	2	5.4	33	89.2
SHASTA	31	13	41.9	1	3.2	17	54.8
SIERRA	2	1	50.0	0	0.0	1	50.0
SISKIYOU	9	2	22.2	0	0.0	7	77.8
SOLANO	59	11	18.6	3	5.1	45	76.3
SONOMA	67	4	6.0	4	6.0	59	88.1
STANISLAUS	79	21	26.6	1	1.3	57	72.2
SUTTER	9	2	22.2	0	0.0	7	77.8
TEHAMA	15	1	6.7	0	0.0	14	93.3
TRINITY	1	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0
TULARE	106	15	14.2	3	2.8	88	83.0
TUOLUMNE	6	2	33.3	0	0.0	4	66.7
VENTURA	103	19	18.4	6	5.8	78	75.7
YOLO	90	18	20.0	0	0.0	72	80.0
YUBA	9	1	11.1	0	0.0	8	88.9

*These cases include only arrestees whose accidents showed alcohol or drug-impaired sobriety codes.

TABLE 24a. 1995 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS* BY AGE AND SEX

AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	17189	100.0	13900	80.9	3289	19.1
UNDER 18	149	0.9	117	78.5	32	21.5
18-20	1061	6.2	886	83.5	175	16.5
21-30	6134	35.7	5095	83.1	1039	16.9
31-40	5169	30.1	4044	78.2	1125	21.8
41-50	2797	16.3	2233	79.8	564	20.2
51-60	1157	6.7	936	80.9	221	19.1
61-70	494	2.9	404	81.8	90	18.2
71 & ABOVE	228	1.3	185	81.1	43	18.9

*These data are derived from the California Highway Patrol's alcohol-accident files and include only those cases with available driver license numbers.

TABLE 24b: 1995 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS BY AGE AND SEX (NOT ARRESTED)

AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	7358	100.0	5823	79.1	1535	20.9
UNDER 18	79	1.1	57	72.2	22	27.8
18-20	473	6.4	384	81.2	89	18.8
21-30	2733	37.1	2224	81.4	509	18.6
31-40	2165	29.4	1665	76.9	500	23.1
41-50	1115	15.2	871	78.1	244	21.9
51-60	474	6.4	376	79.3	98	20.7
61-70	199	2.7	153	76.9	46	23.1
71 & ABOVE	120	1.6	93	77.5	27	22.5

TABLE 25a. SOBRIETY LEVEL BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS OF 1995 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS

SOBRIETY CODE	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS OR 1ST ALCOHOL RECKLESS		DUI OFFENDER STATUS							
					1ST DUI		2ND DUI		3RD DUI		4TH DUI	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	17189	100.0	7308	42.5	7018	40.8	2119	12.3	547	3.2	197	1.1
HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	12823	74.6	3767	29.4	6408	49.9	1969	15.4	500	3.9	184	1.4
HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	3084	17.9	2707	87.8	305	9.9	53	1.7	14	0.5	5	0.2
HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (.05-.079)	1263	7.3	820	64.9	306	24.2	96	7.6	33	2.6	8	0.6
HNBD-HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING	11	0.1	11	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
NOT REPORTED	8	0.0	3	37.5	4	50.0	1	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0

TABLE 25b: SOBRIETY LEVEL BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS OF 1995 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS (NOT ARRESTED)

SOBRIETY CODE	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS OR 1ST ALCOHOL-RECKLESS		DUI OFFENDER STATUS							
					1ST DUI		2ND DUI		3RD DUI		4TH DUI	
	N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
TOTAL	7358	100.0	4829	65.6	1833	24.9	500	6.8	144	2.0	52	0.7
HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	3228	43.9	1367	42.3	1318	40.8	391	12.1	109	3.4	43	1.3
HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	3063	41.6	2696	88.0	298	9.7	51	1.7	13	0.4	5	0.2
HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (.05-.079)	1051	14.3	754	71.7	214	20.4	57	5.4	22	2.1	4	0.4
HNBD-HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING	19	0.1	19	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
NOT REPORTED	17	0.1	3	42.9	3	42.9	1	14.3	0	0.0	0	0.0

TABLE 26a. 1995 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS

ACCIDENTS	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS OR 1ST ALCOHOL RECKLESS		DUI OFFENDER STATUS							
					1ST DUI		2ND DUI		3RD DUI		4TH DUI	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	17189	100.0	7308	42.5	7018	40.8	2119	12.3	547	3.2	197	1.1
FATAL	810	4.7	563	69.5	184	22.7	49	6.0	8	1.0	6	0.7
INJURY	16379	95.3	6745	41.2	6834	41.7	2070	12.6	539	3.3	191	1.2

TABLE 26b: 1995 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NOT ARRESTED)

ACCIDENTS	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIOR OR 1ST ALCOHOL-RECKLESS		DUI OFFENDER STATUS							
					1ST DUI		2ND DUI		3RD DUI		4TH DUI	
	N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
TOTAL	7358	100.0	4829	65.6	1833	24.9	500	6.8	144	2.0	52	0.7
FATAL	667*	9.1	487	73.0	136	20.4	33	4.9	6	0.9	5	0.7
INJURY	6691	90.9	4342	64.9	1697	25.4	467	7.0	138	2.1	47	0.7

*The records of 66% (442) of these cases indicated they were "deceased."

TABLE 27: 1-, 3- AND 7-YEAR TOTAL, FATAL/INJURY AND ALCOHOL-RELATED ACCIDENT MEANS BY OFFENDER STATUS

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL ACCIDENTS			FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS			ALCOHOL-RELATED ACCIDENTS		
	1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1995 ARRESTEES)	3-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1993 ARRESTEES)	7-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1989 ARRESTEES)	1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1995 ARRESTEES)	3-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1993 ARRESTEES)	7-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1989 ARRESTEES)	1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1995 ARRESTEES)	3-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1993 ARRESTEES)	7-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1989 ARRESTEES)
ALL	.0384	.1153	.2995	.0135	.0416	.1102	.0111	.0318	.0770
1ST DUI	.0427	.1245	.3105	.0144	.0431	.1108	.0105	.0286	.0685
2ND DUI	.0303	.1031	.3037	.0120	.0396	.1143	.0125	.0367	.0870
3RD DUI	.0249	.0850	.2369	.0104	.0370	.0980	.0129	.0422	.0978
4TH+ DUI	.0163	.0743	.2233	.0074	.0343	.0998	.0107	.0410	.1124

DATA SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS

DUI Arrest Data:
Arrest data are reported to the Department of Justice (DOJ), Law Enforcement Information Center, by individual law enforcement agencies throughout the state. As such, these data are subject to reporting errors such as incorrect names, birthdates or arrest dates. Nonreporting of arrest data due to error or omission can also occur; for example, in 1995 the Oakland Police Department reported no DUI arrests, after reporting 960 such arrests in 1994. In addition, when data are entered into DOJ's Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system, only the highest-order offense is included. Therefore, in cases where a DUI arrest is made in conjunction with, for example, an auto theft arrest, that DUI arrest will not be included in the database. This results in a slight but systematic underreporting of the number of DUI arrests annually.

DUI Conviction Data:
Abstracts of conviction for DUI and other traffic-related offenses are reported to the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) by courts throughout the state. As abstracts are received (either hard copy, magnetic tape or through direct electronic access from the courts) they are entered onto the DMV driver record database. Abstracts without an identifying driver license number are run through the automated name index (ANI) system in order to match the abstract with an existing driver record; in cases where no such match can be made, an "X" numbered record is created to store the abstract. The total number of DUI abstracts of conviction received by DMV from the courts is tallied monthly and annually. Since this workload total includes abstracts which amend, correct or dismiss prior abstracts of conviction, it tends to overestimate the actual number of convictions which have occurred. Conviction data are also subject to reporting and nonreporting errors similar to those for DUI arrests. For example, the 1993 Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System documented that thousands of DUI convictions showing in court records do not appear on the DMV driver record database.

Alcohol-Involved Accident Data:
Accident data are reported to the California Highway Patrol (CHP) by local law enforcement agencies and district offices of the CHP. As such, these data are subject to reporting and nonreporting errors similar to those occurring in both DUI arrest and conviction data. While most local law enforcement agencies will investigate and file reports on accidents involving injury or death, the investigation and reporting of property-damage-only accidents varies widely by local jurisdiction. Data are entered onto CHP's Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS) and output in annual published reports.

REFERENCES

California Department of Finance. (1991). *California statistical abstract, 1991*. Sacramento: Author.

California Department of Motor Vehicles. (1984-87). *An evaluation of the California drunk driving countermeasure system. Volumes 1-8*. Sacramento: Author.

California Highway Patrol. (1997). *1996 annual report of fatal and injury motor vehicle traffic collisions*. Sacramento: Author.

Helander, C. J. (1989). *Development of a California DUI management information system*. Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Rogers, P. N. (1997). *The specific deterrent impact of California's 0.08% blood alcohol concentration limit and administrative per se license suspension laws. Volume 2 of an evaluation of the effectiveness of California's 0.08% blood alcohol concentration limit and administrative per se license suspension laws* (Report No. 167). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1997). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 165). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1996). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 159). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1995). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 145). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1994). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 143). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., Marowitz, L. A., DeYoung, D. J., & Helander, C. J. (1993). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 138). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

GLOSSARY

ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE (APS)

Administrative per se ("on-the-spot") license suspension or revocation occurs immediately pursuant to lawful arrest of a person driving with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of 0.08% or more, or one who refuses a chemical test. Upon arrest, the driver's license is immediately confiscated by the law enforcement officer and an order of suspension or revocation served. The driver is issued a temporary license and allowed due process through administrative review. In July 1990, California became the 28th state to implement APS. In January 1994, California enacted a "zero tolerance" statute which requires the administrative suspension of any driver under age 21 with a BAC of 0.01% or greater, or who refuses to be tested.

ALCOHOL-INVOLVED ACCIDENT

Alcohol-involved accidents are those in which the investigating law enforcement officer indicates on the accident report that the driver "had been drinking (HBD)." Accidents involving drivers who are determined to be under the influence of drugs other than alcohol (typically less than 1% of all accidents) are also included in the alcohol-involved accident category.

ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS DRIVING

Commonly called a "wet" reckless, alcohol-related reckless driving refers to an arrest/conviction incident which originated as a DUI arrest. DUI arrests involving drugs which are reduced to reckless driving are also referred to as alcohol-involved or "wet" reckless driving. "Wet" reckless convictions count as priors for the purposes of enhanced penalties upon subsequent conviction of DUI.

ALPHA

Alpha is the investigator's acceptable risk or probability level of making a Type 1 error (generally chosen to be small–e.g., 1% or .01, 5% or .05). There is always some risk of a Type 1 error, so alpha cannot be zero. Alpha is also called the significance level, because it is the criterion for claiming statistical significance.

BAC

Blood alcohol concentration, or BAC, is a measure of the percent, by weight, of alcohol in a person's blood. Statutorily, BAC is based upon grams of alcohol per 100 milliliters of blood or per 210 liters of breath.

CONVICTION

Conviction of an offense, as used in this report, refers to the receipt by DMV of a court abstract of conviction. In a small proportion of cases, an offender may be convicted of an

offense but that conviction is not reported to DMV. Such cases would functionally be treated by DMV as though the offender had not been convicted. Because convictions can be amended, corrected, dismissed or simply not reported at all, the conviction totals reported herein are dynamic and subject to change.

COVARIATE

A variable used to statistically adjust the results of an analysis for differences (on that variable) existing among subjects prior to the comparison of treatment effects.

DUI

DUI is an acronym for "driving under the influence" of alcohol and/or drugs, a violation of Sections 23152 or 23153 of the California Vehicle Code.

LOGISTIC REGRESSION

Logistic regression analysis is a statistical procedure evaluating the linear relationship between various factors and the occurrence or nonoccurrence of an outcome event. In this study, the procedure was used to explain the relationship between the various sanctions and the proportion of DUI offenders who incurred accidents and/or DUI incidents.

MAJOR CONVICTION

Major convictions include primarily DUI convictions, but also reckless driving and hit-and-run convictions.

p

p stands for probability. For example, if $p<.05$, the probability is less than 5 chances in 100 that the difference you found is by chance alone.

QUASI-EXPERIMENTAL DESIGNS

Quasi-experimental designs refer to analyses where the comparison groups are not equivalent on characteristics other than the treatment conditions because random assignment was not used. Caution should be excercised when interpreting the results because of possible confounding of group bias with treatment effects. Covariates are used to statistically reduce group differences prior to the comparison of treatment effects.

SIGNIFICANT (STATISTICALLY)

If the result of a statistical test is significant, this means that the difference found is very unlikely by chance alone. How unlikely is determined by alpha.

APPENDIX A

Assembly Bill No. 757

CHAPTER 450

An act to add Section 1821 to the Vehicle Code. relating to driving offenses.

(Approved by Governor September 14, 1989. Filed with Secretary of State September 15, 1989.)

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 757, Friedman. Driving offenses: intervention programs: evaluation.

Under existing law, the Department of Motor Vehicles maintains records of driver's offenses reported by the courts. Including violations of the prohibitions against driving while under the influence of an alcoholic beverage, any drug, or both, driving with an excessive blood-alcohol concentration, or driving while addicted to any drug.

This bill would, additionally, require the department to establish and maintain a data and monitoring system, as specified, to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of those violations relating to alcohol and drugs, and to report thereon annually to the Legislature.

The bill would declare legislative findings.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. The Legislature finds and declares as follows:

(a) Drivers under the influence of drugs or alcohol continue to present a grave danger to the citizens of this state.

(b) The Legislature has taken stern action to deter this crime and punish its offenders and has provided a range of sanctions available to the courts to use at their discretion.

(c) No system exists to monitor and evaluate the efficacy of these measures or to determine the achievement of the Legislature's goals.

(d) This lack of accurate and up-to-date comprehensive statistics hampers the ability of the Legislature to make informed and timely policy decisions.

(e) It is essential that the Legislature acquire this information, from available resources, as soon as practicable, and that this information be updated and transmitted annually to the Legislature.

SEC. 2. Section 1821 is added to the Vehicle Code, to read:

1821: The department shall establish and maintain a data and monitoring system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of violations of Section 23152 or 23153.

The system may include a recidivism tracking system. The recidivism tracking system may include, but not be limited to, jail sentencing, license restriction, license suspension. Level I (first offender) and II (multiple offender) alcohol and drug education and treatment program assignment, alcohol and drug education treatment program readmission and dropout rates, adjudicating court, length of jail term, actual jail or alternative sentence served, type of treatment program assigned, actual program compliance status, subsequent accidents related to driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs, and subsequent convictions of violations of Section 23152 or 23153.

The department shall submit an annual report of its evaluations to the Legislature. The evaluations shall include a ranking of the relative efficacy of criminal penalties, other sanctions, and intervention programs and the various combinations thereof.

❍

APPENDIX B

TABLE B1: 1996 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE		201765	176675	87.6	25090	12.4	85840	42.5	91483	45.3	13048	6.5	11394	5.6
ALAMEDA	UNDER 18	54	46	85.2	8	14.8	28	51.9	16	29.6	6	11.1	4	7.4
	18-20	367	329	89.6	38	10.4	126	34.3	137	37.3	52	14.2	52	14.2
	21-30	2145	1864	86.9	281	13.1	749	34.9	754	35.2	413	19.3	229	10.7
	31-40	2010	1678	83.5	332	16.5	831	41.3	470	23.4	453	22.5	256	12.7
	41-50	1009	858	85.0	151	15.0	481	47.7	170	16.8	243	24.1	115	11.4
	51-60	419	369	88.1	50	11.9	198	47.3	62	14.8	115	27.4	44	10.5
	61-70	117	104	88.9	13	11.1	58	49.6	15	12.8	36	30.8	8	6.8
	71 & ABOVE	27	24	88.9	3	11.1	16	59.3	5	18.5	6	22.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	6148	5272	85.8	876	14.2	2487	40.5	1629	26.5	1324	21.5	708	11.5
ALPINE	18-20	2	1	50.0	1	50.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	10	10	100.0	0	0.0	8	80.0	2	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	31-40	15	13	86.7	2	13.3	13	86.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	13.3
	41-50	17	15	88.2	2	11.8	16	94.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	5.9
	51-60	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	53	48	90.6	5	9.4	48	90.6	2	3.8	0	0.0	3	5.7
AMADOR	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	13	12	92.3	1	7.7	13	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	49	47	95.9	2	4.1	39	79.6	8	16.3	1	2.0	1	2.0
	31-40	68	49	72.1	19	27.9	65	95.6	0	0.0	1	1.5	2	2.9
	41-50	44	38	86.4	6	13.6	40	90.9	4	9.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	23	20	87.0	3	13.0	22	95.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	4.3
	61-70	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	4	80.0	1	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	206	175	85.0	31	15.0	187	90.8	13	6.3	2	1.0	4	1.9
BUTTE	UNDER 18	29	22	75.9	7	24.1	23	79.3	2	6.9	0	0.0	4	13.8
	18-20	111	95	85.6	16	14.4	81	73.0	15	13.5	3	2.7	12	10.8
	21-30	522	455	87.2	67	12.8	365	69.9	96	18.4	17	3.3	44	8.4
	31-40	345	285	82.6	60	17.4	250	72.5	54	15.7	13	3.8	28	8.1
	41-50	231	195	84.4	36	15.6	193	83.5	19	8.2	1	0.4	18	7.8
	51-60	82	71	86.6	11	13.4	76	92.7	1	1.2	0	0.0	5	6.1
	61-70	19	17	89.5	2	10.5	13	68.4	4	21.1	2	10.5	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1346	1146	85.1	200	14.9	1008	74.9	191	14.2	36	2.7	111	8.2

TABLE B1: 1996 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
CALAVERAS	UNDER 18	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	16	16	100.0	0	0.0	13	81.3	3	18.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	68	61	89.7	7	10.3	62	91.2	6	8.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
	31-40	102	79	77.5	23	22.5	96	94.1	5	4.9	0	0.0	1	1.0
	41-50	77	64	83.1	13	16.9	71	92.2	6	7.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	41	38	92.7	3	7.3	39	95.1	2	4.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	7	2	28.6	5	71.4	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	320	268	83.8	52	16.2	297	92.8	22	6.9	0	0.0	1	0.3
COLUSA	UNDER 18	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	50.0
	18-20	26	22	84.6	4	15.4	13	50.0	12	46.2	0	0.0	1	3.8
	21-30	128	121	94.5	7	5.5	38	29.7	88	68.8	0	0.0	2	1.6
	31-40	79	67	84.8	12	15.2	42	53.2	36	45.6	0	0.0	1	1.3
	41-50	65	53	81.5	12	18.5	48	73.8	13	20.0	3	4.6	1	1.5
	51-60	16	15	93.8	1	6.3	12	75.0	3	18.8	0	0.0	1	6.3
	61-70	13	10	76.9	3	23.1	12	92.3	1	7.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	329	290	88.1	39	11.9	166	50.5	153	46.5	3	0.9	7	2.1
CONTRA	UNDER 18	68	55	80.9	13	19.1	54	79.4	9	13.2	2	2.9	3	4.4
COSTA	18-20	290	258	89.0	32	11.0	144	49.7	96	33.1	32	11.0	18	6.2
	21-30	1413	1219	86.3	194	13.7	676	47.8	499	35.3	174	12.3	64	4.5
	31-40	1282	1043	81.4	239	18.6	753	58.7	260	20.3	208	16.2	61	4.8
	41-50	744	609	81.9	135	18.1	453	60.9	120	16.1	130	17.5	41	5.5
	51-60	318	268	84.3	50	15.7	214	67.3	32	10.1	60	18.9	12	3.8
	61-70	100	88	88.0	12	12.0	51	51.0	6	6.0	39	39.0	4	4.0
	71 & ABOVE	40	34	85.0	6	15.0	31	77.5	0	0.0	8	20.0	1	2.5
	TOTAL	4255	3574	84.0	681	16.0	2376	55.8	1022	24.0	653	15.3	204	4.8
DEL NORTE	UNDER 18	10	9	90.0	1	10.0	6	60.0	3	30.0	0	0.0	1	10.0
	18-20	16	14	87.5	2	12.5	10	62.5	3	18.8	0	0.0	3	18.8
	21-30	70	58	82.9	12	17.1	47	67.1	19	27.1	0	0.0	4	5.7
	31-40	103	71	68.9	32	31.1	87	84.5	8	7.8	0	0.0	8	7.8
	41-50	61	51	83.6	10	16.4	56	91.8	2	3.3	1	1.6	2	3.3
	51-60	14	11	78.6	3	21.4	14	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	13	12	92.3	1	7.7	9	69.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	30.8
	71 & ABOVE	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	288	227	78.8	61	21.2	230	79.9	35	12.2	1	0.3	22	7.6

TABLE B1: 1996 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
EL DORADO	UNDER 18	25	19	76.0	6	24.0	23	92.0	2	8.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	78	68	87.2	10	12.8	66	84.6	12	15.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	284	243	85.6	41	14.4	225	79.2	54	19.0	3	1.1	2	0.7
	31-40	382	299	78.3	83	21.7	334	87.4	39	10.2	5	1.3	4	1.0
	41-50	255	199	78.0	56	22.0	241	94.5	10	3.9	2	0.8	2	0.8
	51-60	76	59	77.6	17	22.4	73	96.1	1	1.3	0	0.0	2	2.6
	61-70	26	24	92.3	2	7.7	25	96.2	1	3.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	10	8	80.0	2	20.0	10	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1136	919	80.9	217	19.1	997	87.8	119	10.5	10	0.9	10	0.9
FRESNO	UNDER 18	79	68	86.1	11	13.9	22	27.8	49	62.0	2	2.5	6	7.6
	18-20	556	534	96.0	22	4.0	114	20.5	415	74.6	12	2.2	15	2.7
	21-30	2694	2549	94.6	145	5.4	418	15.5	2117	78.6	77	2.9	82	3.0
	31-40	1860	1669	89.7	191	10.3	419	22.5	1294	69.6	86	4.6	61	3.3
	41-50	852	750	88.0	102	12.0	287	33.7	507	59.5	29	3.4	29	3.4
	51-60	294	265	90.1	29	9.9	112	38.1	151	51.4	21	7.1	10	3.4
	61-70	79	68	86.1	11	13.9	31	39.2	43	54.4	2	2.5	3	3.8
	71 & ABOVE	27	25	92.6	2	7.4	12	44.4	14	51.9	0	0.0	1	3.7
	TOTAL	6441	5928	92.0	513	8.0	1415	22.0	4590	71.3	229	3.6	207	3.2
GLENN	UNDER 18	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	3	60.0	2	40.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	27	27	100.0	0	0.0	13	48.1	12	44.4	0	0.0	2	7.4
	21-30	146	133	91.1	13	8.9	52	35.6	90	61.6	0	0.0	4	2.7
	31-40	111	96	86.5	15	13.5	62	55.9	46	41.4	1	0.9	2	1.8
	41-50	50	46	92.0	4	8.0	39	78.0	9	18.0	0	0.0	2	4.0
	51-60	23	21	91.3	2	8.7	20	87.0	3	13.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	10	8	80.0	2	20.0	8	80.0	1	10.0	0	0.0	1	10.0
	71 & ABOVE	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	3	60.0	2	40.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	377	341	90.5	36	9.5	200	53.1	165	43.8	1	0.3	11	2.9
HUMBOLDT	UNDER 18	18	13	72.2	5	27.8	17	94.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	5.6
	18-20	117	101	86.3	16	13.7	98	83.8	5	4.3	2	1.7	12	10.3
	21-30	434	377	86.9	57	13.1	348	80.2	43	9.9	5	1.2	38	8.8
	31-40	348	267	76.7	81	23.3	291	83.6	24	6.9	4	1.1	29	8.3
	41-50	224	191	85.3	33	14.7	201	89.7	8	3.6	2	0.9	13	5.8
	51-60	64	55	85.9	9	14.1	59	92.2	2	3.1	0	0.0	3	4.7
	61-70	23	23	100.0	0	0.0	20	87.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	13.0
	71 & ABOVE	10	10	100.0	0	0.0	9	90.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	10.0
	TOTAL	1238	1037	83.8	201	16.2	1043	84.2	82	6.6	13	1.1	100	8.1

TABLE B1: 1996 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
IMPERIAL	UNDER 18	11	10	90.9	1	9.1	3	27.3	8	72.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	86	78	90.7	8	9.3	25	29.1	59	68.6	0	0.0	2	2.3
	21-30	492	441	89.6	51	10.4	87	17.7	368	74.8	16	3.3	21	4.3
	31-40	553	497	89.9	56	10.1	104	18.8	415	75.0	9	1.6	25	4.5
	41-50	296	266	89.9	30	10.1	73	24.7	206	69.6	5	1.7	12	4.1
	51-60	127	116	91.3	11	8.7	42	33.1	83	65.4	1	0.8	1	0.8
	61-70	56	53	94.6	3	5.4	22	39.3	33	58.9	0	0.0	1	1.8
	71 & ABOVE	18	18	100.0	0	0.0	9	50.0	8	44.4	1	5.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1639	1479	90.2	160	9.8	365	22.3	1180	72.0	32	2.0	62	3.8
INYO	UNDER 18	6	6	100.0	0	0.0	2	33.3	0	0.0	1	16.7	3	50.0
	18-20	19	15	78.9	4	21.1	9	47.4	4	21.1	0	0.0	6	31.6
	21-30	73	66	90.4	7	9.6	41	56.2	12	16.4	1	1.4	19	26.0
	31-40	77	65	84.4	12	15.6	61	79.2	9	11.7	0	0.0	7	9.1
	41-50	64	58	90.6	6	9.4	50	78.1	4	6.3	0	0.0	10	15.6
	51-60	24	23	95.8	1	4.2	21	87.5	0	0.0	1	4.2	2	8.3
	61-70	11	11	100.0	0	0.0	11	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	7	7	100.0	0	0.0	5	71.4	1	14.3	0	0.0	1	14.3
	TOTAL	281	251	89.3	30	10.7	200	71.2	30	10.7	3	1.1	48	17.1
KERN	UNDER 18	75	66	88.0	9	12.0	40	53.3	31	41.3	4	5.3	0	0.0
	18-20	396	367	92.7	29	7.3	161	40.7	213	53.8	16	4.0	6	1.5
	21-30	1938	1787	92.2	151	7.8	623	32.1	1187	61.2	93	4.8	35	1.8
	31-40	1638	1433	87.5	205	12.5	619	37.8	860	52.5	123	7.5	36	2.2
	41-50	787	708	90.0	79	10.0	393	49.9	311	39.5	57	7.2	26	3.3
	51-60	300	271	90.3	29	9.7	180	60.0	100	33.3	16	5.3	4	1.3
	61-70	93	87	93.5	6	6.5	61	65.6	20	21.5	11	11.8	1	1.1
	71 & ABOVE	31	30	96.8	1	3.2	20	64.5	9	29.0	2	6.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	5258	4749	90.3	509	9.7	2097	39.9	2731	51.9	322	6.1	108	2.1
KINGS	UNDER 18	18	17	94.4	1	5.6	1	5.6	13	72.2	1	5.6	3	16.7
	18-20	92	82	89.1	10	10.9	33	35.9	51	55.4	6	6.5	2	2.2
	21-30	546	497	91.0	49	9.0	124	22.7	375	68.7	34	6.2	13	2.4
	31-40	385	334	86.8	51	13.2	92	23.9	263	68.3	19	4.9	11	2.9
	41-50	165	152	92.1	13	7.9	48	29.1	94	57.0	17	10.3	6	3.6
	51-60	68	60	88.2	8	11.8	33	48.5	24	35.3	8	11.8	3	4.4
	61-70	27	25	92.6	2	7.4	12	44.4	14	51.9	1	3.7	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	2	66.7	1	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1304	1170	89.7	134	10.3	345	26.5	835	64.0	86	6.6	38	2.9

TABLE B1: 1996 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
LAKE	UNDER 18	6	3	50.0	3	50.0	5	83.3	1	16.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	43	35	81.4	8	18.6	33	76.7	5	11.6	0	0.0	5	11.6
	21-30	159	131	82.4	28	17.6	108	67.9	39	24.5	5	3.1	7	4.4
	31-40	204	165	80.9	39	19.1	162	79.4	27	13.2	5	2.5	10	4.9
	41-50	139	113	81.3	26	18.7	121	87.1	8	5.8	4	2.9	6	4.3
	51-60	47	42	89.4	5	10.6	42	89.4	2	4.3	2	4.3	1	2.1
	61-70	31	28	90.3	3	9.7	29	93.5	0	0.0	1	3.2	1	3.2
	71 & ABOVE	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	5	83.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	16.7
	TOTAL	635	522	82.2	113	17.8	505	79.5	82	12.9	17	2.7	31	4.9
LASSEN	UNDER 18	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	12	11	91.7	1	8.3	10	83.3	1	8.3	0	0.0	1	8.3
	21-30	65	55	84.6	10	15.4	50	76.9	9	13.8	2	3.1	4	6.2
	31-40	62	48	77.4	14	22.6	55	88.7	3	4.8	0	0.0	4	6.5
	41-50	55	48	87.3	7	12.7	45	81.8	9	16.4	0	0.0	1	1.8
	51-60	23	21	91.3	2	8.7	23	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	10	10	100.0	0	0.0	9	90.0	1	10.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	236	201	85.2	35	14.8	201	85.2	23	9.7	2	0.8	10	4.2
LOS ANGELES	UNDER 18	233	202	86.7	31	13.3	75	32.2	136	58.4	11	4.7	11	4.7
	18-20	2640	2423	91.8	217	8.2	493	18.7	1845	69.9	134	5.1	168	6.4
	21-30	20504	18744	91.4	1760	8.6	3556	17.3	14398	70.2	1226	6.0	1324	6.5
	31-40	15487	13853	89.4	1634	10.6	3835	24.8	8876	57.3	1672	10.8	1104	7.1
	41-50	7003	6202	88.6	801	11.4	2173	31.0	3398	48.5	843	12.0	589	8.4
	51-60	2521	2285	90.6	236	9.4	957	38.0	956	37.9	382	15.2	226	9.0
	61-70	756	698	92.3	58	7.7	332	43.9	231	30.6	147	19.4	46	6.1
	71 & ABOVE	184	168	91.3	16	8.7	109	59.2	43	23.4	23	12.5	9	4.9
	TOTAL	49328	44575	90.4	4753	9.6	11530	23.4	29883	60.6	4438	9.0	3477	7.0
MADERA	UNDER 18	12	10	83.3	2	16.7	5	41.7	6	50.0	1	8.3	0	0.0
	18-20	95	92	96.8	3	3.2	32	33.7	61	64.2	0	0.0	2	2.1
	21-30	446	429	96.2	17	3.8	80	17.9	359	80.5	5	1.1	2	0.4
	31-40	286	266	93.0	20	7.0	83	29.0	186	65.0	10	3.5	7	2.4
	41-50	135	119	88.1	16	11.9	54	40.0	69	51.1	6	4.4	6	4.4
	51-60	53	46	86.8	7	13.2	31	58.5	20	37.7	2	3.8	0	0.0
	61-70	24	23	95.8	1	4.2	15	62.5	7	29.2	1	4.2	1	4.2
	71 & ABOVE	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	2	40.0	3	60.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1056	989	93.7	67	6.3	302	28.6	711	67.3	25	2.4	18	1.7

TABLE B1: 1996 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
MARIN	UNDER 18	13	11	84.6	2	15.4	10	76.9	3	23.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	90	76	84.4	14	15.6	45	50.0	29	32.2	4	4.4	12	13.3
	21-30	537	451	84.0	86	16.0	272	50.7	198	36.9	15	2.8	52	9.7
	31-40	475	352	74.1	123	25.9	341	71.8	64	13.5	18	3.8	52	10.9
	41-50	333	229	68.8	104	31.2	265	79.6	17	5.1	13	3.9	38	11.4
	51-60	122	86	70.5	36	29.5	98	80.3	5	4.1	5	4.1	14	11.5
	61-70	44	33	75.0	11	25.0	37	84.1	1	2.3	2	4.5	4	9.1
	71 & ABOVE	9	6	66.7	3	33.3	8	88.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	11.1
	TOTAL	1623	1244	76.6	379	23.4	1076	66.3	317	19.5	57	3.5	173	10.7
MARIPOSA	18-20	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	17	17	100.0	0	0.0	12	70.6	3	17.6	1	5.9	1	5.9
	31-40	29	25	86.2	4	13.8	25	86.2	3	10.3	0	0.0	1	3.4
	41-50	23	22	95.7	1	4.3	22	95.7	1	4.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	78	73	93.6	5	6.4	68	87.2	7	9.0	1	1.3	2	2.6
MENDOCINO	UNDER 18	20	12	60.0	8	40.0	15	75.0	2	10.0	0	0.0	3	15.0
	18-20	87	76	87.4	11	12.6	64	73.6	14	16.1	4	4.6	5	5.7
	21-30	314	269	85.7	45	14.3	180	57.3	101	32.2	2	0.6	31	9.9
	31-40	308	258	83.8	50	16.2	229	74.4	62	20.1	2	0.6	15	4.9
	41-50	199	160	80.4	39	19.6	163	81.9	20	10.1	4	2.0	12	6.0
	51-60	58	51	87.9	7	12.1	49	84.5	4	6.9	0	0.0	5	8.6
	61-70	27	22	81.5	5	18.5	23	85.2	3	11.1	0	0.0	1	3.7
	71 & ABOVE	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1017	851	83.7	166	16.3	726	71.4	207	20.4	12	1.2	72	7.1
MERCED	UNDER 18	19	19	100.0	0	0.0	6	31.6	12	63.2	1	5.3	0	0.0
	18-20	178	170	95.5	8	4.5	50	28.1	119	66.9	4	2.2	5	2.8
	21-30	868	809	93.2	59	6.8	177	20.4	661	76.2	17	2.0	13	1.5
	31-40	649	548	84.4	101	15.6	189	29.1	404	62.2	43	6.6	13	2.0
	41-50	308	262	85.1	46	14.9	116	37.7	164	53.2	18	5.8	10	3.2
	51-60	106	95	89.6	11	10.4	55	51.9	45	42.5	5	4.7	1	0.9
	61-70	29	24	82.8	5	17.2	14	48.3	11	37.9	2	6.9	2	6.9
	71 & ABOVE	16	13	81.3	3	18.8	10	62.5	4	25.0	2	12.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2173	1940	89.3	233	10.7	617	28.4	1420	65.3	92	4.2	44	2.0

TABLE B1: 1996 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
MODOC	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	2	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	33.3
	21-30	29	23	79.3	6	20.7	19	65.5	9	31.0	0	0.0	1	3.4
	31-40	17	12	70.6	5	29.4	14	82.4	2	11.8	0	0.0	1	5.9
	41-50	21	21	100.0	0	0.0	18	85.7	3	14.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	77	66	85.7	11	14.3	60	77.9	14	18.2	0	0.0	3	3.9
MONO	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	7	5	71.4	2	28.6	5	71.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	28.6
	21-30	58	53	91.4	5	8.6	39	67.2	12	20.7	0	0.0	7	12.1
	31-40	57	46	80.7	11	19.3	47	82.5	6	10.5	1	1.8	3	5.3
	41-50	35	23	65.7	12	34.3	29	82.9	2	5.7	0	0.0	4	11.4
	51-60	14	10	71.4	4	28.6	13	92.9	1	7.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	174	140	80.5	34	19.5	136	78.2	21	12.1	1	0.6	16	9.2
MONTEREY	UNDER 18	62	50	80.6	12	19.4	23	37.1	35	56.5	3	4.8	1	1.6
	18-20	378	348	92.1	30	7.9	60	15.9	299	79.1	7	1.9	12	3.2
	21-30	1627	1480	91.0	147	9.0	341	21.0	1197	73.6	50	3.1	39	2.4
	31-40	994	867	87.2	127	12.8	350	35.2	551	55.4	51	5.1	42	4.2
	41-50	469	397	84.6	72	15.4	219	46.7	205	43.7	30	6.4	15	3.2
	51-60	176	154	87.5	22	12.5	101	57.4	55	31.3	14	8.0	6	3.4
	61-70	64	57	89.1	7	10.9	46	71.9	15	23.4	1	1.6	2	3.1
	71 & ABOVE	21	19	90.5	2	9.5	17	81.0	4	19.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	3791	3372	88.9	419	11.1	1157	30.5	2361	62.3	156	4.1	117	3.1
NAPA	UNDER 18	19	15	78.9	4	21.1	12	63.2	7	36.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	86	78	90.7	8	9.3	38	44.2	47	54.7	1	1.2	0	0.0
	21-30	374	330	88.2	44	11.8	162	43.3	203	54.3	4	1.1	5	1.3
	31-40	348	269	77.3	79	22.7	227	65.2	115	33.0	4	1.1	2	0.6
	41-50	159	121	76.1	38	23.9	115	72.3	38	23.9	4	2.5	2	1.3
	51-60	56	48	85.7	8	14.3	43	76.8	11	19.6	1	1.8	1	1.8
	61-70	16	14	87.5	2	12.5	16	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1066	882	82.7	184	17.3	621	58.3	421	39.5	14	1.3	10	0.9

TABLE B1: 1996 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
NEVADA	UNDER 18	6	4	66.7	2	33.3	5	83.3	1	16.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	46	38	82.6	8	17.4	39	84.8	6	13.0	0	0.0	1	2.2
	21-30	148	125	84.5	23	15.5	119	80.4	25	16.9	2	1.4	2	1.4
	31-40	201	157	78.1	44	21.9	185	92.0	10	5.0	3	1.5	3	1.5
	41-50	144	124	86.1	20	13.9	135	93.8	5	3.5	2	1.4	2	1.4
	51-60	41	35	85.4	6	14.6	40	97.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	2.4
	61-70	12	11	91.7	1	8.3	9	75.0	0	0.0	3	25.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	602	498	82.7	104	17.3	536	89.0	47	7.8	10	1.7	9	1.5
ORANGE	UNDER 18	72	57	79.2	15	20.8	49	68.1	18	25.0	1	1.4	4	5.6
	18-20	778	671	86.2	107	13.8	399	51.3	330	42.4	9	1.2	40	5.1
	21-30	6416	5694	88.7	722	11.3	2514	39.2	3351	52.2	103	1.6	448	7.0
	31-40	4598	3909	85.0	689	15.0	2276	49.5	1891	41.1	115	2.5	316	6.9
	41-50	2197	1832	83.4	365	16.6	1328	60.4	628	28.6	45	2.0	196	8.9
	51-60	799	696	87.1	103	12.9	540	67.6	170	21.3	20	2.5	69	8.6
	61-70	239	208	87.0	31	13.0	196	82.0	34	14.2	4	1.7	5	2.1
	71 & ABOVE	54	44	81.5	10	18.5	47	87.0	6	11.1	0	0.0	1	1.9
	TOTAL	15153	13111	86.5	2042	13.5	7349	48.5	6428	42.4	297	2.0	1079	7.1
PLACER	UNDER 18	14	10	71.4	4	28.6	14	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	126	106	84.1	20	15.9	99	78.6	17	13.5	0	0.0	10	7.9
	21-30	592	499	84.3	93	15.7	468	79.1	89	15.0	8	1.4	27	4.6
	31-40	546	427	78.2	119	21.8	457	83.7	58	10.6	7	1.3	24	4.4
	41-50	319	252	79.0	67	21.0	286	89.7	18	5.6	3	0.9	12	3.8
	51-60	94	87	92.6	7	7.4	79	84.0	5	5.3	1	1.1	9	9.6
	61-70	19	18	94.7	1	5.3	17	89.5	1	5.3	0	0.0	1	5.3
	71 & ABOVE	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	5	83.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	16.7
	TOTAL	1716	1404	81.8	312	18.2	1425	83.0	188	11.0	19	1.1	84	4.9
PLUMAS	UNDER 18	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	10	9	90.0	1	10.0	10	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	48	42	87.5	6	12.5	46	95.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	4.2
	31-40	64	52	81.3	12	18.8	58	90.6	2	3.1	1	1.6	3	4.7
	41-50	69	62	89.9	7	10.1	67	97.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	2.9
	51-60	24	20	83.3	4	16.7	23	95.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	4.2
	61-70	12	9	75.0	3	25.0	12	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	7	7	100.0	0	0.0	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	238	204	85.7	34	14.3	227	95.4	2	0.8	1	0.4	8	3.4

TABLE B1: 1996 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
RIVERSIDE	UNDER 18	70	62	88.6	8	11.4	33	47.1	34	48.6	1	1.4	2	2.9
	18-20	670	608	90.7	62	9.3	236	35.2	391	58.4	28	4.2	15	2.2
	21-30	3364	3056	90.8	308	9.2	1062	31.6	2030	60.3	150	4.5	122	3.6
	31-40	2854	2480	86.9	374	13.1	1280	44.8	1262	44.2	201	7.0	111	3.9
	41-50	1559	1328	85.2	231	14.8	839	53.8	577	37.0	87	5.6	56	3.6
	51-60	588	512	87.1	76	12.9	386	65.6	135	23.0	42	7.1	25	4.3
	61-70	213	195	91.5	18	8.5	153	71.8	41	19.2	15	7.0	4	1.9
	71 & ABOVE	85	74	87.1	11	12.9	57	67.1	16	18.8	5	5.9	7	8.2
	TOTAL	9403	8315	88.4	1088	11.6	4046	43.0	4486	47.7	529	5.6	342	3.6
SACRAMENTO	UNDER 18	56	43	76.8	13	23.2	45	80.4	6	10.7	3	5.4	2	3.6
	18-20	522	450	86.2	72	13.8	313	60.0	118	22.6	45	8.6	46	8.8
	21-30	2880	2383	82.7	497	17.3	1725	59.9	645	22.4	271	9.4	239	8.3
	31-40	2360	1928	81.7	432	18.3	1523	64.5	362	15.3	279	11.8	196	8.3
	41-50	1197	987	82.5	210	17.5	785	65.6	148	12.4	162	13.5	102	8.5
	51-60	433	375	86.6	58	13.4	294	67.9	48	11.1	54	12.5	37	8.5
	61-70	129	121	93.8	8	6.2	90	69.8	10	7.8	17	13.2	12	9.3
	71 & ABOVE	40	36	90.0	4	10.0	27	67.5	4	10.0	4	10.0	5	12.5
	TOTAL	7617	6323	83.0	1294	17.0	4802	63.0	1341	17.6	835	11.0	639	8.4
SAN BENITO	UNDER 18	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	5	62.5	3	37.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	41	37	90.2	4	9.8	10	24.4	31	75.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	147	132	89.8	15	10.2	31	21.1	111	75.5	1	0.7	4	2.7
	31-40	100	84	84.0	16	16.0	34	34.0	66	66.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	41-50	72	59	81.9	13	18.1	29	40.3	43	59.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	18	17	94.4	1	5.6	7	38.9	9	50.0	1	5.6	1	5.6
	61-70	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	3	60.0	2	40.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	1	33.3	2	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	394	344	87.3	50	12.7	120	30.5	267	67.8	2	0.5	5	1.3
SAN	UNDER 18	70	64	91.4	6	8.6	38	54.3	28	40.0	2	2.9	2	2.9
BERNARDINO	18-20	695	640	92.1	55	7.9	275	39.6	380	54.7	24	3.5	16	2.3
	21-30	4286	3889	90.7	397	9.3	1406	32.8	2481	57.9	289	6.7	110	2.6
	31-40	3535	3065	86.7	470	13.3	1460	41.3	1640	46.4	306	8.7	129	3.6
	41-50	1862	1618	86.9	244	13.1	949	51.0	689	37.0	158	8.5	66	3.5
	51-60	668	595	89.1	73	10.9	407	60.9	183	27.4	56	8.4	22	3.3
	61-70	215	189	87.9	26	12.1	138	64.2	51	23.7	18	8.4	8	3.7
	71 & ABOVE	58	54	93.1	4	6.9	46	79.3	10	17.2	2	3.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	11389	10114	88.8	1275	11.2	4719	41.4	5462	48.0	855	7.5	353	3.1

TABLE B1: 1996 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SAN DIEGO	UNDER 18	139	113	81.3	26	18.7	87	62.6	44	31.7	5	3.6	3	2.2
	18-20	1201	1062	88.4	139	11.6	634	52.8	460	38.3	62	5.2	45	3.7
	21-30	6319	5537	87.6	782	12.4	2948	46.7	2618	41.4	454	7.2	299	4.7
	31-40	4604	3861	83.9	743	16.1	2390	51.9	1660	36.1	331	7.2	223	4.8
	41-50	2255	1873	83.1	382	16.9	1351	59.9	667	29.6	126	5.6	111	4.9
	51-60	710	611	86.1	99	13.9	467	65.8	161	22.7	42	5.9	40	5.6
	61-70	231	206	89.2	25	10.8	173	74.9	35	15.2	12	5.2	11	4.8
	71 & ABOVE	67	56	83.6	11	16.4	56	83.6	4	6.0	3	4.5	4	6.0
	TOTAL	15526	13319	85.8	2207	14.2	8106	52.2	5649	36.4	1035	6.7	736	4.7
SAN FRANCISCO	UNDER 18	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	5	62.5	1	12.5	1	12.5	1	12.5
	18-20	72	61	84.7	11	15.3	26	36.1	17	23.6	12	16.7	17	23.6
	21-30	596	508	85.2	88	14.8	312	52.3	114	19.1	53	8.9	117	19.6
	31-40	456	381	83.6	75	16.4	280	61.4	60	13.2	49	10.7	67	14.7
	41-50	242	196	81.0	46	19.0	146	60.3	18	7.4	49	20.2	29	12.0
	51-60	83	72	86.7	11	13.3	49	59.0	1	1.2	14	16.9	19	22.9
	61-70	28	23	82.1	5	17.9	19	67.9	1	3.6	7	25.0	1	3.6
	71 & ABOVE	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	3	75.0	0	0.0	1	25.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1489	1252	84.1	237	15.9	840	56.4	212	14.2	186	12.5	251	16.9
SAN JOAQUIN	UNDER 18	52	48	92.3	4	7.7	24	46.2	26	50.0	2	3.8	0	0.0
	18-20	318	289	90.9	29	9.1	123	38.7	180	56.6	6	1.9	9	2.8
	21-30	1464	1331	90.9	133	9.1	477	32.6	887	60.6	50	3.4	50	3.4
	31-40	1249	1053	84.3	196	15.7	599	48.0	521	41.7	87	7.0	42	3.4
	41-50	678	583	86.0	95	14.0	386	56.9	220	32.4	50	7.4	22	3.2
	51-60	242	216	89.3	26	10.7	143	59.1	75	31.0	17	7.0	7	2.9
	61-70	90	82	91.1	8	8.9	59	65.6	23	25.6	5	5.6	3	3.3
	71 & ABOVE	26	25	96.2	1	3.8	18	69.2	5	19.2	2	7.7	1	3.8
	TOTAL	4119	3627	88.1	492	11.9	1829	44.4	1937	47.0	219	5.3	134	3.3
SAN LUIS OBISPO	UNDER 18	42	35	83.3	7	16.7	32	76.2	10	23.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	211	175	82.9	36	17.1	153	72.5	53	25.1	3	1.4	2	0.9
	21-30	796	698	87.7	98	12.3	534	67.1	236	29.6	12	1.5	14	1.8
	31-40	651	545	83.7	106	16.3	471	72.4	151	23.2	17	2.6	12	1.8
	41-50	380	311	81.8	69	18.2	318	83.7	55	14.5	4	1.1	3	0.8
	51-60	125	106	84.8	19	15.2	103	82.4	17	13.6	3	2.4	2	1.6
	61-70	41	34	82.9	7	17.1	32	78.0	7	17.1	2	4.9	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	9	8	88.9	1	11.1	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2255	1912	84.8	343	15.2	1652	73.3	529	23.5	41	1.8	33	1.5

TABLE B1: 1996 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SAN MATEO	UNDER 18	38	33	86.8	5	13.2	24	63.2	9	23.7	0	0.0	5	13.2
	18-20	199	183	92.0	16	8.0	64	32.2	94	47.2	16	8.0	25	12.6
	21-30	1369	1199	87.6	170	12.4	491	35.9	654	47.8	63	4.6	161	11.8
	31-40	1192	972	81.5	220	18.5	619	51.9	360	30.2	90	7.6	123	10.3
	41-50	638	526	82.4	112	17.6	391	61.3	121	19.0	56	8.8	70	11.0
	51-60	244	212	86.9	32	13.1	159	65.2	35	14.3	24	9.8	26	10.7
	61-70	79	71	89.9	8	10.1	51	64.6	9	11.4	11	13.9	8	10.1
	71 & ABOVE	23	21	91.3	2	8.7	18	78.3	3	13.0	1	4.3	1	4.3
	TOTAL	3782	3217	85.1	565	14.9	1817	48.0	1285	34.0	261	6.9	419	11.1
SANTA	UNDER 18	36	28	77.8	8	22.2	18	50.0	16	44.4	2	5.6	0	0.0
BARBARA	18-20	296	251	84.8	45	15.2	144	48.6	132	44.6	8	2.7	12	4.1
	21-30	1230	1056	85.9	174	14.1	505	41.1	653	53.1	36	2.9	36	2.9
	31-40	866	721	83.3	145	16.7	460	53.1	359	41.5	28	3.2	19	2.2
	41-50	468	381	81.4	87	18.6	310	66.2	133	28.4	17	3.6	8	1.7
	51-60	155	128	82.6	27	17.4	97	62.6	47	30.3	6	3.9	5	3.2
	61-70	51	47	92.2	4	7.8	44	86.3	4	7.8	2	3.9	1	2.0
	71 & ABOVE	23	20	87.0	3	13.0	22	95.7	1	4.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	3125	2632	84.2	493	15.8	1600	51.2	1345	43.0	99	3.2	81	2.6
SANTA CLARA	UNDER 18	95	87	91.6	8	8.4	40	42.1	46	48.4	4	4.2	5	5.3
	18-20	532	485	91.2	47	8.8	165	31.0	320	60.2	22	4.1	25	4.7
	21-30	3910	3471	88.8	439	11.2	1189	30.4	2239	57.3	146	3.7	336	8.6
	31-40	3096	2661	85.9	435	14.1	1295	41.8	1325	42.8	171	5.5	305	9.9
	41-50	1458	1247	85.5	211	14.5	704	48.3	514	35.3	87	6.0	153	10.5
	51-60	490	415	84.7	75	15.3	271	55.3	157	32.0	23	4.7	39	8.0
	61-70	157	139	88.5	18	11.5	93	59.2	52	33.1	5	3.2	7	4.5
	71 & ABOVE	33	26	78.8	7	21.2	23	69.7	7	21.2	1	3.0	2	6.1
	TOTAL	9771	8531	87.3	1240	12.7	3780	38.7	4660	47.7	459	4.7	872	8.9
SANTA CRUZ	UNDER 18	25	16	64.0	9	36.0	15	60.0	8	32.0	1	4.0	1	4.0
	18-20	193	153	79.3	40	20.7	118	61.1	70	36.3	1	0.5	4	2.1
	21-30	957	825	86.2	132	13.8	486	50.8	454	47.4	11	1.1	6	0.6
	31-40	800	665	83.1	135	16.9	487	60.9	290	36.3	14	1.7	9	1.1
	41-50	454	373	82.2	81	17.8	342	75.3	103	22.7	1	0.2	8	1.8
	51-60	128	106	82.8	22	17.2	100	78.1	23	18.0	1	0.8	4	3.1
	61-70	33	28	84.8	5	15.2	29	87.9	4	12.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	13	13	100.0	0	0.0	11	84.6	2	15.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2603	2179	83.7	424	16.3	1588	61.0	954	36.7	29	1.1	32	1.2

TABLE B1: 1996 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SHASTA	UNDER 18	14	13	92.9	1	7.1	14	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	79	67	84.8	12	15.2	71	89.9	7	8.9	0	0.0	1	1.3
	21-30	296	247	83.4	49	16.6	254	85.8	20	6.8	9	3.0	13	4.4
	31-40	374	291	77.8	83	22.2	321	85.8	29	7.8	9	2.4	15	4.0
	41-50	213	173	81.2	40	18.8	195	91.5	8	3.8	3	1.4	7	3.3
	51-60	91	81	89.0	10	11.0	87	95.6	1	1.1	1	1.1	2	2.2
	61-70	43	41	95.3	2	4.7	43	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	9	8	88.9	1	11.1	8	88.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	11.1
	TOTAL	1119	921	82.3	198	17.7	993	88.7	65	5.8	22	2.0	39	3.5
SIERRA	18-20	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	10	10	100.0	0	0.0	9	90.0	1	10.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	31-40	14	13	92.9	1	7.1	13	92.9	1	7.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	41-50	11	8	72.7	3	27.3	11	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	43	39	90.7	4	9.3	41	95.3	2	4.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
SISKIYOU	UNDER 18	7	7	100.0	0	0.0	5	71.4	1	14.3	0	0.0	1	14.3
	18-20	19	18	94.7	1	5.3	12	63.2	4	21.1	0	0.0	3	15.8
	21-30	95	77	81.1	18	18.9	75	78.9	7	7.4	2	2.1	11	11.6
	31-40	124	101	81.5	23	18.5	99	79.8	7	5.6	2	1.6	16	12.9
	41-50	80	69	86.3	11	13.8	67	83.8	4	5.0	3	3.8	6	7.5
	51-60	38	30	78.9	8	21.1	27	71.1	2	5.3	0	0.0	9	23.7
	61-70	14	13	92.9	1	7.1	11	78.6	1	7.1	0	0.0	2	14.3
	71 & ABOVE	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	3	75.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	25.0
	TOTAL	381	319	83.7	62	16.3	299	78.5	26	6.8	7	1.8	49	12.9
SOLANO	UNDER 18	30	26	86.7	4	13.3	19	63.3	7	23.3	3	10.0	1	3.3
	18-20	123	105	85.4	18	14.6	65	52.8	37	30.1	14	11.4	7	5.7
	21-30	582	530	91.1	52	8.9	252	43.3	218	37.5	86	14.8	26	4.5
	31-40	566	463	81.8	103	18.2	316	55.8	130	23.0	92	16.3	28	4.9
	41-50	328	277	84.5	51	15.5	201	61.3	33	10.1	70	21.3	24	7.3
	51-60	114	94	82.5	20	17.5	72	63.2	10	8.8	18	15.8	14	12.3
	61-70	35	32	91.4	3	8.6	25	71.4	4	11.4	5	14.3	1	2.9
	71 & ABOVE	17	15	88.2	2	11.8	16	94.1	0	0.0	1	5.9	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1795	1542	85.9	253	14.1	966	53.8	439	24.5	289	16.1	101	5.6

TABLE B1: 1996 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SONOMA	UNDER 18	59	45	76.3	14	23.7	47	79.7	11	18.6	0	0.0	1	1.7
	18-20	242	205	84.7	37	15.3	153	63.2	80	33.1	2	0.8	7	2.9
	21-30	1071	935	87.3	136	12.7	555	51.8	464	43.3	12	1.1	40	3.7
	31-40	849	676	79.6	173	20.4	593	69.8	216	25.4	6	0.7	34	4.0
	41-50	581	461	79.3	120	20.7	470	80.9	84	14.5	8	1.4	19	3.3
	51-60	188	162	86.2	26	13.8	160	85.1	17	9.0	3	1.6	8	4.3
	61-70	57	55	96.5	2	3.5	49	86.0	5	8.8	2	3.5	1	1.8
	71 & ABOVE	27	23	85.2	4	14.8	25	92.6	1	3.7	0	0.0	1	3.7
	TOTAL	3074	2562	83.3	512	16.7	2052	66.8	878	28.6	33	1.1	111	3.6
STANISLAUS	UNDER 18	38	37	97.4	1	2.6	20	52.6	17	44.7	0	0.0	1	2.6
	18-20	228	214	93.9	14	6.1	96	42.1	120	52.6	1	0.4	11	4.8
	21-30	1072	958	89.4	114	10.6	442	41.2	584	54.5	24	2.2	22	2.1
	31-40	782	663	84.8	119	15.2	384	49.1	349	44.6	30	3.8	19	2.4
	41-50	420	363	86.4	57	13.6	262	62.4	129	30.7	19	4.5	10	2.4
	51-60	151	129	85.4	22	14.6	96	63.6	46	30.5	5	3.3	4	2.6
	61-70	52	47	90.4	5	9.6	36	69.2	13	25.0	0	0.0	3	5.8
	71 & ABOVE	14	14	100.0	0	0.0	9	64.3	4	28.6	1	7.1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2757	2425	88.0	332	120.0	1345	48.8	1262	45.8	80	2.9	70	2.5
SUTTER	UNDER 18	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	6	75.0	1	12.5	0	0.0	1	12.5
	18-20	86	80	93.0	6	7.0	41	47.7	36	41.9	5	5.8	4	4.7
	21-30	303	264	87.1	39	12.9	146	48.2	126	41.6	0	0.0	31	10.2
	31-40	280	230	82.1	50	17.9	152	54.3	90	32.1	6	2.1	32	11.4
	41-50	178	144	80.9	34	19.1	128	71.9	28	15.7	3	1.7	19	10.7
	51-60	47	36	76.6	11	23.4	38	80.9	8	17.0	1	2.1	0	0.0
	61-70	29	27	93.1	2	6.9	21	72.4	5	17.2	0	0.0	3	10.3
	71 & ABOVE	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	935	792	84.7	143	15.3	536	57.3	294	31.4	15	1.6	90	9.6
TEHAMA	UNDER 18	9	4	44.4	5	55.6	8	88.9	1	11.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	52	46	88.5	6	11.5	36	69.2	14	26.9	0	0.0	2	3.8
	21-30	127	109	85.8	18	14.2	83	65.4	41	32.3	0	0.0	3	2.4
	31-40	135	104	77.0	31	23.0	101	74.8	31	23.0	1	0.7	2	1.5
	41-50	91	70	76.9	21	23.1	73	80.2	12	13.2	4	4.4	2	2.2
	51-60	31	28	90.3	3	9.7	27	87.1	4	12.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	14	13	92.9	1	7.1	13	92.9	1	7.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	7	87.5	1	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	467	381	81.6	86	18.4	348	74.5	105	22.5	5	1.1	9	1.9

TABLE B1: 1996 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TRINITY	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	4	80.0	1	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	48	41	85.4	7	14.6	45	93.8	1	2.1	1	2.1	1	2.1
	31-40	56	39	69.6	17	30.4	53	94.6	1	1.8	0	0.0	2	3.6
	41-50	41	33	80.5	8	19.5	40	97.6	1	2.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	19	16	84.2	3	15.8	18	94.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	5.3
	61-70	7	7	100.0	0	0.0	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	177	142	80.2	35	19.8	168	94.9	4	2.3	1	0.6	4	2.3
TULARE	UNDER 18	46	40	87.0	6	13.0	15	32.6	31	67.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	343	327	95.3	16	4.7	66	19.2	272	79.3	2	0.6	3	0.9
	21-30	1569	1501	95.7	68	4.3	265	16.9	1265	80.6	16	1.0	23	1.5
	31-40	1071	956	89.3	115	10.7	259	24.2	780	72.8	16	1.5	16	1.5
	41-50	456	407	89.3	49	10.7	146	32.0	295	64.7	5	1.1	10	2.2
	51-60	167	152	91.0	15	9.0	75	44.9	87	52.1	2	1.2	3	1.8
	61-70	51	45	88.2	6	11.8	27	52.9	24	47.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	20	20	100.0	0	0.0	10	50.0	9	45.0	1	5.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	3723	3448	92.6	275	7.4	863	23.2	2763	74.2	42	1.1	55	1.5
TUOLUMNE	UNDER 18	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	24	21	87.5	3	12.5	21	87.5	3	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	78	63	80.8	15	19.2	74	94.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	5.1
	31-40	132	99	75.0	33	25.0	130	98.5	1	0.8	0	0.0	1	0.8
	41-50	91	73	80.2	18	19.8	88	96.7	1	1.1	0	0.0	2	2.2
	51-60	25	21	84.0	4	16.0	25	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	20	19	95.0	1	5.0	20	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	378	304	80.4	74	19.6	366	96.8	5	1.3	0	0.0	7	1.9
VENTURA	UNDER 18	41	27	65.9	14	34.1	34	82.9	7	17.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	248	229	92.3	19	7.7	93	37.5	149	60.1	3	1.2	3	1.2
	21-30	1541	1392	90.3	149	9.7	546	35.4	925	60.0	40	2.6	30	1.9
	31-40	1142	969	84.9	173	15.1	580	50.8	495	43.3	30	2.6	37	3.2
	41-50	599	508	84.8	91	15.2	354	59.1	200	33.4	18	3.0	27	4.5
	51-60	206	183	88.8	23	11.2	136	66.0	59	28.6	3	1.5	8	3.9
	61-70	60	56	93.3	4	6.7	41	68.3	15	25.0	2	3.3	2	3.3
	71 & ABOVE	24	22	91.7	2	8.3	17	70.8	7	29.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	3861	3386	87.7	475	12.3	1801	46.6	1857	48.1	96	2.5	107	2.8

TABLE B1: 1996 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
YOLO	UNDER 18	19	14	73.7	5	26.3	15	78.9	4	21.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	127	110	86.6	17	13.4	69	54.3	54	42.5	1	0.8	3	2.4
	21-30	585	524	89.6	61	10.4	279	47.7	276	47.2	14	2.4	16	2.7
	31-40	414	348	84.1	66	15.9	230	55.6	164	39.6	4	1.0	16	3.9
	41-50	210	172	81.9	38	18.1	138	65.7	57	27.1	8	3.8	7	3.3
	51-60	92	81	88.0	11	12.0	68	73.9	21	22.8	2	2.2	1	1.1
	61-70	31	29	93.5	2	6.5	21	67.7	8	25.8	1	3.2	1	3.2
	71 & ABOVE	10	5	50.0	5	50.0	9	90.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	10.0
	TOTAL	1488	1283	86.2	205	13.8	829	55.7	584	39.2	30	2.0	45	3.0
YUBA	UNDER 18	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	34	30	88.2	4	11.8	18	52.9	14	41.2	1	2.9	1	2.9
	21-30	181	146	80.7	35	19.3	92	50.8	80	44.2	6	3.3	3	1.7
	31-40	147	116	78.9	31	21.1	109	74.1	30	20.4	6	4.1	2	1.4
	41-50	88	76	86.4	12	13.6	66	75.0	11	12.5	6	6.8	5	5.7
	51-60	38	30	78.9	8	21.1	34	89.5	3	7.9	0	0.0	1	2.6
	61-70	16	14	87.5	2	12.5	12	75.0	2	12.5	2	12.5	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	2	50.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	1	25.0
	TOTAL	512	420	82.0	92	18.0	337	65.8	141	27.5	21	4.1	13	2.5

TABLE B2: 1995 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE		143758	100.0	125298	100.0	18460	100.0
ALAMEDA	UNDER 18	16	0.4	13	0.3	3	0.4
	18-20	179	4.0	163	4.3	16	2.3
	21-30	1471	33.1	1267	33.8	204	29.7
	31-40	1501	33.8	1249	33.3	252	36.7
	41-50	790	17.8	646	17.2	144	21.0
	51-60	336	7.6	280	7.5	56	8.2
	61-70	127	2.9	116	3.1	11	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	20	0.5	19	0.5	1	0.1
	TOTAL	4440	100.0	3753	100.0	687	100.0
ALPINE	UNDER 18	1	2.2	1	2.6	0	0.0
	18-20	2	4.4	2	5.3	0	0.0
	21-30	6	13.3	6	15.8	0	0.0
	31-40	19	42.2	16	42.1	3	42.9
	41-50	13	28.9	10	26.3	3	42.9
	51-60	3	6.7	3	7.9	0	0.0
	61-70	1	2.2	0	0.0	1	14.3
	TOTAL	45	100.0	38	100.0	7	100.0
AMADOR	UNDER 18	1	0.7	1	0.8	0	0.0
	18-20	6	3.9	5	3.9	1	4.0
	21-30	31	20.4	29	22.8	2	8.0
	31-40	61	40.1	54	42.5	7	28.0
	41-50	33	21.7	23	18.1	10	40.0
	51-60	14	9.2	11	8.7	3	12.0
	61-70	5	3.3	3	2.4	2	8.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.7	1	0.8	0	0.0
	TOTAL	152	100.0	127	100.0	25	100.0
BUTTE	UNDER 18	7	0.7	6	0.8	1	0.7
	18-20	54	5.7	45	5.6	9	6.0
	21-30	355	37.4	310	38.9	45	29.8
	31-40	242	25.5	196	24.6	46	30.5
	41-50	183	19.3	152	19.1	31	20.5
	51-60	72	7.6	59	7.4	13	8.6
	61-70	24	2.5	19	2.4	5	3.3
	71 & ABOVE	11	1.2	10	1.3	1	0.7
	TOTAL	948	100.0	797	100.0	151	100.0
CALAVERAS	UNDER 18	2	1.1	2	1.3	0	0.0
	18-20	4	2.2	4	2.6	0	0.0
	21-30	38	20.7	28	18.4	10	31.3
	31-40	51	27.7	40	26.3	11	34.4
	41-50	55	29.9	48	31.6	7	21.9
	51-60	23	12.5	22	14.5	1	3.1
	61-70	8	4.3	6	3.9	2	6.3
	71 & ABOVE	3	1.6	2	1.3	1	3.1
	TOTAL	184	100.0	152	100.0	32	100.0
COLUSA	UNDER 18	1	0.4	1	0.5	0	0.0
	18-20	14	6.1	13	6.1	1	5.9
	21-30	82	35.7	77	36.2	5	29.4
	31-40	61	26.5	58	27.2	3	17.6
	41-50	45	19.6	38	17.8	7	41.2
	51-60	16	7.0	15	7.0	1	5.9
	61-70	8	3.5	8	3.8	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	1.3	3	1.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	230	100.0	213	100.0	17	100.0

TABLE B2: 1995 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
CONTRA COSTA	UNDER 18	8	0.3	7	0.3	1	0.2
	18-20	121	4.2	108	4.5	13	2.7
	21-30	921	31.7	781	32.3	140	28.8
	31-40	958	33.0	772	32.0	186	38.3
	41-50	542	18.7	442	18.3	100	20.6
	51-60	238	8.2	208	8.6	30	6.2
	61-70	88	3.0	78	3.2	10	2.1
	71 & ABOVE	25	0.9	19	0.8	6	1.2
	TOTAL	2901	100.0	2415	100.0	486	100.0
DEL NORTE	UNDER 18	1	0.6	1	0.7	0	0.0
	18-20	9	5.1	8	5.5	1	3.1
	21-30	42	23.6	34	23.3	8	25.0
	31-40	73	41.0	58	39.7	15	46.9
	41-50	34	19.1	27	18.5	7	21.9
	51-60	12	6.7	12	8.2	0	0.0
	61-70	5	2.8	4	2.7	1	3.1
	71 & ABOVE	2	1.1	2	1.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	178	100.0	146	100.0	32	100.0
EL DORADO	UNDER 18	3	0.3	2	0.3	1	0.7
	18-20	47	5.4	39	5.3	8	5.5
	21-30	254	28.9	218	29.7	36	24.8
	31-40	309	35.2	246	33.6	63	43.4
	41-50	180	20.5	153	20.9	27	18.6
	51-60	51	5.8	44	6.0	7	4.8
	61-70	26	3.0	26	3.5	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	8	0.9	5	0.7	3	2.1
	TOTAL	878	100.0	733	100.0	145	100.0
FRESNO	UNDER 18	14	0.4	13	0.4	1	0.2
	18-20	227	5.7	211	6.0	16	3.8
	21-30	1498	37.8	1353	38.2	145	34.6
	31-40	1313	33.1	1165	32.9	148	35.3
	41-50	663	16.7	583	16.5	80	19.1
	51-60	179	4.5	157	4.4	22	5.3
	61-70	56	1.4	52	1.5	4	1.0
	71 & ABOVE	12	0.3	9	0.3	3	0.7
	TOTAL	3962	100.0	3543	100.0	419	100.0
GLENN	UNDER 18	2	0.8	2	0.8	0	0.0
	18-20	21	8.0	20	8.5	1	3.7
	21-30	88	33.5	82	34.7	6	22.2
	31-40	88	33.5	74	31.4	14	51.9
	41-50	40	15.2	35	14.8	5	18.5
	51-60	19	7.2	18	7.6	1	3.7
	61-70	5	1.9	5	2.1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	263	100.0	236	100.0	27	100.0
HUMBOLDT	UNDER 18	2	0.3	2	0.4	0	0.0
	18-20	27	4.2	21	4.2	6	4.6
	21-30	231	36.3	188	37.2	43	32.8
	31-40	190	29.9	146	28.9	44	33.6
	41-50	129	20.3	100	19.8	29	22.1
	51-60	33	5.2	28	5.5	5	3.8
	61-70	18	2.8	14	2.8	4	3.1
	71 & ABOVE	6	0.9	6	1.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	636	100.0	505	100.0	131	100.0

TABLE B2: 1995 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
IMPERIAL	18-20	33	3.4	31	3.4	2	2.9
	21-30	275	28.3	256	28.4	19	27.1
	31-40	327	33.7	301	33.4	26	37.1
	41-50	215	22.1	199	22.1	16	22.9
	51-60	82	8.4	77	8.5	5	7.1
	61-70	28	2.9	26	2.9	2	2.9
	71 & ABOVE	11	1.1	11	1.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	971	100.0	901	100.0	70	100.0
INYO	UNDER 18	1	0.6	0	0.0	1	4.2
	18-20	3	1.7	2	1.3	1	4.2
	21-30	45	25.1	42	27.1	3	12.5
	31-40	72	40.2	62	40.0	10	41.7
	41-50	33	18.4	28	18.1	5	20.8
	51-60	15	8.4	12	7.7	3	12.5
	61-70	8	4.5	7	4.5	1	4.2
	71 & ABOVE	2	1.1	2	1.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	179	100.0	155	100.0	24	100.0
KERN	UNDER 18	33	0.8	32	0.9	1	0.2
	18-20	225	5.7	203	5.9	22	4.6
	21-30	1441	36.6	1299	37.6	142	29.4
	31-40	1320	33.5	1105	31.9	215	44.5
	41-50	621	15.8	547	15.8	74	15.3
	51-60	218	5.5	194	5.6	24	5.0
	61-70	60	1.5	57	1.6	3	0.6
	71 & ABOVE	24	0.6	22	0.6	2	0.4
	TOTAL	3942	100.0	3459	100.0	483	100.0
KINGS	UNDER 18	4	0.5	4	0.6	0	0.0
	18-20	63	7.7	54	7.5	9	9.3
	21-30	339	41.5	312	43.4	27	27.8
	31-40	236	28.9	203	28.2	33	34.0
	41-50	122	15.0	98	13.6	24	24.7
	51-60	43	5.3	39	5.4	4	4.1
	61-70	8	1.0	8	1.1	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.1	1	0.1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	816	100.0	719	100.0	97	100.0
LAKE	UNDER 18	5	1.0	4	1.0	1	0.9
	18-20	31	6.0	28	6.8	3	2.8
	21-30	106	20.5	91	22.2	15	13.9
	31-40	181	34.9	125	30.5	56	51.9
	41-50	113	21.8	91	22.2	22	20.4
	51-60	51	9.8	43	10.5	8	7.4
	61-70	24	4.6	22	5.4	2	1.9
	71 & ABOVE	7	1.4	6	1.5	1	0.9
	TOTAL	518	100.0	410	100.0	108	100.0
LASSEN	UNDER 18	1	0.5	1	0.6	0	0.0
	18-20	7	3.7	5	3.2	2	6.5
	21-30	58	30.7	44	27.8	14	45.2
	31-40	60	31.7	52	32.9	8	25.8
	41-50	40	21.2	34	21.5	6	19.4
	51-60	18	9.5	17	10.8	1	3.2
	61-70	5	2.6	5	3.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	189	100.0	158	100.0	31	100.0

TABLE B2: 1995 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
LOS ANGELES	UNDER 18	9	0.0	9	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	1167	3.2	1082	3.3	85	2.5
	21-30	14546	40.0	13281	40.4	1265	36.5
	31-40	12298	33.9	11084	33.7	1214	35.0
	41-50	5610	15.4	4964	15.1	646	18.6
	51-60	1954	5.4	1766	5.4	188	5.4
	61-70	600	1.7	549	1.7	51	1.5
	71 & ABOVE	143	0.4	127	0.4	16	0.5
	TOTAL	36327	100.0	32862	100.0	3465	100.0
MADERA	UNDER 18	1	0.2	1	0.2	0	0.0
	18-20	36	5.6	30	5.3	6	8.1
	21-30	245	38.2	229	40.4	16	21.6
	31-40	221	34.5	187	33.0	34	45.9
	41-50	95	14.8	82	14.5	13	17.6
	51-60	23	3.6	21	3.7	2	2.7
	61-70	14	2.2	11	1.9	3	4.1
	71 & ABOVE	6	0.9	6	1.1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	641	100.0	567	100.0	74	100.0
MARIN	UNDER 18	5	0.4	5	0.5	0	0.0
	18-20	41	3.3	37	3.9	4	1.3
	21-30	392	31.2	319	33.2	73	24.5
	31-40	408	32.4	304	31.7	104	34.9
	41-50	274	21.8	188	19.6	86	28.9
	51-60	94	7.5	70	7.3	24	8.1
	61-70	35	2.8	28	2.9	7	2.3
	71 & ABOVE	9	0.7	9	0.9	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1258	100.0	960	100.0	298	100.0
MARIPOSA	18-20	5	4.6	5	5.4	0	0.0
	21-30	30	27.8	27	29.3	3	18.8
	31-40	36	33.3	30	32.6	6	37.5
	41-50	24	22.2	21	22.8	3	18.8
	51-60	9	8.3	6	6.5	3	18.8
	61-70	4	3.7	3	3.3	1	6.3
	TOTAL	108	100.0	92	100.0	16	100.0
MENDOCINO	UNDER 18	8	1.3	8	1.5	0	0.0
	18-20	37	5.9	33	6.3	4	3.9
	21-30	200	31.8	178	33.8	22	21.4
	31-40	214	34.0	166	31.6	48	46.6
	41-50	110	17.5	91	17.3	19	18.4
	51-60	35	5.6	30	5.7	5	4.9
	61-70	20	3.2	15	2.9	5	4.9
	71 & ABOVE	5	0.8	5	1.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	629	100.0	526	100.0	103	100.0
MERCED	UNDER 18	3	0.3	2	0.2	1	0.9
	18-20	50	4.6	43	4.4	7	6.4
	21-30	383	34.9	362	36.7	21	19.1
	31-40	359	32.8	312	31.6	47	42.7
	41-50	185	16.9	157	15.9	28	25.5
	51-60	78	7.1	75	7.6	3	2.7
	61-70	32	2.9	29	2.9	3	2.7
	71 & ABOVE	6	0.5	6	0.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1096	100.0	986	100.0	110	100.0

TABLE B2: 1995 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
MODOC	18-20	3	6.1	3	7.7	0	0.0
	21-30	18	36.7	14	35.9	4	40.0
	31-40	13	26.5	11	28.2	2	20.0
	41-50	11	22.4	8	20.5	3	30.0
	51-60	3	6.1	2	5.1	1	10.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	2.0	1	2.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	49	100.0	39	100.0	10	100.0
MONO	18-20	5	5.0	4	4.3	1	11.1
	21-30	26	25.7	26	28.3	0	0.0
	31-40	32	31.7	27	29.3	5	55.6
	41-50	26	25.7	24	26.1	2	22.2
	51-60	6	5.9	6	6.5	0	0.0
	61-70	4	4.0	4	4.3	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	2.0	1	1.1	1	11.1
	TOTAL	101	100.0	92	100.0	9	100.0
MONTEREY	UNDER 18	14	0.5	14	0.5	0	0.0
	18-20	193	6.3	181	6.6	12	3.7
	21-30	1271	41.2	1182	42.8	89	27.4
	31-40	937	30.4	823	29.8	114	35.1
	41-50	464	15.0	386	14.0	78	24.0
	51-60	136	4.4	115	4.2	21	6.5
	61-70	58	1.9	52	1.9	6	1.8
	71 & ABOVE	13	0.4	8	0.3	5	1.5
	TOTAL	3086	100.0	2761	100.0	325	100.0
NAPA	UNDER 18	7	0.8	5	0.7	2	1.6
	18-20	54	6.1	47	6.1	7	5.6
	21-30	320	35.9	286	37.4	34	27.0
	31-40	260	29.2	217	28.4	43	34.1
	41-50	154	17.3	131	17.1	23	18.3
	51-60	56	6.3	43	5.6	13	10.3
	61-70	30	3.4	27	3.5	3	2.4
	71 & ABOVE	10	1.1	9	1.2	1	0.8
	TOTAL	891	100.0	765	100.0	126	100.0
NEVADA	UNDER 18	5	0.9	5	1.1	0	0.0
	18-20	37	6.6	32	6.9	5	5.2
	21-30	133	23.8	117	25.3	16	16.5
	31-40	180	32.2	145	31.4	35	36.1
	41-50	142	25.4	115	24.9	27	27.8
	51-60	42	7.5	31	6.7	11	11.3
	61-70	15	2.7	13	2.8	2	2.1
	71 & ABOVE	5	0.9	4	0.9	1	1.0
	TOTAL	559	100.0	462	100.0	97	100.0
ORANGE	UNDER 18	17	0.1	15	0.1	2	0.1
	18-20	474	3.6	402	3.6	72	4.1
	21-30	5497	42.2	4850	43.1	647	36.8
	31-40	4128	31.7	3545	31.5	583	33.1
	41-50	1943	14.9	1615	14.3	328	18.6
	51-60	689	5.3	597	5.3	92	5.2
	61-70	213	1.6	187	1.7	26	1.5
	71 & ABOVE	63	0.5	54	0.5	9	0.5
	TOTAL	13024	100.0	11265	100.0	1759	100.0

TABLE B2: 1995 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
PLACER	UNDER 18	5	0.4	2	0.2	3	1.2
	18-20	84	6.4	76	7.1	8	3.3
	21-30	463	35.4	390	36.7	73	29.8
	31-40	418	31.9	327	30.7	91	37.1
	41-50	226	17.3	172	16.2	54	22.0
	51-60	84	6.4	74	7.0	10	4.1
	61-70	25	1.9	20	1.9	5	2.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	0.3	3	0.3	1	0.4
	TOTAL	1309	100.0	1064	100.0	245	100.0
PLUMAS	18-20	7	5.7	6	6.0	1	4.5
	21-30	25	20.5	21	21.0	4	18.2
	31-40	36	29.5	29	29.0	7	31.8
	41-50	36	29.5	29	29.0	7	31.8
	51-60	11	9.0	8	8.0	3	13.6
	61-70	7	5.7	7	7.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	122	100.0	100	100.0	22	100.0
RIVERSIDE	UNDER 18	7	0.1	7	0.1	0	0.0
	18-20	281	4.8	265	5.2	16	2.1
	21-30	2109	36.0	1878	36.8	231	30.8
	31-40	1875	32.0	1606	31.5	269	35.9
	41-50	996	17.0	839	16.4	157	20.9
	51-60	400	6.8	347	6.8	53	7.1
	61-70	143	2.4	127	2.5	16	2.1
	71 & ABOVE	41	0.7	33	0.6	8	1.1
	TOTAL	5852	100.0	5102	100.0	750	100.0
SACRAMENTO	UNDER 18	14	0.3	11	0.3	3	0.4
	18-20	212	4.2	184	4.4	28	3.4
	21-30	1915	38.0	1618	38.4	297	36.0
	31-40	1605	31.9	1300	30.9	305	37.0
	41-50	843	16.7	700	16.6	143	17.4
	51-60	297	5.9	267	6.3	30	3.6
	61-70	108	2.1	91	2.2	17	2.1
	71 & ABOVE	40	0.8	39	0.9	1	0.1
	TOTAL	5034	100.0	4210	100.0	824	100.0
SAN BENITO	UNDER 18	1	0.4	1	0.4	0	0.0
	18-20	21	7.5	21	8.3	0	0.0
	21-30	112	40.1	107	42.5	5	18.5
	31-40	83	29.7	72	28.6	11	40.7
	41-50	36	12.9	31	12.3	5	18.5
	51-60	16	5.7	10	4.0	6	22.2
	61-70	10	3.6	10	4.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	279	100.0	252	100.0	27	100.0
SAN BERNARDINO	UNDER 18	12	0.2	10	0.2	2	0.3
	18-20	221	4.0	205	4.2	16	2.5
	21-30	2087	37.4	1886	38.2	201	30.8
	31-40	1778	31.8	1535	31.1	243	37.2
	41-50	944	16.9	806	16.3	138	21.1
	51-60	385	6.9	348	7.1	37	5.7
	61-70	123	2.2	110	2.2	13	2.0
	71 & ABOVE	36	0.6	33	0.7	3	0.5
	TOTAL	5586	100.0	4933	100.0	653	100.0

TABLE B2: 1995 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
SAN DIEGO	UNDER 18	18	0.2	18	0.2	0	0.0
	18-20	506	4.3	440	4.4	66	4.0
	21-30	4874	41.7	4280	42.6	594	36.1
	31-40	3682	31.5	3093	30.8	589	35.8
	41-50	1763	15.1	1481	14.7	282	17.2
	51-60	628	5.4	539	5.4	89	5.4
	61-70	170	1.5	152	1.5	18	1.1
	71 & ABOVE	55	0.5	49	0.5	6	0.4
	TOTAL	11696	100.0	10052	100.0	1644	100.0
SAN FRANCISCO	UNDER 18	2	0.2	2	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	17	1.9	16	2.1	1	0.8
	21-30	319	35.6	275	35.3	44	37.3
	31-40	328	36.6	284	36.5	44	37.3
	41-50	153	17.1	129	16.6	24	20.3
	51-60	47	5.2	42	5.4	5	4.2
	61-70	24	2.7	24	3.1	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	7	0.8	7	0.9	0	0.0
	TOTAL	897	100.0	779	100.0	118	100.0
SAN JOAQUIN	UNDER 18	16	0.5	13	0.5	3	0.8
	18-20	159	5.3	142	5.4	17	4.5
	21-30	1067	35.4	951	36.1	116	30.5
	31-40	931	30.9	808	30.7	123	32.4
	41-50	545	18.1	456	17.3	89	23.4
	51-60	208	6.9	184	7.0	24	6.3
	61-70	71	2.4	64	2.4	7	1.8
	71 & ABOVE	19	0.6	18	0.7	1	0.3
	TOTAL	3016	100.0	2636	100.0	380	100.0
SAN LUIS OBISPO	UNDER 18	7	0.5	5	0.4	2	0.9
	18-20	102	6.8	93	7.4	9	3.9
	21-30	514	34.4	443	35.1	71	30.5
	31-40	475	31.8	401	31.8	74	31.8
	41-50	277	18.5	219	17.4	58	24.9
	51-60	78	5.2	63	5.0	15	6.4
	61-70	30	2.0	28	2.2	2	0.9
	71 & ABOVE	12	0.8	10	0.8	2	0.9
	TOTAL	1495	100.0	1262	100.0	233	100.0
SAN MATEO	UNDER 18	16	0.5	14	0.5	2	0.4
	18-20	114	3.4	97	3.4	17	3.3
	21-30	1152	34.0	1001	34.8	151	29.4
	31-40	1195	35.2	998	34.7	197	38.4
	41-50	601	17.7	496	17.2	105	20.5
	51-60	222	6.5	191	6.6	31	6.0
	61-70	77	2.3	68	2.4	9	1.8
	71 & ABOVE	14	0.4	13	0.5	1	0.2
	TOTAL	3391	100.0	2878	100.0	513	100.0
SANTA BARBARA	UNDER 18	13	0.5	12	0.6	1	0.2
	18-20	151	5.9	125	5.9	26	6.3
	21-30	1055	41.5	909	42.6	146	35.6
	31-40	779	30.6	645	30.2	134	32.7
	41-50	345	13.6	281	13.2	64	15.6
	51-60	138	5.4	108	5.1	30	7.3
	61-70	50	2.0	43	2.0	7	1.7
	71 & ABOVE	14	0.6	12	0.6	2	0.5
	TOTAL	2545	100.0	2135	100.0	410	100.0

TABLE B2: 1995 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
SANTA CLARA	UNDER 18	17	0.2	17	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	224	3.2	205	3.4	19	2.0
	21-30	2608	37.5	2316	38.6	292	30.8
	31-40	2461	35.4	2078	34.6	383	40.4
	41-50	1127	16.2	926	15.4	201	21.2
	51-60	383	5.5	336	5.6	47	5.0
	61-70	111	1.6	106	1.8	5	0.5
	71 & ABOVE	20	0.3	19	0.3	1	0.1
	TOTAL	6951	100.0	6003	100.0	948	100.0
SANTA CRUZ	UNDER 18	8	0.5	7	0.5	1	0.4
	18-20	106	6.2	93	6.5	13	4.6
	21-30	670	39.3	585	41.2	85	30.0
	31-40	523	30.7	422	29.7	101	35.7
	41-50	295	17.3	233	16.4	62	21.9
	51-60	76	4.5	60	4.2	16	5.7
	61-70	20	1.2	17	1.2	3	1.1
	71 & ABOVE	5	0.3	3	0.2	2	0.7
	TOTAL	1703	100.0	1420	100.0	283	100.0
SHASTA	UNDER 18	6	0.8	5	0.8	1	0.8
	18-20	47	6.1	39	6.1	8	6.0
	21-30	215	27.8	182	28.4	33	24.8
	31-40	256	33.1	208	32.5	48	36.1
	41-50	177	22.9	141	22.0	36	27.1
	51-60	49	6.3	42	6.6	7	5.3
	61-70	16	2.1	16	2.5	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	7	0.9	7	1.1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	773	100.0	640	100.0	133	100.0
SIERRA	21-30	3	15.0	2	11.1	1	50.0
	31-40	8	40.0	7	38.9	1	50.0
	41-50	7	35.0	7	38.9	0	0.0
	51-60	2	10.0	2	11.1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	20	100.0	18	100.0	2	100.0
SISKIYOU	UNDER 18	3	1.1	3	1.4	0	0.0
	18-20	15	5.7	15	6.8	0	0.0
	21-30	66	25.1	57	25.9	9	20.9
	31-40	90	34.2	72	32.7	18	41.9
	41-50	53	20.2	44	20.0	9	20.9
	51-60	22	8.4	17	7.7	5	11.6
	61-70	10	3.8	9	4.1	1	2.3
	71 & ABOVE	4	1.5	3	1.4	1	2.3
	TOTAL	263	100.0	220	100.0	43	100.0
SOLANO	UNDER 18	6	0.4	5	0.4	1	0.5
	18-20	74	5.5	64	5.6	10	5.4
	21-30	407	30.5	364	31.7	43	23.1
	31-40	442	33.1	368	32.0	74	39.8
	41-50	252	18.9	213	18.5	39	21.0
	51-60	106	7.9	90	7.8	16	8.6
	61-70	40	3.0	37	3.2	3	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	9	0.7	9	0.8	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1336	100.0	1150	100.0	186	100.0

TABLE B2: 1995 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
SONOMA	UNDER 18	17	0.8	15	0.8	2	0.6
	18-20	125	5.8	105	5.8	20	5.6
	21-30	719	33.1	625	34.5	94	26.3
	31-40	679	31.3	553	30.5	126	35.3
	41-50	421	19.4	338	18.6	83	23.2
	51-60	148	6.8	126	6.9	22	6.2
	61-70	45	2.1	36	2.0	9	2.5
	71 & ABOVE	16	0.7	15	0.8	1	0.3
	TOTAL	2170	100.0	1813	100.0	357	100.0
STANISLAUS	UNDER 18	6	0.3	6	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	99	4.9	91	5.2	8	2.9
	21-30	764	37.8	677	38.8	87	31.8
	31-40	629	31.1	520	29.8	109	39.8
	41-50	344	17.0	293	16.8	51	18.6
	51-60	128	6.3	115	6.6	13	4.7
	61-70	40	2.0	34	1.9	6	2.2
	71 & ABOVE	11	0.5	11	0.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2021	100.0	1747	100.0	274	100.0
SUTTER	UNDER 18	1	0.2	1	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	31	7.1	27	7.1	4	7.3
	21-30	156	35.8	139	36.5	17	30.9
	31-40	126	28.9	104	27.3	22	40.0
	41-50	75	17.2	64	16.8	11	20.0
	51-60	32	7.3	31	8.1	1	1.8
	61-70	11	2.5	11	2.9	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	0.9	4	1.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	436	100.0	381	100.0	55	100.0
TEHAMA	UNDER 18	2	0.6	2	0.7	0	0.0
	18-20	15	4.6	11	3.8	4	10.8
	21-30	107	32.7	102	35.2	5	13.5
	31-40	102	31.2	87	30.0	15	40.5
	41-50	58	17.7	50	17.2	8	21.6
	51-60	24	7.3	21	7.2	3	8.1
	61-70	17	5.2	15	5.2	2	5.4
	71 & ABOVE	2	0.6	2	0.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	327	100.0	290	100.0	37	100.0
TRINITY	18-20	4	4.0	4	4.9	0	0.0
	21-30	21	21.2	18	22.0	3	17.6
	31-40	35	35.4	26	31.7	9	52.9
	41-50	23	23.2	20	24.4	3	17.6
	51-60	8	8.1	7	8.5	1	5.9
	61-70	8	8.1	7	8.5	1	5.9
	TOTAL	99	100.0	82	100.0	17	100.0
TULARE	UNDER 18	14	0.6	12	0.5	2	0.8
	18-20	173	7.0	163	7.3	10	4.0
	21-30	956	38.8	876	39.5	80	32.4
	31-40	779	31.6	679	30.6	100	40.5
	41-50	364	14.8	322	14.5	42	17.0
	51-60	123	5.0	117	5.3	6	2.4
	61-70	46	1.9	40	1.8	6	2.4
	71 & ABOVE	12	0.5	11	0.5	1	0.4
	TOTAL	2467	100.0	2220	100.0	247	100.0

TABLE B2: 1995 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
TUOLUMNE	UNDER 18	2	0.7	2	0.8	0	0.0
	18-20	12	4.0	10	4.0	2	3.8
	21-30	74	24.5	70	28.0	4	7.7
	31-40	98	32.5	76	30.4	22	42.3
	41-50	85	28.1	66	26.4	19	36.5
	51-60	19	6.3	15	6.0	4	7.7
	61-70	10	3.3	9	3.6	1	1.9
	71 & ABOVE	2	0.7	2	0.8	0	0.0
	TOTAL	302	100.0	250	100.0	52	100.0
VENTURA	UNDER 18	18	0.5	17	0.5	1	0.2
	18-20	185	5.0	157	4.9	28	5.3
	21-30	1591	42.8	1416	44.4	175	33.3
	31-40	1124	30.2	944	29.6	180	34.2
	41-50	543	14.6	444	13.9	99	18.8
	51-60	181	4.9	153	4.8	28	5.3
	61-70	59	1.6	47	1.5	12	2.3
	71 & ABOVE	17	0.5	14	0.4	3	0.6
	TOTAL	3718	100.0	3192	100.0	526	100.0
YOLO	UNDER 18	4	1.3	3	1.1	1	2.8
	18-20	20	6.3	17	6.0	3	8.3
	21-30	121	38.2	111	39.5	10	27.8
	31-40	90	28.4	77	27.4	13	36.1
	41-50	53	16.7	46	16.4	7	19.4
	51-60	19	6.0	18	6.4	1	2.8
	61-70	9	2.8	8	2.8	1	2.8
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.3	1	0.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	317	100.0	281	100.0	36	100.0
YUBA	UNDER 18	1	0.2	1	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	24	5.6	19	5.4	5	6.2
	21-30	132	30.6	102	29.1	30	37.0
	31-40	140	32.4	113	32.2	27	33.3
	41-50	84	19.4	69	19.7	15	18.5
	51-60	33	7.6	32	9.1	1	1.2
	61-70	13	3.0	11	3.1	2	2.5
	71 & ABOVE	5	1.2	4	1.1	1	1.2
	TOTAL	432	100.0	351	100.0	81	100.0

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1995 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM*/ UNCONST**	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
STATEWIDE	72.2%		141523	2235	15152	3079	4501	18/321	2.8	3.1
ALAMEDA	69.2%	SUP OAKLAND	75	6	0	0	3	0/0	3.2	3.9
		JUV SN LEANDRO	32	2	2	1	2	0/0	2.8	3.1
		ALAMEDA	196	0	32	6	5	0/0	1.5	1.7
		BERKELEY	201	1	8	3	7	0/1	2.7	2.2
		FREMONT	934	6	80	15	28	0/3	3.2	2.8
		PLEASANTON	613	4	88	16	30	0/3	2.9	1.7
		OAKLAND	1027	9	95	3	5	0/2	3.1	1.8
		HAYWARD	1327	6	118	14	119	0/4	4.1	2.3
		ALAMEDA NAV	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	0.3	5.4
		TOTAL	4406	34	423	58	199	0/13	---	---
ALPINE	62.5%	SUP ALPINE	2	1	0	0	0	0/0	6.0	6.4
		MARKLEEVILLE	40	2	15	2	0	0/1	3.3	2.1
		TOTAL	42	3	15	2	0	0/1	---	---
AMADOR	77.2%	SUP AMADOR	3	0	0	0	0	0/0	4.7	1.0
		JUV AMADOR	0	1	0	0	0	0/0	2.5	0.6
		JACKSON	146	2	12	5	3	0/0	2.0	3.2
		TOTAL	149	3	12	5	3	0/0	---	---
BUTTE	73.3%	SUP BUTTE	25	2	0	0	0	0/0	4.1	3.5
		JUV BUTTE	7	1	0	0	1	0/0	4.5	2.8
		CHICO	591	8	162	16	5	1/5	2.7	3.4
		GRIDLEY	76	0	8	0	1	0/0	3.0	1.2
		OROVILLE	207	1	24	0	3	0/2	3.3	1.2
		PARADISE	29	1	11	0	2	0/0	2.2	5.7
		TOTAL	935	13	205	16	12	1/7	---	---
CALAVERAS	59.5%	SUP CALAVERAS	4	2	0	0	0	0/0	5.3	0.9
		JV CALAVERAS	3	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.7	5.7
		SAN ANDREAS	173	2	20	12	11	0/0	2.7	1.7
		TOTAL	180	4	20	12	11	0/0	---	---
COLUSA	71.2%	SUP COLUSA	0	1	1	0	0	0/0	0.5	5.3
		JUV COLUSA	2	0	1	0	0	0/0	3.0	0.7
		COLUSA	224	3	35	6	2	0/0	2.4	2.5
		TOTAL	226	4	37	6	2	0/0	---	---
CONTRA COSTA	72.7%	SUP C COSTA	86	13	1	1	0	0/1	6.6	2.4
		JUV C COSTA	24	2	0	3	0	0/0	4.8	2.3
		CONCORD	597	3	64	5	10	0/3	4.0	2.5
		RICHMOND	796	7	105	8	14	0/2	4.5	2.6
		PITTSBURG	592	9	70	3	18	0/1	5.1	2.8
		WALNUT CREEK	771	1	115	16	11	1/7	4.0	2.1
		TOTAL	2866	35	355	36	53	1/14	---	---

*These may include abstract deletions due to failure to appear (FTA) at the court hearing.

**These 1995 arrestees showed prior DUIs declared unconstitutional on their records. The courts reported here are those of the current DUI conviction and not necessarily those in which a prior conviction was declared unconstitutional.

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1995 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM*/ UNCONST**	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
DEL NORTE	60.3%	SUP DEL NORTE	7	0	0	0	0	0/0	2.4	2.6
		CRESCENT CITY	168	3	52	8	7	0/0	3.6	5.4
		TOTAL	175	3	52	8	7	0/0	---	---
EL DORADO	69.9%	SUP EL DORADO	7	1	0	0	0	0/0	3.6	1.4
		SUPJUV PLACRVLE	2	2	0	0	0	0/0	3.2	0.9
		CAMERON PARK	288	18	13	2	3	1/0	2.3	7.5
		SO LAKE TAHOE	236	8	43	1	5	0/1	2.9	6.2
		PLACERVILLE	306	10	27	4	0	0/2	2.8	4.6
		TOTAL	839	39	83	7	9	1/3	---	---
FRESNO	60.1%	SUP FRESNO	150	89	0	0	5	0/0	2.8	3.5
		JUV FRESNO	35	2	2	2	0	0/0	7.9	2.5
		FRESNO	2223	20	428	36	27	0/0	4.1	5.6
		CLOVIS	226	0	26	8	4	0/0	3.7	6.1
		COALINGA	176	0	12	1	1	0/1	2.9	6.3
		FIREBAUGH	203	1	43	0	1	0/0	2.9	7.3
		FOWLER	146	1	21	0	0	0/0	4.2	5.7
		KERMAN	133	3	19	3	2	0/0	4.0	5.3
		KINGSBURG	69	0	17	2	5	0/0	3.4	6.3
		REEDLEY MUNI	190	1	19	1	3	0/0	2.5	8.2
		RIVERDALE	21	0	8	2	0	0/0	5.6	6.8
		SANGER	121	1	26	0	4	0/1	3.3	5.0
		SELMA MUNI	147	3	22	1	1	0/0	4.1	1.9
		US CT FRESNO	1	0	2	0	0	0/0	2.2	1.3
		TOTAL	3841	121	645	56	53	0/2	---	---
GLENN	77.6%	SUP GLENN	6	3	0	0	0	0/0	2.7	2.7
		JUV GLENN	4	0	0	0	0	0/0	2.0	0.7
		ORLAND	165	1	27	3	5	0/1	2.3	4.2
		WILLOWS	83	1	14	1	3	0/0	2.3	4.0
		TOTAL	258	5	41	4	8	0/1	---	---
HUMBOLDT	47.7%	SUPJUV HMBLDT	24	4	9	0	2	0/0	11.4	6.0
		JUV HUMBOLDT	2	0	0	0	1	0/0	3.1	1.8
		EUREKA	283	10	106	23	22	0/0	3.2	1.9
		ARCATA	148	0	40	8	5	0/0	2.6	2.3
		FORTUNA SUPMUNI	80	0	37	6	6	0/0	3.9	1.2
		GARBRVLE-SUPMUN	55	1	33	6	12	0/2	5.8	2.3
		HOOPA SUPMUNI	29	0	8	1	1	0/0	5.3	4.0
		TOTAL	621	15	233	44	49	0/2	---	---
IMPERIAL	51.1%	SUP IMPERIAL	1	2	0	0	0	0/0	3.9	4.6
		BRAWLEY	149	0	17	22	2	0/0	4	1.7
		CALEXICO	427	0	90	38	5	0/0	3.1	2.3
		EL CENTRO	390	1	34	66	7	0/0	3.6	3.1
		WINTERHAVEN	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.0	0.7
		TOTAL	968	3	141	126	14	0/0	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1995 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM*/ UNCONST**	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
INYO	58.5%	SUP INYO	4	3	0	0	2	0/0	2.1	9.0
		JUV INYO	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	6.0	0.6
		BISHOP	167	2	56	8	6	0/0	3.1	3.8
		INDEPENDENCE	2	0	2	0	0	0/0	1.2	0.7
		TOTAL	174	5	58	8	8	0/0	---	---
KERN	78.0%	SUP KERN	229	39	0	1	3	0/1	2.1	1.7
		JUV KERN	3	0	2	0	0	0/0	3.6	2.0
		ARVIN-LAMONT	358	1	6	11	25	0/0	2.1	4.8
		BAKERSFIELD	2009	21	272	19	16	0/13	2.5	4.4
		DELANO	265	5	27	1	40	0/0	2.6	2.7
		LKE ISABELLA	62	0	1	1	7	0/1	2.9	3.5
		TAFT	184	1	22	4	20	0/0	1.6	3.6
		SHAFTER	214	7	41	3	27	0/0	2.2	3.1
		MOJAVE	287	1	71	26	35	0/1	2.1	2.9
		RIDGECREST	252	4	15	8	17	0/0	2.4	3.4
		TOTAL	3863	79	457	74	190	0/16	---	---
KINGS	78.6%	SUP KINGS	16	1	0	0	0	0/0	3.9	1.8
		JUV HANFORD	10	0	0	0	0	0/0	3.3	2.2
		HANFORD	451	8	41	1	6	0/1	2.1	6.1
		AVENAL	75	3	2	1	1	0/0	2.4	2.1
		CORCORAN	78	1	4	0	1	0/0	1.9	3.7
		LEMOORE	169	4	15	1	0	0/2	2.0	2.3
		TOTAL	799	17	62	3	8	0/3	---	---
LAKE	79.0%	SUP LAKE	4	0	0	0	0	0/0	7.1	2.3
		JUV LAKE	8	1	0	0	0	0/0	4.6	3.2
		CLEARLAKE	264	2	14	2	3	0/0	3.8	3.5
		LAKEPORT	235	4	4	7	3	0/0	3.3	3.5
		TOTAL	511	7	18	9	6	0/0	---	---
LASSEN	78.4%	JUV LASSEN	2	0	0	0	0	0/0	4.1	0.9
		SUSANVILLE	183	4	7	4	3	0/0	3.8	2.2
		TOTAL	185	4	7	4	3	0/0	---	---
LOS ANGELES	73.3%	SUP LA	118	42	1	1	2	0/2	3.9	5.0
		SUP POMONA	148	52	0	0	1	0/2	3.3	1.3
		SUP LANCSTR	11	17	0	0	2	0/0	2.4	2.0
		SUP VAN NUYS	64	23	1	0	4	0/0	3.8	2.1
		SUP PASADENA	43	6	0	0	1	0/1	5.0	2.1
		SUP VAN NUYS	28	6	0	0	1	0/0	5.2	2.1
		SUP LONG BEACH	46	12	0	0	0	0/0	3.3	1.5
		SUP COMPTON	53	13	0	0	1	0/1	5.0	1.2
		SUP NORWALK	77	26	1	0	1	0/0	4.7	1.3
		SUP TORRANCE	18	11	0	0	1	0/0	7.7	1.3

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1995 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM*/ UNCONST**	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
LOS ANGELES (cont.)		SUP SNTA MONCA	23	10	1	0	0	0/0	5.3	1.8
		JUV LA CO	1	0	0	0	1	0/0	1.5	6.9
		LA JV CENTRL	4	2	0	0	0	0/0	4.0	1.8
		ALHAMBRA	923	6	83	26	32	1/3	2.7	2.7
		LANCASTER	713	3	70	13	18	0/1	2.6	1.4
		BEVERLY HILLS	328	2	40	13	10	0/3	3.6	1.7
		BURBANK	480	1	67	17	7	0/2	2.2	1.6
		WEST COVINA	2351	22	130	8	51	0/4	2.9	1.6
		COMPTON	1511	7	134	44	37	0/6	2.8	2.8
		CULVER CITY	185	0	28	9	7	0/2	3.4	2.4
		DOWNEY	727	6	20	8	23	0/3	2.6	3.5
		EAST LA	1435	4	108	20	27	1/2	2.6	1.5
		EL MONTE	1469	8	88	14	35	0/1	2.3	1.9
		GLENDALE	634	4	68	12	25	2/1	2.2	4.1
		INGLEWOOD	1177	10	126	68	49	0/5	2.8	1.9
		LONG BEACH	1855	4	278	104	55	0/8	1.8	2.8
		LOS ANGELES	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	15.7	1.2
		LA METRO	7251	19	674	63	613	1/4	1.2	1.4
		BELLFLOWER	553	3	15	1	5	0/1	2.3	2.1
		VALENCIA	850	10	120	62	32	1/1	2.5	2.8
		PASADENA	823	7	165	37	46	0/2	4.0	2.0
		MALIBU	260	1	82	17	12	0/1	4.7	2.7
		POMONA	1119	14	82	6	23	2/0	2.8	2.2
		HUNTNGTON PK	826	8	6	3	13	0/0	2.4	2.6
		MONROVIA	343	0	41	4	11	0/2	2.9	3.0
		SANTA MONICA	312	3	103	3	17	0/1	2.8	1.7
		TORRANCE	1360	22	379	68	77	0/21	2.6	1.4
		SOUTH GATE	731	1	11	1	16	0/0	2.9	2.2
		WHITTIER	906	8	33	9	21	0/0	3.3	3.1
		HOLLYWOOD	178	1	17	3	4	0/0	1.8	1.4
		SAN FERNANDO	1887	19	329	18	111	0/3	1.6	3.0
		SAN PEDRO	556	1	65	5	33	0/4	2.4	2.9
		VAN NUYS	2788	31	459	35	428	0/4	1.5	2.5
		LOS ANGELES	697	4	175	17	134	0/1	2.1	1.9
		AVALON	13	1	0	0	0	0/0	2.6	1.3
		US DIST CT LA	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	6.7	3.0
		TOTAL	35877	450	4000	709	1987	8/92	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1995 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM*/ UNCONST**	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
MADERA	62.5%	SUP MADERA	17	5	0	0	0	0/0	3.0	2.2
		JUV MADERA	4	0	0	1	0	0/0	2.3	0.9
		CHOWCHILLA	107	6	16	3	0	0/0	3.1	5.6
		BORDEN	112	6	22	2	0	0/0	2.4	4.9
		MADERA	297	6	50	19	1	0/0	3.3	4.9
		BASS LAKE	79	2	10	1	1	0/0	3.6	3.7
		TOTAL	616	25	98	26	2	0/0	---	---
MARIN	81.6%	SUP SAN RAFAEL	13	2	0	0	0	0/0	4.4	3.1
		JUV SAN RAFAEL	5	0	0	0	0	0/0	2.9	5.1
		SAN RAFAEL	1231	7	0	0	61	0/2	3.1	4.4
		TOTAL	1249	9	0	0	61	0/2	---	---
MARIPOSA	58.7%	SUP MARIPOSA	1	1	0	0	0	0/0	6.0	1.4
		MARIPOSA	51	0	8	0	1	0/1	3.9	1.9
		USMAG YOSEMITE	55	0	11	0	0	0/1	1.1	1.0
		TOTAL	107	1	19	0	1	0/2	---	---
MENDOCINO	71.5%	SUP UKIAH	17	4	1	0	1	0/0	6.3	4.0
		JUV UKIAH	0	2	0	0	0	0/0	7.1	2.0
		JUV UKIAH	14	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.0	2.2
		WILLITS	64	1	17	1	5	0/0	3.1	6.1
		UKIAH	379	4	43	7	6	0/3	2.7	3.7
		BOONVILLE	4	0	0	0	0	0/0	2.2	1.4
		PT ARENA	10	0	0	1	0	0/0	2.6	8.6
		LEGGETT	15	0	7	4	1	0/0	5.0	6.1
		COVELO	9	0	4	0	1	0/0	4.2	3.1
		FORT BRAGG	104	2	14	1	2	0/0	3.7	6.2
		TOTAL	616	13	86	14	16	0/3	---	---
MERCED	50.4%	SUP MERCED	20	1	0	0	0	0/0	5.6	2.3
		JUV MERCED	10	0	2	0	1	0/0	6.1	4.8
		MERCED	850	16	203	48	25	0/0	4.4	6.1
		LOS BANOS	198	1	84	10	16	0/4	2.6	6.0
		TOTAL	1078	18	289	58	42	0/4	---	---
MODOC	60.5%	SUP MODOC	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	4.0	2.3
		ALTURAS	46	2	6	0	2	0/0	3.2	2.9
		TOTAL	47	2	6	0	2	0/0	---	---
MONO	78.3%	SUP MONO	2	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.1	5.0
		BRIDGEPORT	21	0	3	3	0	0/0	2.4	2.3
		MAMMOTH LKES	78	0	9	2	1	0/1	1.9	2.4
		TOTAL	101	0	12	5	1	0/1	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1995 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM*/ UNCONST**	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
MONTEREY	78.5%	SUP MONTEREY	102	14	1	2	3	0/0	2.5	2.3
		JUV SALINAS	26	2	2	1	1	0/0	3.4	5.1
		CASTROVILLE	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	0.1	5.8
		MONTEREY	841	8	157	16	7	0/2	1.9	6.3
		SALINAS	1556	3	173	29	14	0/2	1.3	4.1
		KING CITY	531	2	54	8	5	0/1	1.3	5.2
		TOTAL	3057	29	387	56	30	0/5	---	---
NAPA	88.1%	NAPA CONSOLID	42	19	2	0	1	0/0	3.1	3.5
		NAPA CONSOLID	817	13	58	6	7	1/3	2.9	2.5
		TOTAL	859	32	60	6	8	1/3	---	---
NEVADA	86.3%	NEVADA SUPMUNI	18	5	1	0	0	0/0	4.8	2.0
		NEVADA CITY	390	4	46	3	4	0/1	2.4	4.6
		TRUCKEE	141	1	21	5	3	0/0	3.0	4.2
		TOTAL	549	10	68	8	7	0/1	---	---
ORANGE	81.8%	SUP SNTA ANA	174	51	0	0	2	0/0	4.7	2.8
		JUV ORANGE	44	5	1	1	0	0/0	3.5	1.6
		FULLERTON	3654	31	128	13	72	0/2	2.4	2.7
		WESTMINSTER	2553	13	112	23	33	0/5	2.9	2.2
		LAGUNA HILLS	1975	10	143	20	41	0/2	3.4	2.2
		NEWPORT BEACH	1918	12	139	28	39	0/1	2.5	2.0
		SANTA ANA MUNI	2555	29	32	3	46	0/2	3.1	2.9
		TOTAL	12873	151	555	88	233	0/12	---	---
PLACER	80.0%	SUP AUBURN	8	5	1	0	0	0/0	4.9	3.8
		JUV AUBURN	8	0	0	0	1	0/0	2.0	6.0
		JUV TAHOE VSTA	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.0	1.1
		AUBURN SUPMUNI	516	9	15	19	6	0/3	2.6	2.1
		COLFAX	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.2	0.6
		FOREST HILL	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	3.4	0.8
		LOOMIS	3	0	0	0	1	0/0	1.7	1.2
		ROSEVILLE	491	5	14	5	5	0/1	2.6	3.3
		FOREST HILL	1	0	0	1	0	0/0	---	---
		LINCOLN	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	0.1	1.8
		TAHOE CITY	256	3	14	7	1	0/0	2.7	2.1
		TOTAL	1287	22	44	32	14	0/4	---	---
PLUMAS	68.5%	SUP PLUMAS	3	0	0	0	0	0/0	7.6	6.7
		GREENVILLE	0	0	1	0	0	0/0	---	---
		QUINCY	119	0	26	5	3	0/1	2.3	5.0
		TOTAL	122	0	27	5	3	0/1	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1995 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM*/ UNCONST**	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
RIVERSIDE	63.7%	SUP RIVERSIDE	2413	76	16	161	59	0/7	3.5	2.0
		SUP INDIO	1837	45	142	81	49	0/3	4.2	2.2
		JUV RIVERSIDE	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	2.9	1.1
		JUV RIVERSIDE	10	0	0	0	1	0/0	3.7	2.3
		JUV INDIO	9	0	2	0	1	0/0	3.3	0.9
		CORONA	19	1	16	0	6	0/0	3.0	3.5
		HEMET	377	6	43	9	8	0/1	2.6	4.2
		BANNING	210	0	14	0	3	0/0	3.1	1.8
		INDIO CONSOLID	4	0	0	0	6	0/0	1.0	4.1
		MORENO VALLEY	1	0	0	0	8	0/0	3.3	0.5
		PALM SPRINGS	2	0	1	0	1	0/0	3.1	0.9
		BLYTHE-SUPMUNI	20	1	0	0	1	0/0	2.2	7.3
		PERRIS CONSOLID	801	18	64	44	12	1/0	2.7	4.3
		TC MARCH AFB	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	0.6	2.6
		TOTAL	5705	147	298	295	157	1/11	---	---
SACRAMENTO	69.7%	SUP SACRAMENTO	181	49	1	0	1	0/1	4.5	1.7
		JUV SACTO TRF	23	3	3	1	2	0/0	4.3	2.1
		SACRAMENTO	4477	98	791	158	57	0/4	3.1	1.9
		ELK GROVE	74	1	2	5	3	0/0	3.3	6.5
		GALT	94	0	11	5	4	0/0	3.2	6.4
		WALNUT GROVE	18	0	0	0	0	0/0	3.2	3.3
		US CT SACTO	16	0	10	0	1	0/0	6.9	2.7
		TOTAL	4883	151	818	169	68	0/5	---	---
SAN BENITO	88.0%	SUP S BENITO	14	3	0	0	0	0/3	4.0	2.3
		JUV HOLLISTER	2	0	0	0	0	0/0	5.1	1.5
		HOLLISTER	258	2	29	2	5	0/4	2.4	8.5
		TOTAL	274	5	29	2	5	0/7	---	---
SAN BERNARDINO	53.3%	SUP SAN BERNDO	34	11	0	0	1	0/1	6.8	2.4
		SUP R CUCAMNGA	34	7	0	5	2	0/0	8.8	2.5
		SUP VICTORVLLE	14	7	0	0	2	0/0	7.1	2.3
		SUP BARSTOW	7	10	0	0	0	0/0	6.5	2.5
		SUP JSHUA TREE	7	0	0	0	0	0/2	2.2	2.7
		JUV TF SN BERN	22	0	0	0	3	0/0	3.7	10.4
		JUV SAN BERNDO	1	0	0	0	1	0/0	4.8	0.7
		SUP R CUCAMNGA	16	0	1	0	0	0/0	1.9	4.9
		CHINO	321	6	7	2	5	0/0	3.4	2.8
		BARSTOW	210	1	25	10	12	0/0	5.4	4.2
		REDLANDS	227	6	4	20	8	0/1	3.6	3.4
		S BERN-CENTRAL	1567	18	36	28	53	0/1	3.8	2.8
		FONTANA	609	0	15	10	7	0/0	4.5	2.5

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1995 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM*/ UNCONST**	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SAN BERNARDINO (cont.)		VICTORVILLE	483	3	60	18	50	0/0	3.9	3.9
		RANCHO CUCMNGA	1514	5	96	22	41	0/1	3.1	2.3
		BIG BEAR LAKE	98	0	11	2	1	0/0	3.9	3.5
		TWIN PEAKS	87	1	17	1	2	0/0	3.6	1.8
		NEEDLES	80	0	20	11	8	0/0	4.9	5.5
		JOSHTREE-MNGO	177	2	32	11	3	0/2	3.1	6.6
		NORTON AFB	0	1	0	0	0	0/0	18.7	2.2
		TOTAL	5508	78	324	140	199	0/8	---	---
SAN DIEGO	75.3%	SUP SAN DIEGO	60	7	0	0	0	0/0	3.9	3.5
		SUP VISTA	5	0	0	0	0	0/0	2.7	3.9
		SUP CHULA VSTA	46	10	0	0	0	0/0	3.8	4.6
		SUP EL CAJON	32	6	1	0	0	0/0	2.6	5.8
		JUV SAN DIEGO	38	3	0	0	7	0/0	4.5	4.4
		EL CAJON	1942	21	248	86	21	0/4	3.1	6.2
		VISTA	3358	21	186	48	35	2/8	2.5	3.3
		SAN MARCOS	1	0	0	0	13	0/0	0.5	0.9
		SAN DIEGO MUNI	4603	2	359	99	121	0/5	3.3	3.4
		CHULA VISTA	1532	9	30	7	25	0/0	3.7	5.3
		TOTAL	11617	79	824	240	222	2/17	---	---
SAN FRANCISCO	62.5%	SUP SAN FRANCISCO	8	7	0	1	0	0/0	6.4	1.8
		JUV SAN FRANCISCO	5	0	0	0	0	0/0	4.0	5.6
		SAN FRANCISCO	868	4	243	85	14	0/1	3.2	0.9
		US DIST CT SF	5	0	0	0	0	0/0	12.9	3.5
		TOTAL	886	11	243	86	14	0/1	---	---
SAN JOAQUIN	73.2%	SUP FRNCH CAMP	49	8	0	0	2	0/0	5.5	3.8
		JUV FRNCH CAMP	3	1	0	0	1	0/0	4.7	4.3
		LODI	552	5	90	16	53	0/3	2.3	2.8
		MANTECA	403	11	28	17	27	0/3	2.4	2.1
		TRACY	226	2	14	8	5	0/0	2.3	1.8
		STOCKTON	1737	19	61	142	37	0/3	2.3	2.6
		TOTAL	2970	46	193	183	125	0/9	---	---
SAN LUIS OBISPO	66.0%	SUP S LUIS OBISPO	41	12	0	1	0	0/0	2.3	2.3
		JUV S LUIS OBISPO	7	0	2	1	0	0/0	5.3	1.4
		SAN LUIS OBISPO	1417	11	457	28	126	0/5	2.3	5.9
		GROVER CITY	0	0	1	0	0	0/0	13.0	15.2
		USMG S LUIS OBISPO	7	0	2	0	0	0/0	2.9	2.1
		TOTAL	1472	23	462	30	126	0/5	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1995 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM*/ UNCONST**	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SAN MATEO	79.7%	RDWD CTY SUPMUN	102	15	0	0	0	0/0	4.7	1.6
		SN MATEO SUPMUN	30	3	2	1	3	0/3	2.4	2.1
		SN MATEO SUPMUN	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.6	10.8
		SO SF SUPMUNI	1620	11	235	22	21	0/3	2.4	4.2
		REDWOOD CITY	1597	12	238	20	53	0/2	2.4	3.7
		TOTAL	3350	41	475	43	77	0/8	---	---
SANTA BARBARA	74.8%	SUP SNTA BARBARA	22	1	2	0	0	0/0	2.9	1.1
		SUP SNTA MARIA	17	2	1	0	0	0/0	2.3	1.7
		JUV SNTA BARBARA	15	0	0	0	0	0/0	2.2	3.2
		JUV LOMPOC	16	0	0	0	2	0/0	2.5	2.8
		SANTA BARBARA	1344	18	393	41	42	0/1	2.2	3.8
		SANTA MARIA	735	12	97	19	15	0/1	1.7	5.4
		LOMPOC	218	1	41	2	2	0/0	1.9	5.9
		SOLVANG	144	0	30	2	5	0/1	2.0	3.7
		TOTAL	2511	34	564	64	66	0/3	---	---
SANTA CLARA	80.0%	SUP SNTA CLARA	341	92	0	0	0	0/1	3.5	3.4
		JUV SNTA CLARA	38	1	2	0	3	0/0	3.5	4.4
		LOS GATOS	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	2.9	1.0
		PALO ALTO	533	1	79	4	6	0/0	3.2	2.1
		SAN JOSE	4637	46	467	100	73	0/3	3.4	2.5
		TRAF-SAN JOSE	1	0	0	0	7	0/0	0.2	1.4
		SANTA CLARA	1	0	1	0	0	0/0	0.4	16.2
		SUNNYVALE	718	2	92	6	12	0/0	4.1	2.3
		GILROY	534	5	42	4	13	0/1	3.5	1.1
		TOTAL	6804	147	683	114	114	0/5	---	---
SANTA CRUZ	69.7%	SUP SANTA CRUZ	5	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.1	1.3
		JUV SANTA CRUZ	16	0	3	2	0	0/0	5.0	0.9
		SANTA CRUZ	1045	10	136	54	16	0/4	2.3	5.7
		WATSONVILLE	622	5	65	4	5	0/6	1.8	4.8
		TOTAL	1688	15	204	60	21	0/10	---	---
SHASTA	74.0%	SUP REDDING	16	8	0	0	0	0/0	6.0	2.8
		JUV SHASTA	17	1	0	0	0	0/0	3.9	3.8
		BURNEY	37	1	4	0	1	0/0	4.7	4.0
		REDDING	678	14	114	8	3	0/1	3.4	4.1
		US MAG REDDING	1	0	1	0	0	0/0	0.9	19.6
		TOTAL	749	24	119	8	4	0/1	---	---
SIERRA	54.1%	DOWNIEVILLE	20	0	5	0	0	0/1	2.8	6.1
		TOTAL	20	0	5	0	0	0/1	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1995 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM*/ UNCONST**	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SISKIYOU	75.4%	SUP SISKIYOU	3	1	0	0	0	0/0	3.3	5.7
		JUV YREKA	2	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.1	1.2
		DORRIS	7	0	2	0	0	0/0	1.8	1.2
		WEED	101	0	14	1	2	0/0	3.3	4.1
		TULELAKE	5	0	0	0	1	0/0	2.3	4.7
		YREKA	135	9	21	3	4	0/0	2.6	3.5
		TOTAL	253	10	37	4	7	0/0	---	---
SOLANO	70.1%	SUP SOLANO	37	11	0	0	0	0/1	3.7	3.3
		JUV SOLANO	14	1	1	0	1	0/0	3.2	5.3
		FAIRFIELD	882	12	175	13	15	0/3	2.6	7.2
		BENICIA	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	2.6	2.2
		VLLEJO-BENICIA	376	2	43	8	15	0/2	2.5	6.7
		TOTAL	1310	26	219	21	31	0/6	---	---
SONOMA	74.5%	SUP SONOMA	63	15	1	0	2	0/0	4.1	3.5
		JUV SONOMA	36	1	0	0	0	0/0	2.3	3.1
		SANTA ROSA	2022	33	421	36	32	0/15	3.2	4.3
		TOTAL	2121	49	422	36	34	0/15	---	---
STANISLAUS	71.2%	SUP STANISLAUS	23	7	0	0	0	0/0	6.8	2.9
		JUV STANISLAUS	27	0	3	1	1	0/0	2.4	3.6
		MODESTO	1701	32	240	45	41	0/7	2.9	2.7
		TURLOCK	231	0	47	3	5	0/2	2.6	2.8
		TOTAL	1982	39	290	49	47	0/9	---	---
SUTTER	47.8%	SUP SUTTER	13	7	1	0	0	0/0	3.0	1.8
		YUBA CITY	411	5	69	4	6	0/0	2.2	3.0
		TOTAL	424	12	70	4	6	0/0	---	---
TEHAMA	78.8%	SUP TEHAMA	4	1	0	0	0	0/1	3.1	1.4
		JUV TEHAMA	4	1	0	0	0	0/0	4.2	0.5
		CORNING	109	0	13	1	3	0/0	3.0	5.4
		RED BLUFF	207	1	36	3	8	0/0	2.2	3.5
		TOTAL	324	3	49	4	11	0/0	---	---
TRINITY	44.6%	SUP TRINITY	1	3	0	0	0	0/0	3.8	1.1
		WEAVERVILLE	92	3	30	7	5	0/0	2.5	3.1
		TOTAL	93	6	30	7	5	0/0	---	---
TULARE	69.5%	SUP TULARE	99	26	0	0	0	0/0	2.7	4.0
		JUV TULARE	24	1	0	0	0	0/0	3.1	6.5
		DINUBA MUNI	359	3	10	1	4	0/0	3.2	2.5
		EXETER MUNI	115	0	3	2	2	0/0	3.1	6.1
		LINDSY-EXETER	211	1	12	1	5	0/0	3.0	6.1
		PORTERVILLE	579	8	21	8	11	0/1	2.7	3.1
		TULARE	281	2	9	0	5	0/0	2.8	4.1

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1995 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM*/ UNCONST**	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
TULARE (cont.)		VISALIA	597	24	19	3	9	0/2	3.2	3.4
		WOODLAKE-EXETR	137	0	4	1	1	0/1	3.1	5.3
		TOTAL	2402	65	78	16	37	0/4	---	---
TUOLUMNE	78.6%	SUP SONORA	18	5	0	0	0	0/0	6.0	5.6
		JUV SONORA	1	2	0	0	0	0/0	3.5	8.8
		SONORA	214	5	29	3	2	0/1	4.0	1.3
		JAMESTOWN	55	2	6	1	1	0/0	3.6	1.7
		TOTAL	288	14	35	4	3	0/1	---	---
VENTURA	86.8%	SUP VENTURA	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.6	18.5
		JUV VENTURA	18	1	0	0	1	0/0	2.6	2.7
		VENTURA	3654	44	0	0	67	3/1	2.2	3.5
		TOTAL	3673	45	0	0	68	3/1	---	---
YOLO	22.0%	SUP WOODLAND	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	2.6	0.9
		WEST SACTO	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	11.5	2.3
		WOODLND SUPMUN	302	4	59	5	6	0/0	5.0	7.1
		WOODLAND	9	0	1	0	0	0/0	3.0	4.6
		TOTAL	313	4	60	0	0	0/0	---	---
YUBA	72.7%	SUP YUBA	14	2	1	0	1	0/0	3.9	3.6
		JUV YUBA	2	0	0	0	1	0/0	1.5	0.5
		MARYSVILLE	409	3	104	10	4	0/1	2.6	2.5
		WHEATLAND	0	0	1	0	0	0/1	---	---
		TC BEALE AFB	2	0	0	0	0	0/0	0.1	3.9
		TOTAL	427	5	106	0	0	0/0	---	---

TABLE B4: 1995 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS*

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
STATEWIDE			143758	96.5	75.7	60.0	19.4	0.1	45.2	5.5	5.2
ALAMEDA	SUP OAKLAND	1ST DUI	14	100.0	71.4	14.3	21.4	0.0	7.1	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	7	28.6	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	56	51.8	89.3	0.0	26.8	0.0	1.8	3.6	1.8
		TOTAL	81	59.3	87.7	2.5	25.9	0.0	2.5	3.7	1.2
	JUV SAN	1ST DUI	33	90.9	24.2	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.6	0.0
	LEANDRO	2ND DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	34	88.2	23.5	64.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	61.8	0.0
	ALAMEDA	1ST DUI	147	100.0	98.6	84.4	0.7	0.0	1.4	4.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	41	100.0	95.1	4.9	73.2	0.0	73.2	9.8	0.0
		3RD DUI	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0	57.1	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	196	100.0	98.0	64.3	16.8	0.0	16.3	7.7	0.0
	BERKELEY	1ST DUI	156	100.0	98.7	81.4	5.8	0.0	0.6	0.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	36	100.0	100.0	8.3	75.0	0.0	72.2	0.0	2.8
		3RD DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	202	100.0	99.0	64.4	18.3	0.0	13.4	0.5	0.5
	FREMONT	1ST DUI	687	99.9	98.3	91.8	2.5	0.0	2.9	0.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	199	100.0	99.5	4.5	91.5	0.0	61.8	0.0	5.0
		3RD DUI	48	100.0	97.9	2.1	70.8	0.0	14.6	2.1	2.1
		4TH+ DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	83.3	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	940	99.9	98.5	68.2	25.3	0.0	16.1	0.3	1.2
	PLEASANTON	1ST DUI	454	100.0	94.9	94.7	1.5	0.0	4.0	10.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	128	100.0	97.7	8.6	86.7	0.0	85.2	7.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	28	100.0	96.4	0.0	78.6	0.0	17.9	78.6	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	57.1	0.0	0.0	85.7	0.0
		TOTAL	617	100.0	95.6	71.5	23.3	0.0	21.4	13.6	0.0
	OAKLAND	1ST DUI	755	99.9	98.9	93.9	1.1	0.0	14.3	2.3	0.9
		2ND DUI	213	99.5	99.1	13.1	83.1	0.0	82.6	0.0	75.1
		3RD DUI	60	100.0	88.3	3.3	75.0	0.0	90.0	5.0	73.3
		4TH+ DUI	8	87.5	100.0	0.0	87.5	0.0	87.5	12.5	62.5
		TOTAL	1036	99.7	98.4	71.3	22.9	0.0	33.3	2.0	20.8
	HAYWARD	1ST DUI	900	90.4	96.8	73.1	2.2	0.0	3.8	2.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	308	82.1	98.4	6.5	64.3	0.0	61.7	4.9	1.0
		3RD DUI	105	92.4	99.0	1.0	42.9	0.0	17.1	19.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	20	85.0	95.0	0.0	15.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1333	88.6	97.3	50.9	20.0	0.0	18.2	4.5	0.2

*Entries represent prercentages of 1995 DUI convictees receiving each sanction by county, court and offender status.

TABLE B4: 1995 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
ALAMEDA cont.	ALAMEDA NAV	1ST DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
ALPINE	SUP ALPINE	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	66.7	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	MARKLEEVILLE	1ST DUI	28	100.0	28.6	75.0	7.1	0.0	64.3	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	11	90.9	63.6	9.1	63.6	0.0	54.5	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	66.7	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	42	95.2	38.1	54.8	21.4	0.0	59.5	2.4	0.0
AMADOR	SUP AMADOR	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	JUV AMADOR	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	JACKSON	1ST DUI	89	98.9	96.6	88.8	1.1	0.0	1.1	5.6	1.1
		2ND DUI	43	97.7	100.0	16.3	79.1	0.0	34.9	51.2	7.0
		3RD DUI	14	100.0	100.0	21.4	64.3	0.0	7.1	64.3	35.7
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	148	98.6	98.0	60.1	30.4	0.0	11.5	25.0	6.1
BUTTE	SUP BUTTE	1ST DUI	3	100.0	100.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	7	57.1	100.0	57.1	0.0	0.0	14.3	28.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	16	25.0	100.0	6.3	6.3	0.0	0.0	6.3	6.3
		TOTAL	27	44.4	100.0	22.2	3.7	0.0	3.7	11.1	3.7
	JUV BUTTE	1ST DUI	8	100.0	100.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	37.5	0.0
		TOTAL	8	100.0	100.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	37.5	0.0
	CHICO MUNI	1ST DUI	405	96.3	96.3	82.2	1.5	0.0	1.7	11.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	137	95.6	98.5	11.7	70.8	0.0	69.3	11.7	0.7
		3RD DUI	47	89.4	95.7	2.1	17.0	0.0	10.6	63.8	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	10	80.0	80.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	10.0	40.0	0.0
		TOTAL	599	95.3	96.5	58.6	18.7	0.0	18.0	16.2	0.2
	GRIDLEY	1ST DUI	52	61.5	100.0	57.7	3.8	0.0	1.9	36.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	15	40.0	100.0	6.7	33.3	0.0	26.7	60.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	9	33.3	100.0	11.1	22.2	0.0	11.1	44.4	0.0
		TOTAL	76	53.9	100.0	42.1	11.8	0.0	7.9	42.1	0.0
	OROVILLE	1ST DUI	138	68.8	97.8	63.0	3.6	0.0	0.7	31.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	46	56.5	100.0	0.0	52.2	0.0	43.5	47.8	0.0
		3RD DUI	18	5.6	100.0	0.0	5.6	0.0	0.0	88.9	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	208	58.7	98.6	41.8	14.4	0.0	10.1	39.9	0.0

TABLE B4: 1995 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
BUTTE	PARADISE	1ST DUI	20	100.0	95.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
cont.		2ND DUI	8	100.0	100.0	12.5	75.0	0.0	62.5	12.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	30	96.7	96.7	70.0	20.0	0.0	16.7	6.7	0.0
CALAVERAS	SUP CALAVERAS	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	6	83.3	100.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0
	JUV CALAVERAS	1ST DUI	3	100.0	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	3	100.0	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
	SAN ANDREAS	1ST DUI	113	100.0	98.2	93.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	42	100.0	100.0	19.0	81.0	0.0	64.3	2.4	9.5
		3RD DUI	17	94.1	100.0	0.0	64.7	0.0	17.6	0.0	5.9
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	175	99.4	98.9	65.1	26.3	0.0	17.1	1.1	2.9
COLUSA	SUP COLUSA	4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV COLUSA	1ST DUI	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	COLUSA	1ST DUI	138	95.7	96.4	80.4	1.4	0.7	4.3	5.1	0.7
		2ND DUI	67	92.5	98.5	4.5	62.7	0.0	56.7	1.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	19	100.0	100.0	5.3	21.1	0.0	10.5	15.8	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	227	95.2	97.4	50.7	21.6	0.4	20.7	4.8	0.4
CONTRA COSTA	SUP C COSTA	1ST DUI	28	82.1	92.9	14.3	10.7	0.0	0.0	7.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	8	50.0	100.0	0.0	37.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	10	70.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	53	71.7	100.0	0.0	49.1	0.0	1.9	1.9	1.9
		TOTAL	99	72.7	98.0	4.0	36.4	0.0	1.0	3.0	1.0
	JUV C COSTA	1ST DUI	23	87.0	17.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	43.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	66.7	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	26	84.6	23.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	38.5	0.0
	CONCORD	1ST DUI	421	99.3	96.4	74.6	1.2	0.0	3.6	4.0	0.2
		2ND DUI	132	100.0	99.2	4.5	81.8	0.0	78.0	5.3	40.2
		3RD DUI	41	95.1	95.1	0.0	34.1	0.0	19.5	7.3	12.2
		4TH+ DUI	6	100.0	83.3	0.0	50.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	16.7
		TOTAL	600	99.2	96.8	53.3	21.7	0.0	21.3	4.5	10.0

TABLE B4: 1995 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
CONTRA COSTA cont.	RICHMOND	1ST DUI	545	98.5	99.1	63.5	2.4	0.0	2.0	3.1	0.2
		2ND DUI	193	97.4	99.0	6.2	76.7	0.0	74.6	2.6	7.8
		3RD DUI	58	96.6	98.3	0.0	62.1	0.0	19.0	8.6	3.4
		4TH+ DUI	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	42.9	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0
		TOTAL	803	98.1	99.0	44.6	24.9	0.0	20.7	3.5	2.2
	PITTSBURG	1ST DUI	410	92.9	98.3	74.9	1.7	0.2	2.9	8.0	0.2
		2ND DUI	149	86.6	100.0	4.7	75.8	0.0	76.5	14.8	10.7
		3RD DUI	37	16.2	100.0	0.0	8.1	0.0	8.1	35.1	2.7
		4TH+ DUI	5	40.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
		TOTAL	601	86.2	98.8	52.2	20.5	0.2	21.5	11.5	3.0
	WALNUT CREEK	1ST DUI	562	100.0	96.4	88.3	1.1	0.0	4.3	4.8	0.2
		2ND DUI	166	98.8	98.8	10.8	81.3	0.0	49.4	17.5	32.5
		3RD DUI	41	97.6	82.9	0.0	34.1	0.0	34.1	36.6	29.3
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	772	99.6	95.9	66.6	20.1	0.0	15.9	9.2	9.1
DEL NORTE	SUP DEL NORTE	1ST DUI	5	60.0	80.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	7	57.1	85.7	28.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0
	CRESCENT CITY	1ST DUI	100	88.0	98.0	78.0	4.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	48	91.7	97.9	20.8	58.3	0.0	43.8	8.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	18	66.7	100.0	5.6	55.6	0.0	16.7	11.1	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	80.0	100.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0
		TOTAL	171	86.5	98.2	52.0	26.9	0.0	14.6	4.7	0.0
EL DORADO	SUP EL DORADO	1ST DUI	6	100.0	16.7	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	75.0	25.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	0.0
	JUV/SUP PLCVLE	1ST DUI	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	75.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	CAMERON PARK	1ST DUI	193	98.4	98.4	92.2	0.5	0.0	3.6	2.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	88	96.6	100.0	6.8	79.5	0.0	77.3	0.0	42.0
		3RD DUI	20	100.0	95.0	5.0	75.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	45.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	40.0	80.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	40.0
		TOTAL	306	97.1	98.4	60.5	28.4	0.0	30.1	1.6	15.7
	SO LAKE TAHOE	1ST DUI	170	100.0	98.8	20.0	6.5	0.0	1.2	2.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	59	96.6	94.9	6.8	62.7	0.0	62.7	5.1	23.7
		3RD DUI	15	93.3	100.0	6.7	60.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	13.3
		TOTAL	244	98.8	98.0	16.0	23.4	0.0	18.4	3.3	6.6

TABLE B4: 1995 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
EL DORADO cont.	PLACERVILLE	1ST DUI	212	99.1	99.1	85.4	1.9	0.0	13.2	2.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	69	100.0	95.7	14.5	71.0	0.0	65.2	2.9	30.4
		3RD DUI	26	100.0	100.0	7.7	30.8	0.0	19.2	42.3	11.5
		4TH+ DUI	9	88.9	100.0	11.1	11.1	0.0	0.0	55.6	0.0
		TOTAL	316	99.1	98.4	61.4	19.6	0.0	24.7	7.3	7.6
FRESNO	SUP FRESNO	1ST DUI	93	72.0	95.7	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	32	40.6	93.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	21	28.6	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	93	24.7	97.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	239	45.6	96.7	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV FRESNO	1ST DUI	37	97.3	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.7	64.9	0.0
		TOTAL	37	97.3	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.7	64.9	0.0
	FRESNO	1ST DUI	1413	99.0	87.8	88.0	2.1	0.0	39.0	0.0	0.1
		2ND DUI	553	97.6	96.4	8.9	72.2	0.2	73.6	0.0	9.4
		3RD DUI	235	98.7	82.1	3.0	37.0	0.0	25.1	0.0	3.0
		4TH+ DUI	42	90.5	78.6	2.4	14.3	0.0	7.1	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2243	98.5	89.2	58.0	23.2	0.0	45.5	0.0	2.7
	CLOVIS	1ST DUI	161	99.4	98.1	92.5	1.2	0.0	6.8	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	48	97.9	89.6	14.6	70.8	0.0	72.9	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	16	100.0	75.0	6.3	37.5	0.0	18.8	12.5	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	226	99.1	94.7	69.5	19.0	0.0	22.1	0.9	0.0
	COALINGA	1ST DUI	130	95.4	98.5	90.8	1.5	0.0	4.6	4.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	37	100.0	97.3	13.5	75.7	0.0	64.9	0.0	24.3
		3RD DUI	8	100.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	37.5	12.5	12.5
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	176	96.6	98.3	69.9	20.5	0.0	18.8	4.0	5.7
	FIREBAUGH	1ST DUI	143	97.9	95.8	75.5	1.4	0.0	61.5	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	41	100.0	100.0	2.4	51.2	0.0	58.5	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	16	87.5	93.8	0.0	12.5	6.3	6.3	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	100.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	204	97.5	96.1	53.4	12.3	0.5	55.4	0.0	0.0
	FOWLER	1ST DUI	102	99.0	99.0	93.1	2.0	0.0	2.9	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	32	96.9	100.0	12.5	81.3	0.0	78.1	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	11	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	147	98.6	99.3	68.0	19.0	0.0	19.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 1995 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
FRESNO cont.	KERMAN	1ST DUI	92	95.7	98.9	82.6	3.3	0.0	6.5	3.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	23	100.0	100.0	26.1	60.9	0.0	30.4	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	18	88.9	100.0	5.6	38.9	0.0	5.6	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	66.7	100.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	136	94.9	99.3	61.8	17.6	0.0	10.3	2.2	0.0
	KINGSBURG	1ST DUI	47	95.7	87.2	93.6	0.0	0.0	6.4	6.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	15	100.0	100.0	13.3	86.7	0.0	73.3	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	69	97.1	91.3	66.7	27.5	0.0	23.2	4.3	0.0
	REEDLEY	1ST DUI	130	99.2	98.5	90.0	3.1	0.0	8.5	15.4	1.5
		2ND DUI	48	97.9	100.0	2.1	93.8	0.0	45.8	8.3	29.2
		3RD DUI	11	100.0	100.0	0.0	54.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	50.0
		TOTAL	191	99.0	98.4	62.3	28.8	0.0	17.8	13.1	8.9
	RIVERDALE	1ST DUI	11	100.0	100.0	90.9	0.0	0.0	18.2	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	7	100.0	71.4	28.6	42.9	0.0	28.6	28.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	21	100.0	90.5	57.1	23.8	0.0	23.8	9.5	0.0
	SANGER	1ST DUI	73	100.0	91.8	86.3	5.5	0.0	19.2	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	36	97.2	100.0	0.0	80.6	0.0	63.9	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	13	100.0	92.3	0.0	46.2	0.0	30.8	7.7	0.0
		TOTAL	122	99.2	94.3	51.6	32.0	0.0	33.6	0.8	0.0
	SELMA	1ST DUI	94	96.8	95.7	78.7	2.1	0.0	3.2	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	35	97.1	94.3	2.9	80.0	0.0	77.1	0.0	2.9
		3RD DUI	19	100.0	63.2	0.0	21.1	0.0	26.3	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	150	97.3	91.3	50.0	22.7	0.0	23.3	0.0	0.7
	US CT FRESNO	2ND DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
GLENN	SUP GLENN	2ND DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	33.3	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	9	33.3	100.0	0.0	22.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV GLENN	1ST DUI	4	25.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	25.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 1995 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
GLENN cont.	ORLAND	1ST DUI	114	99.1	94.7	93.9	1.8	0.0	2.6	2.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	39	92.3	100.0	15.4	41.0	0.0	51.3	7.7	10.3
		3RD DUI	11	90.9	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	27.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	166	97.0	95.8	68.1	10.8	0.0	14.5	6.0	2.4
	WILLOWS	1ST DUI	53	98.1	96.2	96.2	0.0	0.0	5.7	17.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	25	96.0	96.0	16.0	60.0	0.0	60.0	24.0	16.0
		3RD DUI	6	100.0	100.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
		TOTAL	84	97.6	96.4	66.7	17.9	0.0	21.4	22.6	4.8
HUMBOLDT	SUP/JUV HMBLT-EUREKA	1ST DUI	10	80.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	10.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	5	100.0	80.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	9	77.8	88.9	0.0	22.2	0.0	33.3	22.2	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
		TOTAL	28	82.1	78.6	0.0	21.4	0.0	42.9	14.3	0.0
	JUV HUMBOLDT	1ST DUI	2	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
	EUREKA	1ST DUI	188	94.7	22.9	4.3	0.0	0.0	80.9	6.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	66	97.0	87.9	0.0	12.1	0.0	66.7	15.2	0.0
		3RD DUI	28	89.3	92.9	0.0	3.6	0.0	10.7	35.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	11	72.7	90.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	27.3	27.3	0.0
		TOTAL	293	93.9	46.8	2.7	3.1	0.0	68.9	11.9	0.0
	ARCATA	1ST DUI	112	97.3	7.1	92.9	0.0	0.0	86.6	6.3	0.0
		2NDDUI	32	96.9	81.3	9.4	65.6	3.1	78.1	15.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	100.0	75.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	25.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	148	97.3	25.0	72.3	15.5	0.7	83.1	9.5	0.0
	FORTUNA	1ST DUI	57	98.2	8.8	98.2	0.0	0.0	98.2	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	19	100.0	63.2	26.3	63.2	0.0	94.7	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	80	98.8	26.2	76.3	18.8	0.0	95.0	0.0	0.0
	GARBERVILLE	1ST DUI	38	97.4	2.6	97.4	0.0	0.0	97.4	2.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	16	100.0	81.3	25.0	68.8	0.0	93.8	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	56	98.2	28.6	73.2	21.4	0.0	92.9	5.4	0.0
	HOOPA	1ST DUI	15	100.0	6.7	73.3	26.7	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	12	75.0	75.0	0.0	58.3	16.7	58.3	8.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	29	89.7	37.9	37.9	37.9	6.9	79.3	3.4	0.0

TABLE B4: 1995 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
IMPERIAL	SUP IMPERIAL	1ST DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
	BRAWLEY	1ST DUI	94	100.0	53.2	75.5	3.2	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	39	100.0	94.9	5.1	76.9	0.0	56.4	0.0	15.4
		3RD DUI	13	100.0	76.9	7.7	23.1	0.0	38.5	0.0	23.1
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	149	100.0	67.1	49.7	25.5	0.0	51.0	0.0	6.0
	CALEXICO	1ST DUI	326	100.0	92.3	88.3	0.9	0.0	39.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	75	100.0	94.7	26.7	66.7	0.0	65.3	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	24	100.0	91.7	20.8	25.0	0.0	45.8	4.2	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	427	100.0	92.7	73.3	14.1	0.0	44.0	0.2	0.0
	EL CENTRO	1ST DUI	287	100.0	20.9	82.2	0.7	0.0	75.6	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	83	100.0	81.9	8.4	62.7	0.0	66.3	3.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	20	95.0	95.0	5.0	55.0	0.0	35.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	391	99.7	37.9	62.4	16.9	0.0	71.4	0.8	0.0
	WINTERHAVEN	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
INYO	SUP INYO	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	7	42.9	85.7	0.0	14.3	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0
	JUV INYO	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	BISHOP	1ST DUI	107	97.2	20.6	96.3	0.0	0.0	87.9	0.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	50	98.0	98.0	6.0	86.0	0.0	92.0	0.0	26.0
		3RD DUI	12	75.0	75.0	8.3	41.7	0.0	16.7	8.3	16.7
		TOTAL	169	95.9	47.3	63.3	28.4	0.0	84.0	1.2	8.9
	INDEPENDENCE	2ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
KERN	SUP KERN	1ST DUI	122	77.9	59.0	34.4	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	25	44.0	88.0	8.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	12	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	109	27.5	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	268	52.2	80.2	16.4	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 1995 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
KERN cont.	JUV KERN	1ST DUI	2	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	ARVIN-LAMONT	1ST DUI	235	95.3	61.3	59.6	0.9	0.0	2.6	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	93	95.7	75.3	11.8	26.9	0.0	25.8	0.0	4.3
		3RD DUI	28	92.9	85.7	3.6	10.7	0.0	3.6	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	359	95.0	66.6	42.3	8.4	0.0	8.6	0.0	1.1
	BAKERSFIELD	1ST DUI	1305	99.6	97.6	80.7	0.2	0.0	2.5	1.4	1.8
		2ND DUI	501	99.6	53.5	8.4	15.8	0.0	62.5	0.2	42.3
		3RD DUI	200	97.0	48.0	2.0	0.5	0.0	52.5	1.5	46.5
		4TH+ DUI	24	87.5	62.5	4.2	0.0	0.0	37.5	8.3	25.0
		TOTAL	2030	99.2	81.4	54.2	4.0	0.0	22.7	1.2	16.5
	DELANO	1ST DUI	175	100.0	66.3	85.7	1.1	0.0	0.0	2.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	66	97.0	92.4	15.2	47.0	0.0	22.7	13.6	1.5
		3RD DUI	22	100.0	68.2	4.5	9.1	0.0	36.4	13.6	18.2
		4TH+ DUI	7	85.7	85.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	28.6	0.0
		TOTAL	270	98.9	73.3	59.6	13.0	0.0	8.9	6.7	1.9
	LAKE ISABELLA	1ST DUI	36	100.0	100.0	33.3	19.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	17	100.0	100.0	11.8	47.1	0.0	47.1	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	7	100.0	100.0	14.3	14.3	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	62	100.0	100.0	24.2	25.8	0.0	16.1	0.0	0.0
	TAFT	1ST DUI	138	100.0	44.2	63.0	1.4	0.0	7.2	26.1	0.7
		2ND DUI	33	100.0	97.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	66.7	21.2	54.5
		3RD DUI	14	100.0	64.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.9	50.0	28.6
		TOTAL	185	100.0	55.1	47.0	13.0	0.0	20.5	27.0	12.4
	SHAFTER	1ST DUI	143	99.3	99.3	79.0	1.4	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	52	98.1	94.2	3.8	65.4	0.0	46.2	0.0	19.2
		3RD DUI	24	91.7	95.8	12.5	0.0	0.0	4.2	0.0	4.2
		4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	221	97.7	97.7	53.4	16.3	0.0	11.8	0.0	5.0
	MOJAVE	1ST DUI	204	99.5	98.5	66.2	0.5	0.0	12.3	3.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	67	98.5	100.0	6.0	32.8	0.0	32.8	3.0	1.5
		3RD DUI	17	100.0	100.0	0.0	5.9	0.0	11.8	17.6	0.0
		TOTAL	288	99.3	99.0	48.3	8.3	0.0	17.0	4.2	0.3

TABLE B4: 1995 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
KERN cont.	RIDGECREST	1ST DUI	179	99.4	97.8	68.2	13.4	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	56	100.0	98.2	10.7	35.7	0.0	33.9	3.6	3.6
		3RD DUI	18	94.4	88.9	5.6	22.2	0.0	22.2	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	256	98.8	97.3	50.4	18.8	0.0	9.4	0.8	0.8
KINGS	SUP KINGS	1ST DUI	4	75.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	9	11.1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	17	23.5	94.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.9	0.0
	JUV HANFORD	1ST DUI	10	90.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	90.0	0.0
		TOTAL	10	90.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	90.0	0.0
	HANFORD	1ST DUI	308	89.9	98.7	80.8	1.6	0.0	2.3	12.0	0.6
		2ND DUI	98	83.7	95.9	9.2	52.0	0.0	55.1	13.3	6.1
		3RD DUI	33	72.7	97.0	6.1	9.1	0.0	15.2	30.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	20	25.0	100.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0
		TOTAL	459	84.5	98.0	56.9	12.9	0.0	14.4	13.5	1.7
	AVENAL	1ST DUI	57	100.0	91.2	89.5	0.0	0.0	1.8	14.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	14	71.4	100.0	7.1	21.4	0.0	21.4	28.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	6	83.3	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	16.7	16.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	78	92.3	93.6	66.7	5.1	0.0	6.4	16.7	0.0
	CORCORAN	1ST DUI	53	90.6	100.0	75.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	18	94.4	100.0	16.7	50.0	0.0	50.0	27.8	0.0
		3RD DUI	8	62.5	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	37.5	0.0
		TOTAL	79	88.6	100.0	54.4	13.9	0.0	13.9	12.7	0.0
	LEMOORE	1ST DUI	122	91.8	99.2	80.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	33	78.8	100.0	36.4	27.3	0.0	48.5	21.2	9.1
		3RD DUI	16	75.0	100.0	43.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	43.8	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	173	87.3	99.4	68.2	5.2	0.0	9.2	17.9	1.7
LAKE	SUP LAKE	1ST DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
	JUV LAKE	1ST DUI	9	55.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	9	55.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 1995 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
LAKE cont.	CLEARLAKE	1ST DUI	174	98.3	99.4	83.9	2.3	0.0	0.6	0.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	61	100.0	100.0	14.8	73.8	0.0	26.2	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	20	95.0	100.0	5.0	60.0	0.0	15.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	11	72.7	100.0	9.1	9.1	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	266	97.4	99.6	59.0	23.3	0.0	7.9	0.4	0.0
	LAKEPORT	1ST DUI	141	99.3	99.3	90.8	7.1	0.0	1.4	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	74	94.6	100.0	6.8	86.5	0.0	73.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	21	38.1	100.0	4.8	19.0	0.0	19.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	239	92.1	99.6	56.1	32.6	0.0	25.1	0.0	0.0
LASSEN	JUV LASSEN	1ST DUI	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	SUSANVILLE	1ST DUI	131	97.7	100.0	82.4	9.9	0.0	1.5	0.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	38	97.4	97.4	2.6	92.1	0.0	55.3	0.0	13.2
		3RD DUI	16	50.0	100.0	0.0	43.8	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	187	92.5	99.5	58.3	29.4	0.0	12.8	0.5	2.7
LOS ANGELES	SUP LA CENTRAL	1ST DUI	58	72.4	84.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	23	39.1	95.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	6	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	73	23.3	95.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4	0.0
		TOTAL	160	43.8	91.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0
	SUP POMONA	1ST DUI	76	59.2	96.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	33	51.5	93.9	0.0	3.0	0.0	6.1	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	22	22.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.5
		4TH+ DUI	69	10.1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4	0.0
		TOTAL	200	37.0	97.5	0.0	0.5	0.0	1.0	3.0	0.5
	SUP LANCASTR	1ST DUI	17	76.5	94.1	5.9	5.9	0.0	0.0	52.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	7	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0
		TOTAL	28	50.0	96.4	3.6	3.6	0.0	0.0	39.3	0.0
	SUP VAN NUYS	1ST DUI	45	64.4	86.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	17	47.1	100.0	0.0	5.9	0.0	11.8	11.8	0.0
		3RD DUI	11	27.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	27.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	48	27.1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	29.2	0.0
		TOTAL	121	43.8	95.0	0.0	0.8	0.0	1.7	18.2	0.0

TABLE B4: 1995 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
LOS ANGELES cont.	SUP PASADENA	1ST DUI	12	83.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	7	85.7	42.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	14.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	29	17.2	96.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	49	44.9	81.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0
	SUP LONG BCH	1ST DUI	18	61.1	94.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	8	87.5	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	28	7.1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	58	37.9	98.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SUP COMPTON	1ST DUI	19	89.5	73.7	10.5	0.0	0.0	5.3	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	10	60.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	75.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	33	42.4	93.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	66	60.6	86.4	3.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	0.0
	SUP NORWALK	1ST DUI	35	80.0	82.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	16	75.0	93.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	49	12.2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	103	46.6	93.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SUP TORRANCE	1ST DUI	15	60.0	100.0	6.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	6	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	29	51.7	100.0	3.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.9	0.0
	SUP SANTA MONICA	1ST DUI	12	75.0	83.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	5	40.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	13	38.5	92.3	0.0	0.0	7.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	33	54.5	90.9	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	3.0	0.0
	JUV LA CO	1ST DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	LA JUV CENTRAL	1ST DUI	5	100.0	100.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	6	100.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	ALHAMBRA	1ST DUI	643	94.6	56.5	82.3	0.0	0.0	59.6	0.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	220	89.5	96.8	6.8	41.4	0.0	45.0	0.5	3.6
		3RD DUI	57	82.5	96.5	3.5	5.3	3.5	3.5	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	9	77.8	100.0	11.1	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	929	92.5	68.9	58.9	10.2	0.2	52.1	0.3	0.9

TABLE B4: 1995 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
LOS ANGELES cont.	LANCASTER	1ST DUI	518	98.8	99.8	95.9	1.7	0.0	1.9	1.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	147	95.9	97.3	6.1	87.1	1.4	86.4	0.0	23.8
		3RD DUI	49	85.7	57.1	2.0	30.6	40.8	24.5	0.0	12.2
		4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	716	97.2	96.2	70.8	21.2	3.2	20.8	1.0	5.7
	BEVERLY HILLS	1ST DUI	277	97.5	52.7	93.9	0.4	0.0	92.4	1.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	42	95.2	81.0	28.6	61.9	0.0	92.9	4.8	2.4
		3RD DUI	8	87.5	62.5	12.5	12.5	37.5	37.5	25.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	330	97.0	57.0	82.7	8.5	0.9	90.3	2.7	0.3
	BURBANK	1ST DUI	358	99.2	98.0	88.8	1.4	0.8	92.5	3.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	100	95.0	98.0	7.0	64.0	1.0	72.0	17.0	1.0
		3RD DUI	19	89.5	78.9	0.0	10.5	21.1	21.1	15.8	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	481	97.5	97.3	67.6	14.8	1.7	84.6	7.9	0.2
	WEST COVINA	1ST DUI	1721	99.1	56.7	94.8	1.2	0.0	68.4	2.3	0.3
		2ND DUI	511	97.8	95.5	9.2	83.0	0.4	81.2	4.1	33.9
		3RD DUI	129	99.2	85.3	5.4	62.0	10.9	31.0	2.3	15.5
		4TH+ DUI	12	58.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	16.7	0.0	8.3
		TOTAL	2373	98.6	66.8	71.0	22.3	0.7	68.9	2.7	8.4
	COMPTON	1ST DUI	1121	99.6	91.9	90.2	1.2	0.0	92.3	1.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	297	99.3	97.0	13.8	74.7	0.3	90.2	2.7	1.7
		3RD DUI	84	97.6	95.2	4.8	35.7	3.6	41.7	4.8	1.2
		4TH+ DUI	16	93.8	93.8	0.0	50.0	0.0	31.3	6.3	0.0
		TOTAL	1518	99.4	93.1	69.6	18.0	0.3	88.5	1.6	0.4
	CULVER CITY	1ST DUI	127	100.0	21.3	85.0	4.7	0.0	52.8	3.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	44	100.0	75.0	15.9	75.0	2.3	70.5	11.4	0.0
		3RD DUI	12	91.7	83.3	25.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	8.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	185	99.5	38.9	63.8	21.1	0.5	54.1	5.4	0.0
	DOWNEY	1ST DUI	535	99.8	17.4	93.5	1.5	0.0	90.5	3.7	0.2
		2ND DUI	150	99.3	87.3	14.0	78.7	0.0	84.7	8.7	5.3
		3RD DUI	43	93.0	81.4	7.0	41.9	11.6	27.9	20.9	2.3
		4TH+ DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	733	99.3	36.0	71.5	20.1	0.7	85.1	5.7	1.4
	EAST LA	1ST DUI	1066	99.6	43.0	91.0	1.2	0.0	85.1	5.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	292	99.7	92.8	11.3	76.0	0.0	70.5	15.1	6.8
		3RD DUI	75	100.0	89.3	8.0	22.7	8.0	14.7	9.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	100.0	83.3	0.0	33.3	16.7	16.7	16.7	0.0
		TOTAL	1439	99.7	55.7	70.1	17.7	0.5	78.2	7.9	1.4

TABLE B4: 1995 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
LOS ANGELES cont.	EL MONTE	1ST DUI	1094	99.1	49.5	85.0	1.5	0.0	57.9	3.0	0.5
		2ND DUI	309	99.0	84.8	11.0	75.1	0.6	74.4	5.5	19.7
		3RD DUI	68	92.6	85.3	1.5	19.1	10.3	13.2	4.4	10.3
		4TH+ DUI	6	100.0	100.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	16.7	16.7	16.7
		TOTAL	1477	98.8	58.8	65.5	17.8	0.6	59.1	3.7	5.0
	GLENDALE	1ST DUI	479	99.6	25.5	94.4	1.5	0.0	87.1	1.0	0.2
		2ND DUI	121	99.2	86.0	16.5	73.6	0.0	81.0	2.5	11.6
		3RD DUI	32	100.0	84.4	6.3	43.8	9.4	37.5	0.0	3.1
		4TH+ DUI	6	33.3	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	16.7	0.0	16.7
		TOTAL	638	98.9	40.6	74.3	17.4	0.5	82.8	1.3	2.7
	INGLEWOOD	1ST DUI	848	93.2	25.6	77.1	0.9	0.0	69.0	5.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	267	90.6	83.5	15.7	64.8	0.4	77.2	8.2	0.7
		3RD DUI	65	83.1	92.3	6.2	35.4	3.1	32.3	13.8	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	7	57.1	100.0	0.0	42.9	0.0	42.9	14.3	0.0
		TOTAL	1187	91.8	42.7	59.0	17.4	0.3	68.7	6.4	0.2
	LONG BEACH	1ST DUI	1369	99.1	54.6	91.1	1.5	0.0	48.1	0.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	392	98.5	87.2	10.2	75.8	0.3	66.3	0.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	88	97.7	89.8	8.0	30.7	3.4	18.2	2.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	10	90.0	100.0	0.0	30.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1859	98.8	63.4	69.6	18.7	0.2	50.4	0.6	0.0
	LA METRO	1ST DUI	5556	99.7	52.7	95.4	2.8	0.0	69.7	0.1	1.0
		2ND DUI	1371	99.4	96.4	9.6	84.4	0.0	92.6	0.4	66.9
		3RD DUI	306	95.1	81.0	3.3	60.1	1.0	67.6	0.7	63.1
		4TH+ DUI	38	73.7	76.3	7.9	26.3	2.6	36.8	0.0	34.2
		TOTAL	7271	99.3	62.3	74.9	20.7	0.1	73.8	0.2	16.2
	BELLFLOWER	1ST DUI	409	99.8	21.8	86.8	2.2	0.0	74.3	17.8	0.5
		2ND DUI	112	99.1	90.2	8.9	67.0	0.9	66.1	24.1	10.7
		3RD DUI	32	96.9	90.6	3.1	9.4	6.3	12.5	18.8	3.1
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3
		TOTAL	556	99.5	39.9	65.8	16.0	0.5	68.9	19.1	2.9
	VALENCIA	1ST DUI	623	95.5	31.9	85.7	1.4	0.0	53.0	1.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	175	89.1	98.3	4.6	70.3	0.0	46.9	3.4	11.4
		3RD DUI	52	82.7	96.2	1.9	28.8	0.0	11.5	1.9	7.7
		4TH+ DUI	10	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	860	92.3	50.1	63.1	17.1	0.0	48.6	2.0	2.8
	PASADENA	1ST DUI	570	97.7	56.0	82.5	1.2	0.0	88.6	1.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	181	97.8	90.6	13.3	72.4	0.0	84.5	1.7	0.6
		3RD DUI	57	87.7	94.7	3.5	26.3	3.5	26.3	15.8	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	22	68.2	95.5	0.0	9.1	0.0	4.5	9.1	0.0
		TOTAL	830	96.3	67.2	59.8	18.7	0.2	81.2	2.9	0.1

TABLE B4: 1995 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
LOS ANGELES cont.	MALIBU	1ST DUI	191	99.0	12.6	92.7	2.6	0.0	90.6	0.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	52	100.0	73.1	17.3	65.4	3.8	84.6	5.8	5.8
		3RD DUI	17	88.2	70.6	11.8	52.9	11.8	35.3	5.9	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	261	98.5	28.7	72.0	18.8	1.5	85.8	1.9	1.1
	POMONA	1ST DUI	803	98.4	37.4	87.4	1.6	0.0	68.9	1.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	262	95.8	88.9	13.7	72.9	0.0	71.4	3.8	2.3
		3RD DUI	63	95.2	100.0	3.2	55.6	0.0	27.0	9.5	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	60.0	80.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1133	97.4	53.0	65.4	21.1	0.0	66.8	2.4	0.5
	HUNTINGTON PK	1ST DUI	606	99.7	48.2	88.8	1.8	0.0	51.2	2.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	181	98.3	91.2	17.1	68.5	0.0	54.1	6.6	1.7
		3RD DUI	44	93.2	86.4	0.0	52.3	13.6	15.9	11.4	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	100.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	834	99.0	59.7	68.3	19.1	0.7	49.8	3.6	0.4
	MONROVIA	1ST DUI	254	100.0	26.8	87.0	2.4	0.0	76.4	7.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	63	100.0	90.5	12.7	71.4	0.0	60.3	12.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	26	96.2	96.2	0.0	30.8	0.0	7.7	30.8	0.0
		TOTAL	343	99.7	43.7	66.8	17.2	0.0	68.2	10.5	0.0
	SANTA MONICA	1ST DUI	239	98.3	30.1	92.9	1.3	0.0	93.3	1.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	65	98.5	90.8	9.2	76.9	0.0	87.7	3.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	10	90.0	70.0	10.0	30.0	20.0	30.0	10.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	315	98.1	44.1	72.7	17.8	0.6	90.2	2.2	0.0
	TORRANCE	1ST DUI	1045	98.9	15.5	95.3	1.1	0.0	91.7	1.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	273	98.5	85.7	14.7	77.3	0.4	86.8	3.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	54	90.7	83.3	5.6	20.4	9.3	24.1	11.1	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	10	60.0	90.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1382	98.3	32.6	75.2	16.9	0.4	87.5	2.2	0.0
	SOUTHGATE	1ST DUI	557	98.7	24.4	91.0	1.4	0.0	72.9	2.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	131	99.2	77.1	16.0	74.0	0.0	85.5	3.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	39	84.6	97.4	2.6	20.5	2.6	15.4	7.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	100.0	80.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	732	98.1	38.1	72.4	15.6	0.1	71.7	3.0	0.0
	WHITTIER	1ST DUI	655	96.9	22.1	93.4	0.6	0.0	91.1	3.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	203	95.6	89.7	15.8	68.5	0.0	78.3	11.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	49	87.8	89.8	6.1	18.4	2.0	20.4	20.4	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	7	71.4	100.0	0.0	57.1	0.0	0.0	42.9	0.0
		TOTAL	914	96.0	41.4	70.8	17.1	0.1	83.8	6.2	0.0

TABLE B4: 1995 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
LOS ANGELES cont.	HOLLYWOOD	1ST DUI	133	100.0	23.3	41.4	2.3	0.0	75.2	1.5	0.8
		2ND DUI	34	97.1	76.5	5.9	64.7	0.0	94.1	0.0	14.7
		3RD DUI	11	100.0	100.0	0.0	36.4	0.0	54.5	9.1	9.1
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	179	99.4	38.5	31.8	16.8	0.0	77.7	1.7	3.9
	SAN FERNANDO	1ST DUI	1396	99.2	44.9	86.2	1.4	0.1	52.5	5.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	404	99.3	93.1	9.7	73.8	1.0	59.4	10.9	3.5
		3RD DUI	100	92.0	91.0	2.0	39.0	7.0	11.0	4.0	2.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	83.3	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1906	98.8	57.7	65.3	18.8	0.6	51.6	6.9	0.8
	SAN PEDRO	1ST DUI	388	99.7	39.2	94.6	2.3	0.0	70.6	1.5	0.3
		2ND DUI	125	98.4	92.8	4.8	84.0	0.8	64.0	4.8	9.6
		3RD DUI	38	94.7	92.1	0.0	55.3	2.6	13.2	2.6	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	100.0	100.0	16.7	66.7	0.0	16.7	16.7	0.0
		TOTAL	557	99.1	55.5	67.1	25.0	0.4	64.6	2.5	2.3
	VAN NUYS	1ST DUI	1999	98.9	38.5	92.8	2.8	0.1	63.2	0.4	0.6
		2ND DUI	627	98.1	94.6	7.2	86.4	0.3	68.1	2.2	27.0
		3RD DUI	167	94.0	94.6	2.4	56.9	3.6	28.1	2.4	15.6
		4TH+ DUI	26	30.8	92.3	7.7	7.7	3.8	3.8	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2819	97.8	54.8	67.6	24.6	0.4	61.7	0.9	7.3
	LOS ANGELES	1ST DUI	516	99.2	22.1	90.1	1.9	0.0	81.4	2.7	0.8
		2ND DUI	138	99.3	84.1	11.6	75.4	0.0	81.2	4.3	29.0
		3RD DUI	45	91.1	84.4	4.4	26.7	8.9	35.6	4.4	17.8
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0
		TOTAL	701	98.7	38.5	68.9	18.1	0.6	78.3	3.1	7.6
	AVALON	1ST DUI	12	100.0	8.3	91.7	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	14	100.0	7.1	78.6	14.3	0.0	71.4	0.0	0.0
	US DIST CT LA	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MADERA	SUP MADERA	1ST DUI	6	66.7	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	33.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	9	77.8	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	22	72.7	86.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.5	22.7	0.0
	JUV MADERA	1ST DUI	3	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	75.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 1995 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
MADERA cont.	CHOWCHILLA	1ST DUI	73	100.0	98.6	94.5	1.4	0.0	4.1	4.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	31	96.8	100.0	16.1	74.2	0.0	64.5	22.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	9	100.0	100.0	22.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	77.8	0.0
		TOTAL	113	99.1	99.1	67.3	21.2	0.0	20.4	15.0	0.0
	BORDEN	1ST DUI	85	96.5	97.6	89.4	4.7	0.0	3.5	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	17	100.0	94.1	11.8	82.4	0.0	64.7	5.9	0.0
		3RD DUI	15	86.7	100.0	0.0	6.7	0.0	6.7	6.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	118	95.8	97.5	66.1	16.1	0.0	12.7	1.7	0.0
	MADERA	1ST DUI	189	95.8	91.0	83.1	6.9	0.0	4.2	2.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	83	94.0	89.2	24.1	55.4	0.0	53.0	1.2	0.0
		3RD DUI	23	82.6	91.3	8.7	17.4	4.3	4.3	17.4	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	8	75.0	100.0	25.0	37.5	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	303	93.7	90.8	59.7	21.8	0.3	17.8	3.0	0.0
	BASS LAKE	1ST DUI	54	98.1	77.8	90.7	3.7	0.0	51.9	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	23	91.3	100.0	4.3	78.3	0.0	65.2	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	100.0	75.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	25.0	0.0
		TOTAL	81	96.3	84.0	61.7	25.9	0.0	54.3	1.2	0.0
MARIN	SUP SAN RAFAEL	1ST DUI	4	100.0	50.0	50.0	25.0	0.0	50.0	25.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	8	37.5	100.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	37.5	0.0
		TOTAL	15	66.7	86.7	13.3	20.0	0.0	20.0	26.7	0.0
	JUV SAN RAFAEL	1ST DUI	5	80.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	80.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	SAN RAFAEL	1ST DUI	928	99.5	8.4	88.4	1.2	0.0	91.9	5.6	0.4
		2ND DUI	238	99.6	88.2	6.3	82.8	0.0	95.4	2.9	58.8
		3RD DUI	62	98.4	61.3	4.8	19.4	0.0	54.8	37.1	46.8
		4TH+ DUI	10	100.0	80.0	10.0	40.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	20.0
		TOTAL	1238	99.4	27.0	67.8	18.1	0.0	90.3	7.1	14.1
MARIPOSA	SUP MARIPOSA	1ST DUI	2	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	MARIPOSA	1ST DUI	29	96.6	96.6	93.1	0.0	0.0	82.8	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	19	100.0	100.0	10.5	78.9	0.0	94.7	5.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	100.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	33.3	33.3
		TOTAL	51	98.0	96.1	56.9	29.4	2.0	86.3	3.9	2.0

TABLE B4: 1995 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
MARIPOSA	US MAG	1ST DUI	36	91.7	22.2	33.3	2.8	0.0	5.6	0.0	0.0
cont.	YOSEMITE	2ND DUI	13	100.0	38.5	7.7	15.4	0.0	7.7	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	80.0	80.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	55	90.9	32.7	23.6	9.1	0.0	5.5	0.0	0.0
MENDOCINO	SUP UKIAH	1ST DUI	6	100.0	100.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	13	46.2	100.0	0.0	15.4	0.0	0.0	30.8	0.0
		TOTAL	21	61.9	100.0	4.8	9.5	0.0	0.0	23.8	0.0
	JUV UKIAH	1ST DUI	16	56.3	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	62.5	0.0
		TOTAL	16	56.3	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	62.5	0.0
	WILLITS	1ST DUI	36	80.6	88.9	72.2	0.0	0.0	13.9	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	22	95.5	100.0	4.5	68.2	0.0	45.5	4.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	100.0	75.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	65	87.7	92.3	43.1	27.7	0.0	29.2	1.5	0.0
	UKIAH MUNI	1ST DUI	260	94.6	74.6	85.8	3.1	0.0	26.9	5.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	81	95.1	98.8	13.6	63.0	0.0	53.1	13.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	39	89.7	100.0	2.6	53.8	0.0	7.7	61.5	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	383	94.0	82.5	61.4	21.4	0.0	30.3	13.3	0.0
	BOONVILLE	1ST DUI	4	75.0	100.0	25.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	75.0	100.0	25.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	PT. ARENA	1ST DUI	8	87.5	87.5	87.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	10	90.0	90.0	70.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	10.0	0.0
	LEGGETT	1ST DUI	11	90.9	100.0	90.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	25.0	0.0
		TOTAL	15	93.3	100.0	66.7	6.7	0.0	6.7	13.3	0.0
	COVELO	1ST DUI	9	88.9	100.0	77.8	11.1	0.0	11.1	11.1	0.0
		TOTAL	9	88.9	100.0	77.8	11.1	0.0	11.1	11.1	0.0
	FORT BRAGG	1ST DUI	70	97.1	88.6	84.3	1.4	0.0	8.6	14.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	29	96.6	89.7	20.7	58.6	0.0	62.1	20.7	3.4
		3RD DUI	6	100.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	33.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	106	97.2	85.8	61.3	19.8	0.0	26.4	17.0	0.9

TABLE B4: 1995 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
MERCED	SUP MERCED	1ST DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	15	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.7	0.0
		TOTAL	21	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.8	0.0
	JUV MERCED	1ST DUI	10	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	10	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	MERCED	1ST DUI	545	98.9	98.5	83.3	3.3	0.0	3.5	3.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	230	99.1	98.3	8.3	77.4	0.0	63.9	10.9	0.9
		3RD DUI	76	97.4	98.7	5.3	39.5	0.0	32.9	27.6	1.3
		4TH+ DUI	15	80.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	13.3	13.3	0.0
		TOTAL	866	98.5	98.5	55.1	26.8	0.0	22.3	7.7	0.3
	LOS BANOS	1ST DUI	128	100.0	92.2	81.3	8.6	0.0	10.9	0.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	53	100.0	100.0	5.7	81.1	0.0	79.2	1.9	1.9
		3RD DUI	13	100.0	100.0	15.4	23.1	0.0	0.0	7.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	40.0	0.0
		TOTAL	199	100.0	95.0	54.8	29.1	0.0	28.6	2.5	0.5
MODOC	SUP MODOC	4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	ALTURAS	1ST DUI	31	96.8	93.5	87.1	3.2	0.0	6.5	3.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	14	85.7	92.9	21.4	64.3	0.0	28.6	28.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	48	91.7	93.8	62.5	22.9	0.0	12.5	16.7	0.0
MONO	SUP MONO	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	BRIDGEPORT	1ST DUI	16	100.0	75.0	87.5	6.3	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	40.0
		TOTAL	21	100.0	81.0	66.7	23.8	0.0	19.0	0.0	9.5
	MAMOTH LAKES	1ST DUI	54	98.1	53.7	90.7	0.0	0.0	22.2	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	21	100.0	90.5	9.5	90.5	0.0	95.2	0.0	66.7
		3RD DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	66.7	0.0	66.7
		TOTAL	78	97.4	65.4	65.4	26.9	0.0	43.6	0.0	20.5
MONTEREY	SUP MONTEREY	1ST DUI	26	92.3	69.2	7.7	3.8	0.0	0.0	42.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	12	91.7	83.3	0.0	8.3	8.3	0.0	50.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	9	77.8	77.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	55.6	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	69	76.8	81.2	0.0	1.4	0.0	0.0	42.0	0.0
		TOTAL	116	81.9	78.4	1.7	2.6	0.9	0.0	44.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 1995 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
MONTEREY cont.	JUV SALINAS	1ST DUI	28	100.0	10.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0
		TOTAL	28	100.0	10.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0
	CASTROVILLE	2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
	MONTEREY	1ST DUI	582	99.5	99.1	80.6	2.1	0.0	2.7	15.3	0.7
		2ND DUI	199	99.0	89.9	8.5	50.3	0.0	51.3	29.6	30.2
		3RD DUI	58	100.0	74.1	0.0	19.0	0.0	36.2	39.7	32.8
		4TH+ DUI	10	100.0	70.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	40.0	30.0	10.0
		TOTAL	849	99.4	94.9	57.2	15.1	0.0	16.8	20.5	9.9
	SALINAS	1ST DUI	1082	100.0	98.1	80.2	1.7	0.0	3.2	18.0	2.4
		2ND DUI	358	99.7	84.1	8.9	48.9	0.0	39.7	29.1	25.4
		3RD DUI	108	97.2	68.5	1.9	11.1	0.0	34.3	36.1	18.5
		4TH+ DUI	11	100.0	81.8	9.1	9.1	0.0	18.2	45.5	27.3
		TOTAL	1559	99.7	92.7	57.9	13.2	0.0	13.9	22.0	9.0
	KING CITY	1ST DUI	354	100.0	100.0	80.8	1.1	0.0	0.0	22.0	0.3
		2ND DUI	128	100.0	100.0	8.6	31.3	0.0	12.5	73.4	0.8
		3RD DUI	50	100.0	100.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	98.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	533	100.0	100.0	55.7	8.6	0.0	3.0	41.7	0.4
NAPA	NAPA	1ST DUI	624	97.3	96.8	90.2	1.9	0.0	2.6	1.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	201	97.5	98.5	8.5	75.6	0.0	68.7	0.5	1.5
		3RD DUI	48	93.8	93.8	2.1	50.0	0.0	54.2	0.0	4.2
		4TH+ DUI	18	44.4	100.0	0.0	27.8	0.0	27.8	5.6	0.0
		TOTAL	891	96.1	97.1	65.2	21.7	0.0	20.8	0.9	0.6
NEVADA	NEVADA CITY	1ST DUI	277	98.9	94.6	85.6	1.1	0.0	23.5	0.0	0.4
		2ND DUI	95	100.0	100.0	14.7	70.5	0.0	71.6	1.1	2.1
		3RD DUI	32	93.8	100.0	3.1	28.1	0.0	15.6	0.0	3.1
		4TH+ DUI	13	61.5	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	417	97.6	96.4	60.4	18.9	0.0	33.1	0.2	1.0
	TRUCKEE	1ST DUI	103	100.0	98.1	92.2	4.9	0.0	84.5	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	34	94.1	91.2	11.8	76.5	0.0	91.2	0.0	17.6
		3RD DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	142	98.6	96.5	69.7	24.6	0.0	85.9	0.0	4.2
ORANGE	SUP SANTA ANA	1ST DUI	72	80.6	91.7	2.8	1.4	0.0	12.5	4.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	37	75.7	89.2	0.0	16.2	0.0	16.2	10.8	0.0
		3RD DUI	10	70.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	106	55.7	100.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	2.8	0.0
		TOTAL	225	67.6	95.6	0.9	3.6	0.0	6.7	4.9	0.0

TABLE B4: 1995 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
ORANGE cont.	JUV ORANGE	1ST DUI	49	98.0	22.4	53.1	0.0	0.0	10.2	65.3	0.0
		TOTAL	49	98.0	22.4	53.1	0.0	0.0	10.2	65.3	0.0
	FULLERTON	1ST DUI	2747	98.9	20.0	92.1	2.0	0.0	85.5	4.5	0.4
		2ND DUI	758	98.4	91.8	7.4	81.5	0.0	75.6	2.2	27.0
		3RD DUI	170	95.9	95.3	1.8	76.5	0.0	37.6	1.2	28.2
		4TH+ DUI	10	90.0	80.0	0.0	70.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3685	98.6	38.4	70.2	22.0	0.0	81.1	3.9	7.2
	WESTMINSTER	1ST DUI	1909	99.2	9.2	94.1	1.9	0.0	97.0	0.6	0.5
		2ND DUI	523	98.9	91.6	8.4	83.9	0.0	96.0	1.3	44.0
		3RD DUI	114	96.5	92.1	0.9	78.9	0.0	54.4	1.8	45.6
		4TH+ DUI	20	95.0	95.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	55.0	0.0	35.0
		TOTAL	2566	98.9	30.3	71.7	22.5	0.0	94.5	0.8	11.6
	LAGUNA HILLS	1ST DUI	1472	99.9	12.3	79.1	1.3	0.0	92.7	5.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	418	100.0	95.2	5.3	84.9	0.0	91.1	6.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	83	100.0	98.8	1.2	79.5	0.0	12.0	6.0	1.2
		4TH+ DUI	12	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1985	99.9	33.9	59.8	22.5	0.0	88.6	5.7	0.1
	NEWPORT BCH	1ST DUI	1457	99.7	16.6	93.8	1.2	0.0	90.1	7.2	0.1
		2ND DUI	401	99.5	96.3	5.5	86.3	0.0	52.6	44.4	9.0
		3RD DUI	64	92.2	98.4	4.7	79.7	0.0	6.3	15.6	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	8	100.0	100.0	0.0	37.5	0.0	25.0	12.5	0.0
		TOTAL	1930	99.4	36.2	72.1	21.7	0.0	79.3	15.2	2.0
	SANTA ANA	1ST DUI	1940	99.8	41.9	95.9	1.8	0.0	73.8	4.7	1.3
		2ND DUI	521	99.2	93.1	7.3	86.6	0.0	94.6	1.7	59.9
		3RD DUI	113	98.2	92.0	2.7	84.1	0.0	73.5	3.5	59.3
		4TH+ DUI	10	100.0	100.0	10.0	70.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	40.0
		TOTAL	2584	99.6	54.6	73.6	22.8	0.0	77.9	4.0	15.8
PLACER	SUP AUBURN	1ST DUI	6	83.3	83.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	16.7	33.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	13	76.9	84.6	15.4	7.7	0.0	7.7	23.1	0.0
	JUV AUBURN	1ST DUI	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
	JUV TAHOE VSTA	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 1995 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
PLACER cont.	AUBURN MUNI	1ST DUI	362	100.0	92.8	91.7	1.1	0.0	9.7	1.7	0.6
		2ND DUI	122	99.2	95.9	13.1	74.6	0.0	79.5	2.5	9.0
		3RD DUI	27	96.3	92.6	3.7	33.3	0.0	25.9	25.9	3.7
		4TH+ DUI	14	85.7	100.0	0.0	42.9	0.0	14.3	7.1	0.0
		TOTAL	525	99.2	93.7	66.5	21.0	0.0	26.9	3.2	2.7
	COLFAX	2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
	FORESTHILL	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	LOOMIS	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	100.0	100.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
	ROSEVILLE	1ST DUI	334	99.7	96.7	87.7	7.8	0.0	9.9	0.9	1.8
		2ND DUI	123	95.1	93.5	11.4	78.9	0.0	71.5	0.8	44.7
		3RD DUI	25	96.0	88.0	4.0	72.0	4.0	52.0	0.0	40.0
		4TH+ DUI	14	92.9	100.0	0.0	64.3	0.0	21.4	0.0	7.1
		TOTAL	496	98.2	95.6	62.1	30.2	0.2	27.6	0.8	14.5
	LINCOLN	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TAHOE CITY	1ST DUI	192	100.0	97.9	91.7	2.6	0.0	19.8	2.6	0.5
		2ND DUI	55	100.0	96.4	10.9	80.0	0.0	85.5	9.1	10.9
		3RD DUI	11	100.0	81.8	9.1	27.3	18.2	9.1	45.5	9.1
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	259	100.0	96.9	70.7	20.1	0.8	33.2	6.2	3.1
PLUMAS	SUP PLUMAS	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	100.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
	QUINCY	1ST DUI	77	98.7	97.4	84.4	3.9	0.0	85.7	1.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	34	100.0	97.1	14.7	52.9	0.0	64.7	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	119	98.3	97.5	58.8	18.5	0.0	74.8	0.8	0.0
RIVERSIDE	SUP RIVERSIDE	1ST DUI	1854	98.3	79.0	91.2	1.3	0.1	13.6	0.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	468	95.3	89.7	13.7	66.5	2.8	42.9	2.1	3.2
		3RD DUI	116	90.5	92.2	3.4	31.9	1.7	10.3	6.0	0.9
		4TH+ DUI	51	64.7	96.1	5.9	15.7	0.0	2.0	11.8	0.0
		TOTAL	2489	96.7	82.0	70.8	15.3	0.6	18.8	1.4	0.6

TABLE B4: 1995 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
RIVERSIDE cont.	SUP INDIO	1ST DUI	1298	98.4	12.0	91.1	1.0	0.0	92.8	0.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	383	96.3	81.7	14.4	54.8	0.0	93.2	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	132	93.2	97.0	1.5	22.7	0.0	81.1	0.8	1.5
		4TH+ DUI	69	65.2	92.8	11.6	13.0	0.0	40.6	1.4	0.0
		TOTAL	1882	96.4	35.1	66.3	13.9	0.0	90.1	0.2	0.1
	JUV RIVERSIDE	1ST DUI	11	90.9	27.3	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	11	90.9	27.3	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV INDIO	1ST DUI	9	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	88.9	0.0
		TOTAL	9	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	88.9	0.0
	CORONA	1ST DUI	8	87.5	87.5	62.5	0.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	11	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	90.9	0.0	9.1
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	20	95.0	95.0	25.0	60.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	5.0
	HEMET	1ST DUI	273	97.8	68.5	90.5	1.5	0.0	81.0	0.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	87	98.9	89.7	5.7	82.8	1.1	85.1	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	18	88.9	88.9	5.6	44.4	0.0	33.3	0.0	5.6
		4TH+ DUI	5	100.0	100.0	60.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	383	97.7	74.7	66.8	22.2	0.3	78.9	0.5	0.3
	BANNING	1ST DUI	144	95.1	74.3	80.6	1.4	0.0	38.2	1.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	51	98.0	96.1	19.6	74.5	0.0	76.5	5.9	0.0
		3RD DUI	8	100.0	100.0	0.0	87.5	0.0	12.5	12.5	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	71.4	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	210	96.2	81.4	60.0	24.8	0.0	46.2	2.9	0.0
	INDIO	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	100.0	75.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	MORENO VLY	2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
	PALM SPRINGS	2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	BLYTHE	1ST DUI	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	16	100.0	100.0	0.0	87.5	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	100.0	100.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	21	100.0	100.0	9.5	81.0	0.0	90.5	4.8	0.0

TABLE B4: 1995 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
RIVERSIDE cont.	PERRIS	1ST DUI	589	99.0	89.8	92.9	2.4	0.0	10.5	1.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	182	100.0	97.3	16.5	75.3	0.5	62.6	1.6	6.0
		3RD DUI	38	100.0	100.0	21.1	34.2	0.0	15.8	2.6	2.6
		4TH+ DUI	10	70.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	819	98.9	91.8	71.4	20.0	0.1	22.2	1.2	1.5
	TC MARCH AFB	2ND DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SACRAMENTO	SUP SACTO	1ST DUI	50	82.0	94.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	36	83.3	86.1	8.3	22.2	0.0	16.7	5.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	18	77.8	100.0	0.0	22.2	0.0	0.0	5.6	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	126	69.0	100.0	0.0	11.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	230	74.8	96.5	10.0	11.7	0.0	2.6	2.2	0.0
	JUV SACTO TRAF	1ST DUI	26	84.6	73.1	46.2	0.0	0.0	15.4	7.7	0.0
		TOTAL	26	84.6	73.1	46.2	0.0	0.0	15.4	7.7	0.0
	SACRAMENTO	1ST DUI	3053	99.6	86.7	89.0	2.9	0.1	20.6	0.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	1112	99.4	97.9	8.0	67.8	0.0	64.2	1.1	2.2
		3RD DUI	328	99.4	99.4	0.9	40.9	0.0	12.2	0.6	0.3
		4TH+ DUI	82	90.2	97.6	0.0	26.8	0.0	4.9	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4575	99.4	90.6	61.4	21.8	0.0	30.3	0.5	0.5
	ELK GROVE	1ST DUI	54	98.1	96.3	87.0	1.9	0.0	3.7	1.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	16	100.0	100.0	6.3	68.8	0.0	68.8	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	75	98.7	97.3	64.0	16.0	0.0	17.3	1.3	0.0
	GALT	1ST DUI	57	98.2	94.7	35.1	42.1	0.0	5.3	3.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	26	100.0	96.2	3.8	53.8	0.0	53.8	15.4	0.0
		3RD DUI	8	87.5	87.5	0.0	12.5	0.0	12.5	12.5	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	100.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	94	97.9	94.7	23.4	42.6	0.0	20.2	7.4	0.0
	WALNUT GROVE	1ST DUI	8	100.0	75.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	83.3	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	100.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	18	100.0	83.3	0.0	61.1	0.0	38.9	0.0	0.0
	US CT SACTO	1ST DUI	13	100.0	53.8	15.4	0.0	0.0	7.7	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	16	93.8	56.3	12.5	0.0	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 1995 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
SAN BENITO	SUP SAN BENITO	1ST DUI	7	85.7	100.0	28.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	8	87.5	75.0	25.0	37.5	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
		TOTAL	17	76.5	88.2	23.5	17.6	0.0	0.0	23.5	0.0
	JUV HOLLISTR	1ST DUI	2	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
	HOLLISTER	1ST DUI	162	94.4	95.7	71.6	0.0	0.0	4.3	14.8	1.9
		2ND DUI	76	90.8	88.2	9.2	52.6	0.0	64.5	2.6	50.0
		3RD DUI	19	100.0	73.7	0.0	47.4	0.0	57.9	0.0	52.6
		4TH+ DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	66.7	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	260	93.5	91.9	47.3	19.6	0.0	26.5	10.0	20.8
SAN BERNARDINO	SUP SAN BERNO	1ST DUI	13	69.2	84.6	23.1	0.0	0.0	38.5	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	75.0	75.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	25.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	25	44.0	100.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	45	51.1	93.3	6.7	4.4	0.0	13.3	2.2	0.0
	SUP RANCHO CUCAMNGA	1ST DUI	28	82.1	42.9	50.0	3.6	0.0	7.1	17.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	5	100.0	80.0	40.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	23	43.5	95.7	0.0	8.7	0.0	4.3	4.3	0.0
		TOTAL	57	66.7	68.4	28.1	7.0	0.0	7.0	10.5	0.0
	SUP VICTORVILE	1ST DUI	4	100.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	4	100.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	11	45.5	90.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	21	61.9	85.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.5	0.0	0.0
	SUP BARSTOW	1ST DUI	10	30.0	90.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	17	23.5	94.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SUP JOSHUA TREE	3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	16.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	7	28.6	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV TRF SAN BERNARDINO	1ST DUI	22	31.8	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	54.5	0.0
		TOTAL	22	31.8	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	54.5	0.0
	JUV SAN BERNO	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 1995 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
SAN BERNARDINO cont.	CHINO	1ST DUI	234	97.4	9.8	0.9	3.0	0.0	83.8	3.8	0.9
		2ND DUI	73	98.6	80.8	0.0	78.1	0.0	86.3	2.7	16.4
		3RD DUI	17	100.0	94.1	0.0	70.6	0.0	64.7	0.0	23.5
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	327	97.9	30.9	0.6	23.2	0.0	82.6	4.3	5.5
	BARSTOW	1ST DUI	151	96.0	38.4	0.7	2.6	0.0	62.9	9.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	52	92.3	61.5	0.0	69.2	1.9	65.4	13.5	3.8
		3RD DUI	7	85.7	100.0	0.0	71.4	0.0	28.6	28.6	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	211	94.8	46.4	0.5	21.3	0.5	62.6	10.9	0.9
	REDLANDS	1ST DUI	175	98.3	9.1	0.6	0.6	0.0	84.6	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	44	100.0	45.5	0.0	40.9	0.0	97.7	0.0	2.3
		3RD DUI	11	72.7	36.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	72.7	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	233	97.4	18.0	0.4	8.2	0.0	85.8	0.0	0.4
	S BERN CENTRL	1ST DUI	1155	98.4	14.6	0.2	1.0	0.0	83.4	3.4	0.2
		2ND DUI	332	96.4	67.8	0.6	57.8	0.3	84.0	2.1	8.4
		3RD DUI	84	92.9	84.5	0.0	27.4	0.0	69.0	2.4	3.6
		4TH+ DUI	14	78.6	71.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	14.3	7.1
		TOTAL	1585	97.5	30.0	0.3	14.3	0.1	82.3	3.2	2.1
	FONTANA	1ST DUI	402	99.3	8.0	0.5	2.5	0.0	91.3	0.0	0.2
		2ND DUI	170	99.4	74.7	0.0	72.9	0.0	74.7	0.6	4.7
		3RD DUI	33	93.9	93.9	0.0	30.3	0.0	30.3	15.2	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	609	98.7	31.9	0.3	24.0	0.0	82.9	1.0	1.5
	VICTORVILLE	1ST DUI	349	99.1	13.5	0.6	2.0	0.0	88.3	1.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	112	97.3	80.4	0.0	71.4	0.0	84.8	4.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	20	90.0	85.0	0.0	55.0	0.0	70.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	486	98.4	32.7	0.4	20.8	0.0	86.6	1.9	0.0
	RANCHO CUCAMNGA	1ST DUI	1137	97.3	14.3	0.2	1.7	0.0	84.2	0.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	304	97.4	84.2	0.0	78.6	0.0	91.4	2.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	73	80.8	93.2	0.0	37.0	0.0	30.1	9.6	1.4
		4TH+ DUI	5	40.0	80.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1519	96.3	32.3	0.1	18.8	0.0	82.8	1.4	0.1
	BIG BEAR LAKE	1ST DUI	67	100.0	4.5	1.5	0.0	0.0	95.5	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	25	100.0	88.0	4.0	72.0	0.0	76.0	4.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	80.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	40.0	20.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	98	98.0	31.6	2.0	20.4	0.0	86.7	2.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 1995 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
SAN BERNARDINO cont.	TWIN PEAKS	1ST DUI	63	100.0	7.9	1.6	1.6	0.0	88.9	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	22	95.5	81.8	0.0	68.2	0.0	81.8	4.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	88	97.7	29.5	1.1	20.5	0.0	85.2	1.1	0.0
	NEEDLES	1ST DUI	61	96.7	16.4	1.6	3.3	0.0	67.2	3.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	14	100.0	85.7	0.0	78.6	0.0	64.3	7.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	100.0	80.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	40.0	20.0	0.0
		TOTAL	80	97.5	32.5	1.2	17.5	0.0	65.0	5.0	0.0
	JOSHUA TREE	1ST DUI	139	99.3	3.6	0.7	0.7	0.0	93.5	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	34	100.0	41.2	0.0	82.4	0.0	91.2	0.0	8.8
		3RD DUI	6	100.0	66.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	179	99.4	12.8	0.6	17.3	0.0	91.1	0.0	1.7
	NORTON AFB	2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
SAN DIEGO	SUP SAN DIEGO	1ST DUI	32	62.5	93.8	9.4	6.3	0.0	3.1	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	4	75.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	8	12.5	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	23	65.2	91.3	0.0	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	67	58.2	92.5	4.5	4.5	0.0	1.5	0.0	0.0
	SUP VISTA	1ST DUI	3	100.0	100.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	80.0	100.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SUP CHUL VISTA	1ST DUI	29	79.3	96.6	10.3	3.4	0.0	3.4	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	20	55.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	56	67.9	98.2	5.4	1.8	0.0	1.8	0.0	0.0
	SUP EL CAJON	1ST DUI	14	64.3	92.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	6	83.3	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	16.7	16.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	15	53.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	38	63.2	97.4	0.0	2.6	0.0	2.6	2.6	0.0
	JUV SAN DIEGO	1ST DUI	39	12.8	33.3	10.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	41	17.1	36.6	9.8	4.9	0.0	0.0	14.6	0.0

TABLE B4: 1995 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
SAN DIEGO cont.	EL CAJON	1ST DUI	1417	97.5	96.9	88.8	1.5	0.1	5.7	2.4	0.1
		2ND DUI	416	92.8	98.8	7.5	66.3	0.0	68.8	1.0	4.1
		3RD DUI	114	84.2	100.0	2.6	33.3	0.0	20.2	26.3	1.8
		4TH+ DUI	16	43.8	93.8	0.0	6.3	0.0	6.3	37.5	6.3
		TOTAL	1963	95.3	97.5	65.9	17.1	0.1	19.9	3.8	1.1
	VISTA	1ST DUI	2404	97.2	99.4	82.2	2.8	0.0	2.1	6.6	0.1
		2ND DUI	769	96.9	99.5	7.2	73.9	0.1	33.2	8.3	7.0
		3RD DUI	165	89.7	99.4	3.6	40.6	0.0	12.1	30.3	2.4
		4TH+ DUI	41	97.6	90.2	2.4	7.3	0.0	0.0	14.6	0.0
		TOTAL	3379	96.7	99.3	60.3	20.9	0.0	9.6	8.3	1.8
	SAN MARCOS	2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	SAN DIEGO	1ST DUI	3563	99.4	97.7	92.1	3.6	0.0	83.1	1.0	2.6
		2ND DUI	863	97.7	94.3	10.9	75.0	0.0	90.3	0.8	64.7
		3RD DUI	158	94.9	88.0	1.3	68.4	0.0	82.3	1.9	69.0
		4TH+ DUI	21	81.0	90.5	0.0	47.6	0.0	57.1	0.0	42.9
		TOTAL	4605	98.9	96.7	73.3	19.4	0.0	84.3	1.0	16.7
	CHULA VISTA	1ST DUI	1174	98.4	87.7	91.7	2.7	0.0	16.7	2.7	0.2
		2ND DUI	303	97.0	95.0	15.2	70.6	0.0	69.6	6.3	15.8
		3RD DUI	61	95.1	96.7	9.8	54.1	0.0	26.2	26.2	6.6
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	1541	98.0	89.6	73.2	18.2	0.0	27.6	4.4	3.5
SAN FRANCISCO	SUP SAN FRAN	1ST DUI	8	87.5	100.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	62.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	75.0	100.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	25.0	0.0
		TOTAL	15	80.0	100.0	26.7	13.3	0.0	13.3	46.7	0.0
	JUV SAN FRAN	1ST DUI	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0
	SAN FRANCISCO	1ST DUI	648	99.4	98.8	95.1	1.5	0.0	11.9	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	181	98.3	92.8	17.7	70.7	0.6	66.9	0.0	24.9
		3RD DUI	36	100.0	91.7	2.8	44.4	0.0	38.9	5.6	19.4
		4TH+ DUI	7	100.0	85.7	0.0	14.3	0.0	14.3	0.0	28.6
		TOTAL	872	99.2	97.1	74.4	17.8	0.1	24.4	0.2	6.2
	US DIST CT SF	1ST DUI	3	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	50.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	80.0	20.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 1995 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
SAN JOAQUIN	SUP FRENCH CAMP	1ST DUI	34	20.6	23.5	0.0	2.9	0.0	2.9	73.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	6	100.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	17	58.8	88.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.9	0.0
		TOTAL	57	40.4	47.4	0.0	1.8	0.0	1.8	47.4	0.0
	JUV FRENCH CAMP	1ST DUI	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	25.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	LODI	1ST DUI	349	100.0	99.4	84.8	0.9	0.0	2.9	13.5	0.6
		2ND DUI	141	99.3	93.6	5.0	74.5	0.0	83.7	12.8	47.5
		3RD DUI	42	100.0	54.8	0.0	4.8	0.0	54.8	47.6	38.1
		4TH+ DUI	25	92.0	72.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.0	60.0	32.0
		TOTAL	557	99.5	93.4	54.4	19.7	0.0	28.4	18.0	16.7
	MANTECA	1ST DUI	265	99.2	98.1	85.3	0.8	0.0	0.4	5.7	0.8
		2ND DUI	96	100.0	100.0	4.2	88.5	0.0	91.7	2.1	55.2
		3RD DUI	34	97.1	85.3	0.0	32.4	0.0	41.2	44.1	32.4
		4TH+ DUI	19	57.9	89.5	0.0	21.1	0.0	10.5	21.1	5.3
		TOTAL	414	97.3	97.1	55.6	24.6	0.0	25.4	8.7	16.2
	TRACY	1ST DUI	153	99.3	100.0	83.7	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	1.3
		2ND DUI	53	98.1	98.1	11.3	73.6	0.0	81.1	1.9	58.5
		3RD DUI	11	100.0	90.9	9.1	81.8	0.0	72.7	18.2	63.6
		4TH+ DUI	11	90.9	72.7	0.0	45.5	0.0	54.5	36.4	45.5
		TOTAL	228	98.7	97.8	59.2	24.6	0.0	26.3	4.4	19.7
	STOCKTON	1ST DUI	1134	99.8	99.3	85.8	2.1	0.0	2.3	1.9	0.9
		2ND DUI	418	99.8	97.8	11.0	80.6	0.0	77.0	1.4	37.8
		3RD DUI	141	99.3	94.3	15.6	38.3	0.0	32.6	6.4	17.7
		4TH+ DUI	63	82.5	90.5	22.2	12.7	0.0	14.3	22.2	11.1
		TOTAL	1756	99.1	98.2	60.1	24.1	0.0	22.9	2.9	11.4
SAN LUIS OBISPO	SUP S L OBISPO	1ST DUI	22	86.4	59.1	45.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	31.8	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	100.0	75.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	27	59.3	100.0	0.0	3.7	0.0	3.7	29.6	3.7
		TOTAL	53	73.6	81.1	20.8	3.8	0.0	1.9	30.2	1.9
	JUV S L OBISPO	1ST DUI	5	60.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	60.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	7	71.4	0.0	42.9	0.0	0.0	28.6	42.9	0.0
	S LUIS OBISPO	1ST DUI	965	98.8	98.5	94.6	1.9	0.0	1.5	0.8	0.1
		2ND DUI	347	98.0	98.3	6.6	81.6	0.3	79.8	0.3	7.2
		3RD DUI	101	97.0	99.0	3.0	75.2	0.0	11.9	6.9	5.0
		4TH+ DUI	15	86.7	93.3	13.3	46.7	0.0	6.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1428	98.3	98.5	65.9	26.9	0.1	21.3	1.1	2.2

TABLE B4: 1995 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
SAN LUIS OBISPO cont.	US MAG SAN LUIS OBISPO	1ST DUI	5	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	7	100.0	14.3	85.7	14.3	0.0	71.4	0.0	0.0
SAN MATEO	SUP REDWOOD CITY	1ST DUI	26	88.5	100.0	38.5	15.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	14	78.6	100.0	28.6	50.0	0.0	28.6	0.0	7.1
		3RD DUI	18	55.6	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	5.6	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	59	52.5	100.0	0.0	18.6	0.0	0.0	1.7	0.0
		TOTAL	117	64.1	100.0	12.0	23.9	0.0	3.4	1.7	0.9
	SUP SAN MATEO	1ST DUI	32	62.5	12.5	6.3	0.0	0.0	3.1	6.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	33	63.6	12.1	6.1	0.0	0.0	3.0	6.1	0.0
	SAN MATEO	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO	1ST DUI	1186	98.4	97.4	91.7	1.1	0.0	0.9	0.4	0.3
		2ND DUI	357	97.2	96.1	4.5	85.7	0.6	74.8	3.4	61.6
		3RD DUI	79	93.7	98.7	1.3	79.7	0.0	67.1	5.1	57.0
		4TH+ DUI	9	77.8	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	66.7	11.1	66.7
		TOTAL	1631	97.8	97.2	67.7	23.8	0.1	20.7	1.3	16.9
	REDWOOD CITY	1ST DUI	1166	98.6	98.6	93.2	1.9	0.1	2.1	0.1	0.7
		2ND DUI	338	95.0	98.2	6.2	84.9	0.0	64.8	0.9	53.0
		3RD DUI	94	86.2	98.9	2.1	75.5	1.1	54.3	1.1	52.1
		4TH+ DUI	11	54.5	90.9	0.0	45.5	0.0	27.3	0.0	27.3
		TOTAL	1609	96.8	98.5	69.0	23.9	0.1	18.5	0.3	14.9
SANTA BARBARA	SUP SANTA BARBARA	1ST DUI	7	85.7	100.0	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	15	73.3	73.3	0.0	6.7	0.0	26.7	0.0	26.7
		TOTAL	23	78.3	82.6	0.0	13.0	0.0	17.4	0.0	17.4
	SUP SANTA MARIA	1ST DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	11	45.5	72.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	27.3	0.0	27.3
		TOTAL	19	42.1	84.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.8	0.0	15.8
	JUV SANTA BARBARA	1ST DUI	15	86.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
		TOTAL	15	86.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
	JUV LOMPOC	1ST DUI	16	18.8	6.3	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
		TOTAL	16	18.8	6.3	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 1995 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
SANTA BARBARA cont.	SANTA BARBARA	1ST DUI	956	98.1	69.6	91.1	0.5	0.0	85.7	11.2	0.1
		2ND DUI	285	97.5	92.6	3.9	28.8	0.0	35.4	62.5	8.1
		3RD DUI	115	94.8	93.9	0.0	67.0	0.0	13.9	78.3	11.3
		4TH+ DUI	6	66.7	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1362	97.6	76.6	64.8	12.3	0.0	68.7	28.0	2.7
	SANTA MARIA	1ST DUI	473	98.5	90.7	71.5	1.5	0.0	33.2	11.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	204	98.0	98.0	5.9	77.0	0.0	41.2	47.5	14.2
		3RD DUI	52	96.2	96.2	0.0	13.5	0.0	5.8	88.5	3.8
		4TH+ DUI	18	61.1	72.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	27.8	16.7	22.2
		TOTAL	747	97.3	92.6	46.9	22.9	0.0	33.3	26.6	4.7
	LOMPOC	1ST DUI	146	99.3	11.0	93.2	0.7	0.0	1.4	3.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	61	100.0	85.2	13.1	77.0	0.0	65.6	19.7	26.2
		3RD DUI	12	100.0	100.0	0.0	41.7	0.0	16.7	50.0	8.3
		TOTAL	219	99.5	36.5	65.8	24.2	0.0	20.1	10.5	7.8
	SOLVANG	1ST DUI	99	99.0	15.2	88.9	1.0	0.0	86.9	8.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	33	97.0	100.0	0.0	97.0	0.0	93.9	6.1	12.1
		3RD DUI	10	100.0	100.0	0.0	30.0	0.0	30.0	40.0	20.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	144	98.6	41.7	61.1	25.0	0.0	83.3	10.4	4.2
SANTA CLARA	SUP SNTA CLARA	1ST DUI	135	72.6	97.8	49.6	11.1	0.0	3.7	0.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	72	75.0	100.0	9.7	52.8	0.0	9.7	1.4	1.4
		3RD DUI	49	63.3	100.0	0.0	36.7	0.0	6.1	0.0	4.1
		4TH+ DUI	177	49.7	98.9	0.0	31.1	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.6
		TOTAL	433	62.6	98.8	17.1	29.1	0.0	4.2	0.5	0.9
	JUV SANTA CLARA	1ST DUI	36	97.2	47.2	63.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	39	97.4	51.3	59.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	46.2	0.0
	LOS GATOS	2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	PALO ALTO	1ST DUI	371	99.7	93.8	83.0	1.1	0.0	8.4	1.9	0.3
		2ND DUI	135	100.0	98.5	10.4	70.4	0.0	76.3	2.2	9.6
		3RD DUI	28	96.4	82.1	0.0	25.0	0.0	32.1	0.0	14.3
		TOTAL	534	99.6	94.4	60.3	19.9	0.0	26.8	1.9	3.4
	SAN JOSE	1ST DUI	3087	96.5	95.7	76.4	1.7	0.0	5.3	17.0	0.2
		2ND DUI	1191	96.0	98.0	3.5	64.0	0.2	66.8	22.7	14.1
		3RD DUI	371	93.0	94.3	1.6	10.8	0.3	11.6	10.0	4.0
		4TH+ DUI	34	100.0	91.2	0.0	8.8	0.0	2.9	20.6	5.9
		TOTAL	4683	96.1	96.2	51.4	18.3	0.1	21.5	17.9	4.1

TABLE B4: 1995 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
SANTA CLARA cont.	SAN JOSE TRAF	2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
	SANTA CLARA	4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SUNNYVALE	1ST DUI	498	97.6	84.7	86.9	1.8	0.0	12.9	5.0	0.2
		2ND DUI	184	96.7	96.2	7.6	75.5	0.0	78.3	3.8	23.9
		3RD DUI	32	96.9	87.5	0.0	25.0	0.0	31.3	9.4	18.8
		4TH+ DUI	6	100.0	100.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7
		TOTAL	720	97.4	87.9	62.2	21.7	0.0	30.3	4.9	7.2
	GILROY	1ST DUI	354	98.9	95.2	92.1	0.8	0.0	4.2	7.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	130	99.2	98.5	8.5	73.8	0.0	76.9	6.9	4.6
		3RD DUI	50	98.0	100.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	4.0	2.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	539	98.9	96.5	62.5	18.9	0.0	21.7	6.5	1.1
SANTA CRUZ	SUP SANTA CRUZ	4TH+ DUI	5	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0
	JUV SANTA CRUZ	1ST DUI	15	100.0	6.7	80.0	0.0	0.0	13.3	53.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	16	100.0	6.3	75.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	56.3	0.0
	SANTA CRUZ	1ST DUI	696	99.9	96.3	88.6	1.0	0.0	4.3	3.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	238	98.3	99.6	6.3	62.2	0.0	64.3	5.9	0.0
		3RD DUI	83	97.6	97.6	2.4	8.4	0.0	9.6	18.1	1.2
		4TH+ DUI	38	97.4	97.4	0.0	2.6	0.0	5.3	2.6	0.0
		TOTAL	1055	99.2	97.2	60.1	15.5	0.0	18.3	5.1	0.1
	WATSONVILLE	1ST DUI	409	99.3	99.0	72.6	2.9	0.0	2.9	21.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	158	99.4	100.0	4.4	41.8	0.0	40.5	41.8	0.0
		3RD DUI	53	100.0	100.0	0.0	5.7	0.0	1.9	83.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	71.4	0.0
		TOTAL	627	99.4	99.4	48.5	12.9	0.0	12.3	32.5	0.0
SHASTA	SUP REDDING	1ST DUI	12	91.7	100.0	58.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	6	100.0	100.0	16.7	50.0	0.0	33.3	16.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	20.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	24	75.0	100.0	33.3	16.7	0.0	8.3	20.8	0.0
	JUV SHASTA	1ST DUI	18	88.9	0.0	83.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	94.4	0.0
		TOTAL	18	88.9	0.0	83.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	94.4	0.0

TABLE B4: 1995 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
SHASTA cont.	BURNEY	1ST DUI	28	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	7	85.7	100.0	28.6	57.1	0.0	57.1	14.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	38	97.4	100.0	78.9	15.8	0.0	10.5	13.2	0.0
	REDDING	1ST DUI	466	97.9	98.5	93.8	1.3	0.0	1.5	3.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	157	98.1	99.4	11.5	84.1	0.0	80.3	5.7	0.6
		3RD DUI	53	96.2	100.0	3.8	54.7	0.0	13.2	66.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	16	43.8	81.3	6.3	25.0	0.0	12.5	18.8	0.0
		TOTAL	692	96.5	98.4	66.2	24.7	0.0	20.5	9.4	0.1
	US MAG REDDING	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SIERRA	DOWNIEVILLE	1ST DUI	14	100.0	100.0	85.7	7.1	0.0	35.7	7.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	20	95.0	100.0	60.0	15.0	0.0	35.0	5.0	0.0
SISKIYOU	SUP SISKIYOU	1ST DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV YREKA	1ST DUI	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
	DORRIS	1ST DUI	6	100.0	83.3	83.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	7	100.0	85.7	85.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	WEED	1ST DUI	72	98.6	91.7	81.9	0.0	0.0	9.7	4.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	25	96.0	100.0	12.0	60.0	0.0	68.0	4.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	101	98.0	94.1	61.4	17.8	0.0	26.7	4.0	0.0
	TULELAKE	1ST DUI	3	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	100.0	100.0	60.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	YREKA	1ST DUI	92	100.0	98.9	87.0	1.1	0.0	5.4	8.7	1.1
		2ND DUI	33	97.0	97.0	15.2	69.7	0.0	45.5	9.1	24.2
		3RD DUI	16	93.8	93.8	6.3	75.0	0.0	56.3	0.0	50.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	144	97.2	97.9	59.7	25.7	0.0	20.8	7.6	11.8

TABLE B4: 1995 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
SOLANO	SUP SOLANO	1ST DUI	12	100.0	91.7	25.0	25.0	0.0	8.3	50.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	100.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	33.3	33.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	75.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	29	58.6	93.1	0.0	24.1	0.0	0.0	41.4	0.0
		TOTAL	48	72.9	87.5	6.3	20.8	2.1	4.2	43.8	0.0
	JUV SOLANO	1ST DUI	14	57.1	7.1	57.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	71.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	15	53.3	13.3	53.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	73.3	0.0
	FAIRFIELD	1ST DUI	611	98.5	97.9	88.4	2.3	0.0	1.3	3.8	0.5
		2ND DUI	221	97.3	97.7	7.7	76.5	0.0	27.1	4.1	3.2
		3RD DUI	59	78.0	98.3	3.4	47.5	0.0	3.4	5.1	1.7
		4TH+ DUI	3	66.7	100.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	894	96.8	97.9	62.6	23.7	0.0	7.8	3.9	1.2
	BENICIA	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	VALLEJO	1ST DUI	262	98.5	84.7	93.9	1.9	0.0	15.6	0.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	94	97.9	96.8	12.8	76.6	0.0	68.1	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	19	94.7	94.7	5.3	42.1	0.0	10.5	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	100.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	378	98.1	88.4	68.8	22.8	0.0	28.3	0.3	0.0
SONOMA	SUP SONOMA	1ST DUI	19	63.2	100.0	0.0	5.3	0.0	5.3	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	8	62.5	87.5	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	6	100.0	83.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	45	53.3	97.8	0.0	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	78	60.3	96.2	0.0	5.1	0.0	3.8	0.0	0.0
	JUV SONOMA	1ST DUI	35	14.3	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	37	13.5	2.7	13.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.4	0.0
	SANTA ROSA	1ST DUI	1287	97.0	97.0	72.0	0.2	0.0	2.1	0.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	544	95.8	99.4	5.1	58.3	0.0	57.5	0.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	181	91.7	98.3	0.6	7.7	0.0	7.7	2.8	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	42	88.1	100.0	4.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2054	96.0	97.8	46.6	16.3	0.0	17.2	0.9	0.0
	PETALUMA	2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
STANISLAUS	SUP STANISLAUS	1ST DUI	9	77.8	88.9	11.1	11.1	0.0	22.2	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	14	78.6	100.0	0.0	7.1	0.0	0.0	7.1	0.0
		TOTAL	30	83.3	96.7	3.3	6.7	0.0	6.7	3.3	0.0

TABLE B4: 1995 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
STANISLAUS cont.	JUV STANISLAUS	1ST DUI	26	42.3	34.6	26.9	0.0	0.0	7.7	88.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	27	44.4	37.0	29.6	0.0	0.0	7.4	88.9	0.0
	MODESTO	1ST DUI	1091	99.2	99.1	87.5	4.1	0.0	2.3	0.1	0.3
		2ND DUI	434	98.4	97.2	9.2	77.2	0.0	54.8	0.9	24.7
		3RD DUI	140	97.9	96.4	4.3	75.0	0.0	45.0	1.4	33.6
		4TH+ DUI	68	82.4	95.6	1.5	42.6	0.0	25.0	1.5	14.7
		TOTAL	1733	98.2	98.3	57.8	29.7	0.0	19.8	0.5	9.6
	TURLOCK	1ST DUI	159	98.7	98.7	86.8	9.4	0.0	0.6	1.9	0.6
		2ND DUI	54	100.0	98.1	18.5	72.2	0.0	25.9	14.8	0.0
		3RD DUI	18	100.0	94.4	5.6	88.9	0.0	5.6	11.1	5.6
		TOTAL	231	99.1	98.3	64.5	30.3	0.0	6.9	5.6	0.9
SUTTER	SUP SUTTER	1ST DUI	10	100.0	100.0	90.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	9	55.6	100.0	0.0	22.2	0.0	0.0	55.6	0.0
		TOTAL	20	80.0	100.0	45.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	55.0	0.0
	YUBA CITY	1ST DUI	278	99.3	99.6	90.3	1.1	0.0	1.4	2.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	112	97.3	100.0	17.9	65.2	0.0	54.5	18.8	0.0
		3RD DUI	25	100.0	100.0	0.0	44.0	0.0	4.0	84.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	416	98.6	99.8	65.1	20.9	0.0	15.9	12.0	0.0
TEHAMA	SUP TEHAMA	1ST DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
	JUV TEHAMA	1ST DUI	4	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	0.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	0.0
	CORNING	1ST DUI	75	94.7	98.7	84.0	4.0	0.0	84.0	2.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	31	80.6	100.0	19.4	58.1	0.0	58.1	16.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	109	89.9	99.1	63.3	21.1	0.0	74.3	6.4	0.0
	RED BLUFF	1ST DUI	136	84.6	95.6	41.9	0.0	0.0	2.9	11.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	57	73.7	100.0	3.5	64.9	0.0	61.4	24.6	3.5
		3RD DUI	13	30.8	100.0	0.0	7.7	0.0	7.7	38.5	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	208	77.9	97.1	28.4	18.8	0.0	19.7	16.3	1.0

TABLE B4: 1995 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
TRINITY	SUP TRINITY	1ST DUI	3	100.0	100.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	75.0	100.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
	WEAVERVILLE	1ST DUI	67	100.0	97.0	94.0	1.5	0.0	4.5	6.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	21	100.0	100.0	14.3	52.4	0.0	28.6	4.8	0.0
		3RD DUI	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	57.1	0.0	14.3	42.9	0.0
		TOTAL	95	100.0	97.9	69.5	16.8	0.0	10.5	8.4	0.0
TULARE	SUP TULARE	1ST DUI	57	78.9	100.0	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	10	80.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	9	44.4	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	49	53.1	100.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0
		TOTAL	125	66.4	100.0	0.8	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8
	JUV TULARE	1ST DUI	23	47.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.7	65.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	25	44.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.0	68.0	0.0
	DINUBA	1ST DUI	239	98.3	100.0	91.6	0.8	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	83	96.4	100.0	9.6	18.1	0.0	16.9	1.2	0.0
		3RD DUI	35	91.4	100.0	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.9
		4TH+ DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	362	97.2	100.0	63.0	4.7	0.0	4.4	0.3	0.3
	EXETER	1ST DUI	81	97.5	97.5	87.7	4.9	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	28	100.0	96.4	10.7	78.6	3.6	17.9	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	115	98.3	97.4	64.3	27.8	0.9	4.3	0.9	0.0
	LINDSAY-EXETER	1ST DUI	138	98.6	98.6	89.9	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	56	98.2	98.2	8.9	78.6	1.8	16.1	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	16	100.0	100.0	6.3	75.0	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	212	98.6	98.6	61.3	28.8	0.5	4.7	0.5	0.0
	PORTERVILLE	1ST DUI	367	97.8	98.6	80.9	9.8	0.0	1.6	0.0	0.3
		2ND DUI	145	99.3	98.6	20.0	69.0	0.0	40.7	0.7	1.4
		3RD DUI	57	96.5	100.0	8.8	50.9	0.0	7.0	3.5	1.8
		4TH+ DUI	18	94.4	100.0	22.2	38.9	0.0	11.1	11.1	0.0
		TOTAL	587	98.0	98.8	57.1	29.3	0.0	12.1	0.9	0.7

TABLE B4: 1995 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
TULARE cont.	TULARE	1ST DUI	177	98.3	98.3	74.6	15.8	0.0	4.0	0.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	71	98.6	97.2	11.3	59.2	0.0	54.9	4.2	0.0
		3RD DUI	33	100.0	100.0	3.0	48.5	0.0	36.4	3.0	3.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	283	98.6	98.2	49.8	31.1	0.0	21.2	1.8	0.4
	VISALIA	1ST DUI	443	97.1	98.4	86.0	0.9	0.0	4.7	0.5	0.5
		2ND DUI	127	96.1	98.4	17.3	61.4	0.0	58.3	0.0	15.7
		3RD DUI	35	85.7	100.0	14.3	51.4	0.0	42.9	0.0	17.1
		4TH+ DUI	16	50.0	87.5	12.5	6.3	0.0	18.8	0.0	6.3
		TOTAL	621	95.0	98.2	66.0	16.3	0.0	18.2	0.3	4.7
	WOODLAKE-EXETER	1ST DUI	93	100.0	100.0	84.9	3.2	0.0	3.2	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	29	100.0	100.0	6.9	89.7	0.0	17.2	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	10	100.0	100.0	20.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	137	100.0	100.0	60.6	29.9	0.0	5.8	0.7	0.0
TUOLUMNE	SUP SONORA	1ST DUI	6	50.0	83.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	5	60.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	10	50.0	100.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	23	52.2	91.3	0.0	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV SONORA	1ST DUI	3	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SONORA	1ST DUI	144	100.0	99.3	99.3	0.0	0.0	0.7	6.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	57	96.5	98.2	7.0	86.0	0.0	84.2	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	14	100.0	100.0	0.0	21.4	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	219	98.2	99.1	67.1	23.7	0.0	23.3	4.1	0.0
	JAMESTOWN	1ST DUI	39	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	13	100.0	100.0	7.7	76.9	0.0	84.6	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	100.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	57	100.0	98.2	70.2	19.3	0.0	21.1	3.5	0.0
VENTURA	SUP VENTURA	4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	JUV VENTURA	1ST DUI	19	94.7	42.1	26.3	0.0	0.0	5.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	19	94.7	42.1	26.3	0.0	0.0	5.3	0.0	0.0
	OJAI	2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 1995 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
VENTURA cont.	VENTURA MUNI	1ST DUI	2696	98.3	99.1	89.1	1.4	0.0	1.7	8.6	0.2
		2ND DUI	771	98.8	96.2	17.1	66.4	0.0	76.9	12.1	23.1
		3RD DUI	205	93.7	94.6	12.2	41.5	0.0	35.1	43.9	26.3
		4TH+ DUI	24	58.3	75.0	37.5	4.2	0.0	4.2	12.5	8.3
		TOTAL	3696	97.9	98.1	69.5	17.2	0.0	19.2	11.3	6.5
YOLO	SUP WOODLAND	2ND DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	WEST SACTO	2ND DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	WOODLAND	1ST DUI	180	96.7	90.0	61.1	6.1	0.0	10.0	4.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	106	98.1	97.2	7.5	79.2	0.9	81.1	0.9	10.4
		3RD DUI	16	93.8	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	18.8	18.8	6.3
		4TH+ DUI	13	76.9	100.0	0.0	15.4	0.0	0.0	7.7	0.0
		TOTAL	315	96.2	93.3	37.5	32.1	0.3	34.0	4.1	3.8
YUBA	SUP YUBA	1ST DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	10	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	16	62.5	93.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV YUBA	1ST DUI	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
	MARYSVILLE	1ST DUI	274	100.0	90.5	79.2	0.4	0.0	1.1	8.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	103	99.0	98.1	4.9	52.4	0.0	45.6	33.0	8.7
		3RD DUI	35	100.0	100.0	0.0	2.9	0.0	2.9	51.4	0.0
		TOTAL	412	99.8	93.2	53.9	13.6	0.0	12.4	18.4	2.2
	BEALE AFB	1ST DUI	2	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B5: DEMOGRAPHIC 2-YEAR PRIOR DRIVER RECORD VARIABLES
BY YEAR AND SANCTION GROUP FOR FIRST DUI OFFENDERS

YEAR GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	PERCENT FEMALE	MEAN AGE	PERCENT COMMERCIAL DRIVERS	2-YEAR PRIOR INCIDENTS PER 100 DRIVERS				ZIP CODE ACCIDENT AND CONVICTION INDICES**			
					TOTAL ACCIDENTS	ALCOHOL ACCIDENTS	MAJOR CONVICTIONS	MINOR CONVICTIONS	TOTAL ACCIDENTS	INJURY ACCIDENTS	MAJOR VIOLATIONS	MOVING VIOLATIONS
1989												
Suspension	5,639	12.3	25.9	1.4	3.74	1.90	.34	16.07	2.86	.87	.22	6.64
Jail	7,200	13.6	31.9	3.4	3.19	1.60	.21	11.29	2.95	.95	.24	6.79
1st DUI program & jail	43,651	14.9	32.7	3.6	2.89	1.45	.15	10.75	2.84	.86	.22	6.68
1st DUI program & restriction	41,239	15.5	32.8	2.9	3.06	1.48	.12	9.31	3.11	.91	.21	6.47
SB 38 program & restriction	4,429	14.0	33.7	3.6	3.27	1.79	.32	8.98	3.01	.95	.25	6.25
Statistical significance test		$X^2 = 53.8$*	$F = 571.4$*	$X^2 = 94.3$*	$F = 34.4$*	$F = 26.2$*	$F = 52.0$*	$F = 276.8$*	$F = 802.2$*	$F = 451.3$*	$F = 217.3$*	$F = 200.3$*
1993												
Suspension	6,092	12.5	28.1	1.5	3.02	1.65	.80	12.53	1.54	.45	.10	3.45
Jail	4,455	15.6	32.6	3.3	2.89	1.55	.32	10.75	1.53	.46	.10	3.35
1st DUI program & jail	42,268	16.4	33.8	3.3	2.63	1.53	.13	8.15	1.52	.45	.10	3.35
1st DUI program & restriction	29,473	15.8	33.7	2.7	2.88	1.63	.10	8.23	1.67	.47	.09	3.48
SB 38 program & restriction	3,474	13.8	34.3	4.0	2.84	1.60	.37	7.99	1.58	.47	.10	3.18
Statistical significance test		$X^2 = 68.8$*	$F = 397.3$*	$X^2 = 82.6$*	$F = 14.9$*	$F = 3.7$*	$F = 363.8$*	$F = 193.9$*	$F = 956.0$*	$F = 193.8$*	$F = 201.9$*	$F = 307.3$*
1995												
Suspension	4,933	13.2	28.4	1.5	3.20	1.57	.71	12.40	1.49	.43	.06	2.90
Jail	3,271	16.1	34.0	3.4	2.91	1.50	.28	10.75	1.46	.43	.06	2.82
1st DUI program & jail	37,234	17.0	34.8	3.3	2.77	1.47	.11	8.19	1.48	.43	.06	2.79
1st DUI program & restriction	29,949	15.5	34.3	2.6	3.03	1.63	.09	8.33	1.57	.44	.06	2.97
SB 38 program & restriction	3,894	13.8	34.7	3.8	2.77	1.46	.30	8.67	1.54	.46	.06	2.88
Statistical significance test		$X^2 = 74.1$*	$F = 375.8$*	$X^2 = 74.5$*	$F = 13.9$*	$F = 7.7$*	$F = 286.6$*	$F = 142.6$*	$F = 473.9$*	$F = 95.0$*	$F = 158.7$*	$F = 402.0$*

*Statistical significance at $p<.05$, (two-tailed).
**The ZIP Code indices for the 1995 cases are based on 3.25 years of driver record data whereas the indices for the 1989 and 1993 drivers are based on 5 years of data.

TABLE B6: DEMOGRAPHIC 2-YEAR PRIOR DRIVER RECORD VARIABLES
BY YEAR AND SANCTION GROUP FOR SECOND DUI OFFENDERS

YEAR GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	PERCENT FEMALE	MEAN AGE	PERCENT COMMERCIAL DRIVERS	2-YEAR PRIOR INCIDENTS PER 100 DRIVERS				ZIP CODE ACCIDENT AND CONVICTION INDICES**			
					TOTAL ACCIDENTS	ALCOHOL ACCIDENTS	MAJOR CONVICTIONS	MINOR CONVICTIONS	TOTAL ACCIDENTS	INJURY ACCIDENTS	MAJOR VIOLATIONS	MOVING VIOLATIONS
1989												
Suspension	9,493	8.9	32.0	2.4	3.81	2.35	5.04	13.75	2.86	.89	.23	6.57
SB 38 program & restriction	23,943	10.4	34.7	3.7	3.37	1.89	3.37	10.21	2.97	.89	.22	6.63
Statistical significance test		$X^2 = 17.8$*	$F = 462.2$*	$X^2 = 36.7$*	$F = 37.2$*	$F = 73.2$*	$F = 753.2$*	$F = 363.9$*	$F = 157.9$*	$F = 1.8$	$F = 34.7$*	$F = 8.8$*
1993												
Suspension	7,153	8.8	33.6	2.3	2.76	1.78	3.95	9.58	1.50	.46	.11	3.42
SB 38 program & restriction	11,697	11.1	34.9	3.1	2.88	1.81	2.77	8.00	1.58	.46	.10	3.39
SB 38 program & Interlock	1,798	10.0	35.4	2.3	2.63	1.66	2.55	7.81	1.58	.45	.10	3.36
Other	7,890	9.2	34.6	3.0	2.90	1.95	3.64	8.66	1.55	.46	.10	3.37
Statistical significance test		$X^2 = 33.5$*	$F = 51.7$*	$X^2 = 11.6$*	$F = 1.9$*	$F = 3.8$*	$F = 114.1$*	$F = 24.9$*	$F = 86.6$*	$F = 15.3$*	$F = 153.6$*	$F = 9.7$*
1995												
Suspension	4,873	8.9	35.0	2.3	3.0	1.85	3.95	9.1	14.9	.43	.06	2.86
SB 38 program & restriction	7,724	11.3	35.9	2.6	3.0	1.89	2.86	7.7	1.46	.45	.07	2.86
SB 38 program & Interlock	4,884	9.2	35.9	2.6	3.2	1.86	2.91	7.9	1.53	.45	.06	2.95
Other	7,441	10.8	35.4	2.4	2.9	1.88	3.59	8.2	1.54	.44	.06	2.84
Statistical significance test		$X^2 = 27.6$*	$F = 9.9$*	$X^2 = 1.99$	$F = .20$	$F = .12$	$F = 68.8$*	$F = 14.8$*	$F = 96.1$*	$F = 32.9$*	$F = 91.1$*	$F = 33.1$*

*Statistically significance at $p<.05$, (two-tailed).
**The ZIP Code indices for the 1995 cases are based on 3.25 years of driver record data whereas the indices for the 1989 and 1993 drivers are based on 5 years of data.

TABLE B7: ZIP CODE CENSUS VARIABLES (COVARIATES) BY YEAR AND SANCTION GROUP
FOR FIRST AND SECOND DUI OFFENDERS

YEAR GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	MONTHS IN STUDY	PERCENT OTHER TRANSPORTATION	MEDIAN INCOME	PERCENT LEAVE WORK 4 PM	PERCENT ELEM. EDUCATION	PERCENT UNEMPLOYED	PERCENT RENTING	TRAVEL TIME TO WORK (MIN.)
	FIRST OFFENDERS								
1993									
Suspension	6,092	43.9	10.5	NA	NA	15.2	5.0	NA	26.0
Jail	4,455	44.2	9.9	NA	NA	13.8	4.8	NA	26.4
1st DUI program & jail	42,268	44.3	10.4	NA	NA	13.4	4.7	NA	25.9
1st DUI program & restriction	29,473	44.2	11.5	NA	NA	15.0	4.6	NA	27.5
SB 38 program & restriction	3,474	43.9	7.9	NA	NA	12.2	4.9	NA	28.5
Statistical significance test		F = 21.8*	F = 168.2*			F = 207.8*			F = 637.5*
1995									
Suspension	4,933	20.0	10.2	35,367	NA	NA	NA	NA	25.9
Jail	3,271	19.7	9.8	33,360	NA	NA	NA	NA	26.0
1st DUI program & jail	37,234	20.2	9.9	35,069	NA	NA	NA	NA	26.0
1st DUI program & restriction	29,949	20.3	11.4	35,987	NA	NA	NA	NA	27.5
SB 38 program & restriction	3,894	19.7	7.7	34,136	NA	NA	NA	NA	28.8
Statistical significance test		F = 31.5*	F = 254.9*	F = 66.7*					F = 626.8*
	SECOND OFFENDERS								
1993									
Suspension	7,153	44.0	NA	NA	5.8	16.0	NA	45.3	25.5
SB 38 program & restriction	11,697	44.2	NA	NA	5.7	13.8	NA	44.3	26.7
SB 38 program & interlock	1,798	40.7	NA	NA	5.4	13.8	NA	44.2	26.9
Other	7,890	44.1	NA	NA	5.8	14.6	NA	44.7	26.3
Statistical significance test		F = 472.4*			F = 34.4*	F = 50.1*		F = 6.3*	F = 82.2*
1995									
Suspension	4,873	19.8	9.8	NA	5.8	NA	NA	NA	25.6
SB 38 program & restriction	7,724	19.7	9.5	NA	5.7	NA	NA	NA	26.7
SB 38 program & interlock	4,884	19.8	12.3	NA	5.7	NA	NA	NA	27.0
Other	7,441	19.8	9.5	NA	5.7	NA	NA	NA	26.4
Statistical significance test		F = 2.0	F = 169.1*		F = 8.5*				F = 76.8*

*Statistical significance at p<.05, (two-tailed).

**The ZIP Code indices for the 1995 cases are based on 3.25 years of driver record data whereas the indices for 1993 drivers are based on 5 years of data. These census variables were not applied to the 1989 drivers since these variables were not available until after 1990.