STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES

1997

ANNUAL REPORT OF THE CALIFORNIA DUI MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM

ANNUAL REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN ACCORD WITH ASSEMBLY BILL 757 CHAPTER 450, 1989 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

JANUARY 1997

PETE WILSON
Governor

DEAN R. DUNPHY, Secretary
Business, Transportation and Housing Agency

SALLY R. REED
Director

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED
	January 1997	Final Report

4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE
1997 Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System

5. FUNDING NUMBERS

6. AUTHOR(S)
Helen N. Tashima and Clifford J Helander

7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)
California Department of Motor Vehicles
Research and Development Section
P.O. Box 932382
Sacramento, CA 94232-3820

8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
CAL-DMV-RSS-97-165

9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)

10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE

13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)

In this sixth annual legislatively mandated report, 1994 and 1995 DUI data from several diverse sources were compiled and cross-referenced for the purpose of developing a single comprehensive DUI data and monitoring system. This report presents crosstabulated information on DUI arrests, convictions, court sanctions, administrative actions and alcohol-involved accidents. In addition, this report provides an evaluation of the effectiveness of alternative court and administrative sanctions (including alcohol treatment programs and license actions) upon the postconviction records of first and second DUI offenders. The postconviction driving records of DUI offenders arrested in 1989, 1992, and 1994 were evaluated for six-, three-, and one-year periods, respectively.

14. SUBJECT TERMS
Drinking drivers, DUI tracking data system, DUI reporting system, DUI countermeasures, DUI recidivism, alcohol education and rehabilitation, driver license disqualification

15. NUMBER OF PAGES
136

16. PRICE CODE

17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified	None

DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 1985 - 1995

	YEAR										
	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995
Total DUI arrests[1]	347797	347286	349576	327186	336059	366834	312571	260150	233673	208844	200754
Felony DUI arrests	7316	8034	8488	8604	10448	12948	11220	9803	8738	7567	6642
Misdemeanor DUI arrests	340481	339252	341088	318582	325611	353886	301351	250347	224935	201277	194112
DUI convictions received to date (by year of arrest)[2]	244354	239257	242505[r]	219625[r]	225841[r]	257359[r]	223231[r]	186519[r]	164912[r]	144402[r]	138954
Percent convicted of DUI as of September 1996	70%	69%	69%	67%	67%	70%	71%	72%[r]	71%[r]	69%[r]	69%
Estimated final DUI convictions[3]	244384[r]	239322[r]	242396[r]	219767[r]	226135[r]	259810[r]	225748[r]	188116[r]	167834[r]	148943	147291
Estimated final DUI conviction rate	70%	69%	69%	67%[r]	67%	70%[r]	72%[r]	72%[r]	72%[r]	72%[r]	73%
Total reckless driving convictions[4]	44386	44063	41724	39926	40456	39617	39386	34186	27835	27374	24516
Alcohol-involved reckless	27163	26316	24922	24013	25646	26960	27093	23675	18645	18246	16329
Total mandatory susp/rev[5]	105849	104333	103630	101779	111703	233680	373131[r]	308399	277447	243645	231954
Admin per se/refusal susp/rev	29308	26327	25474	22757	21466	142525	272273[r]	228790	209006	184045	179492
Postconviction susp/rev	76541	78006	78156	79022	90237	91155	100858	79609	68441	59600	52462
Alcohol-involved fatalities	2412	2543	2754	2510	2509	2382	2048	1832	1569	1488	1343
% of total fatalities	48.9	48.7	50.1	46.6	46.6	46.0	44.1	43.8	37.7	35.3	32.2
Alcohol-involved injuries	66667	69876	68816	65033	63937	63847	55779	48969	42936	39437	36961
% of total injuries	20.7	20.1	19.1	18.2	17.6	17.5	15.9	14.5	13.6	12.5	12.1

[1]These totals were reported by the Department of Justice, Law Enforcement Information Center, and include a small number of duplicates (0.9% in 1995).

[2]These data represent a DMV master file count of the number of DUI abstracts received (by year of violation), minus duplicates. These totals do not include conviction abstracts not yet received. Thus, for the most recent years, these figures will substantially underestimate the final conviction totals.

[3]These data include a projected number of abstracts not yet received. This number is based on an empirically derived function of the court abstract reporting rate.

[4]These totals were taken from the DMV annual Suspension and Revocation reports and include late reporting of convictions from prior years.

[5]Since 1991, total mandatory suspension/revocation exceeds the number of DUI arrests because many offenders now receive both an APS and postconviction suspension/revocation.

[r]Revised from prior reports.

DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 1985 - 1995 (continued)

	YEAR										
	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995
TOTAL MANDATORY SUSPENSION/ REVOCATION (S/R) ACTIONS	105849	104333	103630	101779	111703	233680	373131	308399	277447	243645	231954
PRECONVICTION											
Admin per se (APS) actions	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	119610	272273	228790	209006	184045	179492
Zero tolerance suspensions	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	9971	8700
First-offender suspensions	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	82503	187527	157545	144321	120582	121218
Repeat-offender suspensions	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	34792	74351	62656	57279	47429	44193
Repeat-offender revocations	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2315	10395	8589	7406	6063	5381
Commercial driver actions	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3739	7976	6449	5829	5038	4921
Chemical test refusal actions	29308	26327	25474	22757	21466	22915	21296[r]	17963[r]	15662	13264	12308
Test refusal suspensions	25485	16352	14877	13720	12390	15128	10901[r]	9374[r]	8256	7022	6754
Test refusal revocations	3823	9975	10597	9037	9076	7787	10395[r]	8589[r]	7406	6063	5381
TOTAL APS/REFUSAL ACTIONS	29308	26327	25474	22757	21466	142525	272273	228790	209006	184045	179492
POSTCONVICTION											
Juvenile DUI suspensions	2200	2219	2374	1823	1995	1478	1576	1202	922	879	677
First-offender suspensions	7057	7384	7278	6626	7679	10408	13575	10673	10208	8696	7266
Misdemeanor	5346	5592	5558	5050	5658	8467	11547	8989	8607	7188	5806
Felony	1711	1792	1720	1576	2021	1941	2028	1684	1601	1508	1460
Second-offender S/R actions	46835	45234	43608	47698	53927	52334	57350	45478	38849	34300	31489
Misdemeanor	46292	44601	42964	47093	53238	51593	56583	44756	38285	33794	30955
Felony	543	633	644	605	689	741	767	722	564	506	534
Third-offender revocations	14970	16946	17118	13991	18514	18650	19963	15553	12908	11193	9471
Misdemeanor	14970	16946	17118	13671	18182	18219	19595	15233	12644	10974	9261
Felony	n/a	n/a	n/a	320	332	431	368	320	264	219	210
Fourth-offender revocations	5479	6223	7778	8884	8122	8285	8394	6703	5554	4532	3559
TOTAL POSTCONVICTION ACTIONS	76541	78006	78156	79022	90237	91155	100858	79609	68441	59600	52462

[r]Revised from prior reports.

HIGHLIGHTS OF 1997 CALIFORNIA DUI-MIS REPORT

- Alcohol-involved traffic fatalities continued to decrease substantially in 1995 (-9.7%), and have dropped by over half since 1987 (-51% overall).

- The decline in DUI arrests which began in 1991 continued through 1995, although at a much slower rate (-3.7%) than in previous years. FY 95/96 administrative per se (APS) actions increased by 10.7%, however, possibly indicating that the recent trend in declining DUI arrests may have reversed during 1996. DUI arrests have fallen 45% since 1990.

- The number of persons injured in alcohol-involved accidents during 1995 declined (-6.3%) for the ninth consecutive year, resulting in a 46.3% reduction in alcohol-involved injuries over the 9-year time period.

- 13.2% of all 1994 DUI arrests were associated with a reported traffic accident, compared to 13.1% in 1993 and 11.1% in 1991 and 1992. Almost half (49.5%) of these accidents involved an injury or fatality.

- The average blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of a convicted DUI offender, as reported by law enforcement on APS forms, was .168% in 1994, which is more than double the California illegal per se BAC limit of .08%. The average BAC reported on 1994 DUI abstracts of conviction was 0.166%, the same as in each of the prior three years.

- Requests for APS hearings declined 27% in FY 95/96 (from 11.8% to only 8.6% of all APS actions), reversing a trend of increasing hearing requests each year since APS was implemented in FY 90/91. The rate at which APS suspension/revocation actions are upheld after hearing continued to decline, however, dropping from 87% in FY 90/91 to 68% in 94/95.

- Among 1995 DUI arrestees, Hispanics (45.5%) again constituted the largest racial/ethnic group, and were arrested at a rate that is almost twice that of their percentage of California's adult population (26%).

- The average age of a DUI offender in 1995 was 33.3 years. Less than 1% of arrested DUI offenders are juveniles (under age 18).

- Among convicted DUI offenders in 1994, 68.8% were first offenders and 31.2% were repeat offenders (one or more prior convictions within the previous 7 years). The proportion of repeat offenders has decreased slightly each year since 1989, when it stood at 37%.

- 18.0% of 1994 DUI arrest cases did not show any corresponding conviction on DMV records. This rate is down slightly from 18.8% in 1993 and 19.2% in 1992. This reduction could reflect system improvements initiated by the department to improve the effectiveness of DUI adjudication and to increase the reporting of DUI convictions.

- Alcohol treatment, in conjunction with license restriction, was the most effective postconviction sanction in reducing subsequent DUI incidents among DUI offenders, by a minimum of 12.6% over other sanction alternatives (1989-1994).

- License suspension was the most effective postconviction sanction in reducing subsequent accidents for first offenders, producing reductions of at least 13.3% across the years (1989-1994). With the imposition of preconviction APS suspensions, beginning in July 1990, the postconviction total accident rates of all sanction groups were reduced. Because all DUI offenders were now suspended under the APS law, the incremental impact of postconviction suspension actions became less distinct.

- Jail, in the absence of treatment or postconviction suspension, was the least effective sanction for first offenders in terms of DUI recidivism; offenders sentenced to jail had up to 19.8% more DUI incidents than the second least effective sanction.

- Statewide, 4.6% of 1994 DUI offenders were required to install an ignition interlock device in combination with attending SB 38 alcohol treatment programs. Although there is a positive trend, there is no evidence, as of yet, that ignition interlock increases the incremental effectiveness of other DUI sanctions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge with appreciation the many individuals who have contributed to the success of this project. In particular, we wish to acknowledge the cooperation of the Department of Justice, Statistical Analysis Center, in providing annual DUI arrest data and documentation. The contributions of Debbie McKenzie, Associate Government Program Analyst, in transforming mainframe computer files into readable data tables and figures, as well as her general assistance in the production of this report, are acknowledged with appreciation. We also would like to acknowledge the contributions of Patrice Rogers, Research Analyst II, Pat Timmons, Staff Programmer Analyst, and the administrative direction and report review efforts of Raymond C. Peck, Chief, Research and Development Branch.

Report Authors:
Helen N. Tashima, Research Analyst II, Principal Investigator
Clifford J. Helander, Research Manager I, Project Manager

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 1985-1995 i
HIGHLIGHTS OF 1997 DUI-MIS REPORT iii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS v
INTRODUCTION 1
SECTION 1: DUI ARRESTS 4
SECTION 2: CONVICTIONS 10
SECTION 3: POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS 22
SECTION 4: POSTCONVICTION SANCTION EFFECTIVENESS 29
EVALUATION METHOD AND RESULTS 34
Subject Selection and Data Collection 34
Evaluation Design and Analytical Procedures 37
Results of the First Offender Sanction Evaluation 38
Total Accidents 38
DUI Incidents 38
Results of the Second Offender Sanction Evaluation 40
Total Accidents 40
DUI Incidents 40
One-Year Recidivism Rates for First and Second Offenders from 1989-1994 43
SECTION 5: ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS 45
SECTION 6: ACCIDENTS INVOLVING ALCOHOL 49
DATA SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS 56
REFERENCES 57
GLOSSARY 58

APPENDICES

NUMBER

A ASSEMBLY BILL NO. 757 - FRIEDMAN CHAPTER 450 60

B1 1995 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY 61

B2 1994 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX AND AGE 76

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

APPENDICES (continued)

NUMBER		PAGE
B3	TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1994 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT	86
B4	1994 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS	96
B5	DEMOGRAPHIC 2-YEAR PRIOR DRIVER RECORD VARIABLES BY YEAR AND SANCTION GROUP FOR FIRST DUI OFFENDERS	131
B6	DEMOGRAPHIC 2-YEAR PRIOR DRIVER RECORD VARIABLES BY YEAR AND SANCTION GROUP FOR SECOND DUI OFFENDERS	132
B7	ZIP CODE CENSUS VARIABLES (COVARIATES) BY YEAR AND SANCTION GROUP FOR FIRST AND SECOND DUI OFFENDERS	133

LIST OF TABLES

1	DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND ANNUAL PERCENTAGE CHANGE FROM 1993-1995	7
2	1995 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST	8
3a	1995 DUI ARRESTS BY AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY	9
3b	1995 DUI ARRESTS BY SEX, AGE AND RACE/ETHNICITY	9
4	1994 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE AND SEX	15
5	MATCHABLE 1994 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE, RACE/ETHNICITY AND SEX	16
6	ADJUSTED 1994 DUI CONVICTION RATES AND RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD OF CONVICTION BY AGE AND RACE/ETHNICITY	16

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

NUMBER		PAGE
7	TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1994 DUI ARRESTEES	17
8	ADJUDICATION STATUS OF 1994 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY	19
9	1994 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF DUI CONVICTIONS	20
10	1994 DUI CONVICTIONS BY OFFENDER STATUS AND AVERAGE REPORTED BAC LEVEL	21
11	1994 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS	25
12	1994 DUI SANCTION COMBINATIONS BY COUNTY - FIRST OFFENDERS	26
13	1994 DUI SANCTION COMBINATIONS BY COUNTY - REPEAT OFFENDERS	27
14	FIRST OFFENDER SANCTION EFFECTS ON TOTAL ACCIDENTS AND DUI INCIDENTS BY YEAR	39
15	SECOND OFFENDER SANCTION EFFECTS ON TOTAL ACCIDENTS AND DUI INCIDENTS BY YEAR	41
16	SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT RESULTS FOR 1989, 1992, AND 1994 FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDER SANCTION GROUPS BY OUTCOME MEASURES	42
17	MANDATORY DUI LICENSE DISQUALIFICATION ACTIONS, 1985-1995	47
18	ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE PROCESS MEASURES	48
19	RACE/ETHNICITY BY SOBRIETY CODE OF ACCIDENT-INVOLVED 1994 DUI ARRESTEES	52
20	FATAL AND INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 1994 DUI ARRESTEES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND TYPE OF ARREST	52

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

NUMBER		PAGE
21	ADJUDICATION STATUS BY SOBRIETY CODE FOR ACCIDENT-INVOLVED 1994 DUI ARRESTEES	53
22	FATAL AND INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 1994 DUI ARRESTEES BY TYPE OF ARREST AND ADJUDICATION STATUS	53
23	1994 ACCIDENT-INVOLVED DUI ARRESTEES WITH NO RECORD OF CONVICTION, BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST	54
24	1-, 3- AND 6-YEAR TOTAL, FATAL/INJURY AND ALCOHOL-RELATED ACCIDENT MEANS BY OFFENDER STATUS	55

LIST OF FIGURES

1	DUI management information system	2
2	DUI arrests 1985-1995	4
3	Percentage of 1995 DUI arrests and 1995 projected population (age 15 and over) by race/ethnicity	6
4	DUI abstracts received by DMV and DUI conviction volume and rate estimates, 1985-1995	12
5	Relative likelihood of conviction by race/ethnicity	15
6	Frequency of court-ordered DUI sanctions (1994)	23
7	Adjusted 6-year accident rates of 1989 first offenders by type of sanction	30
8	Adjusted 3-year accident rates of 1992 first offenders by type of sanction	30

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

NUMBER		PAGE
9	Adjusted 1-year accident rates of 1994 first offenders by type of sanction	30
10	Adjusted 6-year DUI incident rates of 1989 first offenders by type of sanction	31
11	Adjusted 3-year DUI incident rates of 1992 first offenders by type of sanction	31
12	Adjusted 1-Year DUI incident rates of 1994 first offenders by type of sanction	31
13	Adjusted 6-year accident and DUI incident rates of 1989 second offenders by type of sanction	33
14	Adjusted 3-year accident and DUI incident rates of 1992 second offenders by type of sanction	33
15	Adjusted 1-year accident and DUI incident rates of 1994 second offenders by type of sanction	33
16	Proportion of DUI offenders arrested in 1989-1994 reoffending (DUI incidents) one year after conviction	44
17	Mandatory DUI license disqualification actions, 1985-1995	46
18	Percentage of total injuries and total fatalities that were alcohol-involved, 1985-1995	50

INTRODUCTION

This report is the sixth Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System, produced in response to Assembly Bill 757 (Friedman), Chapter 450, 1989 legislative session (see Appendix A). This bill required the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) to "establish and maintain a data and monitoring system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted" of DUI in order to provide "accurate and up-to-date comprehensive statistics" to enhance "the ability of the Legislature to make informed and timely policy decisions." The need for such a data system had long been documented by numerous authorities, including the 1983 Presidential Commission on Drunk Driving. In responding to this legislative mandate, this report combines and cross-references DUI data from diverse sources and presents them in a single reference. Data sources drawn upon include the California Highway Patrol (CHP) for accident data, Department of Justice (DOJ) for arrest data, and the DMV driver record database. Each of these reporting agencies, however, initially draw their data from diffuse primary sources such as individual law enforcement agencies (arrest and accident reports) and the courts (abstracts of conviction).

The general conceptual design of the California DUI management information system (DUI-MIS) is presented in Figure 1. The basic theme of the DUI-MIS is to track the processing of offenders through the DUI system from the point of arrest and to identify the frequency with which offenders flow through each branch of the system process (from law enforcement through adjudication to treatment and license control actions). Figure 1 also illustrates the relationship between offender flow and data collection at each point of the process. The initiating data source for the DUI-MIS is the DUI arrest report, as compiled by the DOJ Law Enforcement Information Center's Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system.

Another major objective of this report is to evaluate the effectiveness of court and administrative sanctions on convicted DUI offenders. This is accomplished by examining the postconviction recidivism records (alcohol/drug-related accidents and traffic convictions) of offenders assigned to alternative sanctions, as detailed in the section on "Postconviction Sanction Effectiveness."



Figure 1. DUI management information system.

It should again be noted that it is not an objective of this report to interpret, analyze or make recommendations based on the data presented. Rather, the primary purpose of a reporting system such as the DUI-MIS is to provide objective data on the operating and performance characteristics of the system for others to assess in making policy decisions, formulating improvements and conducting more in-depth evaluations.

The DUI-MIS data system and report has led to numerous improvements in the California DUI system, from the identification of inappropriate dismissals in a small central valley court to major initiatives currently underway to improve the tracking and reporting of DUI cases. The success of the California DUI-MIS has also contributed to a national initiative to design a model DUI reporting system, currently being developed under contract to the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA).

SECTION 1: DUI ARRESTS

The information presented below on DUI arrests is based primarily on data collected annually by the Department of Justice (DOJ), Law Enforcement Information Center, Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system. These data are the most current nonaggregated data available on DUI arrests.

Table 1: DUI Arrests By County and Annual Percentage Change from 1993-1995. The number of DUI arrests by county for the years 1993-1995 and the percentage changes from 1994 to 1995 are shown in Table 1.

Table 2: 1995 DUI Arrests by County and Type of Arrest. This table shows a breakdown of 1995 DUI arrests by felony, misdemeanor, and juvenile arrest type, by county. This table also shows county and statewide DUI arrest rates per 100 licensed drivers.

Tables 3a-3b: 1995 DUI Arrests by Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity. Table 3a crosstabulates age by sex and age by race/ethnicity of 1995 DUI arrestees statewide. The same tabulations by county are found in Appendix Table B1. Table 3b shows the same data crosstabulated by sex and age within race/ethnicity.

Figure 2 below displays the trend in DUI arrests from 1985 to 1995.



Figure 2 . DUI arrests 1985-1995.

Based on the data shown in Figure 2 and Tables 1, 2, 3a, and 3b, the following statements can be made about DUI arrests in California:

Statewide Parameters:

- DUI arrests decreased by only 3.7% in 1995, following declines of 10.8% and 10.3% in 1994 and 1993, respectively. Since 1990, DUI arrests have dropped by 45%.

- The 1995 DUI arrest rate was the same as in 1994, 1.0 arrests per 100 licensed drivers. This compares to rates of 1.1 in 1993, 1.3 in 1992, 1.5 in 1991, and 1.8 in 1990.

- Felony DUI arrests (involving bodily injury or death) constitute a relatively small proportion (3.3% in 1995) of all DUI arrests.

County Variation:

- 25% of all 1995 California DUI arrests occurred in Los Angeles County. Four counties (Los Angeles, Orange, San Diego, and San Bernardino) had over 10,000 DUI arrests each, and accounted for almost half (46%) of all arrests.

- The 1995 county per capita DUI arrest rates ranged from 0.3 to 9.0 DUI arrests per 100 licensed drivers. Eleven counties had rates below 1.0: San Francisco (0.3), Alameda and Contra Costa (0.7), Mariposa and Santa Clara (0.8), and Amador, Marin, Orange, San Mateo, Solano, and Ventura (0.9). Nine counties had rates of 2.0 or higher and, of these, 3 had rates higher than 2.5: Imperial (2.6), Colusa (2.9), and Alpine (9.0). The extremely high rate for Alpine must be viewed with caution because of the extremely small population size of Alpine County (N = 800 licensed drivers in 1995).

- Many counties again showed a decline in DUI arrests in 1995. Among the larger counties, the greatest declines occurred in Alameda (-22.4%), Kern (-17.8%), San Francisco (-15.0%), and Ventura (-13.8%). Among other counties, the largest decreases in DUI arrests occurred in Modoc (-40.9%), Mariposa (-17.9%), and Mono (-17.8%). Among counties showing increases in DUI arrests were Nevada (34.7%), El Dorado (27.8%), San Benito (24.8%), Alpine (22.0%), and Tuolumne (20.0%).

Demographic Characteristics:

- The average age of a DUI arrestee in 1995 was 33.3 years. Roughly half (46.9%) of all arrestees were age 30 or younger and over three-quarters (77.6%) were age 40 or younger. Less than 1% of all DUI arrests involved juveniles (under age 18). 2.3% of all arrestees were over age 60.

- Males comprised 87.8% of all 1995 DUI arrests.

- Among 1995 DUI arrestees, Hispanics (45.5%) continued to be the largest racial/ethnic group, being arrested at a rate substantially higher than their 1995 population parity of 26.0% (Department of Finance, Demographic Research and Census Data Center). All other racial/ethnic groups were underrepresented among DUI arrestees, relative to their estimated 1995 population parity: Blacks (6.3% of arrests, 6.7% of the population), Whites (42.8% of arrests, 56.7% of the population), and Other (5.4% of arrests, an estimated 10.6% of the population). Figure 3 below shows the percentages of 1995 DUI arrests and 1995 estimated census adult population by race/ethnicity.

- Among male 1995 DUI arrestees, 49.1% were Hispanic, 39.2% were White, 6.2% were Black, and 5.4% were "Other." Among female DUI arrestees, 68.8% were White, 19.0% were Hispanic, 7.1% were Black, and 5.1% were "Other."

- In the following 8 counties, Hispanics comprised over 60% of those arrested for DUI during 1995: Tulare (75.6%), Imperial (72.9%), Fresno (71.1%), Madera (71.0%), San Benito (69.4%), Merced (65.9%), Los Angeles (61.3%), and Monterey (60.2%). In most other counties, the majority of arrestees were White.

- The average age of a DUI arrestee varied considerably by race: Blacks were the oldest with a mean age of 35.9 years, while Hispanics were the youngest, with a mean age of 30.9 years.



Figure 3 . Percentage of 1995 DUI arrests and 1995 projected population (age 15 and over) by race/ethnicity.

TABLE 1: DUI ARRESTS* BY COUNTY AND ANNUAL PERCENTAGE CHANGE FROM 1993-1995

COUNTY	1993	1994	1995	% CHANGE 1994-1995
STATEWIDE	231696	206583	198982	-3.7
ALAMEDA	7820	7208	5596	-22.4
ALPINE	54	59	72	22.0
AMADOR	305	183	197	7.7
BUTTE	1580	1297	1293	-0.3
CALAVERAS	334	287	309	7.7
COLUSA	326	302	323	7.0
CONTRA COSTA	4492	4124	3990	-3.2
DEL NORTE	401	303	295	-2.6
EL DORADO	1122	983	1256	27.8
FRESNO	8158	6860	6593	-3.9
GLENN	310	310	339	9.4
HUMBOLDT	1258	1234	1333	8.0
IMPERIAL	2148	1645	1899	15.4
INYO	383	346	306	-11.6
KERN	6443	5095	4188	-17.8
KINGS	1477	1143	1038	-9.2
LAKE	690	662	656	-0.9
LASSEN	251	252	241	-4.4
LOS ANGELES	58710	51607	49570	-3.9
MADERA	1452	1159	1025	-11.6
MARIN	1940	1664	1542	-7.3
MARIPOSA	146	112	92	-17.9
MENDOCINO	1033	960	880	-8.3
MERCED	2431	2138	2174	1.7
MODOC	120	137	81	-40.9
MONO	175	157	129	-17.8
MONTEREY	4968	4423	3931	-11.1
NAPA	1203	1085	1011	-6.8
NEVADA	511	481	648	34.7
ORANGE	15476	15659	15925	1.7
PLACER	1885	1629	1636	0.4
PLUMAS	217	189	178	-5.8
RIVERSIDE	9109	8182	9190	12.3
SACRAMENTO	9316	7904	7225	-8.6
SAN BENITO	342	254	317	24.8
SAN BERNARDINO	11000	10699	10474	-2.1
SAN DIEGO	17047	15815	15530	-1.8
SAN FRANCISCO	1885	1690	1436	-15.0
SAN JOAQUIN	4444	3936	4122	4.7
SAN LUIS OBISPO	2444	2351	2264	-3.7
SAN MATEO	5163	4622	4254	-8.0
SANTA BARBARA	4591	3837	3402	-11.3
SANTA CLARA	10871	9164	8685	-5.2
SANTA CRUZ	3206	2645	2444	-7.6
SHASTA	1070	1036	1044	0.8
SIERRA	67	38	37	-2.6
SISKIYOU	410	356	349	-2.0
SOLANO	2050	1809	1905	5.3
SONOMA	4075	3245	2913	-10.2
STANISLAUS	3324	2822	2839	0.6
SUTTER	1039	898	913	1.7
TEHAMA	451	477	415	-13.0
TRINITY	220	255	222	-12.9
TULARE	4336	3820	3552	-7.0
TUOLUMNE	316	320	384	20.0
VENTURA	5050	4971	4285	-13.8
YOLO	1363	1202	1441	19.9
YUBA	688	542	594	9.6

*DOJ DUI arrest totals with duplicates removed.

TABLE 2: 1995 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST

COUNTY	TOTAL (100%)	TYPE OF ARREST						DUI ARRESTS PER 100
		FELONY		JUVENILE		MISDEMEANOR		
	N	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	LICENSED DRIVERS
STATEWIDE	198982	6520	3.3	1654	0.8	190808	95.9	1.0
ALAMEDA	5596	77	1.4	44	0.8	5475	97.8	0.7
ALPINE	72	2	2.8	1	1.4	69	95.8	9.0
AMADOR	197	7	3.6	1	0.5	189	95.9	0.9
BUTTE	1293	33	2.6	22	1.7	1238	95.7	1.0
CALAVERAS	309	19	6.1	5	1.6	285	92.2	1.1
COLUSA	323	12	3.7	4	1.2	307	95.0	2.9
CONTRA COSTA	3990	111	2.8	44	1.1	3835	96.1	0.7
DEL NORTE	295	21	7.1	3	1.0	271	91.9	1.9
EL DORADO	1256	69	5.5	7	0.6	1180	93.9	1.2
FRESNO	6593	265	4.0	88	1.3	6240	94.6	1.6
GLENN	339	12	3.5	3	0.9	324	95.6	2.0
HUMBOLDT	1333	60	4.5	26	2.0	1247	93.5	1.6
IMPERIAL	1899	44	2.3	16	0.8	1839	96.8	2.6
INYO	306	12	3.9	3	1.0	291	95.1	2.2
KERN	4188	168	4.0	44	1.1	3976	94.9	1.2
KINGS	1038	28	2.7	14	1.3	996	96.0	1.9
LAKE	656	24	3.7	14	2.1	618	94.2	1.7
LASSEN	241	8	3.3	1	0.4	232	96.3	1.4
LOS ANGELES	49570	1676	3.4	225	0.5	47669	96.2	1.0
MADERA	1025	40	3.9	10	1.0	975	95.1	1.8
MARIN	1542	29	1.9	8	0.5	1505	97.6	0.9
MARIPOSA	92	4	4.3	1	1.1	87	94.6	0.8
MENDOCINO	880	30	3.4	16	1.8	834	94.8	1.6
MERCED	2174	73	3.4	19	0.9	2082	95.8	2.1
MODOC	81	2	2.5	3	3.7	76	93.8	1.3
MONO	129	2	1.6	1	0.8	126	97.7	1.7
MONTEREY	3931	93	2.4	51	1.3	3787	96.3	1.9
NAPA	1011	51	5.0	16	1.6	944	93.4	1.3
NEVADA	648	16	2.5	3	0.5	629	97.1	1.0
ORANGE	15925	316	2.0	70	0.4	15539	97.6	0.9
PLACER	1636	64	3.9	21	1.3	1551	94.8	1.1
PLUMAS	178	5	2.8	5	2.8	168	94.4	1.1
RIVERSIDE	9190	314	3.4	105	1.1	8771	95.4	1.2
SACRAMENTO	7225	397	5.5	53	0.7	6775	93.8	1.0
SAN BENITO	317	19	6.0	4	1.3	294	92.7	1.3
SAN BERNARDINO	10474	322	3.1	68	0.6	10084	96.3	1.2
SAN DIEGO	15530	317	2.0	122	0.8	15091	97.2	1.0
SAN FRANCISCO	1436	111	7.7	6	0.4	1319	91.9	0.3
SAN JOAQUIN	4122	137	3.3	45	1.1	3940	95.6	1.5
SAN LUIS OBISPO	2264	67	3.0	22	1.0	2175	96.1	1.5
SAN MATEO	4254	112	2.6	39	0.9	4103	96.5	0.9
SANTA BARBARA	3402	100	2.9	57	1.7	3245	95.4	1.4
SANTA CLARA	8685	461	5.3	51	0.6	8173	94.1	0.8
SANTA CRUZ	2444	30	1.2	37	1.5	2377	97.3	1.6
SHASTA	1044	46	4.4	16	1.5	982	94.1	1.0
SIERRA	37	2	5.4	0	0.0	35	94.6	1.5
SISKIYOU	349	15	4.3	4	1.1	330	94.6	1.1
SOLANO	1905	63	3.3	28	1.5	1814	95.2	0.9
SONOMA	2913	91	3.1	46	1.6	2776	95.3	1.0
STANISLAUS	2839	157	5.5	34	1.2	2648	93.3	1.2
SUTTER	913	26	2.8	0	0.0	887	97.2	2.0
TEHAMA	415	16	3.9	6	1.4	393	94.7	1.3
TRINITY	222	7	3.2	1	0.5	214	96.4	2.4
TULARE	3552	119	3.4	41	1.2	3392	95.5	2.0
TUOLUMNE	384	19	4.9	4	1.0	361	94.0	1.1
VENTURA	4285	126	2.9	47	1.1	4112	96.0	0.9
YOLO	1441	53	3.7	23	1.6	1365	94.7	1.6
YUBA	594	20	3.4	6	1.0	568	95.6	1.7

TABLE 3a: 1995 DUI ARRESTS BY AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY*

AGE	TOTAL		SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
	N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE	198982	100.0	174757	87.8	24225	12.2	85170	42.8	90497	45.5	12610	6.3	10705	5.4
UNDER 18	1654	0.8	1402	84.8	252	15.2	877	53.0	650	39.3	69	4.2	58	3.5
18-20	12573	6.3	11354	90.3	1219	9.7	4920	39.1	6667	53.0	464	3.7	522	4.2
21-30	79141	39.8	70878	89.6	8263	10.4	27454	34.7	43801	55.3	3941	5.0	3945	5.0
31-40	61102	30.7	52689	86.2	8413	13.8	27091	44.3	26021	42.6	4519	7.4	3471	5.7
41-50	29623	14.9	25241	85.2	4382	14.8	15744	53.1	9718	32.8	2241	7.6	1920	6.5
51-60	10352	5.2	9138	88.3	1214	11.7	6089	58.8	2732	26.4	942	9.1	589	5.7
61-70	3553	1.8	3181	89.5	372	10.5	2273	64.0	759	21.4	350	9.9	171	4.8
71 & ABOVE	984	0.5	874	88.8	110	11.2	722	73.4	149	15.1	84	8.5	29	2.9
MEAN AGE (YEARS)	33.3		33.1		34.2		35.3		30.9		35.9		34.1	

*Tabulations for DUI arrests by age, sex, race/ethnicity and county are found in Appendix Table B1.

TABLE 3b: 1995 DUI ARRESTS BY SEX, AGE AND RACE/ETHNICITY

SEX	AGE	TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
				WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE		198982	100.0	85170	42.8	90497	45.5	12610	6.3	10705	5.4
MALE	UNDER 18	1402	0.8	695	49.6	590	42.1	65	4.6	52	3.7
	18-20	11354	6.5	4100	36.1	6381	56.2	417	3.7	456	4.0
	21-30	70878	40.6	22025	31.1	41982	59.2	3420	4.8	3451	4.9
	31-40	52689	30.1	21307	40.4	24489	46.5	3808	7.2	3085	5.9
	41-50	25241	14.4	12617	50.0	9008	35.7	1902	7.5	1714	6.8
	51-60	9138	5.2	5155	56.4	2577	28.2	873	9.6	533	5.8
	61-70	3181	1.8	1978	62.2	718	22.6	327	10.3	158	5.0
	71 & ABOVE	874	0.5	625	71.5	148	16.9	74	8.5	27	3.1
	TOTAL	174757	100.0	68502	39.2	85893	49.1	10886	6.2	9476	5.4
FEMALE	UNDER 18	252	1.0	182	72.2	60	23.8	4	1.6	6	2.4
	18-20	1219	5.0	820	67.3	286	23.5	47	3.9	66	5.4
	21-30	8263	34.1	5429	65.7	1819	22.0	521	6.3	494	6.0
	31-40	8413	34.7	5784	68.8	1532	18.2	711	8.5	386	4.6
	41-50	4382	18.1	3127	71.4	710	16.2	339	7.7	206	4.7
	51-60	1214	5.0	934	76.9	155	12.8	69	5.7	56	4.6
	61-70	372	1.5	295	79.3	41	11.0	23	6.2	13	3.5
	71 & ABOVE	110	0.5	97	88.2	1	0.9	10	9.1	2	1.8
	TOTAL	24225	100.0	16668	68.8	4604	19.0	1724	7.1	1229	5.1

SECTION 2: CONVICTIONS

Data on convictions resulting from court adjudication of DUI arrests are reported directly to the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) on court abstracts of conviction. The following tables compile and crosstabulate these conviction data by demographic, geographic, and adjudicative categories.

Table 4: 1994 DUI Convictions by Age and Sex. This table crosstabulates statewide DUI conviction information by age and sex. Corresponding county-specific conviction data are presented in Appendix Table B2.

Table 5: Matchable 1994 DUI Convictions by Age, Race/Ethnicity, and Sex. This table displays DUI conviction information by age, race/ethnicity, and sex. "Matchable" DUI convictions are those which are traceable to a DUI arrest appearing on the MACR system. Because not all arrests could be matched to an existing record, these conviction totals underestimate the total number of actual convictions.

Table 6: Adjusted 1994 DUI Conviction Rates and Relative Likelihood of Conviction, by Age and Race/Ethnicity. This table shows the relative probability of a DUI arrest leading to a DUI conviction, by age and race/ethnicity. DUI conviction totals from categories in Table 5 ("matchable DUI convictions") were increased by the proportion which matchable convictions constituted of "total DUI convictions," shown in Table 7, to arrive at the adjusted DUI conviction rates. Without this adjustment DUI conviction rates would be underestimated using the conviction data from Table 5 because not all reported convictions are "matchable" to an arrest.

Table 7: Total Conviction Data for 1994 DUI Arrestees. This table portrays county and statewide DUI-related conviction data as reported to the DMV on court abstracts of conviction. Corresponding court-specific data are shown in Appendix Table B3. Convictions not reported to DMV are considered nonconvictions for the purposes of this report. Actual nonconvictions include cases where the DUI arrest was not filed, not prosecuted, or resulted in a not guilty verdict. The DUI conviction rates by county were calculated by comparing the county conviction totals with DOJ arrest totals. Because not all 1994 DUI arrests have yet been adjudicated, these conviction totals and rates will slightly underestimate the "final" figures. The DUI conviction rates shown in the "DUI Summary Statistics: 1985-1995" table at the very beginning of this report include an estimate of these late convictions, and thus are slightly higher than those shown in

Tables 7 and 8. Conviction variables include felony and misdemeanor DUI convictions, alcohol- and nonalcohol-related reckless driving convictions, convictions of "other" lesser offenses, and DUI convictions dismissed or found unconstitutional. DUI arrest dates from the DOJ MACR system were matched to driver record violation dates to identify nonalcohol-related reckless driving and "other" convictions. The average (mean) adjudication time lags from DUI arrest to conviction, and from conviction to update on the DMV database, were calculated for each county.

Table 8: Adjudication Status of 1994 DUI Arrests by County. This table shows the adjudication status (court disposition) of 1994 DUI arrests, by county. Included are the percentages of arrests which have resulted in DUI convictions (misdemeanor or felony), reckless driving convictions (alcohol-related or nonalcohol-related), convictions of "other" offenses, or no reported conviction, as of the date of writing. Again, because not all 1994 DUI arrests have yet been adjudicated, these rates will slightly underestimate the "final" rate for each category, excepting the category "no record of any conviction," which will be slightly reduced (approximately 1-2%) by the eventual adjudication of these few late cases.

Table 9: 1994 Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of DUI Convictions. This table shows the frequency of reported positive BAC levels for DUI convictions. Because of more complete reporting of BAC levels on APS reporting forms (73%), those reports are used (beginning with last year's report) to calculate statewide BAC levels. Abstracts of conviction, which were used in prior evaluations, report BAC levels in only 51% of cases. However, the two sources yield almost identical estimates of BAC levels.

Table 10: 1994 DUI Convictions by Offender Status and Average Reported BAC Level. This table displays the proportions of convicted DUI offenders by offender status (number of prior convictions), and the average (mean) BAC level from APS reporting forms and abstracts of conviction, for each offense level.

Figure 4 (below) shows, for the years 1985 to 1995, the number of DUI abstracts received to date by DMV from the courts, the estimated final number of DUI convictions which will ultimately be received, and the estimated final DUI conviction rate.



	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995
Estimated final conviction rate	70%	69%	69%	67%	67%	70%	72%	72%	72%	72%	73%

Note. Estimated DUI convictions = see footnote 3 to "DUI Summary Statistics: 1985-1995."

Figure 4. DUI abstracts received by DMV and DUI conviction volume and rate estimates, 1985-1995.

Based on these data, the following statements can be made:

Statewide Adjudication Parameters:

- The estimated DUI conviction rate for 1995 (73%) is slightly higher than the estimated conviction rate of each of the previous 4 years (all at 72%).

- Among 1994 DUI arrests, 70.6% have resulted to date in DUI convictions (felony or misdemeanor). This is slightly higher than the corresponding DUI conviction rate for 1993 arrests (70.0%), as reported last year.

- 8.9% of 1994 DUI arrests resulted in reckless driving convictions, with 18% of these not correctly reported as alcohol-related. Both of these rates are similar to the corresponding 1993 rates.

- 2.4% of 1994 DUI arrests have resulted in convictions of offenses other than DUI or reckless driving, the same rate as for 1993 DUI arrests.

- 18.0% of 1994 DUI arrests have not yet resulted in any conviction on DMV's records, compared to 18.8% in 1993 and 19.2% in 1992. As additional cases are adjudicated and reported by the courts, this figure will decrease slightly.

- The average reported BAC level for all convicted DUI offenders in 1994, using APS reporting forms as the data source, was 0.168%, very slightly down from the 1993 level of 0.169%, yet still more than double the illegal per se BAC limit of 0.08%. The average BAC level of these offenders, using court abstracts of conviction as the data source, was 0.166%, the same as for 1993 and 1992 offenders.

- Average BAC levels increase slightly as a function of the number of prior DUI convictions, from 0.164% BAC for a first offense to 0.187% BAC for a fourth or subsequent offense.

- Among 1994 convicted DUI offenders, 68.8% were first offenders, 21.7% were second offenders, 6.8% were third offenders, and 2.7% were on their fourth or more offense. (The statutorily defined time period for counting priors in California is seven years.) The proportion of repeat offenders (31.2%) among all convicted DUI offenders has decreased slightly each year since 1989 (at which time 37% of all convictions were repeat offenses).

- The average adjudication time lags were 2.8 months from DUI arrest to conviction and 3.2 months from conviction to update on the DMV database, totalling 6.0 months from arrest to update on the offender's driving record. These time lags have increased slightly in each of the last two years.

Variation by County:

- Among the larger counties, 1994 DUI conviction rates varied from a high of 87.4% in Ventura to lows of 56.5% in San Bernardino and 56.9% in Fresno. Los Angeles County, which accounted for almost 25% of all DUI arrests in the state, had a DUI conviction rate of 68.4%.

- Among the smaller counties, 1994 DUI conviction rates varied from highs of 87.7% in Nevada and 87.4% in Amador, to a low of 42.0% in Trinity.

- The rates at which DUI arrests were plea-bargained to alcohol-related reckless driving convictions varied from 19.3% in Humboldt County to 0% in Marin and Ventura counties.

- The percentage of DUI arrests that were improperly adjudicated as nonalcohol-related reckless driving convictions varied from 0% to 6.6%. Three counties had rates of 4% or more: Imperial, Calaveras, and San Francisco.

- The percentage of DUI arrests adjudicated as minor convictions ("other" convictions) varied from 0% to 5.7%. San Luis Obispo, Marin, Los Angeles, Calaveras, and Del Norte counties had rates of 4% or more.

- In seven counties, the proportion of arrestees not showing a conviction of any offense exceeded 30%. These counties were Trinity, Sutter, Fresno, Yolo, Tulare, San Bernardino, and Imperial. Nine counties had nonconviction rates of less than 10%: Nevada, San Benito, San Mateo, Kern, El Dorado, Napa, San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, and Amador.

Variation by Court:

- As was true for prior years, the 1994 superior court time lags were generally longer than municipal court time lags, presumably due to the type of DUI case (felony) being adjudicated.

- Municipal court time lags from arrest to conviction (for courts with more than a handful of reported convictions) varied from a high of 5.0 months in the Fontana (San Bernardino County) court to a low of 1.3 months for the Los Angeles Metro court, which is also the busiest court in the state.

- Statewide, the proportion of reckless driving convictions (alcohol and nonalcohol), relative to DUI convictions, was about 11% in 1994. Among the courts which substantially exceeded this statewide average were Malibu and Sacramento, which adjudicated 33% and 22% of their DUI cases, respectively as reckless driving.

- Statewide, 18% of all DUI-related reckless driving convictions are designated as nonalcohol. In Riverside County, however, the Superior Court reported 98% (145 out of 147) of its DUI-related reckless driving convictions as nonalcohol.

Demographic Characteristics:

- The average age of a convicted DUI offender in 1994 was 33.9 years.

- 44.2% of 1994 DUI convictees were aged 30 years or younger and 76.4% were 40 years or younger.

- Females comprised 12.2% of all 1994 convicted DUI offenders, compared to 12.3% in 1993, 12.1% in 1992, 12.2% in 1991, 11.7% in 1990, and 11.4% in 1989.

- The racial/ethnic distribution of 1994 DUI convictions (White = 43.3%; Hispanic = 45.1%; Black = 6.5%; Other = 5.1%) generally paralleled that of 1994 arrests, although Whites were somewhat more likely, and other groups somewhat less likely, to be convicted of the offense (as shown in Figure 5 below).



Figure 5 . Relative likelihood of conviction by race/ethnicity. (Adjusted conviction rate by ethnicity ÷ overall conviction rate.)

TABLE 4: 1994 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE AND SEX*

AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
	N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE	145923	100.0	128182	87.8	17741	12.2
UNDER 18	421	0.3	370	87.9	51	12.1
18-20	6323	4.3	5765	91.2	558	8.8
21-30	57838	39.6	51889	89.7	5949	10.3
31-40	47000	32.2	40447	86.1	6553	13.9
41-50	22646	15.5	19400	85.7	3246	14.3
51-60	8132	5.6	7138	87.8	994	12.2
61-70	2820	1.9	2506	88.9	314	11.1
71 & ABOVE	743	0.5	667	89.8	76	10.2
MEAN AGE (YEARS)	33.9		33.8		35.0	

*County-specific tabulations of 1994 DUI convictions by age and sex are shown in Appendix Table B2.

TABLE 5: MATCHABLE 1994 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE, RACE/ETHNICITY AND SEX

AGE	TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)															
			WHITE				HISPANIC				BLACK				OTHER			
			MALE		FEMALE		MALE		FEMALE		MALE		FEMALE		MALE		FEMALE	
	N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE	127556	100.0	44326	34.8	10879	8.5	54550	42.8	2973	2.3	7229	5.7	1062	0.8	5878	4.6	659	0.5
UNDER 18	695	0.5	308	44.3	83	11.9	254	36.5	7	1.0	20	2.9	1	0.1	15	2.2	7	1.0
18-20	7261	5.7	2173	29.9	407	5.6	3958	54.5	165	2.3	246	3.4	26	0.4	258	3.6	28	0.4
21-30	50941	39.9	14675	28.8	3643	7.2	26233	51.5	1190	2.3	2447	4.8	352	0.7	2132	4.2	269	0.5
31-40	39591	31.0	13921	35.2	3802	9.6	15792	39.9	1025	2.6	2391	6.0	436	1.1	2009	5.1	215	0.5
41-50	19142	15.0	8149	42.6	2014	10.5	5948	31.1	466	2.4	1241	6.5	181	0.9	1040	5.4	103	0.5
51-60	6902	5.4	3391	49.1	662	9.6	1752	25.4	90	1.3	600	8.7	47	0.7	332	4.8	28	0.4
61-70	2420	1.9	1317	54.4	212	8.8	524	21.7	30	1.2	233	9.6	18	0.7	77	3.2	9	0.4
71 & ABOVE	604	0.5	392	64.9	56	9.3	89	14.7	0	0.0	51	8.4	1	0.2	15	2.5	0	0.0

TABLE 6: ADJUSTED 1994 DUI CONVICTION RATES[1] AND RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD[2] OF CONVICTION BY AGE AND RACE/ETHNICITY

AGE	TOTAL BY AGE		RACE/ETHNICITY							
			WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD
TOTAL BY RACE/ETHNICITY	0.71	1.00	0.73	1.04	0.68	0.97	0.68	0.97	0.70	0.99
UNDER 18	0.45	0.64	0.56	0.79	0.36	0.52	0.29	0.41	0.38	0.54
18-20	0.62	0.88	0.66	0.93	0.61	0.87	0.54	0.76	0.58	0.82
21-30	0.68	0.96	0.73	1.03	0.65	0.92	0.66	0.94	0.68	0.96
31-40	0.73	1.04	0.74	1.05	0.73	1.03	0.70	1.00	0.74	1.04
41-50	0.76	1.08	0.76	1.07	0.78	1.11	0.70	1.00	0.73	1.04
51-60	0.77	1.09	0.78	1.10	0.79	1.12	0.75	1.06	0.70	0.99
61-70	0.77	1.09	0.76	1.08	0.82	1.16	0.73	1.03	0.75	1.06
71 & ABOVE	0.71	1.00	0.70	1.00	0.78	1.10	0.69	0.98	0.61	0.87

[1] Adjusted DUI Conviction Rates = The matchable DUI conviction rate proportionally adjusted to the overall DUI conviction rate.

[2] Relative Likelihood = $\frac{\text{Adjusted DUI Conviction Rate}}{\text{Overall Total DUI Conviction Rate}}$

TABLE 7: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1994 DUI ARRESTEES*

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NONALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSED**/ UNCONST***	AVERAGE DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
								VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
STATEWIDE	70.6	143794	2129	15171	3244	4983	49/411	2.8	3.2
ALAMEDA	69.0	4930	47	589	71	273	0/22	3.5	2.4
ALPINE	62.7	37	0	9	1	0	0/0	4.7	1.2
AMADOR	87.4	155	5	2	2	1	0/1	2.8	2.8
BUTTE	73.1	938	10	170	9	10	0/10	3.3	3.1
CALAVERAS	63.4	181	1	27	14	12	1/0	2.2	2.2
COLUSA	68.9	206	2	32	8	1	1/1	2.2	2.9
CONTRA COSTA	69.8	2852	28	385	35	59	0/28	4.0	2.5
DEL NORTE	62.4	181	8	55	9	12	0/0	3.1	6.1
EL DORADO	85.7	821	21	50	10	11	0/3	2.7	5.4
FRESNO	56.9	3774	132	515	56	48	3/3	4.2	5.9
GLENN	74.8	228	4	25	4	8	0/3	2.5	5.1
HUMBOLDT	48.0	576	16	238	38	47	0/3	3.2	3.3
IMPERIAL	56.2	921	3	105	109	12	0/1	3.2	3.0
INYO	69.9	240	2	45	5	2	3/0	3.4	3.9
KERN	81.1	4071	60	391	55	173	3/19	2.5	4.4
KINGS	66.4	745	14	54	7	15	0/4	2.3	4.1
LAKE	69.3	452	7	27	8	16	0/1	3.8	4.3
LASSEN	78.6	195	3	8	2	4	0/0	2.4	2.3
LOS ANGELES	68.4	34817	475	3576	907	2142	4/89	2.3	2.6
MADERA	59.7	672	20	103	11	9	1/2	3.6	5.5
MARIN	77.9	1280	16	0	1	87	0/3	2.8	4.4
MARIPOSA	62.7****	151	4	21	0	1	0/0	2.8	2.1
MENDOCINO	71.4	680	5	74	17	19	1/3	2.8	5.2
MERCED	57.9	1225	13	225	77	37	0/1	3.8	6.1
MODOC	52.6	71	1	25	0	0	0/1	3.2	1.7
MONO	64.3	101	0	18	5	1	0/0	2.4	3.2
MONTEREY	75.1	3272	50	437	72	71	0/5	1.6	5.5

*Conviction data by court are found in Appendix Table B3.

**These may include dismissals of prior failure to appear (FTA) abstracts.

***These 1994 arrestees showed prior DUIs declared unconstitutional on their records. The counties reported here are those in which the current DUI conviction occurred and not necessarily those in which a prior conviction was declared unconstitutional.

****The calculation of the conviction rate was based on total arrests including federal DUI arrests (Yosemite National Park) not reported in the DOJ MACR system.

TABLE 7: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1994 DUI ARRESTEES* - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NONALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSED**/ UNCONST***	AVERAGE DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
								VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
NAPA	85.6	906	23	51	16	9	1/3	2.3	3.2
NEVADA	87.7	411	11	41	8	4	0/0	2.5	5.1
ORANGE	79.9	12402	114	622	107	284	4/24	3.0	2.1
PLACER	82.0	1308	27	33	18	16	0/2	3.1	3.1
PLUMAS	66.7	122	4	28	4	3	0/0	2.4	3.6
RIVERSIDE	64.8	5182	121	320	257	145	6/5	3.8	2.7
SACRAMENTO	66.0	5053	164	1150	223	104	3/12	3.3	2.0
SAN BENITO	80.7	202	3	36	5	4	0/11	2.8	7.2
SAN BERNARDINO	56.5	5956	91	647	238	276	3/15	3.7	3.0
SAN DIEGO	77.1	12118	83	824	203	204	0/17	2.6	3.9
SAN FRANCISCO	61.0	1020	11	188	68	16	0/5	3.1	1.2
SAN JOAQUIN	71.5	2772	42	216	50	116	1/5	2.2	2.5
SAN LUIS OBISPO	68.7	1602	13	380	45	133	2/7	2.4	5.6
SAN MATEO	80.9	3691	46	494	28	75	3/6	2.5	4.0
SANTA BARBARA	71.8	2719	36	571	108	99	1/2	2.0	4.4
SANTA CLARA	81.0	7276	151	542	89	136	0/13	3.7	2.5
SANTA CRUZ	70.3	1848	12	273	39	29	0/22	2.3	5.8
SHASTA	68.0	688	16	151	16	8	0/0	3.4	2.9
SIERRA	60.5	23	0	6	0	0	0/0	2.3	3.6
SISKIYOU	72.5	254	4	45	3	4	1/0	2.7	3.8
SOLANO	72.1	1291	14	187	29	28	1/7	2.4	6.5
SONOMA	73.0	2335	35	455	33	58	0/22	3.0	4.8
STANISLAUS	72.1	2002	33	287	59	32	0/10	2.9	2.3
SUTTER	52.2	459	10	63	3	4	0/1	2.2	4.1
TEHAMA	70.9	325	13	54	4	8	1/1	2.7	4.9
TRINITY	42.0	103	4	22	1	1	0/0	3.6	2.9
TULARE	63.7	2389	43	63	24	31	3/8	3.0	3.7
TUOLUMNE	71.6	221	8	31	0	5	0/0	2.9	5.0
VENTURA	87.4	4308	38	0	2	84	2/4	2.2	3.5
YOLO	55.2	653	10	107	19	6	0/2	2.3	2.6
YUBA	71.0	383	2	78	12	8	0/3	2.5	2.1

TABLE 8: ADJUDICATION STATUS OF 1994 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY*

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTIONS		RECKLESS DRIVING CONVICTIONS		% OTHER CONVICTIONS	% NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTION
	% MISDEMEANOR	% FELONY	% ALCOHOL RELATED	% NONALCOHOL RELATED		
STATEWIDE	69.6	1.0	7.3	1.6	2.4	18.0
ALAMEDA	68.4	0.7	8.2	1.0	3.8	18.0
ALPINE	62.7	0.0	15.3	1.7	0.0	20.3
AMADOR	84.7	2.7	1.1	1.1	0.5	9.8
BUTTE	72.3	0.8	13.1	0.7	0.8	12.3
CALAVERAS	63.1	0.3	9.4	4.9	4.2	18.1
COLUSA	68.2	0.7	10.6	2.6	0.3	17.5
CONTRA COSTA	69.2	0.7	9.3	0.8	1.4	18.5
DEL NORTE	59.7	2.6	18.2	3.0	4.0	12.5
EL DORADO	83.5	2.1	5.1	1.0	1.1	7.1
FRESNO	55.0	1.9	7.5	0.8	0.7	34.0
GLENN	73.5	1.3	8.1	1.3	2.6	13.2
HUMBOLDT	46.7	1.3	19.3	3.1	3.8	25.9
IMPERIAL	56.0	0.2	6.4	6.6	0.7	30.1
INYO	69.4	0.6	13.0	1.4	0.6	15.0
KERN	79.9	1.2	7.7	1.1	3.4	6.8
KINGS	65.2	1.2	4.7	0.6	1.3	26.9
LAKE	68.3	1.1	4.1	1.2	2.4	23.0
LASSEN	77.4	1.2	3.2	0.8	1.6	15.9
LOS ANGELES	67.5	0.9	6.9	1.8	4.2	18.8
MADERA	58.0	1.7	8.9	0.9	0.8	29.7
MARIN	76.9	1.0	0.0	0.1	5.2	16.8
MARIPOSA**	62.7	1.7	8.7	0.0	0.4	26.5
MENDOCINO	70.8	0.5	7.7	1.8	2.0	17.2
MERCED	57.3	0.6	10.5	3.6	1.7	26.2
MODOC	51.8	0.7	18.2	0.0	0.0	29.2
MONO	64.3	0.0	11.5	3.2	0.6	20.4
MONTEREY	74.0	1.1	9.9	1.6	1.6	11.8
NAPA	83.5	2.1	4.7	1.5	0.8	7.4
NEVADA	85.4	2.3	8.5	1.7	0.8	1.2
ORANGE	79.2	0.7	4.0	0.7	1.8	13.6
PLACER	80.3	1.7	2.0	1.1	1.0	13.9
PLUMAS	64.6	2.1	14.8	2.1	1.6	14.8
RIVERSIDE	63.3	1.5	3.9	3.1	1.8	26.4
SACRAMENTO	63.9	2.1	14.5	2.8	1.3	15.3
SAN BENITO	79.5	1.2	14.2	2.0	1.6	1.6
SAN BERNARDINO	55.7	0.9	6.0	2.2	2.6	32.6
SAN DIEGO	76.6	0.5	5.2	1.3	1.3	15.1
SAN FRANCISCO	60.4	0.7	11.1	4.0	0.9	22.9
SAN JOAQUIN	70.4	1.1	5.5	1.3	2.9	18.8
SAN LUIS OBISPO	68.1	0.6	16.2	1.9	5.7	7.6
SAN MATEO	79.9	1.0	10.7	0.6	1.6	6.2
SANTA BARBARA	70.9	0.9	14.9	2.8	2.6	7.9
SANTA CLARA	79.4	1.6	5.9	1.0	1.5	10.6
SANTA CRUZ	69.9	0.5	10.3	1.5	1.1	16.8
SHASTA	66.4	1.5	14.6	1.5	0.8	15.2
SIERRA	60.5	0.0	15.8	0.0	0.0	23.7
SISKIYOU	71.3	1.1	12.6	0.8	1.1	12.9
SOLANO	71.4	0.8	10.3	1.6	1.5	14.4
SONOMA	72.0	1.1	14.0	1.0	1.8	10.1
STANISLAUS	70.9	1.2	10.2	2.1	1.1	14.5
SUTTER	51.1	1.1	7.0	0.3	0.4	40.0
TEHAMA	68.1	2.7	11.3	0.8	1.7	15.3
TRINITY	40.4	1.6	8.6	0.4	0.4	48.6
TULARE	62.5	1.1	1.6	0.6	0.8	33.2
TUOLUMNE	69.1	2.5	9.7	0.0	1.6	17.2
VENTURA	86.7	0.8	0.0	0.0	1.7	10.8
YOLO	54.3	0.8	8.9	1.6	0.5	33.9
YUBA	70.7	0.4	14.4	2.2	1.5	10.9

*The percentages total to 100 by row (county).

**The calculation of the conviction rates was based on total arrests including federal DUI arrests (Yosemite National Park) not reported in the DOJ MACR system.

TABLE 9: 1994 REPORTED* BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF DUI CONVICTIONS

DUI CONVICTIONS			ALCOHOL-RECKLESS CONVICTIONS		
BAC LEVEL	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	BAC LEVEL	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
.01	63	0.1	.01	5	0.0
.02	45	0.0	.02	5	0.0
.03	52	0.0	.03	12	0.1
.04	58	0.1	.04	18	0.2
.05	81	0.1	.05	27	0.3
.06	106	0.1	.06	94	0.9
.07	248	0.2	.07	320	3.0
.08	1309	1.2	.08	2268	21.0
.09	2319	2.2	.09	2990	27.7
.10	4738	4.4	.10	2476	22.9
.11	6656	6.2	.11	1220	11.3
.12	7968	7.5	.12	496	4.6
.13	8160	7.6	.13	255	2.4
.14	8437	7.9	.14	148	1.4
.15	8401	7.9	.15	98	0.9
.16	8236	7.7	.16	95	0.9
.17	7665	7.2	.17	57	0.5
.18	6905	6.5	.18	51	0.5
.19	6159	5.8	.19	40	0.4
.20	5684	5.3	.20	23	0.2
.21	4769	4.5	.21	17	0.2
.22	3990	3.7	.22	16	0.1
.23	3275	3.1	.23	16	0.1
.24	2687	2.5	.24	11	0.1
.25	2092	2.0	.25	9	0.1
.26	1587	1.5	.26	6	0.1
.27	1270	1.2	.27	3	0.0
.28	927	0.9	.28	2	0.0
.29	730	0.7	.29	3	0.0
.30	564	0.5	.30	3	0.0
.31	396	0.4	.31	1	0.0
.32	309	0.3	.32	1	0.0
.33	245	0.2	.36	2	0.0
.34	169	0.2	.39	2	0.0
.35	139	0.1	.50+	2	0.0
.36	80	0.1			
.37	60	0.1			
.38	60	0.1			
.39	45	0.0			
.40	31	0.0			
.41	22	0.0			
.42	21	0.0			
.43	9	0.0			
.44	2	0.0			
.45	4	0.0			
.46	4	0.0			
.47	2	0.0			
.49	1	0.0			
.50+	2	0.0			
	---	---	---	---	---
TOTAL	106782	100.0	TOTAL	10792	100.0
	MEAN BAC .168			MEAN BAC .098	

*The source of BAC data is the APS reporting form for convicted DUI offenders, rather than the abstract of conviction for those offenders, which was the data source in prior reports. This change in data source was made because of the more complete BAC reporting on APS forms (73% of total) versus court abstracts (with only 51% showing BAC levels).

TABLE 10: 1994 DUI CONVICTIONS BY OFFENDER STATUS AND AVERAGE REPORTED BAC LEVEL

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	PERCENT	AVERAGE BAC LEVEL FROM APS REPORTING FORM (%)	AVERAGE BAC LEVEL FROM CONVICTION ABSTRACT (%)
STATEWIDE	100.0	.168	.166
1ST DUI	68.8	.164	.163
2ND DUI	21.7	.175	.174
3RD DUI	6.8	.181	.181
4TH+ DUI	2.7	.187	.185

SECTION 3: POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS

Data on court sanctions assigned to convicted DUI offenders were taken from DUI abstracts of conviction for offenders arrested in 1994. Also included are counts of postconviction DMV license actions for selected offender groups, while total counts of all license actions, including administrative per se (APS) license suspensions and revocations, are shown in the Administrative Actions Section. APS actions (effective July 1990) are initiated by law enforcement immediately upon arrest for DUI, and are administered independent of the criminal adjudication process. This section includes the following tables:

Table 11: 1994 DUI Court Sanctions by DUI Offender Status. This table shows the frequency of specific court sanctions statewide by number of prior DUI convictions. The specific court sanctions tallied include percentages of probation, jail, alcohol treatment programs (first offender, SB 38 second offender, and 30-month third offender programs), license restriction, court suspension, and ignition interlock. Crosstabulations of sanctions by county, court, and number of prior convictions appear in Appendix Table B4.

Table 12: 1994 DUI Sanction Combinations by County - First Offenders. This table displays the frequency of commonly assigned court sanction combinations (such as first offender alcohol program plus license restriction) by county for first DUI offenders. License suspensions include both court and DMV postconviction (non-APS) suspensions. The sanction combination groups portrayed in this table, as well as Table 13, were defined according to the conventions described in the "Evaluation Methods and Results" portion of Section 4: "Postconviction Sanction Effectiveness."

Table 13: 1994 DUI Sanction Combinations by County - Repeat Offenders. This table shows the frequency of commonly assigned court sanction combinations by county for second, third, and fourth (or subsequent) DUI offenders. License actions include both court and DMV postconviction (non-APS) license suspensions and revocations.

From the data in these and the Appendix tables, it is evident that the use of alternative sanctions continued to vary widely by county, court, and offender status in 1994. For example:

Statewide Parameters:

- The most frequently applied court sanction among all convicted DUI offenders was probation (96.1%), while the least frequently used court sanction was ignition interlock (4.6%). DUI offenders were sentenced to jail in 77.6% of the cases. (However, in many jurisdictions, jail is often served as community service rather than actual jail time.)

Figure 6 (below) graphically displays the statewide data from Table 11 showing the frequency of specific types of court-ordered sanctions among all convicted DUI offenders. Because virtually all offenders receive more than one type of sanction, the cumulative percentage adds to more than 100%.



Figure 6 . Frequency of court-ordered DUI sanctions (1994).

County Variation:

- The proportion of first DUI offenders sentenced to jail varied by county from less than 10% in Marin to 100% in Tuolumne.

- Counties such as Calaveras, Lassen, Napa, San Luis Obispo, San Mateo, Shasta, Sierra, and Tuolumne preferred to assign first offenders to treatment program and jail (over 85%) rather than treatment program and license restriction (less than 7%). Humboldt, Marin, Plumas, and San Bernardino counties assigned treatment program and jail to less than 2% of their first offenders. Inyo, Marin, and Orange

counties assigned treatment program and license restriction to over 80% of first offenders.

- Counties departing from using typical first offender sanction combinations were Imperial, Mariposa, Riverside, and San Bernardino, as shown by relatively high percentages (over 10%) in the "other" category. ("Other" includes license restriction without treatment program assignment, probation only, and other unofficial nonstatutory sanction combinations.)

Court Variation:

- In Los Angeles County, two municipal courts (Lancaster and Burbank) used jail as a sanction in 95% or more of their DUI sentences. At the other extreme, three courts (Santa Monica, Malibu, and Avalon) used jail as a sanction in less than a third of their DUI sentences.

- Although courts required about 14% of repeat offenders to install the interlock device statewide, the Oakland court required three-fourths of such offenders to use interlock.

- All of the municipal courts in Contra Costa and Alameda counties required jail in 95% or more of their DUI sentences, while Marin's San Rafael court used jail in only 27.7% of their cases.

- 7 out of 11 municipal courts in Fresno sentenced over 95% of their DUI offenders to jail, while 6 out of 12 municipal courts in San Bernardino county used jail for less than a third of their DUI sentences.

Variation by Offender Status:

- 70% of 1994 first DUI offenders were sentenced to jail, compared to over 90% of all repeat offenders.

- 83% of first DUI offenders were assigned to alcohol treatment programs, along with 78% of second offenders, 42% of third offenders, and 19% of fourth or more DUI offenders. (By statute, however, all repeat offenders must eventually complete

specified alcohol treatment programs in order to be eligible for license reinstatement.)

- 10% of first DUI offenders and 25% of second DUI offenders received DMV or court license suspensions after adjudication. Since July 1990, of course, all DUI offenders with BAC levels of 0.08% or more are also subject to 30 days to one-year administrative license suspension/revocation under the APS law.

- Only 14% of repeat DUI offenders were assigned ignition interlock in 1994, in spite of the mandatory interlock law for all repeat offenders (AB 2851 - Freidman), which took effect on July 1, 1993.

TABLE 11: 1994 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS*

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROGRAM	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROGRAM	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE	145926	96.1	77.6	57.3	19.8	0.2	42.3	6.7	4.6
1ST DUI	100339	97.7	70.2	80.6	2.3	0.0	37.7	4.8	0.3
2ND DUI	31675	96.9	94.4	7.2	70.3	0.2	66.1	9.4	16.1
3RD DUI	9902	92.0	91.5	3.0	37.3	1.4	26.3	16.2	11.7
4TH+ DUI	4007	60.5	94.8	2.5	16.1	0.4	7.0	10.4	3.2

*Entries represent percentages of 1994 DUI convictees receiving each sanction by offender status. Sanctions within each offender status group (row) are not independent; therefore, row percentages always add to more than 100%. Percentages of sanctions by county and court appear in Appendix Table B4.

TABLE 12: 1994 DUI SANCTION COMBINATIONS BY COUNTY - FIRST OFFENDERS

COUNTY	TOTAL (100%)	DMV OR COURT SUSPENSION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG + JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG + RESTRICTION	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG + RESTRICTION*	OTHER
	N	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE	100339	9.8	5.1	46.0	31.2	4.8	3.1
ALAMEDA	3297	12.9	5.0	76.8	1.9	3.2	0.3
ALPINE	26	3.8	0.0	19.2	69.2	3.8	3.8
AMADOR	104	8.7	49.0	40.4	0.0	0.0	1.9
BUTTE	608	25.8	2.6	69.2	1.2	0.7	0.5
CALAVERAS	129	2.3	3.1	89.9	1.6	1.6	1.6
COLUSA	115	8.7	10.4	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.9
CONTRA COSTA	1898	7.3	9.8	77.9	2.2	2.1	0.8
DEL NORTE	130	17.7	9.2	68.5	0.8	3.1	0.8
EL DORADO	542	8.7	29.2	36.9	22.3	0.7	2.2
FRESNO	2439	14.6	5.8	49.0	25.3	2.7	2.7
GLENN	132	9.8	3.8	75.0	1.5	8.3	1.5
HUMBOLDT	401	13.2	3.0	1.0	74.8	0.5	7.5
IMPERIAL	672	1.3	9.5	20.7	56.1	1.5	10.9
INYO	139	4.3	1.4	7.2	84.2	0.7	2.2
KERN	2532	12.4	16.8	65.7	1.8	0.9	2.4
KINGS	486	22.0	11.3	63.2	0.4	2.7	0.4
LAKE	278	10.4	4.7	79.9	2.5	2.5	0.0
LASSEN	143	11.9	0.7	86.0	0.0	1.4	0.0
LOS ANGELES	25264	9.4	2.8	24.2	59.4	1.8	2.5
MADERA	436	13.3	4.6	77.8	1.6	1.8	0.9
MARIN	945	6.8	0.1	0.5	89.2	1.1	2.3
MARIPOSA	118	29.7	5.1	39.0	7.6	0.0	18.6
MENDOCINO	437	10.3	4.1	70.0	9.6	4.6	1.4
MERCED	755	8.3	3.3	78.5	4.4	3.8	1.6
MODOC	55	14.5	1.8	74.5	7.3	1.8	0.0
MONO	63	0.0	4.8	69.8	6.3	12.7	6.3
MONTEREY	2143	15.5	4.3	77.5	0.7	0.9	1.1
NAPA	618	4.7	5.8	86.4	1.0	1.3	0.8
NEVADA	284	9.9	4.6	70.1	9.5	3.9	2.1
ORANGE	9205	4.8	0.8	9.2	81.8	1.6	1.8
PLACER	927	7.2	5.9	73.1	10.1	1.8	1.7
PLUMAS	87	11.5	1.1	1.1	75.9	5.7	4.6
RIVERSIDE	3783	5.7	10.2	25.2	33.2	1.4	24.2
SACRAMENTO	3329	8.2	4.2	78.4	2.3	4.8	2.1
SAN BENITO	125	43.2	9.6	44.0	0.8	2.4	0.0
SAN BERNARDINO	4314	11.5	10.1	0.3	0.2	65.5**	12.5
SAN DIEGO	9089	7.8	3.5	53.9	30.8	3.1	0.9
SAN FRANCISCO	770	7.3	1.7	80.9	8.8	0.8	0.5
SAN JOAQUIN	1772	10.7	12.1	74.2	0.5	1.9	0.7
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1043	4.1	3.2	91.1	0.0	1.2	0.4
SAN MATEO	2579	6.2	2.7	88.3	0.8	1.5	0.5
SANTA BARBARA	1814	13.9	1.7	20.0	58.5	0.4	5.5
SANTA CLARA	4790	20.3	4.3	63.5	8.6	2.0	1.4
SANTA CRUZ	1237	11.1	2.9	80.8	3.8	0.4	1.0
SHASTA	425	6.1	3.1	88.5	2.1	0.2	0.0
SIERRA	15	0.0	6.7	86.7	6.7	0.0	0.0
SISKIYOU	176	10.2	7.4	77.3	1.1	2.3	1.7
SOLANO	822	10.2	4.4	79.9	2.8	1.5	1.2
SONOMA	1452	8.6	32.2	56.1	1.0	0.3	1.9
STANISLAUS	1308	7.1	7.1	80.9	0.6	3.8	0.5
SUTTER	296	9.8	4.4	83.1	2.4	0.3	0.0
TEHAMA	237	20.3	2.5	68.8	7.6	0.4	0.4
TRINITY	75	14.7	2.7	77.3	2.7	2.7	0.0
TULARE	1557	8.2	8.2	75.2	1.5	6.2	0.8
TUOLUMNE	123	8.9	1.6	89.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
VENTURA	3110	12.2	2.7	82.3	0.7	1.8	0.4
YOLO	453	4.6	2.0	76.8	1.8	14.1	0.7
YUBA	237	10.5	1.7	84.4	0.4	0.0	3.0

Note: The vast majority of convicted DUI offenders also receive fine and probation.
*Includes referral to alcohol clinics and 30-month programs.
**The majority of these are referrals to alcohol clinics.

TABLE 13: 1994 DUI SANCTION COMBINATIONS BY COUNTY - REPEAT OFFENDERS

COUNTY	TOTAL REPEAT DUI	2ND OFFENDERS				3RD OFFENDERS				4TH+ OFFENDERS			
		LICENSE SUSPENSION	SB 38 PROGRAM + RESTRICTION	OTHER	TOTAL 100%	LICENSE REVOCATION + JAIL	ALCOHOL* PROGRAM + REVOCATION	OTHER	TOTAL 100%	LICENSE REVOCATION + JAIL	ALCOHOL PROGRAM + REVOCATION	OTHER	TOTAL 100%
	N	%	%	%	*N*	%	%	%	*N*	%	%	%	*N*
STATEWIDE	45584	25.1	41.1	33.8	31675	58.8	35.8	5.4	9902	79.8	15.2	4.9	4007
ALAMEDA	1680	13.3	53.9	32.8	1141	37.9	56.9	5.2	383	69.9	25.0	5.1	156
ALPINE	11	50.0	16.7	33.3	6	80.0	20.0	0.0	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
AMADOR	56	41.0	12.8	46.2	39	75.0	25.0	0.0	12	100.0	0.0	0.0	5
BUTTE	340	47.2	36.2	16.7	246	82.1	16.4	1.5	67	88.9	7.4	3.7	27
CALAVERAS	53	9.7	9.7	80.6	31	50.0	50.0	0.0	16	100.0	0.0	0.0	6
COLUSA	93	30.6	45.2	24.2	62	87.5	4.2	8.3	24	85.7	0.0	14.3	7
CONTRA COSTA	982	18.7	45.2	36.1	657	63.1	32.8	4.0	198	71.7	26.8	1.6	127
DEL NORTE	59	54.1	2.7	43.2	37	37.5	56.3	6.3	16	66.7	16.7	16.7	6
EL DORADO	300	22.8	31.0	46.2	197	66.3	25.0	8.8	80	78.3	13.0	8.7	23
FRESNO	1467	28.4	41.4	30.2	940	59.3	34.2	6.5	354	87.3	5.8	6.9	173
GLENN	100	39.0	40.7	20.3	59	87.5	12.5	0.0	24	82.4	5.9	11.8	17
HUMBOLDT	191	22.5	57.2	20.3	138	66.7	30.6	2.8	36	82.4	11.8	5.9	17
IMPERIAL	252	36.7	35.0	28.2	177	76.7	16.7	6.7	60	73.3	13.3	13.3	15
INYO	103	5.2	63.8	31.0	58	68.2	27.3	4.5	22	95.7	4.3	0.0	23
KERN	1599	69.2	7.2	23.6	1000	91.3	3.7	5.0	380	95.0	0.0	5.0	219
KINGS	273	49.7	30.7	19.6	179	89.6	7.5	3.0	67	88.9	0.0	11.1	27
LAKE	181	24.2	41.9	33.9	124	44.2	53.5	2.3	43	57.1	35.7	7.1	14
LASSEN	55	11.9	23.8	64.3	42	57.1	42.9	0.0	7	100.0	0.0	0.0	6
LOS ANGELES	10028	19.8	45.3	34.9	7253	55.7	36.8	7.4	2045	85.9	7.8	6.3	730
MADERA	256	34.0	31.5	34.6	162	77.8	19.4	2.8	72	90.9	0.0	9.1	22
MARIN	351	14.8	64.4	20.8	264	60.9	37.5	1.6	64	91.3	8.7	0.0	23
MARIPOSA	37	41.7	12.5	45.8	24	90.0	10.0	0.0	10	66.7	0.0	33.3	3
MENDOCINO	248	24.0	35.3	40.7	167	50.8	47.6	1.6	63	61.1	22.2	16.7	18
MERCED	483	16.2	47.5	36.3	314	60.0	32.0	8.0	125	84.1	13.6	2.3	44
MODOC	17	15.4	46.2	38.5	13	50.0	50.0	0.0	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
MONO	38	6.7	46.7	46.7	30	57.1	42.9	0.0	7	100.0	0.0	0.0	1
MONTEREY	1179	52.3	4.0	43.7	778	81.1	15.0	3.9	280	97.5	2.5	0.0	121
NAPA	311	14.0	30.6	55.5	229	43.9	54.4	1.8	57	80.0	4.0	16.0	25
NEVADA	138	15.6	54.2	30.2	96	43.8	53.1	3.1	32	100.0	0.0	0.0	10

Note: The vast majority of convicted offenders also receive fine and probation.
*Includes referral to alcohol clinics and 30-month programs.

TABLE 13: 1994 DUI SANCTION COMBINATIONS BY COUNTY - REPEAT OFFENDERS - continued

COUNTY	TOTAL REPEAT DUI	2ND OFFENDERS				3RD OFFENDERS				4TH+ OFFENDERS			
		LICENSE SUSPENSION	SB 38 PROGRAM + RESTRICTION	OTHER	TOTAL 100%	LICENSE REVOCATION + JAIL	ALCOHOL* PROGRAM + REVOCATION	OTHER	TOTAL 100%	LICENSE REVOCATION + JAIL	ALCOHOL PROGRAM + REVOCATION	OTHER	TOTAL 100%
	N	%	%	%	*N*	%	%	%	*N*	%	%	%	*N*
ORANGE	3311	10.8	56.1	33.1	2569	18.9	76.3	4.8	586	78.2	16.7	5.1	156
PLACER	408	20.6	47.4	32.0	291	35.8	57.9	6.3	95	68.2	27.3	4.5	22
PLUMAS	39	60.9	21.7	17.4	23	69.2	30.8	0.0	13	100.0	0.0	0.0	3
RIVERSIDE	1520	34.2	33.2	32.6	1111	72.5	23.0	4.5	287	82.8	11.5	5.7	122
SACRAMENTO	1888	25.2	55.0	19.8	1242	63.5	31.4	5.2	405	58.9	39.0	2.1	241
SAN BENITO	80	44.7	29.8	25.5	47	85.2	11.1	3.7	27	100.0	0.0	0.0	6
SAN BERNARDINO	1733	25.8	32.3	41.9	1231	64.9	28.7	6.4	362	80.7	15.0	4.3	140
SAN DIEGO	3112	21.0	41.4	37.5	2374	51.0	40.8	8.2	598	74.3	15.7	10.0	140
SAN FRANCISCO	261	21.0	39.0	40.0	200	59.6	36.5	3.8	52	88.9	0.0	11.1	9
SAN JOAQUIN	1042	17.2	49.5	33.3	658	46.7	48.3	5.0	259	81.6	14.4	4.0	125
SAN LUIS OBISPO	572	12.9	55.4	31.7	379	27.0	69.3	3.6	137	58.9	39.3	1.8	56
SAN MATEO	1158	13.0	15.9	71.2	826	32.4	60.7	6.9	247	63.5	31.8	4.7	85
SANTA BARBARA	941	50.7	13.9	35.4	619	59.2	39.0	1.8	223	80.8	15.2	4.0	99
SANTA CLARA	2637	31.4	51.5	17.2	1714	84.9	11.8	3.3	628	68.5	26.8	4.7	295
SANTA CRUZ	623	48.8	35.2	16.0	426	92.5	3.4	4.1	147	90.0	8.0	2.0	50
SHASTA	279	19.7	53.9	26.4	178	41.2	55.9	2.9	68	69.7	30.3	0.0	33
SIERRA	8	33.3	50.0	16.7	6	100.0	0.0	0.0	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	1
SISKIYOU	82	26.4	28.3	45.3	53	19.0	66.7	14.3	21	75.0	12.5	12.5	8
SOLANO	483	20.0	17.5	62.5	315	46.3	50.0	3.7	108	60.0	31.7	8.3	60
SONOMA	918	37.9	37.7	24.4	573	84.5	10.0	5.5	219	96.8	0.0	3.2	126
STANISLAUS	727	21.1	25.5	53.4	459	39.2	53.2	7.6	171	77.3	19.6	3.1	97
SUTTER	173	23.5	51.3	25.2	115	70.0	27.5	2.5	40	66.7	33.3	0.0	18
TEHAMA	101	45.2	29.0	25.8	62	78.6	21.4	0.0	28	90.9	9.1	0.0	11
TRINITY	32	27.3	40.9	31.8	22	40.0	60.0	0.0	5	80.0	20.0	0.0	5
TULARE	875	33.0	32.1	34.9	536	59.0	37.1	3.8	210	82.2	14.0	3.9	129
TUOLUMNE	106	11.1	37.5	51.4	72	87.0	13.0	0.0	23	72.7	18.2	9.1	11
VENTURA	1236	20.3	54.8	24.9	878	57.1	38.7	4.2	287	83.1	12.7	4.2	71
YOLO	210	14.3	59.3	26.4	140	63.3	30.0	6.7	60	60.0	30.0	10.0	10
YUBA	148	43.0	9.7	47.3	93	90.5	7.1	2.4	42	100.0	0.0	0.0	13

SECTION 4: POSTCONVICTION SANCTION EFFECTIVENESS

This section presents and describes the results of an evaluation assessing the effectiveness of court and administrative sanctions applied to first and second DUI offenders[1]. The effectiveness of alternative sanctions is evaluated in terms of postconviction driving record as measured by: 1) total accidents and 2) DUI incidents, including alcohol-involved accidents, major convictions (primarily DUI, also reckless driving and hit-and-run), APS (0.08% BAC or chemical test refusal) suspensions and DUI failure-to-appear notices (FTA). Displayed below in Figures 7-15 are failure proportions from the sanction analyses for 1989, 1992, and 1994 first and second offenders (grouped by sanction assignment) with follow-up time periods of 6-years, 3-years, and 1-year, respectively. (The 1990, 1991, and 1993 offenders were not included in this year's report in order to simplify the analyses and reporting of results.) These figures show the proportion of accident- or DUI incident-involved drivers following their conviction. They are followed by a narrative description of the evaluation design, subject selection, data collection, analytical procedures, and evaluation results. The reader is cautioned that license suspension refers to postconviction suspensions only, and does not include preconviction administrative per se license suspensions (which are applied to all offender groups).

Based on the data represented in Figures 7-12, the following conclusions can be drawn about first offender sanctions:

- The 1989, 1992 and 1994 suspended first offender groups had significantly fewer subsequent accident-involved drivers than did any other first offender sanction group. The suspended group had 20%, 13.3% and 18.5% fewer accidents than the group with the next lowest accident rates (program with jail) among drivers convicted in 1989, 1992, and 1994, respectively.

[1] Third or more offenders were not included because a previous study (Tashima & Marelich, 1989) indicated serious confounding due to group differences on prior interventions. In addition, sanctions for third and subsequent offenders do not vary much, due to the statutorily prescribed sanction requirements.



Figure 7 . Adjusted 6-year accident rates of 1989 first offenders by type of sanction.



Figure 8 . Adjusted 3-year accident rates of 1992 first offenders by type of sanction.



Figure 9 . Adjusted 1-year accident rates of 1994 first offenders by type of sanction.



Figure 10 . Adjusted 6-year DUI incident* rates of 1989 first offenders by type of sanction (*alcohol-related accident or violation).



Figure 11 . Adjusted 3-year DUI incident* rates of 1992 first offenders by type of sanction (*alcohol-related accident or violation).



Figure 12 . Adjusted 1-year DUI incident* rates of 1994 first offenders by type of sanction (*alcohol-related accident or violation).

- First offenders assigned to first offender treatment programs plus license restriction in 1989 and 1992 had significantly fewer DUI incidents in the postconviction period than did any other first offender sanction group and, in 1994, had fewer DUI incidents than all groups except the suspended group. The first offender treatment plus license restriction group had 12.6% and 13.1% fewer DUI incidents in 1989 and 1992, respectively, than the group with the next lowest DUI incident rate (program with jail).

- In all three years, first offenders sentenced to jail without treatment or postconviction suspension were significantly more likely to be involved in DUI incidents (at least 5%, 9.3%, and 19.8% higher in 1989, 1992, and 1994, respectively) in the postconviction period than any other first offender sanction group.

Based on the data represented in Figures 13-15, the following conclusions can be drawn about second offender sanctions:

- The 1989 suspended second offender group had 15.3% fewer accident-involved drivers than did the SB 38 program groups over the 6-year postconviction period.

- Contrary to prior California studies including the first annual DUI-MIS report (1989 offenders), second offenders suspended in 1992 and 1994 do not have significantly lower total accident rates than do those assigned to SB 38 treatment programs during the first year following suspension or SB 38 assignment. This change is likely due to the implementation of administrative per se (APS) license suspensions beginning in July, 1990, whereby all second offenders are suspended for one year.

- Although license suspension and SB 38 treatment programs with APS suspensions are equally effective in their short-term impact on accidents (post 1-year), license suspension is more effective over a longer time window (6 years).

- In 1989, 1992, and 1994, second offenders who were suspended had a significantly higher proportion of DUI incidents in the subsequent 1-year period than did those who received the SB 38 and license restriction sanction. The respective percentage increases associated with the license suspension group for the three years (1989, 1992 & 1994) were 18.1%, 45.0%, and 40.2%.



Figure 13 . Adjusted 6-year accident and DUI incident rates of 1989 second offenders by type of sanction.



Figure 14 . Adjusted 3-year accident and DUI incident rates of 1992 second offenders by type of sanction.



Figure 15 . Adjusted 1-year accident and DUI incident rates of 1994 second offenders by type of sanction.

- The SB 38 program plus restriction sanction for second offenders (with and without the addition of the ignition interlock) had significantly lower 1-year subsequent DUI incident rates than those of the other 1994 sanction groups.

EVALUATION METHODS AND RESULTS

Subject Selection and Data Collection

Convicted DUI offenders were identified from monthly abstract update tapes which contain all DUI conviction data reported to DMV by the courts. In the present study, follow-up data from three sets of offenders were evaluated for sanction effectiveness:

1) A 6-year follow-up period for the convicted 1989 DUI offenders who were evaluated in the first DUI-MIS report.

2) A 3-year follow-up period for convicted 1992 offenders who were evaluated in the 1995 DUI-MIS report.

3) A 1-year follow-up period for convicted DUI offenders who were arrested for DUI in 1994.

For each year's annual DUI-MIS report, an additional year of DUI data is added to the sanction analyses. In order to simplify the analyses and reporting of results, the 1990, 1991, and 1993 DUI offenders were not included in this year's evaluation.

The conviction date in all cases was considered to be the "treatment date" for defining prior and subsequent driving record data, because the penalties and sanctions for the DUI conviction are typically effective as of that date.

Since DUI penalties and sanctions are enhanced as a function of the number of prior DUI and alcohol-related reckless driving convictions within the previous seven years, subjects were selected based on the number of such convictions within the seven years prior to their entry DUI arrest. For the 1989, 1992, and 1994 drivers, two groups were selected for this evaluation: 1) first DUI offenders––drivers who had no DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving convictions within the previous seven years, and 2) second DUI offenders––drivers who had one DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving conviction within the previous seven years. DUI offenders with felony convictions and chemical test refusal suspensions were not included because their license control penalties are more severe than those of the other groups within their respective prior offender group. Also excluded were drivers who did not have a full one-year subsequent time period because of late conviction dates, drivers with “X” license numbers (no California license

number could be found), drivers with out-of-state ZIP Codes and irregular or unofficial sanction codes (first offenders). Altogether, the excluded cases came to 22% of the original convicted offenders.

Court sanctions are reported to and recorded by DMV in the form of disposition codes on the abstract of conviction. A convicted DUI offender, especially a first offender, might receive any one of many combinations of individual sanctions, including jail, fine, license restriction or suspension, alcohol treatment program, or probation. Therefore, in defining postconviction sanction combination groups for the purpose of this analysis, the following conventions were used for first offenders:

1) if suspension (non-APS) was one of the sanctions imposed by DMV or the court, then the offender was included in the suspension group;

2) if suspension (non-APS) was not imposed, but the offender was assigned to an alcohol treatment program, then the offender was included in one of the treatment groups, according to the type of treatment program (first offender or SB 38) and whether they were also sentenced to license restriction or jail; and

3) if neither suspension nor treatment was imposed, but the offender was sentenced to jail, then the offender was included in the jail-only group.

Fine and probation are generally imposed on most DUI offenders (except that probation is not usually granted to court-suspended first offenders), and for that reason are not included as sanctions evaluated in this report. Also, since July, 1990, all DUI offenders have had their licenses administratively suspended upon DUI arrest.

It should be noted that the definition of the sanction combination groups was not an arbitrary analytical convention, but rather a reflection of the most common naturally occurring sanction combinations assigned by the courts. Based on the above taxonomy, five first-offender sanction combination groups are used in this analysis: 1) license suspension, 2) jail, 3) first offender treatment program plus jail, 4) first offender treatment program plus license restriction, and 5) SB 38 (second offender) treatment program plus license restriction (some courts assign this sanction to a small number of first offenders).

One limitation of the above hierarchical grouping scheme is that it does not allow for an evaluation of the independent and interactive effects of each sanction as a treatment factor. Taking first offender treatment program plus jail as an example, the approach we used does not allow analysis of the separate effects of jail from the effects of the treatment program.

A similar convention was used for grouping second offenders with various sanction combinations; the groups used in this analysis are: 1) license suspension, 2) SB 38 treatment program plus license restriction, 3) a group of 1992 and 1994 second offenders ("other") who did not meet the selection criteria of groups 1 or 2, and 4) a fourth group of 1994 second offenders who were ordered to install an ignition interlock device in their vehicles as mandated by AB 2851 (effective July, 1993). This device requires that the offender blow into it prior to starting the vehicle, which will not start if he/she has a BAC of 0.02% or greater. This group was identified by certain vehicle code designations on their abstract of conviction. In examining these abstract disposition codes, it was found that 88% of interlock cases were also referred to SB 38 treatment programs (along with license restrictions), while 38% had their licenses suspended (non-APS); of those that were suspended, 72% were assigned to SB 38 treatment programs. All second offenders who were assigned to install interlock are included in this evaluation, irrespective of other sanctions and regardless of actual installation. This is reflective of the "real world" conditions under which interlock is assigned and is an integral part of assessing the total impact of this sanction.

Most of the offenders in the group designated as "other" were originally referred to an SB 38 treatment program, but were suspended as well, either by intent (court sentence to both treatment program and suspension), or omission (court misreporting of disposition codes and/or the offender's lack of compliance with required procedures, such as failure to provide proof of insurance, program enrollment, pay fees, etc.). Even if the courts amend the mistaken abstracts of conviction, the offenders still need to meet the insurance and program enrollment requirements. The final sanctions ultimately received by this group are unclear, which makes interpretation difficult. The "other" group was not included in the first DUI-MIS 1989 arrest cohort analysis and, therefore, was not included in subsequent reanalyses of the 1989 arrestees.

Prior driver record data were extracted for the two years preceding an offender's DUI conviction date for all offenders. Appendix Tables B5, B6, and B7 list these prior driver record variables, which were used as covariates in the analyses. The evaluation period for the postconviction driving measures, starting from the conviction date, was six years for the 1989 drivers, three years for the 1992 drivers, and one year for the 1994 drivers. A buffer period of six months was allowed between the end of the evaluation period and the data extraction date to allow for processing and reporting the most recent data to DMV. DUI offenders who had less than the full follow-up time period (from conviction date to the buffer period) were excluded. The outcome driving record measures consisted of the proportion of offenders who were involved in: 1) total accidents and 2) DUI incidents (alcohol-involved accidents, major convictions, APS/refusal suspensions, or DUI failures to appear).

Evaluation Design and Analytical Procedures

Logistic regression analysis was used to evaluate the differences in the proportion of accident- and DUI-incident-involved drivers in each sanction group at the end of the evaluation period. Only the first accident or DUI incident or "failure" was evaluated. This is not an important limitation with these data because the incidence of repeat failures (two or more accidents or DUI incidents) was low over the study time window. More importantly, analysis of repeat failures would be subject to confounding by court sanctions received in connection with the first failure incident. This type of confounding is avoided because multiple incidents were not included in this analysis.

Since it was not possible to randomly assign drivers to the various sanction groups, potential biases due to preexisting group differences were statistically controlled by entering into the analyses as covariates biographical data, prior driving record data, and ZIP Code indices (accident and traffic conviction averages of each driver's ZIP Code area, and selected ZIP Code variables from the 1990 census data for the 1992 and 1994 drivers). (Tables B5, B6, and B7 show significant group differences on most of these variables.) While this "quasi-experimental" design is subject to a number of limitations in assessing cause-effect relationships, the statistical control of group differences removes at least part of the bias in group assignment and provides a more precise estimate of the relationship between type of sanction and subsequent record. It is likely, of course, that the groups also differ on characteristics not measured by, or reflected in, the covariates. The possibility of uncontrolled biases becomes particularly problematic if sanctions are commonly received by atypical offenders through self- or judicial-selectivity (e.g., drivers of higher socio-economic status might be more likely to receive program with restriction and less likely to receive jail than those of lower status).

In all of the analyses for accidents (except 1992 and 1994 second offenders) and DUI incidents for both first and second offenders in all three years, several significant ($p<.01$) covariate by sanction interactions were evident (statistical significance at $p<x$ means that a differential effect between groups would occur by chance less than x% of the time). These significant interactions indicated that the relationship between the covariates and the outcome measure varied across sanction groups, and therefore the covariates were included in the initial analyses for all three data sets to determine the magnitude of the interactions. In all analyses, except three (1989, 1992, and 1994 first offender subsequent accidents) where sanction differences were significant ($p<.03$), the interaction effect was generally one-fourth or less than the main effect of sanction (chi squares were divided by their respective degrees of freedom to provide an approximate measure of effect size). Since the sanction main effect had substantially greater magnitude than the interaction effects, conclusions about sanction differences were based on analyses that did not include the interactions. The interaction effects of the

remaining three analyses were examined and found not to create a serious impact on the sanction main effect.

Results of the First Offender Sanction Evaluation

Total Accidents: Figures 7, 8, and 9, and Table 14 display the results of the logistic regression analysis of total accidents for 1989, 1992, and 1994 first offenders. Among first offenders in all three years, the suspended group continued to have the significantly lowest proportion of accident-involved drivers relative to the other sanction groups, with 19.69 (1989), 10.27 (1992) and 3.52 (1994) accident involvements per 100 drivers (see Table 16 for a summary of significant results by sanction groups). These accident rates are 20%, 13.3%, and 18.5% lower than the next lowest group's rates (program with jail) for the three time periods, respectively. First offenders sentenced to jail-only had a significantly higher accident rate than that of most groups for all three years, except when compared to the SB 38 group in 1989, and in 1992 where significant differences in rates did not occur. (It should be noted that the jail-only sanction may actually be a less aversive punishment than other sanctions when community service is substituted for jail time, which is frequently the case.)

In all three year's analyses of first offenders on accidents, while there were fairly strong interactions between sanctions and covariates (age and prior minor convictions in 1989, age in 1992, and prior accidents in 1994), there still remained a strong main effect of sanction differences on accidents; the suspended group continued to have the lowest accident rates overall, while the jail-only group had the highest accident rates.

DUI Incidents: Figures 10, 11, and 12 and Tables 14 and 16 show that among the first offender groups in 1989, 1992, and 1994, the (statistically significant) lowest proportions of reoffending drivers were in the groups assigned to first-offender treatment program plus license restriction, with failure rates of 23.79, 12.35, and 4.51 per 100 drivers, respectively. This group had 12.6% and 13.1% fewer DUI incidents in 1989 and 1992, respectively, than the group with the next lowest recidivism rate (program with jail). In contrast, the highest recidivism rates occurred with the jail-only groups for all years (34.35, 21.94, and 9.01 for 1989, 1992, and 1994, respectively).

An overall trend in the decline of percentage differences in DUI incidents between the best group (first-offender treatment program plus restriction) and the next lowest group is evident over the years for the 1989 group. It would be expected that sanction differences between groups would diminish as the time window increases, particularly to a six-year period. Differences in failure proportions among the other three sanction groups were again not significant for the 1989 offenders, but were significantly different among the three groups in 1992 and 1994. The first offender treatment program with jail group in 1994 had lower recidivism rates than that of the SB 38 group, and lower

rates than that of the 1992 suspended group; however, the 1994 suspended group had significantly lower rates than the SB 38 group. Because of variations in the suspended group's rates, there is no totally consistent pattern in the DUI incident rates among these three groups over the three years. Overall, however, participation in the first-offender treatment program with license restriction continues to rank first in its effect on DUI incidents, while the jail-only sanction continues to have the least impact.

Results from the first offender sanction analyses on DUI incidents continue to replicate those from previous research studies for all three analyses. Sanction group differences on accidents in this year's analyses continued to be consistent for 1989, 1992, and 1994.

TABLE 14: FIRST OFFENDER SANCTION EFFECTS ON TOTAL ACCIDENTS AND DUI INCIDENTS BY YEAR

YEAR	SANCTION GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	NUMBER OF ACCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	NUMBER OF DUI-INCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS
1989	Suspension	(5,638)	19.69	27.85
(follow-up period = 6 years)	Jail	(7,199)	25.85	34.35
	1st DUI program & jail	(43,626)	24.57	27.23
	1st DUI program & license restriction	(41,223)	24.73	23.79
	SB 38 program & license restriction	(4,422)	24.67	27.40
1992	Suspension	(8,265)	10.27	15.95
(follow-up period = 3 years)	Jail	(4,769)	13.40	21.94
	1st DUI program & jail	(49,591)	11.84	14.20
	1st DUI program & license restriction	(32,476)	12.15	12.35
	SB 38 program & license restriction	(5,538)	12.04	14.58
1994	Suspension	(5,520)	3.52	4.78
(follow-up period = 1 year)	Jail	(3,825)	5.82	9.01
	1st DUI program & jail	(37,527)	4.32	5.25
	1st DUI program & license restriction	(26,945)	4.35	4.51
	SB 38 program & license restriction	(3,709)	4.67	6.88

Results of the Second Offender Sanction Evaluation

Total Accidents: Among the 1989 second offenders, license suspension continued to be significantly more effective than treatment program plus restriction in reducing total accident risk (see Figure 13, and Tables 15 and 16), six years subsequent to their convictions. The 1989 suspended group, with a failure rate (per 100 drivers) of 20.16, had 15.3% fewer accident-involved drivers than did the SB 38 program group. This finding is consistent with those of most prior studies of second offenders on total accidents. As noted below, the post 1989 cohorts show a different pattern.

Results of the 1992 and 1994 analyses (see Figures 14 and 15, Tables 15 and 16) were similar to those of the 1990, 1991, and 1992 one-year analyses (reported in the previous three DUI-MIS evaluations) in that significant differences were not evident among second offenders in their total accident rates. It has been noted in recent reports that since license suspension has been consistently effective in reducing accident risk, it was likely that the lack of significant group differences in the one-year period was due to the immediate short-term positive effect of the imposition of one-year APS license suspensions on all second offenders. It was found in the previous two analyses and in this year's analysis that the accident rates of the SB 38 participants have declined and were actually lower than those of the suspended group. Since the one-year follow-up period covers the time window when all second offenders are under APS suspension, it was reasoned that APS suspension would be expected to have a larger effect on the accident rates of previously nonsuspended SB 38 participants than it did on those who were suspended upon conviction. The data continue to support this interpretation.

In comparing accident rates between first and second offenders, Tables 14 and 15 show that, across all years, first offenders continue to experience higher accident rates than second offenders, a finding that has been apparent in previous DUI studies on accidents, even prior to DUI-MIS evaluations. As noted in recent DUI-MIS reports, the fact that second offenders have longer-term sanctions than do first offenders (18-month postconviction suspension or 18-month SB 38 treatment programs) may explain this difference.

DUI Incidents: Figures 13, 14, and 15 and Tables 15 and 16 show that in all three years, the suspended groups had significantly higher failure rates (by 18.1%, 45.0%, and 40.2% for 1989, 1992, and 1994, respectively) than corresponding rates for the SB 38 program participants. The much smaller difference (18.1%) between the two sanctions for the 1989 groups compared to the more recent cohorts on subsequent DUI incidents may also be attributable to the much longer (6 year) followup period. As noted in connection with first offenders, one would expect treatment effects to lessen with the passage of time.

The third group ("other") in the 1992 and 1994 analyses had failure rates midway between the other two groups. Failure rates of all three groups in 1992 were significantly different from each other. In the 1994 analysis, recidivism rates were significantly different between all groups except between the SB 38 program participants and the SB 38 program plus ignition interlock group; both groups had lower reoffense rates than the suspended or the "other" groups, and this finding was also evident in last year's 1993 one-year analysis. An additional analysis was conducted which included the same groups, but with the interlock group divided into those who were suspended and those who were not suspended (75% and 96% of those groups, respectively, were assigned to the SB 38 program plus license restriction). Although the results showed that the interlock group without suspension had the lowest reoffense rate, the results are somewhat limited by the fact that the number of cases for both groups remains insufficient, particularly in the presence of a nonrandom design, where self-selectivity and uncontrolled group biases may be influencing these results. A preferable approach to investigating the effectiveness of the interlock device would be a randomized design involving equivalent groups; such an effort is being considered in the foreseeable future, and may provide more clarification on the effect of interlock.

TABLE 15: SECOND OFFENDER SANCTION EFFECTS ON TOTAL ACCIDENTS AND DUI INCIDENTS BY YEAR

YEAR	SANCTION GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	NUMBER OF ACCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{\text{GRP 1 - GRP 2}}{\text{GRP 2}} \times 100$	NUMBER OF DUI INCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{\text{GRP 1 - GRP 2}}{\text{GRP 2}} \times 100$
1989	1) Suspension	(9,482)	20.16		35.54	
(follow-up period = 6 years)	2) SB 38 program & license restriction	(23,927)	23.80	-15.3%	30.09	18.1%
1992	1) Suspension	(9,230)	9.12		15.31	
(follow-up period = 3 years)	2) SB 38 program & license restriction	(15,971)	9.80	-10.0%	10.56	45.0%
	3) Other	(10,170)	9.72		13.24	
1994	1) Suspension	(5,461)	3.24		8.27	
(follow-up period = 1 year)	2) SB 38 program & license restriction	(8,595)	2.82	14.9%	5.90	40.2%
	3) SB 38 program/ restriction interlock	(4,340)	2.85		5.60	
	4) Other	(7,273)	3.32		7.24	

TABLE 16: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT RESULTS FOR 1989, 1992, AND 1994 FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDER SANCTION GROUPS BY OUTCOME MEASURES

YEAR	FIRST OFFENDER									
	TOTAL ACCIDENTS					DUI INCIDENTS				
GROUP	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1989 (6-year follow up)										
(1) Suspension	na	S1	S1	S1	S1	na	S1	ns	S4	ns
(2) Jail		na	S3	S4	ns		na	S3	S4	S5
(3) 1st DUI program & jail			na	ns	ns			na	S4	ns
(4) 1st DUI program & restriction				na	ns				na	S4
(5) SB 38 program & restriction					na					na
1992 (3-year follow up)										
(1) Suspension	na	S1	S1	S1	S1	na	S1	S3	S4	ns
(2) Jail		na	S3	S4	ns		na	S3	S4	S5
(3) 1st DUI program & jail			na	ns	ns			na	S4	ns
(4) 1st DUI program & restriction				na	ns				na	S4
(5) SB 38 program & restriction					na					na
1994 (1-year follow up)										
(1) Suspension	na	S1	S1	S1	S1	na	S1	ns	ns	S1
(2) Jail		na	S3	S4	S5		na	S3	S4	S5
(3) 1st DUI program & jail			na	ns	ns			na	S4	S3
(4) 1st DUI program & restriction				na	ns				na	S4
(5) SB 38 program & restriction					na					na

YEAR	SECOND OFFENDER							
	TOTAL ACCIDENTS				DUI INCIDENTS			
GROUP	(1)	(2)			(1)	(2)		
1989 (6-year follow up)								
(1) Suspension	na	S1			na	S2		
(2) SB 38 program & restriction		na				na		
1992 (3-year follow up)	(1)	(2)	(3)		(1)	(2)	(3)	
(1) Suspension	na	ns	ns		na	S2	S3	
(2) SB 38 program & restriction		na	ns			na	S2	
(3) Other			na				na	
1994 (1-year follow up)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
(1) Suspension	na	ns	ns	ns	na	S2	S3	S4
(2) SB 38 program & restriction		na	ns	ns		na	ns	S2
(3) SB 38 program & interlock			na	ns			na	S3
(4) Other				na				na

Note: A significant (p<.03 for 1st offenders and p<.06 for 2nd offenders) difference between sanction groups relative to the proportion of accident-involved or DUI incident-involved drivers is represented by an "S." The group number with the "S" indicates the group with the better (lower) rate. A nonsignificant difference is indicated by "ns." "na" means not applicable. Blanks appear in the lower half of each matrix, since the halves are identical.

Overall, findings for the 1989 second offenders on accidents replicate previous evaluations indicating that postconviction license suspension continues to be more effective than SB 38 treatment program plus restriction in reducing accidents over the 6-

year follow-up period. However, it should be recalled that these 1989 results pertain to a sanction structure that is quite different from the current one; there are now tougher requirements for insurance and program participation, lengthier suspension periods, more fees and the imposition of APS suspensions on all offenders.

Findings from the 1992 and 1994 second offender analyses were similar to previous post-APS one-year evaluations of second offenders in showing no evidence of significant differences between the sanction groups on subsequent accident rates. The fact that the 1-year accident rates for both the SB 38 treatment program participants and the interlock group were lower (14.9%) than that of the suspended group is likely attributable to the impact of APS suspensions. This is supported by the fact that this trend reverses (-10%) when more than a one-year time period is included. Because all second offenders since 1990 are suspended under APS for the duration of the one-year follow-up period, the differences between groups is more likely a measure of the incremental impact of alternative sanctions given in addition to suspension.

The results on reoffense rates for second offenders continue to be consistent with the findings of prior studies on alcohol-related incidents, indicating that SB 38 programs with license restriction are associated with a reduction in subsequent DUI incidents over all three follow-up periods.

<u>One-Year Recidivism Rates for First and Second Offenders from 1989-1994</u>

The one-year subsequent DUI-incident reoffense rates for both first and second offenders were compiled from the six annual DUI-MIS reports and configured onto a single graph to display these rates over time. Figure 16 shows the proportion of DUI offenders arrested each year between 1989 and 1994 who reoffended one-year after conviction. The DUI incidents include alcohol-involved accidents, major convictions (primarily DUI, reckless driving and hit-and-run), APS (0.08% BAC or chemical test refusal) suspensions (not included in the 1989 cases), and DUI failure-to-appear notices (FTA). Figure 16 shows a noticeable decline in the one-year recidivism rates of second offenders from 9.8% to 6.8% and for first offenders from 9.3% to 6.1% between 1989 to 1994. This decline translates into a 34.4% reduction for first offenders, and a 30.6% decrease for second offenders, in DUI incidents. Previous DUI-MIS reports have suggested that while many factors may be associated with this overall decline in DUI incidents, the reduction is likely attributable to the implementation of APS suspensions in 1990. Another DMV study, which is designed to investigate the specific deterrent

effects of the APS law, will be released soon and may present further information on the effectiveness of this law.



Figure 16 . Proportion of DUI offenders arrested in 1989-1994 reoffending (DUI incidents) one-year after conviction.

SECTION 5: ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

Data on DMV administrative license disqualification actions (license suspension or revocation--S/R) taken in DUI cases are presented below. These statutorily mandated actions are initiated by the receipt of either a law enforcement APS report (.08% BAC, zero tolerance, or chemical test refusal) or court abstract of conviction. It should be noted that multiple actions can result from a single DUI incident--for example, a single DUI arrest frequently will result in both an APS suspension and a mandatory postconviction suspension action. This section includes the following tables and figure:

Table 17: Mandatory DUI License Disqualification Actions, 1985-1995. This table shows preconviction (APS) and postconviction license disqualification totals from 1985 through 1995. The postconviction totals include juvenile suspensions, first-offender suspensions, second-offender suspensions and revocations, and third- and fourth-offender revocations.

Table 18: Administrative Per Se Process Measures. This table presents APS process measure data for fiscal years 93/94 through 95/96.

Figure 17 graphically portrays mandatory DUI license disqualification totals from 1985 through 1995.

The following statements are based on the data shown in Tables 17-18 and Figure 17.

- During 1991, the first full calendar year of APS license suspension, the total number of DMV DUI suspension/revocation actions increased by 60% over 1990. Each year since then these numbers have declined, by an overall 38%, mirroring the 36% decline in DUI arrests over the same period.

- In 1995, 179,492 APS license actions were taken. Of these actions, 71% were first-offender actions and 29% were repeat-offender actions.

- In FY 95/96, APS actions increased by 10.7%, breaking the recent consistent downward trend in DUI arrests and APS actions.

- Chemical test refusal actions have steadily continued to decline, and have decreased by 58% since 1985.

- The number of mandatory postconviction license actions decreased by 12% in 1995, and has dropped virtually in half since 1991.

- Since APS was implemented in July 1990, over 1 million (1,383,175) APS suspension or revocation actions had been taken through June 1996.

- During the first five years of APS implementation, requests for hearings increased each year, rising from 6.8% of all APS actions in FY 90/91 to 11.8% in 94/95. In 95/96 this trend stopped, with requests for hearing dropping 27% to 8.6% of all APS actions. The rate at which APS suspension/revocation actions are upheld after hearing continued to decline, however, dropping to only 68% in 95/96, compared to 80% in 94/95.

- During the first 30 months after implementation (on January 1, 1994) of the "zero tolerance" law for minors, 23,465 suspension actions were taken.



Figure 17 . Mandatory DUI license disqualification actions, 1985-1995.

TABLE 17: MANDATORY DUI LICENSE DISQUALIFICATION ACTIONS, 1985-1995

	YEAR										
	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995
TOTAL MANDATORY SUSPENSION/ REVOCATION (S/R) ACTIONS	105849	104333	103630	101779	111703	233680	373131	308399	277447	243645	231954
PRECONVICTION											
Admin Per Se (APS) Actions	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	119610	272273	228790	209006	184045	179492
Zero tolerance suspensions	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	9971	8700
First-offender suspensions	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	82503	187527	157545	144321	120582	121218
Repeat-offender suspensions	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	34792	74351	62656	57279	47429	44193
Repeat-offender revocations	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2315	10395	8589	7406	6063	5381
Commercial driver actions	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3739	7976	6449	5829	5038	4921
Chemical test refusal actions	29308	26327	25474	22757	21466	22915	21296[r]	17963[r]	15662	13264	12308
Test refusal suspensions	25485	16352	14877	13720	12390	15128	10901[r]	9374[r]	8256	7022	6754
Test refusal revocations	3823	9975	10597	9037	9076	7787	10395[r]	8589[r]	7406	6063	5381
TOTAL APS/REFUSAL ACTIONS	29308	26327	25474	22757	21466	142525	272273	228790	209006	184045	179492
POSTCONVICTION											
Juvenile DUI suspensions	2200	2219	2374	1823	1995	1478	1576	1202	922	879	677
First-offender suspensions	7057	7384	7278	6626	7679	10408	13575	10673	10208	8696	7266
Misdemeanor	5346	5592	5558	5050	5658	8467	11547	8989	8607	7188	5806
Felony	1711	1792	1720	1576	2021	1941	2028	1684	1601	1508	1460
Second-offender S/R actions	46835	45234	43608	47698	53927	52334	57350	45478	38849	34300	31489
Misdemeanor	46292	44601	42964	47093	53238	51593	56583	44756	38285	33794	30955
Felony	543	633	644	605	689	741	767	722	564	506	534
Third-offender revocations	14970	16946	17118	13991	18514	18650	19963	15553	12908	11193	9471
Misdemeanor	14970	16946	17118	13671	18182	18219	19595	15233	12644	10974	9261
Felony	n/a	n/a	n/a	320	332	431	368	320	264	219	210
Fourth-offender revocations	5479	6223	7778	8884	8122	8285	8394	6703	5554	4532	3559
TOTAL POSTCONVICTION ACTIONS	76541	78006	78156	79022	90237	91155	100858	79609	68441	59600	52462

[r]Revised from prior reports.

TABLE 18. ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE PROCESS MEASURES

	7/93-6/94	7/94-6/95	7/95-6/96
Total APS actions taken (including actions later set aside)	211,380	185,266	205,173
Total .08[1] APS actions set aside	14,052	13,323	14,113
Total .01[2] suspensions set aside	137	441	494
Net total APS actions taken (excluding actions later set aside)	197,191	171,502	190,566
Net total .08 APS actions	192,997	161,991	181,878
Net total .01 suspensions	4,194	9,511	8,688
APS Actions by Offender Status/License Classification:[3]			
Total APS actions, noncommercial drivers	191,748	166,807	185,246
Total commercial driver (CDL) APS actions taken	5,443	4,695	5,320
Number of APS actions of commercial drivers in commercial vehicles	28	28	11
APS .08 suspensions for drivers with no prior DUI convictions[4]	133,166	114,365	129,642
4-month license suspensions	116,563	95,288	105,726
30-day suspensions plus 3-month restrictions	5,584	4,254	1,681
30-day suspensions plus 5-month COE[5] restrictions	N/A	5,022	11,278
First-offender chemical test refusals	7,546	6,527	7,063
CDL first offender suspensions/restrictions	3,473	3,274	3,894
Total APS .08 actions taken for drivers with prior DUI convictions	59,831	47,626	52,236
Suspensions	53,025	42,373	46,704
Revocations	6,806	5,253	5,532
APS Chemical Test Refusal Process Measures:			
Total .08 and .01 APS refusal actions taken (including actions later set aside)	15,145	12,696	13,395
Total .08 refusal actions set aside	724	716	641
Total .01 refusal actions set aside	2	12	6
Net total .08 and .01 APS refusal actions (excluding actions later set aside)	14,419	11,968	12,748
Net total .08 refusal actions	14,352	11,780	12,595
Net total .01 refusal actions	67	188	153
Chemical test refusal rate (excluding actions later set aside)	7.31%	6.98%	6.69%
Net .08 APS refusal suspensions for subjects with no prior DUIs	7,546	6,527	7,063
Net .08 APS refusal actions for subjects with prior DUIs	6,806	5,253	5,532
APS Hearings			
Total .08 and .01 APS hearings scheduled[6]	21,682	21,774	17,697
Proportion of total APS actions	10.3%	11.8%	8.6%
.08 hearings held and/or completed	21,264*	18,300	15,248
.08 actions sustained or upheld following a hearing	15,481*	14,657	10,418
.08 hearing action sustain rate	72.8%	80.1%	68.3%
.01 hearings held and/or completed	– – –	888	775
.01 actions sustained or upheld following a hearing	– – –	743	615
.01 hearing action sustain rate	– – –	83.7%	79.4%
APS Chemical Test Refusal Hearings			
Total .08 and .01 APS refusal hearings scheduled	2,343	2,133	1,705
.08 APS refusal hearings held and/or completed	2,260*	1,836	1,513
.08 APS refusal actions sustained or upheld following a hearing	1,758*	1,326	1,108

*Figures corrected.

[1].08 refers to APS actions taken subsequent to obtaining evidence of a BAC equal to or in excess of the .08% per se level. Such an action is taken in conjunction with a DUI arrest.

[2].01 refers to APS suspensions taken against drivers under the age of 21 with BACs in excess of .01%, or on the basis of a chemical test refusal, and are not necessarily taken in conjunction with a DUI arrest.

[3]All entries in this category exclude actions later set aside but, where possible, include actions taken on the basis of either a chemical test refusal or a BAC test result. This category does not include .01 actions, which are not varied by offender status or occupation.

[4]Prior DUI convictions consist of any such conviction where the violation occurred within the seven years prior to the current violation.

[5]Introduced 1/1/95 this restriction allows driving to, from, and during the course-of-employment.

[6]This figure excludes subsequent APS dismissal hearings and departmental review hearings or procedures. Both numerator and denominator include those actions later set aside as a result of the hearing.

SECTION 6: ACCIDENTS INVOLVING ALCOHOL

This section presents data on alcohol-involved accidents, as compiled and reported by the California Highway Patrol, as well as accident data which have been crosstabulated with Department of Justice DUI arrest data. Drivers identified as being under the influence of drugs other than alcohol are also included in the "alcohol-involved accident" category, but typically comprise less than 1% of the total (only 3 total cases for 1994 data). This section includes the following tables:

Table 19: Race/Ethnicity by Sobriety Code of Accident-Involved 1994 DUI Arrestees. This table shows the law enforcement officer's determination of sobriety for accident-involved 1994 DUI arrestees, by race/ethnicity.

Table 20: Fatal and Injury Accidents of 1994 DUI Arrestees by Race/Ethnicity and Type of Arrest. This table portrays the fatal/injury accident involvement of DUI arrestees, by race/ethnicity and type of arrest (felony, juvenile, or misdemeanor).

Table 21: Adjudication Status by Sobriety Code for Accident-Involved 1994 DUI Arrestees. This table crosstabulates accident sobriety codes (from law enforcement accident reports) with the court disposition of the 1994 DUI arrests associated with those accidents.

Table 22: Fatal and Injury Accidents of 1994 DUI Arrestees by Type of Arrest and Adjudication Status. This table displays the adjudication status of fatal and injury accident-involved 1994 DUI arrestees, by type of arrest.

Table 23: 1994 Accident-Involved DUI Arrestees With No Record of Conviction, by County and Type of Arrest. This table shows the number of accident-involved 1994 DUI arrestees without a corresponding recorded conviction, by type of DUI arrest, by county.

Table 24: 1-, 3-, and 6-Year Total, Fatal/Injury, and Alcohol-Related Accident Means by Offender Status. This table shows the average number of total, fatal/injury, and alcohol-related accidents for 1994, 1992, and 1989 DUI arrestees for time periods of 1, 3, and 6 years subsequent to their arrests, respectively, by offender status (number of prior offenses).

Figure 18 (below) shows the annual percentages of traffic injuries and fatalities that were alcohol-involved from 1985 to 1995. The numerical data for this graph are shown on the DUI summary statistics sheet at the beginning of this report.



Figure 18 . Percentage of total injuries and total fatalities that were alcohol-involved, 1985-1995.

Based on these data, the following statements can be made:

- The number of alcohol-involved traffic fatalities decreased by 9.7% in 1995, and has declined by over half (51%) since 1987. The proportion of fatalities which are alcohol-involved declined from 50.1% in 1987 to 32.2% in 1995.

- The proportion of traffic accident injuries that are alcohol-involved has also declined each year since 1987. Alcohol-involved injuries dropped 6.3% during 1995 and 46.3% from 1987 to 1995.

- 13.2% of all 1994 DUI arrests were associated with a reported traffic accident, compared to 13.1% in 1993 and 11.1% in 1992 and 1991. 49.5% of these accidents involved an injury or fatality.

- In 25% of cases where a DUI offender was arrested in connection with a reported traffic accident, there is no record of any corresponding conviction. In 91.5% of

these nonconvicted cases, the accident report indicated that the drivers had been drinking and that their ability was impaired.

- Of all 1994 accident-involved DUI arrestees with no record of conviction, 17.9% had been arrested for felony DUI, down from 18.5% in 1993, 19.0% in 1992, and 19.4% in 1991.

- 6.5% (13,632) of 1994 DUI arrests were associated with a fatal or injury accident, up from 6.4% the previous year. Of these fatal/injury accidents, only 29.6% (4,031) led to an arrest for felony DUI, and only 10% (1,371) led to a conviction of felony DUI. Over 27% (3,704) of DUI arrests stemming from a fatal/injury accident did not result in a reported conviction.

- The fatal/injury and total accident risk of DUI offenders generally decreases with the number of prior DUI convictions for periods up to five years after arrest, while, conversely, the risk of involvement in an alcohol-related accident generally increases with number of priors over the same time periods. This is not surprising because as the number of prior DUIs increases, the time period of the suspension/revocation lengthens, and prior research has demonstrated that suspension/revocation has a larger impact on reducing non-DUI accidents than DUI accidents.

TABLE 19: RACE/ETHNICITY BY SOBRIETY CODE OF ACCIDENT-INVOLVED 1994 DUI ARRESTEES*

SOBRIETY CODE	TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	27560	100.0	12167	44.1	11878	43.1	1920	7.0	1595	5.8
HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	25941	94.1	11267	43.4	11374	43.8	1800	6.9	1500	5.8
HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	78	0.3	29	37.2	35	44.9	4	5.1	10	12.8
HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (.05-.079)	484	1.8	248	51.2	183	37.8	28	5.8	25	5.2
HNBD-HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING	185	0.7	92	49.7	66	35.7	14	7.6	13	7.0
NOT REPORTED	869	3.2	529	60.9	220	25.3	74	8.5	46	5.3
UNDER INFLUENCE OF DRUGS	3	0.0	2	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	33.3

*For each sobriety code, percentages are based on row totals.

TABLE 20: FATAL AND INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 1994 DUI ARRESTEES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND TYPE OF ARREST*

SOBRIETY CODE	TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	13632	100.0	6516	47.8	5347	39.2	985	7.2	784	5.8
FELONY DUI	4031	29.6	1769	43.9	1701	42.2	346	8.6	215	5.3
JUVENILE DUI	180	1.3	97	53.9	71	39.4	7	3.9	5	2.8
MISDEMEANOR DUI	9421	69.1	4650	49.4	3575	37.9	632	6.7	564	6.0

*For each type of arrest, percentages are based on row totals.

TABLE 21: ADJUDICATION STATUS BY SOBRIETY CODE FOR ACCIDENT-INVOLVED 1994 DUI ARRESTEES*

SOBRIETY CODE	TOTAL		TYPE OF CONVICTION											
			MISDEMEANOR DUI		FELONY DUI		ALCOHOL-RECKLESS		NONALCOHOL-RECKLESS		OTHER CONVICTIONS		NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTION	
	N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
TOTAL	27560	100.0	17163	62.3	1393	5.1	1088	3.9	322	1.2	660	2.4	6934	25.2
HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	25941	94.1	16432	63.3	1319	5.1	1016	3.9	287	1.1	541	2.1	6346	24.5
HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	78	0.3	18	23.1	1	1.3	0	0.0	3	3.8	9	11.5	47	60.3
HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (.05-.079)	484	1.8	272	56.2	25	5.2	16	3.3	6	1.2	20	4.1	145	30.0
HNBD-HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING	185	0.7	75	40.5	5	2.7	9	4.9	5	2.7	23	12.4	68	36.8
NOT REPORTED	869	3.2	366	42.1	41	4.7	47	5.4	21	2.4	67	7.7	327	37.6
UNDER INFLUENCE OF DRUGS	3	0.0	0	0.0	2	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	33.3

*For each sobriety code, percentages are based on row totals.

TABLE 22: FATAL AND INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 1994 DUI ARRESTEES BY TYPE OF ARREST AND ADJUDICATION STATUS*

SOBRIETY CODE	TOTAL		TYPE OF CONVICTION											
			MISDEMEANOR DUI		FELONY DUI		ALCOHOL-RECKLESS		NONALCOHOL-RECKLESS		OTHER CONVICTIONS		NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTION	
	N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
TOTAL	13632	100.0	7538	55.3	1371	10.1	497	3.6	175	1.3	347	2.5	3704	27.2
FELONY DUI	4031	29.6	1412	35.0	1207	29.9	76	1.9	32	0.8	98	2.4	1206	29.9
JUVENILE DUI	180	1.3	61	33.9	18	10.0	1	0.6	2	1.1	4	2.2	94	52.2
MISDEMEANOR DUI	9421	69.1	6065	64.4	146	1.5	420	4.5	141	1.5	245	2.6	2404	25.5

*For each type of arrest, percentages are based on row totals.

TABLE 23: 1994 ACCIDENT-INVOLVED* DUI ARRESTEES WITH NO RECORD OF CONVICTION, BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST

COUNTY	TOTAL (100%)	TYPE OF ARREST					
		FELONY DUI		JUVENILE DUI		MISDEMEANOR DUI	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	6347	1133	17.9	151	2.4	5063	79.8
ALAMEDA	206	20	9.7	5	2.4	181	87.9
AMADOR	6	3	50.0	0	0.0	3	50.0
BUTTE	37	10	27.0	1	2.7	26	70.3
CALAVERAS	10	4	40.0	1	10.0	5	50.0
COLUSA	5	2	40.0	0	0.0	3	60.0
CONTRA COSTA	114	16	14.0	3	2.6	95	83.3
DEL NORTE	12	2	16.7	0	0.0	10	83.3
EL DORADO	22	6	27.3	2	9.1	14	63.6
FRESNO	261	50	19.2	4	1.5	207	79.3
GLENN	7	1	14.3	0	0.0	6	85.7
HUMBOLDT	41	9	22.0	3	7.3	29	70.7
IMPERIAL	47	11	23.4	1	2.1	35	74.5
KERN	166	25	15.1	4	2.4	137	82.5
KINGS	16	2	12.5	2	12.5	12	75.0
LAKE	21	2	9.5	0	0.0	19	90.5
LASSEN	8	2	25.0	0	0.0	6	75.0
LOS ANGELES	1972	271	13.7	53	2.7	1648	83.6
MADERA	46	5	10.9	1	2.2	40	87.0
MARIN	60	8	13.3	1	1.7	51	85.0
MARIPOSA	4	1	25.0	0	0.0	3	75.0
MENDOCINO	29	3	10.3	0	0.0	26	89.7
MERCED	67	12	17.9	1	1.5	54	80.6
MODOC	5	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	100.0
MONO	6	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	100.0
MONTEREY	63	9	14.3	5	7.9	49	77.8
NAPA	26	13	50.0	3	11.5	10	38.5
NEVADA	11	1	9.1	0	0.0	10	90.9
ORANGE	429	34	7.9	14	3.3	381	88.8
PLACER	48	10	20.8	0	0.0	38	79.2
PLUMAS	8	2	25.0	0	0.0	6	75.0
RIVERSIDE	330	76	23.0	5	1.5	249	75.5
SACRAMENTO	236	91	38.6	5	2.1	140	59.3
SAN BENITO	14	2	14.3	0	0.0	12	85.7
SAN BERNARDINO	507	132	26.0	8	1.6	367	72.4
SAN DIEGO	449	105	23.4	11	2.4	333	74.2
SAN FRANCISCO	75	18	24.0	2	2.7	55	73.3
SAN JOAQUIN	110	12	10.9	2	1.8	96	87.3
SAN LUIS OBISPO	36	4	11.1	0	0.0	32	88.9
SAN MATEO	84	21	25.0	1	1.2	62	73.8
SANTA BARBARA	45	6	13.3	1	2.2	38	84.4
SANTA CLARA	125	18	14.4	1	0.8	106	84.8
SANTA CRUZ	35	3	8.6	0	0.0	32	91.4
SHASTA	27	11	40.7	0	0.0	16	59.3
SISKIYOU	9	2	22.2	0	0.0	7	77.8
SOLANO	57	13	22.8	0	0.0	44	77.2
SONOMA	52	5	9.6	2	3.8	45	86.5
STANISLAUS	71	17	23.9	2	2.8	52	73.2
SUTTER	10	3	30.0	0	0.0	7	70.0
TEHAMA	17	5	29.4	2	11.8	10	58.8
TRINITY	7	2	28.6	1	14.3	4	57.1
TULARE	132	12	9.1	2	1.5	118	89.4
TUOLUMNE	11	3	27.3	1	9.1	7	63.6
VENTURA	100	19	19.0	1	1.0	80	80.0
YOLO	43	15	34.9	0	0.0	28	65.1
YUBA	12	4	33.3	0	0.0	8	66.7

*These cases include only arrestees whose accidents showed alcohol or drug-impaired sobriety codes.

TABLE 24: 1-, 3- AND 6-YEAR TOTAL, FATAL/INJURY AND ALCOHOL-RELATED ACCIDENT MEANS BY OFFENDER STATUS

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL ACCIDENTS			FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS			ALCOHOL-RELATED ACCIDENTS		
	1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1994 ARRESTEES)	3-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1992 ARRESTEES)	6-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1989 ARRESTEES)	1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1994 ARRESTEES)	3-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1992 ARRESTEES)	6-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1989 ARRESTEES)	1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1994 ARRESTEES)	3-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1992 ARRESTEES)	6-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1989 ARRESTEES)
ALL	.0382	.1161	.2664	.0137	.0429	.0989	.0113	.0323	.0703
1ST DUI	.0426	.1241	.2778	.0148	.0445	.1000	.0107	.0295	.0631
2ND DUI	.0312	.1051	.2684	.0122	.0409	.1022	.0131	.0356	.0787
3RD DUI	.0248	.0932	.2053	.0105	.0393	.0865	.0128	.0450	.0875
4TH+ DUI	.0157	.0794	.1943	.0072	.0334	.0870	.0077	.0390	.1014

DATA SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS

DUI Arrest Data:
Arrest data are reported to the Department of Justice (DOJ), Law Enforcement Information Center, by individual law enforcement agencies throughout the state. As such, these data are subject to reporting errors such as incorrect names, birthdates or arrest dates. Nonreporting of arrest data due to error or omission can also occur; for example, in 1995 the Oakland Police Department reported no DUI arrests, after reporting 960 such arrests in 1994. In addition, when data are entered into DOJ's Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system, only the highest-order offense is included. Therefore, in cases where a DUI arrest is made in conjunction with, for example, an auto theft arrest, that DUI arrest will not be included in the database. This results in a slight but systematic underreporting of the number of DUI arrests annually.

DUI Conviction Data:
Abstracts of conviction for DUI and other traffic-related offenses are reported to the DMV by courts throughout the state. As abstracts are received (either hard copy, magnetic tape or through direct electronic access from the courts) they are entered onto the DMV driver record database. Abstracts without an identifying driver license number are run through the automated name index (ANI) system in order to match the abstract with an existing driver record; in cases where no such match can be made, an "X" numbered record is created to store the abstract. The total number of DUI abstracts of conviction received by DMV from the courts is tallied monthly and annually. Since this workload total includes abstracts which amend, correct or dismiss prior abstracts of conviction, it tends to overestimate the actual number of convictions which have occurred. Conviction data are also subject to reporting and nonreporting errors similar to those for DUI arrests. For example, the 1993 Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System documented that thousands of DUI convictions showing in court records do not appear on the DMV driver record database.

Alcohol-Involved Accident Data:
Accident data are reported to the California Highway Patrol (CHP) by local law enforcement agencies and district offices of the CHP. As such, these data are subject to reporting and nonreporting errors similar to those occurring in both DUI arrest and conviction data. While most local law enforcement agencies will investigate and file reports on accidents involving injury or death, the investigation and reporting of property-damage-only accidents varies widely by local jurisdiction. Data are entered onto CHP's Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS) and output in annual published reports.

REFERENCES

California Department of Finance. (1991). California statistical abstract, 1991. Sacramento, CA: Author.

California Department of Motor Vehicles. (1984-87). An evaluation of the California drunk driving countermeasure system. Volumes 1-8. Sacramento, CA: Author.

California Highway Patrol. (1995). 1994 annual report of fatal and injury motor vehicle traffic collisions. Sacramento, CA: Author.

Helander, C. J. (1989). Development of a California DUI management information system. Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1992). Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California (Report No. 134). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1994). 1994 Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California (Report No. 143). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1995). 1995 annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California (Report No. 145). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1996). 1996 annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California (Report No. 159). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., Marowitz, L. A., DeYoung, D. J., & Helander, C. J. (1993). Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California (Report No. 138). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

GLOSSARY

ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE (APS)

Administrative per se ("on-the-spot") license suspension or revocation occurs immediately pursuant to lawful arrest of a person driving with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of 0.08% or more, or one who refuses a chemical test. Upon arrest, the driver's license is immediately confiscated by the law enforcement officer and an order of suspension or revocation served. The driver is issued a temporary license and allowed due process through administrative review. In July 1990, California became the 28th state to implement APS. In January 1994, California enacted a "zero tolerance" statute which requires the administrative suspension of any driver under age 21 with a BAC of 0.01% or greater, or who refuses to be tested.

ALCOHOL-INVOLVED ACCIDENT

Alcohol-involved accidents are those in which the investigating law enforcement officer indicates on the accident report that the driver "had been drinking (HBD)." Accidents involving drivers who are determined to be under the influence of drugs other than alcohol (typically less than 1% of all accidents) are also included in the alcohol-involved accident category.

ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS DRIVING

Commonly called a "wet" reckless, alcohol-related reckless driving refers to an arrest/conviction incident which originated as a DUI arrest. DUI arrests involving drugs which are reduced to reckless driving are also referred to as alcohol-involved or "wet" reckless driving. "Wet" reckless convictions count as priors for the purposes of enhanced penalties upon subsequent conviction of DUI.

ALPHA

Alpha is the investigator's acceptable risk or probability level of making a Type 1 error (generally chosen to be small–e.g., 1% or .01, 5% or .05). There is always some risk of a Type 1 error, so alpha cannot be zero. Alpha is also called the significance level, because it is the criterion for claiming statistical significance.

BAC

Blood alcohol concentration, or BAC, is a measure of the percent, by weight, of alcohol in a person's blood. Statutorily, BAC is based upon grams of alcohol per 100 milliliters of blood or per 210 liters of breath.

CONVICTION

Conviction of an offense, as used in this report, refers to the receipt by DMV of a court abstract of conviction. In a small proportion of cases, an offender may be

convicted of an offense but that conviction is not reported to DMV. Such cases would functionally be treated by DMV as though the offender had not been convicted. Because convictions can be amended, corrected, dismissed or simply not reported at all, the conviction totals reported herein are dynamic and subject to change.

COVARIATE

A variable used to statistically adjust the results of an analysis for differences (on that variable) existing among subjects prior to the comparison of treatment effects.

DUI

DUI is an acronym for "driving under the influence" of alcohol and/or drugs, a violation of Sections 23152 or 23153 of the California Vehicle Code.

LOGISTIC REGRESSION

Logistic regression analysis is a statistical procedure evaluating the linear relationship between various factors and the occurrence or nonoccurrence of an outcome event. In this study, the procedure was used to explain the relationship between the various sanctions and the proportion of DUI offenders who incurred accidents and/or DUI incidents.

MAJOR CONVICTION

Major convictions include primarily DUI convictions, but also reckless driving and hit-and-run convictions.

p

p stands for probability. For example, if $p<.05$, the probability is less than 5 chances in 100 that the difference you found is by chance alone.

QUASI-EXPERIMENTAL DESIGNS

Quasi-experimental designs refer to analyses where the comparison groups are not equivalent on characteristics other than the treatment conditions because random assignment was not used. Caution should be excercised when interpreting the results because of possible confounding of group bias with treatment effects. Covariates are used to statistically reduce group differences prior to the comparison of treatment effects.

SIGNIFICANT (STATISTICALLY)

If the result of a statistical test is significant, this means that the difference found is very unlikely by chance alone. How unlikely is determined by alpha.

APPENDIX A

Assembly Bill No. 757

CHAPTER 450

An act to add Section 1821 to the Vehicle Code. relating to driving offenses.

(Approved by Governor September 14, 1989. Filed with Secretary of State September 15, 1989.)

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 757, Friedman. Driving offenses: intervention programs: evaluation.

Under existing law, the Department of Motor Vehicles maintains records of driver's offenses reported by the courts. Including violations of the prohibitions against driving while under the influence of an alcoholic beverage, any drug, or both, driving with an excessive blood-alcohol concentration, or driving while addicted to any drug.

This bill would, additionally, require the department to establish and maintain a data and monitoring system, as specified, to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of those violations relating to alcohol and drugs, and to report thereon annually to the Legislature.

The bill would declare legislative findings.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. The Legislature finds and declares as follows:

(a) Drivers under the influence of drugs or alcohol continue to present a grave danger to the citizens of this state.

(b) The Legislature has taken stern action to deter this crime and punish its offenders and has provided a range of sanctions available to the courts to use at their discretion.

(c) No system exists to monitor and evaluate the efficacy of these measures or to determine the achievement of the Legislature's goals.

(d) This lack of accurate and up-to-date comprehensive statistics hampers the ability of the Legislature to make informed and timely policy decisions.

(e) It is essential that the Legislature acquire this information, from available resources, as soon as practicable, and that this information be updated and transmitted annually to the Legislature.

SEC. 2. Section 1821 is added to the Vehicle Code, to read:

1821: The department shall establish and maintain a data and monitoring system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of violations of Section 23152 or 23153.

The system may include a recidivism tracking system. The recidivism tracking system may include, but not be limited to, jail sentencing, license restriction, license suspension. Level I (first offender) and II (multiple offender) alcohol and drug education and treatment program assignment, alcohol and drug education treatment program readmission and dropout rates, adjudicating court, length of jail term, actual jail or alternative sentence served, type of treatment program assigned, actual program compliance status, subsequent accidents related to driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs, and subsequent convictions of violations of Section 23152 or 23153.

The department shall submit an annual report of its evaluations to the Legislature. The evaluations shall include a ranking of the relative efficacy of criminal penalties, other sanctions, and intervention programs and the various combinations thereof.

❍

APPENDIX B

TABLE B1: 1995 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE		198982	174757	87.8	24225	12.2	85170	42.8	90497	45.5	12610	6.3	10705	5.4
ALAMEDA	UNDER 18	44	36	81.8	8	18.2	19	43.2	12	27.3	12	27.3	1	2.3
	18-20	315	283	89.8	32	10.2	132	41.9	113	35.9	38	12.1	32	10.2
	21-30	2008	1720	85.7	288	14.3	748	37.3	632	31.5	342	17.0	286	14.2
	31-40	1831	1538	84.0	293	16.0	823	44.9	379	20.7	346	18.9	283	15.5
	41-50	893	740	82.9	153	17.1	436	48.8	156	17.5	176	19.7	125	14.0
	51-60	345	283	82.0	62	18.0	171	49.6	56	16.2	73	21.2	45	13.0
	61-70	131	120	91.6	11	8.4	71	54.2	8	6.1	37	28.2	15	11.5
	71 & ABOVE	29	26	89.7	3	10.3	20	69.0	3	10.3	3	10.3	3	10.3
	TOTAL	5596	4746	84.8	850	15.2	2420	43.2	1359	24.3	1027	18.4	790	14.1
ALPINE	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0
	18-20	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	16	12	75.0	4	25.0	11	68.8	2	12.5	1	6.3	2	12.5
	31-40	24	21	87.5	3	12.5	20	83.3	1	4.2	1	4.2	2	8.3
	41-50	21	19	90.5	2	9.5	19	90.5	1	4.8	1	4.8	0	0.0
	51-60	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	5	83.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	16.7
	TOTAL	72	62	86.1	10	13.9	59	81.9	4	5.6	3	4.2	6	8.3
AMADOR	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	46	43	93.5	3	6.5	38	82.6	6	13.0	0	0.0	2	4.3
	31-40	72	62	86.1	10	13.9	67	93.1	3	4.2	0	0.0	2	2.8
	41-50	43	33	76.7	10	23.3	40	93.0	3	7.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	18	14	77.8	4	22.2	15	83.3	3	16.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	9	6	66.7	3	33.3	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	197	167	84.8	30	15.2	178	90.4	15	7.6	0	0.0	4	2.0
BUTTE	UNDER 18	22	16	72.7	6	27.3	21	95.5	1	4.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	120	103	85.8	17	14.2	86	71.7	28	23.3	1	0.8	5	4.2
	21-30	478	410	85.8	68	14.2	326	68.2	138	28.9	7	1.5	7	1.5
	31-40	330	275	83.3	55	16.7	271	82.1	43	13.0	8	2.4	8	2.4
	41-50	230	194	84.3	36	15.7	198	86.1	22	9.6	5	2.2	5	2.2
	51-60	76	58	76.3	18	23.7	71	93.4	3	3.9	1	1.3	1	1.3
	61-70	23	19	82.6	4	17.4	17	73.9	3	13.0	1	4.3	2	8.7
	71 & ABOVE	14	12	85.7	2	14.3	13	92.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	7.1
	TOTAL	1293	1087	84.1	206	15.9	1003	77.6	238	18.4	23	1.8	29	2.2

TABLE B1: 1995 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
CALAVERAS	UNDER 18	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	4	80.0	1	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	10	9	90.0	1	10.0	9	90.0	1	10.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	64	49	76.6	15	23.4	57	89.1	4	6.3	0	0.0	3	4.7
	31-40	95	77	81.1	18	18.9	84	88.4	10	10.5	0	0.0	1	1.1
	41-50	87	75	86.2	12	13.8	82	94.3	4	4.6	1	1.1	0	0.0
	51-60	33	31	93.9	2	6.1	31	93.9	2	6.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	10	7	70.0	3	30.0	10	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	5	3	60.0	2	40.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	309	255	82.5	54	17.5	282	91.3	22	7.1	1	0.3	4	1.3
COLUSA	UNDER 18	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	2	50.0	2	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	26	24	92.3	2	7.7	7	26.9	19	73.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	111	105	94.6	6	5.4	43	38.7	63	56.8	1	0.9	4	3.6
	31-40	83	77	92.8	6	7.2	40	48.2	39	47.0	2	2.4	2	2.4
	41-50	61	54	88.5	7	11.5	36	59.0	18	29.5	4	6.6	3	4.9
	51-60	21	21	100.0	0	0.0	13	61.9	8	38.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	14	13	92.9	1	7.1	11	78.6	3	21.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	323	300	92.9	23	7.1	155	48.0	152	47.1	7	2.2	9	2.8
CONTRA	UNDER 18	44	38	86.4	6	13.6	27	61.4	13	29.5	3	6.8	1	2.3
COSTA	18-20	254	233	91.7	21	8.3	143	56.3	74	29.1	19	7.5	18	7.1
	21-30	1337	1153	86.2	184	13.8	714	53.4	410	30.7	150	11.2	63	4.7
	31-40	1238	1011	81.7	227	18.3	733	59.2	223	18.0	202	16.3	80	6.5
	41-50	705	583	82.7	122	17.3	439	62.3	106	15.0	122	17.3	38	5.4
	51-60	278	244	87.8	34	12.2	184	66.2	33	11.9	47	16.9	14	5.0
	61-70	105	95	90.5	10	9.5	67	63.8	8	7.6	24	22.9	6	5.7
	71 & ABOVE	29	26	89.7	3	10.3	21	72.4	0	0.0	8	27.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	3990	3383	84.8	607	15.2	2328	58.3	867	21.7	575	14.4	220	5.5
DEL NORTE	UNDER 18	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	21	18	85.7	3	14.3	15	71.4	1	4.8	0	0.0	5	23.8
	21-30	74	62	83.8	12	16.2	46	62.2	18	24.3	1	1.4	9	12.2
	31-40	104	81	77.9	23	22.1	86	82.7	9	8.7	0	0.0	9	8.7
	41-50	64	55	85.9	9	14.1	51	79.7	6	9.4	2	3.1	5	7.8
	51-60	20	18	90.0	2	10.0	17	85.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	15.0
	61-70	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	295	245	83.1	50	16.9	227	76.9	34	11.5	3	1.0	31	10.5

TABLE B1: 1995 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
EL DORADO	UNDER 18	7	5	71.4	2	28.6	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	75	64	85.3	11	14.7	68	90.7	7	9.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	374	311	83.2	63	16.8	304	81.3	57	15.2	2	0.5	11	2.9
	31-40	447	358	80.1	89	19.9	409	91.5	31	6.9	0	0.0	7	1.6
	41-50	242	199	82.2	43	17.8	226	93.4	10	4.1	3	1.2	3	1.2
	51-60	67	58	86.6	9	13.4	65	97.0	1	1.5	1	1.5	0	0.0
	61-70	32	32	100.0	0	0.0	32	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	12	9	75.0	3	25.0	11	91.7	0	0.0	1	8.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1256	1036	82.5	220	17.5	1122	89.3	106	8.4	7	0.6	21	1.7
FRESNO	UNDER 18	88	76	86.4	12	13.6	26	29.5	56	63.6	3	3.4	3	3.4
	18-20	521	484	92.9	37	7.1	109	20.9	392	75.2	11	2.1	9	1.7
	21-30	2805	2594	92.5	211	7.5	460	16.4	2205	78.6	77	2.7	63	2.2
	31-40	1954	1740	89.0	214	11.0	514	26.3	1313	67.2	69	3.5	58	3.0
	41-50	890	787	88.4	103	11.6	267	30.0	550	61.8	42	4.7	31	3.5
	51-60	235	212	90.2	23	9.8	81	34.5	129	54.9	18	7.7	7	3.0
	61-70	83	74	89.2	9	10.8	40	48.2	36	43.4	6	7.2	1	1.2
	71 & ABOVE	17	14	82.4	3	17.6	10	58.8	5	29.4	1	5.9	1	5.9
	TOTAL	6593	5981	90.7	612	9.3	1507	22.9	4686	71.1	227	3.4	173	2.6
GLENN	UNDER 18	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	1	33.3	2	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	30	29	96.7	1	3.3	15	50.0	15	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	112	101	90.2	11	9.8	48	42.9	62	55.4	0	0.0	2	1.8
	31-40	108	93	86.1	15	13.9	60	55.6	43	39.8	2	1.9	3	2.8
	41-50	58	52	89.7	6	10.3	46	79.3	9	15.5	2	3.4	1	1.7
	51-60	20	19	95.0	1	5.0	13	65.0	5	25.0	0	0.0	2	10.0
	61-70	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	6	75.0	2	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	339	305	90.0	34	10.0	189	55.8	138	40.7	4	1.2	8	2.4
HUMBOLDT	UNDER 18	26	18	69.2	8	30.8	21	80.8	1	3.8	0	0.0	4	15.4
	18-20	119	100	84.0	19	16.0	102	85.7	8	6.7	1	0.8	8	6.7
	21-30	436	364	83.5	72	16.5	356	81.7	32	7.3	8	1.8	40	9.2
	31-40	411	325	79.1	86	20.9	346	84.2	22	5.4	8	1.9	35	8.5
	41-50	228	177	77.6	51	22.4	207	90.8	6	2.6	0	0.0	15	6.6
	51-60	75	60	80.0	15	20.0	70	93.3	0	0.0	1	1.3	4	5.3
	61-70	27	24	88.9	3	11.1	23	85.2	1	3.7	0	0.0	3	11.1
	71 & ABOVE	11	9	81.8	2	18.2	11	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1333	1077	80.8	256	19.2	1136	85.2	70	5.3	18	1.4	109	8.2

TABLE B1: 1995 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
IMPERIAL	UNDER 18	16	14	87.5	2	12.5	3	18.8	13	81.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	93	84	90.3	9	9.7	16	17.2	71	76.3	2	2.2	4	4.3
	21-30	593	549	92.6	44	7.4	103	17.4	448	75.5	18	3.0	24	4.0
	31-40	656	596	90.9	60	9.1	129	19.7	483	73.6	21	3.2	23	3.5
	41-50	328	299	91.2	29	8.8	69	21.0	244	74.4	7	2.1	8	2.4
	51-60	142	137	96.5	5	3.5	39	27.5	94	66.2	5	3.5	4	2.8
	61-70	56	54	96.4	2	3.6	21	37.5	26	46.4	5	8.9	4	7.1
	71 & ABOVE	15	15	100.0	0	0.0	6	40.0	6	40.0	3	20.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1899	1748	92.0	151	8.0	386	20.3	1385	72.9	61	3.2	67	3.5
INYO	UNDER 18	3	1	33.3	2	66.7	2	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	33.3
	18-20	14	12	85.7	2	14.3	6	42.9	6	42.9	0	0.0	2	14.3
	21-30	88	81	92.0	7	8.0	55	62.5	20	22.7	0	0.0	13	14.8
	31-40	103	88	85.4	15	14.6	76	73.8	14	13.6	1	1.0	12	11.7
	41-50	51	45	88.2	6	11.8	43	84.3	4	7.8	0	0.0	4	7.8
	51-60	29	26	89.7	3	10.3	28	96.6	1	3.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	13	12	92.3	1	7.7	13	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	3	60.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	40.0
	TOTAL	306	270	88.2	36	11.8	226	73.9	45	14.7	1	0.3	34	11.1
KERN	UNDER 18	44	40	90.9	4	9.1	23	52.3	19	43.2	2	4.5	0	0.0
	18-20	311	283	91.0	28	9.0	105	33.8	195	62.7	9	2.9	2	0.6
	21-30	1575	1450	92.1	125	7.9	496	31.5	972	61.7	73	4.6	34	2.2
	31-40	1336	1176	88.0	160	12.0	533	39.9	704	52.7	76	5.7	23	1.7
	41-50	601	543	90.3	58	9.7	299	49.8	256	42.6	33	5.5	13	2.2
	51-60	220	197	89.5	23	10.5	131	59.5	68	30.9	17	7.7	4	1.8
	61-70	78	72	92.3	6	7.7	61	78.2	12	15.4	3	3.8	2	2.6
	71 & ABOVE	23	23	100.0	0	0.0	15	65.2	6	26.1	1	4.3	1	4.3
	TOTAL	4188	3784	90.4	404	9.6	1663	39.7	2232	53.3	214	5.1	79	1.9
KINGS	UNDER 18	14	13	92.9	1	7.1	4	28.6	10	71.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	100	89	89.0	11	11.0	40	40.0	58	58.0	2	2.0	0	0.0
	21-30	448	412	92.0	36	8.0	136	30.4	284	63.4	20	4.5	8	1.8
	31-40	284	248	87.3	36	12.7	93	32.7	156	54.9	26	9.2	9	3.2
	41-50	136	109	80.1	27	19.9	49	36.0	70	51.5	12	8.8	5	3.7
	51-60	44	40	90.9	4	9.1	17	38.6	20	45.5	6	13.6	1	2.3
	61-70	10	10	100.0	0	0.0	4	40.0	4	40.0	2	20.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1038	923	88.9	115	11.1	343	33.0	604	58.2	68	6.6	23	2.2

TABLE B1: 1995 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
LAKE	UNDER 18	14	12	85.7	2	14.3	12	85.7	1	7.1	1	7.1	0	0.0
	18-20	40	37	92.5	3	7.5	28	70.0	9	22.5	0	0.0	3	7.5
	21-30	158	134	84.8	24	15.2	116	73.4	33	20.9	3	1.9	6	3.8
	31-40	217	160	73.7	57	26.3	187	86.2	17	7.8	8	3.7	5	2.3
	41-50	133	108	81.2	25	18.8	116	87.2	8	6.0	4	3.0	5	3.8
	51-60	55	51	92.7	4	7.3	47	85.5	1	1.8	3	5.5	4	7.3
	61-70	31	27	87.1	4	12.9	29	93.5	0	0.0	2	6.5	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	6	75.0	1	12.5	1	12.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	656	537	81.9	119	18.1	541	82.5	70	10.7	22	3.4	23	3.5
LASSEN	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	14	10	71.4	4	28.6	12	85.7	1	7.1	0	0.0	1	7.1
	21-30	79	63	79.7	16	20.3	63	79.7	10	12.7	1	1.3	5	6.3
	31-40	73	63	86.3	10	13.7	59	80.8	8	11.0	2	2.7	4	5.5
	41-50	49	43	87.8	6	12.2	44	89.8	0	0.0	1	2.0	4	8.2
	51-60	17	15	88.2	2	11.8	17	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	6	85.7	1	14.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	241	202	83.8	39	16.2	203	84.2	20	8.3	4	1.7	14	5.8
LOS ANGELES	UNDER 18	225	197	87.6	28	12.4	82	36.4	122	54.2	11	4.9	10	4.4
	18-20	2574	2388	92.8	186	7.2	436	16.9	1869	72.6	129	5.0	140	5.4
	21-30	21067	19309	91.7	1758	8.3	3758	17.8	14848	70.5	1265	6.0	1196	5.7
	31-40	15636	14047	89.8	1589	10.2	3927	25.1	9044	57.8	1617	10.3	1048	6.7
	41-50	6837	6032	88.2	805	11.8	2099	30.7	3319	48.5	798	11.7	621	9.1
	51-60	2348	2132	90.8	216	9.2	868	37.0	902	38.4	396	16.9	182	7.8
	61-70	727	661	90.9	66	9.1	314	43.2	229	31.5	139	19.1	45	6.2
	71 & ABOVE	156	141	90.4	15	9.6	87	55.8	40	25.6	27	17.3	2	1.3
	TOTAL	49570	44907	90.6	4663	9.4	11571	23.3	30373	61.3	4382	8.8	3244	6.5
MADERA	UNDER 18	10	8	80.0	2	20.0	7	70.0	3	30.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	84	78	92.9	6	7.1	19	22.6	64	76.2	1	1.2	0	0.0
	21-30	451	434	96.2	17	3.8	69	15.3	369	81.8	7	1.6	6	1.3
	31-40	296	270	91.2	26	8.8	83	28.0	203	68.6	5	1.7	5	1.7
	41-50	115	101	87.8	14	12.2	47	40.9	60	52.2	4	3.5	4	3.5
	51-60	36	35	97.2	1	2.8	14	38.9	16	44.4	6	16.7	0	0.0
	61-70	26	23	88.5	3	11.5	15	57.7	10	38.5	0	0.0	1	3.8
	71 & ABOVE	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	3	42.9	3	42.9	1	14.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1025	955	93.2	70	6.8	257	25.1	728	71.0	24	2.3	16	1.6

TABLE B1: 1995 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
MARIN	UNDER 18	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	7	87.5	1	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	68	59	86.8	9	13.2	37	54.4	21	30.9	1	1.5	9	13.2
	21-30	527	427	81.0	100	19.0	296	56.2	164	31.1	16	3.0	51	9.7
	31-40	478	366	76.6	112	23.4	343	71.8	54	11.3	29	6.1	52	10.9
	41-50	306	215	70.3	91	29.7	237	77.5	22	7.2	5	1.6	42	13.7
	51-60	103	80	77.7	23	22.3	97	94.2	1	1.0	1	1.0	4	3.9
	61-70	41	33	80.5	8	19.5	38	92.7	1	2.4	2	4.9	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	11	11	100.0	0	0.0	9	81.8	1	9.1	0	0.0	1	9.1
	TOTAL	1542	1198	77.7	344	22.3	1064	69.0	265	17.2	54	3.5	159	10.3
MARIPOSA	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	6	6	100.0	0	0.0	5	83.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	16.7
	21-30	29	27	93.1	2	6.9	25	86.2	3	10.3	1	3.4	0	0.0
	31-40	21	16	76.2	5	23.8	17	81.0	2	9.5	0	0.0	2	9.5
	41-50	19	15	78.9	4	21.1	17	89.5	1	5.3	0	0.0	1	5.3
	51-60	8	4	50.0	4	50.0	6	75.0	2	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	7	5	71.4	2	28.6	5	71.4	1	14.3	0	0.0	1	14.3
	71 & ABOVE	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	92	75	81.5	17	18.5	77	83.7	9	9.8	1	1.1	5	5.4
MENDOCINO	UNDER 18	16	16	100.0	0	0.0	12	75.0	1	6.3	0	0.0	3	18.8
	18-20	63	55	87.3	8	12.7	36	57.1	21	33.3	0	0.0	6	9.5
	21-30	289	255	88.2	34	11.8	165	57.1	111	38.4	2	0.7	11	3.8
	31-40	280	222	79.3	58	20.7	215	76.8	45	16.1	4	1.4	16	5.7
	41-50	148	128	86.5	20	13.5	127	85.8	11	7.4	1	0.7	9	6.1
	51-60	49	45	91.8	4	8.2	45	91.8	3	6.1	1	2.0	0	0.0
	61-70	26	22	84.6	4	15.4	24	92.3	0	0.0	1	3.8	1	3.8
	71 & ABOVE	9	8	88.9	1	11.1	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	880	751	85.3	129	14.7	633	71.9	192	21.8	9	1.0	46	5.2
MERCED	UNDER 18	19	18	94.7	1	5.3	10	52.6	6	31.6	2	10.5	1	5.3
	18-20	152	139	91.4	13	8.6	23	15.1	118	77.6	5	3.3	6	3.9
	21-30	878	817	93.1	61	6.9	174	19.8	645	73.5	34	3.9	25	2.8
	31-40	658	580	88.1	78	11.9	176	26.7	416	63.2	47	7.1	19	2.9
	41-50	294	259	88.1	35	11.9	94	32.0	169	57.5	21	7.1	10	3.4
	51-60	115	111	96.5	4	3.5	46	40.0	58	50.4	9	7.8	2	1.7
	61-70	45	43	95.6	2	4.4	23	51.1	17	37.8	3	6.7	2	4.4
	71 & ABOVE	13	13	100.0	0	0.0	8	61.5	4	30.8	1	7.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2174	1980	91.1	194	8.9	554	25.5	1433	65.9	122	5.6	65	3.0

TABLE B1: 1995 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
MODOC	UNDER 18	3	2	66.7	1	33.3	2	66.7	1	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	6	4	66.7	2	33.3	5	83.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	16.7
	21-30	21	18	85.7	3	14.3	17	81.0	3	14.3	0	0.0	1	4.8
	31-40	19	15	78.9	4	21.1	14	73.7	2	10.5	0	0.0	3	15.8
	41-50	21	16	76.2	5	23.8	16	76.2	3	14.3	1	4.8	1	4.8
	51-60	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	81	65	80.2	16	19.8	65	80.2	9	11.1	1	1.2	6	7.4
MONO	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	6	4	66.7	2	33.3	5	83.3	1	16.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	37	36	97.3	1	2.7	14	37.8	6	16.2	0	0.0	17	45.9
	31-40	39	33	84.6	6	15.4	23	59.0	3	7.7	0	0.0	13	33.3
	41-50	30	27	90.0	3	10.0	15	50.0	2	6.7	0	0.0	13	43.3
	51-60	9	8	88.9	1	11.1	5	55.6	1	11.1	0	0.0	3	33.3
	61-70	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	2	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	50.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	2	66.7	1	33.3	1	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	66.7
	TOTAL	129	115	89.1	14	10.9	66	51.2	13	10.1	0	0.0	50	38.8
MONTEREY	UNDER 18	51	49	96.1	2	3.9	16	31.4	35	68.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	341	319	93.5	22	6.5	76	22.3	255	74.8	3	0.9	7	2.1
	21-30	1607	1468	91.4	139	8.6	340	21.2	1188	73.9	44	2.7	35	2.2
	31-40	1138	976	85.8	162	14.2	428	37.6	601	52.8	62	5.4	47	4.1
	41-50	532	435	81.8	97	18.2	281	52.8	211	39.7	23	4.3	17	3.2
	51-60	169	147	87.0	22	13.0	107	63.3	50	29.6	6	3.6	6	3.6
	61-70	72	65	90.3	7	9.7	44	61.1	19	26.4	7	9.7	2	2.8
	71 & ABOVE	21	14	66.7	7	33.3	14	66.7	6	28.6	1	4.8	0	0.0
	TOTAL	3931	3473	88.3	458	11.7	1306	33.2	2365	60.2	146	3.7	114	2.9
NAPA	UNDER 18	16	12	75.0	4	25.0	10	62.5	6	37.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	74	66	89.2	8	10.8	40	54.1	32	43.2	2	2.7	0	0.0
	21-30	373	336	90.1	37	9.9	173	46.4	195	52.3	3	0.8	2	0.5
	31-40	280	223	79.6	57	20.4	186	66.4	87	31.1	5	1.8	2	0.7
	41-50	157	135	86.0	22	14.0	115	73.2	33	21.0	7	4.5	2	1.3
	51-60	65	54	83.1	11	16.9	57	87.7	7	10.8	0	0.0	1	1.5
	61-70	36	32	88.9	4	11.1	31	86.1	5	13.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	10	9	90.0	1	10.0	10	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1011	867	85.8	144	14.2	622	61.5	365	36.1	17	1.7	7	0.7

TABLE B1: 1995 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
NEVADA	UNDER 18	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	2	66.7	1	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	48	42	87.5	6	12.5	41	85.4	5	10.4	1	2.1	1	2.1
	21-30	171	146	85.4	25	14.6	145	84.8	21	12.3	3	1.8	2	1.2
	31-40	203	160	78.8	43	21.2	181	89.2	13	6.4	7	3.4	2	1.0
	41-50	160	134	83.8	26	16.2	154	96.3	3	1.9	1	0.6	2	1.2
	51-60	42	32	76.2	10	23.8	41	97.6	0	0.0	1	2.4	0	0.0
	61-70	15	13	86.7	2	13.3	13	86.7	1	6.7	1	6.7	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	6	6	100.0	0	0.0	5	83.3	0	0.0	1	16.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	648	536	82.7	112	17.3	582	89.8	44	6.8	15	2.3	7	1.1
ORANGE	UNDER 18	70	58	82.9	12	17.1	42	60.0	24	34.3	1	1.4	3	4.3
	18-20	850	749	88.1	101	11.9	389	45.8	392	46.1	14	1.6	55	6.5
	21-30	6980	6203	88.9	777	11.1	2671	38.3	3684	52.8	110	1.6	515	7.4
	31-40	4742	4107	86.6	635	13.4	2269	47.8	1970	41.5	117	2.5	386	8.1
	41-50	2178	1817	83.4	361	16.6	1241	57.0	647	29.7	65	3.0	225	10.3
	51-60	777	668	86.0	109	14.0	531	68.3	164	21.1	9	1.2	73	9.4
	61-70	252	224	88.9	28	11.1	179	71.0	53	21.0	5	2.0	15	6.0
	71 & ABOVE	76	65	85.5	11	14.5	64	84.2	8	10.5	0	0.0	4	5.3
	TOTAL	15925	13891	87.2	2034	12.8	7386	46.4	6942	43.6	321	2.0	1276	8.0
PLACER	UNDER 18	21	17	81.0	4	19.0	19	90.5	2	9.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	108	101	93.5	7	6.5	98	90.7	8	7.4	1	0.9	1	0.9
	21-30	600	516	86.0	84	14.0	506	84.3	77	12.8	9	1.5	8	1.3
	31-40	504	390	77.4	114	22.6	442	87.7	49	9.7	8	1.6	5	1.0
	41-50	264	215	81.4	49	18.6	240	90.9	21	8.0	1	0.4	2	0.8
	51-60	92	82	89.1	10	10.9	78	84.8	11	12.0	2	2.2	1	1.1
	61-70	38	33	86.8	5	13.2	36	94.7	1	2.6	1	2.6	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	9	7	77.8	2	22.2	8	88.9	0	0.0	1	11.1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1636	1361	83.2	275	16.8	1427	87.2	169	10.3	23	1.4	17	1.0
PLUMAS	UNDER 18	5	3	60.0	2	40.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	10	10	100.0	0	0.0	9	90.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	10.0
	21-30	42	36	85.7	6	14.3	38	90.5	3	7.1	0	0.0	1	2.4
	31-40	58	48	82.8	10	17.2	49	84.5	3	5.2	4	6.9	2	3.4
	41-50	33	28	84.8	5	15.2	30	90.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	9.1
	51-60	16	12	75.0	4	25.0	16	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	14	10	71.4	4	28.6	13	92.9	0	0.0	1	7.1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	178	147	82.6	31	17.4	160	89.9	6	3.4	5	2.8	7	3.9

TABLE B1: 1995 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
RIVERSIDE	UNDER 18	105	91	86.7	14	13.3	55	52.4	45	42.9	3	2.9	2	1.9
	18-20	659	611	92.7	48	7.3	251	38.1	370	56.1	22	3.3	16	2.4
	21-30	3382	3042	89.9	340	10.1	1131	33.4	1930	57.1	211	6.2	110	3.3
	31-40	2797	2429	86.8	368	13.2	1247	44.6	1282	45.8	172	6.1	96	3.4
	41-50	1410	1186	84.1	224	15.9	751	53.3	491	34.8	112	7.9	56	4.0
	51-60	575	508	88.3	67	11.7	370	64.3	133	23.1	43	7.5	29	5.0
	61-70	200	173	86.5	27	13.5	144	72.0	32	16.0	10	5.0	14	7.0
	71 & ABOVE	62	52	83.9	10	16.1	51	82.3	7	11.3	3	4.8	1	1.6
	TOTAL	9190	8092	88.1	1098	11.9	4000	43.5	4290	46.7	576	6.3	324	3.5
SACRAMENTO	UNDER 18	53	45	84.9	8	15.1	34	64.2	12	22.6	3	5.7	4	7.5
	18-20	427	365	85.5	62	14.5	278	65.1	102	23.9	36	8.4	11	2.6
	21-30	2925	2479	84.8	446	15.2	1834	62.7	678	23.2	313	10.7	100	3.4
	31-40	2186	1741	79.6	445	20.4	1492	68.3	334	15.3	286	13.1	74	3.4
	41-50	1086	909	83.7	177	16.3	753	69.3	148	13.6	145	13.4	40	3.7
	51-60	361	321	88.	40	11.1	253	70.1	48	13.3	44	12.2	16	4.4
	61-70	144	123	85.4	21	14.6	101	70.1	22	15.3	18	12.5	3	2.1
	71 & ABOVE	43	40	93.0	3	7.0	31	72.1	5	11.6	5	11.6	2	4.7
	TOTAL	7225	6023	83.4	1202	16.6	4776	66.1	1349	18.7	850	11.8	250	3.5
SAN BENITO	UNDER 18	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	1	25.0	3	75.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	28	28	100.0	0	0.0	6	21.4	19	67.9	2	7.1	1	3.6
	21-30	127	119	93.7	8	6.3	18	14.2	107	84.3	0	0.0	2	1.6
	31-40	91	78	85.7	13	14.3	29	31.9	60	65.9	0	0.0	2	2.2
	41-50	41	37	90.2	4	9.8	21	51.2	20	48.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	17	14	82.4	3	17.6	10	58.8	6	35.3	0	0.0	1	5.9
	61-70	9	9	100.0	0	0.0	4	44.4	5	55.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	317	288	90.9	29	9.1	89	28.1	220	69.4	2	0.6	6	1.9
SAN BERNARDINO	UNDER 18	68	58	85.3	10	14.7	33	48.5	29	42.6	4	5.9	2	2.9
	18-20	638	596	93.4	42	6.6	265	41.5	325	50.9	21	3.3	27	4.2
	21-30	4070	3707	91.1	363	8.9	1313	32.3	2357	57.9	233	5.7	167	4.1
	31-40	3235	2840	87.8	395	12.2	1318	40.7	1461	45.2	315	9.7	141	4.4
	41-50	1661	1440	86.7	221	13.3	865	52.1	579	34.9	139	8.4	78	4.7
	51-60	574	515	89.7	59	10.3	318	55.4	170	29.6	54	9.4	32	5.6
	61-70	176	162	92.0	14	8.0	106	60.2	40	22.7	20	11.4	10	5.7
	71 & ABOVE	52	49	94.2	3	5.8	36	69.2	8	15.4	6	11.5	2	3.8
	TOTAL	10474	9367	89.4	1107	10.6	4254	40.6	4969	47.4	792	7.6	459	4.4

TABLE B1: 1995 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
SAN DIEGO	UNDER 18	122	102	83.6	20	16.4	71	58.2	44	36.1	6	4.9	1	0.8
	18-20	1100	964	87.6	136	12.4	589	53.5	430	39.1	45	4.1	36	3.3
	21-30	6587	5771	87.6	816	12.4	3315	50.3	2630	39.9	421	6.4	221	3.4
	31-40	4546	3866	85.0	680	15.0	2421	53.3	1631	35.9	328	7.2	166	3.7
	41-50	2125	1782	83.9	343	16.1	1343	63.2	588	27.7	126	5.9	68	3.2
	51-60	761	667	87.6	94	12.4	512	67.3	170	22.3	48	6.3	31	4.1
	61-70	221	194	87.8	27	12.2	155	70.1	53	24.0	9	4.1	4	1.8
	71 & ABOVE	68	59	86.8	9	13.2	53	77.9	12	17.6	2	2.9	1	1.5
	TOTAL	15530	13405	86.3	2125	13.7	8459	54.5	5558	35.8	985	6.3	528	3.4
SAN FRANCISCO	UNDER 18	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	2	33.3	1	16.7	0	0.0	3	50.0
	18-20	55	51	92.7	4	7.3	18	32.7	15	27.3	10	18.2	12	21.8
	21-30	573	479	83.6	94	16.4	300	52.4	113	19.7	65	11.3	95	16.6
	31-40	474	399	84.2	75	15.8	273	57.6	66	13.9	62	13.1	73	15.4
	41-50	233	193	82.8	40	17.2	147	63.1	12	5.2	38	16.3	36	15.5
	51-60	59	52	88.1	7	11.9	35	59.3	4	6.8	13	22.0	7	11.9
	61-70	28	27	96.4	1	3.6	14	50.0	1	3.6	9	32.1	4	14.3
	71 & ABOVE	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	5	62.5	0	0.0	3	37.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1436	1213	84.5	223	15.5	794	55.3	212	14.8	200	13.9	230	16.0
SAN JOAQUIN	UNDER 18	45	35	77.8	10	22.2	18	40.0	25	55.6	1	2.2	1	2.2
	18-20	292	270	92.5	22	7.5	110	37.7	165	56.5	7	2.4	10	3.4
	21-30	1589	1431	90.1	158	9.9	544	34.2	910	57.3	63	4.0	72	4.5
	31-40	1184	1051	88.8	133	11.2	524	44.3	538	45.4	78	6.6	44	3.7
	41-50	656	567	86.4	89	13.6	366	55.8	210	32.0	49	7.5	31	4.7
	51-60	242	214	88.4	28	11.6	158	65.3	56	23.1	19	7.9	9	3.7
	61-70	90	84	93.3	6	6.7	47	52.2	33	36.7	7	7.8	3	3.3
	71 & ABOVE	24	21	87.5	3	12.5	18	75.0	3	12.5	3	12.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	4122	3673	89.1	449	10.9	1785	43.3	1940	47.1	227	5.5	170	4.1
SAN LUIS OBISPO	UNDER 18	22	16	72.7	6	27.3	16	72.7	6	27.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	205	181	88.3	24	11.7	147	71.7	57	27.8	0	0.0	1	0.5
	21-30	808	699	86.5	109	13.5	502	62.1	280	34.7	13	1.6	13	1.6
	31-40	686	572	83.4	114	16.6	503	73.3	159	23.2	17	2.5	7	1.0
	41-50	366	296	80.9	70	19.1	296	80.9	51	13.9	11	3.0	8	2.2
	51-60	117	99	84.6	18	15.4	87	74.4	24	20.5	5	4.3	1	0.9
	61-70	40	35	87.5	5	12.5	34	85.0	3	7.5	1	2.5	2	5.0
	71 & ABOVE	20	17	85.0	3	15.0	19	95.0	1	5.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2264	1915	84.6	349	15.4	1604	70.8	581	25.7	47	2.1	32	1.4

TABLE B1: 1995 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
SAN MATEO	UNDER 18	39	31	79.5	8	20.5	23	59.0	11	28.2	2	5.1	3	7.7
	18-20	224	194	86.6	30	13.4	74	33.0	108	48.2	18	8.0	24	10.7
	21-30	1545	1336	86.5	209	13.5	647	41.9	683	44.2	62	4.0	153	9.9
	31-40	1383	1146	82.9	237	17.1	711	51.4	363	26.2	141	10.2	168	12.1
	41-50	698	580	83.1	118	16.9	435	62.3	114	16.3	64	9.2	85	12.2
	51-60	245	209	85.3	36	14.7	164	66.9	36	14.7	21	8.6	24	9.8
	61-70	99	90	90.9	9	9.1	75	75.8	7	7.1	13	13.1	4	4.0
	71 & ABOVE	21	20	95.2	1	4.8	15	71.4	1	4.8	3	14.3	2	9.5
	TOTAL	4254	3606	84.8	648	15.2	2144	50.4	1323	31.1	324	7.6	463	10.9
SANTA BARBARA	UNDER 18	57	49	86.0	8	14.0	31	54.4	24	42.1	2	3.5	0	0.0
	18-20	275	222	80.7	53	19.3	158	57.5	101	36.7	4	1.5	12	4.4
	21-30	1439	1240	86.2	199	13.8	587	40.8	779	54.1	34	2.4	39	2.7
	31-40	959	780	81.3	179	18.7	505	52.7	382	39.8	48	5.0	24	2.5
	41-50	442	359	81.2	83	18.8	287	64.9	126	28.5	13	2.9	16	3.6
	51-60	156	127	81.4	29	18.6	104	66.7	39	25.0	10	6.4	3	1.9
	61-70	56	48	85.7	8	14.3	39	69.6	14	25.0	2	3.6	1	1.8
	71 & ABOVE	18	14	77.8	4	22.2	16	88.9	1	5.6	1	5.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	3402	2839	83.5	563	16.5	1727	50.8	1466	43.1	114	3.4	95	2.8
SANTA CLARA	UNDER 18	51	43	84.3	8	15.7	24	47.1	21	41.2	3	5.9	3	5.9
	18-20	405	379	93.6	26	6.4	118	29.1	257	63.5	14	3.5	16	4.0
	21-30	3419	3042	89.0	377	11.0	1120	32.8	1864	54.5	112	3.3	323	9.4
	31-40	2910	2499	85.9	411	14.1	1275	43.8	1165	40.0	153	5.3	317	10.9
	41-50	1314	1110	84.5	204	15.5	669	50.9	414	31.5	71	5.4	160	12.2
	51-60	438	389	88.8	49	11.2	241	55.0	142	32.4	24	5.5	31	7.1
	61-70	123	114	92.7	9	7.3	80	65.0	30	24.4	4	3.3	9	7.3
	71 & ABOVE	25	24	96.0	1	4.0	17	68.0	5	20.0	1	4.0	2	8.0
	TOTAL	8685	7600	87.5	1085	12.5	3544	40.8	3898	44.9	382	4.4	861	9.9
SANTA CRUZ	UNDER 18	37	31	83.8	6	16.2	21	56.8	13	35.1	1	2.7	2	5.4
	18-20	216	188	87.0	28	13.0	102	47.2	107	49.5	5	2.3	2	0.9
	21-30	924	814	88.1	110	11.9	390	42.2	507	54.9	8	0.9	19	2.1
	31-40	722	604	83.7	118	16.3	441	61.1	260	36.0	10	1.4	11	1.5
	41-50	412	336	81.6	76	18.4	294	71.4	103	25.0	9	2.2	6	1.5
	51-60	101	84	83.2	17	16.8	73	72.3	26	25.7	1	1.0	1	1.0
	61-70	24	20	83.3	4	16.7	19	79.2	4	16.7	0	0.0	1	4.2
	71 & ABOVE	8	6	75.0	2	25.0	7	87.5	1	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2444	2083	85.2	361	14.8	1347	55.1	1021	41.8	34	1.4	42	1.7

TABLE B1: 1995 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
SHASTA	UNDER 18	16	14	87.5	2	12.5	14	87.5	0	0.0	2	12.5	0	0.0
	18-20	71	58	81.7	13	18.3	63	88.7	4	5.6	0	0.0	4	5.6
	21-30	302	251	83.1	51	16.9	272	90.1	19	6.3	2	0.7	9	3.0
	31-40	347	290	83.6	57	16.4	318	91.6	20	5.8	3	0.9	6	1.7
	41-50	211	179	84.8	32	15.2	193	91.5	9	4.3	0	0.0	9	4.3
	51-60	61	52	85.2	9	14.8	58	95.1	1	1.6	0	0.0	2	3.3
	61-70	29	28	96.6	1	3.4	27	93.1	2	6.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	7	7	100.0	0	0.0	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1044	879	84.2	165	15.8	952	91.2	55	5.3	7	0.7	30	2.9
SIERRA	18-20	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	9	6	66.7	3	33.3	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	31-40	14	12	85.7	2	14.3	14	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	41-50	12	12	100.0	0	0.0	11	91.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	8.3
	51-60	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	37	32	86.5	5	13.5	36	97.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	2.7
SISKIYOU	UNDER 18	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	3	75.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	25.0
	18-20	28	25	89.3	3	10.7	22	78.6	4	14.3	0	0.0	2	7.1
	21-30	79	71	89.9	8	10.1	63	79.7	6	7.6	0	0.0	10	12.7
	31-40	104	82	78.8	22	21.2	84	80.8	8	7.7	2	1.9	10	9.6
	41-50	87	72	82.8	15	17.2	73	83.9	4	4.6	2	2.3	8	9.2
	51-60	27	21	77.8	6	22.2	23	85.2	1	3.7	2	7.4	1	3.7
	61-70	16	14	87.5	2	12.5	15	93.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	6.3
	71 & ABOVE	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	3	75.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	25.0
	TOTAL	349	292	83.7	57	16.3	286	81.9	23	6.6	6	1.7	34	9.7
SOLANO	UNDER 18	28	24	85.7	4	14.3	17	60.7	5	17.9	6	21.4	0	0.0
	18-20	134	119	88.8	15	11.2	60	44.8	48	35.8	22	16.4	4	3.0
	21-30	624	554	88.8	70	11.2	282	45.2	233	37.3	82	13.1	27	4.3
	31-40	591	496	83.9	95	16.1	323	54.7	127	21.5	113	19.1	28	4.7
	41-50	332	284	85.5	48	14.5	197	59.3	53	16.0	62	18.7	20	6.0
	51-60	138	119	86.2	19	13.8	88	63.8	7	5.1	34	24.6	9	6.5
	61-70	39	35	89.7	4	10.3	28	71.8	2	5.1	9	23.1	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	19	19	100.0	0	0.0	14	73.7	1	5.3	3	15.8	1	5.3
	TOTAL	1905	1650	86.6	255	13.4	1009	53.0	476	25.0	331	17.4	89	4.7

TABLE B1: 1995 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
SONOMA	UNDER 18	46	36	78.3	10	21.7	41	89.1	5	10.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	213	178	83.6	35	16.4	123	57.7	80	37.6	4	1.9	6	2.8
	21-30	1022	893	87.4	129	12.6	583	57.0	415	40.6	6	0.6	18	1.8
	31-40	874	706	80.8	168	19.2	651	74.5	188	21.5	16	1.8	19	2.2
	41-50	511	410	80.2	101	19.8	435	85.1	63	12.3	6	1.2	7	1.4
	51-60	177	151	85.3	26	14.7	153	86.4	16	9.0	5	2.8	3	1.7
	61-70	51	42	82.4	9	17.6	44	86.3	5	9.8	1	2.0	1	2.0
	71 & ABOVE	19	18	94.7	1	5.3	18	94.7	1	5.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2913	2434	83.6	479	16.4	2048	70.3	773	26.5	38	1.3	54	1.9
STANISLAUS	UNDER 18	34	26	76.5	8	23.5	14	41.2	18	52.9	0	0.0	2	5.9
	18-20	195	179	91.8	16	8.2	70	35.9	122	62.6	1	0.5	2	1.0
	21-30	1112	998	89.7	114	10.3	433	38.9	631	56.7	21	1.9	27	2.4
	31-40	878	745	84.9	133	15.1	437	49.8	394	44.9	28	3.2	19	2.2
	41-50	410	356	86.8	54	13.2	230	56.1	152	37.1	14	3.4	14	3.4
	51-60	152	141	92.8	11	7.2	102	67.1	41	27.0	4	2.6	5	3.3
	61-70	46	39	84.8	7	15.2	34	73.9	10	21.7	2	4.3	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	12	12	100.0	0	0.0	9	75.0	3	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2839	2496	87.9	343	12.1	1329	46.8	1371	48.3	70	2.5	69	2.4
SUTTER	18-20	77	67	87.0	10	13.0	42	54.5	28	36.4	1	1.3	6	7.8
	21-30	325	296	91.1	29	8.9	158	48.6	141	43.4	5	1.5	21	6.5
	31-40	276	232	84.1	44	15.9	175	63.4	66	23.9	4	1.4	31	11.2
	41-50	148	124	83.8	24	16.2	100	67.6	29	19.6	2	1.4	17	11.5
	51-60	62	60	96.8	2	3.2	46	74.2	9	14.5	0	0.0	7	11.3
	61-70	19	19	100.0	0	0.0	10	52.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	9	47.4
	71 & ABOVE	6	6	100.0	0	0.0	4	66.7	0	0.0	2	33.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	913	804	88.1	109	11.9	535	58.6	273	29.9	14	1.5	91	10.0
TEHAMA	UNDER 18	6	6	100.0	0	0.0	5	83.3	1	16.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	24	21	87.5	3	12.5	15	62.5	9	37.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	143	129	90.2	14	9.8	77	53.8	62	43.4	1	0.7	3	2.1
	31-40	119	100	84.0	19	16.0	93	78.2	24	20.2	0	0.0	2	1.7
	41-50	69	62	89.9	7	10.1	55	79.7	11	15.9	2	2.9	1	1.4
	51-60	28	24	85.7	4	14.3	26	92.9	1	3.6	0	0.0	1	3.6
	61-70	21	18	85.7	3	14.3	19	90.5	2	9.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	415	365	88.0	50	12.0	295	71.1	110	26.5	3	0.7	7	1.7

TABLE B1: 1995 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
TRINITY	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	15	14	93.3	1	6.7	14	93.3	1	6.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	39	31	79.5	8	20.5	37	94.9	1	2.6	0	0.0	1	2.6
	31-40	78	62	79.5	16	20.5	75	96.2	2	2.6	0	0.0	1	1.3
	41-50	57	51	89.5	6	10.5	52	91.2	1	1.8	0	0.0	4	7.0
	51-60	17	16	94.1	1	5.9	17	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	15	14	93.3	1	6.7	15	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	222	189	85.1	33	14.9	211	95.0	5	2.3	0	0.0	6	2.7
TULARE	UNDER 18	41	38	92.7	3	7.3	11	26.8	28	68.3	0	0.0	2	4.9
	18-20	344	329	95.6	15	4.4	76	22.1	263	76.5	3	0.9	2	0.6
	21-30	1521	1430	94.0	91	6.0	246	16.2	1252	82.3	12	0.8	11	0.7
	31-40	986	900	91.3	86	8.7	229	23.2	737	74.7	7	0.7	13	1.3
	41-50	433	387	89.4	46	10.6	138	31.9	283	65.4	4	0.9	8	1.8
	51-60	158	150	94.9	8	5.1	62	39.2	86	54.4	6	3.8	4	2.5
	61-70	52	47	90.4	5	9.6	20	38.5	29	55.8	1	1.9	2	3.8
	71 & ABOVE	17	15	88.2	2	11.8	8	47.1	9	52.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	3552	3296	92.8	256	7.2	790	22.2	2687	75.6	33	0.9	42	1.2
TUOLUMNE	UNDER 18	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	20	18	90.0	2	10.0	20	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	99	91	91.9	8	8.1	96	97.0	3	3.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	31-40	126	97	77.0	29	23.0	126	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	41-50	93	75	80.6	18	19.4	91	97.8	2	2.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	25	19	76.0	6	24.0	21	84.0	2	8.0	0	0.0	2	8.0
	61-70	12	11	91.7	1	8.3	12	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	384	319	83.1	65	16.9	375	97.7	7	1.8	0	0.0	2	0.5
VENTURA	UNDER 18	47	38	80.9	9	19.1	28	59.6	17	36.2	1	2.1	1	2.1
	18-20	301	260	86.4	41	13.6	101	33.6	189	62.8	4	1.3	7	2.3
	21-30	1873	1685	90.0	188	10.0	610	32.6	1172	62.6	58	3.1	33	1.8
	31-40	1197	1010	84.4	187	15.6	542	45.3	583	48.7	34	2.8	38	3.2
	41-50	582	481	82.6	101	17.4	342	58.8	208	35.7	14	2.4	18	3.1
	51-60	201	168	83.6	33	16.4	136	67.7	53	26.4	4	2.0	8	4.0
	61-70	62	51	82.3	11	17.7	42	67.7	18	29.0	1	1.6	1	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	22	17	77.3	5	22.7	16	72.7	6	27.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	4285	3710	86.6	575	13.4	1817	42.4	2246	52.4	116	2.7	106	2.5

TABLE B1: 1995 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
YOLO	UNDER 18	23	20	87.0	3	13.0	11	47.8	9	39.1	0	0.0	3	13.0
	18-20	119	109	91.6	10	8.4	48	40.3	65	54.6	2	1.7	4	3.4
	21-30	543	489	90.1	54	9.9	276	50.8	240	44.2	11	2.0	16	2.9
	31-40	430	354	82.3	76	17.7	265	61.6	135	31.4	18	4.2	12	2.8
	41-50	216	191	88.4	25	11.6	137	63.4	60	27.8	13	6.0	6	2.8
	51-60	82	74	90.2	8	9.8	62	75.6	19	23.2	1	1.2	0	0.0
	61-70	18	17	94.4	1	5.6	14	77.8	3	16.7	1	5.6	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	10	8	80.0	2	20.0	9	90.0	0	0.0	1	10.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1441	1262	87.6	179	12.4	822	57.0	531	36.8	47	3.3	41	2.8
YUBA	UNDER 18	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	45	36	80.0	9	20.0	28	62.2	14	31.1	3	6.7	0	0.0
	21-30	188	154	81.9	34	18.1	100	53.2	75	39.9	10	5.3	3	1.6
	31-40	191	156	81.7	35	18.3	137	71.7	46	24.1	7	3.7	1	0.5
	41-50	104	90	86.5	14	13.5	83	79.8	12	11.5	3	2.9	6	5.8
	51-60	41	39	95.1	2	4.9	37	90.2	3	7.3	1	2.4	0	0.0
	61-70	13	11	84.6	2	15.4	8	61.5	3	23.1	2	15.4	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	6	5	83.3	1	6.7	5	83.3	0	0.0	1	16.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	594	496	83.5	98	16.5	404	68.0	153	25.8	27	4.5	10	1.7

TABLE B2: 1994 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE		145923	100.0	128182	100.0	17741	100.0
ALAMEDA	UNDER 18	20	0.4	18	0.4	2	0.3
	18-20	169	3.4	152	3.6	17	2.4
	21-30	1788	35.9	1597	37.3	191	27.3
	31-40	1720	34.6	1431	33.5	289	41.3
	41-50	830	16.7	677	15.8	153	21.9
	51-60	298	6.0	263	6.1	35	5.0
	61-70	127	2.6	116	2.7	11	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	25	0.5	23	0.5	2	0.3
	TOTAL	4977	100.0	4277	100.0	700	100.0
ALPINE	21-30	11	29.7	10	32.3	1	16.7
	31-40	11	29.7	8	25.8	3	50.0
	41-50	8	21.6	7	22.6	1	16.7
	51-60	6	16.2	5	16.1	1	16.7
	71 & ABOVE	1	2.7	1	3.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	37	100.0	31	100.0	6	100.0
AMADOR	18-20	7	4.4	7	5.6	0	0.0
	21-30	38	23.7	34	27.0	4	11.8
	31-40	47	29.4	28	22.2	19	55.9
	41-50	41	25.6	33	26.2	8	23.5
	51-60	15	9.4	12	9.5	3	8.8
	61-70	8	5.0	8	6.3	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	2.5	4	3.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	160	100.0	126	100.0	34	100.0
BUTTE	UNDER 18	8	0.8	8	1.0	0	0.0
	18-20	57	6.0	52	6.5	5	3.5
	21-30	339	35.8	301	37.3	38	26.8
	31-40	292	30.8	235	29.2	57	40.1
	41-50	154	16.2	126	15.6	28	19.7
	51-60	64	6.8	54	6.7	10	7.0
	61-70	26	2.7	22	2.7	4	2.8
	71 & ABOVE	8	0.8	8	1.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	948	100.0	806	100.0	142	100.0
CALAVERAS	18-20	7	3.8	7	4.7	0	0.0
	21-30	37	20.3	32	21.6	5	14.7
	31-40	58	31.9	40	27.0	18	52.9
	41-50	43	23.6	37	25.0	6	17.6
	51-60	20	11.0	18	12.2	2	5.9
	61-70	13	7.1	11	7.4	2	5.9
	71 & ABOVE	4	2.2	3	2.0	1	2.9
	TOTAL	182	100.0	148	100.0	34	100.0
COLUSA	18-20	8	3.8	8	4.2	0	0.0
	21-30	73	35.1	70	36.8	3	16.7
	31-40	66	31.7	58	30.5	8	44.4
	41-50	39	18.8	35	18.4	4	22.2
	51-60	15	7.2	14	7.4	1	5.6
	61-70	7	3.4	5	2.6	2	11.1
	TOTAL	208	100.0	190	100.0	18	100.0

TABLE B2: 1994 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
CONTRA COSTA	UNDER 18	10	0.3	10	0.4	0	0.0
	18-20	124	4.3	111	4.6	13	2.9
	21-30	925	32.1	812	33.3	113	25.6
	31-40	953	33.1	780	32.0	173	39.1
	41-50	525	18.2	429	17.6	96	21.7
	51-60	239	8.3	203	8.3	36	8.1
	61-70	78	2.7	71	2.9	7	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	26	0.9	22	0.9	4	0.9
	TOTAL	2880	100.0	2438	100.0	442	100.0
DEL NORTE	UNDER 18	1	0.5	1	0.6	0	0.0
	18-20	11	5.8	10	6.5	1	2.9
	21-30	62	32.8	51	33.1	11	31.4
	31-40	62	32.8	50	32.5	12	34.3
	41-50	33	17.5	28	18.2	5	14.3
	51-60	12	6.3	9	5.8	3	8.6
	61-70	6	3.2	3	1.9	3	8.6
	71 & ABOVE	2	1.1	2	1.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	189	100.0	154	100.0	35	100.0
EL DORADO	UNDER 18	6	0.7	5	0.7	1	0.6
	18-20	37	4.4	36	5.3	1	0.6
	21-30	247	29.3	202	29.8	45	27.3
	31-40	301	35.7	227	33.5	74	44.8
	41-50	175	20.8	141	20.8	34	20.6
	51-60	56	6.7	49	7.2	7	4.2
	61-70	16	1.9	13	1.9	3	1.8
	71 & ABOVE	4	0.5	4	0.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	842	100.0	677	100.0	165	100.0
FRESNO	UNDER 18	9	0.2	9	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	203	5.2	188	5.4	15	3.5
	21-30	1589	40.7	1431	41.2	158	36.8
	31-40	1262	32.3	1109	31.9	153	35.7
	41-50	560	14.3	483	13.9	77	17.9
	51-60	201	5.1	181	5.2	20	4.7
	61-70	62	1.6	56	1.6	6	1.4
	71 & ABOVE	20	0.5	20	0.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	3906	100.0	3477	100.0	429	100.0
GLENN	18-20	12	5.2	12	5.8	0	0.0
	21-30	84	36.2	76	36.9	8	30.8
	31-40	71	30.6	60	29.1	11	42.3
	41-50	45	19.4	40	19.4	5	19.2
	51-60	13	5.6	11	5.3	2	7.7
	61-70	6	2.6	6	2.9	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.4	1	0.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	232	100.0	206	100.0	26	100.0
HUMBOLDT	18-20	42	7.1	39	7.9	3	3.1
	21-30	194	32.8	159	32.1	35	36.1
	31-40	178	30.1	149	30.1	29	29.9
	41-50	116	19.6	93	18.8	23	23.7
	51-60	37	6.3	34	6.9	3	3.1
	61-70	16	2.7	14	2.8	2	2.1
	71 & ABOVE	9	1.5	7	1.4	2	2.1
	TOTAL	592	100.0	495	100.0	97	100.0

TABLE B2: 1994 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
IMPERIAL	UNDER 18	1	0.1	1	0.1	0	0.0
	18-20	30	3.2	29	3.4	1	1.6
	21-30	282	30.5	262	30.4	20	32.8
	31-40	341	36.9	320	37.1	21	34.4
	41-50	169	18.3	154	17.8	15	24.6
	51-60	52	5.6	49	5.7	3	4.9
	61-70	35	3.8	34	3.9	1	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	14	1.5	14	1.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	924	100.0	863	100.0	61	100.0
INYO	18-20	12	5.0	12	5.7	0	0.0
	21-30	59	24.4	48	23.0	11	33.3
	31-40	86	35.5	73	34.9	13	39.4
	41-50	48	19.8	42	20.1	6	18.2
	51-60	26	10.7	24	11.5	2	6.1
	61-70	8	3.3	7	3.3	1	3.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	1.2	3	1.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	242	100.0	209	100.0	33	100.0
KERN	UNDER 18	30	0.7	29	0.8	1	0.2
	18-20	223	5.4	209	5.7	14	2.8
	21-30	1546	37.4	1373	37.7	173	35.2
	31-40	1413	34.2	1201	33.0	212	43.1
	41-50	588	14.2	520	14.3	68	13.8
	51-60	208	5.0	191	5.2	17	3.5
	61-70	96	2.3	89	2.4	7	1.4
	71 & ABOVE	27	0.7	27	0.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	4131	100.0	3639	100.0	492	100.0
KINGS	UNDER 18	10	1.3	7	1.0	3	3.3
	18-20	43	5.7	39	5.8	4	4.4
	21-30	287	37.8	261	39.0	26	28.9
	31-40	240	31.6	204	30.5	36	40.0
	41-50	134	17.7	117	17.5	17	18.9
	51-60	34	4.5	30	4.5	4	4.4
	61-70	8	1.1	8	1.2	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	0.4	3	0.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	759	100.0	669	100.0	90	100.0
LAKE	UNDER 18	1	0.2	1	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	19	4.1	17	4.6	2	2.2
	21-30	114	24.8	97	26.2	17	19.1
	31-40	161	35.1	126	34.1	35	39.3
	41-50	94	20.5	73	19.7	21	23.6
	51-60	34	7.4	27	7.3	7	7.9
	61-70	30	6.5	24	6.5	6	6.7
	71 & ABOVE	6	1.3	5	1.4	1	1.1
	TOTAL	459	100.0	370	100.0	89	100.0
LASSEN	UNDER 18	2	1.0	2	1.2	0	0.0
	18-20	11	5.6	9	5.2	2	7.7
	21-30	58	29.3	49	28.5	9	34.6
	31-40	61	30.8	54	31.4	7	26.9
	41-50	40	20.2	37	21.5	3	11.5
	51-60	17	8.6	12	7.0	5	19.2
	61-70	8	4.0	8	4.7	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.5	1	0.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	198	100.0	172	100.0	26	100.0

TABLE B2: 1994 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
LOS ANGELES	UNDER 18	15	0.0	15	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	1290	3.7	1214	3.8	76	2.4
	21-30	15051	42.6	13854	43.1	1197	37.9
	31-40	11556	32.7	10437	32.5	1119	35.4
	41-50	5045	14.3	4502	14.0	543	17.2
	51-60	1705	4.8	1542	4.8	163	5.2
	61-70	508	1.4	460	1.4	48	1.5
	71 & ABOVE	122	0.3	106	0.3	16	0.5
	TOTAL	35292	100.0	32130	100.0	3162	100.0
MADERA	18-20	34	4.9	33	5.2	1	1.7
	21-30	282	40.8	267	42.2	15	25.0
	31-40	201	29.0	177	28.0	24	40.0
	41-50	130	18.8	118	18.7	12	20.0
	51-60	26	3.8	24	3.8	2	3.3
	61-70	15	2.2	10	1.6	5	8.3
	71 & ABOVE	4	0.6	3	0.5	1	1.7
	TOTAL	692	100.0	632	100.0	60	100.0
MARIN	UNDER 18	3	0.2	3	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	46	3.5	37	3.6	9	3.4
	21-30	444	34.3	377	36.5	67	25.4
	31-40	419	32.3	327	31.7	92	34.8
	41-50	265	20.4	189	18.3	76	28.8
	51-60	88	6.8	70	6.8	18	6.8
	61-70	24	1.9	22	2.1	2	0.8
	71 & ABOVE	7	0.5	7	0.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1296	100.0	1032	100.0	264	100.0
MARIPOSA	18-20	7	4.5	6	4.7	1	3.6
	21-30	48	31.0	39	30.7	9	32.1
	31-40	59	38.1	46	36.2	13	46.4
	41-50	27	17.4	24	18.9	3	10.7
	51-60	12	7.7	10	7.9	2	7.1
	61-70	2	1.3	2	1.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	155	100.0	127	100.0	28	100.0
MENDOCINO	UNDER 18	1	0.1	1	0.2	0	0.0
	18-20	33	4.8	31	5.5	2	1.7
	21-30	234	34.2	204	36.2	30	24.8
	31-40	207	30.2	157	27.8	50	41.3
	41-50	142	20.7	117	20.7	25	20.7
	51-60	43	6.3	33	5.9	10	8.3
	61-70	20	2.9	17	3.0	3	2.5
	71 & ABOVE	5	0.7	4	0.7	1	0.8
	TOTAL	685	100.0	564	100.0	121	100.0
MERCED	UNDER 18	11	0.9	10	0.9	1	0.9
	18-20	76	6.1	72	6.4	4	3.8
	21-30	457	36.9	426	37.6	31	29.2
	31-40	383	30.9	341	30.1	42	39.6
	41-50	209	16.9	189	16.7	20	18.9
	51-60	72	5.8	65	5.7	7	6.6
	61-70	27	2.2	26	2.3	1	0.9
	71 & ABOVE	3	0.2	3	0.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1238	100.0	1132	100.0	106	100.0

TABLE B2: 1994 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
MODOC	18-20	3	4.2	2	3.1	1	14.3
	21-30	13	18.1	12	18.5	1	14.3
	31-40	24	33.3	21	32.3	3	42.9
	41-50	18	25.0	17	26.2	1	14.3
	51-60	7	9.7	7	10.8	0	0.0
	61-70	7	9.7	6	9.2	1	14.3
	TOTAL	72	100.0	65	100.0	7	100.0
MONO	18-20	7	6.9	6	6.5	1	12.5
	21-30	28	27.7	27	29.0	1	12.5
	31-40	35	34.7	33	35.5	2	25.0
	41-50	22	21.8	19	20.4	3	37.5
	51-60	6	5.9	5	5.4	1	12.5
	61-70	2	2.0	2	2.2	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	1.0	1	1.1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	101	100.0	93	100.0	8	100.0
MONTEREY	UNDER 18	14	0.4	13	0.4	1	0.3
	18-20	206	6.2	191	6.3	15	5.0
	21-30	1492	44.9	1402	46.4	90	30.0
	31-40	976	29.4	860	28.5	116	38.7
	41-50	433	13.0	376	12.4	57	19.0
	51-60	137	4.1	122	4.0	15	5.0
	61-70	53	1.6	48	1.6	5	1.7
	71 & ABOVE	11	0.3	10	0.3	1	0.3
	TOTAL	3322	100.0	3022	100.0	300	100.0
NAPA	UNDER 18	5	0.5	5	0.6	0	0.0
	18-20	56	6.0	51	6.3	5	4.0
	21-30	368	39.6	336	41.7	32	25.8
	31-40	265	28.5	225	28.0	40	32.3
	41-50	144	15.5	112	13.9	32	25.8
	51-60	57	6.1	49	6.1	8	6.5
	61-70	27	2.9	20	2.5	7	5.6
	71 & ABOVE	7	0.8	7	0.9	0	0.0
	TOTAL	929	100.0	805	100.0	124	100.0
NEVADA	UNDER 18	1	0.2	1	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	21	5.0	16	4.7	5	5.9
	21-30	107	25.4	93	27.6	14	16.5
	31-40	143	33.9	101	30.0	42	49.4
	41-50	97	23.0	75	22.3	22	25.9
	51-60	30	7.1	28	8.3	2	2.4
	61-70	18	4.3	18	5.3	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	5	1.2	5	1.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	422	100.0	337	100.0	85	100.0
ORANGE	UNDER 18	19	0.2	15	0.1	4	0.2
	18-20	436	3.5	385	3.5	51	3.2
	21-30	5370	42.9	4770	43.8	600	37.1
	31-40	4007	32.0	3451	31.7	556	34.4
	41-50	1780	14.2	1508	13.8	272	16.8
	51-60	651	5.2	557	5.1	94	5.8
	61-70	205	1.6	179	1.6	26	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	48	0.4	34	0.3	14	0.9
	TOTAL	12516	100.0	10899	100.0	1617	100.0

TABLE B2: 1994 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
PLACER	UNDER 18	6	0.4	4	0.4	2	0.8
	18-20	73	5.5	65	6.0	8	3.1
	21-30	483	36.2	402	37.2	81	31.9
	31-40	438	32.8	342	31.6	96	37.8
	41-50	227	17.0	178	16.5	49	19.3
	51-60	78	5.8	64	5.9	14	5.5
	61-70	22	1.6	19	1.8	3	1.2
	71 & ABOVE	8	0.6	7	0.6	1	0.4
	TOTAL	1335	100.0	1081	100.0	254	100.0
PLUMAS	18-20	7	5.6	7	6.6	0	0.0
	21-30	33	26.2	24	22.6	9	45.0
	31-40	38	30.2	31	29.2	7	35.0
	41-50	27	21.4	24	22.6	3	15.0
	51-60	11	8.7	10	9.4	1	5.0
	61-70	10	7.9	10	9.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	126	100.0	106	100.0	20	100.0
RIVERSIDE	UNDER 18	18	0.3	16	0.3	2	0.3
	18-20	231	4.4	215	4.7	16	2.4
	21-30	1863	35.1	1658	35.9	205	30.1
	31-40	1657	31.2	1400	30.3	257	37.8
	41-50	960	18.1	830	18.0	130	19.1
	51-60	373	7.0	324	7.0	49	7.2
	61-70	148	2.8	133	2.9	15	2.2
	71 & ABOVE	53	1.0	47	1.0	6	0.9
	TOTAL	5303	100.0	4623	100.0	680	100.0
SACRAMENTO	UNDER 18	17	0.3	15	0.3	2	0.2
	18-20	217	4.2	187	4.3	30	3.3
	21-30	2064	39.6	1726	40.1	338	37.2
	31-40	1620	31.1	1307	30.3	313	34.5
	41-50	834	16.0	669	15.5	165	18.2
	51-60	313	6.0	274	6.4	39	4.3
	61-70	120	2.3	104	2.4	16	1.8
	71 & ABOVE	32	0.6	27	0.6	5	0.6
	TOTAL	5217	100.0	4309	100.0	908	100.0
SAN BENITO	UNDER 18	1	0.5	1	0.5	0	0.0
	18-20	14	6.8	13	7.0	1	5.3
	21-30	86	42.0	82	44.1	4	21.1
	31-40	64	31.2	54	29.0	10	52.6
	41-50	29	14.1	25	13.4	4	21.1
	51-60	6	2.9	6	3.2	0	0.0
	61-70	5	2.4	5	2.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	205	100.0	186	100.0	19	100.0
SAN BERNARDINO	UNDER 18	17	0.3	16	0.3	1	0.2
	18-20	245	4.1	228	4.2	17	2.7
	21-30	2229	36.9	2029	37.5	200	31.4
	31-40	1895	31.3	1660	30.7	235	36.9
	41-50	1046	17.3	939	17.4	107	16.8
	51-60	410	6.8	356	6.6	54	8.5
	61-70	161	2.7	142	2.6	19	3.0
	71 & ABOVE	44	0.7	41	0.8	3	0.5
	TOTAL	6047	100.0	5411	100.0	636	100.0

TABLE B2: 1994 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
SAN DIEGO	UNDER 18	24	0.2	18	0.2	6	0.4
	18-20	508	4.2	450	4.2	58	4.0
	21-30	5329	43.7	4764	44.4	565	38.5
	31-40	3786	31.0	3269	30.5	517	35.2
	41-50	1759	14.4	1530	14.3	229	15.6
	51-60	567	4.6	499	4.6	68	4.6
	61-70	178	1.5	155	1.4	23	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	50	0.4	49	0.5	1	0.1
	TOTAL	12201	100.0	10734	100.0	1467	100.0
SAN FRANCISCO	UNDER 18	7	0.7	5	0.5	2	1.7
	18-20	21	2.0	16	1.8	5	4.3
	21-30	397	38.5	360	39.4	37	31.6
	31-40	338	32.8	298	32.6	40	34.2
	41-50	165	16.0	140	15.3	25	21.4
	51-60	69	6.7	63	6.9	6	5.1
	61-70	30	2.9	28	3.1	2	1.7
	71 & ABOVE	4	0.4	4	0.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1031	100.0	914	100.0	117	100.0
SAN JOAQUIN	UNDER 18	15	0.5	13	0.5	2	0.6
	18-20	167	5.9	146	5.9	21	6.4
	21-30	987	35.1	888	35.7	99	30.2
	31-40	896	31.8	773	31.1	123	37.5
	41-50	472	16.8	413	16.6	59	18.0
	51-60	193	6.9	174	7.0	19	5.8
	61-70	63	2.2	58	2.3	5	1.5
	71 & ABOVE	21	0.7	21	0.8	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2814	100.0	2486	100.0	328	100.0
SAN LUIS OBISPO	UNDER 18	3	0.2	2	0.1	1	0.4
	18-20	82	5.1	74	5.5	8	3.0
	21-30	594	36.8	505	37.4	89	33.8
	31-40	507	31.4	416	30.8	91	34.6
	41-50	279	17.3	221	16.3	58	22.1
	51-60	103	6.4	92	6.8	11	4.2
	61-70	38	2.4	34	2.5	4	1.5
	71 & ABOVE	9	0.6	8	0.6	1	0.4
	TOTAL	1615	100.0	1352	100.0	263	100.0
SAN MATEO	UNDER 18	22	0.6	21	0.7	1	0.2
	18-20	159	4.3	144	4.5	15	2.9
	21-30	1374	36.8	1201	37.3	173	33.3
	31-40	1213	32.5	1030	32.0	183	35.3
	41-50	619	16.6	505	15.7	114	22.0
	51-60	243	6.5	213	6.6	30	5.8
	61-70	82	2.2	81	2.5	1	0.2
	71 & ABOVE	25	0.7	23	0.7	2	0.4
	TOTAL	3737	100.0	3218	100.0	519	100.0
SANTA BARBARA	UNDER 18	10	0.4	9	0.4	1	0.3
	18-20	164	6.0	139	5.9	25	6.3
	21-30	1227	44.5	1085	46.0	142	35.9
	31-40	835	30.3	683	29.0	152	38.4
	41-50	350	12.7	299	12.7	51	12.9
	51-60	111	4.0	95	4.0	16	4.0
	61-70	46	1.7	39	1.7	7	1.8
	71 & ABOVE	12	0.4	10	0.4	2	0.5
	TOTAL	2755	100.0	2359	100.0	396	100.0

TABLE B2: 1994 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
SANTA CLARA	UNDER 18	29	0.4	25	0.4	4	0.4
	18-20	248	3.3	228	3.5	20	2.1
	21-30	2933	39.5	2635	40.6	298	31.8
	31-40	2541	34.2	2174	33.5	367	39.2
	41-50	1137	15.3	977	15.1	160	17.1
	51-60	418	5.6	348	5.4	70	7.5
	61-70	100	1.3	85	1.3	15	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	21	0.3	19	0.3	2	0.2
	TOTAL	7427	100.0	6491	100.0	936	100.0
SANTA CRUZ	UNDER 18	7	0.4	3	0.2	4	1.4
	18-20	124	6.7	108	6.9	16	5.5
	21-30	707	38.0	611	38.9	96	33.0
	31-40	603	32.4	501	31.9	102	35.1
	41-50	302	16.2	245	15.6	57	19.6
	51-60	78	4.2	70	4.5	8	2.7
	61-70	31	1.7	24	1.5	7	2.4
	71 & ABOVE	8	0.4	7	0.4	1	0.3
	TOTAL	1860	100.0	1569	100.0	291	100.0
SHASTA	18-20	23	3.3	18	3.1	5	4.1
	21-30	171	24.3	138	23.8	33	26.8
	31-40	263	37.4	215	37.0	48	39.0
	41-50	159	22.6	131	22.5	28	22.8
	51-60	63	8.9	56	9.6	7	5.7
	61-70	20	2.8	18	3.1	2	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	5	0.7	5	0.9	0	0.0
	TOTAL	704	100.0	581	100.0	123	100.0
SIERRA	21-30	2	8.7	2	9.1	0	0.0
	31-40	7	30.4	7	31.8	0	0.0
	41-50	10	43.5	9	40.9	1	100.0
	51-60	4	17.4	4	18.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	23	100.0	22	100.0	1	100.0
SISKIYOU	18-20	10	3.9	8	3.7	2	4.9
	21-30	73	28.3	64	29.5	9	22.0
	31-40	93	36.0	73	33.6	20	48.8
	41-50	56	21.7	49	22.6	7	17.1
	51-60	18	7.0	16	7.4	2	4.9
	61-70	4	1.6	4	1.8	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	1.6	3	1.4	1	2.4
	TOTAL	258	100.0	217	100.0	41	100.0
SOLANO	UNDER 18	10	0.8	8	0.7	2	1.1
	18-20	70	5.4	60	5.3	10	5.6
	21-30	456	34.9	411	36.5	45	25.3
	31-40	412	31.6	344	30.5	68	38.2
	41-50	223	17.1	186	16.5	37	20.8
	51-60	99	7.6	90	8.0	9	5.1
	61-70	28	2.1	25	2.2	3	1.7
	71 & ABOVE	7	0.5	3	0.3	4	2.2
	TOTAL	1305	100.0	1127	100.0	178	100.0

TABLE B2: 1994 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
SONOMA	UNDER 18	14	0.6	11	0.6	3	0.8
	18-20	107	4.5	97	4.9	10	2.6
	21-30	854	36.0	751	37.9	103	26.3
	31-40	722	30.5	573	29.0	149	38.1
	41-50	419	17.7	338	17.1	81	20.7
	51-60	170	7.2	141	7.1	29	7.4
	61-70	63	2.7	50	2.5	13	3.3
	71 & ABOVE	21	0.9	18	0.9	3	0.8
	TOTAL	2370	100.0	1979	100.0	391	100.0
STANISLAUS	UNDER 18	11	0.5	10	0.6	1	0.4
	18-20	116	5.7	111	6.2	5	2.0
	21-30	766	37.6	686	38.4	80	32.0
	31-40	651	32.0	554	31.0	97	38.8
	41-50	305	15.0	257	14.4	48	19.2
	51-60	130	6.4	116	6.5	14	5.6
	61-70	48	2.4	43	2.4	5	2.0
	71 & ABOVE	8	0.4	8	0.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2035	100.0	1785	100.0	250	100.0
SUTTER	UNDER 18	4	0.9	4	1.0	0	0.0
	18-20	29	6.2	28	6.8	1	1.8
	21-30	160	34.1	142	34.3	18	32.7
	31-40	162	34.5	136	32.9	26	47.3
	41-50	76	16.2	67	16.2	9	16.4
	51-60	31	6.6	30	7.2	1	1.8
	61-70	5	1.1	5	1.2	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	0.4	2	0.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	469	100.0	414	100.0	55	100.0
TEHAMA	18-20	10	3.0	10	3.5	0	0.0
	21-30	112	33.1	100	35.1	12	22.6
	31-40	110	32.5	88	30.9	22	41.5
	41-50	68	20.1	57	20.0	11	20.8
	51-60	20	5.9	14	4.9	6	11.3
	61-70	12	3.6	10	3.5	2	3.8
	71 & ABOVE	6	1.8	6	2.1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	338	100.0	285	100.0	53	100.0
TRINITY	18-20	2	1.9	2	2.2	0	0.0
	21-30	21	19.6	17	18.7	4	25.0
	31-40	39	36.4	33	36.3	6	37.5
	41-50	28	26.2	24	26.4	4	25.0
	51-60	13	12.1	12	13.2	1	6.3
	61-70	4	3.7	3	3.3	1	6.3
	TOTAL	107	100.0	91	100.0	16	100.0
TULARE	UNDER 18	18	0.7	17	0.8	1	0.5
	18-20	157	6.5	152	6.9	5	2.3
	21-30	969	39.8	899	40.6	70	32.6
	31-40	797	32.8	704	31.8	93	43.3
	41-50	321	13.2	291	13.1	30	14.0
	51-60	120	4.9	109	4.9	11	5.1
	61-70	39	1.6	34	1.5	5	2.3
	71 & ABOVE	11	0.5	11	0.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2432	100.0	2217	100.0	215	100.0

TABLE B2: 1994 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
TUOLUMNE	18-20	6	2.6	6	3.3	0	0.0
	21-30	61	26.6	50	27.8	11	22.4
	31-40	72	31.4	53	29.4	19	38.8
	41-50	58	25.3	44	24.4	14	28.6
	51-60	22	9.6	19	10.6	3	6.1
	61-70	6	2.6	4	2.2	2	4.1
	71 & ABOVE	4	1.7	4	2.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	229	100.0	180	100.0	49	100.0
VENTURA	UNDER 18	17	0.4	14	0.4	3	0.5
	18-20	243	5.6	217	5.8	26	4.4
	21-30	1894	43.6	1665	44.4	229	38.7
	31-40	1308	30.1	1122	29.9	186	31.4
	41-50	588	13.5	484	12.9	104	17.6
	51-60	215	4.9	179	4.8	36	6.1
	61-70	72	1.7	65	1.7	7	1.2
	71 & ABOVE	9	0.2	8	0.2	1	0.2
	TOTAL	4346	100.0	3754	100.0	592	100.0
YOLO	UNDER 18	3	0.5	3	0.5	0	0.0
	18-20	38	5.7	35	6.1	3	3.5
	21-30	234	35.3	208	36.0	26	30.6
	31-40	199	30.0	168	29.1	31	36.5
	41-50	119	17.9	103	17.8	16	18.8
	51-60	48	7.2	43	7.4	5	5.9
	61-70	18	2.7	14	2.4	4	4.7
	71 & ABOVE	4	0.6	4	0.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	663	100.0	578	100.0	85	100.0
YUBA	UNDER 18	1	0.3	1	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	22	5.7	20	6.1	2	3.5
	21-30	132	34.3	114	34.8	18	31.6
	31-40	136	35.3	110	33.5	26	45.6
	41-50	56	14.5	47	14.3	9	15.8
	51-60	25	6.5	23	7.0	2	3.5
	61-70	9	2.3	9	2.7	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	1.0	4	1.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	385	100.0	328	100.0	57	100.0

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1994 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM*/ UNCONST**	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
STATEWIDE	70.6%		143794	2129	15171	3244	4983	49/411	2.8	3.2
ALAMEDA	69.0%	SUP OAKLAND	94	16	0	0	2	0/1	4.2	5.1
		JUV SAN LEANDRO	41	0	1	0	4	0/0	3.4	4.2
		ALAMEDA	202	3	30	6	6	0/0	1.6	1.4
		BERKELEY	202	0	14	6	11	0/0	2.4	2.4
		FREMONT	1142	4	93	14	48	0/4	3.7	2.5
		PLEASANTON	696	6	134	26	63	0/6	3.2	2.2
		OAKLAND	1013	14	112	7	20	0/4	3.1	2.0
		HAYWARD	1540	4	205	12	119	0/8	4.2	2.6
		TOTAL	4930	47	589	71	273	0/23	---	---
ALPINE	62.7%	SUP ALPINE	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	9.9	8.4
		MARKLEEVILLE	36	0	9	1	0	0/0	4.6	1.0
		TOTAL	37	0	9	1	0	0/0	---	---
AMADOR	87.4%	SUP AMADOR	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.2	1.1
		JACKSON	154	5	2	2	1	0/1	2.9	2.8
		TOTAL	155	5	2	2	1	0/1	---	---
BUTTE	73.1%	SUP BUTTE	26	4	0	0	1	0/0	3.9	2.7
		JUV BUTTE	7	1	0	0	0	0/0	4.0	0.6
		GRIDLEY MUNI	470	0	114	7	7	0/0	2.9	3.2
		GRIDLEY	56	0	5	0	0	0/4	3.7	3.2
		OROVILLE	225	5	26	1	0	0/6	3.6	2.6
		PARADISE	154	0	25	1	2	0/0	3.3	4.2
		TOTAL	938	10	170	9	10	0/10	---	---
CALAVERAS	63.4%	SUP CALAVERAS	5	0	0	0	0	0/0	4.9	5.3
		SAN ANDREAS	176	1	27	14	12	1/0	2.1	2.1
		TOTAL	181	1	27	14	12	1/0	---	---
COLUSA	68.9%	SUP COLUSA	2	0	0	0	0	0/0	2.4	1.1
		COLUSA	203	2	32	8	1	1/1	2.2	2.9
		WILLIAMS	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	0.9	2.3
		TOTAL	206	2	32	8	1	1/1	---	---
CONTRA COSTA	69.8%	SUP C COSTA	132	15	2	0	1	0/3	6.2	1.8
		JUV C COSTA	22	1	1	1	0	0/0	3.5	2.4
		CONCORD	615	0	51	4	13	0/8	3.7	2.3
		RICHMOND	825	4	134	6	25	0/7	4.4	3.2
		PITTSBURG	499	6	76	8	13	0/3	4.9	2.8
		WALNUT CREEK	759	2	121	16	7	0/7	3.0	2.0
		TOTAL	2852	28	385	35	59	0/28	---	---
DEL NORTE	62.4%	SUP DEL NORTE	14	3	0	0	1	0/0	2.2	3.4
		CRESCENT CITY	167	5	55	9	11	0/0	3.2	6.3
		TOTAL	181	8	55	9	12	0/0	---	---

*These may include abstract deletions due to failure to appear (FTA) at the court hearing.

**These 1994 arrestees showed prior DUIs declared unconstitutional on their records. The courts reported here are those of the current DUI conviction and not necessarily those in which a prior conviction

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1994 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM*/ UNCONST**	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
EL DORADO	85.7%	SUP EL DORADO	16	3	0	0	1	0/0	4.0	3.9
		SUP/JUV PLACRVL	0	0	0	0	0	0/0	3.9	1.3
		CAMERON PARK	277	1	14	8	3	0/2	2.2	6.1
		SO LAKE TAHOE	252	5	22	0	5	0/1	3.3	5.4
		PLACERVILLE	275	12	14	2	0	0/0	2.5	4.9
		TOTAL	821	21	50	10	9	0/3	---	---
FRESNO	56.9%	SUP FRESNO	181	98	1	1	2	0/1	4.2	4.8
		JUV FRESNO	28	0	3	0	0	0/0	7.9	3.0
		FRESNO	2093	21	338	29	23	1/2	4.6	6.1
		CLOVIS	220	0	51	7	5	0/0	4.5	6.2
		COALINGA	230	1	9	2	3	0/0	2.8	6.2
		FIREBAUGH	215	2	32	2	6	0/0	3.2	7.2
		FOWLER	118	2	15	0	1	1/0	4.3	4.8
		KERMAN	102	2	7	3	0	0/0	3.5	6.7
		KINGSBURG	55	0	8	3	3	0/0	4.6	6.8
		REEDLEY MUNI	166	2	10	1	0	0/0	2.9	7.9
		RIVERDALE	23	0	7	1	0	0/0	4.3	6.9
		SANGER	146	1	18	0	2	1/0	3.4	5.6
		SELMA MUNI	197	3	16	7	3	0/0	3.9	2.6
		TOTAL	3774	132	515	56	48	3/3	---	---
GLENN	74.8%	SUP GLENN	11	2	1	0	1	0/0	2.9	4.4
		JUV GLENN	0	1	0	0	0	0/0	3.4	0.6
		ORLAND	142	0	13	4	5	0/2	2.1	5.4
		WILLOWS	75	1	11	0	2	0/1	3.0	4.6
		TOTAL	228	4	25	4	8	0/3	---	---
HUMBOLDT	48.0%	SUP/JUV HMB-EUR	0	2	0	0	1	0/0	7.1	3.0
		JUV HUMBOLDT	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	20.0	1.4
		EUREKA	221	14	78	11	19	0/1	2.9	3.4
		ARCATA	160	0	74	15	13	0/0	2.8	3.8
		FORTUNA	96	0	46	6	8	0/1	2.7	1.7
		GARBERVILLE	61	0	27	5	5	0/1	4.5	2.9
		HOOPA	29	0	13	1	1	0/0	4.0	5.8
		TOTAL	576	16	238	38	47	0/3	---	---
IMPERIAL	56.2%	SUP IMPERIAL	2	0	0	0	0	0/0	4.7	10.9
		JUV IMPERIAL	2	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.4	10.3
		BRAWLEY	178	1	21	19	4	0/1	3.0	2.3
		CALEXICO	409	0	39	58	6	0/0	3.1	1.7
		EL CENTRO	329	2	45	32	2	0/0	3.5	4.9
		WINTERHAVEN	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	2.3	1.0
		TOTAL	921	3	105	109	12	0/1	---	---
INYO	69.9%	SUP INYO	21	1	0	0	0	1/0	2.2	2.6
		BISHOP	210	0	42	4	2	2/0	3.5	4.1
		INDEPENDENCE	9	1	3	1	0	0/0	4.1	1.8
		TOTAL	240	2	45	5	2	3/0	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1994 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM*/ UNCONST**	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
KERN	81.1%	SUP KERN	265	29	0	2	3	0/2	2.4	2.0
		JUV KERN	25	0	0	0	0	0/0	2.3	3.3
		ARVIN-LAMONT	319	4	10	4	20	0/0	2.3	3.5
		BAKERSFIELD	2025	13	189	8	17	0/13	2.6	5.2
		DELANO	284	1	36	3	26	1/1	2.8	3.5
		LKE ISABELLA	79	2	1	1	3	0/0	2.5	2.7
		TAFT	212	2	33	9	21	0/1	2.0	4.6
		SHAFTER	303	6	34	3	26	1/1	2.6	4.3
		MOJAVE	304	2	60	21	45	1/1	2.4	3.5
		RIDGECREST	255	1	28	4	12	0/0	2.6	4.6
		TOTAL	4071	60	391	55	173	3/19	---	---
KINGS	66.4%	SUP KINGS	19	3	0	0	0	0/0	2.2	0.7
		JUV KINGS	14	2	0	1	0	0/0	2.8	1.6
		HANFORD	411	5	35	0	8	0/3	2.4	6.0
		AVENAL	70	1	7	2	2	0/1	2.3	1.7
		CORCORAN	56	1	1	0	2	0/0	3.1	3.1
		LEMOORE	175	2	11	4	3	0/0	1.7	1.7
		TOTAL	745	14	54	7	15	0/4	---	---
LAKE	69.3%	SUP LAKE	11	2	0	0	1	0/0	6.8	4.6
		JUV LAKE	4	0	0	0	0	0/0	5.5	1.3
		CLEARLAKE	237	3	13	2	7	0/1	4.6	5.4
		LAKEPORT	200	2	14	6	8	0/0	2.7	3.2
		TOTAL	452	7	27	8	16	0/1	---	---
LASSEN	78.6%	SUP LASSEN	0	1	0	0	0	0/0	3.3	2.5
		JUV LASSEN	5	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.6	6.7
		SUSANVILLE	190	2	8	2	4	0/0	2.4	2.2
		TOTAL	195	3	8	2	4	0/0	---	---
LOS ANGELES	68.4%	SUP LA	130	49	0	0	0	0/0	3.5	2.6
		SUP POMONA	179	34	0	1	6	0/1	3.4	2.0
		SUP LANCSTR	18	16	0	0	0	0/0	3.0	2.2
		SUP VAN NUYS	96	22	0	0	0	0/0	3.4	2.4
		SUP PASADENA	71	16	0	0	2	0/1	4.3	2.1
		SUP VAN NUYS	46	19	0	0	2	0/0	4.2	1.6
		SUP LONG BEACH	26	14	0	0	0	0/1	4.6	2.7
		SUP COMPTON	32	15	0	0	0	0/0	5.4	2.3
		SUP NORWALK	99	19	1	1	1	0/1	3.8	2.3
		SUP SANTA MONICA	31	8	0	0	0	0/0	4.1	2.6
		JUV LA CO	1	0	1	0	1	0/0	0.1	0.7
		LA JV CENTRL	16	1	1	0	1	0/0	6.4	1.0
		ALHAMBRA	855	7	71	23	32	0/3	2.8	2.5
		LANCASTER	614	10	49	21	15	1/0	2.7	1.8
		BEVERLY HILLS	275	0	41	25	6	0/0	2.8	1.6
		BURBANK	452	1	58	37	9	0/0	1.9	1.9
		WEST COVINA	2516	13	136	20	45	1/3	2.9	1.6

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1994 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM*/ UNCONST**	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
LOS ANGELES continued		COMPTON	1168	2	146	41	38	0/8	3.1	2.7
		CULVER CITY	262	4	32	8	5	0/1	3.2	4.1
		DOWNEY	732	9	8	12	30	0/2	2.9	3.3
		EAST LA	1243	5	101	58	44	0/1	2.7	1.7
		EL MONTE	1280	15	50	9	31	1/1	2.4	2.5
		GLENDALE	665	3	88	12	12	0/4	1.9	4.6
		INGLEWOOD	1071	5	160	33	28	1/6	3.1	2.3
		LONG BEACH	1836	16	274	118	54	0/6	1.9	3.2
		LA METRO	7178	16	648	96	772	0/8	1.3	2.1
		BELLFLOWER	408	4	19	3	20	0/0	2.5	2.9
		VALENCIA	840	8	80	58	41	0/1	2.3	2.8
		PASADENA	833	8	152	48	40	0/3	4.1	2.7
		MALIBU	264	4	102	32	25	0/1	4.1	3.5
		POMONA	1082	13	58	8	37	0/3	2.7	2.9
		HUNTNGTON PK	1055	7	13	1	33	0/1	2.0	2.3
		MONROVIA	356	3	20	6	12	0/2	3.0	2.9
		SANTA MONICA	334	5	82	14	15	0/1	2.1	2.5
		TORRANCE	1314	14	259	64	94	0/15	2.5	1.7
		SOUTHGATE	664	4	17	0	11	0/4	3.0	3.5
		WHITTIER	765	10	29	5	22	0/3	3.4	4.0
		HOLLYWOOD	162	0	7	7	8	0/0	1.7	1.6
		SAN FERNANDO	1880	10	261	39	121	0/1	1.7	3.3
		SAN PEDRO	456	4	58	8	24	0/2	2.3	4.4
		VAN NUYS	2861	59	423	49	366	0/2	1.5	2.9
		LOS ANGELES	632	3	129	50	137	0/3	2.2	1.7
		AVALON	8	0	2	0	0	0/0	2.5	7.0
		US MAG BAKSFLD	9	0	0	0	0	0/0	2.3	1.6
		US DIST CT LA	2	0	0	0	0	0/0	10.5	2.5
		TOTAL	34817	475	3576	907	2140	4/89	---	---
MADERA	59.7%	SUP MADERA	20	8	0	1	1	0/0	2.4	1.9
		JUV MADERA	3	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.2	1.3
		CHOWCHILLA	145	1	13	3	0	0/0	3.6	6.9
		BORDEN	160	3	40	1	0	0/0	3.5	5.3
		MADERA	272	8	34	5	8	0/1	3.7	5.4
		BASS LAKE	72	0	16	1	0	1/1	3.6	5.7
		TOTAL	672	20	103	11	9	1/2	---	---
MARIN	77.9%	SUP SAN RAFAEL	19	7	0	0	1	0/0	3.3	4.8
		JUV SAN RAFAEL	4	0	0	0	0	0/0	2.3	1.3
		SAN RAFAEL	1257	9	0	1	86	0/3	2.8	4.4
		TOTAL	1280	16	0	1	87	0/3	---	---
MARIPOSA	100.0%	SUP MARIPOSA	2	2	0	0	0	0/0	16.3	0.9
		MARIPOSA	62	2	8	0	1	0/0	4.2	1.8
		US MAG YOSEMITE	87	0	13	0	0	0/0	1.2	2.3
		TOTAL	151	4	21	0	1	0/0	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1994 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM*/ UNCONST**	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
MENDOCINO	71.4%	SUP UKIAH	14	1	0	0	0	0/0	6.8	4.1
		JUV UKIAH	4	0	1	0	0	1/0	1.1	0.2
		WILLITS	83	0	19	10	6	0/1	3.0	3.5
		UKIAH	401	1	30	5	9	0/2	2.5	6.5
		BOONVILLE	10	1	0	0	0	0/0	4.1	4.9
		PT. ARENA	9	0	1	0	1	0/0	1.6	9.9
		LEGGETT	26	0	6	2	3	0/0	3.5	5.5
		COVELO	8	0	4	0	0	0/0	2.7	2.1
		FORT BRAGG	121	2	13	0	0	0/0	3.1	2.7
		UKIAH	4	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.4	1.2
		TOTAL	680	5	74	17	19	1/3	---	---
MERCED	57.9%	SUP MERCED	32	4	0	0	0	0/0	4.1	4.2
		JUV MERCED	18	1	0	0	0	0/0	4.1	6.7
		MERCED	933	8	157	52	26	0/0	4.2	6.4
		LOS BANOS	242	0	68	25	11	0/1	2.2	5.2
		TOTAL	1225	13	225	77	37	0/1	---	---
MODOC	52.6%	SUP MODOC	2	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.9	5.3
		ALTURAS	69	1	25	0	0	0/1	3.3	1.6
		TOTAL	71	1	25	0	0	0/1	---	---
MONO	64.3%	BRIDGEPORT	34	0	2	5	0	0/0	1.8	3.8
		MAMMOTH LAKES	67	0	16	0	1	0/0	2.7	2.9
		TOTAL	101	0	18	5	1	0/0	---	---
MONTEREY	75.1%	SUP MONTEREY	117	18	2	0	0	0/0	2.1	2.9
		JUV SALINAS	15	3	0	1	1	0/0	2.5	3.6
		MONTEREY	915	8	195	25	24	0/2	1.9	4.9
		SALINAS	1623	17	132	34	31	0/2	1.4	5.9
		KING CITY	602	4	108	12	15	0/1	1.6	6.3
		TOTAL	3272	50	437	72	71	0/5	---	---
NAPA	85.6%	SUP NAPA	48	14	1	0	0	0/0	3.2	4.3
		JUV NAPA	0	0	1	0	0	0/0	---	---
		NAPA	858	9	49	16	9	1/3	2.3	3.1
		TOTAL	906	23	51	16	9	1/3	---	---
NEVADA	87.7%	SUP NEVADA	14	5	0	0	0	0/0	3.0	3.4
		NEVADA CITY	255	6	27	4	0	0/0	2.3	4.9
		TRUCKEE MUNI	142	0	14	4	4	0/0	2.9	5.8
		TOTAL	411	11	41	8	4	0/0	---	---
ORANGE	79.9%	SUP SANTA ANA	138	46	0	0	3	0/1	4.2	2.7
		JUV ORANGE	50	0	0	0	3	0/0	5.2	1.8
		FULLERTON	3258	11	130	14	84	3/3	2.5	2.4
		WESTMINSTER	2665	15	91	46	44	1/4	3.0	2.1
		LAGUNA HILLS	1978	7	193	18	37	0/7	3.9	2.4
		NEWPORT BEACH	1888	16	133	24	44	0/7	2.7	1.5
		SANTA ANA MUNI	2425	19	75	5	69	0/2	3.2	2.1
		TOTAL	12402	114	622	107	284	4/24	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1994 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM*/ UNCONST**	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
PLACER	82.0%	SUP PLACER	21	10	0	0	1	0/0	5.5	3.4
		JUV PLACER	8	0	0	0	0	0/0	4.4	5.8
		JUV TAHOE VISTA	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	4.6	11.7
		AUBURN	429	5	11	6	3	0/1	3.2	2.9
		LINCOLN	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	---	---
		ROSEVILLE	517	6	12	0	7	0/1	3.1	3.8
		AUBURN (89)	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	5.1	10.0
		TAHOE CITY	330	6	10	12	3	0/0	2.7	2.0
		TOTAL	1308	27	33	18	14	0/2	---	---
PLUMAS	66.7%	SUP PLUMAS	3	1	0	0	0	0/0	2.6	1.8
		CHESTER	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.3	12.4
		QUINCY	118	3	28	4	3	0/0	2.4	3.6
		TOTAL	122	4	28	4	3	0/0	---	---
RIVERSIDE	64.8%	SUP RIVERSIDE	1318	60	2	145	26	2/0	3.7	1.8
		SUP INDIO	1641	29	148	55	52	0/1	4.1	3.3
		JUV RIVERSIDE	20	2	1	0	0	0/0	3.9	2.8
		JUV INDIO	10	0	1	0	0	0/0	3.4	1.6
		CORONA	412	6	35	16	17	0/1	3.2	1.8
		HEMET	493	10	63	3	11	2/1	3.3	2.4
		BANNING	321	3	31	7	9	0/0	3.6	4.6
		INDIO	22	0	0	1	5	0/0	1.4	6.6
		MORENO VALLEY	210	1	4	20	11	0/0	3.4	3.5
		PALM SPRINGS	9	0	2	0	1	0/0	3.1	1.9
		BLYTHE	24	0	0	0	0	0/0	2.5	6.3
		PERRIS	696	10	33	10	13	2/2	4.1	2.4
		EL SINORE	4	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.6	1.0
		TC MARCH AFB	2	0	0	0	0	0/0	0.2	8.0
		TOTAL	5182	121	320	257	145	6/5	---	---
SACRAMENTO	66.0%	SUP SACRAMENTO	211	72	3	0	2	0/0	3.1	2.0
		JUV SACTO TRF	40	2	0	0	4	0/0	2.6	4.9
		SACRAMENTO	4598	89	1125	211	90	3/10	3.3	1.8
		ELK GROVE	88	1	12	10	1	0/0	2.9	5.9
		GALT	70	0	6	1	4	0/0	2.6	4.4
		WALNUT GROVE	32	0	2	1	3	0/2	1.6	7.7
		US CT SACTO	14	0	2	0	0	0/0	6.8	6.0
		TOTAL	5053	164	1150	223	104	3/12	---	---
SAN BENITO	80.7%	SUP S BENITO	6	3	0	0	0	0/0	3.7	3.9
		JUV HOLLISTER	4	0	0	0	0	0/0	8.8	4.5
		HOLLISTER	192	0	36	5	4	0/11	2.6	7.4
		TOTAL	202	3	36	5	4	0/11	---	---
SAN BERNARDINO	56.7%	SUP SAN BERNDO	31	20	0	0	1	0/1	6.1	5.0
		SUP R CUCAMNGA	38	9	0	0	4	0/0	5.4	3.6
		SUP VICTORVL	21	2	0	0	1	0/0	5.8	2.9
		SUP BARSTOW	14	9	0	0	0	0/0	4.4	4.5

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1994 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM*/ UNCONST**	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SAN BERNARDINO continued		SUP JSHUA TREE	7	0	0	0	0	0/0	8.0	3.0
		JUV TF SN BERNDO	24	0	0	0	2	2/0	2.7	4.9
		JUV SAN BERNDO	2	0	0	0	0	0/0	3.8	3.9
		SUP R CUCAMNGA	17	0	0	0	1	0/0	3.6	10.2
		CHINO	429	3	3	10	7	0/1	3.9	2.3
		BARSTOW	249	2	60	34	16	0/1	3.9	3.7
		REDLANDS	235	3	3	26	13	0/0	2.7	2.4
		SAN BERN-CENTRAL	1415	16	85	16	40	0/2	3.9	2.3
		FONTANA	634	8	120	25	25	0/4	5.0	2.8
		VICTORVILLE	664	4	95	25	64	0/1	3.8	4.8
		RANCHO CUCMNGA	1656	8	93	61	64	1/1	3.0	2.4
		BIG BEARLAKE	113	2	50	18	4	0/0	3.9	4.4
		TWIN PEAKS	96	1	36	2	7	0/1	3.1	0.9
		NEEDLES	135	0	32	5	16	0/0	3.9	4.3
		TRONA	3	1	2	0	2	0/0	3.9	5.3
		29 PALMS	173	3	68	16	9	0/3	3.1	7.3
		TOTAL	5956	91	647	238	276	3/15	---	---
SAN DIEGO	77.1%	SUP SAN DIEGO	20	4	0	0	0	0/0	3.2	9.2
		SUP VISTA	37	13	0	0	0	0/0	1.6	3.4
		SUP CHULA VISTA	43	13	0	0	0	0/0	3.2	4.7
		SUP EL CAJON	41	14	0	0	0	0/0	4.6	4.2
		JUV SAN DIEGO	47	1	0	0	2	0/0	3.8	4.4
		EL CAJON	2109	8	280	89	23	0/2	2.2	6.0
		VISTA	3929	16	242	45	19	0/10	2.1	3.1
		SAN MARCOS	2	0	0	0	9	0/0	15.8	1.1
		SAN DIEGO MUNI	4362	3	288	61	129	0/4	3.2	3.1
		CHULA VISTA	1528	11	14	8	22	0/1	2.2	5.0
		TOTAL	12118	83	824	203	204	0/17	---	---
SAN FRANCISCO	61.0%	SUP SAN FRAN	12	5	0	0	0	0/1	8.1	2.9
		JUV SAN FRAN	8	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.7	11.0
		SAN FRANCISCO	1000	6	188	68	16	0/4	3.0	1.1
		TOTAL	1020	11	188	68	16	0/5	---	---
SAN JOAQUIN	71.5%	SUP FRNCH CAMP	46	11	0	0	2	0/0	4.3	4.1
		JUV FRNCH CAMP	11	0	0	1	0	0/0	3.6	3.3
		LODI	577	5	43	12	51	0/1	2.0	3.2
		MANTECA	383	7	26	4	16	1/2	2.5	2.1
		TRACY	228	3	10	9	3	0/0	2.0	2.2
		STOCKTON	1527	16	137	24	44	0/2	2.2	2.4
		TOTAL	2772	42	216	50	116	1/5	---	---
SAN LUIS OBISPO	68.7%	SUP SAN L OBISPO	49	6	6	3	4	0/1	3.4	4.0
		SAN LUIS OBISPO	1552	7	372	42	129	2/6	2.4	5.7
		PASO ROBLES	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.2	1.2
		US MAG S L OBISPO	0	0	2	0	0	0/0	---	---
		TOTAL	1602	13	380	45	133	2/7	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1994 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM*/ UNCONST**	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SAN MATEO	80.9%	SUP SAN MATEO	95	16	0	0	0	0/1	4.0	2.1
		JUV SAN MATEO	36	1	3	1	0	0/0	3.3	2.0
		SO SAN FRANCISCO	1739	20	199	8	20	2/2	2.3	4.5
		REDWOOD CITY	1821	9	292	19	53	1/3	2.5	3.7
		TOTAL	3691	46	494	28	73	3/6	---	---
SANTA BARBARA	71.8%	SUP SNTA BARB	30	4	0	0	0	0/0	3.7	1.8
		SUP SANTA MARIA	35	2	0	0	0	0/0	2.3	2.0
		JUV SANTA BARB	13	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.3	2.9
		JUV LOMPOC	10	0	0	0	2	0/0	1.7	2.0
		SANTA BARBARA	1402	19	397	84	74	0/1	2.0	3.8
		SANTA MARIA	823	9	91	18	13	1/0	1.9	5.1
		LOMPOC	231	1	47	4	6	0/0	1.8	6.0
		SOLVANG	175	1	36	2	4	0/1	2.0	4.8
		TOTAL	2719	36	571	108	99	1/2	---	---
SANTA CLARA	81.0%	SUP SANTA CLARA	426	106	0	0	3	0/0	3.6	4.0
		JUV SANTA CLARA	53	3	0	0	0	0/0	3.4	6.1
		LOS GATOS	0	0	1	0	1	0/0	---	---
		PALO ALTO	555	3	50	5	6	0/1	3.1	2.2
		SAN JOSE	4786	30	386	72	92	0/8	3.9	2.6
		SANTA CLARA	82	1	5	0	1	0/0	2.3	3.1
		SUNNYVALE	707	4	52	7	13	0/2	3.6	1.8
		GILROY	667	4	48	5	14	0/2	3.4	1.5
		TOTAL	7276	151	542	89	130	0/13	---	---
SANTA CRUZ	70.3%	SUP SANTA CRUZ	23	4	1	0	1	0/0	2.1	3.6
		JUV SANTA CRUZ	18	0	3	0	0	0/0	4.2	1.2
		SANTA CRUZ	1114	6	199	34	20	0/12	2.3	6.1
		WATSONVILLE	693	2	70	5	8	0/10	2.2	5.5
		TOTAL	1848	12	273	39	29	0/22	---	---
SHASTA	68.0%	SUP REDDING	18	4	1	0	0	0/0	6.8	3.0
		JUV SHASTA	0	0	1	0	1	0/0	---	---
		BURNEY	46	0	9	2	0	0/0	3.0	4.1
		REDDING	624	12	140	14	5	0/0	3.3	2.8
		TOTAL	688	16	151	16	6	0/0	---	---
SIERRA	60.5%	SUP SIERRA	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	7.8	6.7
		DOWNIEVILLE	22	0	6	0	0	0/0	2.0	3.4
		TOTAL	23	0	6	0	0	0/0	---	---
SISKIYOU	72.5%	SUP SISKIYOU	3	2	0	0	0	0/0	2.4	3.2
		JUV SISKIYOU	0	0	1	0	0	0/0	---	---
		DORRIS	7	0	2	0	0	0/0	5.7	1.1
		WEED	101	0	14	1	1	0/0	3.0	4.3
		TULELAKE	7	0	1	1	0	0/0	4.2	3.2
		YREKA	136	2	27	1	2	1/0	2.2	3.7
		TOTAL	254	4	45	3	3	1/0	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1994 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM*/ UNCONST**	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SOLANO	72.1%	SUP SOLANO	65	7	0	1	0	0/1	2.7	3.8
		JUV SOLANO	24	2	2	1	0	0/0	4.4	4.0
		FAIRFIELD	891	2	165	11	17	1/5	2.3	7.6
		BENICIA	3	0	0	0	0	0/0	2.1	1.1
		VALLEJO	308	3	20	16	11	0/1	2.4	4.3
		TOTAL	1291	14	187	29	28	1/7	---	---
SONOMA	73.0%	SUP SONOMA	63	7	1	0	5	0/1	5.5	3.2
		JUV SONOMA	26	1	0	2	1	0/0	2.4	3.2
		SANTA ROSA	2245	27	454	31	52	0/21	2.9	4.9
		PETALUMA	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	2.6	4.1
		TOTAL	2335	35	455	33	58	0/22	---	---
STANISLAUS	72.1%	SUP STANISLAUS	74	7	0	0	0	0/0	3.8	2.4
		JUV STANISLAUS	37	0	1	0	0	0/0	3.2	5.5
		MODESTO	1648	24	255	53	23	0/8	2.9	2.2
		TURLOCK	243	2	31	6	9	0/2	2.4	2.2
		TOTAL	2002	33	287	59	32	0/10	---	---
SUTTER	52.2%	SUP SUTTER	23	8	1	0	0	0/0	2.1	4.8
		JUV SUTTER	4	0	0	0	0	0/0	0.7	9.2
		YUBA CITY	432	2	62	3	4	0/1	2.2	4.0
		TOTAL	459	10	63	3	4	0/1	---	---
TEHAMA	70.9%	SUP TEHAMA	7	7	0	0	0	0/0	3.8	2.3
		JUV TEHAMA	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.2	2.8
		CORNING	84	0	22	1	3	1/1	3.3	4.8
		RED BLUFF	233	6	32	3	5	0/0	2.5	5.0
		TOTAL	325	13	54	4	8	1/1	---	---
TRINITY	42.0%	SUP TRINITY	1	1	0	0	0	0/0	8.7	2.8
		WEAVERVILLE	102	3	22	1	1	0/0	3.5	2.9
		TOTAL	103	4	22	1	1	0/0	---	---
TULARE	63.7%	SUP TULARE	113	13	0	0	0	0/0	2.9	3.7
		JUV TULARE	25	1	0	0	1	0/0	2.1	3.8
		DINUBA MUNI	491	2	13	7	5	2/2	3.0	3.4
		EXETER MUNI	109	0	3	0	3	0/0	3.3	4.1
		LINDSY-EXETER	157	0	2	1	1	0/0	3.1	2.6
		PORTERVILLE	462	11	14	5	4	1/1	2.7	3.1
		TULARE	351	0	14	0	2	0/1	3.1	5.5
		VISALIA	620	16	14	11	14	0/4	3.2	3.6
		WOODLKE-EXETER	61	0	3	0	1	0/0	4.3	3.8
		TOTAL	2389	43	63	24	31	3/8	---	---
TUOLUMNE	71.6%	SUP TUOLUMNE	11	4	0	0	0	0/0	5.0	10.1
		SONORA	174	4	27	0	5	0/0	2.9	5.4
		JAMESTOWN	36	0	4	0	0	0/0	2.4	1.1
		TOTAL	221	8	31	0	5	0/0	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1994 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM*/ UNCONST**	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
VENTURA	87.4%	JUV VENTURA	21	2	0	0	0	0/0	2.9	3.3
		VENTURA JUST	4287	36	0	2	84	2/4	2.2	3.5
		TOTAL	4308	38	0	2	84	2/4	---	---
YOLO	55.2%	SUP WOODLAND	2	1	1	0	0	0/0	3.0	1.6
		JUV YOLO	3	0	0	0	0	0/0	3.2	11.9
		WEST SACTO	7	0	2	1	0	0/0	1.1	3.3
		WOODLAND	641	9	104	18	5	0/2	2.3	2.5
		TOTAL	653	10	107	19	5	0/2	---	---
YUBA	71.0%	SUP YUBA	11	1	0	0	0	0/0	3.7	4.9
		JUV YUBA	2	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.6	5.5
		MARYSVILLE	363	1	78	12	8	0/3	2.5	2.1
		TC BEALE AFB	7	0	0	0	0	0/0	0.7	1.1
		TOTAL	383	2	78	12	8	0/3	---	---

TABLE B4: 1994 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS*

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE			145923	96.1	77.6	57.3	19.8	0.2	42.3	6.7	4.6
ALAMEDA	SUP OAKLAND	1ST DUI	21	61.9	90.5	14.3	9.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	60.0	100.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	81	59.3	90.1	0.0	19.8	0.0	0.0	2.5	0.0
		TOTAL	110	60.0	89.1	2.7	19.1	0.0	0.0	1.8	0.0
	JUV SAN LEANDRO	1ST DUI	39	61.5	5.1	51.3	2.6	0.0	0.0	87.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	41	63.4	4.9	53.7	2.4	0.0	0.0	87.8	0.0
	ALAMEDA	1ST DUI	141	100.0	99.3	94.3	1.4	0.0	2.1	0.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	51	100.0	100.0	11.8	82.4	0.0	76.5	5.9	0.0
		3RD DUI	11	100.0	100.0	0.0	18.2	0.0	27.3	63.6	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	205	100.0	99.5	67.8	22.4	0.0	22.0	5.9	0.0
	BERKELEY	1ST DUI	134	100.0	100.0	71.6	13.4	0.0	1.5	3.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	43	100.0	97.7	4.7	53.5	0.0	53.5	0.0	2.3
		3RD DUI	18	100.0	88.9	0.0	27.8	0.0	27.8	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	202	100.0	98.5	48.5	22.8	0.0	14.9	2.5	0.5
	FREMONT	1ST DUI	759	100.0	98.6	90.1	5.8	0.0	3.2	0.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	285	99.6	99.3	3.5	89.8	0.0	56.5	0.4	4.9
		3RD DUI	87	98.9	97.7	1.1	74.7	0.0	10.3	3.4	2.3
		4TH+ DUI	15	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	6.7	0.0
		TOTAL	1146	99.8	98.7	60.6	32.7	0.0	16.9	1.0	1.4
	PLEASANTON	1ST DUI	484	99.8	94.6	94.2	1.4	0.0	3.9	7.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	159	100.0	98.7	4.4	92.5	0.6	89.9	2.5	0.6
		3RD DUI	54	100.0	96.3	0.0	79.6	0.0	38.9	48.1	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	80.0	100.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	20.0	40.0	0.0
		TOTAL	702	99.7	95.7	66.2	28.3	0.1	26.2	9.7	0.1
	OAKLAND	1ST DUI	714	99.7	99.3	87.7	2.5	0.0	3.6	2.5	1.8
		2ND DUI	226	100.0	97.3	8.0	85.0	0.0	89.8	0.4	77.0
		3RD DUI	72	100.0	81.9	1.4	68.1	0.0	86.1	4.2	75.0
		4TH+ DUI	15	100.0	73.3	0.0	53.3	0.0	80.0	0.0	60.0
		TOTAL	1027	99.8	97.3	62.8	26.0	0.0	29.5	2.1	24.3
	HAYWARD	1ST DUI	1005	93.8	98.1	72.3	3.2	0.0	5.4	6.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	372	79.3	98.1	4.0	62.6	0.0	61.6	6.7	1.1
		3RD DUI	136	94.1	100.0	1.5	47.1	0.0	14.0	8.8	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	31	74.2	96.8	0.0	19.4	0.0	0.0	16.1	0.0
		TOTAL	1544	90.0	98.3	48.2	21.7	0.0	19.6	6.7	0.3

*Entries represent percentages of 1994 DUI convictees receiving each sanction by county, court and offender status.

TABLE B4: 1994 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
ALPINE	SUP ALPINE	3RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	MARKLEEVILLE	1ST DUI	26	100.0	23.1	88.5	3.8	0.0	76.9	3.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	6	100.0	33.3	16.7	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	75.0	50.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	36	97.2	27.8	66.7	13.9	0.0	66.7	2.8	0.0
AMADOR	SUP AMADOR	4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	JACKSON	1ST DUI	104	98.1	98.1	43.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	39	94.9	94.9	0.0	59.0	0.0	28.2	59.0	7.7
		3RD DUI	12	91.7	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	16.7	75.0	8.3
		4TH+ DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	159	96.9	97.5	28.3	16.4	0.0	8.2	25.8	2.5
BUTTE	SUP BUTTE	1ST DUI	8	62.5	87.5	25.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	12.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	100.0	100.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	18	33.3	100.0	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0	11.1	0.0
		TOTAL	30	46.7	96.7	10.0	13.3	0.0	3.3	10.0	0.0
	JUV BUTTE	1ST DUI	8	100.0	87.5	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	100.0	87.5	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	GRIDLEY MUNI	1ST DUI	331	95.5	96.1	89.1	0.3	0.0	1.8	10.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	114	93.0	97.4	6.1	64.9	0.0	62.3	15.8	2.6
		3RD DUI	22	81.8	100.0	4.5	18.2	0.0	4.5	77.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
		TOTAL	470	94.0	96.6	64.5	16.8	0.0	16.6	15.5	0.6
	GRIDLEY	1ST DUI	36	50.0	100.0	47.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	13	30.8	100.0	7.7	15.4	0.0	15.4	61.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	6	16.7	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	56	41.1	100.0	32.1	5.4	0.0	3.6	58.9	0.0
	OROVILLE	1ST DUI	123	50.4	98.4	46.3	2.4	0.0	1.6	48.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	81	33.3	100.0	2.5	27.2	0.0	21.0	66.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	23	21.7	100.0	0.0	17.4	0.0	0.0	82.6	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	230	40.9	99.1	25.7	12.6	0.0	8.3	59.1	0.0
	PARADISE	1ST DUI	102	99.0	95.1	97.1	0.0	0.0	1.0	9.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	35	94.3	100.0	8.6	62.9	0.0	57.1	17.1	2.9
		3RD DUI	15	73.3	100.0	0.0	13.3	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	154	95.5	96.8	66.2	15.6	0.0	13.6	17.5	0.6

TABLE B4: 1994 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
CALAVERAS	SUP CALAVERAS	4TH+ DUI	5	40.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	40.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SAN ANDREAS	1ST DUI	129	100.0	98.4	93.8	1.6	0.0	2.3	0.8	1.6
		2ND DUI	31	93.5	100.0	19.4	71.0	0.0	32.3	0.0	16.1
		3RD DUI	16	100.0	93.8	0.0	50.0	0.0	18.8	0.0	6.3
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	177	98.3	98.3	71.8	18.1	0.0	9.0	0.6	4.5
COLUSA	SUP COLUSA	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
	COLUSA	1ST DUI	113	96.5	96.5	82.3	0.0	0.0	0.9	3.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	61	96.7	95.1	6.6	60.7	0.0	54.1	11.5	1.6
		3RD DUI	24	91.7	100.0	4.2	8.3	0.0	0.0	20.8	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	7	85.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.9	0.0
		TOTAL	205	95.6	96.6	47.8	19.0	0.0	16.6	9.3	0.5
	WILLIAMS	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CONTRA COSTA	SUP C COSTA	1ST DUI	28	82.1	89.3	14.3	21.4	0.0	3.6	7.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	12	66.7	91.7	8.3	33.3	0.0	16.7	0.0	8.3
		3RD DUI	14	42.9	100.0	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	7.1	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	93	59.1	95.7	0.0	32.3	0.0	1.1	3.2	1.1
		TOTAL	147	62.6	94.6	3.4	28.6	0.0	2.7	4.1	1.4
	JUV C COSTA	1ST DUI	23	91.3	17.4	8.7	0.0	0.0	4.3	65.2	0.0
		TOTAL	23	91.3	17.4	8.7	0.0	0.0	4.3	65.2	0.0
	CONCORD	1ST DUI	427	99.8	97.7	63.0	2.1	0.0	3.3	4.0	0.7
		2ND DUI	133	99.2	98.5	3.8	83.5	0.0	81.2	6.8	60.2
		3RD DUI	46	97.8	95.7	2.2	26.1	0.0	21.7	4.3	13.0
		4TH+ DUI	9	100.0	100.0	0.0	11.1	0.0	11.1	22.2	0.0
		TOTAL	615	99.5	97.7	44.7	21.6	0.0	21.6	4.9	14.5
	RICHMOND	1ST DUI	531	97.7	98.7	87.6	3.2	0.0	2.4	1.5	0.4
		2ND DUI	225	93.8	99.1	7.6	73.8	0.0	72.9	1.8	16.9
		3RD DUI	58	98.3	98.3	3.4	62.1	0.0	31.0	8.6	13.8
		4TH+ DUI	15	93.3	100.0	0.0	26.7	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0
		TOTAL	829	96.6	98.8	58.4	26.9	0.0	23.9	2.4	5.8
	PITTSBURG	1ST DUI	336	92.9	98.5	85.1	0.3	0.3	3.9	8.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	128	85.9	100.0	5.5	63.3	0.0	64.8	23.4	7.0
		3RD DUI	34	20.6	100.0	0.0	14.7	0.0	14.7	17.6	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	7	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	505	85.0	99.0	58.0	17.2	0.2	20.0	12.7	1.8
	WALNUT CREEK	1ST DUI	553	99.6	95.8	95.8	1.1	0.0	5.6	3.4	0.4
		2ND DUI	159	100.0	98.7	5.0	87.4	0.0	45.9	15.1	22.0
		3RD DUI	46	100.0	97.8	2.2	26.1	0.0	13.0	37.0	6.5
		4TH+ DUI	3	66.7	100.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
		TOTAL	761	99.6	96.6	71.0	20.6	0.0	14.5	8.1	5.3

TABLE B4: 1994 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
DEL NORTE	SUP DEL NORTE	1ST DUI	11	63.6	90.9	18.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	27.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	17	47.1	94.1	17.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.5	0.0
	CRESCENT CITY	1ST DUI	119	93.3	96.6	77.3	4.2	0.0	2.5	1.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	36	86.1	100.0	36.1	41.7	0.0	19.4	2.8	0.0
		3RD DUI	14	85.7	100.0	7.1	71.4	0.0	35.7	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	100.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	172	91.3	97.7	62.8	18.0	0.0	9.3	1.7	0.0
EL DORADO	SUP EL DORADO	1ST DUI	14	14.3	14.3	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	19	15.8	31.6	5.3	5.3	0.0	0.0	10.5	0.0
	JUV/SUP PLACERVILLE	4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	CAMERON PARK	1ST DUI	175	98.3	98.9	81.1	0.6	0.0	0.6	2.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	66	98.5	95.5	9.1	69.7	0.0	77.3	0.0	43.9
		3RD DUI	28	100.0	50.0	3.6	21.4	0.0	75.0	0.0	60.7
		4TH+ DUI	9	88.9	77.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.2	0.0	33.3
		TOTAL	278	98.2	92.4	53.6	19.1	0.0	27.0	1.4	17.6
	SO LAKE TAHOE	1ST DUI	176	98.3	97.7	13.1	2.3	0.0	1.7	1.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	61	96.7	95.1	1.6	59.0	0.0	60.7	1.6	21.3
		3RD DUI	18	83.3	94.4	0.0	38.9	0.0	27.8	5.6	5.6
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0
		TOTAL	257	96.9	96.5	9.3	18.7	0.0	17.9	1.9	5.8
	PLACERVILLE	1ST DUI	177	99.4	97.7	91.0	1.1	0.0	72.9	2.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	69	98.6	98.6	11.6	78.3	0.0	82.6	1.4	2.9
		3RD DUI	33	100.0	97.0	9.1	30.3	0.0	48.5	30.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	8	50.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	12.5	12.5	0.0
		TOTAL	287	97.9	97.9	59.9	23.7	0.0	70.7	5.9	0.7
FRESNO	SUP FRESNO	1ST DUI	95	65.3	91.6	1.1	2.1	0.0	1.1	2.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	40	52.5	90.0	0.0	2.5	0.0	2.5	2.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	27	29.6	96.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	117	29.9	98.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	279	45.2	94.6	0.4	1.1	0.0	0.7	1.4	0.0
	JUV FRESNO	1ST DUI	25	96.0	16.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.0	60.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	28	96.4	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.1	64.3	0.0
	FRESNO	1ST DUI	1326	99.5	94.4	86.3	2.8	0.0	40.4	0.0	0.2
		2ND DUI	551	98.9	96.7	9.3	70.1	0.0	71.7	0.0	4.7
		3RD DUI	201	98.5	84.6	2.0	42.8	0.0	29.9	0.0	2.5
		4TH+ DUI	36	91.7	83.3	2.8	22.2	0.0	8.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2114	99.1	93.9	56.8	24.5	0.0	47.0	0.0	1.6

TABLE B4: 1994 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
FRESNO cont.	CLOVIS	1ST DUI	146	97.9	76.7	84.9	2.7	0.0	29.5	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	57	96.5	93.0	15.8	68.4	0.0	68.4	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	16	93.8	100.0	6.3	18.8	0.0	18.8	0.0	6.3
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	220	97.3	82.3	60.9	20.9	0.0	38.6	0.0	0.5
	COALINGA	1ST DUI	175	98.3	97.7	88.0	2.9	0.0	6.9	1.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	35	97.1	94.3	20.0	65.7	0.0	25.7	2.9	2.9
		3RD DUI	19	100.0	100.0	0.0	63.2	0.0	10.5	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	231	98.3	97.4	69.7	18.2	0.0	10.4	1.3	0.4
	FIREBAUGH	1ST DUI	150	99.3	99.3	78.7	0.7	0.0	44.7	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	44	100.0	95.5	2.3	54.5	0.0	54.5	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	18	100.0	94.4	11.1	27.8	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	217	99.5	98.2	55.8	13.8	0.0	44.7	0.0	0.0
	FOWLER	1ST DUI	69	97.1	100.0	82.6	4.3	0.0	4.3	1.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	35	100.0	97.1	11.4	71.4	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	12	100.0	100.0	8.3	25.0	0.0	16.7	8.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	120	98.3	99.2	51.7	25.8	0.0	21.7	3.3	0.0
	KERMAN	1ST DUI	68	97.1	97.1	75.0	5.9	0.0	8.8	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	26	96.2	96.2	0.0	73.1	0.0	42.3	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	9	100.0	88.9	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	104	97.1	96.2	49.0	26.0	0.0	16.3	0.0	0.0
	KINGSBURG	1ST DUI	37	97.3	94.6	94.6	0.0	0.0	2.7	2.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	14	100.0	85.7	7.1	92.9	0.0	78.6	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	55	98.2	90.9	65.5	27.3	0.0	25.5	1.8	0.0
	REEDLEY	1ST DUI	114	96.5	95.6	88.6	1.8	0.0	6.1	0.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	41	97.6	97.6	14.6	75.6	0.0	26.8	2.4	17.1
		3RD DUI	13	100.0	100.0	0.0	53.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	168	97.0	96.4	63.7	23.8	0.0	10.7	1.2	4.2
	RIVERDALE	1ST DUI	18	94.4	94.4	94.4	0.0	0.0	11.1	5.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	23	95.7	95.7	73.9	17.4	0.0	17.4	4.3	0.0
	SANGER	1ST DUI	92	98.9	95.7	83.7	4.3	0.0	8.7	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	42	100.0	97.6	7.1	76.2	0.0	57.1	2.4	2.4
		3RD DUI	11	90.9	90.9	0.0	27.3	9.1	27.3	9.1	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	147	98.6	95.9	54.4	26.5	0.7	23.8	1.4	0.7

TABLE B4: 1994 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
FRESNO cont.	SELMA	1ST DUI	124	90.3	90.3	65.3	4.0	0.0	10.5	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	50	100.0	84.0	6.0	76.0	0.0	70.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	23	100.0	69.6	0.0	34.8	0.0	13.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	200	93.0	86.0	42.0	25.5	0.0	25.5	0.0	0.0
GLENN	SUP GLENN	1ST DUI	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	11	45.5	90.9	0.0	9.1	0.0	9.1	18.2	9.1
		TOTAL	13	53.8	92.3	7.7	7.7	0.0	7.7	15.4	7.7
	JUV GLENN	1ST DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	ORLAND	1ST DUI	83	96.4	94.0	77.1	13.3	0.0	2.4	6.0	1.2
		2ND DUI	41	95.1	92.7	9.8	53.7	0.0	58.5	24.4	22.0
		3RD DUI	15	100.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	46.7	33.3
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	142	96.5	90.1	47.9	23.2	0.0	23.2	15.5	10.6
	WILLOWS	1ST DUI	46	100.0	95.7	95.7	0.0	0.0	2.2	4.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	18	100.0	100.0	5.6	72.2	0.0	72.2	16.7	55.6
		3RD DUI	9	100.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	55.6	33.3
		4TH+ DUI	3	66.7	100.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	76	98.7	97.4	60.5	21.1	0.0	22.4	14.5	17.1
HUMBOLDT	SUP/JUV HMBLT-EUREKA	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	7	42.9	85.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	10	50.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV HUMBOLDT	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	EUREKA	1ST DUI	168	94.6	25.6	67.3	1.2	0.0	78.0	15.5	0.6
		2ND DUI	42	92.9	92.9	4.8	52.4	0.0	71.4	14.3	7.1
		3RD DUI	16	87.5	81.3	6.3	0.0	0.0	12.5	37.5	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	9	55.6	88.9	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0	55.6	0.0
		TOTAL	235	92.3	43.8	49.4	10.6	0.0	69.4	18.3	1.7
	ARCATA	1ST DUI	118	93.2	23.7	86.4	0.8	0.0	84.7	8.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	38	92.1	97.4	5.3	81.6	0.0	89.5	7.9	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	160	92.5	43.1	65.0	21.2	0.0	83.8	9.4	0.0
	FORTUNA	1ST DUI	54	88.9	18.5	87.0	0.0	0.0	85.2	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	34	94.1	79.4	17.6	73.5	2.9	91.2	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	8	87.5	75.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	96	90.6	44.8	55.2	30.2	1.0	82.3	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 1994 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
HUMBOLDT cont.	GARBERVILLE	1ST DUI	40	95.0	5.0	90.0	0.0	0.0	92.5	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	16	93.8	100.0	0.0	93.8	0.0	93.8	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	61	95.1	37.7	59.0	31.1	0.0	88.5	3.3	0.0
	HOOPA	1ST DUI	19	84.2	10.5	78.9	0.0	0.0	78.9	5.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	7	85.7	100.0	0.0	85.7	0.0	71.4	14.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	100.0	66.7	0.0	66.7	33.3	66.7	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	29	86.2	37.9	51.7	27.6	3.4	75.9	10.3	0.0
IMPERIAL	SUP IMPERIAL	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV IMPERIAL	1ST DUI	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	BRAWLEY	1ST DUI	107	99.1	54.2	81.3	0.9	0.0	50.5	0.0	0.9
		2ND DUI	45	100.0	86.7	17.8	64.4	0.0	68.9	0.0	17.8
		3RD DUI	23	100.0	82.6	4.3	21.7	0.0	39.1	4.3	17.4
		4TH+ DUI	4	100.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	75.0
		TOTAL	179	99.4	65.9	53.6	20.7	0.0	54.7	0.6	8.9
	CALEXICO	1ST DUI	323	100.0	35.9	87.3	2.5	0.0	78.6	0.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	70	100.0	85.7	15.7	57.1	0.0	61.4	2.9	0.0
		3RD DUI	15	100.0	93.3	6.7	20.0	0.0	26.7	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	409	100.0	46.7	71.9	12.5	0.0	73.6	1.0	0.0
	EL CENTRO	1ST DUI	238	99.6	37.0	63.0	0.4	0.0	50.4	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	62	98.4	93.5	8.1	62.9	0.0	53.2	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	22	100.0	95.5	9.1	13.6	0.0	18.2	9.1	4.5
		4TH+ DUI	9	77.8	88.9	0.0	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	331	98.8	52.9	47.4	13.0	0.3	47.4	0.6	0.3
	WINTERHAVEN	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
INYO	SUP INYO	1ST DUI	3	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	16	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	22	9.1	86.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	BISHOP	1ST DUI	134	97.0	22.4	94.8	0.0	0.0	88.1	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	51	96.1	96.1	2.0	94.1	0.0	86.3	2.0	9.8
		3RD DUI	18	77.8	66.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	5.6	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	7	85.7	42.9	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	210	94.8	44.8	61.0	26.2	0.0	77.1	1.0	2.4

TABLE B4: 1994 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
INYO cont.	INDEPENDENCE	1ST DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	28.6
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	10	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	90.0	0.0	20.0
KERN	SUP KERN	1ST DUI	102	75.5	75.5	23.5	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	32	53.1	96.9	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	18	33.3	94.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	142	36.6	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	294	51.7	90.8	8.5	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV KERN	1ST DUI	24	95.8	0.0	45.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	25	96.0	0.0	48.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	ARVIN-LAMONT	1ST DUI	224	88.8	75.4	67.0	0.4	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	77	94.8	87.0	20.8	26.0	0.0	16.9	3.9	5.2
		3RD DUI	18	72.2	94.4	5.6	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	75.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	323	89.2	78.9	51.7	7.1	0.0	4.6	1.2	1.2
	BAKERSFIELD	1ST DUI	1258	98.3	98.2	78.5	0.0	0.0	2.1	0.6	1.0
		2ND DUI	523	97.1	73.0	5.4	14.3	0.0	41.7	1.3	27.2
		3RD DUI	215	94.9	65.6	4.2	0.9	0.0	34.0	4.2	30.2
		4TH+ DUI	42	92.9	83.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	2.4	11.9
		TOTAL	2038	97.5	88.0	50.2	3.8	0.0	15.9	1.2	11.0
	DELANO	1ST DUI	158	100.0	72.8	84.2	2.5	0.0	1.9	1.9	0.6
		2ND DUI	81	98.8	87.7	17.3	51.9	0.0	44.4	7.4	23.5
		3RD DUI	35	100.0	65.7	2.9	8.6	0.0	37.1	14.3	8.6
		4TH+ DUI	11	90.9	72.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	27.3	45.5	0.0
		TOTAL	285	99.3	76.1	51.9	17.2	0.0	19.3	6.7	8.1
	LAKE ISABELLA	1ST DUI	54	98.1	100.0	55.6	9.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	19	100.0	100.0	10.5	36.8	0.0	26.3	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	81	98.8	100.0	39.5	16.0	0.0	6.2	0.0	0.0
	TAFT	1ST DUI	152	98.0	50.0	53.3	0.7	0.0	25.0	24.3	4.6
		2ND DUI	51	98.0	68.6	0.0	56.9	0.0	76.5	13.7	60.8
		3RD DUI	10	100.0	50.0	0.0	30.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	60.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	214	98.1	54.7	37.9	15.4	0.0	39.7	20.6	20.6
	SHAFTER	1ST DUI	181	99.4	98.9	75.7	6.1	0.0	2.2	0.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	82	98.8	89.0	8.5	47.6	0.0	52.4	1.2	19.5
		3RD DUI	35	91.4	97.1	0.0	11.4	0.0	8.6	5.7	2.9
		4TH+ DUI	11	72.7	90.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.2	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	309	97.4	95.8	46.6	17.5	0.0	16.8	1.3	5.5

TABLE B4: 1994 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
KERN cont.	MOJAVE	1ST DUI	192	99.0	95.8	68.8	0.5	0.0	1.6	6.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	78	94.9	98.7	3.8	41.0	1.3	42.3	16.7	1.3
		3RD DUI	29	93.1	100.0	3.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	65.5	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	7	85.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	57.1	0.0
		TOTAL	306	97.1	97.1	44.4	10.8	0.3	11.8	16.0	0.3
	RIDGECREST	1ST DUI	187	99.5	95.7	74.3	1.6	0.0	1.6	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	56	92.9	100.0	1.8	41.1	0.0	39.3	1.8	0.0
		3RD DUI	13	100.0	100.0	15.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	256	98.0	96.9	55.5	10.2	0.0	9.8	0.4	0.0
KINGS	SUP KINGS	1ST DUI	5	60.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	13	7.7	92.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.8	0.0
		TOTAL	22	22.7	95.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	45.5	0.0
	JUV KINGS	1ST DUI	15	86.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.7	80.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	16	87.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.3	75.0	0.0
	HANFORD	1ST DUI	269	87.4	98.9	72.1	2.6	0.0	1.5	10.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	106	83.0	98.1	4.7	49.1	0.0	50.9	16.0	0.9
		3RD DUI	33	54.5	97.0	3.0	6.1	0.0	6.1	36.4	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	8	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	416	82.0	98.6	48.1	14.7	0.0	14.4	13.7	0.2
	AVENAL	1ST DUI	43	97.7	97.7	74.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	20	100.0	95.0	15.0	75.0	5.0	80.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	6	16.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	71	90.1	97.2	49.3	22.5	1.4	23.9	1.4	0.0
	CORCORAN	1ST DUI	35	94.3	100.0	65.7	2.9	0.0	2.9	20.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	14	71.4	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	28.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	6	66.7	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	33.3	33.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	57	84.2	100.0	40.4	15.8	0.0	17.5	22.8	0.0
	LEMOORE	1ST DUI	119	85.7	99.2	63.9	4.2	0.0	2.5	13.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	36	69.4	94.4	19.4	11.1	0.0	13.9	33.3	2.8
		3RD DUI	20	65.0	95.0	0.0	10.0	5.0	15.0	20.0	10.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	177	79.7	97.7	46.9	6.2	0.6	6.2	18.6	1.7
LAKE	SUP LAKE	1ST DUI	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	7	42.9	100.0	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	13	38.5	92.3	0.0	7.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 1994 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
LAKE cont.	JUV LAKE	1ST DUI	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	CLEARLAKE	1ST DUI	140	100.0	100.0	85.0	4.3	0.0	2.1	1.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	70	100.0	100.0	22.9	65.7	0.0	41.4	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	26	92.3	100.0	0.0	84.6	0.0	26.9	3.8	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	240	98.8	100.0	56.3	32.1	0.0	16.2	1.2	0.0
	LAKEPORT	1ST DUI	132	99.2	94.7	90.9	3.8	0.0	6.8	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	52	100.0	98.1	7.7	86.5	0.0	84.6	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	15	40.0	100.0	0.0	6.7	0.0	6.7	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	202	95.0	96.0	61.4	25.7	0.0	27.2	0.0	0.0
LASSEN	SUP LASSEN	1ST DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV LASSEN	1ST DUI	5	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	80.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	80.0	0.0
	SUSANVILLE	1ST DUI	137	97.8	98.5	94.9	2.2	0.0	1.5	2.2	0.7
		2ND DUI	42	97.6	100.0	11.9	81.0	0.0	73.8	4.8	50.0
		3RD DUI	7	42.9	100.0	0.0	42.9	0.0	42.9	0.0	28.6
		4TH+ DUI	6	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	192	92.7	99.0	70.3	20.8	0.0	18.8	2.6	12.5
LOS ANGELES	SUP LA CENTRAL	1ST DUI	66	69.7	95.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	15	53.3	100.0	0.0	6.7	0.0	6.7	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	12	41.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	86	27.9	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.0
		TOTAL	179	46.4	98.3	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.6	1.7	0.0
	SUP POMONA	1ST DUI	70	70.0	95.7	0.0	14.3	0.0	2.9	1.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	24	50.0	100.0	0.0	4.2	0.0	4.2	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	20	30.0	100.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	15.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	99	15.2	100.0	0.0	6.1	0.0	1.0	8.1	1.0
		TOTAL	213	38.5	98.6	0.0	8.5	0.0	1.9	5.6	0.5
	SUP LANCASTER	1ST DUI	12	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	5	80.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	40.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	15	6.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0
		TOTAL	34	32.4	97.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.9	32.4	0.0
	SUP VAN NUYS	1ST DUI	78	60.3	85.9	1.3	0.0	0.0	2.6	15.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	12	50.0	83.3	0.0	8.3	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	10	10.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	83	12.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.5	0.0
		TOTAL	183	35.0	92.9	0.5	0.5	0.0	1.1	14.8	0.0

TABLE B4: 1994 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES cont.	SUP PASADENA	1ST DUI	25	72.0	80.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	12.0	12.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	12	66.7	100.0	0.0	8.3	0.0	0.0	8.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	9	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	41	46.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.9	0.0
		TOTAL	87	58.6	94.3	1.1	1.1	0.0	3.4	6.9	0.0
	SUP LONG BCH	1ST DUI	20	70.0	90.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	5.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	20.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	11	36.4	81.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	40	52.5	90.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	2.5	0.0
	SUP COMPTON	1ST DUI	16	81.3	31.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.3	6.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	5	100.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	24	58.3	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.2	0.0
		TOTAL	47	72.3	38.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.1	4.3	0.0
	SUP NORWALK	1ST DUI	34	73.5	91.2	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	11	45.5	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.1	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	66	18.2	98.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0
		TOTAL	118	41.5	96.6	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.4	0.0
	SUP SANTA MONICA	1ST DUI	13	84.6	76.9	46.2	0.0	0.0	7.7	15.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	25.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	18	55.6	94.4	0.0	0.0	5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	39	69.2	89.7	15.4	5.1	2.6	5.1	7.7	0.0
	JUV LA CO	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	LA JUV CENTRAL	1ST DUI	17	94.1	41.2	23.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	17	94.1	41.2	23.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	ALHAMBRA	1ST DUI	615	92.7	57.6	78.7	0.5	0.0	51.2	0.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	176	89.2	96.0	4.5	40.9	0.6	38.1	1.1	3.4
		3RD DUI	65	81.5	90.8	1.5	3.1	4.6	9.2	0.0	3.1
		4TH+ DUI	6	100.0	100.0	33.3	16.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	862	91.2	68.2	57.4	9.0	0.5	45.2	0.6	0.9
	LANCASTER	1ST DUI	440	99.1	99.8	95.2	2.0	0.0	2.0	0.2	0.5
		2ND DUI	141	97.2	97.9	5.7	88.7	1.4	87.9	0.0	31.9
		3RD DUI	35	91.4	40.0	0.0	22.9	54.3	20.0	2.9	2.9
		4TH+ DUI	8	100.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	624	98.2	95.0	68.4	22.8	4.3	22.4	0.3	7.7
	BEVERLY HILLS	1ST DUI	221	99.5	66.1	94.1	1.4	0.0	94.6	0.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	39	100.0	97.4	23.1	64.1	0.0	84.6	7.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	13	100.0	53.8	23.1	30.8	15.4	15.4	46.2	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	275	99.6	70.2	80.0	12.4	0.7	88.7	4.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 1994 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES cont.	BURBANK	1ST DUI	330	99.4	97.0	90.3	0.6	0.3	60.3	6.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	98	94.9	96.9	6.1	70.4	1.0	61.2	20.4	0.0
		3RD DUI	21	90.5	90.5	4.8	4.8	9.5	0.0	85.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	453	97.8	96.7	67.3	15.9	0.9	57.2	13.5	0.0
	WEST COVINA	1ST DUI	1847	99.1	68.2	93.9	1.7	0.1	54.5	3.9	0.2
		2ND DUI	536	98.5	97.4	7.1	83.2	0.4	82.3	4.1	35.4
		3RD DUI	128	93.0	83.6	3.1	46.1	14.1	21.1	2.3	10.9
		4TH+ DUI	18	83.3	94.4	11.1	44.4	0.0	33.3	0.0	11.1
		TOTAL	2529	98.5	75.3	70.3	21.5	0.8	58.5	3.8	8.3
	COMPTON	1ST DUI	848	98.9	77.5	87.0	2.1	0.1	83.8	2.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	238	99.2	90.8	12.2	69.3	0.4	85.7	4.6	7.6
		3RD DUI	75	94.7	96.0	2.7	44.0	2.7	29.3	18.7	2.7
		4TH+ DUI	9	88.9	100.0	0.0	22.2	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	1170	98.6	81.5	65.7	18.6	0.3	80.1	4.4	1.7
	CULVER CITY	1ST DUI	201	97.5	24.4	88.1	2.0	0.0	46.8	9.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	50	98.0	74.0	18.0	62.0	8.0	48.0	8.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	14	85.7	78.6	14.3	35.7	14.3	14.3	21.4	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	266	97.0	36.8	71.1	15.0	2.3	45.5	9.8	0.0
	DOWNEY	1ST DUI	531	99.2	26.4	90.6	2.1	0.2	80.2	9.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	172	98.8	91.3	10.5	75.0	2.9	73.8	11.0	2.9
		3RD DUI	34	97.1	85.3	5.9	44.1	11.8	35.3	35.3	5.9
		4TH+ DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	0.0
		TOTAL	741	98.9	44.5	67.6	20.9	1.3	76.2	11.3	0.9
	EAST LA	1ST DUI	883	99.5	50.6	87.7	1.1	0.0	76.2	7.4	0.1
		2ND DUI	284	98.9	92.3	7.4	69.7	0.7	67.3	15.1	14.4
		3RD DUI	74	100.0	94.6	2.7	16.2	2.7	12.2	10.8	1.4
		4TH+ DUI	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1248	99.4	63.0	63.9	17.6	0.3	70.0	9.3	3.4
	EL MONTE	1ST DUI	920	99.1	59.7	86.4	2.5	0.0	54.0	11.7	0.2
		2ND DUI	284	98.9	89.8	6.3	76.8	0.4	68.3	14.8	19.4
		3RD DUI	87	96.6	88.5	2.3	33.3	9.2	11.5	2.3	5.7
		4TH+ DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1295	98.9	68.3	62.9	20.8	0.7	54.1	11.7	4.8
	GLENDALE	1ST DUI	470	99.1	40.9	90.9	3.2	0.0	75.7	0.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	152	100.0	89.5	10.5	77.0	0.0	76.3	0.0	11.8
		3RD DUI	38	97.4	86.8	2.6	31.6	5.3	28.9	2.6	2.6
		4TH+ DUI	8	87.5	100.0	0.0	37.5	0.0	25.0	12.5	0.0
		TOTAL	668	99.1	55.2	66.5	22.0	0.3	72.6	0.4	2.8

TABLE B4: 1994 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES cont.	INGLEWOOD	1ST DUI	768	91.8	33.9	81.8	2.0	0.0	78.0	6.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	246	93.9	88.2	13.4	71.5	1.2	80.1	9.3	1.2
		3RD DUI	57	86.0	89.5	1.8	42.1	8.8	36.8	3.5	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	40.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1076	91.7	49.4	61.5	20.0	0.8	75.9	7.2	0.3
	LONG BEACH	1ST DUI	1296	98.7	42.7	87.0	1.8	0.0	63.2	0.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	398	99.0	92.5	11.3	69.6	0.0	55.0	0.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	128	96.9	93.0	5.5	25.8	4.7	20.3	0.8	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	30	26.7	100.0	0.0	13.3	0.0	3.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1852	97.5	57.8	63.7	18.2	0.3	57.5	0.2	0.0
	LA METRO	1ST DUI	5368	99.9	60.0	93.4	2.0	0.0	66.2	1.5	0.5
		2ND DUI	1437	99.4	97.1	7.5	87.7	0.1	88.0	3.4	43.0
		3RD DUI	346	95.4	88.2	2.0	42.8	2.6	44.8	0.9	33.5
		4TH+ DUI	43	86.0	88.4	7.0	27.9	2.3	37.2	2.3	32.6
		TOTAL	7194	99.5	68.9	71.3	21.2	0.2	69.3	1.9	10.8
	BELLFLOWER	1ST DUI	292	99.3	25.0	82.5	0.3	0.0	52.7	9.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	97	100.0	93.8	3.1	75.3	0.0	68.0	11.3	9.3
		3RD DUI	21	100.0	90.5	0.0	23.8	0.0	19.0	14.3	4.8
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	412	99.5	44.9	59.2	19.2	0.0	54.4	10.0	2.4
	VALENCIA	1ST DUI	581	93.3	37.9	80.6	2.9	0.0	57.5	2.2	0.2
		2ND DUI	194	92.8	96.4	3.6	75.8	0.0	62.4	3.6	26.8
		3RD DUI	59	71.2	88.1	1.7	22.0	3.4	15.3	1.7	11.9
		4TH+ DUI	14	14.3	100.0	0.0	7.1	0.0	7.1	0.0	7.1
		TOTAL	848	90.3	55.8	56.1	21.0	0.2	54.8	2.5	7.2
	PASADENA	1ST DUI	620	98.1	50.8	86.1	2.3	0.0	88.1	2.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	149	95.3	86.6	12.8	71.1	0.7	74.5	7.4	0.0
		3RD DUI	58	96.6	100.0	1.7	36.2	0.0	27.6	13.8	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	14	64.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0
		TOTAL	841	96.9	61.4	65.9	16.8	0.1	80.0	4.6	0.0
	MALIBU	1ST DUI	178	97.8	15.2	88.2	1.1	0.6	85.4	1.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	63	98.4	73.0	12.7	77.8	0.0	92.1	0.0	3.2
		3RD DUI	20	95.0	35.0	20.0	30.0	35.0	45.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	7	100.0	57.1	14.3	14.3	28.6	14.3	14.3	0.0
		TOTAL	268	97.8	31.3	63.4	21.6	3.7	82.1	1.1	0.7
	POMONA	1ST DUI	780	96.9	39.5	87.3	2.9	0.0	57.6	4.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	244	96.7	91.0	9.8	72.5	0.0	65.6	4.5	2.0
		3RD DUI	64	87.5	92.2	4.7	31.3	3.1	10.9	21.9	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	7	85.7	100.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	0.0
		TOTAL	1095	96.3	54.4	64.7	20.1	0.2	56.3	5.3	0.5
	HUNTINGTN PK	1ST DUI	771	99.2	50.3	82.0	2.2	0.1	25.3	6.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	216	99.1	94.4	9.7	69.0	0.0	29.2	4.6	4.6
		3RD DUI	65	100.0	92.3	4.6	35.4	4.6	9.2	7.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	10	100.0	90.0	10.0	40.0	10.0	10.0	20.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1062	99.2	62.2	61.9	18.2	0.5	25.0	6.2	0.9

TABLE B4: 1994 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES cont.	MONROVIA	1ST DUI	256	100.0	29.3	85.9	0.8	0.0	80.9	5.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	77	100.0	92.2	10.4	64.9	0.0	63.6	16.9	6.5
		3RD DUI	26	100.0	96.2	0.0	30.8	3.8	15.4	26.9	3.8
		TOTAL	359	100.0	47.6	63.5	16.7	0.3	72.4	9.2	1.7
	SANTA MONICA	1ST DUI	252	96.4	13.9	91.7	2.0	0.0	87.7	4.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	67	98.5	89.6	10.4	74.6	0.0	95.5	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	18	94.4	77.8	5.6	50.0	11.1	38.9	11.1	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	339	96.8	32.7	70.5	19.2	0.6	86.4	3.8	0.0
	TORRANCE	1ST DUI	996	98.8	20.8	92.3	1.3	0.0	87.9	2.2	0.1
		2ND DUI	246	98.0	84.6	15.4	74.0	0.4	87.0	4.9	0.0
		3RD DUI	63	88.9	74.6	7.9	20.6	12.7	23.8	11.1	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	23	69.6	95.7	0.0	13.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1328	97.7	36.4	72.4	15.9	0.7	83.1	3.1	0.1
	SOUTHGATE	1ST DUI	474	98.3	42.2	84.4	3.2	0.0	54.4	3.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	150	98.7	83.3	13.3	64.0	0.0	64.7	14.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	38	84.2	86.8	2.6	23.7	2.6	28.9	5.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	83.3	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	668	97.6	54.5	63.0	18.7	0.1	55.4	5.8	0.0
	WHITTIER	1ST DUI	517	95.6	29.0	89.2	1.0	0.0	78.9	4.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	195	94.9	94.9	6.7	76.9	0.5	74.9	9.7	3.1
		3RD DUI	57	82.5	93.0	1.8	33.3	5.3	28.1	12.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	66.7	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	775	94.2	50.8	61.3	22.6	0.5	73.7	6.3	0.8
	HOLLYWOOD	1ST DUI	122	98.4	41.8	86.9	2.5	0.0	67.2	1.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	32	100.0	96.9	0.0	81.3	0.0	78.1	3.1	3.1
		3RD DUI	6	83.3	83.3	0.0	33.3	16.7	16.7	16.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	162	98.1	54.9	65.4	19.1	0.6	66.7	2.5	0.6
	SAN FERNANDO	1ST DUI	1408	99.7	50.9	85.2	2.3	0.1	45.8	16.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	367	100.0	94.8	9.3	75.2	0.3	57.2	22.1	0.8
		3RD DUI	109	97.2	95.4	1.8	37.6	3.7	22.9	7.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	100.0	100.0	16.7	16.7	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1890	99.6	62.2	65.4	18.5	0.3	46.6	16.9	0.2
	SAN PEDRO	1ST DUI	322	100.0	48.1	91.6	2.2	0.0	58.1	2.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	111	99.1	94.6	9.9	82.9	0.0	61.3	13.5	1.8
		3RD DUI	25	96.0	92.0	4.0	40.0	4.0	12.0	8.0	4.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	460	99.6	62.0	66.7	23.9	0.2	56.1	5.7	0.7
	VAN NUYS	1ST DUI	2123	99.2	40.9	92.3	2.8	0.0	59.7	0.5	0.2
		2ND DUI	583	97.1	92.8	8.1	82.0	0.5	68.4	3.8	11.3
		3RD DUI	181	93.4	89.5	3.3	57.5	5.0	39.8	3.3	7.7
		4TH+ DUI	33	39.4	93.9	0.0	6.1	3.0	9.1	0.0	3.0
		TOTAL	2920	97.7	54.9	68.9	22.1	0.5	59.7	1.3	2.9

TABLE B4: 1994 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES cont.	LOS ANGELES	1ST DUI	466	98.5	29.8	84.1	3.6	0.0	74.7	1.1	0.6
		2ND DUI	120	98.3	90.8	5.0	78.3	0.8	71.7	1.7	44.2
		3RD DUI	45	91.1	84.4	4.4	40.0	13.3	26.7	4.4	20.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	635	97.8	45.7	63.0	20.3	1.1	70.2	1.7	10.2
	AVALON	1ST DUI	6	100.0	0.0	83.3	16.7	0.0	83.3	16.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	100.0	25.0	62.5	37.5	0.0	75.0	12.5	0.0
	US MAG BAKERSFIELD	1ST DUI	8	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	9	100.0	11.1	88.9	11.1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	US DIST CT LA	1ST DUI	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MADERA	SUP MADERA	1ST DUI	8	87.5	62.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	13	53.8	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	38.5	0.0
		TOTAL	28	67.9	89.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	35.7	0.0
	JUV MADERA	1ST DUI	3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
		TOTAL	3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
	CHOWCHILLA	1ST DUI	98	98.0	96.9	88.8	3.1	0.0	0.0	9.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	37	100.0	100.0	8.1	70.3	0.0	75.7	16.2	0.0
		3RD DUI	9	100.0	100.0	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0	77.8	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	146	98.6	97.9	61.6	20.5	0.0	19.2	16.4	0.0
	BORDEN	1ST DUI	106	98.1	96.2	83.0	6.6	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	35	80.0	91.4	11.4	60.0	0.0	37.1	2.9	2.9
		3RD DUI	19	78.9	94.7	0.0	15.8	0.0	10.5	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	163	90.2	95.1	56.4	19.0	0.0	9.8	0.6	0.6
	MADERA	1ST DUI	178	97.2	93.8	86.5	4.5	0.0	1.7	2.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	67	95.5	97.0	17.9	61.2	0.0	31.3	4.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	32	87.5	93.8	6.3	25.0	0.0	6.3	12.5	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	280	95.4	94.6	60.0	20.4	0.0	9.3	4.3	0.0
	BASS LAKE	1ST DUI	43	100.0	79.1	88.4	0.0	0.0	14.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	20	100.0	90.0	20.0	55.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	8	100.0	100.0	0.0	37.5	0.0	0.0	12.5	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	72	100.0	84.7	58.3	19.4	0.0	19.4	1.4	0.0

TABLE B4: 1994 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
MARIN	SUP SAN RAFAEL	1ST DUI	7	57.1	57.1	42.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	57.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	66.7	33.3	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	13	76.9	92.3	23.1	15.4	0.0	15.4	30.8	0.0
		TOTAL	26	73.1	76.9	26.9	19.2	3.8	7.7	38.5	0.0
	JUV SAN RAFAEL	1ST DUI	4	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	0.0
	SAN RAFAEL	1ST DUI	934	99.8	6.0	94.8	1.2	0.0	94.0	5.1	0.9
		2ND DUI	261	100.0	91.2	5.4	80.8	0.0	93.9	5.0	67.0
		3RD DUI	61	98.4	77.0	3.3	34.4	0.0	73.8	24.6	52.5
		4TH+ DUI	10	100.0	100.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1266	99.8	27.7	71.2	19.2	0.0	92.4	6.4	17.0
MARIPOSA	SUP MARIPOSA	1ST DUI	3	66.7	100.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	50.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
	MARIPOSA	1ST DUI	49	98.0	98.0	91.8	0.0	0.0	10.2	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	9	100.0	100.0	11.1	88.9	0.0	55.6	11.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	6	83.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	64	96.9	98.4	71.9	12.5	0.0	15.6	4.7	0.0
	US MAG YOSEMITE	1ST DUI	66	51.5	28.8	16.7	0.0	0.0	10.6	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	15	73.3	60.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	25.0	75.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	87	54.0	36.8	12.6	8.0	0.0	13.8	1.1	0.0
MENDOCINO	SUP UKIAH	1ST DUI	4	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	8	50.0	87.5	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	0.0
		TOTAL	15	73.3	80.0	13.3	13.3	0.0	0.0	13.3	0.0
	JUV UKIAH	1ST DUI	4	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	0.0
	WILLITS	1ST DUI	58	96.6	82.8	87.9	0.0	0.0	17.2	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	18	100.0	100.0	0.0	72.2	0.0	72.2	5.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	83	94.0	88.0	61.4	20.5	0.0	31.3	1.2	0.0
	UKIAH MUNI	1ST DUI	262	91.6	87.4	80.9	6.5	0.0	15.3	0.8	1.1
		2ND DUI	95	93.7	98.9	5.3	74.7	0.0	55.8	8.4	7.4
		3RD DUI	41	90.2	95.1	7.3	58.5	0.0	31.7	29.3	14.6
		4TH+ DUI	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	402	91.5	91.0	54.7	27.9	0.0	26.4	6.0	4.0

TABLE B4: 1994 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
MENDOCINO cont.	BOONVILLE	1ST DUI	8	100.0	100.0	50.0	37.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	11	81.8	100.0	36.4	36.4	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0
	PT. ARENA	1ST DUI	7	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	9	100.0	100.0	77.8	22.2	0.0	22.2	0.0	0.0
	LEGGETT	1ST DUI	14	92.9	92.9	78.6	0.0	0.0	7.1	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	10	100.0	100.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	40.0	20.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	26	96.2	96.2	42.3	23.1	0.0	19.2	11.5	0.0
	COVELO	1ST DUI	4	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	100.0	100.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	33.3	33.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	100.0	100.0	62.5	12.5	0.0	12.5	12.5	0.0
	FORT BRAGG	1ST DUI	75	100.0	96.0	90.7	4.0	0.0	5.3	8.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	35	100.0	82.9	5.7	68.6	0.0	71.4	22.9	2.9
		3RD DUI	11	100.0	54.5	0.0	27.3	0.0	63.6	36.4	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	123	100.0	88.6	56.9	25.2	0.0	30.1	15.4	0.8
	UKIAH JUST	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	100.0	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	25.0	25.0	25.0
MERCED	SUP MERCED	1ST DUI	6	83.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	50.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	26	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.8	11.5	0.0
		TOTAL	36	55.6	97.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.6	13.9	0.0
	JUV MERCED	1ST DUI	15	13.3	0.0	6.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	19	10.5	5.3	5.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.3	0.0
	MERCED	1ST DUI	580	99.1	97.6	87.4	4.7	0.0	4.3	2.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	259	100.0	99.6	7.7	79.9	0.4	63.7	8.9	1.5
		3RD DUI	89	96.6	97.8	1.1	36.0	0.0	20.2	28.1	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	13	100.0	100.0	0.0	46.2	0.0	46.2	7.7	0.0
		TOTAL	941	99.1	98.2	56.1	28.9	0.1	22.7	6.5	0.4
	LOS BANOS	1ST DUI	154	100.0	84.4	89.6	3.9	0.0	18.8	0.6	0.6
		2ND DUI	52	98.1	96.2	7.7	82.7	1.9	71.2	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	32	96.9	96.9	9.4	43.8	0.0	21.9	3.1	6.3
		4TH+ DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
		TOTAL	242	99.2	88.8	59.9	26.4	0.4	30.2	1.2	1.2

TABLE B4: 1994 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
MODOC	SUP MODOC	1ST DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	ALTURAS	1ST DUI	54	92.6	96.3	87.0	1.9	0.0	9.3	11.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	13	92.3	100.0	0.0	84.6	0.0	69.2	23.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
		TOTAL	70	92.9	97.1	67.1	20.0	0.0	20.0	15.7	0.0
MONO	BRIDGEPORT	1ST DUI	26	100.0	73.1	50.0	30.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	33.3
		3RD DUI	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0
		TOTAL	34	97.1	76.5	38.2	41.2	0.0	14.7	0.0	8.8
	MAMOTH LAKES	1ST DUI	37	100.0	54.1	94.6	0.0	0.0	10.8	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	24	95.8	91.7	0.0	87.5	4.2	83.3	0.0	58.3
		3RD DUI	5	80.0	80.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	60.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	67	95.5	70.1	52.2	35.8	1.5	41.8	0.0	25.4
MONTEREY	SUP MONTEREY	1ST DUI	24	91.7	83.3	16.7	0.0	0.0	4.2	54.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	15	86.7	93.3	0.0	6.7	0.0	0.0	26.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	13	76.9	76.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	53.8	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	83	75.9	94.0	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.0	54.2	0.0
		TOTAL	135	80.0	90.4	3.0	1.5	0.0	0.7	51.1	0.0
	JUV SALINAS	1ST DUI	16	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	18	100.0	55.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.2	0.0
	MONTEREY	1ST DUI	644	99.2	93.3	83.2	1.7	0.0	1.1	7.1	0.3
		2ND DUI	212	99.1	97.2	5.7	65.1	0.0	25.5	52.4	6.6
		3RD DUI	58	98.3	91.4	0.0	15.5	0.0	10.3	74.1	10.3
		4TH+ DUI	9	100.0	100.0	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0	77.8	0.0
		TOTAL	923	99.1	94.1	59.4	17.2	0.0	7.3	22.4	2.4
	SALINAS	1ST DUI	1076	99.1	98.6	85.0	1.9	0.0	1.4	12.3	1.2
		2ND DUI	391	99.0	93.4	7.4	48.1	0.0	29.2	45.5	20.5
		3RD DUI	151	99.3	84.8	3.3	22.5	0.0	19.2	38.4	14.6
		4TH+ DUI	22	90.9	100.0	9.1	4.5	0.0	0.0	40.9	0.0
		TOTAL	1640	99.0	96.1	58.0	14.8	0.0	9.6	23.0	7.0
	KING CITY	1ST DUI	383	99.2	99.2	78.3	0.5	0.0	0.8	23.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	158	99.4	100.0	7.0	17.1	0.0	11.4	74.7	1.3
		3RD DUI	58	96.6	100.0	0.0	5.2	0.0	0.0	94.8	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	7	100.0	100.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	85.7	14.3
		TOTAL	606	99.0	99.5	51.5	5.3	0.0	3.5	44.6	0.5
NAPA	SUP NAPA	1ST DUI	25	80.0	72.0	28.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	12	66.7	91.7	0.0	25.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	22	27.3	100.0	0.0	4.5	0.0	4.5	0.0	4.5
		TOTAL	62	58.1	87.1	11.3	8.1	0.0	4.8	4.8	1.6

TABLE B4: 1994 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
NAPA cont.	NAPA	1ST DUI	593	99.0	97.8	91.6	1.3	0.0	1.7	0.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	217	100.0	100.0	6.5	85.3	0.0	64.1	0.0	2.3
		3RD DUI	54	94.4	100.0	1.9	57.4	0.0	40.7	5.6	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	867	99.0	98.4	64.4	25.8	0.0	19.7	0.5	0.6
NEVADA	SUP NEVADA	1ST DUI	9	77.8	66.7	11.1	0.0	0.0	22.2	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	9	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	19	73.7	84.2	5.3	0.0	0.0	10.5	0.0	0.0
	NEVADA CITY	1ST DUI	173	98.8	94.8	80.9	5.8	0.0	17.3	2.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	67	95.5	98.5	6.0	82.1	0.0	76.1	1.5	1.5
		3RD DUI	20	90.0	100.0	0.0	30.0	0.0	10.0	25.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	261	97.3	96.2	55.2	27.2	0.0	31.8	4.2	0.4
	TRUCKEE MUNI	1ST DUI	102	97.1	91.2	90.2	2.0	0.0	8.8	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	28	92.9	96.4	14.3	78.6	0.0	57.1	0.0	14.3
		3RD DUI	12	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	8.3
		TOTAL	142	96.5	93.0	67.6	25.4	0.0	20.4	0.0	3.5
ORANGE	SUP SANTA ANA	1ST DUI	47	72.3	91.5	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	23	69.6	91.3	0.0	8.7	0.0	8.7	8.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	7	71.4	71.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	107	70.1	96.3	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	2.8	0.0
		TOTAL	184	70.7	93.5	0.5	1.6	0.0	1.1	4.9	0.0
	JUV ORANGE	1ST DUI	50	96.0	28.0	80.0	2.0	0.0	16.0	76.0	0.0
		TOTAL	50	96.0	28.0	80.0	2.0	0.0	16.0	76.0	0.0
	FULLERTON	1ST DUI	2408	99.5	28.4	94.7	1.4	0.0	78.8	1.2	0.5
		2ND DUI	686	98.5	91.1	8.5	81.5	0.0	73.8	0.6	25.8
		3RD DUI	159	98.7	95.6	1.9	77.4	0.0	32.7	0.0	24.5
		4TH+ DUI	16	81.3	100.0	12.5	50.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	18.8
		TOTAL	3269	99.2	45.2	71.7	22.1	0.0	75.2	1.0	7.1
	WESTMINSTER	1ST DUI	1976	99.1	11.1	92.5	2.4	0.0	93.8	1.2	0.6
		2ND DUI	562	98.0	92.0	4.6	84.3	0.0	93.1	1.6	37.7
		3RD DUI	133	94.7	91.7	0.0	75.2	0.0	45.9	0.8	36.8
		4TH+ DUI	9	100.0	88.9	0.0	77.8	0.0	55.6	11.1	44.4
		TOTAL	2680	98.7	32.3	69.2	23.4	0.0	91.2	1.3	10.3
	LAGUNA HILLS	1ST DUI	1465	99.9	8.9	92.2	1.3	0.0	92.4	6.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	424	100.0	97.2	2.8	89.2	0.0	89.9	8.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	92	100.0	96.7	1.1	81.5	0.0	13.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1985	99.9	32.0	68.7	23.8	0.0	88.0	6.2	0.0
	NEWPORT BCH	1ST DUI	1438	99.9	16.2	95.1	1.5	0.0	91.4	6.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	377	99.7	97.6	3.2	90.5	0.0	66.3	31.6	6.1
		3RD DUI	77	100.0	98.7	1.3	85.7	0.0	10.4	11.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	12	100.0	100.0	0.0	58.3	0.0	8.3	41.7	0.0
		TOTAL	1904	99.9	36.2	72.5	22.9	0.0	82.7	11.9	1.2

TABLE B4: 1994 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
ORANGE cont.	SANTA ANA	1ST DUI	1821	99.6	34.4	95.5	1.5	0.0	76.6	2.1	0.6
		2ND DUI	497	99.4	94.8	6.4	87.9	0.0	87.5	3.2	41.2
		3RD DUI	118	99.2	95.8	2.5	89.0	0.0	52.5	0.8	44.9
		4TH+ DUI	8	100.0	100.0	12.5	75.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	37.5
		TOTAL	2444	99.5	49.8	72.6	23.5	0.0	77.5	2.3	11.1
PLACER	SUP PLACER	1ST DUI	13	76.9	61.5	7.7	7.7	0.0	0.0	46.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	6	83.3	83.3	16.7	33.3	0.0	33.3	16.7	33.3
		3RD DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	9	44.4	88.9	0.0	22.2	0.0	0.0	11.1	0.0
		TOTAL	31	64.5	77.4	6.5	16.1	0.0	6.5	25.8	6.5
	JUV PLACER	1ST DUI	8	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	12.5	0.0
		TOTAL	8	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	12.5	0.0
	JUV TAHOE VSTA	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	AUBURN MUNI	1ST DUI	279	100.0	95.3	93.9	1.8	0.0	6.1	3.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	116	99.1	98.3	7.8	77.6	0.0	67.2	3.4	15.5
		3RD DUI	29	96.6	86.2	0.0	55.2	0.0	51.7	27.6	13.8
		4TH+ DUI	10	80.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	10.0
		TOTAL	434	99.1	95.6	62.4	26.5	0.0	25.8	5.3	5.3
	LINCOLN	2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
	ROSEVILLE	1ST DUI	360	99.7	94.2	80.0	2.8	0.0	7.2	2.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	109	95.4	93.6	6.4	76.1	0.0	77.1	5.5	45.0
		3RD DUI	53	100.0	88.7	1.9	69.8	1.9	58.5	5.7	24.5
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	523	98.9	93.5	56.6	25.0	0.2	27.0	3.6	11.9
	AUBURN JUST	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	TAHOE CITY	1ST DUI	265	100.0	100.0	97.0	1.5	0.0	26.4	3.0	0.4
		2ND DUI	59	98.3	98.3	5.1	83.1	0.0	84.7	10.2	11.9
		3RD DUI	10	100.0	90.0	0.0	60.0	10.0	30.0	60.0	10.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	336	99.7	99.4	77.4	17.6	0.3	36.6	6.5	2.7
PLUMAS	SUP PLUMAS	1ST DUI	2	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	100.0	75.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	CHESTER	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	QUINCY	1ST DUI	84	97.6	96.4	82.1	6.0	0.0	85.7	1.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	21	95.2	95.2	19.0	42.9	0.0	52.4	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	13	84.6	100.0	0.0	30.8	0.0	30.8	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	66.7	100.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	121	95.0	96.7	61.2	14.9	0.0	72.7	0.8	0.0

TABLE B4: 1994 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
RIVERSIDE	SUP RIVERSIDE	1ST DUI	1012	97.3	68.2	41.6	0.5	0.1	20.1	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	258	97.7	91.9	2.3	41.5	3.9	60.5	0.0	0.4
		3RD DUI	68	95.6	95.6	2.9	14.7	1.5	10.3	1.5	1.5
		4TH+ DUI	40	62.5	100.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	5.0	2.5	0.0
		TOTAL	1378	96.3	74.9	31.1	9.0	0.9	26.7	0.1	0.1
	SUP INDIO	1ST DUI	1143	98.4	10.8	36.7	0.7	0.0	93.8	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	362	98.6	84.3	5.8	58.3	0.0	90.3	0.6	0.8
		3RD DUI	116	99.1	90.5	2.6	18.1	0.0	88.8	0.9	1.7
		4TH+ DUI	49	55.1	89.8	6.1	10.2	0.0	36.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1670	97.2	34.6	26.7	14.7	0.0	91.0	0.2	0.3
	JUV RIVERSIDE	1ST DUI	22	81.8	13.6	4.5	0.0	0.0	18.2	27.3	0.0
		TOTAL	22	81.8	13.6	4.5	0.0	0.0	18.2	27.3	0.0
	JUV INDIO	1ST DUI	10	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	10	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	CORONA	1ST DUI	308	100.0	41.2	95.5	0.6	0.0	65.6	1.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	87	100.0	96.6	26.4	72.4	0.0	87.4	0.0	5.7
		3RD DUI	22	100.0	100.0	18.2	27.3	0.0	13.6	72.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	418	100.0	56.0	76.8	17.0	0.0	67.2	5.0	1.2
	HEMET	1ST DUI	366	98.6	70.8	83.3	6.6	0.0	76.8	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	105	99.0	100.0	8.6	79.0	0.0	85.7	1.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	14	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	18	100.0	100.0	16.7	44.4	0.0	22.2	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	503	98.8	78.7	63.0	24.3	0.0	75.0	0.2	0.0
	BANNING	1ST DUI	233	98.7	70.4	85.8	2.6	0.0	29.2	1.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	73	97.3	93.2	13.7	75.3	0.0	78.1	6.8	0.0
		3RD DUI	15	100.0	100.0	0.0	73.3	0.0	20.0	6.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	324	98.5	77.2	64.8	22.2	0.0	39.5	2.8	0.0
	INDIO	1ST DUI	4	100.0	75.0	25.0	50.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	17	100.0	94.1	17.6	82.4	0.0	100.0	0.0	5.9
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	22	100.0	90.9	18.2	77.3	0.0	95.5	0.0	4.5
	MORENO VLY	1ST DUI	153	96.7	72.5	90.8	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	43	100.0	88.4	30.2	48.8	11.6	41.9	0.0	11.6
		3RD DUI	10	100.0	80.0	70.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	100.0	80.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	211	97.6	76.3	77.3	10.0	2.4	10.9	0.0	3.3
	PALM SPRINGS	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	85.7	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	9	100.0	100.0	11.1	66.7	0.0	77.8	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 1994 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
RIVERSIDE cont.	BLYTHE	1ST DUI	2	100.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	19	100.0	89.5	10.5	78.9	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	24	100.0	83.3	12.5	75.0	0.0	95.8	0.0	0.0
	PERRIS	1ST DUI	524	98.9	53.8	95.2	1.9	0.0	56.5	0.6	0.2
		2ND DUI	140	98.6	95.0	17.1	73.6	0.0	68.6	1.4	1.4
		3RD DUI	37	94.6	94.6	8.1	43.2	0.0	18.9	5.4	2.7
		4TH+ DUI	5	80.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	706	98.4	64.4	74.5	18.3	0.0	56.5	1.0	0.6
	ELSINORE	1ST DUI	3	100.0	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	100.0	50.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0
	TC MARCH AFB	1ST DUI	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SACRAMENTO	SUP SACTO	1ST DUI	63	76.2	98.4	41.3	11.1	0.0	0.0	4.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	28	85.7	100.0	3.6	28.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	31	64.5	100.0	0.0	16.1	0.0	9.7	3.2	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	161	66.5	99.4	1.2	46.6	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.0
		TOTAL	283	70.3	99.3	10.2	33.6	0.0	1.1	2.1	0.0
	JUV SACTO TRAF	1ST DUI	39	43.6	41.0	7.7	0.0	0.0	2.6	28.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	42	42.9	40.5	7.1	0.0	0.0	2.4	28.6	0.0
	SACRAMENTO	1ST DUI	3098	99.3	96.7	87.8	3.6	0.0	4.6	0.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	1153	99.4	99.0	4.2	72.0	0.1	67.9	0.5	2.2
		3RD DUI	361	97.8	99.4	1.7	36.3	0.0	12.5	0.8	1.1
		4TH+ DUI	75	96.0	97.3	0.0	29.3	0.0	5.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4687	99.1	97.5	59.2	23.4	0.0	20.8	0.4	0.6
	ELK GROVE	1ST DUI	60	100.0	96.7	90.0	1.7	0.0	3.3	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	20	100.0	100.0	15.0	70.0	0.0	70.0	5.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	89	100.0	97.8	65.2	16.9	0.0	18.0	1.1	0.0
	GALT	1ST DUI	43	100.0	95.3	2.3	79.1	0.0	2.3	2.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	23	100.0	100.0	0.0	78.3	0.0	73.9	17.4	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	70	100.0	97.1	1.4	74.3	0.0	25.7	12.9	0.0
	WALNUT GROVE	1ST DUI	19	100.0	100.0	0.0	94.7	0.0	5.3	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	9	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	88.9	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	25.0	0.0
		TOTAL	32	100.0	100.0	0.0	87.5	0.0	31.3	3.1	0.0

TABLE B4: 1994 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SACRAMENTO cont.	US CT SACTO	1ST DUI	7	85.7	42.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	6	100.0	66.7	0.0	66.7	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	14	92.9	57.1	0.0	28.6	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0
SAN BENITO	SUP SAN BENITO	1ST DUI	6	100.0	100.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	9	88.9	88.9	11.1	11.1	0.0	0.0	22.2	0.0
	JUV HOLLISTR	1ST DUI	4	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
	HOLLISTER	1ST DUI	115	99.1	99.1	51.3	2.6	0.0	2.6	30.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	46	97.8	69.6	6.5	45.7	0.0	78.3	8.7	67.4
		3RD DUI	27	96.3	33.3	0.0	11.1	0.0	77.8	0.0	81.5
		4TH+ DUI	4	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0
		TOTAL	192	98.4	81.8	32.3	14.1	0.0	32.3	20.3	28.6
SAN BERNARDINO	SUP SAN BERNO	1ST DUI	21	61.9	95.2	4.8	4.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	5	60.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	23	47.8	95.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	51	54.9	94.1	2.0	3.9	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0
	SUP RANCHO CUCAMNGA	1ST DUI	38	92.1	55.3	50.0	2.6	0.0	5.3	5.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	21	33.3	100.0	0.0	9.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	64	71.9	73.4	29.7	7.8	0.0	4.7	3.1	0.0
	SUP VICTORVILE	1ST DUI	4	100.0	100.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	80.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	14	21.4	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	23	47.8	100.0	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SUP BARSTOW	1ST DUI	7	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	4	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	11	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	23	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SUP JOSHUA TREE	3RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	7	42.9	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV TRF SAN BERNARDINO	1ST DUI	24	58.3	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	4.2	16.7	0.0
		TOTAL	24	58.3	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	4.2	16.7	0.0
	JUV SAN BERNO	1ST DUI	2	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 1994 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN BERNARDINO cont.	CHINO	1ST DUI	321	97.8	9.7	0.0	2.5	0.0	88.2	1.9	0.3
		2ND DUI	87	97.7	81.6	1.1	78.2	0.0	87.4	4.6	6.9
		3RD DUI	18	94.4	77.8	0.0	66.7	0.0	77.8	11.1	22.2
		4TH+ DUI	6	83.3	83.3	0.0	83.3	0.0	50.0	0.0	33.3
		TOTAL	432	97.5	28.0	0.2	21.5	0.0	87.0	2.8	3.0
	BARSTOW	1ST DUI	182	96.7	46.7	0.0	2.2	0.0	54.9	4.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	54	98.1	75.9	0.0	83.3	0.0	70.4	20.4	5.6
		3RD DUI	14	64.3	100.0	0.0	21.4	0.0	14.3	28.6	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	251	95.2	55.8	0.0	20.7	0.4	55.8	9.6	1.2
	REDLANDS	1ST DUI	170	97.1	5.3	0.0	0.6	0.0	90.0	1.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	54	98.1	46.3	0.0	35.2	0.0	81.5	0.0	1.9
		3RD DUI	12	91.7	75.0	8.3	16.7	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	238	97.1	18.9	0.4	9.2	0.0	85.3	0.8	0.4
	S BERN CENTRL	1ST DUI	1027	98.1	29.7	0.5	1.9	0.0	67.9	22.6	0.1
		2ND DUI	297	95.6	80.1	0.7	54.2	0.0	67.7	20.9	11.4
		3RD DUI	89	91.0	91.0	0.0	30.3	0.0	48.3	16.9	7.9
		4TH+ DUI	18	88.9	94.4	0.0	22.2	0.0	33.3	5.6	0.0
		TOTAL	1431	97.0	44.8	0.5	14.7	0.0	66.2	21.7	2.9
	FONTANA	1ST DUI	438	99.5	8.9	0.0	1.8	0.0	88.1	0.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	153	98.7	65.4	0.0	70.6	1.3	88.2	0.7	3.9
		3RD DUI	40	97.5	87.5	0.0	35.0	0.0	45.0	35.0	2.5
		4TH+ DUI	11	90.9	90.9	0.0	27.3	0.0	27.3	45.5	0.0
		TOTAL	642	99.1	28.7	0.0	20.7	0.3	84.4	3.4	1.1
	VICTORVILLE	1ST DUI	486	98.8	22.2	0.4	2.7	0.0	77.4	1.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	137	99.3	86.1	0.0	79.6	0.0	87.6	1.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	35	97.1	65.7	0.0	31.4	0.0	65.7	5.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	10	90.0	90.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	668	98.7	38.6	0.3	20.7	0.0	78.6	1.6	0.0
	RANCHO CUCAMNGA	1ST DUI	1213	97.3	17.2	0.2	1.4	0.0	77.4	1.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	338	95.9	89.6	0.3	79.0	0.0	88.2	1.2	0.0
		3RD DUI	102	67.6	89.2	0.0	34.3	0.0	36.3	10.8	2.0
		4TH+ DUI	11	54.5	63.6	0.0	18.2	0.0	18.2	9.1	0.0
		TOTAL	1664	94.9	36.7	0.2	19.3	0.0	76.7	1.7	0.1
	BIG BEAR LAKE	1ST DUI	79	98.7	12.7	0.0	1.3	0.0	89.9	2.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	30	100.0	83.3	0.0	83.3	0.0	83.3	10.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	83.3	16.7	0.0
		TOTAL	115	99.1	35.7	0.0	25.2	0.0	87.8	5.2	0.0
	TWIN PEAKS	1ST DUI	69	100.0	15.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	79.7	1.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	16	100.0	93.8	0.0	81.3	0.0	43.8	43.8	0.0
		3RD DUI	10	100.0	100.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	97	100.0	39.2	0.0	14.4	0.0	64.9	9.3	0.0

TABLE B4: 1994 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN BERNARDINO cont.	NEEDLES	1ST DUI	102	98.0	11.8	2.0	2.9	0.0	58.8	22.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	21	100.0	76.2	0.0	61.9	0.0	71.4	9.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	10	90.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	135	97.8	28.9	1.5	11.9	0.0	55.6	20.0	0.0
	TRONA	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	100.0	25.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
	JOSHUA TREE	1ST DUI	130	97.7	7.7	0.8	2.3	0.0	90.0	2.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	32	100.0	62.5	0.0	78.1	0.0	84.4	6.3	3.1
		3RD DUI	12	100.0	91.7	0.0	41.7	0.0	25.0	8.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	176	98.3	24.4	0.6	18.8	0.0	83.5	3.4	0.6
SAN DIEGO	SUP SAN DIEGO	1ST DUI	12	75.0	100.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	10	60.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	24	62.5	100.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.2	0.0
	SUP VISTA	1ST DUI	18	83.3	100.0	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	9	77.8	100.0	0.0	22.2	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	7	71.4	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0	14.3
		4TH+ DUI	16	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	50	70.0	100.0	4.0	4.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	2.0
	SUP CHULA VISTA	1ST DUI	32	71.9	96.9	3.1	25.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	8	50.0	100.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	14	64.3	92.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	56	67.9	96.4	1.8	19.6	0.0	19.6	0.0	0.0
	SUP EL CAJON	1ST DUI	26	76.9	96.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	8	87.5	87.5	0.0	12.5	0.0	12.5	12.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	80.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	16	37.5	93.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	55	67.3	94.5	0.0	1.8	0.0	1.8	5.5	0.0
	JUV SAN DIEGO	1ST DUI	46	2.2	6.5	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	52.2	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	48	6.3	10.4	4.2	2.1	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
	EL CAJON	1ST DUI	1534	97.2	97.5	85.9	3.6	0.0	5.6	1.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	460	94.6	98.3	5.4	69.3	0.0	70.4	2.4	10.0
		3RD DUI	112	92.9	99.1	1.8	40.2	0.0	22.3	26.8	2.7
		4TH+ DUI	11	72.7	100.0	0.0	18.2	0.0	9.1	9.1	0.0
		TOTAL	2117	96.3	97.7	63.5	19.9	0.0	20.6	3.4	2.3

TABLE B4: 1994 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN DIEGO cont.	VISTA	1ST DUI	2873	97.7	99.3	84.2	2.6	0.0	2.0	9.5	0.3
		2ND DUI	829	96.7	97.6	9.5	71.3	0.0	41.7	17.5	18.3
		3RD DUI	209	92.3	98.1	4.3	34.0	0.0	10.5	46.9	5.3
		4TH+ DUI	34	73.5	100.0	5.9	20.6	0.0	5.9	50.0	2.9
		TOTAL	3945	97.0	98.9	63.6	18.9	0.0	10.8	13.5	4.4
	SAN MARCOS	2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0
	SAN DIEGO	1ST DUI	3369	99.2	97.7	91.8	3.6	0.0	81.0	1.6	1.5
		2ND DUI	775	98.3	93.3	7.7	79.0	0.0	89.0	0.9	50.3
		3RD DUI	196	98.0	85.7	1.5	64.8	0.0	66.3	1.0	46.9
		4TH+ DUI	25	80.0	92.0	0.0	48.0	4.0	32.0	0.0	16.0
		TOTAL	4365	98.9	96.3	72.3	20.0	0.0	81.5	1.4	12.3
	CHULA VISTA MUNI	1ST DUI	1179	97.5	87.0	87.4	3.7	0.1	16.7	4.7	0.2
		2ND DUI	283	97.2	94.7	13.1	73.5	0.4	60.1	6.0	11.7
		3RD DUI	63	82.5	100.0	3.2	46.0	0.0	30.2	27.0	6.3
		4TH+ DUI	14	85.7	92.9	7.1	35.7	0.0	35.7	42.9	0.0
		TOTAL	1539	96.7	89.0	69.5	18.6	0.1	25.4	6.2	2.5
SAN FRANCISCO	SUP SAN FRAN	1ST DUI	8	50.0	87.5	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	17	41.2	94.1	11.8	11.8	0.0	5.9	5.9	0.0
	JUV SAN FRAN	1ST DUI	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SAN FRANCISCO	1ST DUI	754	97.3	98.5	93.2	1.1	0.0	10.1	0.0	0.1
		2ND DUI	197	96.4	98.5	12.2	76.1	0.0	57.4	0.0	16.8
		3RD DUI	51	96.1	90.2	5.9	37.3	0.0	27.5	0.0	13.7
		4TH+ DUI	4	75.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0
		TOTAL	1006	97.0	98.0	72.6	17.6	0.0	20.3	0.0	4.2
SAN JOAQUIN	SUP FRENCH CAMP	1ST DUI	24	29.2	50.0	4.2	0.0	0.0	4.2	41.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	8	75.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	50.0
		4TH+ DUI	23	56.5	82.6	0.0	0.0	4.3	0.0	21.7	0.0
		TOTAL	57	49.1	66.7	1.8	0.0	1.8	3.5	29.8	1.8
	JUV FRENCH CAMP	1ST DUI	11	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	11	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	LODI	1ST DUI	385	97.9	96.6	75.1	1.0	0.0	2.3	18.7	1.8
		2ND DUI	139	100.0	91.4	5.0	65.5	0.0	73.4	18.7	50.4
		3RD DUI	44	100.0	68.2	0.0	29.5	0.0	56.8	47.7	50.0
		4TH+ DUI	14	100.0	50.0	0.0	7.1	0.0	57.1	50.0	50.0
		TOTAL	582	98.6	92.1	50.9	18.7	0.0	24.7	21.6	18.2

TABLE B4: 1994 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN JOAQUIN cont.	MANTECA	1ST DUI	255	100.0	99.6	87.1	2.4	0.0	1.2	3.9	0.8
		2ND DUI	84	96.4	97.6	3.6	81.0	0.0	82.1	8.3	58.3
		3RD DUI	38	100.0	60.5	5.3	18.4	0.0	57.9	36.8	47.4
		4TH+ DUI	13	92.3	61.5	0.0	7.7	0.0	46.2	30.8	46.2
		TOTAL	390	99.0	94.1	58.2	21.0	0.0	25.6	9.0	19.2
	TRACY	1ST DUI	145	100.0	99.3	27.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	50	100.0	94.0	0.0	86.0	0.0	88.0	2.0	64.0
		3RD DUI	22	100.0	72.7	0.0	63.6	0.0	81.8	13.6	72.7
		4TH+ DUI	14	78.6	92.9	0.0	57.1	0.0	50.0	14.3	35.7
		TOTAL	231	98.7	95.2	17.3	28.1	0.0	29.9	5.6	22.9
	STOCKTON	1ST DUI	952	99.7	99.6	89.0	2.5	0.0	1.8	4.8	0.4
		2ND DUI	377	99.7	98.9	7.7	82.8	0.0	84.6	1.3	44.0
		3RD DUI	153	99.3	94.1	15.7	62.7	0.0	41.2	27.5	27.5
		4TH+ DUI	61	82.0	96.7	32.8	14.8	0.0	14.8	19.7	11.5
		TOTAL	1543	99.0	98.8	59.6	28.6	0.0	26.4	6.8	14.2
SAN LUIS OBISPO	SUP S L OBISPO	1ST DUI	12	91.7	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	7	85.7	100.0	14.3	14.3	0.0	0.0	57.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	6	16.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	30	53.3	96.7	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.7	0.0
		TOTAL	55	61.8	83.6	10.9	1.8	0.0	0.0	41.8	0.0
	S LUIS OBISPO	1ST DUI	1030	98.3	98.9	93.7	1.3	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.2
		2ND DUI	372	98.1	97.8	4.8	83.3	0.3	76.3	0.5	8.1
		3RD DUI	131	97.7	98.5	5.3	74.8	0.0	19.1	3.8	10.7
		4TH+ DUI	26	96.2	100.0	0.0	84.6	0.0	15.4	0.0	3.8
		TOTAL	1559	98.2	98.7	63.5	28.4	0.1	20.3	0.6	3.0
	PASO ROBLES	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SAN MATEO	SUP SAN MATEO	1ST DUI	31	77.4	100.0	61.3	9.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	14	100.0	100.0	0.0	78.6	0.0	35.7	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	8	75.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	58	56.9	98.3	0.0	29.3	0.0	1.7	0.0	1.7
		TOTAL	111	69.4	99.1	17.1	33.3	0.0	5.4	0.0	0.9
	JUV SAN MATEO	1ST DUI	36	72.2	13.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.6	33.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	37	73.0	13.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.4	32.4	0.0
	SO SAN FRANSCO	1ST DUI	1232	98.7	98.1	93.6	1.9	0.0	1.3	0.7	0.5
		2ND DUI	411	98.1	95.1	4.4	83.9	0.0	72.7	5.8	53.8
		3RD DUI	101	86.1	96.0	1.0	63.4	0.0	44.6	0.0	35.6
		4TH+ DUI	15	73.3	100.0	6.7	33.3	0.0	26.7	0.0	20.0
		TOTAL	1759	97.6	97.3	66.7	24.8	0.0	20.7	1.9	15.1
	REDWOOD CITY	1ST DUI	1280	98.7	98.9	93.4	1.9	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.8
		2ND DUI	400	96.5	96.0	4.8	84.3	0.0	64.3	0.3	49.8
		3RD DUI	138	84.1	92.0	2.9	64.5	0.0	52.2	0.0	46.4
		4TH+ DUI	12	58.3	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	41.7	0.0	41.7
		TOTAL	1830	96.8	97.8	66.6	24.9	0.0	19.6	0.1	15.2

TABLE B4: 1994 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SANTA BARBARA	SUP SANTA BARBARA	1ST DUI	5	80.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	40.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	21	47.6	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	9.5	19.0
		TOTAL	34	55.9	76.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.5	8.8	11.8
	SUP SANTA MARIA	1ST DUI	3	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	5	100.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0
		3RD DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	27	33.3	92.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.4	0.0	7.4
		TOTAL	37	43.2	86.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.1	2.7	8.1
	JUV SANTA BARBARA	1ST DUI	13	30.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	76.9	0.0
		TOTAL	13	30.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	76.9	0.0
	JUV LOMPOC	1ST DUI	10	60.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	10	60.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
	SANTA BARBARA	1ST DUI	998	97.2	98.1	90.5	0.3	0.0	84.3	12.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	320	96.6	95.6	3.4	27.8	0.0	33.4	64.4	4.7
		3RD DUI	92	92.4	93.5	2.2	66.3	0.0	15.2	76.1	10.9
		4TH+ DUI	11	100.0	100.0	0.0	90.9	0.0	0.0	81.8	0.0
		TOTAL	1421	96.8	97.3	64.5	11.5	0.0	67.7	28.6	1.8
	SANTA MARIA	1ST DUI	540	98.7	84.3	79.6	1.5	0.0	42.2	12.6	0.9
		2ND DUI	189	97.9	97.4	8.5	72.5	0.0	51.9	39.7	28.0
		3RD DUI	72	95.8	91.7	0.0	20.8	0.0	22.2	76.4	15.3
		4TH+ DUI	31	61.3	87.1	0.0	16.1	0.0	16.1	22.6	16.1
		TOTAL	832	96.9	88.0	53.6	19.8	0.0	41.7	24.6	8.9
	LOMPOC	1ST DUI	145	99.3	4.1	92.4	0.0	0.0	4.8	3.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	56	98.2	78.6	8.9	60.7	0.0	73.2	10.7	48.2
		3RD DUI	28	100.0	75.0	7.1	21.4	3.6	35.7	35.7	25.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
		TOTAL	232	98.7	31.9	60.8	17.2	0.4	25.0	9.9	14.7
	SOLVANG	1ST DUI	100	100.0	17.0	88.0	0.0	0.0	91.0	9.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	46	97.8	87.0	6.5	67.4	0.0	76.1	19.6	4.3
		3RD DUI	24	100.0	87.5	4.2	25.0	0.0	41.7	54.2	8.3
		4TH+ DUI	6	66.7	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	16.7	33.3
		TOTAL	176	98.3	46.6	52.3	21.0	0.0	78.4	18.2	3.4
SANTA CLARA	SUP SNTA CLARA	1ST DUI	175	71.4	93.7	44.0	5.1	0.0	8.6	1.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	74	71.6	100.0	5.4	56.8	0.0	10.8	4.1	1.4
		3RD DUI	59	52.5	98.3	0.0	42.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	224	50.4	98.7	0.0	35.7	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.4
		TOTAL	532	60.5	97.2	15.2	29.3	0.0	4.7	1.1	0.4
	JUV SNTA CLARA	1ST DUI	53	98.1	64.2	88.7	0.0	0.0	9.4	7.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	56	98.2	66.1	89.3	0.0	0.0	8.9	7.1	0.0

TABLE B4: 1994 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SANTA CLARA cont.	PALO ALTO	1ST DUI	378	99.5	96.3	84.9	1.6	0.0	5.0	1.1	0.8
		2ND DUI	137	98.5	94.9	4.4	75.9	0.0	81.8	2.2	41.6
		3RD DUI	41	92.7	65.9	2.4	17.1	0.0	41.5	0.0	43.9
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0
		TOTAL	558	98.7	93.5	58.8	21.0	0.0	26.7	1.3	14.2
	SAN JOSE	1ST DUI	3177	97.1	95.2	73.8	2.3	0.0	7.7	15.5	0.3
		2ND DUI	1166	96.6	97.9	2.6	60.5	0.1	61.7	27.1	8.5
		3RD DUI	418	96.4	95.9	1.0	8.1	0.0	9.6	11.0	4.5
		4TH+ DUI	55	90.9	94.5	1.8	1.8	0.0	7.3	5.5	5.5
		TOTAL	4816	96.9	95.9	49.4	16.9	0.0	20.9	17.8	2.7
	SANTA CLARA	1ST DUI	55	94.5	96.4	74.5	0.0	0.0	3.6	14.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	17	88.2	100.0	0.0	58.8	0.0	58.8	17.6	23.5
		3RD DUI	11	54.5	72.7	0.0	18.2	0.0	45.5	0.0	36.4
		TOTAL	83	88.0	94.0	49.4	14.5	0.0	20.5	13.3	9.6
	SUNNYVALE	1ST DUI	511	98.2	53.2	85.9	2.3	0.0	47.2	4.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	152	95.4	98.0	10.5	78.3	0.0	80.3	2.6	30.3
		3RD DUI	40	97.5	92.5	2.5	17.5	0.0	15.0	40.0	10.0
		4TH+ DUI	8	87.5	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	25.0	25.0
		TOTAL	711	97.5	65.3	64.1	19.4	0.0	52.2	6.2	7.3
	GILROY	1ST DUI	441	98.4	97.7	90.2	2.5	0.0	7.5	6.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	168	99.4	99.4	2.4	78.0	0.0	79.2	4.8	2.4
		3RD DUI	56	100.0	98.2	3.6	12.5	0.0	14.3	1.8	1.8
		4TH+ DUI	6	100.0	83.3	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	671	98.8	98.1	60.2	22.4	0.0	25.9	5.5	0.7
SANTA CRUZ	SUP SANTA CRUZ	1ST DUI	5	100.0	100.0	60.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	17	64.7	88.2	0.0	5.9	0.0	0.0	41.2	0.0
		TOTAL	27	74.1	92.6	11.1	7.4	0.0	0.0	37.0	0.0
	JUV SANTA CRUZ	1ST DUI	17	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	11.8	88.2	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	18	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	0.0
	SANTA CRUZ	1ST DUI	749	99.6	94.9	88.5	0.4	0.0	4.1	5.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	252	99.2	98.8	4.8	53.2	0.0	53.2	31.3	0.8
		3RD DUI	92	100.0	100.0	1.1	9.8	0.0	9.8	53.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	27	85.2	100.0	7.4	3.7	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
		TOTAL	1120	99.2	96.3	60.5	13.1	0.0	15.5	17.0	0.2
	WATSONVILLE	1ST DUI	466	100.0	98.5	88.8	0.4	0.0	4.9	9.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	171	100.0	100.0	2.9	43.3	0.0	42.7	55.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	52	100.0	100.0	0.0	1.9	0.0	3.8	96.2	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	100.0	100.0	16.7	33.3	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0
		TOTAL	695	100.0	99.0	60.4	11.4	0.0	14.2	27.6	0.0

TABLE B4: 1994 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SHASTA	SUP REDDING	1ST DUI	6	83.3	100.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	4	75.0	75.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	11	18.2	100.0	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	22	45.5	95.5	22.7	4.5	0.0	4.5	0.0	0.0
	BURNEY	1ST DUI	29	96.6	96.6	89.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	12	91.7	100.0	16.7	75.0	0.0	75.0	16.7	16.7
		3RD DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	46	95.7	97.8	60.9	28.3	0.0	19.6	8.7	4.3
	REDDING	1ST DUI	390	97.9	98.2	94.6	0.3	0.0	2.3	2.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	162	95.7	97.5	12.3	79.0	0.0	71.0	2.5	8.0
		3RD DUI	64	98.4	93.8	0.0	57.8	0.0	25.0	26.6	4.7
		4TH+ DUI	20	75.0	90.0	10.0	35.0	0.0	30.0	15.0	0.0
		TOTAL	636	96.7	97.3	61.5	27.2	0.0	23.0	5.2	2.5
SIERRA	SUP SIERRA	4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	DOWNIEVILLE	1ST DUI	15	100.0	100.0	93.3	0.0	0.0	6.7	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	22	100.0	100.0	63.6	18.2	0.0	18.2	4.5	0.0
SISKIYOU	SUP SISKIYOU	2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	40.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	DORRIS	1ST DUI	4	100.0	100.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	7	100.0	100.0	57.1	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	WEED	1ST DUI	71	100.0	94.4	88.7	2.8	0.0	2.8	4.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	19	100.0	100.0	21.1	78.9	0.0	57.9	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	9	100.0	100.0	0.0	88.9	0.0	22.2	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	101	100.0	96.0	67.3	25.7	0.0	14.9	3.0	0.0
	TULELAKE	1ST DUI	5	100.0	100.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	7	100.0	100.0	42.9	28.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	YREKA	1ST DUI	96	97.9	100.0	80.2	2.1	0.0	2.1	11.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	30	96.7	90.0	6.7	70.0	0.0	63.3	16.7	36.7
		3RD DUI	8	87.5	87.5	0.0	87.5	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	50.0	100.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	138	95.7	97.1	58.0	21.7	0.0	18.1	11.6	10.9

TABLE B4: 1994 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SOLANO	SUP SOLANO	1ST DUI	11	81.8	90.9	27.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	5	100.0	100.0	20.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	7	57.1	100.0	0.0	42.9	0.0	0.0	28.6	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	49	63.3	98.0	0.0	28.6	2.0	0.0	36.7	0.0
		TOTAL	72	68.1	97.2	5.6	27.8	1.4	0.0	33.3	0.0
	JUV SOLANO	1ST DUI	26	65.4	3.8	61.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	69.2	0.0
		TOTAL	26	65.4	3.8	61.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	69.2	0.0
	FAIRFIELD	1ST DUI	584	97.8	97.3	87.8	1.4	0.0	0.7	5.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	225	97.3	97.8	4.9	77.8	0.0	22.7	6.2	4.4
		3RD DUI	78	85.9	94.9	1.3	59.0	0.0	10.3	10.3	3.8
		4TH+ DUI	6	66.7	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	893	96.4	97.2	58.8	26.0	0.0	7.1	5.7	1.5
	BENICIA	1ST DUI	2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	100.0	100.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
	VALLEJO	1ST DUI	199	98.0	86.4	89.4	4.0	0.0	14.1	0.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	84	100.0	98.8	17.9	78.6	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	23	100.0	100.0	0.0	39.1	0.0	17.4	17.4	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	100.0	80.0	20.0	60.0	0.0	20.0	60.0	0.0
		TOTAL	311	98.7	90.7	62.4	27.7	0.0	28.6	2.6	0.0
SONOMA	SUP SONOMA	1ST DUI	8	62.5	100.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	5	80.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	54	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	70	54.3	100.0	0.0	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV SONOMA	1ST DUI	25	4.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	27	3.7	0.0	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.1	0.0
	SANTA ROSA	1ST DUI	1418	97.5	97.0	59.3	0.4	0.0	2.7	1.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	566	95.9	99.8	3.5	56.7	0.0	56.4	0.4	0.5
		3RD DUI	216	88.4	99.1	0.0	10.6	0.0	9.3	1.4	0.9
		4TH+ DUI	72	80.6	98.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4	1.4	0.0
		TOTAL	2272	95.7	98.0	37.9	15.4	0.0	16.6	1.1	0.2
	PETALUMA	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
STANISLAUS	SUP STANISLAUS	1ST DUI	14	92.9	92.9	28.6	0.0	0.0	7.1	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	8	62.5	87.5	12.5	12.5	0.0	12.5	12.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	6	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	53	64.2	100.0	1.9	7.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	81	67.9	97.5	7.4	6.2	0.0	2.5	1.2	0.0
	JUV STANISLAUS	1ST DUI	34	38.2	38.2	29.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	97.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	100.0	100.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	37	43.2	43.2	29.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	97.3	0.0

TABLE B4: 1994 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
STANISLAUS cont.	MODESTO	1ST DUI	1089	98.8	99.3	87.4	2.5	0.0	0.9	0.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	394	98.7	98.7	12.7	74.9	0.0	44.2	4.1	3.8
		3RD DUI	149	94.0	96.6	5.4	58.4	0.0	14.1	3.4	2.0
		4TH+ DUI	40	87.5	92.5	0.0	37.5	0.0	10.0	0.0	5.0
		TOTAL	1672	98.1	98.7	60.4	25.4	0.0	12.5	1.4	1.2
	TURLOCK	1ST DUI	171	98.2	98.2	78.9	16.4	0.0	0.6	0.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	54	100.0	100.0	9.3	81.5	0.0	27.8	0.0	5.6
		3RD DUI	16	100.0	93.8	0.0	56.3	0.0	12.5	18.8	12.5
		4TH+ DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	245	98.8	98.4	57.1	33.5	0.0	7.3	1.6	2.0
SUTTER	SUP SUTTER	1ST DUI	9	77.8	100.0	44.4	11.1	0.0	0.0	22.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	25.0	50.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	14	57.1	100.0	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	31	67.7	100.0	12.9	25.8	0.0	3.2	45.2	0.0
	JUV SUTTER	1ST DUI	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	YUBA CITY	1ST DUI	283	97.2	97.5	91.2	0.0	0.0	2.5	1.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	111	97.3	99.1	9.9	75.7	0.9	65.8	11.7	0.9
		3RD DUI	36	88.9	94.4	0.0	30.6	0.0	8.3	69.4	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	0.0
		TOTAL	434	96.5	97.7	62.0	22.4	0.2	19.1	10.6	0.2
TEHAMA	SUP TEHAMA	1ST DUI	4	75.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	7	14.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	14	35.7	100.0	14.3	7.1	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0
	JUV TEHAMA	2ND DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	CORNING	1ST DUI	54	98.1	94.4	92.6	0.0	0.0	25.9	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	19	78.9	100.0	15.8	63.2	0.0	26.3	5.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	10	30.0	100.0	20.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	84	84.5	96.4	65.5	15.5	0.0	22.6	1.2	0.0
	RED BLUFF	1ST DUI	179	83.2	97.8	75.4	0.6	0.0	3.4	20.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	40	57.5	95.0	7.5	50.0	0.0	47.5	35.0	5.0
		3RD DUI	17	35.3	100.0	11.8	23.5	0.0	17.6	17.6	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	239	74.9	97.5	58.6	10.9	0.0	12.1	22.2	0.8
TRINITY	SUP TRINITY	1ST DUI	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 1994 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
TRINITY cont.	WEAVERVILLE	1ST DUI	73	95.9	95.9	87.7	4.1	0.0	4.1	9.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	22	90.9	100.0	18.2	68.2	0.0	59.1	9.1	9.1
		3RD DUI	5	80.0	100.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	20.0	80.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
		TOTAL	105	90.5	96.2	64.8	21.0	0.0	16.2	13.3	1.9
TULARE	SUP TULARE	1ST DUI	49	79.6	95.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	11	72.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	7	71.4	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	59	44.1	100.0	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.0	3.4	1.7
		TOTAL	126	61.9	98.4	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	2.4	0.8
	JUV TULARE	1ST DUI	25	44.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	68.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	26	46.2	3.8	0.0	3.8	0.0	0.0	65.4	0.0
	DINUBA	1ST DUI	329	98.8	99.7	88.1	1.2	0.0	0.9	0.3	0.3
		2ND DUI	116	95.7	90.5	9.5	45.7	0.0	52.6	0.9	23.3
		3RD DUI	40	90.0	90.0	7.5	22.5	0.0	32.5	0.0	17.5
		4TH+ DUI	8	100.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	12.5
		TOTAL	493	97.4	96.8	61.7	13.8	0.0	16.0	0.4	7.3
	EXETER	1ST DUI	70	100.0	100.0	92.9	5.7	0.0	0.0	2.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	27	100.0	100.0	3.7	77.8	0.0	37.0	3.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	8	100.0	100.0	12.5	62.5	0.0	0.0	12.5	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	109	99.1	100.0	61.5	30.3	0.0	9.2	3.7	0.0
	LINDSAY-EXETER	1ST DUI	85	100.0	97.6	91.8	4.7	0.0	3.5	2.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	46	100.0	100.0	17.4	71.7	0.0	37.0	4.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	14	100.0	100.0	0.0	57.1	0.0	7.1	14.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	12	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	0.0
		TOTAL	157	100.0	98.7	54.8	32.5	0.0	13.4	4.5	0.0
	PORTERVILLE	1ST DUI	293	98.3	98.3	86.7	2.7	0.0	1.0	0.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	110	98.2	97.3	10.0	68.2	0.0	46.4	9.1	1.8
		3RD DUI	60	98.3	93.3	1.7	33.3	0.0	15.0	25.0	5.0
		4TH+ DUI	10	90.0	100.0	20.0	40.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	473	98.1	97.5	56.7	22.6	0.0	14.2	5.7	1.1
	TULARE	1ST DUI	227	95.6	94.3	64.3	24.2	0.0	22.5	0.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	93	96.8	96.8	10.8	60.2	0.0	61.3	6.5	7.5
		3RD DUI	27	100.0	100.0	18.5	37.0	0.0	29.6	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	100.0	100.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	351	96.3	95.4	46.2	34.5	0.0	33.0	2.0	2.0
	VISALIA	1ST DUI	443	97.5	96.4	83.1	6.3	0.0	6.3	0.5	0.9
		2ND DUI	119	96.6	97.5	14.3	74.8	0.0	51.3	1.7	25.2
		3RD DUI	44	97.7	86.4	2.3	50.0	0.0	47.7	2.3	36.4
		4TH+ DUI	30	53.3	100.0	3.3	10.0	0.0	3.3	3.3	3.3
		TOTAL	636	95.3	96.1	60.8	22.3	0.0	17.5	0.9	8.0

TABLE B4: 1994 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
TULARE cont.	WOODLAKE-EXETER	1ST DUI	36	100.0	100.0	86.1	5.6	0.0	2.8	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	14	100.0	100.0	0.0	92.9	0.0	42.9	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	10	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	61	100.0	100.0	50.8	41.0	0.0	11.5	0.0	0.0
TUOLUMNE	SUP TUOLUMNE	1ST DUI	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	8	25.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	15	46.7	100.0	6.7	13.3	0.0	6.7	0.0	0.0
	SONORA	1ST DUI	98	99.0	100.0	96.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	61	100.0	100.0	1.6	86.9	0.0	68.9	0.0	1.6
		3RD DUI	16	100.0	100.0	6.3	12.5	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	178	99.4	100.0	54.5	32.0	0.0	24.2	4.5	0.6
	JAMESTOWN	1ST DUI	23	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	8	100.0	100.0	0.0	87.5	0.0	87.5	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	100.0	100.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	36	100.0	100.0	69.4	19.4	0.0	19.4	0.0	0.0
VENTURA	JUV VENTURA	1ST DUI	22	95.5	40.9	18.2	0.0	0.0	4.5	4.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	23	91.3	39.1	17.4	0.0	0.0	4.3	4.3	0.0
	VENTURA MUNI	1ST DUI	3088	98.1	98.8	88.7	2.1	0.0	2.2	9.6	0.3
		2ND DUI	877	98.9	98.5	14.4	68.0	0.0	74.8	15.6	18.5
		3RD DUI	287	95.1	95.5	7.3	40.8	0.0	34.8	52.3	21.3
		4TH+ DUI	71	62.0	78.9	23.9	12.7	0.0	2.8	26.8	2.8
		TOTAL	4323	97.5	98.2	67.2	18.2	0.0	19.1	13.9	5.4
YOLO	SUP WOODLAND	1ST DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	100.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
	JUV YOLO	1ST DUI	3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	WEST SACTO	1ST DUI	5	100.0	100.0	60.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	7	100.0	100.0	42.9	57.1	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0
	WOODLAND	1ST DUI	443	99.5	98.4	82.6	14.0	0.0	4.3	0.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	138	100.0	98.6	8.0	83.3	0.0	83.3	0.7	1.4
		3RD DUI	59	100.0	100.0	11.9	32.2	0.0	18.6	18.6	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	10	100.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	650	99.7	98.6	59.1	30.8	0.0	22.3	2.3	0.3
YUBA	SUP YUBA	1ST DUI	3	100.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	9	44.4	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	12	58.3	91.7	8.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 1994 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
YUBA cont.	JUV YUBA	1ST DUI	2	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	MARYSVILLE	1ST DUI	225	99.1	67.1	92.4	0.0	0.0	0.4	7.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	93	98.9	98.9	4.3	53.8	0.0	26.9	55.9	10.8
		3RD DUI	42	100.0	100.0	0.0	7.1	0.0	4.8	85.7	2.4
		4TH+ DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	364	99.2	79.4	58.2	14.6	0.0	7.7	29.7	3.0
	BEALE AFB	1ST DUI	7	85.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	7	85.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B5: DEMOGRAPHIC 2-YEAR PRIOR DRIVER RECORD VARIABLES
BY YEAR AND SANCTION GROUP FOR FIRST DUI OFFENDERS

YEAR GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	PERCENT FEMALE	MEAN AGE	PERCENT COMMERCIAL DRIVERS	2-YEAR PRIOR INCIDENTS PER 100 DRIVERS				ZIP CODE ACCIDENT AND CONVICTION INDICES**			
					TOTAL ACCIDENTS	ALCOHOL ACCIDENTS	MAJOR CONVICTIONS	MINOR CONVICTIONS	TOTAL ACCIDENTS	INJURY ACCIDENTS	MAJOR VIOLATIONS	MOVING VIOLATIONS
1989												
Suspension	5,638	12.3	25.9	1.4	3.74	1.90	.34	16.07	2.86	.87	.22	6.64
Jail	7,199	13.6	31.9	3.4	3.19	1.60	.21	11.29	2.95	.95	.24	6.79
1st DUI program & jail	43,626	14.9	32.7	3.6	2.88	1.45	.15	10.75	2.84	.86	.22	6.68
1st DUI program & restriction	41,223	15.5	32.8	2.9	3.06	1.48	.12	9.31	3.11	.91	.21	6.47
SB 38 program & restriction	4,422	14.0	33.7	3.6	3.27	1.79	.32	8.98	3.01	.95	.25	6.25
Statistical significance test		$X^2 = 54.2$*	$F = 571.2$*	$X^2 = 94.1$*	$F = 34.4$*	$F = 26.2$*	$F = 52.0$*	$F = 277.1$*	$F = 802.2$*	$F = 451.3$*	$F = 217.3$*	$F = 200.3$*
1992												
Suspension	8,265	11.6	28.2	1.8	2.35	1.54	.67	7.46	1.54	.46	.10	3.45
Jail	4,769	13.1	32.2	3.5	2.23	1.41	.22	6.27	1.53	.46	.10	3.33
1st DUI program & jail	49,591	16.4	33.3	3.3	2.00	1.31	.11	4.97	1.52	.45	.10	3.35
1st DUI program & restriction	32,476	15.6	33.1	2.6	2.11	1.29	.08	4.99	1.67	.47	.09	3.49
SB 38 program & restriction	5,538	14.4	33.4	3.6	2.00	1.30	.29	4.56	1.60	.48	.10	3.22
Statistical significance test		$X^2 = 151.4$*	$F = 439.7$*	$X^2 = 86.9$*	$F = 13.4$*	$F = 9.3$*	$F = 385.2$*	$F = 156.7$*	$F = 1123.3$*	$F = 254.9$*	$F = 277.3$*	$F = 386.6$*
1994												
Suspension	5,520	12.3	28.3	1.8	3.45	1.76	.73	12.80	1.51	.44	.09	3.28
Jail	3,825	14.6	33.3	3.3	3.07	1.71	.24	10.74	1.50	.45	.09	3.20
1st DUI program & jail	37,527	16.3	34.4	3.4	2.73	1.48	.13	8.61	1.49	.44	.09	3.18
1st DUI program & restriction	26,945	15.3	34.0	2.7	3.04	1.71	.10	8.41	1.63	.46	.09	3.35
SB 38 program & restriction	3,709	13.1	34.5	3.8	2.87	1.58	.35	8.40	1.56	.47	.09	3.11
Statistical significance test		$X^2 = 82.9$*	$F = 375.2$*	$X^2 = 67.3$*	$F = 29.1$*	$F = 2.8$*	$F = 274.2$*	$F = 267.6$*	$F = 929.3$*	$F = 213.9$*	$F = 221.5$*	$F = 352.1$*

*Statistical significance at $p<.05$, (two-tailed).
**The ZIP Code indices for the 1994 cases are based on 3.25 years of driver record data whereas the indices for the 1989 and 1992 drivers are based on 5 years of data.

TABLE B6: DEMOGRAPHIC 2-YEAR PRIOR DRIVER RECORD VARIABLES
BY YEAR AND SANCTION GROUP FOR SECOND DUI OFFENDERS

YEAR GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	PERCENT FEMALE	MEAN AGE	PERCENT COMMERCIAL DRIVERS	2-YEAR PRIOR INCIDENTS PER 100 DRIVERS				ZIP CODE ACCIDENT AND CONVICTION INDICES**			
					TOTAL ACCIDENTS	ALCOHOL ACCIDENTS	MAJOR CONVICTIONS	MINOR CONVICTIONS	TOTAL ACCIDENTS	INJURY ACCIDENTS	MAJOR VIOLATIONS	MOVING VIOLATIONS
1989												
Suspension	9,482	8.9	32.0	2.4	3.81	2.35	5.04	13.75	2.86	.89	.23	6.57
SB 38 program & restriction	23,927	10.4	34.7	3.7	3.37	1.89	3.37	10.21	2.97	.89	.22	6.63
Statistical significance test		$X^2 = 17.8$*	$F = 462.2$*	$X^2 = 36.7$*	$F = 37.1$*	$F = 73.1$*	$F = 753.7$*	$F = 363.8$*	$F = 157.9$*	$F = 1.7$	$F = 34.7$*	$F = 8.8$*
1992												
Suspension	9,230	9.0	33.2	2.5	2.06	1.49	2.77	5.87	1.50	.46	.10	3.40
SB 38 program & restriction	15,971	10.3	34.5	3.1	2.01	1.38	1.50	4.75	1.58	.46	.11	3.40
Other	10,170	9.5	34.3	3.4	2.16	1.49	2.31	5.16	1.55	.46	.10	3.36
Statistical significance test		$X^2 = 12.6$*	$F = 51.7$*	$X^2 = 14.6$*	$F = 3.6$*	$F = 3.8$*	$F = 295.0$*	$F = 45.8$*	$F = 216.3$*	$F = 15.2$*	$F = 166.5$*	$F = 11.8$*
1994												
Suspension	5,461	9.1	34.1	2.3	3.0	1.85	4.02	10.1	1.46	.44	.10	3.27
SB 38 program & restriction	8,595	11.2	35.4	2.6	3.0	1.90	2.97	8.1	1.55	.45	.09	3.24
SB 38 program & Interlock	4,340	8.7	35.7	2.6	3.4	2.12	2.97	8.6	1.56	.45	.09	3.32
Other	7,273	9.4	35.2	2.7	3.1	1.88	3.57	9.16	1.52	.44	.09	3.25
Statistical significance test		$X^2 = 27.6$*	$F = 39.3$*	$X^2 = 2.7$	$F = 7.67$*	$F = 5.54$*	$F = 88.1$*	$F = 40.4$*	$F = 182.1$*	$F = 39.6$*	$F = 191.9$*	$F = 22.6$*

*Statistically significance at $p<.05$, (two-tailed).
**The ZIP Code indices for the 1994 cases are based on 3.25 years of driver record data whereas the indices for the 1989 and 1992 drivers are based on 5 years of data.

TABLE B7: ZIP CODE CENSUS VARIABLES (COVARIATES) BY YEAR AND SANCTION GROUP FOR FIRST AND SECOND DUI OFFENDERS

YEAR GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	MONTHS IN STUDY	PERCENT OTHER TRANSPORTATION	MEDIAN INCOME	PERCENT SINGLE	PERCENT ELEM. EDUCATION	PERCENT UNEMPLOYED	PERCENT RENTING	TRAVEL TIME TO WORK (MIN.)
FIRST OFFENDERS									
1992									
Suspension	8,265	43.9	10.6	NA	NA	16.1	NA	NA	NA
Jail	4,769	44.2	9.8	NA	NA	15.2	NA	NA	NA
1st DUI program & jail	49,591	44.5	10.6	NA	NA	13.3	NA	NA	NA
1st DUI program & restriction	32,476	44.3	11.4	NA	NA	14.8	NA	NA	NA
SB 38 program & restriction	5,538	44.1	7.7	NA	NA	11.5	NA	NA	NA
Statistical significance test		$F = 54.9$*	$F = 224.5$*			$F = 207.8$*			
1994									
Suspension	5,520	20.4	NA	NA	29.0	14.9	4.9	44.5	26.3
Jail	3,825	20.6	NA	NA	27.6	13.3	4.8	42.8	26.6
1st DUI program & jail	37,527	20.5	NA	NA	27.9	13.0	4.7	43.3	25.8
1st DUI program & restriction	26,945	20.5	NA	NA	31.7	14.5	4.6	48.1	27.6
SB 38 program & restriction	3,709	20.0	NA	NA	27.4	12.1	4.9	41.4	28.5
Statistical significance test		$F = 20.8$*			$F = 1153.3$*	$F = 96.1$*	$F = 54.7$*	$F = 419.1$*	$F = 729.8$*
SECOND OFFENDERS									
1992									
Suspension	9,230	44.0	NA	32,540	28.8	16.0	NA	NA	NA
SB 38 program & restriction	15,971	44.1	NA	35,457	29.2	13.4	NA	NA	NA
Other	10,170	44.0	NA	34,468	88.6	14.5	NA	NA	NA
Statistical significance test		$F = 4.6$*		$F = 206.1$*	$F = 20.4$*	$F = 129.4$*			
1994									
Suspension	5,461	20.4	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	45.2	25.5
SB 38 program & restriction	8,595	20.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	44.2	26.6
SB 38 program/ restriction & interlock	4,340	20.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	46.5	26.8
Other	7,273	20.2	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	44.5	26.4
Statistical significance test		$F = 15.4$*						$F = 29.7$*	$F = 115.9$*

*Statistical significance at $p<.05$, (two-tailed).

**The ZIP Code indices for the 1994 cases are based on 3.25 years of driver record data whereas the indices for 1992 drivers are based on 5 years of data. These census variables were not applied to the 1989 drivers since these variables were not available until after 1990.