STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES

1995

ANNUAL REPORT OF THE CALIFORNIA DUI MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM

ANNUAL REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN ACCORD WITH ASSEMBLY BILL 757
CHAPTER 450, 1989 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

JANUARY 1995

PETE WILSON
Governor

DEAN R. DUNPHY, Secretary
Business, Transportation and Housing Agency

FRANK ZOLIN
Director

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED
	January 1995	Final Report

4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE
1995 Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System

5. FUNDING NUMBERS

6. AUTHOR(S)
Helen N. Tashima and Clifford J Helander

7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)
California Department of Motor Vehicles
Research and Development Section
P.O. Box 932382
Sacramento, CA 94232-3820

8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
CAL-DMV-RSS-95-145

9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)

10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE

13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)

In this fourth annual report, 1992 and 1993 DUI data from several diverse sources were compiled and cross-referenced for the purpose of developing a single comprehensive DUI data and monitoring system. This report presents crosstabulated information on DUI arrests, convictions, court sanctions, administrative actions and alcohol-involved accidents. In addition, this report provides an evaluation of the effectiveness of alternative court and administrative sanctions (including alcohol treatment programs and license actions) upon the postconviction records of first and second DUI offenders. The postconviction driving records of DUI offenders arrested in 1989, 1991, and 1992 were evaluated for four-, two-, and one-year periods, respectively.

14. SUBJECT TERMS
Drinking drivers, DUI tracking data system, DUI reporting system, DUI countermeasures, DUI recidivism, alcohol education and rehabilitation, driver license disqualification

15. NUMBER OF PAGES
145

16. PRICE CODE

17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified	None

DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 1983 - 1993

	YEAR										
	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993
Total DUI Arrests[1]	353079	352697	347797	347286	349576	327186	336059	366834	312571	260150	233673
Felony DUI Arrests	6812	7200	7316	8034	8488	8604	10448	12948	11220	9803	8738
Misdemeanor DUI Arrests	346267	345497	340481	339252	341088	318582	325611	353886	301351	250347	224935
DUI Convictions Received to Date (by year of arrest)[2]	244712	247288	244354	239257	242317	219209	224997	255586	220396	181323	156105
Percent Convicted of DUI as of September 1994	69%	70%	70%	69%	69%	67%	67%	70%	71%	70%	67%
Estimated Final DUI Convictions[3]	244720[r]	247308[r]	244384[r]	239322[r]	242396[r]	219767[r]	226135[r]	259810[r]	225748[r]	188116[r]	178903
Estimated Final DUI Conviction Rate	69%	70%	70%	69%	69%	67%[r]	67%	71%[r]	72%[r]	72%[r]	76%
Total Reckless Driving Convictions[4]	43637	44714	44386	44063	41724	39926	40456	39617	39386	34186	27835
Alcohol-Involved Reckless	26064	28664	27163	26316	24922	24013	25646	26960	27093	23675	18645
Total Mandatory Susp/ Rev[5]	93670	98928	105849	104333	103630	101779	111703	233680	373131[r]	308399	277447
Admin Per Se/ Refusal Susp/ Rev	31173	30654	29308	26327	25474	22757	21466	142525	272273[r]	228790	209006
Postconviction Susp/ Rev	62497	68274	76541	78006	78156	79022	90237	91155	100858	79609	68441
Alcohol-Involved Fatalities	2386	2607	2412	2543	2754	2510	2509	2382	2048	1832	1569
% of Total Fatalities	52.2	52.2	48.9	48.7	50.1	46.6	46.6	46.0	44.1	43.8	37.7
Alcohol-Involved Injuries	66909	67835	66667	69876	68816	65033	63937	63847	55779	48969	42936
% of Total Injuries	22.9	21.9	20.7	20.1	19.1	18.2	17.6	17.5	15.9	14.5	13.6

[1]These totals were reported by the Department of Justice, Law Enforcement Information Center, and include a small number of duplicates (0.8% in 1993).

[2]For the years 1984-93, a DMV master file count of the number of DUI abstracts received (by year of violation) is used, minus duplicates. These totals do not include conviction abstracts not yet received. Thus, for the most recent years, these figures will substantially underestimate the final conviction totals. For 1983, the data are based on DMV S&R Report totals, proportionally adjusted by the 1984-1991 differences between the S&R Report and the annual DUI abstract totals.

[3]These data include a projected number of abstracts not yet received. This number is based on an empirically derived function of the court abstract reporting rate.

[4]These totals were taken from the DMV annual S&R reports and include late reporting of convictions from prior years.

[5]Total mandatory susp/ rev exceeds the number of DUI arrests because many offenders receive both an APS and postconviction susp/ rev action.

[r]Revised from prior reports.

DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 1983 - 1993 (continued)

	YEAR										
	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993
TOTAL MANDATORY S/ R ACTIONS	93670	98928	105849	104333	103630	101779	111703	233680	373131	308399	277447
PRECONVICTION											
Admin Per Se (APS) Actions	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	119610	272273	228790	209006
First-Offender Suspensions	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	82503	187527	157545	144321
Repeat-Offender Suspensions	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	34792	74351	62656	57279
Repeat-Offender Revocations	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	2315	10395	8589	7406
Commercial Driver Actions	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	3739	7976	6449	5829
Chemical Test Refusal Actions	31173	30654	29308	26327	25474	22757	21466	22915	23222	19298	15662
Test Refusal Suspensions	31173	30654	25485	16352	14877	13720	12390	15128	12145	10219	8256
Test Refusal Revocations	n/ a	n/ a	3823	9975	10597	9037	9076	7787	11077	9079	7406
TOTAL APS/ REFUSAL ACTIONS	31173	30654	29308	26327	25474	22757	21466	142525	272273	228790	209006
POSTCONVICTION											
Juvenile DUI Suspensions	n/ a	n/ a	2200	2219	2374	1823	1995	1478	1576	1202	922
First-Offender Suspensions	7385	7910	7057	7384	7278	6626	7679	10408	13575	10673	10208
Misdemeanor	6094	6386	5346	5592	5558	5050	5658	8467	11547	8989	8607
Felony	1291	1524	1711	1792	1720	1576	2021	1941	2028	1684	1601
Second-Offender S/ R Actions	35964	43980	46835	45234	43608	47698	53927	52334	57350	45478	38849
Misdemeanor	35542	43481	46292	44601	42964	47093	53238	51593	56583	44756	38285
Felony	422	499	543	633	644	605	689	741	767	722	564
Third-Offender Revocations	19148	16384	14970	16946	17118	13991	18514	18650	19963	15553	12908
Misdemeanor	19148	16384	14970	16946	17118	13671	18182	18219	19595	15233	12644
Felony	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	320	332	431	368	320	264
Fourth-Offender Revocations	n/ a	n/ a	5479	6223	7778	8884	8122	8285	8394	6703	5554
TOTAL POSTCONVICTION ACTIONS	62497	68274	76541	78006	78156	79022	90237	91155	100858	79609	68441

HIGHLIGHTS OF 1995 CALIFORNIA DUI-MIS REPORT

- DUI arrests continued to decline in 1993 (-10.3%), and have dropped by over 36% since 1990.

- Alcohol-involved traffic fatalities decreased again in 1993 (-14.4%), declining each year since 1987 (-43% overall).

- The number of persons injured in alcohol-involved accidents during 1993 declined (-12.3%) for the seventh consecutive year, resulting in a 38.6% reduction in alcohol-involved injuries over the 7-year time period.

- 11.1% of all 1992 DUI arrests were associated with a reported traffic accident. 51.5% of these accidents involved an injury or fatality.

- The average blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of a convicted DUI offender was .166% in 1992 (the same as for 1991), which is down from .176% in 1989, but still more than double the California illegal per se BAC limit of .08%.

- Almost 1 million license suspension or revocation actions have been taken since the administrative per se (APS) law was enacted in July 1990.

- Among 1993 DUI arrestees, Hispanics (46.6%) again constituted the largest racial/ethnic group, and were arrested at a rate over double their adult population parity (22.5%, 1990 Census).

- Hispanics (45.2% of 1992 DUI arrests) are the only racial/ethnic group that is underrepresented in alcohol-involved accidents (42.1% of total, and 39.2% of fatal and injury, arrest-related accidents).

- The average age of a DUI offender is 32.6 years. Less than 1% of arrested DUI offenders are juveniles (under age 18).

- Among convicted DUI offenders in 1992, 67.5% were first offenders and 32.5% were repeat offenders (one or more prior convictions within the previous 7 years). The

proportion of repeat offenders has decreased slightly each year since 1989, when it stood at 37%.

- 19.2% of 1992 DUI arrest cases did not show any corresponding conviction on DMV records. This rate is up from 18.6% in 1991, a proportional increase of 3.2%. (It should be noted that these cases occurred prior to the 1993 DUI-MIS report, which identified the problem of DUI convictions not appearing on driver records. Any subsequent system improvements which would reduce the number of nonrecorded DUI convictions would not begin to appear until 1993 DUI arrests were tracked).

- Alcohol treatment, in conjunction with license restriction, was the most effective postconviction sanction in reducing subsequent DUI incidents among DUI offenders.

- License suspension was the most effective postconviction sanction in reducing the total accident risk of DUI offenders. Beginning in July 1990, virtually all DUI offenders were suspended upon arrest under the new administrative per se law. With the imposition of preconviction APS suspensions, the postconviction total accident rates of treatment program participants, who would not have been suspended prior to 1990, was reduced toward that of the suspended groups.

- Jail, in the absence of treatment or postconviction suspension, was the least effective sanction for first offenders in terms of both DUI recidivism and subsequent accident risk.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge with appreciation the many individuals who have contributed to the success of this project. In particular, we wish to acknowledge the cooperation of the Department of Justice, Statistical Analysis Center, in providing annual DUI arrest data and documentation. The contributions of Debbie McKenzie, Staff Services Analyst, in transforming mainframe computer files into readable data tables and figures, as well as her general assistance in the production of this report, are acknowledged with appreciation. We also would like to acknowledge the contributions of Patrice Rogers, Research Analyst II, William C. Marsh, Research Program Specialist II, and Pat Timmons, Staff Programmer Analyst, the administrative direction and report review efforts of Raymond C. Peck, Chief, Research and Development Section, and the coordination of the final report production by Seresa Hartwell, Management Services Technician.

Report Authors:
Helen N. Tashima, Research Analyst II, Principal Investigator, DUI-MIS Project
Clifford J. Helander, Research Manager I, DUI-MIS Project Manager

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 1983-1993 i

HIGHLIGHTS OF 1995 DUI-MIS REPORT iii

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS v

INTRODUCTION 1

SECTION 1: DUI ARRESTS 4

SECTION 2: CONVICTIONS 10

SECTION 3: POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS 21

SECTION 4: POSTCONVICTION SANCTION EFFECTIVENESS 27

Evaluation Method and Results 30

SECTION 5: ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS 44

SECTION 6: ACCIDENTS INVOLVING ALCOHOL 48

DATA SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS 54

REFERENCES 55

GLOSSARY 56

APPENDICES

NUMBER

A Chapter 450 (Assembly Bill Number 757 - Friedman) 58

B1 1993 DUI Arrests by County, Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity 59

B2 1992 DUI Convictions by County, Sex and Age 74

B3 Total Conviction Data for 1992 DUI Arrestees by Court 84

B4 1992 DUI Sanctions by County, Court and Offender Status 94

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

APPENDICES (continued)

NUMBER		PAGE
B5	Demographic and 1-Year Prior and 2-Year Prior Driver Record Variables by Year and Sanction Group for First DUI Offenders	131
B6	Demographic and 1-Year Prior and 2-Year Prior Driver Record Variables by Year and Sanction Group for Second DUI Offenders	132
B7	ZIP Code Census Variables (Covariates) by Year and Sanction Group for First and Second DUI Offenders	133

LIST OF TABLES

1	DUI Arrests by County and Annual Percentage Change from 1991-1993	7
2	1993 DUI Arrests by County and Type of Arrest	8
3a	1993 DUI Arrests by Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity	9
3b	1993 DUI Arrests by Sex, Age, and Race/Ethnicity	9
4	1992 DUI Convictions by Age and Sex	15
5	Matchable 1992 DUI Convictions by Age, Race/Ethnicity and Sex	16
6	Adjusted 1992 DUI Conviction Rates and Relative Likelihood of Conviction, by Age and Race/Ethnicity	16
7	Total Conviction Data for 1992 DUI Arrestees	17
8	Adjudication Status of 1992 DUI Arrests by County	19
9	1992 Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels by Type of Conviction	20
10	1992 DUI Convictions by Offender Status and Average Reported BAC Level	20
11	1992 DUI Court Sanctions by DUI Offender Status	23

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

TABLES (continued)

NUMBER		PAGE
12	1992 DUI Sanction Combinations by County - First Offenders	24
13	1992 DUI Sanction Combinations by County - Repeat Offenders	25
14	First Offender Sanction Effects on Total Accidents and DUI Incidents by Year	37
15	Second Offender Sanction Effects on Total Accidents and DUI Incidents by Year	38
16	Summary of Significant Results for 1989, 1991, and 1992 First and Second Offender Sanction Groups by Outcome Measures	39
17	Accident- and DUI-Incident Involvement of 1989 and 1991 DUI Offenders - Two-Year Subsequent Driving Records	40
18	Mandatory DUI License Disqualification Actions, 1983-1993	46
19	Administrative Per Se Process Measures	47
20	Race/Ethnicity by Sobriety Code of Accident-Involved 1992 DUI Arrestees	51
21	Fatal and Injury Accidents of 1992 DUI Arrestees by Race/Ethnicity and Type of Arrest	51
22	Adjudication Status by Sobriety Code for Accident-Involved 1992 DUI Arrestees	52
23	Fatal and Injury Accidents of 1992 DUI Arrestees by Type of Arrest and Adjudication Status	52
24	1992 Accident-Involved DUI Arrestees with no Record of Conviction by County and Type of Arrest	53

LIST OF FIGURES

1	DUI Management Information System	2
2	DUI Arrests 1983-1993	4

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

FIGURES (continued)

NUMBER		PAGE
3	Percentage of 1993 DUI Arrests and Adult Population by Race/Ethnicity	6
4	DUI Abstracts Received by DMV and DUI Conviction Volume and Rate Estimates, 1983-1993	12
5	Relative Likelihood of Conviction by Race/Ethnicity	15
6	Frequency of Court-Ordered DUI Sanctions (1992)	22
7	Adjusted 4-Year Accident Rates of 1989 First Offenders by Type of Sanction	28
8	Adjusted 2-Year Accident Rates of 1989 and 1991 First Offenders by Type of Sanction	28
9	Adjusted 1-Year Accident Rates of 1992 First Offenders by Type of Sanction	28
10	Adjusted 4-Year DUI Incident Rates of 1989 First Offenders by Type of Sanction	29
11	Adjusted 2-Year DUI Incident Rates of 1989 and 1991 First Offenders by Type of Sanction	29
12	Adjusted 1-Year DUI Incident Rates of 1992 First Offenders by Type of Sanction	29
13	Adjusted 4-Year Accident and DUI Incident Rates of 1989 Second Offenders by Type of Sanction	31
14	Adjusted 2-Year Accident and DUI Incident Rates of 1989 and 1991 Second Offenders by Type of Sanction	31
15	Adjusted 1-Year Accident and DUI Incident Rates of 1992 Second Offenders by Type of Sanction	31
16	Adjusted 2-Year Accident and DUI Incident Rates for 1989 and 1991 First and Second Offenders by Type of Sanction	41
17	Mandatory DUI License Disqualification Actions, 1983-1993	45
18	Percentage of Total Injuries and Total Fatalities that were Alcohol-Involved, 1983-1993	49

INTRODUCTION

This report is the fourth Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System, produced in response to Assembly Bill 757 (Friedman), Chapter 450, 1989 legislative session (see Appendix A). This bill required the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) to "establish and maintain a data and monitoring system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted" of DUI in order to provide "accurate and up-to-date comprehensive statistics" to enhance "the ability of the Legislature to make informed and timely policy decisions." The need for such a data source had long been documented by numerous authorities, including the 1983 Presidential Commission on Drunk Driving. In responding to this legislative mandate, this report combines and cross-references DUI data from diverse sources and presents them in a single reference. Data sources drawn upon include the California Highway Patrol (CHP) for accident data, Department of Justice (DOJ) for arrest data, and the DMV driver record database. Each of these reporting agencies, however, initially draw their data from diffuse primary sources such as individual law enforcement agencies (arrest and accident reports) and the courts (abstracts of conviction).

The general conceptual design of the California DUI management information system (DUI-MIS) is presented in Figure 1. The basic theme of the DUI-MIS is to track the processing of offenders through the DUI system from the point of arrest and to identify the frequency with which offenders flow through each branch of the system process (from law enforcement through adjudication to treatment and license control actions). Figure 1 also illustrates the relationship between offender flow and data collection at each point of the process. The initiating data source for the DUI-MIS is the DUI arrest report, as compiled by the DOJ Law Enforcement Information Center's Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system.

Another major objective of this report is to evaluate the effectiveness of court and administrative sanctions on convicted DUI offenders. This is accomplished by examining the postconviction recidivism records (alcohol/drug-related accidents and traffic convictions) of offenders assigned to alternative sanctions, as detailed in the section on "Postconviction Sanction Effectiveness."



Figure 1. DUI management information system.

It should again be noted that it is not an objective of this report to interpret, analyze or make recommendations based on the data presented. Rather, the primary purpose of a reporting system such as the DUI-MIS is to provide objective data on the operating and performance characteristics of the system for others to assess in making policy decisions, formulating improvements and conducting more in-depth evaluations.

An example of how this process can work is provided by local and state agency response to the 1993 DUI-MIS report, which included a section on the tracking of "nonconvicted" DUI arrestees. In response to the identified problem of DUI convictions not being reported or updated to the offender's driver record, the Riverside County District Attorney organized a task force of representatives from law enforcement, the courts, public policy groups, the press, state administrative agencies and the legislature, to address the situation in Riverside County. The involvement of state legislative representatives led to the development of a statewide proposal on behalf of the Business, Transportation and Housing Agency to improve the overall integrity of DUI and other traffic conviction data on the driver record database. Representatives of major components of the DUI system, including law enforcement, the courts, prosecutors, and administrative agencies, met in Sacramento during February 1994 to discuss potential improvements in the tracking of DUI offenders. Some of these potential improvements have been incorporated into a current departmental legislative proposal to require the reporting to DMV of all DUI disposition information, including cases not filed, failures-to-appear in court, bench warrants and acquittals. The department also recently (October 1994) released a Request for Proposal (RFP) to develop an "audit" procedure for tracking DUI cases which do not appear as convictions on DMV driver records.

It is exactly this feedback process of problem identification, proposed solution and reevaluation which the DUI-MIS was designed to engender. The success of the California DUI-MIS has contributed to a national initiative to design a model DUI reporting system, currently being developed under contract to the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA).

SECTION 1: DUI ARRESTS

The information presented below on DUI arrests is based primarily on data collected annually by the Department of Justice (DOJ), Law Enforcement Information Center, Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system. These data are the most current nonaggregated data available on DUI arrests.

Table 1: DUI Arrests By County and Annual Percentage Change from 1991-1993. The number of DUI arrests by county for the years 1991-1993 and the percentage changes from 1992 to 1993 are shown in Table 1.

Table 2: 1993 DUI Arrests by County and Type of Arrest. This table shows a breakdown of 1993 DUI arrests by felony, misdemeanor and juvenile arrest type, by county. This table also shows county and statewide DUI arrest rates per 100 licensed drivers.

Tables 3a-3b: 1993 DUI Arrests by Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity. Table 3a crosstabulates age by sex and age by race/ethnicity of 1993 DUI arrestees statewide. The same tabulations by county are found in Appendix Table B1. Table 3b shows the same data crosstabulated by sex and age within race/ethnicity.

Figure 2 below displays the trend in DUI arrests from 1983 to 1993.



FIGURE 2 . DUI ARRESTS 1983-1993.

Based on the data shown in Figure 2 and Tables 1, 2, 3a and 3b, the following statements can be made about DUI arrests in California:

Statewide Parameters:

- DUI arrests decreased by 10.3% in 1993, following a 16.1% decline in 1992. Since 1990, DUI arrests have dropped by over 36%.

- The DUI arrest rate decreased to 1.1 per 100 licensed drivers in 1993, compared to rates of 1.3 in 1992, 1.5 in 1991, and 1.8 in 1990.

- Felony DUI arrests (involving bodily injury or death) constitute a relatively small proportion (3.7% in 1993) of all DUI arrests.

County Variation:

- 25% of all 1993 California DUI arrests occurred in Los Angeles County. Five counties (Los Angeles, Orange, San Diego, Santa Clara, and San Bernardino) had over 10,000 DUI arrests each, and accounted for almost half (49%) of all arrests.

- The 1993 county per capita DUI arrest rates ranged from 0.4 to 6.8 DUI arrests per 100 licensed drivers. Eight counties had rates below 1.0: San Francisco (0.4), Contra Costa (0.7), Nevada (0.8), and Alameda, Orange, Shasta, Solano and Tuolumne (0.9). Fifteen counties had rates of 2.0 or higher and of these, 5 had rates higher than 2.5: Imperial (2.9), Colusa (2.8), Kings and Sierra (2.7), and Inyo (2.6). The extremely high rate for Alpine (6.8) must be viewed with caution because of the extremely small population size of Alpine County (N = 800 licensed drivers in 1993).

- Most counties again showed a decline in DUI arrests in 1993. Among the larger counties, the greatest decline occurred in Orange County (-18.9%). Among other counties, the largest decreases in DUI arrests occurred in San Francisco (-28.7%), Inyo (-28.1%), Calaveras (-24.6%), and Glenn (-22.9%) counties. Among counties showing increases in DUI arrests were Sierra (31.4%), Plumas (29.9%), Sutter (23.0%) and Mariposa (22.7%).

Demographic Characteristics:

- The average age of a DUI arrestee in 1993 was 32.6 years. Half of all arrestees were age 30 or younger and 80% were age 40 or younger. Less than 1% of all DUI arrests involved juveniles (under age 18). 2% of all arrestees were age 61 or older.

- Males comprised 88.4% of all 1993 DUI arrests.

- Among 1993 DUI arrestees, Hispanics (46.6%) continued to be the largest racial/ethnic group, and were arrested at a rate over double their adult population parity (22.5%, 1990 Census). All other racial/ethnic groups were underrepresented among DUI arrestees, including Whites (42.0% of arrests, 61.2% of the population), Blacks (6.6% of arrests, 6.7% of the population) and Others (4.8% of arrests, 9.7% of the population). The percentage of Hispanic DUI arrestees (46.6%) has increased substantially since 1991 (40.4%), while that of White DUI arrestees (42.0%) has decreased substantially compared to 1991 (49.9%). It should be noted, however, that the absolute numbers of DUI arrests among all racial/ethnic groups declined in 1993, with Hispanics declining at a slower rate than other groups. Figure 3 below shows the percentages of 1993 DUI arrests and 1990 Census adult population by race/ethnicity.

- Among male 1993 DUI arrestees, 50.2% were Hispanic, 38.5% were White, 6.5% were Black and 4.9% were "Other." Among female DUI arrestees, 68.6% were White, 19.3% were Hispanic, 7.3% were Black, and 4.8% were "Other."

- In 9 counties, Hispanics comprised over 60% of those arrested for DUI during 1993: Tulare (75.3%), San Benito (70.5%), Fresno (70.2%), Madera (68.7%), Imperial (67.2%), Kings (65.3%), Monterey (64.8%), Merced (63.7%), and Los Angeles (62.8%). In most other counties, the majority of arrestees were White.

- The average age of a DUI arrestee varied considerably by race: Blacks were the oldest with a mean age of 35.2 years, while Hispanics were the youngest, with a mean age of 30.1 years.



Figure 3. Percentage of 1993 DUI arrests and adult population by race/ethnicity.

TABLE 1: DUI ARRESTS* BY COUNTY AND ANNUAL PERCENTAGE CHANGE FROM 1991-1993

COUNTY	1991	1992	1993	% CHANGE 1992-1993
STATE TOTAL	307591	258218	231696	-10.3
ALAMEDA	11125	8964	7820	-12.8
ALPINE	81	65	54	-16.9
AMADOR	268	283	305	7.8
BUTTE	1843	1669	1580	-5.3
CALAVERAS	534	443	334	-24.6
COLUSA	456	411	326	-20.7
CONTRA COSTA	6262	5341	4492	-15.9
DEL NORTE	461	385	401	4.2
EL DORADO	1472	1228	1122	-8.6
FRESNO	9953	9066	8158	-10.0
GLENN	435	402	310	-22.9
HUMBOLDT	1730	1534	1258	-18.0
IMPERIAL	3048	2672	2148	-19.6
INYO	445	533	383	-28.1
KERN	8365	7262	6443	-11.3
KINGS	1471	1379	1477	7.1
LAKE	982	808	690	-14.6
LASSEN	335	278	251	-9.7
LOS ANGELES	82144	65513	58710	-10.4
MADERA	1652	1592	1452	-8.8
MARIN	2706	2327	1940	-16.6
MARIPOSA	176	119	146	22.7
MENDOCINO	1199	1057	1033	-2.3
MERCED	3267	2639	2431	-7.9
MODOC	187	131	120	-8.4
MONO	166	191	175	-8.4
MONTEREY	5999	5170	4968	-3.9
NAPA	1242	1179	1203	2.0
NEVADA	759	584	511	-12.5
ORANGE	22603	19091	15476	-18.9
PLACER	1898	1766	1885	6.7
PLUMAS	182	167	217	29.9
RIVERSIDE	13150	10642	9109	-14.4
SACRAMENTO	10377	9463	9316	-1.6
SAN BENITO	464	422	342	-19.0
SAN BERNARDINO	13893	11830	11000	-7.0
SAN DIEGO	22998	19389	17047	-12.1
SAN FRANCISCO	3091	2644	1885	-28.7
SAN JOAQUIN	5568	4704	4444	-5.5
SAN LUIS OBISPO	2817	2550	2444	-4.2
SAN MATEO	5583	4845	5163	6.6
SANTA BARBARA	5436	4838	4591	-5.1
SANTA CLARA	14014	11840	10871	-8.2
SANTA CRUZ	4023	3469	3206	-7.6
SHASTA	1686	1335	1070	-19.9
SIERRA	98	51	67	31.4
SISKIYOU	438	452	410	-9.3
SOLANO	3172	2308	2050	-11.2
SONOMA	5307	4558	4075	-10.6
STANISLAUS	4452	3640	3324	-8.7
SUTTER	1051	845	1039	23.0
TEHAMA	656	551	451	-18.1
TRINITY	262	234	220	-6.0
TULARE	5538	4862	4336	-10.8
TUOLUMNE	487	380	316	-16.8
VENTURA	6716	5666	5050	-10.9
YOLO	1991	1704	1363	-20.0
YUBA	877	747	688	-7.9

*DOJ DUI arrest totals with duplicates removed.

TABLE 2: 1993 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST

COUNTY	TOTAL (100%) N	TYPE OF ARREST						DUI ARRESTS PER 100 LICENSED DRIVERS
		FELONY		JUVENILE		MISDEMEANOR		
		N	%	N	%	N	%	
STATE TOTAL	231696	8583	3.7	1690	0.7	221423	95.6	1.1
ALAMEDA	7820	164	2.1	59	0.8	7597	97.1	0.9
ALPINE	54	0	0.0	0	0.0	54	100.0	6.8
AMADOR	305	22	7.2	2	0.7	281	92.1	1.3
BUTTE	1580	60	3.8	14	0.9	1506	95.3	1.2
CALAVERAS	334	19	5.7	2	0.6	313	93.7	1.2
COLUSA	326	11	3.4	7	2.1	308	94.5	2.8
CONTRA COSTA	4492	164	3.7	47	1.0	4281	95.3	0.7
DEL NORTE	401	17	4.2	3	0.7	381	95.0	2.5
EL DORADO	1122	80	7.1	4	0.4	1038	92.5	1.1
FRESNO	8158	222	2.7	72	0.9	7864	96.4	2.0
GLENN	310	8	2.6	2	0.6	300	96.8	1.8
HUMBOLDT	1258	52	4.1	9	0.7	1197	95.2	1.4
IMPERIAL	2148	68	3.2	16	0.7	2064	96.1	2.9
INYO	383	11	2.9	3	0.8	369	96.3	2.6
KERN	6443	269	4.2	73	1.1	6101	94.7	1.8
KINGS	1477	38	2.6	13	0.9	1426	96.5	2.7
LAKE	690	31	4.5	4	0.6	655	94.9	1.7
LASSEN	251	19	7.6	5	2.0	227	90.4	1.4
LOS ANGELES	58710	2286	3.9	272	0.5	56152	95.6	1.1
MADERA	1452	37	2.5	16	1.1	1399	96.3	2.4
MARIN	1940	40	2.1	13	0.7	1887	97.3	1.0
MARIPOSA	146	8	5.5	1	0.7	137	93.8	1.2
MENDOCINO	1033	22	2.1	15	1.5	996	96.4	1.7
MERCED	2431	104	4.3	39	1.6	2288	94.1	2.2
MODOC	120	5	4.2	1	0.8	114	95.0	1.8
MONO	175	5	2.9	0	0.0	170	97.1	2.2
MONTEREY	4968	184	3.7	64	1.3	4720	95.0	2.2
NAPA	1203	65	5.4	11	0.9	1127	93.7	1.5
NEVADA	511	13	2.5	1	0.2	497	97.3	0.8
ORANGE	15476	371	2.4	61	0.4	15044	97.2	0.9
PLACER	1885	67	3.6	24	1.3	1794	95.2	1.3
PLUMAS	217	11	5.1	1	0.5	205	94.5	1.3
RIVERSIDE	9109	332	3.6	68	0.7	8709	95.6	1.1
SACRAMENTO	9316	557	6.0	75	0.8	8684	93.2	1.3
SAN BENITO	342	24	7.0	6	1.8	312	91.2	1.4
SAN BERNARDINO	11000	445	4.0	59	0.5	10496	95.4	1.2
SAN DIEGO	17047	350	2.1	118	0.7	16579	97.3	1.0
SAN FRANCISCO	1885	128	6.8	5	0.3	1752	92.9	0.4
SAN JOAQUIN	4444	151	3.4	52	1.2	4241	95.4	1.5
SAN LUIS OBISPO	2444	79	3.2	30	1.2	2335	95.5	1.6
SAN MATEO	5163	180	3.5	41	0.8	4942	95.7	1.1
SANTA BARBARA	4591	163	3.6	45	1.0	4383	95.5	1.8
SANTA CLARA	10871	643	5.9	59	0.5	10169	93.5	1.0
SANTA CRUZ	3206	40	1.2	55	1.7	3111	97.0	2.0
SHASTA	1070	83	7.8	9	0.8	978	91.4	0.9
SIERRA	67	0	0.0	0	0.0	67	100.0	2.7
SISKIYOU	410	20	4.9	4	1.0	386	94.1	1.2
SOLANO	2050	77	3.8	17	0.8	1956	95.4	0.9
SONOMA	4075	159	3.9	48	1.2	3868	94.9	1.4
STANISLAUS	3324	188	5.7	30	0.9	3106	93.4	1.3
SUTTER	1039	34	3.3	3	0.3	1002	96.4	2.2
TEHAMA	451	31	6.9	2	0.4	418	92.7	1.3
TRINITY	220	15	6.8	4	1.8	201	91.4	2.2
TULARE	4336	137	3.2	42	1.0	4157	95.9	2.3
TUOLUMNE	316	13	4.1	3	0.9	300	94.9	0.9
VENTURA	5050	168	3.3	34	0.7	4848	96.0	1.1
YOLO	1363	50	3.7	18	1.3	1295	95.0	1.4
YUBA	688	43	6.3	9	1.3	636	92.4	1.8

TABLE 3a: 1993 DUI ARRESTS BY AGE, SEX AND RACE/ ETHNICITY*

AGE	TOTAL		SEX (100%)				RACE/ ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	231696	100.0	204886	88.4	26810	11.6	97296	42.0	107942	46.6	15225	6.6	11233	4.8
UNDER 18	1690	0.7	1475	87.3	215	12.7	718	42.5	830	49.1	75	4.4	67	4.0
18-20	14731	6.4	13529	91.8	1202	8.2	4815	32.7	8721	59.2	627	4.3	568	3.9
21-30	99732	43.0	89644	89.9	10088	10.1	34414	34.5	55658	55.8	5351	5.4	4309	4.3
31-40	69104	29.8	59966	86.8	9138	13.2	31017	44.9	29314	42.4	5073	7.3	3700	5.4
41-50	30593	13.2	26230	85.7	4363	14.3	16581	54.2	9783	32.0	2445	8.0	1784	5.8
51-60	10846	4.7	9573	88.3	1273	11.7	6405	59.1	2726	25.1	1100	10.1	615	5.7
61-70	4024	1.7	3596	89.4	428	10.6	2635	65.5	776	19.3	455	11.3	158	3.9
71 & ABOVE	976	0.4	873	89.4	103	10.6	711	72.8	134	13.7	99	10.1	32	3.3
MEAN AGE (YEARS)	32.6		32.5		33.8		34.8		30.1		35.2		33.7	

*Tabulations for DUI arrests by age, sex, race/ ethnicity and county are found in Appendix Table B1.

TABLE 3b: 1993 DUI ARRESTS BY SEX, AGE, AND RACE/ ETHNICITY

SEX	AGE	TOTAL		RACE/ ETHNICITY (100%)							
				WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE		231696	100.0	97296	42.0	107942	46.6	15225	6.6	11233	4.8
MALE	UNDER 18	1475	0.7	558	37.8	791	53.6	69	4.7	57	3.9
	18-20	13529	6.6	4048	29.9	8429	62.3	545	4.0	507	3.7
	21-30	89644	43.8	27693	30.9	53474	59.7	4699	5.2	3778	4.2
	31-40	59966	29.3	24837	41.4	27539	45.9	4286	7.1	3304	5.5
	41-50	26230	12.8	13430	51.2	9096	34.7	2125	8.1	1579	6.0
	51-60	9573	4.7	5434	56.8	2578	26.9	1004	10.5	557	5.8
	61-70	3596	1.8	2277	63.3	736	20.5	443	12.3	140	3.9
	71 & ABOVE	873	0.4	629	72.1	123	14.1	92	10.5	29	3.3
	TOTAL	204886	100.0	78906	38.5	102766	50.2	13263	6.5	9951	4.9
FEMALE	UNDER 18	215	0.8	160	74.4	39	18.1	6	2.8	10	4.7
	18-20	1202	4.5	767	63.8	292	24.3	82	6.8	61	5.1
	21-30	10088	37.6	6721	66.6	2184	21.6	652	6.5	531	5.3
	31-40	9138	34.1	6180	67.6	1775	19.4	787	8.6	396	4.3
	41-50	4363	16.3	3151	72.2	687	15.7	320	7.3	205	4.7
	51-60	1273	4.7	971	76.3	148	11.6	96	7.5	58	4.6
	61-70	428	1.6	358	83.6	40	9.3	12	2.8	18	4.2
	71 & ABOVE	103	0.4	82	79.6	11	10.7	7	6.8	3	2.9
	TOTAL	26810	100.0	18390	68.6	5176	19.3	1962	7.3	1282	4.8

SECTION 2: CONVICTIONS

Data on convictions resulting from court adjudication of DUI arrests are reported directly to the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) on court abstracts of conviction. The following tables compile and crosstabulate these conviction data by demographic, geographic and adjudicative categories.

Table 4: 1992 DUI Convictions by Age and Sex. This table crosstabulates statewide DUI conviction information by age and sex. Corresponding county-specific conviction data are presented in Appendix Table B2.

Table 5: Matchable 1992 DUI Convictions by Age, Race/Ethnicity and Sex. This table displays DUI conviction information by age, race/ethnicity and sex. "Matchable" DUI convictions are those which are traceable to a DUI arrest appearing on the MACR system. Because not all arrests could be matched to an existing record, these conviction totals underestimate the total number of actual convictions.

Table 6: Adjusted 1992 DUI Conviction Rates and Relative Likelihood of Conviction, by Age and Race/Ethnicity. This table shows the relative probability of a DUI arrest leading to a DUI conviction, by age and race/ethnicity. DUI conviction totals from categories in Table 5 ("matchable DUI convictions") were increased by the proportion which matchable convictions constituted of "total DUI convictions," shown in Table 7, to arrive at the adjusted DUI conviction rates.

Table 7: Total Conviction Data for 1992 DUI Arrestees. This table portrays county and statewide DUI-related conviction data as reported to the DMV on court abstracts of conviction. Corresponding court-specific data are shown in Appendix Table B3. Convictions not reported to DMV are considered nonconvictions for the purposes of this report. Actual nonconvictions include cases where the DUI arrest was not filed, not prosecuted, or resulted in a not guilty verdict. The DUI conviction rates by county were calculated by comparing the county conviction totals with DOJ arrest totals. Because not all 1992 DUI arrests have yet been adjudicated, these conviction totals and rates will slightly underestimate the "final" figures. The DUI conviction rates shown in the "DUI Summary Statistics: 1983-1993" table at the very beginning of this report include an estimate of these late convictions, and thus are slightly higher than those shown in Tables 7 and 8. Conviction variables include felony and misdemeanor DUI convictions,

alcohol- and nonalcohol-related reckless driving convictions, convictions of "other" lesser offenses and DUI dismissals. DUI arrest dates from the DOJ MACR system were matched to driver record violation dates to identify nonalcohol-related reckless driving and "other" convictions. The average (mean) adjudication time lags from DUI arrest to conviction, and from conviction to update on the DMV database, were calculated for each county.

Table 8: Adjudication Status of 1992 DUI Arrests by County. This table shows the adjudication status (court disposition) of 1992 DUI arrests, by county. Included are the percentages of arrests which have resulted in DUI convictions (misdemeanor or felony), reckless driving convictions (alcohol-related or nonalcohol-related), convictions of "other" offenses, or no reported conviction, as of the date of writing. Again, because not all 1992 DUI arrests have yet been adjudicated, these rates will slightly underestimate the "final" rate for each category, excepting the category "no record of any conviction," which will be slightly reduced (approximately 1-2%) by the eventual adjudication of these few late cases.

Table 9: 1992 Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of DUI Convictions. This table shows the frequency of reported BAC levels for DUI convictions. BAC levels are reported on about half of all DUI abstracts of conviction received by DMV from the courts.

Table 10: 1992 DUI Convictions by Offender Status and Average Reported BAC Level. This table displays the proportions of convicted DUI offenders by offender status (number of prior convictions), and the average (mean) BAC level and standard deviation (a measure of variability) associated with each offense level.

Figure 4 (below) shows, for the years 1983 to 1993, the number of DUI abstracts received to date by DMV from the courts, the estimated final number of DUI convictions which will ultimately be received, and the estimated final DUI conviction rate.



Estimated final conviction rate	69%	70%	70%	69%	69%	67%	67%	71%	72%	72%	76%

Note : Estimated DUI convictions = see footnote to "DUI Summary Statistics: 1983-1993."

Figure 4 . DUI abstracts received by DMV and DUI conviction volume and rate estimates, 1983-1993.

Based on these data, the following statements can be made:

Statewide Adjudication Parameters:

- The estimated DUI conviction rate increased from 72% in 1992 to 76% in 1993.

- Among 1992 DUI arrests, 70% have resulted to date in DUI convictions (felony or misdemeanor). This is approximately the same DUI conviction rate as for corresponding 1991 arrests.

- 8.6% of 1992 DUI arrests have resulted in reckless driving convictions, with 15% of these not correctly reported as alcohol-related. Both of these rates are essentially the same as the corresponding 1991 rates.

- 2.3% of 1992 DUI arrests have resulted in convictions of offenses other than DUI or reckless driving, as compared with 2.5% such "other" convictions in 1991.

- 19.2% of 1992 DUI arrests have not yet resulted in any conviction on DMV's records, compared to 18.6% in 1991. As additional cases are adjudicated and reported by the courts, this figure will decrease slightly. (It should be noted that these cases occurred prior to the 1993 DUI-MIS report, which identified the problem of DUI convictions not appearing on driver records. Any subsequent DUI system improvements which would reduce the number of nonrecorded convictions would not begin to appear until 1993 cases were tracked).

- The average reported BAC level of all convicted DUI offenders in 1992 was 0.166%, more than double the illegal per se BAC limit of 0.08%. This figure is the same as in 1991, and is down from the 0.176% BAC average reported for 1989 DUI convictions.

- Among 1992 convicted DUI offenders, 67.5% were first offenders, 21.8% were second offenders, 7.3% were third offenders and 3.4% were on their fourth or more offense. (The statutorily defined time period for counting priors in California is seven years.) The proportion of repeat offenders (32.5%) among all convicted DUI offenders has decreased slightly each year since 1989 (at which time 37% of all convictions were repeat offenses).

- The average adjudication time lags were 2.6 months from DUI arrest to conviction and 2.9 months from conviction to update on the DMV database, totalling 5.5 months from arrest to update on the offender's driving record. These time lags were slightly shorter than in 1991.

Variation by County:

- Among the larger counties, 1992 DUI conviction rates varied from highs of 83.3% in Ventura County, 81.6% in Santa Clara County, and 80.8% in Kern County, to lows of 57.8% in Fresno County, 61.5% in San Bernardino County, and 62.9% in Riverside County. Los Angeles County, which accounted for over 25% of all DUI arrests in the state, had a DUI conviction rate of 67.8%.

- Among the smaller counties, 1992 DUI conviction rates varied from highs of 82.7% in Nevada County and 82.3% in El Dorado County, to a low of 27.1% in Yolo County. In Mariposa County in 1992, there were more reported DUI convictions than arrests, resulting in a "conviction rate" of 132%. (A substantial number of federal DUI arrests made at Yosemite National Park are not reported to the DOJ

MACR system, however. The addition of these nonreported arrests would substantially reduce the conviction rate for Mariposa County.)

- The rates at which DUI arrests were plea-bargained to alcohol-related reckless driving convictions varied from over 30% in Mariposa County to 0% in Marin and Ventura counties.

- The percentage of DUI arrests that were improperly adjudicated as nonalcohol-related reckless driving convictions varied from 0% to 7.3%. Six counties had rates of 5% or more: Mono, Amador, Del Norte, Sierra, Calaveras and Imperial.

- The percentage of DUI arrests adjudicated as minor convictions ("other" convictions) varied from 0% to 4.2%. Los Angeles (4.2%) and San Luis Obispo (4.0%) had rates of 4% or more.

- In six counties, the proportion of arrestees not showing a conviction of any offense exceeded 30%. These counties were Yolo, Trinity, Imperial, Tulare, Fresno and Merced. Four counties had nonconviction rates of 10% or less: Mariposa, Mono, Nevada and Yuba.

Variation by Court:

- As was true for prior years, the 1992 superior court time lags were generally longer than municipal court time lags, presumably due to the type of DUI case (felony) being adjudicated.

- Municipal court time lags from arrest to conviction (for courts with more than one reported conviction) varied from a high of 5.5 months in the Covelo (Mendocino County) court to a low of 0.7 months for the Little Lake (Mendocino) court.

Demographic Characteristics:

- The average age of a convicted DUI offender in 1992 was 33.0 years.

- 48.6% of 1992 DUI convictees were aged 30 years or younger and 79.6% were 40 years or younger.

- Females comprised 12.1% of all 1992 convicted DUI offenders, compared to 12.2% in 1991, 11.7% in 1990, and 11.4% in 1989.

- The racial/ethnic distribution of 1992 DUI convictions (White = 46.1%; Hispanic = 42.8%; Black = 6.3%; Other = 5.0%) generally paralleled that of 1992 arrests, although Whites were somewhat more likely, and Hispanics somewhat less likely, to be convicted of the offense (as shown in Figure 5 below).



Figure 5 . Relative likelihood of conviction by race/ethnicity.

TABLE 4: 1992 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE AND SEX*

AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	180786	100.0	158926	87.9	21860	12.1
UNDER 18	516	0.3	464	89.9	52	10.1
18-20	8923	4.9	8160	91.4	763	8.6
21-30	78538	43.4	70007	89.1	8531	10.9
31-40	55970	31.0	48453	86.6	7517	13.4
41-50	24492	13.5	20891	85.3	3601	14.7
51-60	8523	4.7	7532	88.4	991	11.6
61-70	3140	1.7	2808	89.4	332	10.6
71 & ABOVE	684	0.4	611	89.3	73	10.7
MEAN AGE (YEARS)	33.0		32.8		33.9	

*County-specific tabulations of 1992 DUI convictions by age and sex are shown in Appendix Table B2.

TABLE 5: MATCHABLE 1992 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE, RACE/ ETHNICITY AND SEX

AGE	TOTAL		RACE/ ETHNICITY (100%)															
			WHITE				HISPANIC				BLACK				OTHER			
			MALE		FEMALE		MALE		FEMALE		MALE		FEMALE		MALE		FEMALE	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	150579	100.0	55501	36.9	13790	9.2	60821	40.4	3561	2.4	8241	5.5	1198	0.8	6603	4.4	864	0.6
UNDER 18	578	0.4	275	47.6	62	10.7	194	33.6	10	1.7	13	2.2	2	0.3	21	3.6	1	0.2
18-20	8763	5.8	2810	32.1	607	6.9	4529	51.7	181	2.1	282	3.2	38	0.4	266	3.0	50	0.6
21-30	64985	43.2	20883	32.1	5448	8.4	30811	47.4	1565	2.4	2993	4.6	420	0.6	2520	3.9	345	0.5
31-40	45919	30.5	17502	38.1	4492	9.8	17167	37.4	1183	2.6	2563	5.6	451	1.0	2271	4.9	290	0.6
41-50	20458	13.6	9019	44.1	2274	11.1	5847	28.6	492	2.4	1438	7.0	201	1.0	1053	5.1	134	0.7
51-60	7219	4.8	3576	49.5	655	9.1	1740	24.1	105	1.5	670	9.3	64	0.9	378	5.2	31	0.4
61-70	2234	1.5	1173	52.5	215	9.6	468	20.9	23	1.0	240	10.7	20	0.9	85	3.8	10	0.4
71 & ABOVE	423	0.3	263	62.2	37	8.7	65	15.4	2	0.5	42	9.9	2	0.5	9	2.1	3	0.7

TABLE 6: ADJUSTED 1992 DUI CONVICTION RATES[1] AND RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD[2] OF CONVICTION, BY AGE AND RACE/ ETHNICITY

AGE	RACE/ ETHNICITY									
	WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER		TOTAL BY AGE	
	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD
TOTAL BY RACE/ ETHNICITY	.74	1.06	.66	.94	.69	.99	.70	1.00	.70	1.00
UNDER 18	.52	.74	.27	.39	.24	.34	.37	.53	.38	.54
18 to 20	.69	.99	.56	.80	.52	.74	.58	.83	.60	.86
21 to 30	.74	1.06	.63	.90	.66	.94	.67	.96	.68	.97
31 to 40	.76	1.09	.72	1.03	.71	1.01	.73	1.04	.74	1.06
41 to 50	.77	1.10	.77	1.10	.74	1.06	.74	1.06	.76	1.09
51 to 60	.76	1.09	.78	1.11	.75	1.07	.75	1.07	.77	1.10
61 to 70	.61	.87	.68	.97	.70	1.00	.79	1.13	.63	.90
71 PLUS	.51	.73	.58	.83	.66	.94	.48	.69	.53	.76

[1] Adjusted DUI Conviction Rates = The matchable DUI conviction rate proportionally adjusted to the overall DUI conviction rate.

[2] Relative Likelihood = $\frac{\text{Adjusted DUI Conviction Rate}}{\text{Overall Total DUI Conviction Rate}}$

TABLE 7: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1992 DUI ARRESTEES*

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NONALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSAL**	AVERAGE DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
								VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
STATEWIDE	70.0	178429	2357	18726	3333	5905	125	2.6	2.9
ALAMEDA	70.4	6259	54	593	101	201	2	2.8	2.4
ALPINE	56.9	37	0	10	2	0	0	4.3	1.4
AMADOR	76.0	209	6	16	19	3	0	2.9	4.5
BUTTE	77.8	1274	24	99	19	22	3	2.9	4.0
CALAVERAS	54.9	239	4	35	22	13	1	2.7	1.3
COLUSA	73.7	303	0	37	2	8	1	2.3	2.9
CONTRA COSTA	70.4	3730	31	563	56	57	1	3.5	2.6
DEL NORTE	52.7	203	0	43	23	10	0	2.4	5.2
EL DORADO	82.3	977	34	47	7	16	5	3.4	2.4
FRESNO	57.8	5153	90	677	170	77	2	1.9	4.5
GLENN	74.6	296	4	36	3	8	1	2.7	5.2
HUMBOLDT	53.5	810	11	230	36	46	3	4.1	1.6
IMPERIAL	48.9	1300	7	177	133	30	0	4.1	1.6
INYO	73.4	389	2	53	9	6	1	3.3	6.6
KERN	80.8	5781	86	415	91	88	7	2.0	4.3
KINGS	69.0	936	15	76	7	15	3	1.7	1.8
LAKE	75.1	600	7	47	8	10	2	3.2	2.8
LASSEN	77.0	207	7	13	3	1	1	2.8	4.0
LOS ANGELES	67.8	43846	564	4818	715	2779	6	2.2	2.2
MADERA	60.4	947	15	148	25	15	1	3.3	3.8
MARIN	74.0	1708	14	0	2	62	0	2.8	3.7
MARIPOSA***	132.0	151	6	38	0	0	0	2.2	2.2
MENDOCINO	74.5	774	13	85	7	16	1	2.5	4.0
MERCED	54.5	1422	16	248	80	65	1	3.7	3.9
MODOC	58.0	75	1	15	1	0	0	3.9	5.6
MONO	70.2	131	3	23	14	4	0	2.0	2.4
MONTEREY	74.9	3821	49	365	84	48	4	1.6	4.0
NAPA	78.6	912	15	65	4	11	3	2.5	3.8

*Conviction data by court are found in Appendix Table B3.
**These may include abstract deletions due to failure to appear (FTA) at the court hearing.
***More convictions than arrests were reported, resulting in a percentage total over 100. (See pp. 13-14 for explanation.)

TABLE 7: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1992 DUI ARRESTEES* - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NONALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSAL**	AVERAGE DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
								VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
NEVADA	82.7	469	14	41	5	6	1	2.4	5.5
ORANGE	76.6	14512	118	714	138	403	2	2.8	1.4
PLACER	76.6	1336	16	84	22	21	1	3.1	2.7
PLUMAS	73.1	121	1	14	2	2	0	2.4	2.6
RIVERSIDE	62.9	6582	117	709	96	201	15	3.1	4.2
SACRAMENTO	69.9	6424	191	1096	164	154	22	2.4	1.5
SAN BENITO	78.7	330	2	19	5	4	0	2.2	7.0
SAN BERNARDINO	61.5	7202	77	958	270	340	3	3.6	2.6
SAN DIEGO	76.2	14640	130	1269	328	270	5	2.7	3.5
SAN FRANCISCO	60.1	1563	26	264	96	12	0	2.9	1.6
SAN JOAQUIN	73.0	3400	36	249	48	180	0	2.0	2.2
SAN LUIS OBISPO	67.0	1687	22	351	35	102	3	2.5	6.4
SAN MATEO	77.4	3710	40	417	76	35	2	2.3	3.7
SANTA BARBARA	71.0	3391	42	756	34	85	4	2.1	4.3
SANTA CLARA	81.6	9522	137	607	95	145	0	3.5	1.9
SANTA CRUZ	71.1	2454	14	329	50	31	0	2.1	5.3
SHASTA	75.1	981	21	155	3	9	1	2.0	2.2
SIERRA	56.9	29	0	4	3	1	0	3.3	5.4
SISKIYOU	73.0	322	8	22	2	5	2	3.0	4.0
SOLANO	74.7	1699	25	247	21	32	0	2.0	5.3
SONOMA	73.1	3294	39	480	34	63	3	2.6	6.4
STANISLAUS	61.7	2219	28	596	92	29	2	2.3	2.2
SUTTER	65.2	537	14	66	1	4	0	2.3	4.2
TEHAMA	76.0	411	8	27	16	9	5	2.3	3.8
TRINITY	37.2	80	7	17	8	4	1	3.1	4.7
TULARE	64.3	3053	75	82	34	24	1	2.7	3.7
TUOLUMNE	77.9	282	14	31	1	3	0	2.7	3.3
VENTURA	83.3	4675	47	0	1	101	3	2.1	2.7
YOLO	27.1	456	6	42	8	10	0	3.8	4.4
YUBA	75.2	558	4	108	2	9	0	1.9	1.4

TABLE 8: ADJUDICATION STATUS OF 1992 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY*

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTIONS		RECKLESS DRIVING CONVICTIONS		% OTHER CONVICTIONS	% NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTION
	% MISDEMEANOR	% FELONY	% ALCOHOL RELATED	% NONALCOHOL RELATED		
STATEWIDE	69.1	0.9	7.3	1.3	2.3	19.2
ALAMEDA	69.8	0.6	6.6	1.1	2.2	19.6
ALPINE	56.9	0.0	15.4	3.1	0.0	24.6
AMADOR	73.9	2.1	5.7	6.7	1.1	10.6
BUTTE	76.3	1.4	5.9	1.1	1.3	13.8
CALAVERAS	54.0	0.9	7.9	5.0	2.9	29.3
COLUSA	73.7	0.0	9.0	0.5	1.9	14.8
CONTRA COSTA	69.8	0.6	10.5	1.0	1.1	16.9
DEL NORTE	52.7	0.0	11.2	6.0	2.6	27.5
EL DORADO	79.6	2.8	3.8	0.6	1.3	12.0
FRESNO	56.8	1.0	7.5	1.9	0.8	32.0
GLENN	73.6	1.0	9.0	0.7	2.0	13.7
HUMBOLDT	52.8	0.7	15.0	2.3	3.0	26.1
IMPERIAL	48.7	0.3	6.6	5.0	1.1	38.4
INYO	73.0	0.4	9.9	1.7	1.1	13.9
KERN	79.6	1.2	5.7	1.3	1.2	11.0
KINGS	67.9	1.1	5.5	0.5	1.1	23.9
LAKE	74.3	0.9	5.8	1.0	1.2	16.8
LASSEN	74.5	2.5	4.7	1.1	0.4	16.9
LOS ANGELES	66.9	0.9	7.4	1.1	4.2	19.5
MADERA	59.5	0.9	9.3	1.6	0.9	27.8
MARIN	73.4	0.6	0.0	0.1	2.7	23.2
MARIPOSA**	127.0	5.0	31.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
MENDOCINO	73.2	1.2	8.0	0.7	1.5	15.3
MERCED	53.9	0.6	9.4	3.0	2.5	30.6
MODOC	57.3	0.8	11.5	0.8	0.0	29.8
MONO	68.6	1.6	12.0	7.3	2.1	8.4
MONTEREY	73.9	0.9	7.1	1.6	0.9	15.5
NAPA	77.4	1.3	5.5	0.3	0.9	14.6
NEVADA	80.3	2.4	7.0	0.9	1.0	8.4
ORANGE	76.0	0.6	3.7	0.7	2.1	16.8
PLACER	75.7	0.9	4.8	1.2	1.2	16.3
PLUMAS	72.5	0.6	8.4	1.2	1.2	16.2
RIVERSIDE	61.8	1.1	6.7	0.9	1.9	27.6
SACRAMENTO	67.9	2.0	11.6	1.7	1.6	15.2
SAN BENITO	78.2	0.5	4.5	1.2	0.9	14.7
SAN BERNARDINO	60.9	0.7	8.1	2.3	2.9	25.2
SAN DIEGO	75.5	0.7	6.5	1.7	1.4	14.2
SAN FRANCISCO	59.1	1.0	10.0	3.6	0.5	25.8
SAN JOAQUIN	72.3	0.8	5.3	1.0	3.8	16.8
SAN LUIS OBISPO	66.2	0.9	13.8	1.4	4.0	13.8
SAN MATEO	76.6	0.8	8.6	1.6	0.7	11.7
SANTA BARBARA	70.1	0.9	15.6	0.7	1.8	11.0
SANTA CLARA	80.4	1.2	5.1	0.8	1.2	11.3
SANTA CRUZ	70.7	0.4	9.5	1.4	0.9	17.0
SHASTA	73.5	1.6	11.6	0.2	0.7	12.4
SIERRA	56.9	0.0	7.8	5.9	2.0	27.5
SISKIYOU	71.2	1.8	4.9	0.4	1.1	20.6
SOLANO	73.6	1.1	10.7	0.9	1.4	12.3
SONOMA	72.3	0.9	10.5	0.7	1.4	14.2
STANISLAUS	61.0	0.8	16.4	2.5	0.8	18.6
SUTTER	63.6	1.7	7.8	0.1	0.5	26.4
TEHAMA	74.6	1.5	4.9	2.9	1.6	14.5
TRINITY	34.2	3.0	7.3	3.4	1.7	50.4
TULARE	62.8	1.5	1.7	0.7	0.5	32.8
TUOLUMNE	74.2	3.7	8.2	0.3	0.8	12.9
VENTURA	82.5	0.8	0.0	0.0	1.8	14.9
YOLO	26.8	0.4	2.5	0.5	0.6	69.4
YUBA	74.7	0.5	14.5	0.3	1.2	8.8

*The percentages total to 100 by row (county).

**More convictions than arrests were reported resulting in a percentage total over 100. (See pp. 13-14 for explanation.)

TABLE 9: 1992 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF DUI CONVICTIONS

DUI CONVICTIONS					
BAC LEVEL	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	BAC LEVEL	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
.01	99	0.1	.28	778	0.8
.02	55	0.1	.29	548	0.6
.03	31	0.0	.30	379	0.4
.04	39	0.0	.31	332	0.3
.05	60	0.1	.32	259	0.3
.06	85	0.1	.33	170	0.2
.07	175	0.2	.34	149	0.2
.08	1461	1.5	.35	88	0.1
.09	2116	2.2	.36	70	0.1
.10	4357	4.5	.37	42	0.0
.11	6026	6.2	.38	37	0.0
.12	7086	7.3	.39	36	0.0
.13	7454	7.7	.40	15	0.0
.14	7750	8.0	.41	8	0.0
.15	7610	7.9	.42	17	0.0
.16	7464	7.7	.43	6	0.0
.17	7180	7.4	.44	9	0.0
.18	6372	6.6	.46	5	0.0
.19	5895	6.1	.47	2	0.0
.20	5251	5.4	.48	3	0.0
.21	4227	4.4	.50+	14	0.0
.22	3480	3.6		- - - -	- - -
.23	2841	2.9	TOTAL	96491	100.0
.24	2272	2.4			
.25	1785	1.8		MEAN BAC .166	
.26	1312	1.4			
.27	1041	1.1			

TABLE 10: 1992 DUI CONVICTIONS BY OFFENDER STATUS AND AVERAGE REPORTED BAC LEVEL

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	PERCENT	AVERAGE BAC LEVEL (%)	STANDARD DEVIATION
STATEWIDE	100.0	.166	.052
1ST DUI	67.5	.162	.049
2ND DUI	21.8	.174	.055
3RD DUI	7.3	.181	.058
4TH+ DUI	3.4	.175	.065

SECTION 3: POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS

Data on court sanctions assigned to convicted DUI offenders were taken from DUI abstracts of conviction for offenders arrested in 1992. Also included are counts of postconviction DMV license actions for selected offender groups, while total counts of all license actions, including Administrative Per Se (APS) license suspensions and revocations, are shown in the Administrative Actions Section. APS actions (effective July 1990) are initiated by law enforcement immediately upon arrest for DUI, and are administered independent of the criminal adjudication process. This section includes the following tables:

Table 11: 1992 DUI Court Sanctions by DUI Offender Status. This table shows the frequency of specific court sanctions statewide by number of prior DUI convictions. The specific court sanctions tallied include percentages of probation, jail, alcohol treatment programs (first offender, SB 38 second offender, and 30-month third offender programs), license restriction, court suspension, and ignition interlock. Crosstabulations of sanctions by county, court and number of prior convictions appear in Appendix Table B4.

Table 12: 1992 DUI Sanction Combinations by County - First Offenders. This table displays the frequency of commonly assigned court sanction combinations (such as first offender alcohol program plus license restriction) by county for first DUI offenders. License suspensions include both court and DMV postconviction (non-APS) suspensions. The sanction combination groups portrayed in this table, as well as Table 13, were defined according to the conventions described in the "Evaluation Methods and Results" portion of the "Postconviction Sanction Effectiveness" section.

Table 13: 1992 DUI Sanction Combinations by County - Repeat Offenders. This table shows the frequency of commonly assigned court sanction combinations by county for second, third and fourth (or subsequent) DUI offenders. License actions include both court and DMV postconviction (non-APS) license suspensions and revocations.

From the data in these and the Appendix tables, it is evident that the use of alternative sanctions varied widely by county, court and offender status in 1992. For example:

Statewide Parameters:

- The most frequently applied court sanction among all convicted DUI offenders was probation (95%), while the least frequently used court sanction was ignition interlock (0.1%). DUI offenders were sentenced to jail in 78% of the cases. (However, in many jurisdictions, jail is often served as community service rather than actual jail time.)

Figure 6 (below) graphically displays the statewide data from Table 11 showing the frequency of specific types of court-ordered sanctions among all convicted DUI offenders.



Figure 6. Frequency of court-ordered DUI sanctions (1992).

County Variation:

- The proportion of first DUI offenders sentenced to jail varied by county from less than 12% in Marin and Inyo counties to close to 100% in Calaveras, Lake, Lassen, Modoc, Shasta, Stanislaus, Sutter, Tehama, Tulare, Tuolumne, and Ventura counties.

- Counties such as Calaveras, Lassen, San Luis Obispo, San Mateo, Sierra, Solano, Tuolumne, and Yolo preferred to assign first offenders to treatment program and jail (over 85%) rather than treatment program and license restriction (less than 7%). Marin and San Bernardino were among counties that assigned treatment program and jail to less than 1% of their first offenders. Humboldt, Inyo, Marin, and Orange counties assigned treatment program and license restriction to over 75% of first offenders.

- Counties departing from using typical first offender sanction combinations were Riverside, San Bernardino, Imperial, and Santa Barbara, as shown by relatively high percentages (over 10%) in the "other" category. ("Other" includes license restriction without treatment program assignment, probation only, and other unofficial sanction combinations.)

Court Variation:

- In Los Angeles County, two municipal courts (Lancaster and Burbank) used jail as a sanction in more than 90% of their DUI sentences. At the other extreme, four courts (Culver City, Santa Monica, Malibu and Torrance) used jail as a sanction in less than 40% of their DUI sentences.

Variation by Offender Status:

- 70% of 1992 first DUI offenders were sentenced to jail, compared to 96% of all repeat offenders.

- 83% of first DUI offenders were assigned to alcohol treatment programs, along with 75% of second offenders, 34% of third offenders and 17% of fourth or more DUI offenders. (All repeat offenders, however, must eventually complete specified alcohol treatment programs in order to be eligible for license reinstatement.)

- 10% of first DUI offenders and 28% of second DUI offenders received DMV or court license suspensions after adjudication. As of July 1990, of course, all DUI offenders with BAC levels of 0.08% or more were subject to 30 days to one-year administrative license suspension/revocation under the APS law.

TABLE 11: 1992 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS*

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROGRAM	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROGRAM	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE	180786	95.4	78.3	55.7	19.3	0.2	38.3	7.5	0.1
1ST DUI	121943	97.2	69.9	79.5	3.1	0.0	35.8	5.2	0.0
2ND DUI	39440	96.3	95.5	7.7	67.2	0.1	60.3	9.9	0.1
3RD DUI	13269	91.0	96.0	3.8	28.9	1.5	12.9	19.9	0.3
4TH+ DUI	6134	64.3	96.2	2.9	13.8	0.2	3.2	12.6	0.1

*Entries represent percentages of 1992 DUI convictees receiving each sanction by offender status. Sanctions within each offender status group (row) are not independent; therefore, row percentages always add to more than 100%. Percentages of sanctions by county and court appear in Appendix Table B4.

TABLE 12: 1992 DUI SANCTION COMBINATIONS BY COUNTY - FIRST OFFENDERS

COUNTY	TOTAL (100%)	DMV OR COURT SUSPENSION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG + JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG + RESTRICTION	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG + RESTRICTION*	OTHER
	N	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE	121943	10.4	5.0	46.5	29.5	5.3	3.1
ALAMEDA	4171	14.7	4.0	73.9	3.9	3.1	0.4
ALPINE	29	27.6	0.0	0.0	65.5	6.9	0.0
AMADOR	122	19.7	73.0	0.8	0.0	4.1	2.5
BUTTE	809	16.6	3.1	77.6	1.2	1.1	0.4
CALAVERAS	145	3.4	7.6	85.5	1.4	1.4	0.7
COLUSA	181	11.6	10.5	75.7	1.1	0.6	0.6
CONTRA COSTA	2374	9.6	8.9	74.9	3.1	2.6	0.8
DEL NORTE	130	7.7	3.1	72.3	15.4	1.5	0.0
EL DORADO	644	6.2	5.3	82.8	3.3	1.4	1.1
FRESNO	3182	17.4	6.7	53.5	17.8	2.1	2.5
GLENN	187	21.9	2.1	52.9	20.9	1.1	1.1
HUMBOLDT	520	14.0	5.4	1.2	71.5	5.0	2.9
IMPERIAL	926	4.3	17.5	20.2	44.8	1.6	11.6
INYO	241	3.7	2.1	3.7	86.3	2.1	2.1
KERN	3526	19.8	10.5	62.4	5.7	0.7	0.9
KINGS	574	14.8	6.8	74.2	1.9	1.7	0.5
LAKE	366	7.1	2.5	84.2	3.6	1.9	0.8
LASSEN	140	9.3	3.6	85.7	0.0	0.7	0.7
LOS ANGELES	32001	9.2	3.1	28.8	55.6	1.2	2.0
MADERA	559	11.6	5.5	74.2	2.7	3.4	2.5
MARIN	1214	7.2	0.2	0.4	90.2	0.9	1.0
MARIPOSA	103	8.7	1.9	47.6	35.9	0.0	5.8
MENDOCINO	506	12.5	5.1	72.1	5.5	3.8	1.0
MERCED	875	8.1	3.4	81.5	0.7	4.6	1.7
MODOC	49	8.2	2.0	81.6	4.1	4.1	0.0
MONO	83	4.8	12.0	44.6	32.5	0.0	6.0
MONTEREY	2506	19.9	5.2	72.1	0.6	1.1	1.0
NAPA	623	8.7	4.3	81.9	1.1	2.6	1.4
NEVADA	320	10.0	5.0	66.3	14.1	3.1	1.6
ORANGE	10596	5.6	1.1	10.3	79.4	1.9	1.7
PLACER	873	6.2	2.6	77.5	10.8	1.3	1.6
PLUMAS	81	11.1	1.2	84.0	3.7	0.0	0.0
RIVERSIDE	4551	6.9	3.0	16.8	15.6	29.6	28.1
SACRAMENTO	4149	8.3	2.9	83.8	1.3	2.6	1.1
SAN BENITO	210	21.9	6.2	66.7	2.4	0.5	2.4
SAN BERNARDINO	5093	9.3	15.7	0.1	0.3	61.2**	13.3
SAN DIEGO	10930	6.8	2.8	51.4	35.2	2.8	1.0
SAN FRANCISCO	1130	8.1	4.0	83.8	1.9	1.3	0.8
SAN JOAQUIN	2124	10.3	11.2	75.7	0.8	1.3	0.7
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1068	6.8	2.4	88.4	0.4	1.4	0.6
SAN MATEO	2534	6.7	3.6	85.2	0.6	2.8	1.1
SANTA BARBARA	2144	15.4	1.6	13.3	58.0	1.4	10.3
SANTA CLARA	5937	22.9	6.0	65.5	2.6	2.2	0.9
SANTA CRUZ	1589	7.7	1.6	84.7	3.8	1.2	0.9
SHASTA	593	6.9	5.1	83.1	1.2	3.2	0.5
SIERRA	18	0.0	5.6	88.9	5.6	0.0	0.0
SISKIYOU	213	21.6	12.7	61.0	0.9	1.9	1.9
SOLANO	1104	6.5	2.3	85.6	1.8	1.7	2.1
SONOMA	1984	7.9	30.6	56.5	1.5	1.0	2.5
STANISLAUS	1396	7.3	5.6	81.0	1.5	3.9	0.6
SUTTER	344	13.7	2.9	80.8	1.7	0.3	0.6
TEHAMA	255	13.7	3.1	80.4	0.4	1.6	0.8
TRINITY	49	24.5	20.4	49.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
TULARE	1877	11.1	14.1	69.7	2.0	2.1	0.9
TUOLUMNE	170	8.8	0.6	88.2	1.2	1.2	0.0
VENTURA	3254	16.5	2.5	78.9	0.4	1.1	0.5
YOLO	220	5.5	2.3	85.5	1.8	5.0	0.0
YUBA	351	7.7	2.8	84.9	0.9	0.6	3.1

Note: The vast majority of convicted DUI offenders also receive fine and probation.

*Includes referral to alcohol clinics and 30-month programs.

**The majority of these are referrals to alcohol clinics.

TABLE 13: 1992 DUI SANCTION COMBINATIONS BY COUNTY - REPEAT OFFENDERS

COUNTY	TOTAL REPEAT DUI	2ND OFFENDERS				3RD OFFENDERS				4TH+ OFFENDERS			
		LICENSE SUSPENSION	SB 38 PROGRAM + RESTRICTION	OTHER	TOTAL 100%	LICENSE REVOCATION + JAIL	ALCOHOL* PROGRAM + REVOCATION	OTHER	TOTAL (100%)	LICENSE REVOCATION + JAIL	ALCOHOL PROGRAM + REVOCATION	OTHER	TOTAL (100%)
	N	%	%	%	N	%	%	%	N	%	%	%	N
STATEWIDE	58843	28.0	43.4	28.6	39440	67.1	28.0	4.9	13269	81.5	13.2	5.3	6134
ALAMEDA	2142	17.0	54.1	28.9	1467	56.6	38.0	5.4	484	45.5	49.2	5.2	191
ALPINE	8	50.0	16.7	33.3	6	100.0	0.0	0.0	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
AMADOR	93	41.7	1.7	56.7	60	70.8	25.0	4.2	24	100.0	0.0	0.0	9
BUTTE	489	42.0	42.3	15.7	319	79.8	15.6	4.6	109	90.2	9.8	0.0	61
CALAVERAS	98	13.3	70.0	16.7	60	69.6	30.4	0.0	23	93.3	6.7	0.0	15
COLUSA	122	16.1	47.3	36.6	93	88.0	8.0	4.0	25	75.0	25.0	0.0	4
CONTRA COSTA	1387	16.7	54.6	28.7	887	65.3	30.0	4.7	343	75.8	19.7	4.5	157
DEL NORTE	73	34.7	26.5	38.8	49	72.2	22.2	5.6	18	100.0	0.0	0.0	6
EL DORADO	367	24.1	24.9	51.0	241	72.8	20.7	6.5	92	67.6	23.5	8.8	34
FRESNO	2061	39.9	38.6	21.5	1267	78.4	16.8	4.8	482	90.7	3.5	5.8	312
GLENN	113	41.3	17.3	41.3	75	70.4	25.9	3.7	27	100.0	0.0	0.0	11
HUMBOLDT	301	23.6	55.5	20.9	191	45.2	52.1	2.7	73	78.4	18.9	2.7	37
IMPERIAL	381	42.5	38.2	19.3	259	70.1	24.1	5.7	87	85.7	5.7	8.6	35
INYO	150	12.6	72.6	14.7	95	72.5	25.0	2.5	40	80.0	6.7	13.3	15
KERN	2341	71.1	7.4	21.5	1390	92.9	3.2	3.9	589	94.5	1.7	3.9	362
KINGS	377	48.6	34.3	17.1	251	82.2	16.4	1.4	73	90.6	5.7	3.8	53
LAKE	241	19.9	2.6	77.5	151	60.9	31.3	7.8	64	96.2	3.8	0.0	26
LASSEN	74	15.1	56.6	28.3	53	84.6	7.7	7.7	13	50.0	37.5	12.5	8
LOS ANGELES	12409	25.1	46.2	28.7	8595	71.0	23.5	5.5	2706	88.4	6.0	5.7	1108
MADERA	403	29.6	14.8	55.6	243	75.2	20.0	4.8	105	89.1	7.3	3.6	55
MARIN	508	14.1	71.0	15.0	341	74.8	22.8	2.4	123	90.9	6.8	2.3	44
MARIPOSA	54	10.9	2.2	87.0	46	60.0	40.0	0.0	5	100.0	0.0	0.0	3
MENDOCINO	281	28.0	39.4	32.6	175	62.5	31.3	6.3	80	88.5	11.5	0.0	26
MERCED	563	23.1	49.4	27.5	338	61.3	34.0	4.7	150	81.3	14.7	4.0	75
MODOC	27	52.9	35.3	11.8	17	66.7	33.3	0.0	3	100.0	0.0	0.0	7
MONO	51	27.3	9.1	63.6	33	42.9	35.7	21.4	14	25.0	75.0	0.0	4
MONTEREY	1364	54.5	12.1	33.4	876	77.3	19.9	2.8	352	91.9	2.9	5.1	136
NAPA	304	17.9	31.1	51.1	190	67.9	27.4	4.8	84	93.3	0.0	6.7	30
NEVADA	163	16.7	59.6	23.7	114	51.4	43.2	5.4	37	83.3	8.3	8.3	12

Note: The vast majority of convicted DUI offenders also receive fine and probation.
*Includes referral to alcohol clinics and 30-month programs.

TABLE 13: 1992 DUI SANCTION COMBINATIONS BY COUNTY - REPEAT OFFENDERS

COUNTY	TOTAL REPEAT DUI	2ND OFFENDERS				3RD OFFENDERS				4TH+ OFFENDERS			
		LICENSE SUSPENSION	SB 38 PROGRAM + RESTRICTION	OTHER	TOTAL 100%	LICENSE REVOCATION + JAIL	ALCOHOL* PROGRAM + REVOCATION	OTHER	TOTAL (100%)	LICENSE REVOCATION + JAIL	ALCOHOL PROGRAM + REVOCATION	OTHER	TOTAL (100%)
	N	%	%	%	N	%	%	%	N	%	%	%	N
ORANGE	4034	10.7	66.7	22.5	2952	26.2	69.5	4.2	804	74.8	20.5	4.7	278
PLACER	479	18.0	51.6	30.4	322	63.9	31.1	5.0	119	76.3	21.1	2.6	38
PLUMAS	41	48.1	29.6	22.2	27	100.0	0.0	0.0	9	100.0	0.0	0.0	5
RIVERSIDE	2148	20.7	38.7	40.6	1533	65.0	27.8	7.2	374	78.8	12.4	8.7	241
SACRAMENTO	2466	33.8	53.9	12.3	1534	76.7	18.5	4.8	540	77.3	17.9	4.8	392
SAN BENITO	122	38.8	38.8	22.4	85	84.8	6.1	9.1	33	100.0	0.0	0.0	4
SAN BERNARDINO	2186	32.9	33.5	33.6	1510	66.1	27.3	6.6	469	83.6	11.6	4.8	207
SAN DIEGO	3840	21.4	48.2	30.4	2811	52.4	39.4	8.2	757	73.5	16.9	9.6	272
SAN FRANCISCO	459	25.3	37.2	37.5	352	69.0	29.9	1.1	87	75.0	20.0	5.0	20
SAN JOAQUIN	1312	19.9	54.8	25.3	821	64.8	34.0	1.3	318	86.7	12.1	1.2	173
SAN LUIS OBISPO	641	13.3	50.8	35.9	421	30.1	66.2	3.8	133	65.5	25.3	9.2	87
SAN MATEO	1216	15.7	12.6	71.8	875	37.1	58.4	4.5	245	71.9	24.0	4.2	96
SANTA BARBARA	1289	50.6	17.7	31.7	840	62.3	36.1	1.5	324	84.8	12.8	2.4	125
SANTA CLARA	3722	32.3	51.9	15.9	2347	83.1	12.6	4.3	927	72.8	23.2	4.0	448
SANTA CRUZ	879	42.9	48.0	9.0	587	92.3	5.8	1.9	207	94.1	4.7	1.2	85
SHASTA	409	16.4	62.6	21.0	262	45.5	46.4	8.2	110	67.6	27.0	5.4	37
SIERRA	11	22.2	55.6	22.2	9	100.0	0.0	0.0	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
SISKIYOU	117	28.9	17.1	53.9	76	32.3	67.7	0.0	31	60.0	40.0	0.0	10
SOLANO	620	14.7	60.7	24.6	402	48.7	43.4	7.9	152	66.7	25.8	7.6	66
SONOMA	1349	41.9	34.1	24.1	781	86.4	8.4	5.3	359	90.4	1.9	7.7	209
STANISLAUS	851	25.9	13.9	60.2	553	40.6	54.2	5.2	192	56.6	39.6	3.8	106
SUTTER	207	31.8	29.5	38.6	132	81.6	18.4	0.0	49	80.8	15.4	3.8	26
TEHAMA	164	45.5	25.5	29.1	110	69.2	20.5	10.3	39	93.3	0.0	6.7	15
TRINITY	38	44.0	24.0	32.0	25	14.3	85.7	0.0	7	100.0	0.0	0.0	6
TULARE	1251	38.5	31.6	29.9	766	75.4	20.3	4.3	325	88.1	3.8	8.1	160
TUOLUMNE	126	11.8	65.8	22.4	76	53.6	35.7	10.7	28	72.7	18.2	9.1	22
VENTURA	1468	27.3	46.8	25.9	1038	76.2	21.1	2.8	323	77.6	15.0	7.5	107
YOLO	242	10.4	71.7	17.9	173	58.8	23.5	17.6	34	80.0	5.7	14.3	35
YUBA	211	38.4	37.7	23.9	138	88.9	8.9	2.2	45	96.4	0.0	3.6	28

SECTION 4: POSTCONVICTION SANCTION EFFECTIVENESS

This section presents and describes the results of an evaluation assessing the effectiveness of court and administrative sanctions applied to first and second DUI offenders[1]. The effectiveness of alternative sanctions is evaluated in terms of postconviction driving record as measured by: 1) total accidents, and 2) DUI incidents, including alcohol-involved accidents, major convictions (primarily DUI, also reckless driving and hit-and-run), APS (0.08% BAC or chemical test refusal) suspensions and DUI failure-to-appear notices (FTA). Displayed below in Figures 7-15 are failure proportions from the sanction analyses for 1989, 1991 and 1992 first and second offenders, grouped by sanction assignment (the 1990 offenders were not included as noted below). These figures show the proportion of accident- or DUI incident-involved drivers following their conviction. They are followed by a narrative description of the evaluation design, subject selection, data collection, analytical procedures and evaluation results. The reader is cautioned that license suspension refers to postconviction suspensions only, and does not include preconviction administrative per se license suspensions (which are applied to all offender groups).

Based on the data represented in Figures 7-12, the following conclusions can be drawn about first offender sanctions:

- The 1989, 1991 and 1992 suspended first offender groups all had fewer accident-involved drivers than did any other first offender sanction group.

- First offenders assigned to first offender treatment programs plus license restriction in 1989, 1991 and 1992 had significantly fewer DUI incidents in the postconviction period than did any other first offender sanction group.

- In all three years, first offenders sentenced to jail without treatment or postconviction suspension had a significantly greater proportion of DUI incident-involved drivers in the postconviction period than any other first offender sanction group. The 1991 and 1992 jail-sanction first offender group also had the poorest record of accidents over the 2-year and 1-year postconviction periods, respectively.

[1]Third or more offenders were not included because a previous study (Tashima & Marelich, 1989) indicated serious confounding due to group differences on prior interventions. In addition, sanctions for third and subsequent offenders do not vary much, due to the statutorily prescribed sanction requirements.



Figure 7 . Adjusted 4-year accident rates of 1989 first offenders by type of sanction.



Figure 8 . Adjusted 2-year accident rates of 1989 and 1991 first offenders by type of sanction.



Figure 9 . Adjusted 1-year accident rates of 1992 first offenders by type of sanction.



Figure 10 . Adjusted 4-year DUI incident* rates of 1989 first offenders by type of sanction (*alcohol-related accident or violation).



Figure 11 . Adjusted 2-year DUI incident* rates of 1989 and 1991 first offenders by type of sanction (*alcohol-related accident or violation).



Figure 12 . Adjusted 1-year DUI incident* rates of 1992 first offenders by type of sanction (*alcohol-related accident or violation).

Based on the data represented in Figures 13-15, the following conclusions can be drawn about second offender sanctions:

- The 1989 and the 1991 suspended second offender groups had 20.1% and 16.6% fewer accident-involved drivers than did the SB 38 program groups over their respective (4-year, 2-year) postconviction periods.

- Contrary to prior California studies including the 1992 DUI-MIS report, second offenders suspended in 1992 do not have significantly lower total accident rates than do those assigned to SB 38 treatment programs.

- In all three years, second offender groups sentenced to the SB 38 program plus license restriction had a significantly lower proportion of DUI incident-involved drivers than did the suspended group. At the end of four years, the 1989 suspended group had 22.7% more DUI incident-involved drivers than did the SB 38 program group, while at the end of 2 years, the 1991 suspended group had 42.9% more, and at the end of one year, the 1992 suspended group had 46.8% more, reoffending drivers than did the SB 38 program group.

In addition, findings from the analysis comparing the 2-year driving records of 1989 versus 1991 drivers showed the following:

- 1989 first and second offenders had a significantly higher proportion of drivers involved in accidents and DUI incidents than did their counterpart 1991 offenders.

EVALUATION METHODS AND RESULTS

Subject Selection and Data Collection

Convicted DUI offenders were identified from monthly abstract update tapes which contain all DUI conviction data reported to DMV by the courts. In the present study, follow-up data from three sets of offenders were evaluated for sanction effectiveness:

1) A 4-year follow-up period for the convicted 1989 DUI offenders who were evaluated in the first DUI-MIS report (the 2-year follow-up period of 1989 offenders was also evaluated in comparison with the 2-year records of 1991 offenders).

2) A 2-year follow-up period for convicted 1991 offenders who were evaluated in last year's DUI-MIS report.



Figure 13 . Adjusted 4-year accident and DUI incident rates for 1989 second offenders by type of sanction.



Figure 14 . Adjusted 2-year accident and DUI incident rates for 1989 and 1991 second offenders by type of sanction.



Figure 15 . Adjusted 1-year accident and DUI incident rates for 1992 second offenders by type of sanction.

3) A 1-year follow-up period for convicted DUI offenders who were arrested for DUI in 1992.

For each year's annual DUI-MIS report, an additional year of DUI data is added to the sanction analyses. In order to simplify the reporting of an increasing number of analyses, the 1990 DUI offenders were not included in this year's evaluation (the 1990 results were reported in the last two evaluations). Another factor in excluding the 1990 group was that only half of the sample was selected (those cited for DUI between July - December, 1990) because the APS law was implemented in July 1990. The 1991 and subsequent data provide more complete information on the possible effects of APS implementation.

The conviction date in all cases was considered to be the "treatment date" for defining prior and subsequent driving record data, because the penalties and sanctions for the DUI conviction are typically effective as of that date.

Since DUI penalties and sanctions are enhanced as a function of the number of prior DUI and alcohol-related reckless driving convictions within the previous seven years, subjects were selected based on the number of such convictions within the seven years prior to their entry DUI arrest. For the 1989, 1991 and 1992 drivers, two groups were selected for this evaluation: 1) first DUI offenders––drivers who had no DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving convictions within the previous seven years, and 2) second DUI offenders––drivers who had one DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving conviction within the previous seven years.

Court sanctions are reported to and recorded by DMV in the form of disposition codes on the abstract of conviction. A convicted DUI offender, especially a first offender, might receive any one of many combinations of individual sanctions, including jail, fine, license restriction or suspension, alcohol treatment program, or probation. Therefore, in defining sanction combination groups for the purpose of this analysis, the following conventions were used for first offenders:

1) if suspension (non-APS) was one of the sanctions imposed by DMV or the court, then the offender was included in the suspension group;

2) if suspension (non-APS) was not imposed, but the offender was assigned to an alcohol treatment program, then the offender was included in one of the treatment

groups, according to the type of treatment program (first offender or SB 38) and whether they were also sentenced to license restriction or jail; and

3) if neither suspension nor treatment was imposed, but the offender was sentenced to jail, then the offender was included in the jail group.

Fine and probation are generally imposed on most DUI offenders (except that probation is not usually granted to court-suspended first offenders), and for that reason are not included as sanctions evaluated in this report.

It should be noted that the definition of the sanction combination groups was not an arbitrary analytical convention, but rather a reflection of the most common naturally occurring sanction combinations assigned by the courts. Based on the above taxonomy, five first-offender sanction combination groups are used in this analysis: 1) license suspension, 2) jail, 3) first offender treatment program plus jail, 4) first offender treatment program plus license restriction, and 5) SB 38 (second offender) treatment program plus license restriction (some courts assign this sanction to a small number of first offenders).

One limitation of the above hierarchical grouping scheme is that it does not allow for an evaluation of the independent and interactive effects of each sanction as a treatment factor. Taking first offender treatment program plus jail as an example, the approach we used does not allow analysis of the separate effects of jail from the effects of the treatment program.

The second-offender sanction groups used in the analysis are: 1) license suspension, 2) SB 38 treatment program plus license restriction, and 3) a third group of 1991 and 1992 second offenders ("other") who did not meet the selection criteria of groups 1 or 2. Most of the offenders in this third group were originally referred to an SB 38 treatment program, but were initially suspended as well, in many cases due to court misreporting of disposition codes and/or the offender's lack of compliance with required procedures (e.g. provide proof of insurance, program enrollment, pay fees, etc.). Even if the courts amend the mistaken abstracts of convictions, the offenders still need to meet the insurance and program enrollment requirements. The final sanctions received by this group are unclear, which makes interpretation difficult. The "other" group was not included in the first DUI-MIS 1989 analysis and, therefore, was not included in subsequent reanalyses of the 1989 arrestees.

DUI offenders with felony convictions, chemical test refusal suspensions, 'X' license numbers (assigned if no California driver license number can be found), out-of-state ZIP Codes, and irregular or unofficial sanction codes (among first offenders) were excluded from the sanction analyses.

Prior driver record data were extracted for the two years preceding an offender's DUI conviction date for the 1989 and 1991 offenders and one year prior to the conviction date for the 1992 drivers (due to the more stringent purge criteria of DMV driver records). Appendix Tables B5, B6, and B7 list these prior driver record variables, which were used as covariates in the analyses. The evaluation period for the postconviction driving measures, starting from the conviction date, was four years for the 1989 drivers, two years for the 1991 drivers, and one year for the 1992 drivers. A buffer period of six months was allowed between the end of the evaluation period and the data extraction date to allow for processing and reporting the most recent data to DMV. DUI offenders who had less than the full follow-up time period (from conviction date to the buffer period) were excluded. The outcome driving measures consisted of: 1) total accidents, and 2) DUI incidents (alcohol-involved accidents, major convictions, APS/refusal suspensions, or DUI failures to appear).

Evaluation Design and Analytical Procedures

Logistic regression analysis was used to evaluate the accidents and violations that occurred during the study period by comparing the proportion of accident- and DUI incident-involved drivers in each sanction group at the end of the evaluation period. An additional analysis was conducted comparing the 2-year follow-up driving records of 1989 and 1991 subjects for the purpose of evaluating the decline in alcohol arrests and accidents over these time periods. Only the first accident or DUI incident or "failure" was evaluated. This is not an important limitation with these data because the incidence of repeat failures (two or more accidents or DUI incidents) was low over the study time window. More importantly, analysis of repeat failures would be subject to confounding by court sanctions received in connection with the first failure incident. This type of confounding is avoided because multiple incidents were not included in this analysis.

Since it was not possible to randomly assign drivers to the various sanction groups, potential biases due to preexisting group differences were statistically controlled by entering into the analyses as covariates biographical data, 2-year prior driving record data, and ZIP code indices (accident and traffic conviction averages of each driver's ZIP code area, and selected ZIP code variables from the 1990 census data for the 1991 and

1992 drivers). (Tables B5, B6 and B7 show significant group differences on most of these variables.) While this "quasi-experimental" design is subject to a number of limitations in assessing cause-effect relationships, the statistical control of group differences removes at least part of the bias in group assignment and provides a more precise estimate of the relationship between type of sanction and subsequent record. It is likely, of course, that the groups also differ on characteristics not measured by, or reflected in, the covariates. The possibility of uncontrolled biases becomes particularly problematic if sanctions are commonly received by atypical offenders through self- or judicial-selectivity (e.g., drivers of higher socio-economic status might be more likely to receive probation than those of lower status).

In all of the analyses for accidents (except 1991 first offenders and 1992 second offenders) and DUI incidents for both first and second offenders in all three years, several significant (p<.01) covariate by sanction interactions were evident (statistical significance at p<x means that a differential effect between groups would occur by chance less than x% of the time). These significant interactions indicated that the relationship between the covariates and the outcome measure varied across sanction groups, and therefore the covariates were included in the initial analyses for all three data sets to determine the magnitude of the interactions. In all analyses except two (1989 first offender and 1991 second offender accidents) where sanction differences were significant (at p<.03 for first offenders and p<.06 for second offenders), the interaction effect was generally one-fourth or less that of the main effect of sanction (chi squares were divided by their respective degrees of freedom to provide an approximate measure of effect size). Since the sanction main effect had substantially greater magnitude than the interaction effects, conclusions about sanction differences were based on analyses that did not include the interactions. The interaction effects of the remaining two analyses were examined and found not to create a serious impact on the sanction main effect.

Results of the First Offender Sanction Evaluation

Total Accidents: Figures 7, 8 and 9 and Table 14 display the results of the logistic regression analysis of total accidents for 1989, 1991 and 1992 first offenders. In all three years, the suspended first offender group continued to have the significantly lowest proportion of accident-involved drivers relative to the other sanction groups, with 14.15 (1989), 7.80 (1991) and 3.51 (1992) accident involvements per 100 drivers (see Table 16 for a summary of significant results by sanction groups). First offenders assigned to jail had the significantly highest accident rate of all the sanction groups for 1991 and 1992. However, this finding was not evident among the 1989 first offenders, four years after

their conviction. (It should be noted that the jail sanction may actually be less aversive than other sanctions when community service is substituted for jail time, which is frequently the case.) The failure rates of the other three groups were not significantly different from each other in all three years. Based on the results shown above, suspension continues to show the strongest effect among first offenders in reducing total accidents regardless of the length of time in the evaluation period, while jail was the least effective sanction among all first offenders in 1991 and 1992.

DUI Incidents: Figures 10, 11 and 12 and Tables 14 and 16 show that among the first offender groups in 1989, 1991 and 1992, the (statistically significant) lowest proportions of reoffending drivers were in the groups assigned to first-offender treatment program plus license restriction, with failure rates of 19.35, 9.98 and 5.25 per 100 drivers, respectively. In contrast, the worst recidivism rates occurred with the jail groups for all years (30.49, 20.99, and 12.04 for 1989, 1991 and 1992, respectively). Differences in failure proportions among the other three sanction groups were not significant for the 1989 offenders, but were significantly different among the three groups in 1991 and 1992. The first offender treatment program with jail and the SB 38 participants in 1991 and 1992 had lower recidivism rates than that of the suspension group. Overall, participation in the first-offender treatment program with license restriction ranked first in its effect on DUI incidents, while the jail sanction had the least impact.

Results from the first offender sanction analyses on DUI incidents continue to replicate those from previous research studies. Sanction group differences on accidents in this year's analyses were also consistent for 1989, 1991 and 1992, in contrast to one of the findings from last year's analyses where there were no significant differences among the 1990 sanction groups (two year follow-up period). It was suggested in last year's report that the lack of significant differences between sanction groups on accidents may have been attributable to the impact of the implementation of APS in July 1990, resulting in the nonsuspended groups having accident rates similar to those of the suspended group. This supposition is explored in a present analysis (discussed below) of differences between the 2-year accident and DUI-incident rates for sanction groups identified both before and after the introduction of APS. The effectiveness of APS is also currently being evaluated by DMV Research under a separate contract with the Office of Traffic Safety. The final report on the general deterrent effect of APS will be completed by September 1995.

TABLE 14: FIRST OFFENDER SANCTION EFFECTS ON TOTAL ACCIDENTS AND DUI INCIDENTS BY YEAR

YEAR	SANCTION GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	NUMBER OF ACCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	NUMBER OF DUI-INCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS
1989	Suspension	(5,477)	15.03	23.04
(follow-up period = 4 years)	Jail	(7,082)	20.40	30.49
	1st DUI program & jail	(42,840)	19.00	22.89
	1st DUI program & license restriction	(40,614)	19.32	19.35
	SB 38 program & license restriction	(4,328)	19.80	24.02
1989[r]	Suspension	(5,401)	7.57	14.37
(follow-up period = 2 years)	Jail	(7,027)	12.11	22.48
	1st DUI program & jail	(42,445)	10.94	14.98
	1st DUI program & license restriction	(40,256)	11.24	12.75
	SB 38 program & license restriction	(4,270)	11.27	16.01
1991	Suspension	(10,240)	7.80	14.02
(follow-up period = 2 years)	Jail	(5,054)	9.95	20.99
	1st DUI program & jail	(58,150)	8.41	11.47
	1st DUI program & license restriction	(37,729)	8.71	9.98
	SB 38 program & license restriction	(6,287)	8.69	13.50
1992	Suspension	(8,331)	3.51	7.69
(follow-up period = 1 year)	Jail	(4,803)	5.70	12.04
	1st DUI program & jail	(49,758)	4.41	6.20
	1st DUI program & license restriction	(32,576)	4.26	5.25
	SB 38 program & license restriction	(5,568)	4.42	6.72

[r]Revised from the previous report.

Results of the Second Offender Sanction Evaluations

Total Accidents: Among 1989 and 1991 second offenders, license suspension continued to be significantly more effective than treatment program plus restriction in reducing total accident risk (see Figures 13, 14, and 15, Tables 15 and 16), four years and two years subsequent to their convictions, respectively. The 1989 and 1991 suspended groups, with failure rates (per 100 drivers) of 14.60 and 7.78, respectively, had 20.1% and 21.6% fewer accident-involved drivers than did the SB 38 program groups. This finding is consistent with those of prior studies of second offenders on total accidents.

However, results of the 1992 analyses were similar to those of the 1990 and 1991 one-year analyses (reported in the previous two DUI-MIS evaluations) in that significant differences were not evident among second offenders in their total accident rates during the one-year follow-up period. Since license suspension has been consistently effective in reducing accident risk, it is likely that the lack of significant group differences in the one-year period is due to the immediate short-term positive effect of the imposition of one-year APS license suspensions on all second offenders. However, over a longer time period, the influence of APS on accidents for the SB 38 program participants may decline as licenses become reinstated.

In addition, Tables 14 and 15 show that on accidents across all years, first offenders had higher accident rates than did second offenders, which is a finding that has been apparent in previous DUI studies on accidents, even prior to DUI-MIS evaluations. The two-year comparison of the 1989 and 1991 analyses reported below indicates a significant difference between first and second offenders on accidents. The fact that second offenders have longer term sanctions than do first offenders (18-month postconviction suspension or 18-month SB 38 treatment programs) may explain this difference.

TABLE 15: SECOND OFFENDER SANCTION EFFECTS ON TOTAL ACCIDENTS AND DUI INCIDENTS BY YEAR

YEAR	SANCTION GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	NUMBER OF ACCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{\text{GRP 1 - GRP 2}}{\text{GRP 2}} \times 100$	NUMBER OF DUI INCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{\text{GRP 1 - GRP 2}}{\text{GRP 2}} \times 100$
1989	1) Suspension	(9,191)	14.60		30.40	
(follow-up period = 4 years)	2) SB 38 program & license restriction	(23,297)	18.27	-20.1%	24.78	22.7%
1989[r]	1) Suspension	(9,043)	7.78		20.09	
(follow-up period = 2 years)	2) SB 38 program & license restriction	(22,891)	9.92	-21.6%	15.05	33.5%
1991	1) Suspension	(10,269)	6.18		19.59	
(follow-up period = 2 years)	2) SB 38 program & license restriction	(17,703)	7.04	-12.2%	13.71	42.9%
	3) Other	(11,166)	6.89		16.50	
1992	1) Suspension	(9,306)	3.70		10.86	
(follow-up period = 1 year)	2) SB 38 program & license restriction	(16,051)	3.33	11.1%	7.40	46.8%
	3) Other	(10,243)	3.52		9.67	

[r]Revised from the previous report.

TABLE 16: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT RESULTS FOR 1989, 1991, and 1992 FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDER SANCTION GROUPS, BY OUTCOME MEASURES

YEAR GROUP	FIRST OFFENDER TOTAL ACCIDENTS (1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	DUI INCIDENTS (1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1989 (4-year follow up)										
(1) Suspension	na	S1	S1	S1	S1	na	S1	ns	ns	ns
(2) Jail		na	ns	ns	ns		na	S3	S4	S5
(3) 1st DUI program & jail			na	ns	ns			na	S4	ns
(4) 1st DUI program & restriction				na	ns				na	S4
(5) SB 38 program & restriction					na					na
1991 (2-year follow up)										
(1) Suspension	na	S1	S1	S1	S1	na	S1	S3	S4	ns
(2) Jail		na	S3	S4	S5		na	S3	S4	S5
(3) 1st DUI program & jail			na	ns	ns			na	S4	S3
(4) 1st DUI program & restriction				na	ns				na	S4
(5) SB 38 program & restriction					na					na
1992 (1-year follow up)										
(1) Suspension	na	S1	S1	S1	S1	na	S1	S3	S4	S5
(2) Jail		na	S3	S4	S5		na	S3	S4	S5
(3) 1st DUI program & jail			na	ns	ns			na	S4	ns
(4) 1st DUI program & restriction				na	ns				na	S4
(5) SB 38 program & restriction					na					na

YEAR GROUP	SECOND OFFENDER TOTAL ACCIDENTS (1)	(2)		DUI INCIDENTS (1)	(2)	
1989 (4-year follow up)						
(1) Suspension	na	S1		na	S2	
(2) SB 38 program & restriction		na			na	
1991 (2-year follow up)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(1)	(2)	(3)
(1) Suspension	na	S1	S1	na	S2	S3
(2) SB 38 program & restriction		na	ns		na	S2
(3) Other			na			na
1992 (1-year follow up)						
(1) Suspension	na	ns	ns	na	S2	S3
(2) SB 38 program & restriction		na	ns		na	S2
(3) Other			na			na

Note: A significant (p<.03 for 1st offenders and p<.06 for 2nd offenders) difference between sanction groups relative to the proportion of accident-involved or DUI incident-involved drivers is represented by an "S". The group number with the 'S' indicates the group with the better (lower) rate. A nonsignificant difference is indicated by "ns". "na" means not applicable. Blanks appear in the lower half of each matrix, since the halves are identical.

DUI Incidents: Figures 13, 14, and 15 and Tables 15 and 16 show that in all three years, the suspended groups had significantly worse failure rates (by 22.7%, 42.9% and 46.8% for 1989, 1991 and 1992, respectively) than corresponding rates for the SB 38 program participants. The third group ("other") in the 1991 and 1992 analyses had failure rates

midway between the other two groups. Failure rates of all three groups were significantly different from each other. These findings are consistent with the results of prior studies on alcohol-related incidents showing that SB 38 programs with license restriction are associated with a reduction in subsequent DUI incidents.

Results of the 1989-1991 Comparison of Two-Year Driving Records:

Total Accidents: The noticeable decline in accidents and DUI incidents in more recent years and the introduction of APS suspensions in July, 1990 warranted a further analysis testing for differences in driving records prior and subsequent to the implementation of APS suspensions. An analysis was conducted comparing the two-year driving records of 1989 and 1991 offenders, in which first and second offenders were evaluated for differences in accidents and DUI incidents by year (1989 versus 1991) and by DUI offender status (first and second). Table 17 displays the results of this logistic regression analysis. It is evident from Table 17, and Figures 8 and 14, that 1989 first and second offenders had significantly higher proportions of drivers involved in accidents (10.81 and 9.17 per 100 drivers) than did 1991 first and second offenders (8.62 and 6.77 per 100 drivers). The relative probability of incurring an accident in 1989 versus 1991 showed that the 1989 first offenders were 1.3 times more likely to be accident involved than the 1991 first offenders (within two years following their conviction). Among second offenders, the odds of 1989 offenders incurring an accident were 1.4 times greater than for 1991 second offenders during the 2-year evaluation period. The magnitude of the accident reduction between 1989 and 1991 was greater for second offenders than for first offenders (statistically significant interaction between offender levels by year). As noted earlier, the second offenders in both years were significantly less involved in accidents than were first offenders, a finding that has been consistently shown in previous studies.

TABLE 17: ACCIDENT- AND DUI-INCIDENT INVOLVEMENT OF 1989 AND 1991 DUI OFFENDERS--TWO-YEAR SUBSEQUENT DRIVING RECORDS

YEAR	OFFENDER STATUS	SAMPLE SIZE	NUMBER OF ACCIDENT-INVOLVED PER 100 DRIVERS	ODDS RATIO OF ACCIDENT INVOLVEMENT 1989/1991	NUMBER OF DUI-INCIDENT-INVOLVED PER 100 DRIVERS	ODDS RATIO OF DUI INCIDENT INVOLVEMENT 1989/1991
1989	First offenders	(92,243)	10.81		14.07	
				1.30		1.29
1991	First offenders	(110,145)	8.62		11.20	
1989	Second offenders	(28,932)	9.17		16.33	
				1.40		1.09
1991	Second offenders	(38,801)	6.77		15.16	

However, the year by offender level analysis did not include the sanction dimension, and therefore, an additional in-depth analysis was conducted evaluating the effect of three factors and their respective interactions on the driving record--year, DUI offender status, and sanction type. The sanction groups were collapsed into suspended versus program groups (the three first offender program groups were combined). This analysis revealed that for first offenders, differences on accident involvement between 1989 and 1991 varied by type of sanction (see Figure 16). That is, the reduction in accidents was much greater for the 1991 program participants than it was for the 1991 suspended group, relative to their 1989 counterparts. Among first offenders, the suspended group in both 1989 and 1991 had relatively similar accident rates while the 1991 program group had a much lower accident rate than that of the 1989 program group. This finding provides evidence that APS had a greater impact on offenders assigned to treatment programs following conviction than it did on those who were suspended upon conviction. This finding provides support for the hypothesis that the combined use of suspension and treatment is superior to either alone.



Figure 16 . Adjusted 2-year accident and DUI-incident rates for 1989 and 1991 first and second offenders by type of sanction.

The reduction in accidents for second offenders between the 1989 and 1991 program versus suspended groups was not as noticeable, but did approach significance (p = .087). The accident rates of both the 1991 suspended and program groups were significantly lower than their 1989 counterparts, but the extent of accident reduction was only slightly greater for the 1991 program group than it was for the 1991 suspended group. It appears that among second offenders, the effect of the APS suspension on treatment program participants diminishes in the second year, since the 1991 program group's accident rates were not appreciably different from those of the 1991 suspended group. Recall the discussion earlier suggesting that the impact of APS suspensions is more effective on accidents during the first year for second offenders but appears to diminish in the second year as licenses become reinstated. Since previous findings on accidents have supported license suspension as reducing total accident risk, the present findings indicate that the imposition of APS suspensions on all offenders had a greater short-term impact in reducing the accident rate of program participants than it did for postconviction suspendees.

DUI Incidents: Table 17 and Figures 11 and 14 show that both the 1989 first and second offenders had significantly higher DUI recidivism rates than their 1991 counterparts (14.07 and 16.33 for 1989, and 11.20 and 15.16 for 1991). The odds of being involved in a DUI incident were 1.29 times greater for the 1989 first offenders and 1.09 times greater for the 1989 second offenders than for 1991 first and second offenders. The odds of DUI-incident involvement in 1989 compared to 1991 for first offenders was significantly greater than the corresponding odds of second offenders, indicating that the reduction in DUI-incident rates was greater for 1991 first offenders than for 1991 second offenders. These differences in DUI-incident involvement were explored more extensively by analyzing sanction differences across both years and for both offender groups. Findings from this analysis revealed that, as was evident with accidents, first offenders in 1989 and 1991 varied in their DUI-incident rates by sanction group. In this case, 1991 first offender program participants showed a greater reduction in DUI-incident involvement than did the 1991 suspended offenders, relative to their respective 1989 groups. However, the outcome was different for second offenders in that, although both the 1991 suspended and program groups had lower DUI-incident rates than their 1989 counterparts, the extent of the reduction in DUI incidents was greater for the suspended group. These findings suggest that the imposition of APS suspensions increased the overall effectiveness of DUI sanctions in reducing DUI reoffense rates, but that the mechanism for the effect was different for first and second offenders. Since the pattern

of interaction effects for second offenders was opposite that of first offenders and is somewhat counterintuitive, the causal impact of the APS suspension on the 1991 second offender reoffense rates must be interpreted with some caution. Under the hypothesis that was advanced in last year's report, one would predict that the APS suspension would have had a greater incremental impact in increasing the comparative effects on the second-offender treatment program group. This hypothesis proved correct when evaluated in terms of accident reduction, but the converse was true for two-year subsequent DUI incidents, where the suspended group showed a comparatively larger impact. Subsequent follow-up data and next year's analysis of 1992 second offender cohorts may clarify these relationships.

Based on the findings from the analyses described above, however, the reduction in overall accident involvement in 1991 for first and second offenders, and for DUI incidents among 1991 first offenders, may well be attributable to the implementation of APS suspensions in 1990. While other factors, such as the implementation of the .08% BAC law in 1990, the declining number of DUI arrests, and the California economic recession, may be associated with the decline in accidents and DUI incidents, these reductions should be evident across all sanction groups in order for these explanations to be plausible. The fact that the reductions were found to be significantly different by sanction groups indicates that the implementation of APS suspensions was a likelier factor in the lower accident and DUI reoffense rates of 1991 DUI offenders.

SECTION 5: ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

Data on DMV administrative license disqualification actions (license suspension or revocation--S/R) taken in DUI cases are presented below. These statutorily mandated actions are initiated by the receipt of either a law enforcement APS report (.08% BAC or chemical test refusal) or court abstract of conviction. It should be noted that multiple actions can result from a single DUI incident--for example, a single DUI arrest frequently will result in both an APS suspension and a mandatory postconviction suspension action. This section includes the following tables and figure:

Table 18: Mandatory DUI License Disqualification Actions, 1983-1993. This table shows preconviction (APS) and postconviction license disqualification totals from 1983 through 1993. The postconviction totals include juvenile suspensions, first-offender suspensions, second-offender suspensions and revocations, and third- and fourth-offender revocations.

Table 19: Administrative Per Se Process Measures. This table presents APS process measure data for fiscal years 91/92 through 93/94.

Figure 17 graphically portrays mandatory DUI license disqualification totals from 1983 through 1993.

The following statements are based on the data shown in Tables 18-19 and Figure 17.

- During 1991, the first full calendar year of administrative per se license suspension, the total number of DMV DUI suspension/revocation actions increased by 60% over 1990. In 1992, the number of APS actions and total mandatory actions declined by 16% and 17%, respectively, mirroring the 16% decline in DUI arrests during the same period. This decline continued in 1993, with DUI arrests as well as mandatory license disqualification actions decreasing by 10% from 1992 totals.

- In 1993, 209,006 administrative per se license actions were taken. Of these actions, 69% were first-offender actions and 31% were repeat-offender actions.

- Chemical test refusal actions have steadily continued to decline, and have decreased by 50% since 1983.

- The number of mandatory postconviction license actions decreased by 14% in 1993.

- Since APS was implemented in July 1990, almost 1 million (992,736) APS suspension or revocation actions have been taken.

- During the first four years of APS implementation, requests for hearings have increased from 7% of all APS actions in FY 90/91 to 8% in 91/92, 9% in 92/93, and 10% in 93/94. The APS suspension/revocation action was upheld in 87% of hearings in FYs 90/91 and 91/92, 82% of 92/93 hearings, and 78% of 93/94 hearings.

- During the first 6 months after implementation (on January 1, 1994) of the "zero tolerance" law for minors, 4,331 suspension actions were taken.



Figure 17 . Mandatory DUI license disqualification actions, 1983-1993.

TABLE 18: MANDATORY DUI LICENSE DISQUALIFICATION ACTIONS, 1983-1993

	YEAR										
	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993
TOTAL MANDATORY S/ R ACTIONS	93670	98928	105849	104333	103630	101779	111703	233680	373131	308399	277447
PRECONVICTION											
Admin Per Se (APS) Actions	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	119610	272273	228790	209006
First-offender suspensions	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	82503	187527	157545	144321
Repeat-offender suspensions	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	34792	74351	62656	57279
Repeat-offender revocations	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	2315	10395	8589	7406
Commercial driver actions	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	3739	7976	6449	5829
Chemical test refusal actions	31173	30654	29308	26327	25474	22757	21466	22915	21296[r]	17963[r]	15662
Test refusal suspensions	31173	30654	25485	16352	14877	13720	12390	15128	10901[r]	9374[r]	8256
Test refusal revocations	n/ a	n/ a	3823	9975	10597	9037	9076	7787	10395[r]	8589[r]	7406
TOTAL APS/ REFUSAL ACTIONS	31173	30654	29308	26327	25474	22757	21466	142525	272273	228790	209006
POSTCONVICTION											
Juvenile DUI suspensions	n/ a	n/ a	2200	2219	2374	1823	1995	1478	1576	1202	922
First-offender suspensions	7385	7910	7057	7384	7278	6626	7679	10408	13575	10673	10208
Misdemeanor	6094	6386	5346	5592	5558	5050	5658	8467	11547	8989	8607
Felony	1291	1524	1711	1792	1720	1576	2021	1941	2028	1684	1601
Second-offender S/ R actions	35964	43980	46835	45234	43608	47698	53927	52334	57350	45478	38849
Misdemeanor	35542	43481	46292	44601	42964	47093	53238	51593	56583	44756	38285
Felony	422	499	543	633	644	605	689	741	767	722	564
Third-offender revocations	19148	16384	14970	16946	17118	13991	18514	18650	19963	15553	12908
Misdemeanor	19148	16384	14970	16946	17118	13671	18182	18219	19595	15233	12644
Felony	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	320	332	431	368	320	264
Fourth-offender revocations	n/ a	n/ a	5479	6223	7778	8884	8122	8285	8394	6703	5554
TOTAL POSTCONVICTION ACTIONS	62497	68274	76541	78006	78156	79022	90237	91155	100858	79609	68441

[r]Revised from prior reports.

TABLE 19. ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE PROCESS MEASURES

	7/91-6/92	7/92-6/93	7/93-6/94
Total APS actions taken (including actions later set aside)[1]	263,639	231,491	211,380
.08[2] Suspensions	253,830	223,481	200,029
.08 Revocations	9,809	8,010	7,020
.01[3] Suspensions	N/A	N/A	4,331
Total APS actions set aside	13,816	12,548	14,189
.08 Suspensions set aside	13,578	12,373	13,838
.08 Revocations set aside	238	175	214
.01 Suspensions set aside	N/A	N/A	137
Net total APS actions taken (excluding actions later set aside)	249,823	218,943	197,191
.08 Suspensions	240,252	211,108	186,191
.08 Revocations	9,571	7,835	6,806
.01 Suspensions	N/A	N/A	4,194
APS Actions by Offender Status/Occupation:[4]			
APS suspension for drivers with no prior DUI convictions[5]	172,083	151,752	133,166
4-month license suspensions	151,857	133,614	116,563
30-day suspensions plus 3-month restrictions	5,855	5,356	5,584
First-offender chemical test refusals	10,068	8,999	7,546
Total APS actions taken for drivers with prior DUI convictions	77,740	67,191	59,831
Suspensions	68,169	59,355	53,025
Revocations	9,571	7,836	6,806
Total commercial driver (CDL) APS actions taken	7,126	6,190	5,443
CDL APS first offender suspensions/restrictions	4,303	3,782	3,473
CDL APS suspensions of commercial drivers in commercial vehicles	41	38	28
CDL APS license revocations of commercial drivers in commercial vehicles	0	0	0
Total APS Hearings (BAC or Refusal):			
Total hearings scheduled[6]	24,419	24,497	21,682
Total hearings actually held and/or completed[7]	20,413	20,587	21,264
Total suspensions sustained or upheld following a hearing[8]	17,818	16,920	15,481
APS Chemical Test Refusal Process Measures:			
Total APS refusal actions taken (including actions later set aside)	20,448	17,454	15,145
.08 Suspensions	10,639	9,445	8,056
.08 Revocations	9,809	8,009	7,020
.01 Suspensions	N/A	N/A	69
Total APS refusal actions set aside	776	619	726
.08 Suspensions set aside	538	444	510
.08 Revocations set aside	238	175	214
.01 Suspensions set aside	N/A	N/A	2
Net total APS refusal actions (excluding actions later set aside)	19,672	16,835	14,419
.08 Suspensions	10,101	9,001	7,546
.08 Revocations	9,571	7,834	6,806
.01 Suspensions	N/A	N/A	67
Net .08 APS refusal suspensions for subjects with no prior DUIs	10,068	8,999	7,546
Net .08 APS refusal actions for subjects with prior DUIs	9,604	7,836	6,806
APS refusal hearings scheduled[9]	3,287	2,988	2,343
APS refusal hearings actually held and/or completed	2,973	2,712	2,260
APS refusal actions sustained or upheld following a hearing[10]	2,444	2,220	1,758

[1] Action taken on the basis of a chemical test refusal or blood alcohol concentration (BAC) test result.

[2] .08 refers to APS actions taken subsequent to obtaining evidence of a BAC equal to or in excess of the .08% per se level. Such an action is taken in conjunction with a DUI arrest.

[3] .01 refers to APS suspensions taken against drivers under the age of 21 with BACs in excess of .01%.

[4] All entries in this category exclude actions later set aside but, where possible, include actions taken on the basis of either a chemical test refusal or a BAC test result.

[5] Prior DUI convictions consist of any such conviction where the violation occurred within the seven years prior to the current violation.

[6] This figure excludes subsequent departmental review hearings or procedures.

[7] In FY92/93 nine percent of total APS actions resulted in a hearing. This figure increased to 10% in FY93/94. Both numerator and denominator include those actions set aside as a result of the hearing.

[8] In FY92/93 the APS suspension or revocation was upheld in 82% of APS hearings held. This rate dropped to 73% in FY93/94.

[9] APS chemical test refusal hearings represent 12% of the total APS hearings scheduled in FY92/93 and 11% of those in FY93/94.

[10] The APS action was sustained or upheld in 82% of the chemical test refusal hearings held in FY92/93 and 78% of those held in FY93/94.

SECTION 6: ACCIDENTS INVOLVING ALCOHOL

This section presents data on alcohol-involved accidents, as compiled and reported by the California Highway Patrol, as well as accident data which have been crosstabulated with Department of Justice DUI arrest data. Drivers identified as being under the influence of drugs other than alcohol are also included in the "alcohol-involved accident" category, but typically comprise less than 1% of the total (0% for 1992 data). This section includes the following tables:

Table 20: Race/Ethnicity by Sobriety Code of Accident-Involved 1992 DUI Arrestees. This table shows the law enforcement officer determination of sobriety for accident-involved 1992 DUI arrestees, by race/ethnicity.

Table 21: Fatal and Injury Accidents of 1992 DUI Arrestees by Race/Ethnicity and Type of Arrest. This table portrays the fatal/injury accident involvement of DUI arrestees, by race/ethnicity and type of arrest (felony, juvenile, or misdemeanor).

Table 22: Adjudication Status by Sobriety Code for Accident-Involved 1992 DUI Arrestees. This table crosstabulates accident sobriety codes (from law enforcement accident reports) with the court disposition of the 1992 DUI arrests associated with those accidents.

Table 23: Fatal and Injury Accidents of 1992 DUI Arrestees by Type of Arrest and Adjudication Status. This table displays the adjudication status of fatal and injury accident-involved 1992 DUI arrestees, by type of arrest.

Table 24: 1992 Accident-Involved DUI Arrestees With No Record of Conviction, by County and Type of Arrest. This table shows the number of accident-involved 1992 DUI arrestees without a corresponding recorded conviction, by type of DUI arrest, by county.

Figure 18 (below) shows the annual percentages of traffic injuries and fatalities that were alcohol-involved from 1983 to 1993. The numerical data for this graph are shown on the DUI summary statistics sheet at the beginning of this report.



Figure 18 . Percentage of total injuries and total fatalities that were alcohol-involved, 1983-1993.

Based on these data, the following statements can be made:

- The number of alcohol-involved traffic fatalities decreased by 14.4% in 1993, and has declined by 43% since 1987. The proportion of fatalities which are alcohol-involved has declined from 50.1% in 1987 to 37.7% in 1993.

- The proportion of traffic accident injuries that are alcohol-involved has also declined each year since 1987. Alcohol-involved injuries dropped 12.3% during 1993 and 37.6% from 1987 to 1993.

- 11.1% of all 1992 DUI arrests were associated with a reported traffic accident (the same as in 1991). 51.5% of these accidents involved an injury or fatality.

- In 29% of cases where a DUI offender was arrested in connection with a reported traffic accident, the offender has no record of any corresponding conviction. In 93% of these nonconvicted cases, the accident report indicated that the driver had been drinking and that their ability was impaired.

- Of all 1992 accident-involved DUI arrestees with no record of conviction, 33.1% had been arrested for felony DUI, up from 19.4% in 1991 and 18.2% in 1990.

- 5.7% (14,809) of DUI arrests were associated with a fatal or injury accident. Of these accidents, 30% (4,478) led to a citation for felony DUI but only 7% (1,089) led to a conviction of felony DUI. 30% (4,504) of DUI arrests stemming from a fatal/injury accident did not result in a reported conviction.

- Hispanic DUI arrestees in 1992, as in 1991, were proportionally less involved in fatal/injury and total arrest-related accidents than any other racial/ethnic group. For example, while Hispanics comprised 45.2% of all 1992 DUI arrests, they accounted for 42.1% of arrest-related accidents and only 39.3% of arrest-related fatal and injury accidents. In contrast, Whites (43.5% of 1992 arrests) accounted for 46% of total and 48.9% of fatal/injury arrest-related accidents. Corresponding rates for Blacks were 6.4% of arrests, 6.6% of total and 6.4% of fatal/injury arrest-related accidents, while "Other" races/ethnicities accounted for 5.0% of DUI arrests and 5.3% of total and fatal/injury arrest-related accidents.

TABLE 20: RACE/ ETHNICITY BY SOBRIETY CODE OF ACCIDENT-INVOLVED 1992 DUI ARRESTEES*

SOBRIETY CODE	TOTAL		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	28780	100.0	13229	46.0	12124	42.1	1891	6.6	1536	5.3
HBD-OBV DRUNK (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	1	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	27224	94.6	12415	45.6	11593	42.6	1758	6.5	1458	5.4
HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	74	0.3	43	58.1	22	29.7	7	9.5	2	2.7
HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (.05-.079)	555	1.9	260	46.8	221	39.8	48	8.6	26	4.7
HNBD-HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING	202	0.7	93	46.0	81	40.1	12	5.9	16	7.9
NOT REPORTED	724	2.5	418	57.7	206	28.5	66	9.1	34	4.7

*For each sobriety code, percentages are based on row totals.

TABLE 21: FATAL AND INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 1992 DUI ARRESTEES BY RACE/ ETHNICITY AND TYPE OF ARREST*

TYPE OF ARREST	TOTAL		RACE/ ETHNICITY (100%)							
			WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	14809	100.0	7246	48.9	5827	39.3	945	6.4	791	5.3
FELONY	4478	30.2	1953	43.6	1962	43.8	345	7.7	218	4.9
JUVENILE	167	1.1	83	49.7	72	43.1	2	1.2	10	6.0
MISDEMEANOR	10164	68.6	5210	51.3	3793	37.3	598	5.9	563	5.5

*For each type of arrest, percentages are based on row totals.

TABLE 22: ADJUDICATION STATUS BY SOBRIETY CODE FOR ACCIDENT-INVOLVED 1992 DUI ARRESTEES*

SOBRIETY CODE	TOTAL		TYPE OF CONVICTION											
			MISDEMEANOR DUI		FELONY DUI		ALCOHOL-RECKLESS		NONALCOHOL-RECKLESS		OTHER CONVICTIONS		NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTION	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	28780	100.0	17023	59.1	1282	4.5	1141	4.0	275	1.0	759	2.6	8300	28.8
HBD-OBV DRUNK (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	1	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	27224	94.6	16358	60.1	1223	4.5	1069	3.9	250	0.9	629	2.3	7695	28.3
HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	74	0.3	18	24.3	0	0.0	1	1.4	1	1.4	10	13.5	44	59.5
HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (.05-.079)	555	1.9	295	53.2	29	5.2	18	3.2	5	0.9	18	3.2	190	34.2
HNBD-HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING	202	0.7	71	35.1	1	0.5	12	5.9	1	0.5	39	19.3	78	38.6
NOT REPORTED	724	2.5	280	38.7	29	4.0	41	5.7	18	2.5	63	8.7	293	40.5

*For each sobriety code, percentages are based on row totals.

TABLE 23: FATAL AND INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 1992 DUI ARRESTEES BY TYPE OF ARREST AND ADJUDICATION STATUS*

TYPE OF ARREST	TOTAL		TYPE OF CONVICTION											
			MISDEMEANOR DUI		FELONY DUI		ALCOHOL-RECKLESS		NONALCOHOL-RECKLESS		OTHER CONVICTIONS		NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTION	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	14809	100.0	7937	53.6	1262	8.5	552	3.7	137	0.9	417	2.8	4504	30.4
FELONY	4478	30.2	1645	36.7	1089	24.3	83	1.9	30	0.7	138	3.1	1493	33.3
JUVENILE	167	1.1	42	25.1	9	5.4	1	0.6	0	0	4	2.4	111	66.5
MISDEMEANOR	10164	68.6	6250	61.5	164	1.6	468	4.6	107	1.1	275	2.7	2900	28.5

*For each type of arrest, percentages are based on row totals.

TABLE 24: 1992 ACCIDENT-INVOLVED* DUI ARRESTEES WITH NO RECORD OF CONVICTION, BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST

COUNTY	TOTAL (100%)	TYPE OF ARREST					
		FELONY DUI		JUVENILE		MISDEMEANOR DUI	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	7695	1463	19.0	201	2.6	6031	78.4
ALAMEDA	264	38	14.4	2	0.8	224	84.8
ALPINE	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
AMADOR	15	4	26.7	2	13.3	9	60.0
BUTTE	49	15	30.6	3	6.1	31	63.3
CALAVERAS	11	2	18.2	1	9.1	8	72.7
COLUSA	9	2	22.2	1	11.1	6	66.7
CONTRA COSTA	155	22	14.2	4	2.6	129	83.2
DEL NORTE	17	5	29.4	1	5.9	11	64.7
EL DORADO	35	7	20.0	3	8.6	25	71.4
FRESNO	303	39	12.9	8	2.6	256	84.5
GLENN	12	1	8.3	0	0.0	11	91.7
HUMBOLDT	52	14	26.9	4	7.7	34	65.4
IMPERIAL	81	18	22.2	4	4.9	59	72.8
INYO	7	3	42.9	0	0.0	4	57.1
KERN	172	25	14.5	2	1.2	145	84.3
KINGS	29	8	27.6	1	3.4	20	69.0
LAKE	23	7	30.4	2	8.7	14	60.9
LASSEN	13	2	15.4	0	0.0	11	84.6
LOS ANGELES	2232	410	18.4	55	2.5	1767	79.2
MADERA	42	3	7.1	1	2.4	38	90.5
MARIN	46	11	23.9	4	8.7	31	67.4
MARIPOSA	4	1	25.0	0	0.0	3	75.0
MENDOCINO	27	7	25.9	0	0.0	20	74.1
MERCED	73	15	20.5	1	1.4	57	78.1
MODOC	6	2	33.3	0	0.0	4	66.7
MONO	4	1	25.0	0	0.0	3	75.0
MONTEREY	103	20	19.4	4	3.9	79	76.7
NAPA	26	6	23.1	1	3.8	19	73.1
NEVADA	19	4	21.1	2	10.5	13	68.4
ORANGE	602	69	11.5	14	2.3	519	86.2
PLACER	44	4	9.1	0	0.0	40	90.9
PLUMAS	12	6	50.0	0	0.0	6	50.0
RIVERSIDE	394	88	22.3	4	1.0	302	76.6
SACRAMENTO	255	98	38.4	3	1.2	154	60.4
SAN BENITO	22	10	45.5	1	4.5	11	50.0
SAN BERNARDINO	501	137	27.3	9	1.8	355	70.9
SAN DIEGO	498	80	16.1	16	3.2	402	80.7
SAN FRANCISCO	106	29	27.4	0	0.0	77	72.6
SAN JOAQUIN	143	14	9.8	7	4.9	122	85.3
SAN LUIS OBISPO	41	9	22.0	1	2.4	31	75.6
SAN MATEO	119	19	16.0	3	2.5	97	81.5
SANTA BARBARA	68	9	13.2	2	2.9	57	83.8
SANTA CLARA	198	43	21.7	3	1.5	152	76.8
SANTA CRUZ	50	3	6.0	4	8.0	43	86.0
SHASTA	39	11	28.2	1	2.6	27	69.2
SISKIYOU	19	3	15.8	0	0.0	16	84.2
SOLANO	65	8	12.3	3	4.6	54	83.1
SONOMA	105	11	10.5	7	6.7	87	82.9
STANISLAUS	97	28	28.9	5	5.2	64	66.0
SUTTER	8	1	12.5	0	0.0	7	87.5
TEHAMA	17	5	29.4	0	0.0	12	70.6
TRINITY	6	2	33.3	1	16.7	3	50.0
TULARE	156	23	14.7	4	2.6	129	82.7
TUOLUMNE	11	3	27.3	1	9.1	7	63.6
VENTURA	189	45	23.8	5	2.6	139	73.5
YOLO	87	9	10.3	1	1.1	77	88.5
YUBA	13	3	23.1	0	0.0	10	76.9

*These cases include only arrestees whose accidents showed alcohol or drug-impaired sobriety codes.

DATA SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS

DUI Arrest Data:

Arrest data are reported to the Department of Justice (DOJ), Law Enforcement Information Center, by individual law enforcement agencies throughout the state. As such, these data are subject to reporting errors such as incorrect names, birthdates or arrest dates. Nonreporting of arrest data due to error or omission can also occur; for example, in 1989 and 1991 the San Bernardino Police Department reported no DUI arrests, while reporting hundreds of DUI arrests in 1988, 1990, 1992 and 1993. When data are entered into DOJ's Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system, only the highest-order offense is included. Therefore, in cases where a DUI arrest is made in conjunction with, for example, an auto theft arrest, that DUI arrest will not be included in the database. This results in systematic underreporting of the number of DUI arrests.

DUI Conviction Data:

Abstracts of conviction for DUI and other traffic-related offenses are reported to the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) by courts throughout the state. As abstracts are received (either hard copy, magnetic tape or through direct electronic access from the courts) they are entered onto the DMV driver record database. Abstracts without an identifying driver license number are run through the automated name index (ANI) system in order to match the abstract with an existing driver record; in cases where no such match can be made, an "X" numbered record is created to store the abstract. The total number of DUI abstracts of conviction received by DMV from the courts is tallied monthly and annually. Since this workload total includes abstracts which amend, correct or dismiss prior abstracts of conviction, it tends to overestimate the actual number of convictions which have occurred. Conviction data are also subject to reporting and nonreporting errors similar to those for DUI arrests. For example, the 1993 Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System documented that thousands of DUI convictions showing in court records do not appear on the DMV driver record database.

Alcohol-Involved Accident Data:

Accident data are reported to the California Highway Patrol (CHP) by local law enforcement agencies and district offices of the CHP. As such, these data are subject to reporting and nonreporting errors similar to those occurring in both DUI arrest and conviction data. While most local law enforcement agencies will investigate and file reports on accidents involving injury or death, the investigation and reporting of property-damage-only accidents varies widely by local jurisdiction. Data are entered onto CHP's Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS) and output in annual published reports.

REFERENCES

California Department of Finance. (1991). California statistical abstract, 1991. Sacramento, CA: Author.

California Department of Motor Vehicles. (1984-87). An evaluation of the California drunk driving countermeasure system. Volumes 1-8. Sacramento, CA: Author.

California Highway Patrol. (1994). 1993 annual report of fatal and injury motor vehicle traffic accidents. Sacramento, CA: Author.

Helander, C. J. (1989). Development of a California DUI management information system. Sacramento, CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1994). Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California (Report No. 143). Sacramento, CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1992). Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California (Report No. 134). Sacramento, CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., Marowitz, L. A., DeYoung, D. J., & Helander, C. J. (1993). Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California (Report No. 138). Sacramento, CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

GLOSSARY

ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE (APS)

Administrative per se ("on-the-spot") license suspension or revocation occurs immediately pursuant to lawful arrest of a person driving with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of 0.08% or more, or one who refuses a chemical test. Upon arrest, the driver's license is immediately confiscated by the law enforcement officer and an order of suspension or revocation served. The driver is issued a temporary license and allowed due process through administrative review. In July 1990, California became the 28th state to implement APS. In January 1994, California enacted a "zero tolerance" statute which requires the administrative suspension of any driver under age 21 with a BAC of 0.01% or greater, or who refuses to be tested.

ALCOHOL-INVOLVED ACCIDENT

Alcohol-involved accidents are those in which the investigating law enforcement officer indicates on the accident report that the driver "had been drinking (HBD)." Accidents involving drivers who are determined to be under the influence of drugs other than alcohol (typically less than 1% of all accidents) are also included in the alcohol-involved accident category.

ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS DRIVING

Commonly called a "wet" reckless, alcohol-related reckless driving refers to an arrest/conviction incident which originated as a DUI arrest. DUI arrests involving drugs which are reduced to reckless driving are also referred to as alcohol-involved or "wet" reckless driving. "Wet" reckless convictions count as priors for the purposes of enhanced penalties upon subsequent conviction of DUI.

ALPHA

Alpha is the investigator's acceptable risk or probability level of making a Type 1 error (generally chosen to be small--e.g., 1% or .01, 5% or .05). There is always some risk of a Type 1 error, so alpha cannot be zero. Alpha is also called the significance level, because it is the criterion for claiming statistical significance.

BAC

Blood alcohol concentration, or BAC, is a measure of the percent, by weight, of alcohol in a person's blood. Statutorily, BAC is based upon grams of alcohol per 100 milliliters of blood or per 210 liters of breath.

CONVICTION

Conviction of an offense, as used in this report, refers to the receipt by DMV of a court abstract of conviction. In a small proportion of cases, an offender may be convicted of an offense but that conviction is not reported to DMV. Such cases would functionally be treated by DMV as though the offender had not been convicted.

Because convictions can be amended, corrected, dismissed or simply not reported at all, the conviction totals reported herein are dynamic and subject to change.

COVARIATE

A variable used to statistically adjust the results of an analysis for differences (on that variable) existing among subjects prior to the comparison of treatment effects.

DUI

DUI is an acronym for "driving under the influence" of alcohol and/or drugs, a violation of Sections 23152 or 23153 of the California Vehicle Code.

LOGISTIC REGRESSION

Logistic regression analysis is a statistical procedure evaluating the linear relationship between various factors and the occurrence or nonoccurrence of an outcome event. In this study, the procedure was used to explain the relationship between the various sanctions and the proportion of DUI offenders who incurred accidents and/or DUI incidents.

MAJOR CONVICTION

Major convictions include primarily DUI convictions, but also reckless driving and hit-and-run convictions.

p

p stands for probability. For example, if $p<.05$, the probability is less than 5 chances in 100 that the difference you found is by chance alone.

QUASI-EXPERIMENTAL DESIGNS

Quasi-experimental designs refer to analyses where the comparison groups are not equivalent on characteristics other than the treatment conditions because random assignment was not used. Caution should be excercised when interpreting the results because of possible confounding of group bias with treatment effects. Covariates are used to statistically reduce group differences prior to the comparison of treatment effects.

SIGNIFICANT (STATISTICALLY)

If the result of a statistical test is significant, this means that the difference found is very unlikely by chance alone. How unlikely is determined by alpha.

APPENDIX A

Assembly Bill No. 757

CHAPTER 450

An act to add Section 1821 to the Vehicle Code. relating to driving offenses.

(Approved by Governor September 14, 1989. Filed with Secretary of State September 15, 1989.)

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 757, Friedman. Driving offenses: intervention programs: evaluation.

Under existing law, the Department of Motor Vehicles maintains records of driver's offenses reported by the courts. Including violations of the prohibitions against driving while under the influence of an alcoholic beverage, any drug, or both, driving with an excessive blood-alcohol concentration, or driving while addicted to any drug.

This bill would, additionally, require the department to establish and maintain a data and monitoring system, as specified, to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of those violations relating to alcohol and drugs, and to report thereon annually to the Legislature.

The bill would declare legislative findings.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. The Legislature finds and declares as follows:

(a) Drivers under the influence of drugs or alcohol continue to present a grave danger to the citizens of this state.

(b) The Legislature has taken stern action to deter this crime and punish its offenders and has provided a range of sanctions available to the courts to use at their discretion.

(c) No system exists to monitor and evaluate the efficacy of these measures or to determine the achievement of the Legislature's goals.

(d) This lack of accurate and up-to-date comprehensive statistics hampers the ability of the Legislature to make informed and timely policy decisions.

(e) It is essential that the Legislature acquire this information, from available resources, as soon as practicable, and that this information be updated and transmitted annually to the Legislature.

SEC. 2. Section 1821 is added to the Vehicle Code, to read:

1821: The department shall establish and maintain a data and monitoring system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of violations of Section 23152 or 23153.

The system may include a recidivism tracking system. The recidivism tracking system may include, but not be limited to, jail sentencing, license restriction, license suspension. Level I (first offender) and II (multiple offender) alcohol and drug education and treatment program assignment, alcohol and drug education treatment program readmission and dropout rates, adjudicating court, length of jail term, actual jail or alternative sentence served, type of treatment program assigned, actual program compliance status, subsequent accidents related to driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs, and subsequent convictions of violations of Section 23152 or 23153.

The department shall submit an annual report of its evaluations to the Legislature. The evaluations shall include a ranking of the relative efficacy of criminal penalties, other sanctions, and intervention programs and the various combinations thereof.

❍

APPENDIX B

TABLE B1: 1993 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATE TOTAL		231696	204886	88.4	26810	11.6	97296	42.0	107942	46.6	15225	6.6	11233	4.8
ALAMEDA	UNDER 18	59	55	93.2	4	6.8	25	42.4	25	42.4	7	11.9	2	3.4
	18-20	428	398	93.0	30	7.0	142	33.2	187	43.7	61	14.3	38	8.9
	21-30	3096	2679	86.5	417	13.5	1248	40.3	1021	33.0	514	16.6	313	10.1
	31-40	2462	2084	84.6	378	15.4	1133	46.0	569	23.1	499	20.3	261	10.6
	41-50	1125	956	85.0	169	15.0	560	49.8	177	15.7	266	23.6	122	10.8
	51-60	428	372	86.9	56	13.1	205	47.9	63	14.7	99	23.1	61	14.3
	61-70	188	172	91.5	16	8.5	99	52.7	19	10.1	57	30.3	13	6.9
	71 & ABOVE	34	34	100.0	0	0.0	17	50.0	3	8.8	12	35.3	2	5.9
	TOTAL	7820	6750	86.3	1070	13.7	3429	43.8	2064	26.4	1515	19.4	812	10.4
ALPINE	21-30	16	13	81.3	3	18.8	10	62.5	2	12.5	1	6.3	3	18.8
	31-40	20	16	80.0	4	20.0	15	75.0	1	5.0	0	0.0	4	20.0
	41-50	14	11	78.6	3	21.4	12	85.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	14.3
	51-60	3	2	66.7	1	33.3	2	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	33.3
	61-70	1	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	54	42	77.8	12	22.2	40	74.1	3	5.6	1	1.9	10	18.5
AMADOR	UNDER 18	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	9	8	88.9	1	11.1	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	69	60	87.0	9	13.0	56	81.2	12	17.4	0	0.0	1	1.4
	31-40	124	97	78.2	27	21.8	114	91.9	7	5.6	0	0.0	3	2.4
	41-50	67	56	83.6	11	16.4	64	95.5	2	3.0	0	0.0	1	1.5
	51-60	23	19	82.6	4	17.4	21	91.3	2	8.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	10	10	100.0	0	0.0	10	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	305	253	83.0	52	17.0	277	90.8	23	7.5	0	0.0	5	1.6
BUTTE	UNDER 18	14	13	92.9	1	7.1	9	64.3	5	35.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	129	114	88.4	15	11.6	91	70.5	33	25.6	3	2.3	2	1.6
	21-30	583	513	88.0	70	12.0	401	68.8	154	26.4	13	2.2	15	2.6
	31-40	447	360	80.5	87	19.5	370	82.8	56	12.5	12	2.7	9	2.0
	41-50	258	210	81.4	48	18.6	218	84.5	17	6.6	11	4.3	12	4.7
	51-60	101	95	94.1	6	5.9	86	85.1	9	8.9	2	2.0	4	4.0
	61-70	37	35	94.6	2	5.4	33	89.2	1	2.7	2	5.4	1	2.7
	71 & ABOVE	11	10	90.9	1	9.1	11	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1580	1350	85.4	230	14.6	1219	77.2	275	17.4	43	2.7	43	2.7

TABLE B1: 1993 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
CALAVERAS	UNDER 18	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	12	11	91.7	1	8.3	10	83.3	2	16.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	87	76	87.4	11	12.6	79	90.8	7	8.0	1	1.1	0	0.0
	31-40	128	102	79.7	26	20.3	121	94.5	6	4.7	1	0.8	0	0.0
	41-50	75	64	85.3	11	14.7	74	98.7	1	1.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	17	16	94.1	1	5.9	16	94.1	1	5.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	8	6	75.0	2	25.0	7	87.5	1	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	334	282	84.4	52	15.6	314	94.0	18	5.4	2	0.6	0	0.0
COLUSA	UNDER 18	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	4	57.1	3	42.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	28	25	89.3	3	10.7	9	32.1	17	60.7	1	3.6	1	3.6
	21-30	107	99	92.5	8	7.5	37	34.6	69	64.5	1	0.9	0	0.0
	31-40	100	88	88.0	12	12.0	62	62.0	32	32.0	3	3.0	3	3.0
	41-50	53	48	90.6	5	9.4	36	67.9	13	24.5	1	1.9	3	5.7
	51-60	20	18	90.0	2	10.0	17	85.0	2	10.0	1	5.0	0	0.0
	61-70	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	326	294	90.2	32	9.8	176	54.0	136	41.7	7	2.1	7	2.1
CONTRA COSTA	UNDER 18	47	40	85.1	7	14.9	25	53.2	12	25.5	7	14.9	3	6.4
	18-20	252	226	89.7	26	10.3	122	48.4	92	36.5	26	10.3	12	4.8
	21-30	1671	1413	84.6	258	15.4	891	53.3	488	29.2	183	11.0	109	6.5
	31-40	1404	1171	83.4	233	16.6	868	61.8	259	18.4	196	14.0	81	5.8
	41-50	699	593	84.8	106	15.2	486	69.5	76	10.9	103	14.7	34	4.9
	51-60	281	243	86.5	38	13.5	186	66.2	28	10.0	58	20.6	9	3.2
	61-70	118	107	90.7	11	9.3	78	66.1	7	5.9	26	22.0	7	5.9
	71 & ABOVE	20	18	90.0	2	10.0	12	60.0	1	5.0	5	25.0	2	10.0
	TOTAL	4492	3811	84.8	681	15.2	2668	59.4	963	21.4	604	13.4	257	5.7
DEL NORTE	UNDER 18	3	2	66.7	1	33.3	2	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	33.3
	18-20	19	16	84.2	3	15.8	9	47.4	6	31.6	0	0.0	4	21.1
	21-30	119	98	82.4	21	17.6	78	65.5	19	16.0	1	0.8	21	17.6
	31-40	145	119	82.1	26	17.9	119	82.1	10	6.9	2	1.4	14	9.7
	41-50	65	57	87.7	8	12.3	56	86.2	3	4.6	0	0.0	6	9.2
	51-60	40	34	85.0	6	15.0	32	80.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	4	10.0
	61-70	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	50.0
	TOTAL	401	335	83.5	66	16.5	305	76.1	40	10.0	5	1.2	51	12.7

TABLE B1: 1993 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
EL DORADO	UNDER 18	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	62	56	90.3	6	9.7	48	77.4	11	17.7	0	0.0	3	4.8
	21-30	332	286	86.1	46	13.9	290	87.3	37	11.1	1	0.3	4	1.2
	31-40	424	336	79.2	88	20.8	389	91.7	25	5.9	6	1.4	4	0.9
	41-50	214	176	82.2	38	17.8	202	94.4	8	3.7	3	1.4	1	0.5
	51-60	61	52	85.2	9	14.8	60	98.4	1	1.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	20	20	100.0	0	0.0	20	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1122	935	83.3	187	16.7	1018	90.7	82	7.3	10	0.9	12	1.1
FRESNO	UNDER 18	72	63	87.5	9	12.5	12	16.7	56	77.8	3	4.2	1	1.4
	18-20	684	645	94.3	39	5.7	124	18.1	542	79.2	12	1.8	6	0.9
	21-30	3710	3413	92.0	297	8.0	761	20.5	2801	75.5	82	2.2	66	1.8
	31-40	2367	2105	88.9	262	11.1	598	25.3	1615	68.2	89	3.8	65	2.7
	41-50	906	796	87.9	110	12.1	304	33.6	526	58.1	38	4.2	38	4.2
	51-60	288	259	89.9	29	10.1	122	42.4	139	48.3	21	7.3	6	2.1
	61-70	103	94	91.3	9	8.7	52	50.5	40	38.8	10	9.7	1	1.0
	71 & ABOVE	28	26	92.9	2	7.1	18	64.3	5	17.9	3	10.7	2	7.1
	TOTAL	8158	7401	90.7	757	9.3	1991	24.4	5724	70.2	258	3.2	185	2.3
GLENN	UNDER 18	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	23	22	95.7	1	4.3	10	43.5	13	56.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	128	118	92.2	10	7.8	51	39.8	76	59.4	0	0.0	1	0.8
	31-40	89	75	84.3	14	15.7	61	68.5	25	28.1	0	0.0	3	3.4
	41-50	42	36	85.7	6	14.3	35	83.3	5	11.9	0	0.0	2	4.8
	51-60	18	16	88.9	2	11.1	14	77.8	4	22.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	4	66.7	2	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	310	276	89.0	34	11.0	178	57.4	126	40.6	0	0.0	6	1.9
HUMBOLDT	UNDER 18	9	7	77.8	2	22.2	5	55.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	44.4
	18-20	91	83	91.2	8	8.8	78	85.7	7	7.7	0	0.0	6	6.6
	21-30	464	385	83.0	79	17.0	379	81.7	46	9.9	6	1.3	33	7.1
	31-40	359	270	75.2	89	24.8	309	86.1	17	4.7	6	1.7	27	7.5
	41-50	216	172	79.6	44	20.4	208	96.3	4	1.9	0	0.0	4	1.9
	51-60	84	73	86.9	11	13.1	78	92.9	2	2.4	0	0.0	4	4.8
	61-70	29	24	82.8	5	17.2	27	93.1	1	3.4	0	0.0	1	3.4
	71 & ABOVE	6	6	100.0	0	0.0	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1258	1020	81.1	238	18.9	1090	86.6	77	6.1	12	1.0	79	6.3

TABLE B1: 1993 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
IMPERIAL	UNDER 18	16	14	87.5	2	12.5	6	37.5	10	62.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	105	96	91.4	9	8.6	30	28.6	72	68.6	0	0.0	3	2.9
	21-30	740	681	92.0	59	8.0	174	23.5	528	71.4	17	2.3	21	2.8
	31-40	692	611	88.3	81	11.7	160	23.1	484	69.9	22	3.2	26	3.8
	41-50	377	356	94.4	21	5.6	109	28.9	246	65.3	9	2.4	13	3.4
	51-60	131	126	96.2	5	3.8	52	39.7	69	52.7	6	4.6	4	3.1
	61-70	69	62	89.9	7	10.1	35	50.7	29	42.0	5	7.2	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	18	18	100.0	0	0.0	12	66.7	6	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2148	1964	91.4	184	8.6	578	26.9	1444	67.2	59	2.7	67	3.1
INYO	UNDER 18	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	2	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	33.3
	18-20	31	27	87.1	4	12.9	15	48.4	8	25.8	0	0.0	8	25.8
	21-30	128	111	86.7	17	13.3	76	59.4	43	33.6	1	0.8	8	6.3
	31-40	121	96	79.3	25	20.7	97	80.2	10	8.3	0	0.0	14	11.6
	41-50	61	48	78.7	13	21.3	50	82.0	1	1.6	1	1.6	9	14.8
	51-60	20	17	85.0	3	15.0	14	70.0	4	20.0	1	5.0	1	5.0
	61-70	15	15	100.0	0	0.0	11	73.3	1	6.7	0	0.0	3	20.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	383	321	83.8	62	16.2	268	70.0	68	17.8	3	0.8	44	11.5
KERN	UNDER 18	73	64	87.7	9	12.3	27	37.0	43	58.9	1	1.4	2	2.7
	18-20	441	412	93.4	29	6.6	151	34.2	270	61.2	17	3.9	3	0.7
	21-30	2678	2409	90.0	269	10.0	854	31.9	1676	62.6	120	4.5	28	1.0
	31-40	1925	1702	88.4	223	11.6	826	42.9	931	48.4	130	6.8	38	2.0
	41-50	864	747	86.5	117	13.5	449	52.0	342	39.6	58	6.7	15	1.7
	51-60	312	282	90.4	30	9.6	199	63.8	89	28.5	19	6.1	5	1.6
	61-70	117	106	90.6	11	9.4	83	70.9	21	17.9	12	10.3	1	0.9
	71 & ABOVE	33	27	81.8	6	18.2	19	57.6	12	36.4	1	3.0	1	3.0
	TOTAL	6443	5749	89.2	694	10.8	2608	40.5	3384	52.5	358	5.6	93	1.4
KINGS	UNDER 18	13	12	92.3	1	7.7	5	38.5	8	61.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	117	110	94.0	7	6.0	39	33.3	75	64.1	2	1.7	1	0.9
	21-30	631	585	92.7	46	7.3	155	24.6	440	69.7	27	4.3	9	1.4
	31-40	442	396	89.6	46	10.4	112	25.3	287	64.9	34	7.7	9	2.0
	41-50	182	163	89.6	19	10.4	59	32.4	105	57.7	15	8.2	3	1.6
	51-60	70	63	90.0	7	10.0	24	34.3	41	58.6	2	2.9	3	4.3
	61-70	14	12	85.7	2	14.3	9	64.3	5	35.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	2	25.0	3	37.5	3	37.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1477	1349	91.3	128	8.7	405	27.4	964	65.3	83	5.6	25	1.7

TABLE B1: 1993 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
LAKE	UNDER 18	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	27	26	96.3	1	3.7	21	77.8	5	18.5	1	3.7	0	0.0
	21-30	164	131	79.9	33	20.1	113	68.9	37	22.6	6	3.7	8	4.9
	31-40	242	188	77.7	54	22.3	186	76.9	37	15.3	12	5.0	7	2.9
	41-50	157	135	86.0	22	14.0	141	89.8	9	5.7	1	0.6	6	3.8
	51-60	51	40	78.4	11	21.6	45	88.2	3	5.9	3	5.9	0	0.0
	61-70	34	32	94.1	2	5.9	32	94.1	1	2.9	1	2.9	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	11	9	81.8	2	18.2	9	81.8	0	0.0	2	18.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	690	564	81.7	126	18.3	551	79.9	92	13.3	26	3.8	21	3.0
LASSEN	UNDER 18	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	12	11	91.7	1	8.3	11	91.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	8.3
	21-30	75	60	80.0	15	20.0	62	82.7	13	17.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	31-40	75	63	84.0	12	16.0	67	89.3	4	5.3	1	1.3	3	4.0
	41-50	49	36	73.5	13	26.5	47	95.9	1	2.0	1	2.0	0	0.0
	51-60	18	17	94.4	1	5.6	17	94.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	5.6
	61-70	15	15	100.0	0	0.0	15	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	251	208	82.9	43	17.1	226	90.0	18	7.2	2	0.8	5	2.0
LOS ANGELES	UNDER 18	272	248	91.2	24	8.8	76	27.9	167	61.4	21	7.7	8	2.9
	18-20	3504	3289	93.9	215	6.1	508	14.5	2670	76.2	179	5.1	147	4.2
	21-30	27336	25250	92.4	2086	7.6	5031	18.4	19411	71.0	1733	6.3	1161	4.2
	31-40	17123	15493	90.5	1630	9.5	4308	25.2	10114	59.1	1631	9.5	1070	6.2
	41-50	7077	6286	88.8	791	11.2	2327	32.9	3366	47.6	862	12.2	522	7.4
	51-60	2458	2194	89.3	264	10.7	977	39.7	875	35.6	461	18.8	145	5.9
	61-70	788	726	92.1	62	7.9	379	48.1	226	28.7	151	19.2	32	4.1
	71 & ABOVE	152	133	87.5	19	12.5	86	56.6	27	17.8	37	24.3	2	1.3
	TOTAL	58710	53619	91.3	5091	8.7	13692	23.3	36856	62.8	5075	8.6	3087	5.3
MADERA	UNDER 18	16	16	100.0	0	0.0	3	18.8	11	68.8	2	12.5	0	0.0
	18-20	128	122	95.3	6	4.7	26	20.3	96	75.0	3	2.3	3	2.3
	21-30	652	608	93.3	44	6.7	111	17.0	524	80.4	12	1.8	5	0.8
	31-40	400	348	87.0	52	13.0	116	29.0	259	64.8	16	4.0	9	2.3
	41-50	163	145	89.0	18	11.0	63	38.7	82	50.3	15	9.2	3	1.8
	51-60	64	56	87.5	8	12.5	38	59.4	19	29.7	4	6.3	3	4.7
	61-70	25	25	100.0	0	0.0	13	52.0	5	20.0	4	16.0	3	12.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	1	25.0	1	25.0	2	50.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1452	1324	91.2	128	8.8	371	25.6	997	68.7	58	4.0	26	1.8

TABLE B1: 1993 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
MARIN	UNDER 18	13	10	76.9	3	23.1	9	69.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	30.8
	18-20	84	77	91.7	7	8.3	36	42.9	28	33.3	5	6.0	15	17.9
	21-30	696	578	83.0	118	17.0	334	48.0	182	26.1	21	3.0	159	22.8
	31-40	606	483	79.7	123	20.3	373	61.6	82	13.5	22	3.6	129	21.3
	41-50	385	300	77.9	85	22.1	270	70.1	12	3.1	15	3.9	88	22.9
	51-60	113	94	83.2	19	16.8	79	69.9	2	1.8	1	0.9	31	27.4
	61-70	38	28	73.7	10	26.3	25	65.8	2	5.3	0	0.0	11	28.9
	71 & ABOVE	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	3	60.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	40.0
	TOTAL	1940	1574	81.1	366	18.9	1129	58.2	308	15.9	64	3.3	439	22.6
MARIPOSA	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	4	80.0	1	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	48	40	83.3	8	16.7	42	87.5	4	8.3	2	4.2	0	0.0
	31-40	56	46	82.1	10	17.9	50	89.3	2	3.6	1	1.8	3	5.4
	41-50	22	12	54.5	10	45.5	21	95.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	4.5
	51-60	10	9	90.0	1	10.0	10	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	146	117	80.1	29	19.9	131	89.7	8	5.5	3	2.1	4	2.7
MENDOCINO	UNDER 18	15	14	93.3	1	6.7	11	73.3	2	13.3	0	0.0	2	13.3
	18-20	66	61	92.4	5	7.6	43	65.2	17	25.8	0	0.0	6	9.1
	21-30	351	310	88.3	41	11.7	192	54.7	140	39.9	6	1.7	13	3.7
	31-40	331	253	76.4	78	23.6	265	80.1	53	16.0	0	0.0	13	3.9
	41-50	177	150	84.7	27	15.3	141	79.7	28	15.8	1	0.6	7	4.0
	51-60	62	55	88.7	7	11.3	49	79.0	6	9.7	2	3.2	5	8.1
	61-70	21	20	95.2	1	4.8	20	95.2	1	4.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	10	10	100.0	0	0.0	9	90.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	10.0
	TOTAL	1033	873	84.5	160	15.5	730	70.7	247	23.9	9	0.9	47	4.5
MERCED	UNDER 18	39	34	87.2	5	12.8	11	28.2	26	66.7	2	5.1	0	0.0
	18-20	193	182	94.3	11	5.7	42	21.8	140	72.5	9	4.7	2	1.0
	21-30	1134	1066	94.0	68	6.0	253	22.3	821	72.4	40	3.5	20	1.8
	31-40	654	574	87.8	80	12.2	204	31.2	388	59.3	47	7.2	15	2.3
	41-50	261	225	86.2	36	13.8	119	45.6	116	44.4	21	8.0	5	1.9
	51-60	93	85	91.4	8	8.6	40	43.0	37	39.8	15	16.1	1	1.1
	61-70	44	42	95.5	2	4.5	20	45.5	17	38.6	7	15.9	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	13	13	100.0	0	0.0	7	53.8	3	23.1	3	23.1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2431	2221	91.4	210	8.6	696	28.6	1548	63.7	144	5.9	43	1.8

TABLE B1: 1993 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
MODOC	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	8	6	75.0	2	25.0	5	62.5	2	25.0	0	0.0	1	12.5
	21-30	43	35	81.4	8	18.6	23	53.5	15	34.9	0	0.0	5	11.6
	31-40	29	23	79.3	6	20.7	14	48.3	10	34.5	0	0.0	5	17.2
	41-50	26	20	76.9	6	23.1	22	84.6	4	15.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	8	6	75.0	2	25.0	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	50.0
	TOTAL	120	96	80.0	24	20.0	77	64.2	31	25.8	0	0.0	12	10.0
MONO	18-20	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	4	50.0	1	12.5	0	0.0	3	37.5
	21-30	50	47	94.0	3	6.0	28	56.0	6	12.0	0	0.0	16	32.0
	31-40	68	59	86.8	9	13.2	37	54.4	8	11.8	0	0.0	23	33.8
	41-50	25	24	96.0	1	4.0	10	40.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	15	60.0
	51-60	17	16	94.1	1	5.9	6	35.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	11	64.7
	61-70	5	3	60.0	2	40.0	1	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	80.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	1	50.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	50.0
	TOTAL	175	157	89.7	18	10.3	87	49.7	15	8.6	0	0.0	73	41.7
MONTEREY	UNDER 18	64	57	89.1	7	10.9	19	29.7	45	70.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	420	406	96.7	14	3.3	57	13.6	352	83.8	4	1.0	7	1.7
	21-30	2365	2197	92.9	168	7.1	504	21.3	1734	73.3	81	3.4	46	1.9
	31-40	1353	1195	88.3	158	11.7	465	34.4	765	56.5	86	6.4	37	2.7
	41-50	490	418	85.3	72	14.7	212	43.3	230	46.9	32	6.5	16	3.3
	51-60	183	164	89.6	19	10.4	98	53.6	67	36.6	9	4.9	9	4.9
	61-70	79	70	88.6	9	11.4	45	57.0	24	30.4	8	10.1	2	2.5
	71 & ABOVE	14	14	100.0	0	0.0	10	71.4	3	21.4	1	7.1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	4968	4521	91.0	447	9.0	1410	28.4	3220	64.8	221	4.4	117	2.4
NAPA	UNDER 18	11	10	90.9	1	9.1	5	45.5	6	54.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	96	92	95.8	4	4.2	42	43.8	52	54.2	0	0.0	2	2.1
	21-30	483	432	89.4	51	10.6	231	47.8	241	49.9	4	0.8	7	1.4
	31-40	327	271	82.9	56	17.1	226	69.1	94	28.7	2	0.6	5	1.5
	41-50	183	153	83.6	30	16.4	141	77.0	37	20.2	4	2.2	1	0.5
	51-60	61	53	86.9	8	13.1	53	86.9	4	6.6	2	3.3	2	3.3
	61-70	31	27	87.1	4	12.9	24	77.4	3	9.7	3	9.7	1	3.2
	71 & ABOVE	11	10	90.9	1	9.1	10	90.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	9.1
	TOTAL	1203	1048	87.1	155	12.9	732	60.8	437	36.3	15	1.2	19	1.6

TABLE B1: 1993 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
NEVADA	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	19	17	89.5	2	10.5	16	84.2	3	15.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	125	107	85.6	18	14.4	101	80.8	23	18.4	0	0.0	1	0.8
	31-40	202	163	80.7	39	19.3	182	90.1	16	7.9	0	0.0	4	2.0
	41-50	111	84	75.7	27	24.3	105	94.6	5	4.5	1	0.9	0	0.0
	51-60	27	25	92.6	2	7.4	25	92.6	1	3.7	1	3.7	0	0.0
	61-70	22	21	95.5	1	4.5	21	95.5	0	0.0	1	4.5	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	2	50.0	2	50.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	511	420	82.2	91	17.8	455	89.0	48	9.4	3	0.6	5	1.0
ORANGE	UNDER 18	61	47	77.0	14	23.0	32	52.5	26	42.6	0	0.0	3	4.9
	18-20	863	773	89.6	90	10.4	337	39.0	487	56.4	11	1.3	28	3.2
	21-30	7197	6348	88.2	849	11.8	3040	42.2	3691	51.3	140	1.9	326	4.5
	31-40	4498	3852	85.6	646	14.4	2400	53.4	1765	39.2	102	2.3	231	5.1
	41-50	1905	1615	84.8	290	15.2	1176	61.7	533	28.0	53	2.8	143	7.5
	51-60	679	609	89.7	70	10.3	490	72.2	134	19.7	14	2.1	41	6.0
	61-70	221	191	86.4	30	13.6	177	80.1	35	15.8	2	0.9	7	3.2
	71 & ABOVE	52	43	82.7	9	17.3	46	88.5	6	11.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	15476	13478	87.1	1998	12.9	7698	49.7	6677	43.1	322	2.1	779	5.0
PLACER	UNDER 18	24	20	83.3	4	16.7	19	79.2	5	20.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	124	108	87.1	16	12.9	98	79.0	19	15.3	5	4.0	2	1.6
	21-30	658	547	83.1	111	16.9	539	81.9	107	16.3	7	1.1	5	0.8
	31-40	645	531	82.3	114	17.7	566	87.8	68	10.5	5	0.8	6	0.9
	41-50	299	244	81.6	55	18.4	263	88.0	19	6.4	9	3.0	8	2.7
	51-60	94	83	88.3	11	11.7	88	93.6	4	4.3	2	2.1	0	0.0
	61-70	33	27	81.8	6	18.2	31	93.9	1	3.0	1	3.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	7	87.5	0	0.0	1	12.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1885	1568	83.2	317	16.8	1611	85.5	223	11.8	30	1.6	21	1.1
PLUMAS	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	49	40	81.6	9	18.4	40	81.6	2	4.1	1	2.0	6	12.2
	31-40	82	71	86.6	11	13.4	76	92.7	2	2.4	2	2.4	2	2.4
	41-50	46	43	93.5	3	6.5	43	93.5	3	6.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	19	17	89.5	2	10.5	15	78.9	2	10.5	0	0.0	2	10.5
	61-70	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	5	71.4	2	28.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	217	191	88.0	26	12.0	193	88.9	11	5.1	3	1.4	10	4.6

TABLE B1: 1993 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
RIVERSIDE	UNDER 18	68	64	94.1	4	5.9	21	30.9	38	55.9	3	4.4	6	8.8
	18-20	524	481	91.8	43	8.2	173	33.0	315	60.1	21	4.0	15	2.9
	21-30	3684	3320	90.1	364	9.9	1183	32.1	2169	58.9	193	5.2	139	3.8
	31-40	2758	2397	86.9	361	13.1	1239	44.9	1211	43.9	192	7.0	116	4.2
	41-50	1226	1033	84.3	193	15.7	664	54.2	412	33.6	86	7.0	64	5.2
	51-60	526	455	86.5	71	13.5	333	63.3	134	25.5	42	8.0	17	3.2
	61-70	249	218	87.6	31	12.4	173	69.5	50	20.1	14	5.6	12	4.8
	71 & ABOVE	74	61	82.4	13	17.6	62	83.8	6	8.1	3	4.1	3	4.1
	TOTAL	9109	8029	88.1	1080	11.9	3848	42.2	4335	47.6	554	6.1	372	4.1
SACRAMENTO	UNDER 18	75	64	85.3	11	14.7	37	49.3	23	30.7	11	14.7	4	5.3
	18-20	549	474	86.3	75	13.7	299	54.5	160	29.1	66	12.0	24	4.4
	21-30	3931	3263	83.0	668	17.0	2379	60.5	936	23.8	449	11.4	167	4.2
	31-40	2827	2355	83.3	472	16.7	1777	62.9	534	18.9	419	14.8	97	3.4
	41-50	1269	1072	84.5	197	15.5	854	67.3	199	15.7	160	12.6	56	4.4
	51-60	431	377	87.5	54	12.5	284	65.9	59	13.7	69	16.0	19	4.4
	61-70	197	182	92.4	15	7.6	128	65.0	24	12.2	39	19.8	6	3.0
	71 & ABOVE	37	36	97.3	1	2.7	24	64.9	7	18.9	5	13.5	1	2.7
	TOTAL	9316	7823	84.0	1493	16.0	5782	62.1	1942	20.8	1218	13.1	374	4.0
SAN BENITO	UNDER 18	6	4	66.7	2	33.3	1	16.7	5	83.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	31	29	93.5	2	6.5	5	16.1	26	83.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	146	134	91.8	12	8.2	23	15.8	120	82.2	0	0.0	3	2.1
	31-40	92	80	87.0	12	13.0	32	34.8	58	63.0	0	0.0	2	2.2
	41-50	46	41	89.1	5	10.9	20	43.5	25	54.3	1	2.2	0	0.0
	51-60	16	14	87.5	2	12.5	9	56.3	6	37.5	0	0.0	1	6.3
	61-70	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	2	66.7	1	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	1	50.0	1	50.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	342	306	89.5	36	10.5	94	27.5	241	70.5	1	0.3	6	1.8
SAN BERNARDINO	UNDER 18	59	48	81.4	11	18.6	26	44.1	29	49.2	3	5.1	1	1.7
	18-20	614	578	94.1	36	5.9	183	29.8	372	60.6	30	4.9	29	4.7
	21-30	4368	4008	91.8	360	8.2	1449	33.2	2456	56.2	253	5.8	210	4.8
	31-40	3460	3029	87.5	431	12.5	1502	43.4	1477	42.7	271	7.8	210	6.1
	41-50	1645	1448	88.0	197	12.0	867	52.7	524	31.9	144	8.8	110	6.7
	51-60	609	560	92.0	49	8.0	348	57.1	160	26.3	52	8.5	49	8.0
	61-70	199	185	93.0	14	7.0	126	63.3	46	23.1	15	7.5	12	6.0
	71 & ABOVE	46	41	89.1	5	10.9	34	73.9	6	13.0	2	4.3	4	8.7
	TOTAL	11000	9897	90.0	1103	10.0	4535	41.2	5070	46.1	770	7.0	625	5.7

TABLE B1: 1993 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SAN DIEGO	UNDER 18	118	98	83.1	20	16.9	71	60.2	37	31.4	5	4.2	5	4.2
	18-20	1015	901	88.8	114	11.2	508	50.0	403	39.7	55	5.4	49	4.8
	21-30	7838	6988	89.2	850	10.8	3698	47.2	3273	41.8	507	6.5	360	4.6
	31-40	4896	4237	86.5	659	13.5	2565	52.4	1773	36.2	330	6.7	228	4.7
	41-50	2093	1786	85.3	307	14.7	1319	63.0	569	27.2	107	5.1	98	4.7
	51-60	752	649	86.3	103	13.7	494	65.7	166	22.1	51	6.8	41	5.5
	61-70	261	224	85.8	37	14.2	194	74.3	35	13.4	17	6.5	15	5.7
	71 & ABOVE	74	65	87.8	9	12.2	59	79.7	11	14.9	1	1.4	3	4.1
	TOTAL	17047	14948	87.7	2099	12.3	8908	52.3	6267	36.8	1073	6.3	799	4.7
SAN FRANCISCO	UNDER 18	5	3	60.0	2	40.0	2	40.0	2	40.0	0	0.0	1	20.0
	18-20	91	82	90.1	9	9.9	43	47.3	27	29.7	10	11.0	11	12.1
	21-30	851	738	86.7	113	13.3	407	47.8	200	23.5	105	12.3	139	16.3
	31-40	551	495	89.8	56	10.2	297	53.9	89	16.2	75	13.6	90	16.3
	41-50	252	214	84.9	38	15.1	138	54.8	29	11.5	49	19.4	36	14.3
	51-60	98	88	89.8	10	10.2	52	53.1	10	10.2	24	24.5	12	12.2
	61-70	28	27	96.4	1	3.6	16	57.1	0	0.0	9	32.1	3	10.7
	71 & ABOVE	9	8	88.9	1	11.1	4	44.4	0	0.0	3	33.3	2	22.2
	TOTAL	1885	1655	87.8	230	12.2	959	50.9	357	18.9	275	14.6	294	15.6
SAN JOAQUIN	UNDER 18	52	48	92.3	4	7.7	20	38.5	25	48.1	3	5.8	4	7.7
	18-20	331	313	94.6	18	5.4	93	28.1	214	64.7	11	3.3	13	3.9
	21-30	1676	1535	91.6	141	8.4	594	35.4	936	55.8	96	5.7	50	3.0
	31-40	1317	1145	86.9	172	13.1	643	48.8	513	39.0	95	7.2	66	5.0
	41-50	684	600	87.7	84	12.3	411	60.1	213	31.1	40	5.8	20	2.9
	51-60	254	229	90.2	25	9.8	161	63.4	63	24.8	23	9.1	7	2.8
	61-70	106	92	86.8	14	13.2	65	61.3	26	24.5	13	12.3	2	1.9
	71 & ABOVE	24	23	95.8	1	4.2	14	58.3	4	16.7	5	20.8	1	4.2
	TOTAL	4444	3985	89.7	459	10.3	2001	45.0	1994	44.9	286	6.4	163	3.7
SAN LUIS OBISPO	UNDER 18	30	27	90.0	3	10.0	19	63.3	11	36.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	211	177	83.9	34	16.1	142	67.3	58	27.5	7	3.3	4	1.9
	21-30	964	838	86.9	126	13.1	605	62.8	325	33.7	13	1.3	21	2.2
	31-40	702	581	82.8	121	17.2	525	74.8	150	21.4	14	2.0	13	1.9
	41-50	362	292	80.7	70	19.3	304	84.0	50	13.8	5	1.4	3	0.8
	51-60	119	103	86.6	16	13.4	95	79.8	23	19.3	1	0.8	0	0.0
	61-70	42	33	78.6	9	21.4	36	85.7	4	9.5	1	2.4	1	2.4
	71 & ABOVE	14	11	78.6	3	21.4	14	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2444	2062	84.4	382	15.6	1740	71.2	621	25.4	41	1.7	42	1.7

TABLE B1: 1993 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SAN MATEO	UNDER 18	41	35	85.4	6	14.6	18	43.9	16	39.0	3	7.3	4	9.8
	18-20	270	243	90.0	27	10.0	90	33.3	147	54.4	8	3.0	25	9.3
	21-30	2226	1994	89.6	232	10.4	860	38.6	1030	46.3	138	6.2	198	8.9
	31-40	1579	1359	86.1	220	13.9	780	49.4	476	30.1	151	9.6	172	10.9
	41-50	654	540	82.6	114	17.4	387	59.2	142	21.7	53	8.1	72	11.0
	51-60	268	234	87.3	34	12.7	157	58.6	41	15.3	35	13.1	35	13.1
	61-70	96	86	89.6	10	10.4	66	68.8	10	10.4	17	17.7	3	3.1
	71 & ABOVE	29	24	82.8	5	17.2	21	72.4	3	10.3	5	17.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	5163	4515	87.4	648	12.6	2379	46.1	1865	36.1	410	7.9	509	9.9
SANTA BARBARA	UNDER 18	45	34	75.6	11	24.4	20	44.4	23	51.1	2	4.4	0	0.0
	18-20	430	369	85.8	61	14.2	202	47.0	208	48.4	10	2.3	10	2.3
	21-30	2091	1826	87.3	265	12.7	799	38.2	1188	56.8	63	3.0	41	2.0
	31-40	1240	1021	82.3	219	17.7	650	52.4	508	41.0	57	4.6	25	2.0
	41-50	508	397	78.1	111	21.9	328	64.6	151	29.7	20	3.9	9	1.8
	51-60	173	147	85.0	26	15.0	118	68.2	44	25.4	8	4.6	3	1.7
	61-70	87	72	82.8	15	17.2	64	73.6	14	16.1	6	6.9	3	3.4
	71 & ABOVE	17	15	88.2	2	11.8	15	88.2	2	11.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	4591	3881	84.5	710	15.5	2196	47.8	2138	46.6	166	3.6	91	2.0
SANTA CLARA	UNDER 18	59	54	91.5	5	8.5	16	27.1	40	67.8	0	0.0	3	5.1
	18-20	612	559	91.3	53	8.7	152	24.8	402	65.7	25	4.1	33	5.4
	21-30	4636	4124	89.0	512	11.0	1525	32.9	2580	55.7	207	4.5	324	7.0
	31-40	3518	3044	86.5	474	13.5	1440	40.9	1545	43.9	226	6.4	307	8.7
	41-50	1401	1176	83.9	225	16.1	693	49.5	508	36.3	100	7.1	100	7.1
	51-60	465	410	88.2	55	11.8	272	58.5	126	27.1	30	6.5	37	8.0
	61-70	148	133	89.9	15	10.1	94	63.5	39	26.4	13	8.8	2	1.4
	71 & ABOVE	32	27	84.4	5	15.6	21	65.6	9	28.1	1	3.1	1	3.1
	TOTAL	10871	9527	87.6	1344	12.4	4213	38.8	5249	48.3	602	5.5	807	7.4
SANTA CRUZ	UNDER 18	55	43	78.2	12	21.8	25	45.5	27	49.1	0	0.0	3	5.5
	18-20	222	197	88.7	25	11.3	99	44.6	118	53.2	1	0.5	4	1.8
	21-30	1352	1175	86.9	177	13.1	629	46.5	663	49.0	24	1.8	36	2.7
	31-40	972	820	84.4	152	15.6	605	62.2	310	31.9	14	1.4	43	4.4
	41-50	442	360	81.4	82	18.6	316	71.5	106	24.0	5	1.1	15	3.4
	51-60	120	95	79.2	25	20.8	97	80.8	20	16.7	0	0.0	3	2.5
	61-70	29	20	69.0	9	31.0	23	79.3	5	17.2	0	0.0	1	3.4
	71 & ABOVE	14	11	78.6	3	21.4	13	92.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	7.1
	TOTAL	3206	2721	84.9	485	15.1	1807	56.4	1249	39.0	44	1.4	106	3.3

TABLE B1: 1993 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SHASTA	UNDER 18	9	9	100.0	0	0.0	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	52	47	90.4	5	9.6	46	88.5	4	7.7	1	1.9	1	1.9
	21-30	335	281	83.9	54	16.1	291	86.9	26	7.8	3	0.9	15	4.5
	31-40	350	284	81.1	66	18.9	315	90.0	20	5.7	4	1.1	11	3.1
	41-50	228	193	84.6	35	15.4	203	89.0	7	3.1	4	1.8	14	6.1
	51-60	62	49	79.0	13	21.0	59	95.2	0	0.0	1	1.6	2	3.2
	61-70	27	24	88.9	3	11.1	26	96.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	3.7
	71 & ABOVE	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1070	893	83.5	177	16.5	956	89.3	57	5.3	13	1.2	44	4.1
SIERRA	18-20	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	14	12	85.7	2	14.3	12	85.7	2	14.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	31-40	29	26	89.7	3	10.3	29	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	41-50	12	11	91.7	1	8.3	11	91.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	8.3
	51-60	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	3	60.0	1	20.0	0	0.0	1	20.0
	61-70	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	2	66.7	1	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	67	60	89.6	7	10.4	61	91.0	4	6.0	0	0.0	2	3.0
SISKIYOU	UNDER 18	4	2	50.0	2	50.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	18	14	77.8	4	22.2	14	77.8	3	16.7	1	5.6	0	0.0
	21-30	126	110	87.3	16	12.7	98	77.8	23	18.3	0	0.0	5	4.0
	31-40	141	122	86.5	19	13.5	122	86.5	10	7.1	4	2.8	5	3.5
	41-50	71	57	80.3	14	19.7	63	88.7	5	7.0	2	2.8	1	1.4
	51-60	29	25	86.2	4	13.8	27	93.1	2	6.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	17	13	76.5	4	23.5	16	94.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	5.9
	71 & ABOVE	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	410	347	84.6	63	15.4	348	84.9	43	10.5	7	1.7	12	2.9
SOLANO	UNDER 18	17	16	94.1	1	5.9	7	41.2	7	41.2	1	5.9	2	11.8
	18-20	144	128	88.9	16	11.1	59	41.0	57	39.6	23	16.0	5	3.5
	21-30	758	664	87.6	94	12.4	325	42.9	267	35.2	133	17.5	33	4.4
	31-40	641	542	84.6	99	15.4	314	49.0	141	22.0	151	23.6	35	5.5
	41-50	312	261	83.7	51	16.3	176	56.4	48	15.4	69	22.1	19	6.1
	51-60	125	110	88.0	15	12.0	80	64.0	15	12.0	23	18.4	7	5.6
	61-70	43	38	88.4	5	11.6	32	74.4	2	4.7	8	18.6	1	2.3
	71 & ABOVE	10	9	90.0	1	10.0	7	70.0	1	10.0	2	20.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2050	1768	86.2	282	13.8	1000	48.8	538	26.2	410	20.0	102	5.0

TABLE B1: 1993 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SONOMA	UNDER 18	48	38	79.2	10	20.8	34	70.8	14	29.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	248	232	93.5	16	6.5	130	52.4	104	41.9	7	2.8	7	2.8
	21-30	1591	1401	88.1	190	11.9	843	53.0	701	44.1	24	1.5	23	1.4
	31-40	1240	1008	81.3	232	18.7	907	73.1	292	23.5	20	1.6	21	1.7
	41-50	634	515	81.2	119	18.8	523	82.5	93	14.7	10	1.6	8	1.3
	51-60	204	168	82.4	36	17.6	176	86.3	22	10.8	2	1.0	4	2.0
	61-70	81	65	80.2	16	19.8	73	90.1	6	7.4	1	1.2	1	1.2
	71 & ABOVE	29	26	89.7	3	10.3	27	93.1	2	6.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	4075	3453	84.7	622	15.3	2713	66.6	1234	30.3	64	1.6	64	1.6
STANISLAUS	UNDER 18	30	28	93.3	2	6.7	14	46.7	15	50.0	0	0.0	1	3.3
	18-20	234	224	95.7	10	4.3	82	35.0	142	60.7	2	0.9	8	3.4
	21-30	1352	1225	90.6	127	9.4	574	42.5	720	53.3	25	1.8	33	2.4
	31-40	1021	896	87.8	125	12.2	529	51.8	440	43.1	24	2.4	28	2.7
	41-50	445	394	88.5	51	11.5	273	61.3	139	31.2	13	2.9	20	4.5
	51-60	164	143	87.2	21	12.8	103	62.8	48	29.3	2	1.2	11	6.7
	61-70	65	60	92.3	5	7.7	50	76.9	13	20.0	2	3.1	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	13	13	100.0	0	0.0	10	76.9	2	15.4	1	7.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	3324	2983	89.7	341	10.3	1635	49.2	1519	45.7	69	2.1	101	3.0
SUTTER	UNDER 18	3	2	66.7	1	33.3	0	0.0	2	66.7	1	33.3	0	0.0
	18-20	93	85	91.4	8	8.6	43	46.2	42	45.2	0	0.0	8	8.6
	21-30	395	352	89.1	43	10.9	214	54.2	156	39.5	10	2.5	15	3.8
	31-40	297	252	84.8	45	15.2	188	63.3	70	23.6	8	2.7	31	10.4
	41-50	161	142	88.2	19	11.8	111	68.9	25	15.5	6	3.7	19	11.8
	51-60	45	35	77.8	10	22.2	24	53.3	16	35.6	1	2.2	4	8.9
	61-70	35	32	91.4	3	8.6	22	62.9	8	22.9	2	5.7	3	8.6
	71 & ABOVE	10	9	90.0	1	10.0	8	80.0	1	10.0	1	10.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1039	909	87.5	130	12.5	610	58.7	320	30.8	29	2.8	80	7.7
TEHAMA	UNDER 18	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	26	24	92.3	2	7.7	21	80.8	4	15.4	0	0.0	1	3.8
	21-30	168	146	86.9	22	13.1	118	70.2	44	26.2	2	1.2	4	2.4
	31-40	146	118	80.8	28	19.2	110	75.3	30	20.5	1	0.7	5	3.4
	41-50	63	54	85.7	9	14.3	54	85.7	8	12.7	1	1.6	0	0.0
	51-60	32	28	87.5	4	12.5	29	90.6	2	6.3	0	0.0	1	3.1
	61-70	12	9	75.0	3	25.0	11	91.7	1	8.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	451	383	84.9	68	15.1	346	76.7	90	20.0	4	0.9	11	2.4

TABLE B1: 1993 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TRINITY	UNDER 18	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	10	8	80.0	2	20.0	6	60.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	40.0
	21-30	52	47	90.4	5	9.6	48	92.3	1	1.9	0	0.0	3	5.8
	31-40	72	64	88.9	8	11.1	67	93.1	2	2.8	0	0.0	3	4.2
	41-50	49	44	89.8	5	10.2	44	89.8	1	2.0	0	0.0	4	8.2
	51-60	13	12	92.3	1	7.7	13	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	14	13	92.9	1	7.1	14	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	6	6	100.0	0	0.0	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	220	198	90.0	22	10.0	202	91.8	4	1.8	0	0.0	14	6.4
TULARE	UNDER 18	42	37	88.1	5	11.9	8	19.0	34	81.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	447	427	95.5	20	4.5	84	18.8	356	79.6	4	0.9	3	0.7
	21-30	2059	1931	93.8	128	6.2	316	15.3	1711	83.1	8	0.4	24	1.2
	31-40	1159	1029	88.8	130	11.2	314	27.1	810	69.9	15	1.3	20	1.7
	41-50	440	389	88.4	51	11.6	156	35.5	265	60.2	8	1.8	11	2.5
	51-60	135	122	90.4	13	9.6	57	42.2	73	54.1	2	1.5	3	2.2
	61-70	46	40	87.0	6	13.0	26	56.5	15	32.6	3	6.5	2	4.3
	71 & ABOVE	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	5	62.5	3	37.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	4336	3983	91.9	353	8.1	966	22.3	3267	75.3	40	0.9	63	1.5
TUOLUMNE	UNDER 18	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	14	13	92.9	1	7.1	13	92.9	1	7.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	82	70	85.4	12	14.6	78	95.1	3	3.7	0	0.0	1	1.2
	31-40	109	89	81.7	20	18.3	107	98.2	2	1.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
	41-50	67	49	73.1	18	26.9	65	97.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	3.0
	51-60	24	17	70.8	7	29.2	24	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	9	8	88.9	1	11.1	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	316	257	81.3	59	18.7	307	97.2	6	1.9	0	0.0	3	0.9
VENTURA	UNDER 18	34	30	88.2	4	11.8	18	52.9	15	44.1	0	0.0	1	2.9
	18-20	384	336	87.5	48	12.5	109	28.4	265	69.0	3	0.8	7	1.8
	21-30	2271	2042	89.9	229	10.1	810	35.7	1384	60.9	44	1.9	33	1.5
	31-40	1440	1223	84.9	217	15.1	719	49.9	643	44.7	52	3.6	26	1.8
	41-50	641	527	82.2	114	17.8	371	57.9	226	35.3	34	5.3	10	1.6
	51-60	201	179	89.1	22	10.9	126	62.7	63	31.3	8	4.0	4	2.0
	61-70	64	55	85.9	9	14.1	44	68.8	18	28.1	1	1.6	1	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	15	14	93.3	1	6.7	14	93.3	1	6.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	5050	4406	87.2	644	12.8	2211	43.8	2615	51.8	142	2.8	82	1.6

TABLE B1: 1993 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
YOLO	UNDER 18	18	18	100.0	0	0.0	4	22.2	14	77.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	113	101	89.4	12	10.6	52	46.0	58	51.3	2	1.8	1	0.9
	21-30	556	489	87.9	67	12.1	223	40.1	291	52.3	23	4.1	19	3.4
	31-40	379	325	85.8	54	14.2	202	53.3	144	38.0	16	4.2	17	4.5
	41-50	186	164	88.2	22	11.8	128	68.8	49	26.3	3	1.6	6	3.2
	51-60	71	65	91.5	6	8.5	52	73.2	18	25.4	0	0.0	1	1.4
	61-70	28	27	96.4	1	3.6	16	57.1	10	35.7	2	7.1	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	12	11	91.7	1	8.3	9	75.0	3	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1363	1200	88.0	163	12.0	686	50.3	587	43.1	46	3.4	44	3.2
YUBA	UNDER 18	9	8	88.9	1	11.1	6	66.7	2	22.2	0	0.0	1	11.1
	18-20	48	44	91.7	4	8.3	18	37.5	27	56.3	1	2.1	2	4.2
	21-30	225	196	87.1	29	12.9	129	57.3	83	36.9	10	4.4	3	1.3
	31-40	228	184	80.7	44	19.3	150	65.8	65	28.5	7	3.1	6	2.6
	41-50	108	92	85.2	16	14.8	79	73.1	18	16.7	5	4.6	6	5.6
	51-60	51	45	88.2	6	11.8	46	90.2	4	7.8	1	2.0	0	0.0
	61-70	14	12	85.7	2	14.3	8	57.1	3	21.4	2	14.3	1	7.1
	71 & ABOVE	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	4	80.0	1	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	688	586	85.2	102	14.8	440	64.0	203	29.5	26	3.8	19	2.8

TABLE B2: 1992 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX AND AGE

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE		180786	100.0	158926	100.0	21860	100.0
ALAMEDA	UNDER 18	19	0.3	17	0.3	2	0.2
	18-20	252	4.0	231	4.3	21	2.3
	21-30	2520	39.9	2188	40.6	332	36.2
	31-40	2041	32.3	1684	31.2	357	38.9
	41-50	952	15.1	805	14.9	147	16.0
	51-60	351	5.6	304	5.6	47	5.1
	61-70	150	2.4	138	2.6	12	1.3
	71 & ABOVE	28	0.4	28	0.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	6313	100.0	5395	100.0	918	100.0
ALPINE	21-30	11	29.7	11	32.4	0	0.0
	31-40	13	35.1	12	35.3	1	33.3
	41-50	8	21.6	7	20.6	1	33.3
	51-60	5	13.5	4	11.8	1	33.3
	TOTAL	37	100.0	34	100.0	3	100.0
AMADOR	UNDER 18	3	1.4	3	1.6	0	0.0
	18-20	5	2.3	5	2.7	0	0.0
	21-30	53	24.7	45	24.5	8	25.8
	31-40	69	32.1	58	31.5	11	35.5
	41-50	52	24.2	46	25.0	6	19.4
	51-60	21	9.8	16	8.7	5	16.1
	61-70	9	4.2	8	4.3	1	3.2
	71 & ABOVE	3	1.4	3	1.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	215	100.0	184	100.0	31	100.0
BUTTE	UNDER 18	2	0.2	1	0.1	1	0.5
	18-20	85	6.5	76	7.0	9	4.2
	21-30	489	37.7	413	38.2	76	35.2
	31-40	377	29.0	319	29.5	58	26.9
	41-50	219	16.9	168	15.5	51	23.6
	51-60	69	5.3	56	5.2	13	6.0
	61-70	46	3.5	41	3.8	5	2.3
	71 & ABOVE	11	0.8	8	0.7	3	1.4
	TOTAL	1298	100.0	1082	100.0	216	100.0
CALAVERAS	UNDER 18	1	0.4	1	0.5	0	0.0
	18-20	6	2.5	5	2.5	1	2.4
	21-30	66	27.2	59	29.2	7	17.1
	31-40	85	35.0	65	32.2	20	48.8
	41-50	59	24.3	50	24.8	9	22.0
	51-60	14	5.8	12	5.9	2	4.9
	61-70	11	4.5	9	4.5	2	4.9
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.4	1	0.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	243	100.0	202	100.0	41	100.0
COLUSA	UNDER 18	1	0.3	1	0.4	0	0.0
	18-20	15	5.0	12	4.3	3	13.6
	21-30	120	39.6	116	41.3	4	18.2
	31-40	85	28.1	76	27.0	9	40.9
	41-50	49	16.2	45	16.0	4	18.2
	51-60	21	6.9	19	6.8	2	9.1
	61-70	9	3.0	9	3.2	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	1.0	3	1.1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	303	100.0	281	100.0	22	100.0

TABLE B2: 1992 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
CONTRA COSTA	UNDER 18	10	0.3	9	0.3	1	0.2
	18-20	156	4.1	144	4.5	12	2.1
	21-30	1436	38.2	1250	39.1	186	32.8
	31-40	1241	33.0	1019	31.9	222	39.2
	41-50	584	15.5	476	14.9	108	19.0
	51-60	233	6.2	204	6.4	29	5.1
	61-70	81	2.2	77	2.4	4	0.7
	71 & ABOVE	20	0.5	15	0.5	5	0.9
	TOTAL	3761	100.0	3194	100.0	567	100.0
DEL NORTE	UNDER 18	2	1.0	2	1.3	0	0.0
	18-20	9	4.4	8	5.1	1	2.2
	21-30	78	38.4	60	38.2	18	39.1
	31-40	71	35.0	52	33.1	19	41.3
	41-50	28	13.8	22	14.0	6	13.0
	51-60	10	4.9	9	5.7	1	2.2
	61-70	4	2.0	3	1.9	1	2.2
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.5	1	0.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	203	100.0	157	100.0	46	100.0
EL DORADO	UNDER 18	6	0.6	5	0.6	1	0.6
	18-20	32	3.2	30	3.6	2	1.2
	21-30	339	33.5	292	34.6	47	28.3
	31-40	352	34.8	285	33.7	67	40.4
	41-50	174	17.2	147	17.4	27	16.3
	51-60	74	7.3	55	6.5	19	11.4
	61-70	24	2.4	21	2.5	3	1.8
	71 & ABOVE	10	1.0	10	1.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1011	100.0	845	100.0	166	100.0
FRESNO	UNDER 18	18	0.3	18	0.4	0	0.0
	18-20	281	5.4	260	5.5	21	4.0
	21-30	2310	44.1	2099	44.5	211	40.1
	31-40	1622	30.9	1436	30.4	186	35.4
	41-50	650	12.4	570	12.1	80	15.2
	51-60	252	4.8	230	4.9	22	4.2
	61-70	88	1.7	83	1.8	5	1.0
	71 & ABOVE	22	0.4	21	0.4	1	0.2
	TOTAL	5243	100.0	4717	100.0	526	100.0
GLENN	UNDER 18	3	1.0	2	0.7	1	3.4
	18-20	22	7.3	22	8.1	0	0.0
	21-30	103	34.3	90	33.2	13	44.8
	31-40	104	34.7	93	34.3	11	37.9
	41-50	42	14.0	38	14.0	4	13.8
	51-60	17	5.7	17	6.3	0	0.0
	61-70	7	2.3	7	2.6	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	0.7	2	0.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	300	100.0	271	100.0	29	100.0
HUMBOLDT	18-20	46	5.6	37	5.7	9	5.2
	21-30	283	34.5	225	34.7	58	33.7
	31-40	285	34.7	216	33.3	69	40.1
	41-50	145	17.7	114	17.6	31	18.0
	51-60	47	5.7	42	6.5	5	2.9
	61-70	12	1.5	12	1.8	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	0.4	3	0.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	821	100.0	649	100.0	172	100.0

TABLE B2: 1992 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
IMPERIAL	UNDER 18	3	0.2	3	0.2	0	0.0
	18-20	47	3.6	45	3.7	2	2.0
	21-30	497	38.0	460	38.1	37	37.4
	31-40	390	29.8	360	29.8	30	30.3
	41-50	224	17.1	198	16.4	26	26.3
	51-60	93	7.1	90	7.5	3	3.0
	61-70	46	3.5	45	3.7	1	1.0
	71 & ABOVE	7	0.5	7	0.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1307	100.0	1208	100.0	99	100.0
INYO	18-20	19	4.9	18	5.4	1	1.7
	21-30	122	31.2	103	30.9	19	32.8
	31-40	142	36.3	125	37.5	17	29.3
	41-50	64	16.4	53	15.9	11	19.0
	51-60	24	6.1	20	6.0	4	6.9
	61-70	11	2.8	7	2.1	4	6.9
	71 & ABOVE	9	2.3	7	2.1	2	3.4
	TOTAL	391	100.0	333	100.0	58	100.0
KERN	UNDER 18	35	0.6	33	0.6	2	0.3
	18-20	374	6.4	337	6.5	37	5.4
	21-30	2503	42.7	2239	43.2	264	38.7
	31-40	1852	31.6	1597	30.8	255	37.3
	41-50	727	12.4	642	12.4	85	12.4
	51-60	255	4.3	224	4.3	31	4.5
	61-70	99	1.7	91	1.8	8	1.2
	71 & ABOVE	22	0.4	21	0.4	1	0.1
	TOTAL	5867	100.0	5184	100.0	683	100.0
KINGS	UNDER 18	4	0.4	4	0.5	0	0.0
	18-20	64	6.7	62	7.4	2	1.9
	21-30	396	41.6	354	42.0	42	38.9
	31-40	302	31.8	262	31.1	40	37.0
	41-50	127	13.4	110	13.0	17	15.7
	51-60	39	4.1	35	4.2	4	3.7
	61-70	16	1.7	14	1.7	2	1.9
	71 & ABOVE	3	0.3	2	0.2	1	0.9
	TOTAL	951	100.0	843	100.0	108	100.0
LAKE	UNDER 18	1	0.2	1	0.2	0	0.0
	18-20	25	4.1	24	4.9	1	0.8
	21-30	173	28.5	140	28.7	33	27.7
	31-40	189	31.1	150	30.7	39	32.8
	41-50	137	22.6	107	21.9	30	25.2
	51-60	43	7.1	35	7.2	8	6.7
	61-70	32	5.3	25	5.1	7	5.9
	71 & ABOVE	7	1.2	6	1.2	1	0.8
	TOTAL	607	100.0	488	100.0	119	100.0
LASSEN	UNDER 18	1	0.5	1	0.6	0	0.0
	18-20	11	5.1	9	5.1	2	5.3
	21-30	69	32.2	55	31.3	14	36.8
	31-40	81	37.9	67	38.1	14	36.8
	41-50	30	14.0	26	14.8	4	10.5
	51-60	13	6.1	11	6.3	2	5.3
	61-70	7	3.3	5	2.8	2	5.3
	71 & ABOVE	2	0.9	2	1.1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	214	100.0	176	100.0	38	100.0

TABLE B2: 1992 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
LOS ANGELES	UNDER 18	32	0.1	30	0.1	2	0.1
	18-20	1984	4.5	1859	4.6	125	3.2
	21-30	20359	45.8	18712	46.3	1647	41.5
	31-40	13815	31.1	12504	30.9	1311	33.1
	41-50	5559	12.5	4927	12.2	632	15.9
	51-60	1925	4.3	1751	4.3	174	4.4
	61-70	622	1.4	563	1.4	59	1.5
	71 & ABOVE	114	0.3	99	0.2	15	0.4
	TOTAL	44410	100.0	40445	100.0	3965	100.0
MADERA	UNDER 18	3	0.3	3	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	59	6.1	57	6.6	2	2.1
	21-30	418	43.5	383	44.3	35	36.1
	31-40	286	29.7	249	28.8	37	38.1
	41-50	124	12.9	108	12.5	16	16.5
	51-60	48	5.0	42	4.9	6	6.2
	61-70	22	2.3	22	2.5	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	0.2	1	0.1	1	1.0
	TOTAL	962	100.0	865	100.0	97	100.0
MARIN	UNDER 18	4	0.2	4	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	74	4.3	62	4.5	12	3.4
	21-30	623	36.2	512	37.3	111	31.9
	31-40	558	32.4	440	32.0	118	33.9
	41-50	324	18.8	244	17.8	80	23.0
	51-60	111	6.4	91	6.6	20	5.7
	61-70	20	1.2	14	1.0	6	1.7
	71 & ABOVE	8	0.5	7	0.5	1	0.3
	TOTAL	1722	100.0	1374	100.0	348	100.0
MARIPOSA	18-20	7	4.5	7	5.3	0	0.0
	21-30	51	32.5	48	36.4	3	12.0
	31-40	69	43.9	52	39.4	17	68.0
	41-50	21	13.4	17	12.9	4	16.0
	51-60	8	5.1	7	5.3	1	4.0
	61-70	1	0.6	1	0.8	0	0.0
	TOTAL	157	100.0	132	100.0	25	100.0
MENDOCINO	UNDER 18	3	0.4	3	0.4	0	0.0
	18-20	46	5.8	42	6.2	4	3.6
	21-30	286	36.3	249	36.8	37	33.3
	31-40	241	30.6	199	29.4	42	37.8
	41-50	146	18.6	124	18.3	22	19.8
	51-60	36	4.6	32	4.7	4	3.6
	61-70	22	2.8	20	3.0	2	1.8
	71 & ABOVE	7	0.9	7	1.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	787	100.0	676	100.0	111	100.0
MERCED	UNDER 18	10	0.7	10	0.8	0	0.0
	18-20	87	6.1	84	6.5	3	1.9
	21-30	618	43.0	563	43.8	55	35.7
	31-40	431	30.0	375	29.2	56	36.4
	41-50	202	14.0	170	13.2	32	20.8
	51-60	55	3.8	51	4.0	4	2.6
	61-70	33	2.3	30	2.3	3	1.9
	71 & ABOVE	2	0.1	1	0.1	1	0.6
	TOTAL	1438	100.0	1284	100.0	154	100.0

TABLE B2: 1992 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
MODOC	18-20	7	9.2	7	10.6	0	0.0
	21-30	21	27.6	16	24.2	5	50.0
	31-40	24	31.6	21	31.8	3	30.0
	41-50	10	13.2	9	13.6	1	10.0
	51-60	7	9.2	6	9.1	1	10.0
	61-70	5	6.6	5	7.6	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	2.6	2	3.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	76	100.0	66	100.0	10	100.0
MONO	18-20	4	3.0	3	2.5	1	6.3
	21-30	44	32.8	41	34.7	3	18.8
	31-40	49	36.6	43	36.4	6	37.5
	41-50	23	17.2	19	16.1	4	25.0
	51-60	9	6.7	7	5.9	2	12.5
	61-70	4	3.0	4	3.4	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.7	1	0.8	0	0.0
	TOTAL	134	100.0	118	100.0	16	100.0
MONTEREY	UNDER 18	32	0.8	32	0.9	0	0.0
	18-20	255	6.6	248	7.0	7	2.1
	21-30	1846	47.7	1715	48.6	131	38.6
	31-40	1121	29.0	992	28.1	129	38.1
	41-50	418	10.8	366	10.4	52	15.3
	51-60	146	3.8	131	3.7	15	4.4
	61-70	41	1.1	36	1.0	5	1.5
	71 & ABOVE	11	0.3	11	0.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	3870	100.0	3531	100.0	339	100.0
NAPA	UNDER 18	1	0.1	1	0.1	0	0.0
	18-20	66	7.1	60	7.6	6	4.4
	21-30	397	42.8	347	43.9	50	36.8
	31-40	253	27.3	212	26.8	41	30.1
	41-50	128	13.8	101	12.8	27	19.9
	51-60	46	5.0	40	5.1	6	4.4
	61-70	26	2.8	21	2.7	5	3.7
	71 & ABOVE	10	1.1	9	1.1	1	0.7
	TOTAL	927	100.0	791	100.0	136	100.0
NEVADA	UNDER 18	1	0.2	0	0.0	1	1.1
	18-20	14	2.9	14	3.6	0	0.0
	21-30	144	29.8	115	29.4	29	31.5
	31-40	184	38.1	151	38.6	33	35.9
	41-50	95	19.7	76	19.4	19	20.7
	51-60	31	6.4	22	5.6	9	9.8
	61-70	13	2.7	13	3.3	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.2	0	0.0	1	1.1
	TOTAL	483	100.0	391	100.0	92	100.0
ORANGE	UNDER 18	10	0.1	9	0.1	1	0.1
	18-20	672	4.6	597	4.7	75	4.0
	21-30	6902	47.2	6034	47.3	868	46.2
	31-40	4372	29.9	3851	30.2	521	27.7
	41-50	1818	12.4	1521	11.9	297	15.8
	51-60	604	4.1	525	4.1	79	4.2
	61-70	218	1.5	184	1.4	34	1.8
	71 & ABOVE	34	0.2	31	0.2	3	0.2
	TOTAL	14630	100.0	12752	100.0	1878	100.0

TABLE B2: 1992 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
PLACER	UNDER 18	7	0.5	5	0.4	2	0.9
	18-20	67	5.0	59	5.3	8	3.4
	21-30	476	35.2	392	35.0	84	36.1
	31-40	455	33.7	377	33.7	78	33.5
	41-50	242	17.9	194	17.3	48	20.6
	51-60	76	5.6	66	5.9	10	4.3
	61-70	26	1.9	23	2.1	3	1.3
	71 & ABOVE	3	0.2	3	0.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1352	100.0	1119	100.0	233	100.0
PLUMAS	UNDER 18	1	0.8	1	0.9	0	0.0
	18-20	6	4.9	6	5.3	0	0.0
	21-30	34	27.9	33	29.2	1	11.1
	31-40	42	34.4	38	33.6	4	44.4
	41-50	27	22.1	25	22.1	2	22.2
	51-60	9	7.4	8	7.1	1	11.1
	61-70	3	2.5	2	1.8	1	11.1
	TOTAL	122	100.0	113	100.0	9	100.0
RIVERSIDE	UNDER 18	26	0.4	22	0.4	4	0.5
	18-20	281	4.2	249	4.2	32	4.1
	21-30	2813	42.0	2541	42.9	272	35.0
	31-40	2054	30.7	1778	30.0	276	35.5
	41-50	973	14.5	846	14.3	127	16.3
	51-60	368	5.5	317	5.4	51	6.6
	61-70	151	2.3	139	2.3	12	1.5
	71 & ABOVE	33	0.5	30	0.5	3	0.4
	TOTAL	6699	100.0	5922	100.0	777	100.0
SACRAMENTO	UNDER 18	42	0.6	37	0.7	5	0.5
	18-20	334	5.0	300	5.4	34	3.1
	21-30	2737	41.4	2292	41.5	445	40.9
	31-40	2070	31.3	1699	30.7	371	34.1
	41-50	936	14.1	762	13.8	174	16.0
	51-60	324	4.9	286	5.2	38	3.5
	61-70	133	2.0	116	2.1	17	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	39	0.6	36	0.7	3	0.3
	TOTAL	6615	100.0	5528	100.0	1087	100.0
SAN BENITO	UNDER 18	2	0.6	2	0.7	0	0.0
	18-20	27	8.1	27	8.8	0	0.0
	21-30	138	41.6	134	43.8	4	15.4
	31-40	102	30.7	92	30.1	10	38.5
	41-50	46	13.9	35	11.4	11	42.3
	51-60	15	4.5	14	4.6	1	3.8
	61-70	1	0.3	1	0.3	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.3	1	0.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	332	100.0	306	100.0	26	100.0
SAN BERNARDINO	UNDER 18	20	0.3	18	0.3	2	0.2
	18-20	322	4.4	309	4.8	13	1.6
	21-30	2890	39.7	2603	40.3	287	35.3
	31-40	2324	31.9	2013	31.1	311	38.2
	41-50	1104	15.2	967	15.0	137	16.8
	51-60	414	5.7	365	5.6	49	6.0
	61-70	173	2.4	161	2.5	12	1.5
	71 & ABOVE	32	0.4	29	0.4	3	0.4
	TOTAL	7279	100.0	6465	100.0	814	100.0

TABLE B2: 1992 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
SAN DIEGO	UNDER 18	29	0.2	25	0.2	4	0.2
	18-20	685	4.6	613	4.8	72	3.9
	21-30	7153	48.4	6352	49.2	801	42.9
	31-40	4373	29.6	3774	29.3	599	32.0
	41-50	1699	11.5	1410	10.9	289	15.5
	51-60	571	3.9	505	3.9	66	3.5
	61-70	201	1.4	174	1.3	27	1.4
	71 & ABOVE	59	0.4	48	0.4	11	0.6
	TOTAL	14770	100.0	12901	100.0	1869	100.0
SAN FRANCISCO	UNDER 18	3	0.2	3	0.2	0	0.0
	18-20	57	3.6	49	3.5	8	4.0
	21-30	642	40.4	558	40.2	84	42.0
	31-40	530	33.4	461	33.2	69	34.5
	41-50	235	14.8	209	15.0	26	13.0
	51-60	88	5.5	78	5.6	10	5.0
	61-70	27	1.7	24	1.7	3	1.5
	71 & ABOVE	7	0.4	7	0.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1589	100.0	1389	100.0	200	100.0
SAN JOAQUIN	UNDER 18	14	0.4	13	0.4	1	0.2
	18-20	180	5.2	167	5.6	13	3.0
	21-30	1353	39.4	1194	39.8	159	36.5
	31-40	1052	30.6	890	29.7	162	37.2
	41-50	512	14.9	445	14.8	67	15.4
	51-60	215	6.3	194	6.5	21	4.8
	61-70	93	2.7	82	2.7	11	2.5
	71 & ABOVE	17	0.5	15	0.5	2	0.5
	TOTAL	3436	100.0	3000	100.0	436	100.0
SAN LUIS OBISPO	UNDER 18	3	0.2	2	0.1	1	0.4
	18-20	91	5.3	80	5.6	11	4.1
	21-30	718	42.0	606	42.1	112	41.8
	31-40	527	30.8	442	30.7	85	31.7
	41-50	256	15.0	216	15.0	40	14.9
	51-60	80	4.7	67	4.6	13	4.9
	61-70	28	1.6	24	1.7	4	1.5
	71 & ABOVE	6	0.4	4	0.3	2	0.7
	TOTAL	1709	100.0	1441	100.0	268	100.0
SAN MATEO	UNDER 18	24	0.6	21	0.7	3	0.6
	18-20	164	4.4	153	4.8	11	2.0
	21-30	1560	41.6	1371	42.7	189	35.0
	31-40	1160	30.9	972	30.3	188	34.8
	41-50	536	14.3	427	13.3	109	20.2
	51-60	224	6.0	196	6.1	28	5.2
	61-70	64	1.7	53	1.7	11	2.0
	71 & ABOVE	18	0.5	17	0.5	1	0.2
	TOTAL	3750	100.0	3210	100.0	540	100.0
SANTA BARBARA	UNDER 18	16	0.5	15	0.5	1	0.2
	18-20	232	6.8	207	7.0	25	5.2
	21-30	1582	46.1	1374	46.5	208	43.4
	31-40	999	29.1	854	28.9	145	30.3
	41-50	398	11.6	327	11.1	71	14.8
	51-60	138	4.0	122	4.1	16	3.3
	61-70	50	1.5	37	1.3	13	2.7
	71 & ABOVE	18	0.5	18	0.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	3433	100.0	2954	100.0	479	100.0

TABLE B2: 1992 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
SANTA CLARA	UNDER 18	32	0.3	29	0.3	3	0.2
	18-20	450	4.7	404	4.8	46	3.8
	21-30	4278	44.3	3780	44.8	498	40.8
	31-40	3111	32.2	2682	31.8	429	35.1
	41-50	1252	13.0	1067	12.6	185	15.1
	51-60	391	4.0	348	4.1	43	3.5
	61-70	126	1.3	110	1.3	16	1.3
	71 & ABOVE	19	0.2	17	0.2	2	0.2
	TOTAL	9659	100.0	8437	100.0	1222	100.0
SANTA CRUZ	UNDER 18	13	0.5	9	0.4	4	1.2
	18-20	144	5.8	121	5.7	23	6.7
	21-30	1002	40.6	867	40.8	135	39.1
	31-40	806	32.7	687	32.4	119	34.5
	41-50	352	14.3	305	14.4	47	13.6
	51-60	103	4.2	91	4.3	12	3.5
	61-70	40	1.6	36	1.7	4	1.2
	71 & ABOVE	8	0.3	7	0.3	1	0.3
	TOTAL	2468	100.0	2123	100.0	345	100.0
SHASTA	UNDER 18	1	0.1	0	0.0	1	0.6
	18-20	36	3.6	32	3.9	4	2.2
	21-30	351	35.0	290	35.2	61	34.3
	31-40	309	30.8	250	30.3	59	33.1
	41-50	198	19.8	161	19.5	37	20.8
	51-60	71	7.1	59	7.2	12	6.7
	61-70	27	2.7	24	2.9	3	1.7
	71 & ABOVE	9	0.9	8	1.0	1	0.6
	TOTAL	1002	100.0	824	100.0	178	100.0
SIERRA	21-30	5	17.2	4	16.0	1	25.0
	31-40	15	51.7	12	48.0	3	75.0
	41-50	8	27.6	8	32.0	0	0.0
	51-60	1	3.4	1	4.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	29	100.0	25	100.0	4	100.0
SISKIYOU	UNDER 18	1	0.3	1	0.4	0	0.0
	18-20	18	5.5	17	6.2	1	1.8
	21-30	93	28.2	80	29.1	13	23.6
	31-40	112	33.9	90	32.7	22	40.0
	41-50	75	22.7	61	22.2	14	25.5
	51-60	18	5.5	15	5.5	3	5.5
	61-70	12	3.6	10	3.6	2	3.6
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.3	1	0.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	330	100.0	275	100.0	55	100.0
SOLANO	UNDER 18	8	0.5	6	0.4	2	0.8
	18-20	91	5.3	83	5.6	8	3.3
	21-30	677	39.3	602	40.7	75	30.5
	31-40	520	30.2	424	28.7	96	39.0
	41-50	279	16.2	228	15.4	51	20.7
	51-60	100	5.8	87	5.9	13	5.3
	61-70	41	2.4	40	2.7	1	0.4
	71 & ABOVE	8	0.5	8	0.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1724	100.0	1478	100.0	246	100.0
SONOMA	UNDER 18	24	0.7	19	0.7	5	0.9
	18-20	171	5.1	152	5.4	19	3.5
	21-30	1374	41.2	1204	43.1	170	31.5
	31-40	1004	30.1	798	28.6	206	38.1
	41-50	555	16.7	444	15.9	111	20.6
	51-60	139	4.2	117	4.2	22	4.1
	61-70	52	1.6	46	1.6	6	1.1
	71 & ABOVE	14	0.4	13	0.5	1	0.2
	TOTAL	3333	100.0	2793	100.0	540	100.0

TABLE B2: 1992 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
STANISLAUS	UNDER 18	13	0.6	13	0.7	0	0.0
	18-20	135	6.0	128	6.6	7	2.3
	21-30	914	40.7	794	40.8	120	40.1
	31-40	699	31.1	579	29.7	120	40.1
	41-50	331	14.7	295	15.1	36	12.0
	51-60	103	4.6	90	4.6	13	4.3
	61-70	40	1.8	38	2.0	2	0.7
	71 & ABOVE	12	0.5	11	0.6	1	0.3
	TOTAL	2247	100.0	1948	100.0	299	100.0
SUTTER	UNDER 18	2	0.4	2	0.4	0	0.0
	18-20	41	7.4	37	7.6	4	6.0
	21-30	227	41.2	199	41.1	28	41.8
	31-40	154	27.9	134	27.7	20	29.9
	41-50	87	15.8	73	15.1	14	20.9
	51-60	21	3.8	20	4.1	1	1.5
	61-70	15	2.7	15	3.1	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	0.7	4	0.8	0	0.0
	TOTAL	551	100.0	484	100.0	67	100.0
TEHAMA	UNDER 18	1	0.2	1	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	16	3.8	14	4.0	2	3.0
	21-30	149	35.6	128	36.4	21	31.3
	31-40	134	32.0	110	31.3	24	35.8
	41-50	73	17.4	64	18.2	9	13.4
	51-60	30	7.2	23	6.5	7	10.4
	61-70	12	2.9	10	2.8	2	3.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	1.0	2	0.6	2	3.0
	TOTAL	419	100.0	352	100.0	67	100.0
TRINITY	18-20	1	1.1	1	1.2	0	0.0
	21-30	18	20.7	16	20.0	2	28.6
	31-40	35	40.2	31	38.8	4	57.1
	41-50	20	23.0	20	25.0	0	0.0
	51-60	5	5.7	4	5.0	1	14.3
	61-70	7	8.0	7	8.8	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	1.1	1	1.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	87	100.0	80	100.0	7	100.0
TULARE	UNDER 18	15	0.5	15	0.5	0	0.0
	18-20	238	7.6	218	7.7	20	7.2
	21-30	1402	44.8	1294	45.4	108	38.7
	31-40	916	29.3	820	28.8	96	34.4
	41-50	397	12.7	357	12.5	40	14.3
	51-60	125	4.0	112	3.9	13	4.7
	61-70	30	1.0	29	1.0	1	0.4
	71 & ABOVE	5	0.2	4	0.1	1	0.4
	TOTAL	3128	100.0	2849	100.0	279	100.0
TUOLUMNE	18-20	19	6.4	15	6.6	4	5.9
	21-30	86	29.1	69	30.3	17	25.0
	31-40	101	34.1	68	29.8	33	48.5
	41-50	48	16.2	37	16.2	11	16.2
	51-60	25	8.4	22	9.6	3	4.4
	61-70	14	4.7	14	6.1	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	1.0	3	1.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	296	100.0	228	100.0	68	100.0

TABLE B2: 1992 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
VENTURA	UNDER 18	9	0.2	8	0.2	1	0.2
	18-20	339	7.2	305	7.4	34	5.7
	21-30	2207	46.7	1958	47.5	249	41.5
	31-40	1334	28.3	1135	27.5	199	33.2
	41-50	554	11.7	466	11.3	88	14.7
	51-60	206	4.4	185	4.5	21	3.5
	61-70	61	1.3	55	1.3	6	1.0
	71 & ABOVE	12	0.3	10	0.2	2	0.3
	TOTAL	4722	100.0	4122	100.0	600	100.0
YOLO	UNDER 18	3	0.6	2	0.5	1	2.2
	18-20	24	5.2	22	5.3	2	4.4
	21-30	182	39.4	165	39.6	17	37.8
	31-40	140	30.3	122	29.3	18	40.0
	41-50	70	15.2	64	15.3	6	13.3
	51-60	24	5.2	24	5.8	0	0.0
	61-70	17	3.7	16	3.8	1	2.2
	71 & ABOVE	2	0.4	2	0.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	462	100.0	417	100.0	45	100.0
YUBA	UNDER 18	2	0.4	2	0.4	0	0.0
	18-20	30	5.3	27	5.7	3	3.4
	21-30	200	35.6	173	36.4	27	31.0
	31-40	188	33.5	156	32.8	32	36.8
	41-50	90	16.0	72	15.2	18	20.7
	51-60	32	5.7	28	5.9	4	4.6
	61-70	17	3.0	14	2.9	3	3.4
	71 & ABOVE	3	0.5	3	0.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	562	100.0	475	100.0	87	100.0

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1992 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSAL*	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
STATEWIDE	70.0%		178429	2357	18726	3333	5905	125	2.6	2.9
ALAMEDA	70.4%	SUP OAKLAND	104	12	0	0	0	0	2.1	11.5
		JUV ALAMEDA	26	2	2	0	3	0	3.0	3.1
		ALAMEDA	214	3	29	3	4	0	1.2	1.8
		BERKELEY	257	2	27	9	8	0	2.6	2.4
		FREMONT	1557	2	106	13	44	0	2.7	1.9
		PLEASANTON	752	4	145	32	21	1	2.7	2.1
		OAKLAND	1313	22	53	29	25	0	2.7	1.7
		HAYWARD	2033	6	231	15	96	1	3.2	2.9
		ALAMEDA NAVAL	3	1	0	0	0	0	1.1	1.0
		TOTAL	6259	54	593	101	201	2	---	---
ALPINE	56.9%	SUP ALPINE	1	0	0	0	0	0	8.7	1.1
		MARKLEEVILLE	36	0	10	2	0	0	4.1	1.5
		TOTAL	37	0	10	2	0	0	---	---
AMADOR	76.0%	SUP AMADOR	5	2	1	0	0	0	5.1	0.1
		JUV AMADOR	2	0	0	0	0	0	1.9	2.3
		JACKSON	202	4	15	19	3	0	2.9	4.6
		TOTAL	209	6	16	19	3	0	---	---
BUTTE	77.8%	SUP BUTTE	46	15	0	0	0	0	5.0	2.6
		JUV BUTTE	8	0	2	0	0	0	3.4	1.2
		CHICO	619	3	58	5	16	3	2.3	4.2
		GRIDLEY	51	0	4	0	0	0	4.2	4.0
		OROVILLE	380	5	18	14	3	0	3.4	4.0
		PARADISE	167	1	17	0	3	0	2.7	3.7
		OROVILLE-87	3	0	0	0	0	0	4.1	0.8
		TOTAL	1274	24	99	19	22	3	---	---
CALAVERAS	54.9%	SUP CALAVERAS	6	1	0	0	0	0	4.5	2.3
		JV CALAVERAS	1	1	0	0	0	0	2.6	4.5
		SAN ANDREAS	232	2	35	22	13	1	2.6	1.2
		TOTAL	239	4	35	22	13	1	---	---
COLUSA	73.7%	SUP COLUSA	6	0	0	0	0	0	4.9	6.9
		JUV COLUSA	2	0	0	0	0	0	1.6	1.2
		COLUSA	295	0	37	2	7	1	2.3	2.8
		TOTAL	303	0	37	2	8	1	---	---
CONTRA COSTA	70.4%	SUP C COSTA	115	8	1	0	0	0	6.6	4.7
		JUV C COSTA	26	0	2	0	0	1	2.4	2.6
		CONCORD	891	4	138	22	18	0	2.9	2.3
		RICHMOND	922	7	161	7	20	0	4.0	2.8
		PITTSBURG	744	10	74	3	13	0	4.2	3.0
		WALNUT CREEK	1032	2	187	24	6	0	2.7	2.3
		TOTAL	3730	31	563	56	57	1	---	---

*These may include abstract deletions due to failure to appear (FTA) at the court hearing.

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1992 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSAL*	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
DEL NORTE	52.7%	SUP DEL NORTE	6	0	0	0	0	0	2.4	1.9
		CRESCENT CITY	197	0	43	23	10	0	3.2	5.1
		TOTAL	203	0	43	23	10	0	---	---
EL DORADO	82.3%	SUP EL DORADO	10	6	0	0	0	0	3.9	2.7
		JUV EL DORADO	5	3	0	0	0	0	5.5	-1.2
		CAMERON PARK	322	12	8	3	3	0	2.0	5.2
		SO LAKE TAHOE	306	8	25	0	7	4	2.7	5.9
		PLACERVILLE	334	5	14	4	6	1	2.4	4.8
		TOTAL	977	34	47	7	16	5	---	---
FRESNO	57.8%	SUP FRESNO	261	63	0	0	8	0	4.3	3.2
		JUV FRESNO	28	0	3	3	0	0	3.2	3.2
		FRESNO	2936	11	460	135	42	2	3.4	1.4
		CLOVIS	364	2	51	10	9	0	4.0	2.3
		COALINGA	227	2	19	0	4	0	2.6	2.9
		FIREBAUGH	221	3	17	2	2	0	2.3	5.0
		FOWLER	171	4	39	3	2	0	2.9	3.9
		KERMAN	137	1	11	2	1	0	3.0	3.5
		KINGSBURG	72	0	10	0	0	0	4.1	2.6
		PARLIER	32	0	2	0	0	0	4.1	3.5
		REEDLEY MUNI	258	1	33	0	4	0	3.2	4.0
		RIVERDALE	17	0	2	0	0	0	5.0	5.1
		SANGER	138	2	6	9	2	0	3.3	4.7
		SELMA MUNI	291	1	24	6	3	0	3.5	3.9
		TOTAL	5153	90	677	170	77	2	---	---
GLENN	74.6%	SUP GLENN	12	0	0	0	0	0	1.7	4.8
		JUV GLENN	7	0	0	0	0	0	2.6	1.0
		ORLAND	190	2	19	2	4	1	1.8	4.8
		WILLOWS	87	2	17	1	4	0	2.0	4.0
		TOTAL	296	4	36	3	8	1	---	---
HUMBOLDT	53.5%	SUP HUMBOLDT	16	5	0	0	1	0	4.5	4.1
		JUV HUMBOLDT	4	0	0	0	0	0	7.2	1.2
		EUREKA	324	6	70	16	17	0	2.2	5.4
		ARCATA	228	0	68	9	10	3	2.3	7.6
		FORTUNA	112	0	39	2	11	0	3.1	1.7
		GARBERVILLE	79	0	37	8	6	0	3.5	1.6
		HOOPA	47	0	16	1	1	0	4.7	6.3
		TOTAL	810	11	230	36	46	3	---	---
IMPERIAL	48.9%	SUP IMPERIAL	10	1	0	0	0	0	3.4	5.3
		JUV IMPERIAL	3	0	0	0	0	0	3.6	2.3
		BRAWLEY	280	0	19	22	11	0	3.9	1.4
		CALEXICO	587	0	78	34	10	0	4.1	1.4
		EL CENTRO	420	6	80	77	9	0	4.2	1.8
		TOTAL	1300	7	177	133	30	0	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1992 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSAL*	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
INYO	73.4%	SUP INYO	18	1	0	0	1	0	4.3	5.3
		BISHOP	317	0	46	7	3	0	3.2	6.5
		INDEPENDENCE	54	1	7	2	2	1	3.5	7.3
		TOTAL	389	2	53	9	6	1	---	---
KERN	80.8%	SUP KERN	331	40	1	0	3	0	2.0	3.1
		JUV KERN	59	1	1	0	2	0	2.2	1.9
		ARVIN-LAMONT	442	4	22	8	10	1	2.2	3.6
		BAKERSFIELD	2760	18	185	26	30	0	2.1	4.9
		DELANO	737	7	77	5	4	1	2.2	3.7
		LAKE ISABELLA	144	3	4	4	1	0	1.8	4.0
		TAFT	212	2	4	8	10	1	1.8	4.1
		SHAFTER	403	3	36	2	11	1	1.4	4.8
		MOJAVE	395	5	47	35	13	3	2.2	4.4
		RIDGECREST	296	3	38	3	4	0	1.7	2.6
		JOHANNESBRG	1	0	0	0	0	0	1.0	0.7
		TC EDW AFB	1	0	0	0	0	0	0.4	0.6
		TOTAL	5781	86	415	91	88	7	---	---
KINGS	69.0%	SUP KINGS	30	4	0	0	0	0	1.6	1.4
		JUV KINGS	10	0	0	0	0	0	2.7	1.2
		HANFORD	442	5	49	1	8	0	1.4	2.6
		AVENAL	147	2	4	3	3	1	2.1	1.1
		CORCORAN	86	0	1	2	0	0	2.7	2.0
		LEMOORE	221	4	22	1	4	2	1.6	0.7
		TOTAL	936	15	76	7	15	3	---	---
LAKE	75.1%	SUP LAKE	13	2	0	0	0	0	2.7	5.4
		JUV LAKE	4	0	0	0	0	0	5.2	4.4
		CLEARLAKE	285	4	12	6	5	2	4.3	2.2
		LAKEPORT	298	1	35	2	5	0	2.3	3.2
		TOTAL	600	7	47	8	10	2	---	---
LASSEN	77.0%	SUP LASSEN	7	2	1	0	0	0	4.1	3.9
		JUV LASSEN	1	0	0	0	0	0	7.8	0.9
		SUSANVILLE	199	5	12	3	1	1	2.7	4.0
		TOTAL	207	7	13	3	1	1	---	---
LOS ANGELES	67.8%	SUP LA CENTRAL	221	69	0	0	2	0	3.4	3.3
		SUP POMONA	243	48	0	0	2	0	2.9	1.5
		SUP LANCSTR	38	20	0	0	1	0	3.9	2.2
		SUP SAN FERNDO	88	25	0	0	3	0	3.5	1.4
		SUP PASADENA	112	12	0	0	3	0	4.0	1.6
		SUP VAN NUYS	84	21	1	1	1	0	3.0	1.5
		SUP LONG BEACH	31	9	0	0	0	0	2.5	3.1
		SUP COMPTON	55	17	0	0	1	0	3.9	1.7
		SUP NORWALK	114	15	1	0	0	0	3.1	3.6
		SUP TORRANCE	18	1	0	0	0	0	2.9	3.0

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1992 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSAL*	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
LOS ANGELES - cont.		SUP SANTA MONICA	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.2	2.6
		LA JUV CENTRAL	25	3	1	0	0	0	5.3	2.1
		ALHAMBRA	1062	12	21	12	24	0	2.2	2.1
		LANCASTER	761	7	60	12	22	0	2.7	2.0
		BEVERLY HILLS	392	0	60	16	13	0	2.9	2.1
		BURBANK	464	1	72	14	18	0	1.6	1.9
		WEST COVINA	3066	30	61	3	81	0	2.6	1.3
		COMPTON	1534	6	256	58	51	0	3.0	2.2
		CULVER CITY	281	3	59	15	8	0	3.2	3.7
		DOWNEY	893	5	28	14	32	1	3.0	3.8
		EAST LA	2189	3	190	60	68	0	2.3	1.5
		EL MONTE	1727	15	77	6	51	0	2.1	2.6
		GLENDALE	942	3	191	20	42	0	1.7	3.7
		INGLEWOOD	1311	15	190	37	42	0	2.7	2.4
		LONG BEACH	2250	15	312	116	52	0	1.9	3.7
		LA METRO	8735	24	1052	31	1102	1	1.5	1.7
		BELLFLOWER	654	2	24	3	17	0	2.4	2.4
		VALENCIA	1104	5	121	32	34	0	2.0	2.6
		PASADENA	910	4	139	56	65	0	3.5	2.9
		MALIBU	109	1	33	4	11	0	3.5	1.5
		CALABASAS	159	1	42	17	21	0	3.0	1.9
		POMONA	1543	15	63	5	58	1	2.4	2.0
		HUNTINGTON PK	1359	17	16	22	35	0	2.1	2.3
		MONROVIA	451	2	35	6	4	0	2.6	1.8
		SANTA MONICA	322	2	121	10	17	0	2.3	2.8
		TORRANCE	1881	7	315	73	110	0	2.4	2.3
		SOUTH GATE	643	1	27	6	11	0	2.7	2.8
		WHITTIER	1169	5	34	13	36	0	2.9	3.4
		HOLLYWOOD	147	1	23	5	6	0	1.8	2.2
		SAN FERNANDO	2340	50	341	13	127	0	1.5	2.2
		SAN PEDRO	605	1	93	19	58	1	1.9	2.6
		VAN NUYS	3042	52	619	11	452	2	1.5	1.6
		LOS ANGELES	715	4	137	5	94	0	1.7	2.5
		AVALON	2	0	0	0	3	0	2.1	0.9
		US MAG LANCSTR	13	0	2	0	0	0	3.5	3.3
		US DIST CT LA	1	0	0	0	0	0	3.6	2.7
		TOTAL	43846	564	4818	715	2779	6	---	---
MADERA	60.4%	SUP MADERA	55	9	0	0	0	0	2.8	1.7
		JUV MADERA	5	1	1	0	1	0	2.3	1.0
		CHOWCHILLA	112	0	7	3	0	0	3.6	4.5
		BORDEN	183	1	44	1	4	0	3.1	5.3
		MADERA	470	2	65	20	9	1	3.2	3.1
		BASS LAKE	122	2	31	1	1	0	3.6	4.7
		TOTAL	947	15	148	25	15	1	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1992 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSAL*	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
MARIN	74.0%	SUP SAN RAFAEL	17	4	0	0	0	0	4.0	2.9
		JUV SAN RAFAEL	5	0	0	0	0	0	2.7	2.0
		SAN RAFAEL	1686	10	0	2	62	0	2.8	3.7
		TOTAL	1708	14	0	2	62	0	---	---
MARIPOSA	132.0%*	SUP MARIPOSA	2	4	0	0	0	0	5.3	5.7
		JUV MARIPOSA	1	0	0	0	0	0	0.1	0.5
		MARIPOSA	69	2	7	0	0	0	2.8	2.2
		USMAG YOSEMITE	79	0	31	0	0	0	1.5	2.1
		TOTAL	151	6	38	0	0	0	---	---
MENDOCINO	74.5%	SUP UKIAH	19	3	0	0	0	0	3.5	4.7
		JUV UKIAH	6	0	0	0	0	1	0.7	3.4
		WILLITS	101	2	13	2	1	0	2.4	3.2
		UKIAH	403	4	38	2	6	0	2.5	4.9
		BOONVILLE	9	0	0	0	0	0	2.3	4.6
		PT. ARENA	15	0	1	0	1	0	1.5	6.1
		LITTLE LAKE	4	0	0	0	0	0	0.7	0.2
		LEGGETT	24	0	5	2	2	0	3.4	5.5
		COVELO	8	0	3	0	1	0	5.5	6.7
		FORT BRAGG	183	4	23	0	5	0	2.2	2.1
		UKIAH JUST	2	0	2	1	0	0	1.4	2.7
		TOTAL	774	13	85	7	16	1	---	---
MERCED	54.5%	SUP MERCED	49	2	0	0	0	0	4.4	1.2
		JUV MERCED	15	0	3	0	1	0	3.4	2.7
		MERCED	976	13	140	46	40	1	4.2	4.1
		LOS BANOS	382	1	105	34	24	0	2.3	4.1
		TOTAL	1422	16	248	80	65	1	---	---
MODOC	58.0%	SUP MODOC	2	1	0	0	0	0	2.7	2.5
		ALTURAS	73	0	15	1	0	0	4.0	5.7
		TOTAL	75	1	15	1	0	0	---	---
MONO	70.2%	SUP MONO	0	1	0	0	0	0	3.2	6.2
		BRIDGEPORT	16	0	3	0	0	0	1.4	2.5
		MAMMOTH LAKES	115	2	20	14	4	0	2.1	2.3
		TOTAL	131	3	23	14	4	0	---	---
MONTEREY	74.9%	SUP MONTEREY	133	21	1	2	3	0	2.5	2.2
		JUV MONTEREY	46	0	5	0	0	0	2.2	3.4
		MONTEREY	987	5	131	30	14	1	1.6	4.3
		SALINAS	1923	17	150	46	29	1	1.3	3.8
		KING CITY	703	6	60	6	2	2	1.9	4.5
		USMAG MONTEREY	29	0	18	0	0	0	3.6	1.7
		TOTAL	3821	49	365	84	48	4	---	---
NAPA	78.6%	SUP NAPA	36	10	1	0	1	0	2.6	3.5
		NAPA	876	5	64	4	10	3	2.5	3.8
		TOTAL	912	15	65	4	11	3	---	---

*More convictions than arrests were reported, resulting in a percentage of total over 100. (See page 13 for explanation.)

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1992 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSAL*	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
NEVADA	82.7%	SUP NEVADA	11	5	0	0	1	0	5.7	1.9
		JUV NEVADA	0	1	0	0	0	0	6.0	0.6
		NEVADA CITY	316	8	27	3	5	0	2.3	5.2
		TRUCKEE MUNI	141	0	14	2	0	1	2.1	6.7
		TRUCKEE JUST	1	0	0	0	0	0	1.4	1.0
		TOTAL	469	14	41	5	6	1	---	---
ORANGE	76.6%	SUP SANTA ANA	206	29	0	1	4	0	4.9	0.9
		JUV ORANGE	21	1	1	0	0	0	4.6	2.5
		FULLERTON	3781	21	114	8	122	1	2.5	1.4
		WESTMINSTER	3209	17	134	68	73	0	2.7	1.7
		LAGUNA HILLS	1919	7	184	14	54	0	3.2	2.1
		NEWPORT BEACH	2067	14	178	36	66	1	2.2	2.0
		SANTA ANA MUNI	3268	29	102	11	84	0	3.4	0.4
		USMAG SANTA ANA	5	0	1	0	0	0	4.1	2.7
		SANTA ANA PROV	23	0	0	0	0	0	0.9	0.8
		TUSTIN PROVOST	13	0	0	0	0	0	0.4	2.1
		TOTAL	14512	118	714	138	403	2	---	---
PLACER	76.6%	SUP PLACER	29	10	0	0	3	0	5.4	3.4
		JUV PLACER	9	0	0	0	0	0	2.1	4.6
		AUBURN	478	0	33	8	4	0	2.6	2.1
		FOREST HILL	1	0	0	0	1	0	---	---
		ROSEVILLE	691	2	49	13	7	1	3.3	3.3
		AUBURN (89)	2	0	0	0	0	0	2.2	0.7
		COLFAX	1	0	0	0	0	0	7.2	5.5
		TAHOE CITY	125	4	2	1	2	0	2.5	1.9
		TOTAL	1336	16	84	22	21	1	---	---
PLUMAS	73.1%	SUP PLUMAS	4	0	0	0	0	0	9.2	12.0
		QUINCY	117	1	14	2	2	0	2.1	2.3
		TOTAL	121	1	14	2	2	0	---	---
RIVERSIDE	62.9%	SUP RIVERSIDE	74	23	0	0	1	0	4.8	2.6
		SUP INDIO	38	6	1	0	2	0	6.4	7.3
		JUV RIVERSIDE	1	0	0	0	0	0	5.6	2.3
		JUV RIVERSIDE	29	2	0	0	1	0	3.5	2.5
		JUV INDIO	4	0	0	0	0	0	4.0	1.5
		CORONA	641	4	92	7	19	0	3.3	3.7
		HEMET	449	11	55	11	17	7	3.2	2.7
		BANNING	364	9	32	12	6	1	2.9	3.3
		INDIO	1505	9	207	15	53	0	3.1	5.0
		MORENO VALLEY	2039	38	174	31	58	4	2.8	4.3
		PALM SPRINGS	438	3	51	4	7	0	3.0	4.3
		BLYTHE	270	1	35	0	8	0	2.0	10.0
		PERRIS	728	11	62	16	24	3	3.6	2.2
		ELSINORE	1	0	0	0	5	0	2.3	1.3
		TC MARCH AFB	1	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	1.2
		TOTAL	6582	117	709	96	201	15	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1992 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSAL*	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SACRAMENTO	69.9%	SUP SACRAMENTO	321	106	2	0	8	0	2.9	2.2
		JUV SACTO TRAF	75	2	1	0	4	0	2.1	4.0
		SACRAMENTO	5770	83	1071	154	133	22	2.3	1.2
		ELK GROVE	121	0	10	8	4	0	1.9	5.5
		GALT	91	0	8	2	3	0	2.1	4.6
		WALNUT GROVE	38	0	4	0	2	0	2.4	5.6
		US MAG SACTO	5	0	0	0	0	0	4.8	7.4
		US CT SACTO	3	0	0	0	0	0	8.6	11.6
		TOTAL	6424	191	1096	164	154	22	---	---
SAN BENITO	78.7%	JUV S BENITO	5	0	1	0	0	0	1.5	2.2
		HOLLISTER	323	2	18	5	4	0	2.2	7.2
		TRES PINOS	2	0	0	0	0	0	1.4	1.0
		TOTAL	330	2	19	5	4	0	---	---
SAN BERNARDINO	61.5%	SUP SAN BERNDO	24	7	0	1	0	0	8.4	4.8
		SUP R CUCAMNGA	48	12	0	0	0	0	6.3	2.5
		SUP VICTORVL	28	7	0	0	2	0	3.4	2.7
		SUP BARSTOW	19	4	0	0	1	0	6.3	3.1
		SUP JOSHUA TREE	10	0	0	0	0	0	2.0	2.2
		JUV TR SAN BERN	32	0	0	0	0	0	2.2	3.7
		JUV SAN BERNDO	0	1	0	0	0	0	0.9	1.4
		CHINO	449	8	25	2	11	0	3.4	2.0
		BARSTOW	291	1	111	17	41	0	3.1	4.5
		REDLANDS	291	1	22	18	8	1	3.2	2.1
		S BERN-CENTRAL	1555	14	87	42	43	0	3.7	1.9
		FONTANA	974	7	191	63	38	0	5.3	1.8
		VICTORVILLE	965	4	213	21	48	0	3.3	2.8
		R CUCAMNGA	1810	6	84	77	89	1	2.9	2.7
		BIG BEAR LAKE	172	0	61	9	6	0	4.4	3.2
		TWIN PEAKS	144	3	23	1	7	0	3.3	2.2
		NEEDLES	164	1	32	8	23	1	3.6	5.0
		TRONA	13	0	1	1	3	0	3.0	1.1
		29 PALMS	211	1	108	10	20	0	2.6	7.1
		BARSTOW MP	2	0	0	0	0	0	1.0	2.7
		TOTAL	7202	77	958	270	340	3	---	---
SAN DIEGO	76.2%	SUP SAN DIEGO	86	24	0	0	1	0	3.7	3.7
		SUP VISTA	93	29	0	0	1	0	3.4	3.8
		SUP CHULA VISTA	34	12	0	0	2	0	3.2	3.2
		JUV SAN DIEGO	46	2	1	0	4	1	3.5	4.0
		EL CAJON	2746	14	332	136	52	0	2.6	4.6
		VISTA	4056	34	341	39	25	2	2.3	2.8
		SAN MARCOS	8	0	0	0	7	0	2.3	1.5
		SAN DIEGO MUNI	5457	3	473	148	160	0	3.0	2.7
		CHULA VISTA	2060	12	122	5	18	2	2.7	5.7
		NATIONAL CTY	1	0	0	0	0	0	0.6	4.6

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1992 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSAL*	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SAN DIEGO - cont.		SAN DIEGO TRAF	2	0	0	0	0	0	0.4	0.7
		MIRAMAR NAVAL	23	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	1.4
		SAN DIEGO NAV	12	0	0	0	0	0	1.0	2.2
		TC S DIEGO NAV	16	0	0	0	0	0	1.8	2.6
		TOTAL	14640	130	1269	328	270	5	---	---
SAN FRANCISCO	60.1%	SUP SAN FRAN	14	5	0	0	0	0	5.6	2.6
		JUV SAN FRAN	6	1	0	0	0	0	2.0	3.9
		SAN FRANCISCO	1540	20	264	96	12	0	2.9	1.5
		US DIST CT SF	3	0	0	0	0	0	4.0	6.0
		TOTAL	1563	26	264	96	12	0	---	---
SAN JOAQUIN	73.0%	SUP SN JOAQUIN	84	7	0	0	0	0	3.4	3.0
		JUV SN JOAQUIN	5	1	0	0	0	0	2.2	2.4
		LODI	774	7	64	19	77	0	1.9	1.6
		ESCALON	43	0	6	1	1	0	1.6	1.5
		MANTECA	365	2	32	7	16	0	2.3	1.6
		RIPON	37	0	6	1	2	0	1.9	1.2
		TRACY	436	5	20	5	10	0	2.0	1.4
		STOCKTON	1656	14	121	15	74	0	1.9	2.7
		TOTAL	3400	36	249	48	180	0	---	---
SAN LUIS OBISPO	67.0%	SUP S L OBISPO	56	10	0	0	0	0	3.1	2.2
		S LUIS OBISPO	1629	12	349	35	102	3	2.5	6.6
		MORRO BAY	1	0	0	0	0	0	1.4	1.1
		USMAG SL OBISPO	1	0	2	0	0	0	5.1	11.9
		TOTAL	1687	22	351	35	102	3	---	---
SAN MATEO	77.4%	SUP SAN MATEO	92	11	0	0	1	0	4.6	2.0
		JUV SAN MATEO	33	2	1	1	0	0	2.7	1.5
		SO SF	1692	19	194	41	15	2	2.1	3.5
		REDWOOD CITY	1893	8	222	34	17	0	2.2	4.0
		TOTAL	3710	40	417	76	35	2	---	---
SANTA BARBARA	71.0%	SUP SNTA BARB	32	7	0	0	1	0	5.0	0.6
		SUP SNTA MARIA	58	9	2	0	0	0	2.8	3.3
		JUV SNTA BARB	19	0	0	0	1	0	1.7	2.9
		JUV SNTA MARIA	3	0	0	0	0	0	1.6	2.0
		SANTA BARBARA	1855	9	558	26	70	0	2.3	4.0
		SANTA MARIA	897	16	76	6	7	3	1.6	4.2
		LOMPOC	343	0	75	2	4	1	1.8	5.9
		SOLVANG	182	1	45	0	2	0	1.8	6.6
		VANDNBERG AFB	2	0	0	0	0	0	1.5	1.6
		TOTAL	3391	42	756	34	85	4	---	---
SANTA CLARA	81.6%	SUP SNTA CLARA	568	110	0	1	4	0	3.3	2.7
		JUV SNTA CLARA	52	2	2	0	1	0	3.3	5.7
		LOS GATOS	652	3	47	7	7	0	3.6	1.3
		PALO ALTO	799	2	62	8	9	0	3.5	1.5
		SAN JOSE	5282	12	339	59	76	0	3.6	2.1

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1992 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSAL*	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SANTA CLARA - cont.		TRAF-SAN JOSE	3	0	0	0	13	0	1.7	0.7
		SANTA CLARA	477	1	32	5	7	0	3.0	1.9
		SUNNYVALE	927	2	99	6	18	0	3.3	1.2
		GILROY	761	5	26	9	10	0	3.2	0.9
		US MAG SN JOSE	1	0	0	0	0	0	6.5	11.2
		TOTAL	9522	137	607	95	145	0	---	---
SANTA CRUZ	71.1%	SUP SANTA CRUZ	85	7	0	2	0	0	3.1	2.6
		JUV SANTA CRUZ	19	2	7	3	0	0	4.3	1.4
		SANTA CRUZ	1625	4	246	39	27	0	2.1	5.0
		WATSONVILLE	725	1	76	6	4	0	1.8	6.6
		TOTAL	2454	14	329	50	31	0	---	---
SHASTA	75.1%	SUP REDDING	20	2	0	0	0	0	4.0	3.1
		JUV SHASTA	2	1	1	0	0	0	2.1	2.2
		BURNEY	59	0	13	0	2	0	2.7	5.9
		REDDING	900	18	140	3	7	1	1.9	1.9
		US MAG REDDING	0	0	1	0	0	0	---	---
		TOTAL	981	21	155	3	9	1	---	---
SIERRA	56.9%	DOWNIEVILLE	29	0	4	3	1	0	3.3	5.4
		TOTAL	29	0	4	3	1	0	---	---
SISKIYOU	73.0%	SUP SISKIYOU	3	0	0	0	0	0	4.3	4.9
		DORRIS	15	0	0	0	0	0	2.0	7.7
		WEED	139	1	6	0	3	1	4.0	3.7
		TULELAKE	11	0	0	0	0	0	2.9	5.1
		YREKA	154	7	16	2	2	1	2.1	3.8
		TOTAL	322	8	22	2	5	2	---	---
SOLANO	74.7%	SUP SOLANO	54	11	0	0	1	0	4.3	2.4
		JUV SOLANO	22	1	2	0	1	0	4.9	2.1
		FAIRFIELD	1070	5	193	10	18	0	1.8	5.4
		VALLEJO	539	8	52	11	12	0	2.0	5.6
		TC TRAVIS AFB	14	0	0	0	0	0	1.5	4.7
		TOTAL	1699	25	247	21	32	0	---	---
SONOMA	73.1%	SUP SONOMA	74	7	0	0	1	0	4.4	3.8
		JUV SONOMA	36	3	0	0	0	1	2.2	2.2
		CLOVERDALE	1	0	0	0	0	0	4.3	1.3
		SANTA ROSA	3182	29	480	34	62	2	2.5	6.5
		PETALUMA	1	0	0	0	0	0	2.3	1.2
		TOTAL	3294	39	480	34	63	3	---	---
STANISLAUS	61.7%	SUP STANISLAUS	79	14	1	0	0	0	3.6	2.3
		JUV STANISLAUS	28	2	3	0	1	0	1.7	2.5
		MODESTO	1646	12	469	72	26	1	2.3	2.2
		NEWMAN	37	0	6	1	0	0	1.9	0.9
		OAKDALE	138	0	39	4	1	0	1.8	1.4
		PATTERSON	82	0	16	2	0	0	1.7	2.9

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1992 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSAL*	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
STANISLAUS - cont.		RIVERBANK	1	0	2	0	0	0	6.0	1.0
		TURLOCK	208	0	60	13	1	1	2.5	2.0
		TOTAL	2219	28	596	92	29	2	---	---
SUTTER	65.2%	SUP SUTTER	20	7	1	0	0	0	3.7	3.2
		JUV SUTTER	3	0	0	0	0	0	0.9	-3.3
		YUBA CITY	514	7	65	1	4	0	2.3	4.3
		TOTAL	537	14	66	1	4	0	---	---
TEHAMA	76.0%	SUP TEHAMA	8	2	0	0	0	0	3.8	2.4
		JUV TEHAMA	3	1	0	0	1	0	3.1	4.6
		CORNING	115	3	6	4	3	2	2.3	3.4
		RED BLUFF	285	2	21	12	5	3	2.2	4.1
		TOTAL	411	8	27	16	9	5	---	---
TRINITY	37.2%	SUP TRINITY	5	1	1	0	0	0	5.1	0.8
		WEAVERVILLE	75	6	16	8	4	1	2.9	5.0
		TOTAL	80	7	17	8	4	1	---	---
TULARE	64.3%	SUP TULARE	159	27	0	1	2	0	3.1	4.3
		JUV TULARE	16	1	0	0	0	0	2.8	4.0
		DINUBA MUNI	565	5	18	4	6	0	2.7	5.3
		EXETER MUNI	77	2	1	3	1	0	2.5	4.1
		LINDSAY MUNI	177	1	3	8	0	0	2.9	2.3
		PORTERVILLE	734	11	30	7	4	1	2.7	3.3
		TULARE	357	10	8	2	3	0	2.6	5.9
		VISALIA	813	17	15	8	8	0	2.5	2.1
		WOODLAKE MUNI	127	1	4	1	0	0	3.2	3.1
		EXETER JUST	1	0	0	0	0	0	1.3	2.9
		LINDSAY JUST	1	0	0	0	0	0	3.0	2.6
		US MAG 3 RVERS	26	0	3	0	0	0	1.3	3.2
		TOTAL	3053	75	82	34	24	1	---	---
TUOLUMNE	77.9%	SUP TUOLUMNE	20	7	0	0	0	0	5.9	7.0
		JUV TUOLUMNE	0	1	0	0	0	0	0.6	11.5
		SONORA	205	5	26	1	2	0	2.4	3.2
		JAMESTOWN	57	1	5	0	1	0	2.7	1.8
		TOTAL	282	14	31	1	3	0	---	---
VENTURA	83.3%	SUP VENTURA	74	20	0	0	1	0	3.5	4.1
		JUV VENTURA	17	3	0	0	1	0	3.7	2.8
		VENTURA JUST	4584	24	0	1	99	3	2.1	2.7
		TOTAL	4675	47	0	1	101	3	---	---
YOLO	27.1%	SUP WOODLAND	30	4	1	0	0	0	3.9	3.8
		JUV YOLO	6	1	0	0	0	0	1.7	7.5
		BRODERICK	126	0	11	2	2	0	5.4	3.0
		WOODLAND	135	0	7	1	1	0	3.6	8.7
		DAVIS	159	1	23	5	6	0	2.7	1.8
		TOTAL	456	6	42	8	10	0	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1992 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSAL*	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
YUBA	75.2%	SUP YUBA	20	0	0	0	1	0	2.4	1.8
		JUV YUBA	2	0	0	0	0	0	3.7	9.5
		MARYSVILLE	527	4	108	2	8	0	1.9	1.3
		TC BEALE AFB	9	0	0	0	0	0	0.3	1.4
		TOTAL	558	4	108	2	9	0	---	---

TABLE B4: 1992 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS*

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
				%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE			180786	95.4	78.3	55.7	19.3	0.2	38.3	7.5	0.1
ALAMEDA	SUP OAKLAND	1ST DUI	16	75.0	81.3	12.5	43.8	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	10	80.0	90.0	0.0	70.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	86	82.6	100.0	0.0	79.1	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	116	81.0	96.6	1.7	73.3	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.0
	JUV ALAMEDA	1ST DUI	28	100.0	10.7	82.1	0.0	0.0	3.6	92.9	0.0
		TOTAL	28	100.0	10.7	82.1	0.0	0.0	3.6	92.9	0.0
	ALAMEDA	1ST DUI	141	100.0	99.3	88.7	4.3	0.0	3.5	0.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	51	100.0	100.0	11.8	76.5	0.0	82.4	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	17	100.0	100.0	0.0	35.3	0.0	23.5	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	8	100.0	87.5	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	217	100.0	99.1	60.4	24.4	0.0	23.5	0.5	0.0
	BERKELEY	1ST DUI	185	100.0	39.5	75.7	7.0	0.0	56.2	8.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	54	100.0	85.2	5.6	66.7	0.0	66.7	11.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	15	100.0	86.7	0.0	6.7	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	80.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
		TOTAL	258	99.6	52.9	55.2	19.3	0.0	54.1	10.0	0.0
	FREMONT	1ST DUI	1002	99.8	98.7	92.3	4.3	0.0	3.9	0.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	382	99.7	99.2	4.7	87.2	0.0	63.1	0.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	142	98.6	99.3	2.1	63.4	0.0	10.6	2.1	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	33	100.0	100.0	6.1	57.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1559	99.7	98.9	60.8	31.1	0.0	18.9	0.4	0.0
	PLEASANTON	1ST DUI	495	99.6	96.6	93.1	1.8	0.0	3.8	4.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	196	100.0	98.5	2.6	92.9	0.0	87.8	6.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	55	98.2	89.1	7.3	60.0	0.0	40.0	30.9	1.8
		4TH+ DUI	10	80.0	100.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	20.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	756	99.3	96.6	62.2	30.4	0.0	28.4	7.7	0.1
	OAKLAND	1ST DUI	981	100.0	99.3	82.4	4.0	0.0	3.1	10.7	0.2
		2ND DUI	272	100.0	99.3	4.8	86.8	0.0	85.7	2.9	0.4
		3RD DUI	68	100.0	100.0	2.9	44.1	0.0	42.6	17.6	1.5
		4TH+ DUI	14	100.0	85.7	0.0	28.6	0.0	28.6	7.1	7.1
		TOTAL	1335	100.0	99.2	61.6	23.1	0.0	22.2	9.4	0.4
	HAYWARD	1ST DUI	1320	81.5	97.7	67.9	2.5	0.0	4.5	9.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	507	70.0	94.9	4.5	49.3	0.0	49.3	13.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	177	77.4	98.9	1.7	20.3	0.0	10.2	51.4	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	35	80.0	100.0	2.9	5.7	0.0	2.9	25.7	0.0
		TOTAL	2039	78.3	97.1	45.3	15.7	0.0	16.1	14.4	0.0
	ALAMEDA NAV	1ST DUI	3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0

*Entries represent percentages of 1992 DUI convictees receiving each sanction by county, court and offender status.

TABLE B4: 1992 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
ALPINE	SUP ALPINE	2ND DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	MARKLEEVILLE	1ST DUI	29	89.7	31.0	65.5	17.2	0.0	75.9	17.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	5	80.0	60.0	20.0	40.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	36	86.1	38.9	55.6	19.4	0.0	69.4	16.7	0.0
AMADOR	SUP AMADOR	1ST DUI	3	100.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	50.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	7	71.4	71.4	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV AMADOR	1ST DUI	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
	JACKSON	1ST DUI	117	90.6	96.6	0.0	4.3	0.0	0.9	16.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	60	95.0	100.0	0.0	56.7	0.0	26.7	65.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	24	91.7	95.8	0.0	25.0	0.0	4.2	79.2	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	60.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	206	91.3	97.6	0.0	21.8	0.0	8.7	39.8	0.0
BUTTE	SUP BUTTE	1ST DUI	12	91.7	91.7	33.3	25.0	8.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	9	44.4	88.9	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	50.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	38	42.1	97.4	2.6	13.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	61	52.5	95.1	9.8	14.8	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV BUTTE	1ST DUI	5	60.0	60.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	100.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
		TOTAL	8	75.0	50.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
	CHICO	1ST DUI	427	95.1	98.4	90.6	0.0	0.0	0.9	5.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	140	92.1	98.6	5.0	60.7	0.0	57.1	23.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	42	66.7	100.0	2.4	11.9	0.0	9.5	54.8	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	13	30.8	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.1	0.0
		TOTAL	622	91.2	98.6	63.5	14.5	0.0	14.1	13.5	0.0
	GRIDLEY	1ST DUI	20	90.0	100.0	80.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	23	65.2	100.0	8.7	56.5	0.0	60.9	26.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	7	42.9	100.0	0.0	42.9	0.0	28.6	28.6	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	51	70.6	100.0	35.3	35.3	0.0	31.4	21.6	0.0
	OROVILLE	1ST DUI	239	77.4	97.1	72.8	0.8	0.0	2.9	22.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	99	49.5	99.0	2.0	44.4	0.0	42.4	44.4	0.0
		3RD DUI	40	20.1	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	5.0	62.5	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	7	14.3	100.0	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	28.6	0.0
		TOTAL	385	63.1	97.9	45.7	14.3	0.0	13.2	32.2	0.0
	PARADISE	1ST DUI	105	97.1	97.1	89.5	1.9	0.0	1.9	6.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	43	97.7	100.0	9.3	62.8	0.0	62.8	20.9	0.0
		3RD DUI	18	77.8	100.0	5.6	16.7	0.0	16.7	38.9	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	168	95.2	98.2	58.9	19.0	0.0	19.0	14.3	0.0

TABLE B4: 1992 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
BUTTE cont.	OROVILLE-87	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
CALAVERAS	SUP CALAVERAS	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	7	28.6	100.0	0.0	14.3	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0
	JV CALAVERAS	1ST DUI	2	100.0	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SAN ANDREAS	1ST DUI	142	99.3	97.9	88.7	2.1	0.0	3.5	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	59	98.3	100.0	6.8	83.1	0.0	83.1	3.4	0.0
		3RD DUI	23	91.3	100.0	4.3	30.4	0.0	21.7	8.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	10	90.0	100.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0
		TOTAL	234	97.9	98.7	56.0	25.6	0.0	25.2	2.1	0.0
COLUSA	SUP COLUSA	1ST DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	6	50.0	66.7	0.0	16.7	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0
	JUV COLUSA	1ST DUI	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
	COLUSA	1ST DUI	178	98.3	97.2	82.0	0.6	0.0	1.7	5.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	92	95.7	97.8	1.1	79.3	0.0	58.7	3.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	24	91.7	100.0	4.2	8.3	0.0	4.2	12.5	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	295	96.9	97.6	50.2	26.1	0.0	20.0	5.1	0.0
CONTRA COSTA	SUP CONTRA COSTA	1ST DUI	13	84.6	92.3	7.7	23.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	8	100.0	87.5	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	11	81.8	100.0	0.0	45.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	91	81.3	100.0	2.2	19.8	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.0
		TOTAL	123	82.9	98.4	2.4	22.8	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.0
	JUV CONTRA COSTA	1ST DUI	25	96.0	0.0	8.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	26	96.2	3.8	11.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.4	0.0
	CONCORD	1ST DUI	563	99.5	95.7	56.5	2.7	0.0	6.0	6.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	226	99.6	99.1	2.2	78.3	0.0	79.6	8.8	0.4
		3RD DUI	91	98.9	98.9	0.0	18.7	1.1	9.9	13.2	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	15	93.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	895	99.3	97.0	36.1	23.4	0.1	24.9	7.4	0.1
	RICHMOND	1ST DUI	589	98.1	98.6	91.0	4.4	0.2	4.1	2.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	229	98.7	96.1	4.8	84.7	0.0	83.4	1.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	93	97.8	97.8	3.2	76.3	0.0	24.7	3.2	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	18	94.4	100.0	0.0	55.6	0.0	38.9	16.7	0.0
		TOTAL	929	98.2	98.0	59.2	32.4	0.1	26.4	2.7	0.0

TABLE B4: 1992 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
CONTRA COSTA cont.	PITTSBURG	1ST DUI	441	94.8	98.0	78.7	1.8	0.0	6.3	18.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	210	86.2	99.5	3.3	69.5	0.0	68.6	22.9	0.0
		3RD DUI	80	6.3	100.0	0.0	3.8	0.0	2.5	45.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	23	21.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.4	0.0
		TOTAL	754	80.8	98.7	46.9	20.8	0.0	23.1	22.7	0.0
	WALNUT CREEK	1ST DUI	743	99.5	97.4	95.3	1.9	0.0	7.4	3.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	214	98.1	98.1	6.5	86.4	0.5	41.6	11.2	0.5
		3RD DUI	68	97.1	100.0	0.0	23.5	0.0	8.8	32.4	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	9	88.9	100.0	0.0	44.4	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	1034	98.9	97.8	69.8	21.2	0.1	14.5	7.3	0.1
DEL NORTE	SUP DEL NORTE	1ST DUI	4	50.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	6	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
	CRESCENT CITY	1ST DUI	126	96.0	97.6	91.3	1.6	0.8	15.9	1.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	49	95.9	87.8	24.5	53.1	4.1	51.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	18	72.2	83.3	27.8	11.1	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	25.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	197	92.4	93.9	67.0	15.2	2.5	22.8	1.0	0.0
EL DORADO	SUP EL DORADO	1ST DUI	8	75.0	37.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	62.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	83.3	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	16	75.0	68.8	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0	31.3	0.0
	JUV EL DORADO	1ST DUI	5	100.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	100.0	50.0	12.5	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	CAMERON PARK	1ST DUI	205	100.0	99.0	92.7	2.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	88	96.6	97.7	13.6	75.0	0.0	75.0	1.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	29	96.6	100.0	3.4	20.7	0.0	17.2	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	12	91.7	91.7	0.0	8.3	0.0	16.7	0.0	8.3
		TOTAL	334	98.5	98.5	60.8	23.1	0.0	23.1	0.3	0.3
	SO LAKE TAHOE	1ST DUI	207	98.6	96.6	89.4	1.9	0.0	1.9	1.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	71	97.2	84.5	29.6	59.2	0.0	47.9	5.6	1.4
		3RD DUI	30	90.0	96.7	20.0	40.0	0.0	13.3	6.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	100.0	100.0	16.7	66.7	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0
		TOTAL	314	97.5	93.9	67.8	19.7	0.0	13.4	3.5	0.3
	PLACERVILLE	1ST DUI	219	99.1	95.0	86.8	2.3	0.0	8.7	0.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	81	98.8	100.0	17.3	71.6	0.0	29.6	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	31	96.8	100.0	3.2	12.9	0.0	3.2	6.5	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	8	100.0	100.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	339	98.8	96.8	60.5	20.1	0.0	13.0	1.2	0.0

TABLE B4: 1992 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
FRESNO	SUP FRESNO	1ST DUI	80	53.8	83.8	0.0	1.2	0.0	2.5	1.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	31	64.5	90.3	0.0	6.5	0.0	6.5	3.2	0.0
		3RD DUI	24	54.2	83.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.2	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	189	44.4	85.2	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.0
		TOTAL	324	49.4	85.2	0.3	1.2	0.0	1.2	1.5	0.0
	JUV FRESNO	1ST DUI	25	100.0	64.0	8.0	4.0	0.0	4.0	24.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	28	96.4	67.9	7.1	3.6	0.0	3.6	21.4	0.0
	FRESNO	1ST DUI	1819	99.0	91.9	79.3	2.5	0.0	22.6	0.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	772	99.5	97.0	7.1	56.5	0.0	57.6	0.9	0.4
		3RD DUI	279	98.6	96.4	5.4	18.3	0.0	15.8	0.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	77	96.1	100.0	6.5	3.9	0.0	2.6	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2947	99.0	93.9	51.5	18.2	0.0	30.6	0.6	0.1
	CLOVIS	1ST DUI	242	97.9	90.1	86.0	2.1	0.0	38.4	1.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	80	98.8	98.8	5.0	67.5	0.0	56.3	18.8	0.0
		3RD DUI	32	100.0	100.0	3.1	9.4	0.0	6.3	59.4	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	12	100.0	91.7	8.3	8.3	0.0	8.3	58.3	0.0
		TOTAL	366	98.4	92.9	58.5	17.2	0.0	38.5	12.0	0.0
	COALINGA	1ST DUI	170	100.0	100.0	97.1	0.6	0.0	1.2	3.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	44	100.0	97.7	13.6	72.7	0.0	18.2	6.8	0.0
		3RD DUI	11	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	18.2	18.2	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	229	100.0	99.6	74.7	21.1	0.0	5.2	4.8	0.0
	FIREBAUGH	1ST DUI	135	99.3	91.9	34.1	0.0	0.0	11.9	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	66	100.0	97.0	1.5	15.2	0.0	12.1	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	21	95.2	90.5	0.0	9.5	0.0	9.5	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	224	99.1	93.3	21.0	5.4	0.0	11.6	0.0	0.0
	FOWLER	1ST DUI	107	100.0	98.1	88.8	1.9	0.0	15.9	0.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	41	100.0	100.0	7.3	73.2	0.0	39.0	2.4	0.0
		3RD DUI	20	100.0	100.0	0.0	35.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	7	100.0	100.0	14.3	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	175	100.0	98.9	56.6	22.9	0.0	20.0	1.1	0.0
	KERMAN	1ST DUI	107	96.3	93.5	84.1	0.9	0.0	0.9	0.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	23	100.0	95.7	4.3	82.6	0.0	17.4	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	50.0	50.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	25.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	138	93.5	92.8	65.9	15.2	0.0	4.3	0.7	0.0
	KINGSBURG	1ST DUI	43	100.0	100.0	88.4	4.7	0.0	7.0	4.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	19	100.0	89.5	5.3	68.4	0.0	68.4	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	9	100.0	88.9	22.2	11.1	0.0	22.2	11.1	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	72	100.0	95.8	56.9	22.2	0.0	25.0	5.6	0.0

TABLE B4: 1992 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
FRESNO cont.	PARLIER	1ST DUI	18	94.4	100.0	83.3	0.0	0.0	44.4	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	10	80.0	90.0	30.0	20.0	0.0	40.0	10.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
		TOTAL	32	87.5	96.9	56.3	6.3	0.0	37.5	9.4	0.0
	REEDLEY MUNI	1ST DUI	161	99.4	97.5	91.9	3.7	0.0	3.7	0.0	1.2
		2ND DUI	69	98.6	100.0	2.9	78.3	0.0	10.1	1.4	2.9
		3RD DUI	27	85.2	100.0	0.0	7.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	259	96.9	98.5	57.9	23.9	0.0	5.0	0.4	1.5
	RIVERDALE	1ST DUI	5	100.0	100.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	11	100.0	90.9	0.0	90.9	0.0	63.6	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	17	100.0	94.1	17.6	70.6	0.0	52.9	0.0	0.0
	SANGER	1ST DUI	88	98.9	92.0	80.7	0.0	0.0	4.5	9.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	33	100.0	93.9	21.2	39.4	0.0	42.4	24.2	0.0
		3RD DUI	17	88.2	88.2	0.0	11.8	0.0	0.0	64.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	140	97.9	92.1	55.7	10.7	0.0	12.9	19.3	0.0
	SELMA MUNI	1ST DUI	182	98.9	98.4	89.6	4.9	0.0	58.2	2.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	68	92.6	100.0	20.6	60.3	0.0	67.6	1.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	36	94.4	97.2	8.3	25.0	0.0	27.8	8.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0
		TOTAL	292	96.9	98.6	61.6	20.5	0.0	55.5	3.4	0.0
GLENN	SUP GLENN	1ST DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	9	55.6	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.4	0.0
		TOTAL	12	58.3	100.0	0.0	8.3	0.0	0.0	58.3	0.0
	JUV GLENN	1ST DUI	7	14.3	14.3	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	0.0
		TOTAL	7	14.3	14.3	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	0.0
	ORLAND	1ST DUI	122	97.5	95.1	90.2	1.6	0.0	22.1	18.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	50	98.0	98.0	10.0	56.0	0.0	24.0	36.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	19	94.7	100.0	0.0	31.6	0.0	0.0	52.6	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	192	97.4	96.4	59.9	18.8	0.0	20.3	26.6	0.0
	WILLOWS	1ST DUI	57	98.2	96.5	86.0	1.8	0.0	24.6	12.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	23	100.0	100.0	8.7	60.9	0.0	43.5	21.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	8	87.5	100.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	75.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	89	97.8	97.8	57.3	18.0	0.0	27.0	20.2	0.0
HUMBOLDT	SUP HUMBOLDT	1ST DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	13	30.8	92.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.7	0.0
		TOTAL	21	38.1	90.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.8	9.5	0.0

TABLE B4: 1992 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
HUMBOLDT cont.	JUV HUMBOLDT	1ST DUI	4	50.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	50.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
	EUREKA	1ST DUI	221	86.0	34.8	66.5	3.6	0.0	72.4	8.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	65	92.3	89.2	3.1	64.6	0.0	73.8	9.2	0.0
		3RD DUI	29	86.2	96.6	10.3	24.1	0.0	24.1	13.8	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	15	73.3	100.0	6.7	13.3	0.0	6.7	13.3	0.0
		TOTAL	330	86.7	53.9	46.4	17.9	0.0	65.5	9.1	0.0
	ARCATA	1ST DUI	156	88.5	34.0	76.9	9.0	0.0	81.4	10.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	56	83.9	100.0	8.9	69.6	0.0	75.0	5.4	0.0
		3RD DUI	12	100.0	100.0	8.3	91.7	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
		TOTAL	228	87.3	54.8	55.3	28.9	0.0	75.9	12.3	0.0
	FORTUNA	1ST DUI	70	97.1	10.0	97.1	0.0	0.0	97.1	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	32	96.9	81.3	18.8	78.1	0.0	93.8	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	8	100.0	75.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	37.5	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	112	97.3	35.7	66.1	28.6	2.7	90.2	0.0	0.0
	GARBERVILLE	1ST DUI	46	89.1	10.9	87.0	0.0	0.0	87.0	10.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	15	100.0	93.3	6.7	80.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	17	94.1	94.1	23.5	64.7	0.0	76.5	5.9	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	79	92.4	45.6	58.2	29.1	0.0	87.3	7.6	0.0
	HOOPA	1ST DUI	20	90.0	25.0	60.0	25.0	0.0	80.0	5.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	20	85.0	95.0	5.0	75.0	0.0	55.0	25.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	47	87.2	66.0	27.7	51.1	0.0	61.7	21.3	0.0
IMPERIAL	SUP IMPERIAL	1ST DUI	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	8	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	0.0
		TOTAL	11	100.0	90.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.2	0.0
	JUV IMPERIAL	1ST DUI	3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	BRAWLEY	1ST DUI	172	100.0	54.1	73.8	4.1	0.0	51.7	1.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	73	98.6	87.7	16.4	64.4	0.0	69.9	1.4	0.0
		3RD DUI	26	100.0	100.0	7.7	50.0	0.0	50.0	11.5	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	9	100.0	88.9	22.2	11.1	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	280	99.6	68.2	51.1	24.3	0.0	55.0	2.1	0.0
	CALEXICO	1ST DUI	448	99.8	29.5	61.8	0.7	0.0	58.5	4.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	92	100.0	87.0	13.0	28.3	0.0	33.7	4.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	38	100.0	92.1	0.0	15.8	0.0	15.8	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	9	100.0	88.9	11.1	0.0	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	587	99.8	43.4	49.4	6.0	0.0	51.0	4.1	0.0

TABLE B4: 1992 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
				%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
IMPERIAL cont.	EL CENTRO	1ST DUI	301	100.0	54.2	74.4	1.7	0.0	49.2	2.3	0.3
		2ND DUI	94	98.9	93.6	9.6	72.3	0.0	73.4	4.3	1.1
		3RD DUI	22	100.0	100.0	4.5	22.7	0.0	27.3	13.6	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	9	100.0	100.0	0.0	11.1	0.0	11.1	11.1	0.0
		TOTAL	426	99.8	66.2	54.9	18.5	0.0	52.6	3.5	0.5
INYO	SUP INYO	1ST DUI	3	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	20.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	11	27.3	81.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.1	0.0
		TOTAL	19	26.3	84.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.5	0.0
	BISHOP	1ST DUI	199	98.5	11.6	93.5	1.5	0.0	91.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	82	97.5	92.7	4.9	80.5	0.0	85.4	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	32	78.1	84.4	6.3	31.3	0.0	9.4	3.1	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	75.0	75.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	317	95.9	40.7	60.6	25.6	0.0	80.1	0.3	0.0
	INDEPENDENCE	1ST DUI	39	97.4	10.3	87.2	5.1	0.0	94.9	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	13	100.0	100.0	7.7	84.6	0.0	92.3	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	55	96.4	36.4	63.6	25.5	0.0	89.1	0.0	0.0
KERN	SUP KERN	1ST DUI	71	70.4	97.2	12.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	32	50.0	96.9	0.0	0.0	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	11	45.5	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	257	37.0	97.7	0.8	1.2	1.2	0.0	0.8	0.0
		TOTAL	371	44.7	97.6	3.0	0.8	1.1	0.0	1.6	0.0
	JUV KERN	1ST DUI	56	100.0	3.6	92.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	4	100.0	50.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	60	100.0	6.7	88.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	0.0
	ARVIN LAMONT	1ST DUI	291	99.0	85.6	75.9	0.7	0.0	0.7	0.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	101	99.0	97.0	12.9	26.7	0.0	4.0	1.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	46	100.0	97.8	4.3	10.9	0.0	2.2	2.2	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	8	75.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	446	98.7	89.7	52.9	7.6	0.0	1.6	0.7	0.0
	BAKERSFIELD	1ST DUI	1725	98.0	99.5	81.4	0.2	0.0	1.1	1.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	690	98.3	99.1	7.7	9.0	0.0	9.6	8.4	0.1
		3RD DUI	309	91.9	100.0	2.6	0.6	0.0	0.6	10.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	54	72.2	100.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.3	0.0
		TOTAL	2778	96.9	99.5	52.8	2.4	0.0	3.1	4.4	0.0
	DELANO	1ST DUI	433	100.0	67.7	80.4	2.1	0.0	27.7	63.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	199	100.0	89.4	8.0	47.7	0.0	25.1	67.3	0.5
		3RD DUI	85	100.0	98.8	1.2	8.2	0.0	3.5	91.8	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	27	92.6	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
		TOTAL	744	99.7	78.2	49.1	14.9	0.0	23.3	67.9	0.1
	LAKE ISABELLA	1ST DUI	90	100.0	96.7	73.3	2.2	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	37	97.3	97.3	13.5	24.3	0.0	21.6	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	20	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0
		TOTAL	147	99.3	97.3	48.3	7.5	0.0	6.1	1.4	0.0

TABLE B4: 1992 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
KERN cont.	TAFT	1ST DUI	146	98.6	39.0	55.5	1.4	0.0	52.7	21.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	45	97.8	91.1	4.4	20.0	0.0	26.7	48.9	0.0
		3RD DUI	22	90.9	100.0	4.5	0.0	0.0	4.5	27.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	214	97.7	56.5	39.3	5.1	0.0	42.1	28.0	0.0
	SHAFTER	1ST DUI	254	99.2	98.8	89.0	3.1	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	104	96.2	98.1	5.8	79.8	1.0	21.2	1.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	40	80.0	100.0	2.5	2.5	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	8	62.5	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	406	95.8	98.8	57.4	22.7	0.5	5.7	0.7	0.0
	MOJAVE	1ST DUI	262	99.2	96.9	79.4	0.8	0.0	1.9	12.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	99	97.0	96.0	6.1	36.4	0.0	31.3	31.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	34	100.0	100.0	0.0	2.9	0.0	0.0	73.5	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
		TOTAL	400	98.8	97.0	53.5	9.8	0.0	9.0	22.5	0.0
	RIDGECREST	1ST DUI	196	98.5	99.0	90.3	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	79	98.7	100.0	7.6	48.1	0.0	31.6	1.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	22	100.0	100.0	9.1	13.6	0.0	13.6	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	299	98.7	99.3	61.9	14.4	0.0	10.0	0.7	0.0
	JOHANNESBRG	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	EDW AFB	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
KINGS	SUP KINGS	1ST DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	29	6.9	96.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	34	14.7	97.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.9	0.0
	JUV KINGS	1ST DUI	7	85.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	42.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	10	90.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	30.0	0.0
	HANFORD	1ST DUI	267	96.3	96.6	90.3	1.9	0.0	4.1	9.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	127	93.7	100.0	7.1	55.1	0.0	53.5	27.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	39	64.1	100.0	2.6	25.6	0.0	23.1	41.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	14	71.4	100.0	0.0	21.4	0.0	14.3	64.3	0.0
		TOTAL	447	91.9	98.0	56.2	19.7	0.0	20.1	18.8	0.0
	AVENAL	1ST DUI	93	98.9	98.9	90.3	1.1	0.0	1.1	1.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	35	100.0	100.0	60.0	28.6	0.0	71.4	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	15	73.3	93.3	26.7	6.7	0.0	26.7	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	83.3	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	149	96.0	98.7	75.2	8.1	0.0	21.5	0.7	0.0
	CORCORAN	1ST DUI	48	97.9	97.9	35.4	4.2	0.0	0.0	14.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	31	93.5	100.0	3.2	25.8	0.0	38.7	19.4	0.0
		3RD DUI	6	83.3	100.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	86	94.2	98.8	22.1	11.6	0.0	14.0	17.4	0.0

TABLE B4: 1992 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
KINGS cont.	LEMOORE	1ST DUI	155	97.4	94.8	79.4	1.3	0.0	5.2	9.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	54	92.6	100.0	5.6	40.7	0.0	42.6	33.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	13	84.6	100.0	15.4	7.7	0.0	7.7	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	225	95.6	96.4	56.9	11.1	0.0	14.2	14.7	0.0
LAKE	SUP LAKE	1ST DUI	3	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	11	27.3	90.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	15	26.7	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV LAKE	1ST DUI	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	0.0
	CLEARLAKE	1ST DUI	162	98.8	99.4	88.9	4.3	0.0	8.6	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	87	98.9	100.0	11.5	77.0	0.0	16.1	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	32	96.9	96.9	0.0	53.1	0.0	9.4	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	8	75.0	100.0	12.5	12.5	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	289	97.9	99.3	53.6	31.8	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0
	LAKEPORT	1ST DUI	198	97.5	99.5	93.9	1.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	64	89.1	100.0	7.8	78.1	0.0	7.8	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	31	41.9	100.0	0.0	16.1	0.0	3.2	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	299	88.0	99.7	63.9	19.1	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.0
LASSEN	SUP LASSEN	1ST DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	9	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.1	0.0
	JUV LASSEN	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	SUSANVILLE	1ST DUI	135	98.5	98.5	93.3	0.7	0.0	0.7	4.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	51	96.1	100.0	9.8	86.3	0.0	64.7	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	11	54.5	100.0	0.0	9.1	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	7	57.1	100.0	0.0	42.9	0.0	42.9	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	204	94.1	99.0	64.2	24.0	0.0	18.6	2.9	0.0
LOS ANGELES	SUP LA CTRL	1ST DUI	80	80.0	97.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	33	69.7	100.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	22	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	155	41.3	100.0	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0
		TOTAL	290	55.9	99.3	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0
	SUP POMONA	1ST DUI	62	71.0	96.8	0.0	14.5	0.0	3.2	4.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	30	60.0	100.0	0.0	13.3	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	24	33.3	100.0	4.2	12.5	0.0	4.2	12.5	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	175	20.0	99.4	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0	12.6	0.0
		TOTAL	291	36.1	99.0	0.3	9.3	0.0	2.1	9.6	0.0

TABLE B4: 1992 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
				%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES cont.	SUP LANCASTR	1ST DUI	19	84.2	89.5	15.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	12	66.7	100.0	0.0	8.3	0.0	8.3	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	6	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	21	28.6	95.2	0.0	0.0	4.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	58	56.9	94.8	5.2	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7	0.0
	SUP SAN FERNANDO	1ST DUI	40	70.0	87.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.5	25.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	8	37.5	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	9	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.4	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	56	25.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
		TOTAL	113	45.1	95.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	25.7	0.0
	SUP PASADENA	1ST DUI	22	77.3	45.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.1	4.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	13	84.6	53.8	0.0	30.8	0.0	23.1	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	11	63.6	45.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.1	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	78	53.8	56.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.8	0.0
		TOTAL	124	62.1	53.2	0.0	3.2	0.0	4.0	4.0	0.0
	SUP VAN NUYS	1ST DUI	31	61.3	96.8	3.2	6.5	0.0	0.0	16.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	9	44.4	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	14	14.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	51	21.6	94.1	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	5.9	0.0
		TOTAL	105	34.3	96.2	1.0	2.9	1.0	0.0	7.6	0.0
	SUP LONG BEACH	1ST DUI	22	100.0	72.7	9.1	0.0	0.0	4.5	13.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	7	100.0	71.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	14.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	11	27.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	40	80.0	80.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	10.0	0.0
	SUP COMPTON	1ST DUI	24	62.5	45.8	4.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	4	100.0	50.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	40	52.5	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	72	58.3	48.6	2.8	0.0	0.0	1.4	2.8	0.0
	SUP NORWALK	1ST DUI	26	73.1	73.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	7	28.6	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	8	25.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	88	21.6	100.0	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	129	32.6	94.6	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	2.3	0.0
	SUP TORRANCE	1ST DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	13	38.5	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	19	57.9	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SUP SANTA MONICA	1ST DUI	26	80.8	96.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.8	3.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	7	71.4	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	9	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	14	50.0	92.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	56	69.6	96.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	1.8	0.0

TABLE B4: 1992 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
LOS ANGELES cont.	LA JUV CENTRAL	1ST DUI	25	96.0	20.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	28	96.4	25.0	3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.7	0.0
	ALHAMBRA	1ST DUI	766	94.9	53.3	84.3	0.3	0.0	55.2	0.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	219	92.2	98.2	6.8	42.5	0.0	42.9	1.4	0.0
		3RD DUI	77	84.4	96.1	0.0	7.8	3.9	7.8	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	12	75.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1074	93.4	66.0	61.5	9.4	0.3	48.7	0.4	0.0
	LANCASTER	1ST DUI	545	99.8	98.7	93.0	3.3	0.6	3.3	0.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	168	98.8	97.6	6.5	83.9	0.6	85.1	1.2	0.6
		3RD DUI	43	100.0	65.1	2.3	14.0	34.9	9.3	4.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	12	100.0	83.3	0.0	8.3	16.7	8.3	8.3	0.0
		TOTAL	768	99.6	96.4	67.6	21.6	2.7	21.6	0.9	0.1
	BEVERLY HILLS	1ST DUI	329	97.9	44.7	91.5	0.3	0.0	85.1	2.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	46	100.0	80.4	13.0	63.0	4.3	69.6	8.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	14	100.0	64.3	7.1	7.1	28.6	14.3	14.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	392	98.2	50.0	78.6	8.2	1.5	80.4	3.8	0.0
	BURBANK	1ST DUI	360	93.6	98.9	81.9	0.8	0.0	40.0	15.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	80	98.8	96.3	3.8	75.0	0.0	65.0	17.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	23	91.3	100.0	0.0	8.7	0.0	0.0	91.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	465	94.4	98.5	64.1	14.0	0.0	42.2	20.0	0.0
	WEST COVINA	1ST DUI	2339	95.9	60.5	91.0	1.5	0.0	58.2	5.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	579	91.2	94.5	12.3	68.0	0.2	68.7	3.8	0.2
		3RD DUI	164	80.5	88.4	3.0	20.1	9.8	12.2	4.9	0.6
		4TH+ DUI	14	71.4	92.9	0.0	14.3	7.1	7.1	7.1	0.0
		TOTAL	3096	94.1	68.4	71.2	15.0	0.6	57.5	5.3	0.1
	COMPTON	1ST DUI	1148	94.9	84.9	85.7	1.3	0.0	67.3	5.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	291	96.2	94.2	17.9	60.1	0.0	75.9	9.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	92	88.0	83.7	4.3	23.9	10.9	22.8	20.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	9	100.0	88.9	22.2	22.2	0.0	33.3	22.2	0.0
		TOTAL	1540	94.7	86.6	67.7	13.9	0.6	66.1	7.1	0.0
	CULVER CITY	1ST DUI	213	91.1	24.9	86.4	1.4	0.0	80.8	7.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	57	80.7	82.5	15.8	59.6	0.0	71.9	17.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	14	78.6	71.4	7.1	28.6	21.4	28.6	21.4	0.0
		TOTAL	284	88.4	38.7	68.3	14.4	1.1	76.4	10.2	0.0
	DOWNEY	1ST DUI	650	97.5	26.9	85.7	0.9	0.0	74.9	6.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	185	97.8	85.9	13.0	62.2	2.7	64.9	18.4	0.0
		3RD DUI	54	92.6	74.1	1.9	29.6	16.7	24.1	37.0	1.9
		4TH+ DUI	9	100.0	66.7	11.1	33.3	11.1	22.2	55.6	0.0
		TOTAL	898	97.3	42.3	64.9	15.6	1.7	69.3	11.4	0.1

TABLE B4: 1992 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
LOS ANGELES cont.	EAST LA	1ST DUI	1593	99.0	44.9	89.8	0.9	0.0	76.0	2.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	447	98.7	92.8	8.9	71.1	0.0	73.2	4.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	134	99.3	94.0	3.0	10.4	3.0	9.0	3.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	18	100.0	94.4	5.6	11.1	0.0	5.6	5.6	0.0
		TOTAL	2192	99.0	58.1	67.3	15.9	0.2	70.8	3.0	0.0
	EL MONTE	1ST DUI	1215	98.2	50.9	86.9	1.6	0.0	42.0	9.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	389	98.7	95.1	7.5	70.7	1.0	71.5	14.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	128	87.5	92.2	3.1	25.8	6.3	14.1	10.2	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	10	90.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1742	97.5	64.1	62.5	18.8	0.7	46.3	10.8	0.0
	GLENDALE	1ST DUI	661	98.2	56.3	90.0	1.5	0.0	60.8	4.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	212	96.2	96.7	6.2	78.1	0.5	72.4	6.7	0.5
		3RD DUI	59	96.6	94.9	3.4	22.0	3.4	10.2	8.5	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	13	76.9	100.0	0.0	11.1	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	945	97.4	68.4	64.9	20.1	0.3	59.7	5.0	0.1
	INGLEWOOD	1ST DUI	990	81.5	54.0	73.3	0.5	0.0	59.7	15.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	239	80.2	86.6	13.8	53.6	0.4	62.3	14.2	0.0
		3RD DUI	85	69.4	83.5	7.1	20.0	4.7	16.5	17.6	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	12	50.0	83.3	0.0	16.7	0.0	8.3	41.7	0.0
		TOTAL	1326	80.5	62.1	57.7	11.5	0.4	56.9	15.6	0.0
	LONG BEACH	1ST DUI	1668	97.4	40.5	88.5	0.8	0.0	64.4	0.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	430	97.0	92.3	11.4	66.5	0.0	57.2	0.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	137	92.0	89.1	8.0	27.0	6.6	12.4	0.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	30	80.0	86.7	6.7	33.3	6.7	10.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2265	96.8	53.9	67.9	15.3	0.5	59.2	0.3	0.0
	LA METRO	1ST DUI	6476	99.5	53.8	93.4	1.6	0.0	49.0	0.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	1722	99.1	97.2	9.1	81.4	0.0	62.1	4.5	0.1
		3RD DUI	510	95.5	94.9	3.3	18.4	2.0	12.0	1.4	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	51	84.3	98.0	3.9	13.7	2.0	7.8	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8759	99.1	65.0	71.1	18.3	0.1	49.2	1.3	0.0
	BELLFLOWER	1ST DUI	479	99.4	38.8	73.5	1.0	0.0	63.7	1.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	138	97.1	93.5	6.5	52.2	0.0	56.5	2.2	0.0
		3RD DUI	36	100.0	94.4	0.0	11.1	5.6	5.6	2.8	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	656	98.9	53.7	55.0	12.7	0.3	58.7	1.7	0.0
	VALENCIA	1ST DUI	730	88.9	42.7	81.6	0.5	0.1	61.1	0.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	275	87.3	97.1	2.5	73.1	0.0	63.3	1.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	77	70.1	90.9	0.0	11.7	9.1	6.5	1.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	27	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1109	85.8	61.0	54.4	19.3	0.7	56.4	0.9	0.0
	PASADENA	1ST DUI	664	98.6	45.6	90.9	1.4	0.2	88.7	3.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	179	98.3	81.0	17.1	68.0	0.0	85.7	5.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	62	96.8	93.5	6.6	21.3	1.6	19.7	23.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	9	88.9	100.0	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0	22.2	0.0
		TOTAL	914	98.4	56.3	69.9	15.8	0.2	82.6	5.3	0.0

TABLE B4: 1992 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
				%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES cont.	MALIBU	1ST DUI	67	98.5	9.0	94.0	1.5	0.0	92.5	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	32	93.8	78.1	12.5	78.1	0.0	90.6	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	8	87.5	62.5	0.0	12.5	37.5	12.5	12.5	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	66.7	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	110	95.4	34.5	60.9	24.5	2.7	83.6	0.9	0.0
	CALABASAS	1ST DUI	109	100.0	22.9	98.2	0.9	0.0	93.6	0.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	34	100.0	82.4	23.5	70.6	0.0	88.2	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	13	84.6	76.9	0.0	46.2	23.1	23.1	7.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	160	98.8	41.9	71.9	20.6	1.9	85.6	1.2	0.0
	POMONA	1ST DUI	1176	94.7	39.5	86.8	0.8	0.0	57.3	2.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	292	88.0	93.8	13.0	49.7	0.0	54.5	4.8	0.0
		3RD DUI	83	79.5	90.4	3.6	18.1	7.2	10.8	10.8	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	7	57.1	85.7	14.3	14.3	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1558	92.5	52.6	68.2	10.9	0.4	54.1	3.4	0.0
	HUNTINGTON Pk	1ST DUI	1031	96.8	85.6	79.0	0.9	0.0	52.6	1.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	254	97.2	95.3	12.2	61.0	0.4	57.9	1.2	0.0
		3RD DUI	83	95.2	91.6	6.0	26.5	8.4	14.5	7.2	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	8	100.0	100.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1376	96.8	87.9	62.0	13.5	0.6	51.0	1.7	0.0
	MONROVIA	1ST DUI	324	100.0	37.0	83.0	0.9	0.0	72.2	7.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	87	97.7	89.7	14.9	57.5	0.0	69.0	18.4	0.0
		3RD DUI	32	100.0	78.1	3.1	6.3	18.8	3.1	34.4	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	10	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.0	0.0
		TOTAL	453	99.6	51.4	62.5	12.1	1.3	65.1	12.1	0.0
	SANTA MONICA	1ST DUI	251	98.8	11.6	91.2	2.8	0.0	88.8	1.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	57	98.2	80.7	19.3	66.7	3.5	78.9	3.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	12	91.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	25.0	25.0	0.0
		TOTAL	324	98.1	28.1	74.1	15.7	0.6	83.6	2.2	0.0
	TORRANCE	1ST DUI	1378	98.8	16.8	92.3	0.7	0.0	89.1	1.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	363	97.8	86.5	12.9	72.5	0.0	86.2	2.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	109	89.0	90.8	7.3	16.5	5.5	20.2	10.1	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	38	76.3	94.7	2.6	7.9	0.0	5.3	5.3	0.0
		TOTAL	1888	97.6	36.0	70.1	15.6	0.3	82.9	2.2	0.0
	SOUTH GATE	1ST DUI	458	93.4	62.9	87.1	1.7	0.0	37.6	5.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	139	86.3	94.2	15.1	64.0	0.0	64.7	11.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	40	55.0	95.0	7.5	15.0	0.0	17.5	12.5	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	7	57.1	100.0	0.0	14.3	0.0	14.3	14.3	0.0
		TOTAL	644	89.1	72.0	65.7	16.1	0.0	41.9	7.5	0.0
	WHITTIER	1ST DUI	803	90.9	29.9	84.1	0.9	0.0	65.8	4.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	273	86.1	94.5	6.6	67.0	0.0	68.9	5.9	0.0
		3RD DUI	92	70.7	95.7	1.1	18.5	4.3	10.9	20.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	50.0	100.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	16.7	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	1174	88.0	50.4	59.2	17.6	0.3	61.9	6.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 1992 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
				%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES cont.	HOLLYWOOD	1ST DUI	118	98.3	44.9	90.7	2.5	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	21	95.2	90.5	14.3	66.7	0.0	61.9	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	7	71.4	100.0	0.0	28.6	0.0	14.3	14.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	148	95.9	54.1	74.3	12.8	0.7	49.3	0.7	0.0
	SAN FERNANDO	1ST DUI	1781	99.0	42.7	93.1	1.0	0.2	29.4	6.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	401	99.0	93.5	10.7	70.6	1.0	61.3	7.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	158	95.6	95.6	3.2	15.8	3.2	9.5	4.4	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	50	50.0	98.0	4.0	2.0	0.0	4.0	8.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2390	97.8	55.9	71.5	13.7	0.5	32.9	6.2	0.0
	SAN PEDRO	1ST DUI	447	99.8	49.2	94.2	2.2	0.0	60.2	1.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	114	99.1	94.7	7.9	80.7	0.0	68.4	4.4	0.0
		3RD DUI	43	95.3	86.0	2.3	27.9	11.6	7.0	2.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	606	99.3	60.6	71.1	18.8	0.8	57.8	2.0	0.0
	VAN NUYS	1ST DUI	2285	99.6	40.1	94.2	2.0	0.1	67.7	0.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	611	98.4	94.4	7.7	80.0	1.0	85.1	1.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	169	92.9	84.0	4.1	32.0	10.1	33.7	1.8	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	29	55.2	96.6	0.0	20.7	3.4	17.2	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3094	98.5	53.8	71.3	19.2	0.8	68.8	0.7	0.0
	LOS ANGELES	1ST DUI	557	98.7	27.3	89.4	2.0	0.0	75.4	1.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	124	96.8	91.1	10.5	72.6	0.8	82.3	1.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	37	94.6	73.0	2.7	29.7	24.3	27.0	13.5	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	719	98.2	40.8	71.2	15.6	1.4	74.0	2.4	0.0
	AVALON	1ST DUI	2	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	US MAG LANCASTER	1ST DUI	5	100.0	20.0	60.0	20.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	5	80.0	100.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	60.0	20.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	13	92.3	69.2	46.2	30.8	0.0	46.2	7.7	0.0
	US DIST CT LA	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
MADERA	SUP MADERA	1ST DUI	8	87.5	100.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	37.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	11	72.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	45.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	42	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	61.9	0.0
		TOTAL	64	59.4	100.0	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	53.1	0.0
	JUV MADERA	1ST DUI	4	75.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	6	83.3	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 1992 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
				%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
MADERA cont.	CHOWCHILLA	1ST DUI	74	95.9	100.0	90.5	1.4	0.0	0.0	1.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	27	100.0	100.0	3.7	77.8	0.0	14.8	11.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	11	100.0	100.0	18.2	18.2	0.0	0.0	9.1	0.0
		TOTAL	112	97.3	100.0	62.5	21.4	0.0	3.6	4.5	0.0
	BORDEN	1ST DUI	116	97.4	90.5	91.4	4.3	0.0	5.2	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	40	92.5	97.5	25.0	62.5	0.0	65.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	24	95.8	83.3	8.3	16.7	0.0	20.8	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	50.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	184	95.1	90.8	64.1	18.5	0.0	20.1	0.0	0.0
	MADERA	1ST DUI	286	94.4	92.7	78.0	4.5	0.0	5.2	3.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	130	93.8	96.2	15.4	66.2	0.0	13.1	5.4	0.0
		3RD DUI	51	84.3	98.0	3.9	25.5	0.0	0.0	7.8	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	472	93.2	94.3	51.9	24.6	0.0	6.8	4.4	0.0
	BASS LAKE	1ST DUI	71	100.0	78.9	70.4	1.4	0.0	8.5	1.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	33	100.0	87.9	0.0	75.8	0.0	42.4	12.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	16	100.0	100.0	0.0	18.8	0.0	6.3	43.8	6.3
		4TH+ DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	124	100.0	84.7	40.3	23.4	0.0	16.9	11.3	0.8
MARIN	SUP SAN RAFAEL	1ST DUI	5	100.0	100.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	15	46.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
		TOTAL	21	61.9	95.2	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	61.9	0.0
	JUV SAN RAFAEL	1ST DUI	5	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	0.0
	SAN RAFAEL	1ST DUI	1204	99.8	6.3	94.6	0.9	0.0	92.9	5.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	341	100.0	90.9	6.5	83.0	0.0	89.7	7.9	0.3
		3RD DUI	122	98.4	95.1	3.3	24.6	0.0	13.9	70.5	1.6
		4TH+ DUI	29	96.6	100.0	0.0	10.3	0.0	3.4	72.4	0.0
		TOTAL	1696	99.7	31.3	68.7	19.3	0.0	85.0	11.6	0.2
MARIPOSA	SUP MARIPOSA	1ST DUI	2	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	100.0	33.3	33.3	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	6	100.0	50.0	33.3	16.7	0.0	16.7	16.7	0.0
	JUV MARIPOSA	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	MARIPOSA	1ST DUI	45	100.0	97.8	97.8	0.0	0.0	4.4	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	22	100.0	100.0	9.1	86.4	0.0	18.2	13.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	71	100.0	98.6	64.8	28.2	0.0	9.9	4.2	0.0

TABLE B4: 1992 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
				%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
MARIPOSA cont.	USMAG YOSEMITE	1ST DUI	55	100.0	58.2	78.2	3.6	0.0	65.5	9.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	21	100.0	90.5	0.0	85.7	0.0	38.1	57.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	79	98.7	68.4	54.4	26.6	0.0	55.7	22.8	0.0
MENDOCINO	SUP UKIAH	1ST DUI	4	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	13	7.7	69.2	0.0	7.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	22	9.1	45.5	0.0	4.5	0.0	0.0	4.5	0.0
	JUV UKIAH	1ST DUI	5	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	6	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
	WILLITS	1ST DUI	66	93.9	95.5	80.3	1.5	0.0	6.1	4.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	27	88.9	100.0	0.0	74.1	0.0	70.4	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	103	91.3	97.1	51.5	21.4	0.0	23.3	2.9	0.0
	UKIAH MUNI	1ST DUI	253	91.7	90.5	81.0	3.6	0.0	11.5	4.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	98	87.8	99.0	8.2	64.3	0.0	58.2	6.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	51	78.4	98.0	2.0	31.4	0.0	7.8	47.1	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	80.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	407	88.9	93.6	52.6	21.9	0.0	22.4	10.3	0.0
	BOONVILLE	1ST DUI	6	83.3	83.3	33.3	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	100.0	100.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	9	88.9	88.9	33.3	33.3	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0
	PT. ARENA	1ST DUI	13	100.0	84.6	69.2	0.0	0.0	7.7	15.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	15	100.0	86.7	60.0	6.7	0.0	6.7	20.0	0.0
	LITTLE LAKE	1ST DUI	3	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	100.0	100.0	75.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
	LEGGETT	1ST DUI	17	82.4	100.0	70.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	29.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	24	87.5	100.0	50.0	16.7	0.0	4.2	37.5	0.0
	COVELO	1ST DUI	5	100.0	80.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	100.0	87.5	62.5	12.5	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 1992 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
MENDOCINO cont.	FORT BRAGG	1ST DUI	133	100.0	97.7	83.5	6.8	0.0	3.0	9.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	36	97.2	97.2	19.4	63.9	0.0	55.6	13.9	0.0
		3RD DUI	17	94.1	100.0	5.9	47.1	0.0	23.5	41.2	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	187	98.9	97.9	63.6	21.9	0.0	15.0	13.9	0.0
	UKIAH JUST	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
MERCED	SUP MERCED	1ST DUI	5	60.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	80.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	40	70.0	97.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	32.5	0.0
		TOTAL	51	70.6	98.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
	JUV MERCED	1ST DUI	15	6.7	13.3	6.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.7	0.0
		TOTAL	15	6.7	13.3	6.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.7	0.0
	MERCED	1ST DUI	615	99.2	98.7	84.7	5.4	0.0	3.9	3.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	242	98.3	97.9	9.1	71.1	0.0	63.2	5.4	0.0
		3RD DUI	110	98.2	100.0	2.7	30.9	0.0	24.5	28.2	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	22	100.0	95.5	0.0	31.8	0.0	22.7	22.7	0.0
		TOTAL	989	98.9	98.6	55.2	24.9	0.0	21.1	7.0	0.0
	LOS BANOS	1ST DUI	240	99.2	97.5	89.2	3.8	0.0	4.6	0.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	95	100.0	98.9	12.6	80.0	0.0	72.6	1.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	35	100.0	100.0	14.3	62.9	0.0	20.0	17.1	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	13	100.0	100.0	7.7	30.8	0.0	0.0	7.7	0.0
		TOTAL	383	99.5	98.2	60.6	29.0	0.0	22.7	2.3	0.0
MODOC	SUP MODOC	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
	ALTURAS	1ST DUI	48	97.9	97.9	91.7	4.2	0.0	4.2	4.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	17	64.7	94.1	11.8	47.1	0.0	47.1	17.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	0.0
		TOTAL	73	82.2	97.3	63.0	15.1	0.0	13.7	13.7	0.0
MONO	SUP MONO	2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	BRIDGEPORT	1ST DUI	9	100.0	77.8	88.9	0.0	0.0	22.2	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	16	100.0	87.5	50.0	6.3	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
	MAMMOTH LKS	1ST DUI	74	100.0	50.0	79.7	0.0	0.0	35.1	1.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	26	100.0	88.5	11.5	76.9	0.0	42.3	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	13	92.3	92.3	7.7	46.2	0.0	0.0	7.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	117	99.1	65.0	53.8	24.8	0.0	34.2	1.7	0.0

TABLE B4: 1992 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
MONTEREY	SUP MONTEREY	1ST DUI	23	87.0	78.3	17.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	56.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	13	84.6	61.5	0.0	7.7	0.0	7.7	23.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	15	80.0	100.0	6.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	103	68.9	94.2	2.9	0.0	0.0	1.0	28.2	0.0
		TOTAL	154	74.0	89.6	5.2	0.6	0.0	1.3	31.2	0.0
	JUV MONTEREY	1ST DUI	41	85.4	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	95.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	46	87.0	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	93.5	0.0
	MONTEREY	1ST DUI	713	99.4	90.3	83.6	1.1	0.0	1.1	8.7	0.1
		2ND DUI	201	97.0	97.5	7.0	65.2	0.0	21.4	64.2	0.0
		3RD DUI	72	97.2	98.6	5.6	22.2	0.0	2.8	50.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	83.3	100.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
		TOTAL	992	98.7	92.4	62.1	15.6	0.0	5.3	23.3	0.1
	SALINAS	1ST DUI	1247	99.0	97.8	74.3	1.3	0.0	0.9	19.2	2.8
		2ND DUI	480	99.0	99.0	6.3	46.0	0.0	23.1	45.2	2.3
		3RD DUI	194	97.9	100.0	1.5	29.4	0.0	3.1	50.5	0.5
		4TH+ DUI	19	89.5	94.7	0.0	15.8	0.0	10.5	31.6	10.5
		TOTAL	1940	98.8	98.2	49.4	15.3	0.0	6.7	28.9	2.5
	KING CITY	1ST DUI	455	96.3	98.9	77.6	1.3	0.0	0.2	22.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	177	96.0	98.9	5.6	13.6	0.0	7.3	82.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	68	89.7	98.5	1.4	2.9	0.0	1.4	91.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	7	85.7	100.0	28.6	14.3	0.0	0.0	71.4	0.0
		TOTAL	707	95.5	98.9	51.8	4.7	0.0	2.1	44.4	0.0
	USMAG MONTEREY	1ST DUI	26	100.0	34.6	92.3	3.8	0.0	50.0	3.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	29	100.0	37.9	86.2	6.9	0.0	51.7	3.4	0.0
NAPA	SUP NAPA	1ST DUI	12	66.7	75.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	7	85.7	100.0	0.0	57.1	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	24	20.8	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	46	45.7	93.5	6.5	15.2	0.0	2.2	4.3	0.0
	NAPA	1ST DUI	611	99.8	97.4	90.7	2.5	0.0	2.3	0.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	183	98.9	99.5	3.3	82.0	0.0	62.8	1.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	81	95.0	100.0	4.9	29.6	0.0	16.0	13.6	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	881	99.2	98.1	64.0	21.6	0.0	16.1	2.2	0.0
NEVADA	SUP NEVADA	1ST DUI	5	60.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	7	57.1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.9	0.0
		TOTAL	16	62.5	87.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	31.3	0.0

TABLE B4: 1992 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
NEVADA cont.	JUV NEVADA	2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	NEVADA CITY	1ST DUI	213	97.7	88.3	85.9	2.8	0.0	23.5	0.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	81	95.1	98.8	1.2	84.0	0.0	80.2	2.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	27	96.3	96.3	3.7	40.7	0.0	29.6	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	324	96.6	91.7	57.1	26.2	0.0	38.0	1.2	0.0
	TRUCKEE MUNI	1ST DUI	101	96.0	94.1	81.2	4.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	28	96.4	92.9	10.7	78.6	0.0	60.7	3.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	10	80.0	80.0	20.0	50.0	0.0	10.0	20.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	141	95.0	92.9	37.6	22.7	0.0	14.2	2.1	0.0
	TRUCKEE JUST	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ORANGE	SUP SANTA ANA	1ST DUI	40	87.5	95.0	2.5	2.5	0.0	7.5	15.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	12	75.0	83.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	8.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	13	76.9	100.0	0.0	7.7	0.0	7.7	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	170	68.2	98.8	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.6	4.7	0.0
		TOTAL	235	72.3	97.4	0.4	1.7	0.0	2.6	6.4	0.0
	JUV ORANGE	1ST DUI	22	100.0	22.7	54.5	0.0	0.0	9.1	68.2	0.0
		TOTAL	22	100.0	22.7	54.5	0.0	0.0	9.1	68.2	0.0
	FULLERTON	1ST DUI	2781	98.5	30.7	91.4	2.0	0.0	71.6	3.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	767	98.2	94.9	6.3	83.2	0.1	74.1	1.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	222	95.0	97.3	0.0	67.1	0.0	10.8	0.9	0.5
		4TH+ DUI	32	84.4	87.5	3.1	46.9	0.0	3.1	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3802	98.1	48.0	68.1	22.6	0.0	68.0	2.7	0.0
	WESTMINSTER	1ST DUI	2349	99.6	9.1	94.4	1.6	0.0	96.0	0.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	669	99.8	90.3	6.0	87.0	0.0	94.8	1.2	0.2
		3RD DUI	180	98.9	92.2	6.1	75.6	0.0	17.2	1.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	28	89.3	85.7	10.7	42.9	0.0	21.4	0.0	3.6
		TOTAL	3226	99.5	31.2	70.4	23.8	0.0	90.7	0.8	0.1
	LAGUNA HILLS	1ST DUI	1380	99.9	12.2	89.0	1.4	0.0	88.9	9.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	431	100.0	94.0	5.6	82.4	0.0	86.5	9.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	100	100.0	96.0	2.0	70.0	0.0	12.0	5.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	15	100.0	100.0	0.0	53.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1926	99.9	35.6	65.1	23.5	0.0	83.7	9.3	0.0
	NEWPORT BCH	1ST DUI	1535	99.8	11.3	92.0	2.0	0.0	91.8	6.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	423	100.0	95.7	4.5	84.6	0.0	86.3	9.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	115	99.1	98.3	1.7	75.7	0.0	19.1	8.7	1.8
		4TH+ DUI	8	100.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2081	99.8	33.6	68.9	23.1	0.0	86.4	7.0	0.1
	SANTA ANA	1ST DUI	2457	99.6	29.6	95.4	2.0	0.0	76.8	2.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	647	99.4	92.6	7.9	89.3	0.0	91.7	1.4	0.2
		3RD DUI	174	100.0	97.1	5.7	81.6	0.0	19.0	6.9	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	24	87.5	100.0	4.2	79.2	0.0	16.7	4.2	0.0
		TOTAL	3302	99.5	46.0	72.9	23.8	0.0	76.2	2.3	0.0

TABLE B4: 1992 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
ORANGE cont.	SANTA ANA PROVST	1ST DUI	20	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	23	8.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	TUSTIN PROVOST	1ST DUI	12	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	13	15.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	76.9	0.0
PLACER	SUP PLACER	1ST DUI	12	91.7	75.0	58.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	7	100.0	100.0	14.3	28.6	0.0	0.0	42.9	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	18	50.0	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	22.2	0.0
		TOTAL	39	71.8	92.3	20.5	12.8	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
	JUV PLACER	1ST DUI	9	22.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.4	0.0
		TOTAL	9	22.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.4	0.0
	AUBURN MUNI	1ST DUI	297	98.7	91.2	92.6	1.0	0.0	11.4	3.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	126	98.4	96.8	7.9	77.0	0.0	66.7	9.5	0.8
		3RD DUI	48	100.0	97.9	6.3	31.3	0.0	16.7	50.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0	28.6	0.0
		TOTAL	478	98.7	93.5	60.3	24.5	0.0	26.4	10.0	0.2
	FOREST HILL	2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	ROSEVILLE	1ST DUI	472	100.0	90.9	94.1	2.3	0.0	12.3	1.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	146	98.6	97.3	4.8	84.2	0.0	72.6	2.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	64	98.4	98.4	7.8	32.8	1.6	7.8	7.8	3.1
		4TH+ DUI	11	72.7	100.0	0.0	27.3	0.0	9.1	18.2	0.0
		TOTAL	693	99.1	93.1	65.8	22.8	0.1	24.5	2.3	0.3
	AUBURN JUST	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
	COLFAX	4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TAHOE CITY	1ST DUI	82	100.0	100.0	92.7	0.0	0.0	19.5	2.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	41	100.0	100.0	9.8	78.0	0.0	70.7	4.9	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	100.0	100.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	40.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	129	100.0	100.0	62.8	25.6	0.0	35.7	5.4	0.0
PLUMAS	SUP PLUMAS	1ST DUI	3	100.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	75.0	50.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
	QUINCY	1ST DUI	78	98.7	96.2	93.6	0.0	0.0	3.8	2.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	27	92.6	100.0	18.5	48.1	0.0	44.4	3.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	9	88.9	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.2	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	118	96.6	97.5	66.1	11.0	0.0	12.7	4.2	0.0

TABLE B4: 1992 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
RIVERSIDE	SUP RIVERSIDE	1ST DUI	26	50.0	96.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	15	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	6	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	50	38.0	98.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	97	47.4	97.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SUP INDIO	1ST DUI	9	66.7	77.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	9	77.8	88.9	0.0	44.4	0.0	44.4	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	25	20.0	100.0	0.0	8.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	44	40.9	93.2	0.0	13.6	0.0	13.6	0.0	0.0
	JUV RIVERSIDE	1ST DUI	31	93.5	41.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.1	38.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	32	93.8	43.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.6	40.6	0.0
	JUV INDIO	1ST DUI	4	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	CORONA	1ST DUI	445	99.3	86.3	96.2	0.2	0.0	3.1	0.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	155	99.4	96.8	17.4	78.1	0.0	33.5	1.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	32	96.9	93.8	6.3	40.6	0.0	9.4	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	13	100.0	100.0	23.1	30.8	0.0	0.0	7.7	0.0
		TOTAL	645	99.2	89.5	71.3	21.6	0.0	10.7	0.6	0.0
	HEMET	1ST DUI	283	98.6	71.4	88.0	6.4	0.0	85.2	0.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	106	99.1	94.3	14.2	75.5	0.0	92.5	0.9	0.0
		3RD DUI	44	97.7	100.0	13.6	36.4	0.0	31.8	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	27	70.4	100.0	0.0	29.6	0.0	7.4	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	460	97.0	81.1	58.7	26.5	0.0	77.2	0.7	0.0
	BANNING	1ST DUI	250	96.8	49.2	80.8	8.4	0.4	55.6	2.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	89	100.0	83.1	12.4	69.7	4.5	76.4	4.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	22	95.5	86.4	4.5	36.4	13.6	9.1	4.5	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	12	75.0	100.0	0.0	41.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	373	96.8	61.1	57.4	25.7	2.1	56.0	2.7	0.0
	INDIO	1ST DUI	1011	98.8	7.6	5.6	1.3	0.0	92.3	1.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	365	98.4	88.2	2.5	77.3	0.0	94.5	1.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	91	97.8	92.3	1.1	26.4	0.0	39.6	9.9	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	47	83.0	97.9	0.0	8.5	0.0	4.3	6.4	0.0
		TOTAL	1514	98.2	34.9	4.4	21.3	0.0	86.9	2.0	0.0
	MORENO VLY	1ST DUI	1502	99.3	93.0	7.7	85.4	0.0	4.6	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	458	99.6	97.4	9.2	82.8	0.7	32.8	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	99	99.0	100.0	14.1	27.3	0.0	5.1	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	18	77.8	100.0	27.8	5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2077	99.2	94.4	8.5	81.3	0.1	10.8	0.0	0.0
	PALM SPRINGS	1ST DUI	292	99.7	4.8	4.1	0.0	0.0	93.8	0.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	104	99.0	83.7	2.9	67.3	0.0	89.4	1.9	0.0
		3RD DUI	26	100.0	76.9	3.8	26.9	0.0	42.3	7.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	19	100.0	89.5	0.0	31.6	0.0	15.8	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	441	99.5	31.3	3.6	18.8	0.0	86.4	1.1	0.0

TABLE B4: 1992 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
				%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
RIVERSIDE cont.	BLYTHE	1ST DUI	170	98.2	76.5	14.1	0.6	0.0	29.4	55.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	67	98.5	95.5	7.5	73.1	0.0	73.1	11.9	0.0
		3RD DUI	25	100.0	92.3	3.8	34.6	0.0	11.5	30.8	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	8	75.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	0.0
		TOTAL	271	97.8	83.4	11.1	21.8	0.0	37.6	41.0	0.0
	PERRIS	1ST DUI	527	99.1	44.4	86.0	6.3	1.1	62.4	0.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	163	98.8	93.3	17.8	73.6	0.6	77.3	0.6	0.6
		3RD DUI	27	100.0	92.6	14.8	25.9	3.7	29.6	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	22	86.4	95.5	9.1	13.6	0.0	13.6	4.5	0.0
		TOTAL	739	98.6	58.5	66.0	22.1	1.1	63.1	0.4	0.1
	ELSINORE	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	TC MARCH AFB	2ND DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SACRAMENTO	SUP SACTO	1ST DUI	81	80.2	95.1	34.6	6.2	0.0	4.9	2.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	40	77.5	100.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	7.5	5.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	38	68.4	94.7	2.6	5.3	0.0	0.0	18.4	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	268	61.2	99.6	0.7	22.0	0.0	0.4	5.2	0.0
		TOTAL	427	67.0	98.4	7.3	16.6	0.0	1.9	5.9	0.0
	JUV SACTO TRAF	1ST DUI	67	40.3	40.3	7.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	10	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	77	35.1	35.1	6.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.7	0.0
	SACRAMENTO	1ST DUI	3841	99.5	97.2	93.7	0.9	0.0	2.6	0.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	1414	99.8	98.3	5.4	64.9	0.1	64.1	0.8	0.0
		3RD DUI	480	99.6	97.7	1.9	22.3	0.0	12.9	0.0	0.4
		4TH+ DUI	118	97.5	100.0	9.3	11.0	0.0	0.9	2.5	0.0
		TOTAL	5853	99.5	97.5	63.1	18.3	0.0	18.3	0.5	0.0
	ELK GROVE	1ST DUI	74	100.0	95.9	85.1	4.1	0.0	2.7	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	35	100.0	97.1	8.6	57.1	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	9	100.0	100.0	0.0	22.2	0.0	22.2	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	121	100.0	96.7	54.5	20.7	0.0	20.7	0.0	0.0
	GALT	1ST DUI	57	100.0	96.5	1.8	91.2	0.0	1.8	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	26	100.0	100.0	0.0	80.8	0.0	80.8	19.2	0.0
		3RD DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	91	100.0	97.8	1.1	81.3	0.0	25.3	11.0	0.0
	WALNUT GROVE	1ST DUI	25	100.0	96.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	83.3	0.0	83.3	16.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	38	100.0	97.4	0.0	84.2	0.0	21.1	5.3	0.0

TABLE B4: 1992 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
SACRAMENTO cont.	US MAG SACTO	1ST DUI	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	100.0	60.0	20.0	40.0	0.0	40.0	40.0	0.0
	US CT SACTO	1ST DUI	2	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	66.7	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
SAN BENITO	JUV S BENITO	1ST DUI	5	60.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	60.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0
	HOLLISTER	1ST DUI	203	97.5	97.0	75.9	0.5	0.0	3.9	19.7	0.5
		2ND DUI	85	94.1	96.5	8.2	55.3	0.0	55.3	28.2	2.4
		3RD DUI	33	87.9	93.9	3.0	6.1	0.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
		TOTAL	325	95.4	96.6	49.8	15.4	0.0	17.2	20.3	1.2
	TRES PINOS	1ST DUI	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SAN BERNARDINO	SUP SAN BERNARDINO	1ST DUI	6	83.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	33.3	16.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	16	50.0	93.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	31	67.7	90.3	0.0	12.9	0.0	12.9	3.2	0.0
	SUP RANCHO CUCAMNGA	1ST DUI	14	85.7	92.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.1	7.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	40	45.0	95.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	60	58.3	95.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.7	1.7	0.0
	SUP VICTORVL	1ST DUI	10	60.0	90.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	6	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	17	29.4	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	35	37.1	97.1	2.9	0.0	0.0	2.9	0.0	0.0
	SUP BARSTOW	1ST DUI	5	20.0	100.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	15	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	23	4.3	100.0	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SUP JOSHUA TREE	3RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	9	66.7	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	10	60.0	70.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV TF SAN BERNARDINO	1ST DUI	32	75.0	0.0	71.9	0.0	0.0	3.1	6.3	0.0
		TOTAL	32	75.0	0.0	71.9	0.0	0.0	3.1	6.3	0.0
	JUV SAN BERNARDINO	1ST DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 1992 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
				%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN BERNARDINO cont.	CHINO	1ST DUI	319	99.7	16.0	1.3	2.2	0.0	82.4	1.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	102	98.0	83.3	0.0	79.4	0.0	92.2	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	27	96.3	92.6	0.0	70.4	0.0	55.6	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	9	77.8	77.8	0.0	66.7	0.0	44.4	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	457	98.7	36.8	0.9	24.7	0.0	82.3	0.9	0.0
	BARSTOW	1ST DUI	225	95.6	47.6	0.0	3.6	0.0	56.0	8.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	54	98.1	79.6	0.0	66.7	0.0	57.4	13.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	12	91.7	91.7	0.0	50.0	0.0	25.0	8.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	292	95.9	55.5	0.0	17.5	0.0	55.1	8.9	0.0
	REDLANDS	1ST DUI	189	98.4	14.8	0.5	0.0	0.0	75.1	1.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	79	98.7	79.7	0.0	20.3	1.3	29.1	21.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	16	93.8	87.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.8	25.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	8	62.5	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	292	97.3	38.7	0.3	5.5	0.3	57.5	8.2	0.0
	S BERN-CTRL	1ST DUI	1114	97.0	20.1	0.0	1.8	0.0	76.1	10.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	325	96.9	86.2	0.0	57.2	0.0	66.5	20.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	106	94.3	95.3	0.0	23.6	0.0	23.6	20.8	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	24	70.8	95.8	0.0	16.7	0.0	25.0	25.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1569	96.4	40.0	0.0	15.0	0.0	69.8	13.8	0.0
	FONTANA	1ST DUI	664	98.0	17.0	0.2	2.0	0.0	70.0	4.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	213	98.1	64.3	0.0	55.9	0.0	70.0	12.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	76	97.4	71.1	0.0	21.1	0.0	32.9	18.4	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	28	89.3	71.4	0.0	14.3	0.0	28.6	10.7	0.0
		TOTAL	981	97.8	33.0	0.1	15.5	0.0	66.0	7.2	0.0
	VICTORVILLE	1ST DUI	668	99.3	25.7	0.3	1.6	0.0	72.8	3.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	217	99.1	80.6	0.0	73.7	0.0	84.3	4.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	71	94.4	93.0	0.0	52.1	0.0	57.7	4.2	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	13	84.6	92.3	0.0	23.1	0.0	23.1	23.1	0.0
		TOTAL	969	98.7	43.9	0.2	21.8	0.0	73.6	3.8	0.0
	RANCHO CUCAMNGA	1ST DUI	1321	93.0	31.9	0.2	1.4	0.0	62.1	1.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	366	89.1	88.0	0.0	72.4	0.0	79.0	4.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	109	50.0	89.9	0.0	24.8	0.0	33.3	3.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	20	65.0	90.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	45.0	10.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1816	89.3	47.3	0.1	17.6	0.0	63.5	2.1	0.0
	BIG BEAR LK	1ST DUI	115	98.3	18.3	0.0	0.9	0.0	75.7	1.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	40	100.0	87.5	2.5	77.5	0.0	87.5	2.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	14	100.0	92.9	0.0	35.7	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	172	98.8	40.1	0.6	21.5	0.0	75.0	1.7	0.0
	TWIN PEAKS	1ST DUI	108	98.1	30.6	0.9	0.0	0.0	67.6	12.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	30	100.0	90.0	0.0	56.7	0.0	40.0	50.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0	42.9	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	147	98.6	46.9	0.7	12.9	0.0	57.8	21.8	0.0

TABLE B4: 1992 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
SAN BERNARDINO cont.	NEEDLES	1ST DUI	128	98.4	10.9	0.0	1.6	0.0	69.5	6.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	24	95.8	62.5	0.0	54.2	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	12	100.0	91.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	25.0	16.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	165	98.2	24.8	0.0	11.5	0.0	65.5	6.1	0.0
	TRONA	1ST DUI	11	100.0	45.5	72.7	27.3	0.0	72.7	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	13	100.0	46.2	61.5	23.1	0.0	69.2	0.0	0.0
	JOSHUA TREE	1ST DUI	161	100.0	9.3	0.0	4.3	0.0	94.4	0.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	40	97.5	45.0	0.0	62.5	0.0	87.5	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	10	100.0	80.0	0.0	30.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	212	99.5	19.8	0.0	16.5	0.0	90.1	0.5	0.0
	BARSTOW MP	1ST DUI	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SAN DIEGO	SUP SAN DIEGO	1ST DUI	38	97.4	100.0	7.9	2.6	0.0	5.3	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	19	73.7	100.0	0.0	5.3	0.0	5.3	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	7	71.4	100.0	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	46	76.1	100.0	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.7	0.0
		TOTAL	110	82.7	100.0	3.6	2.7	0.0	2.7	3.6	0.0
	SUP VISTA BR	1ST DUI	53	83.0	98.1	13.2	0.0	0.0	1.9	1.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	17	88.2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.9	0.0
		3RD DUI	8	87.5	87.5	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	44	79.5	100.0	2.3	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	122	82.8	98.4	7.4	0.8	0.0	0.8	2.5	0.0
	SUP CHULA VISTA	1ST DUI	16	100.0	93.8	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	80.0	100.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	23	60.9	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	46	78.3	97.8	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.2	0.0
	JUV SAN DIEGO	1ST DUI	44	2.3	9.1	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	54.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	4	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	48	2.1	10.4	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
	EL CAJON	1ST DUI	1996	98.4	97.4	89.6	2.6	0.0	4.7	1.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	564	95.4	98.2	9.4	71.3	0.0	72.3	3.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	167	91.6	98.8	3.6	49.7	0.0	29.3	14.4	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	33	63.6	93.9	9.1	24.2	0.0	18.2	6.1	0.0
		TOTAL	2760	97.0	97.6	67.0	19.7	0.0	20.1	2.9	0.0
	VISTA	1ST DUI	3035	98.5	99.4	89.6	2.5	0.0	3.0	5.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	807	97.0	99.1	11.8	69.6	0.0	34.8	11.2	0.0
		3RD DUI	211	94.8	100.0	3.3	36.0	0.0	11.4	40.8	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	37	81.1	97.3	2.7	24.3	0.0	5.4	37.8	0.0
		TOTAL	4090	97.8	99.4	69.0	17.7	0.0	9.7	8.4	0.0

TABLE B4: 1992 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
				%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN DIEGO cont.	SAN MARCOS	1ST DUI	5	100.0	100.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	40.0	20.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	100.0	100.0	25.0	62.5	0.0	50.0	25.0	0.0
	SAN DIEGO	1ST DUI	4169	99.1	91.7	91.2	3.6	0.0	87.3	1.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	993	99.0	95.6	9.5	79.6	0.0	83.7	2.1	0.5
		3RD DUI	227	93.0	97.4	4.8	48.0	0.0	32.2	3.1	0.9
		4TH+ DUI	71	84.5	95.8	1.4	39.4	0.0	18.3	4.2	1.4
		TOTAL	5460	98.7	92.7	71.6	19.7	0.0	83.5	1.9	0.1
	CHULA VISTA MUNI	1ST DUI	1524	98.5	81.7	89.8	3.3	0.0	21.8	6.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	399	97.5	95.5	9.5	77.7	0.3	58.9	12.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	132	93.7	100.0	6.1	52.3	0.0	18.9	34.8	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	17	88.2	100.0	11.8	23.5	0.0	5.9	29.4	0.0
		TOTAL	2072	97.9	85.7	68.4	20.9	0.0	28.6	9.3	0.0
	NAT CITY(76)	1ST DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	SN DIEGO TRF	1ST DUI	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	MIRAMAR NAV	1ST DUI	22	4.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	23	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.3	0.0
	SAN DIEGO NAV	1ST DUI	10	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	12	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	0.0	0.0
	TC S DIEGO NAV	1ST DUI	15	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	16	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
SAN FRANCISCO	SUP SAN FRAN	1ST DUI	4	100.0	100.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	5	60.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	8	87.5	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	62.5	0.0
		TOTAL	19	84.2	100.0	5.3	5.3	0.0	0.0	47.4	0.0
	JUV SAN FRAN	1ST DUI	6	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	7	28.6	14.3	0.0	14.3	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0
	SAN FRAN	1ST DUI	1118	97.9	97.5	88.4	1.7	0.0	3.0	0.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	345	96.2	98.3	9.0	65.8	0.0	50.1	0.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	85	94.1	98.8	2.4	31.8	0.0	4.7	2.4	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	12	100.0	91.7	8.3	33.3	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1560	97.3	97.7	65.5	17.8	0.0	13.6	0.4	0.0
	US DIST CT SAN FRAN	1ST DUI	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 1992 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
				%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN JOAQUIN	SUP SAN JOAQUIN	1ST DUI	10	60.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	10.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	6	83.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	6	83.3	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	69	78.3	87.0	0.0	2.9	0.0	0.0	31.9	0.0
		TOTAL	91	76.9	85.7	0.0	3.3	0.0	2.2	27.5	0.0
	JUV SAN JOAQUIN	1ST DUI	6	100.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0
		TOTAL	6	100.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0
	LODI	1ST DUI	508	99.0	97.8	77.4	0.4	0.0	1.6	17.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	183	100.0	100.0	10.4	58.5	0.0	56.3	27.9	0.0
		3RD DUI	67	100.0	98.5	3.0	4.5	0.0	6.0	88.1	3.0
		4TH+ DUI	23	91.3	100.0	0.0	4.3	0.0	4.3	82.6	0.0
		TOTAL	781	99.1	98.5	53.0	14.5	0.0	14.9	27.7	0.3
	ESCALON	1ST DUI	30	100.0	96.7	86.7	3.3	0.0	3.3	10.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	57.1	0.0	71.4	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	100.0	80.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	40.0	40.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	43	97.7	95.3	60.5	14.0	0.0	18.6	11.6	0.0
	MANTECA	1ST DUI	237	97.5	96.2	89.9	0.0	0.0	3.0	5.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	94	100.0	98.9	3.2	85.1	0.0	84.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	30	96.7	93.3	0.0	6.7	0.0	10.0	86.7	3.3
		4TH+ DUI	6	83.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
		TOTAL	367	97.8	96.7	58.9	22.3	0.0	24.3	11.4	0.3
	RIPON	1ST DUI	24	91.7	91.7	83.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	9	100.0	100.0	0.0	88.9	0.0	88.9	11.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	37	94.6	94.6	54.1	21.6	0.0	21.6	18.9	0.0
	TRACY	1ST DUI	266	100.0	98.5	73.3	2.3	0.0	1.1	3.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	113	100.0	100.0	9.7	80.5	0.0	65.5	5.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	47	100.0	100.0	10.6	36.2	0.0	8.5	80.9	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	15	100.0	100.0	0.0	26.7	0.0	0.0	93.3	0.0
		TOTAL	441	100.0	99.1	47.8	26.8	0.0	18.4	15.4	0.0
	STOCKTON	1ST DUI	1043	98.8	98.4	82.6	2.0	0.0	1.4	7.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	409	100.0	99.5	7.6	79.5	0.0	77.8	4.4	1.0
		3RD DUI	160	100.0	100.0	15.0	54.4	0.0	10.0	43.1	0.6
		4TH+ DUI	58	87.9	96.6	24.1	24.1	0.0	8.6	53.4	1.7
		TOTAL	1670	98.8	98.7	55.7	26.8	0.0	21.2	11.4	0.4
SAN LUIS OBISPO	SUP SN LUIS OBISPO	1ST DUI	14	92.9	35.7	57.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	71.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	7	85.7	85.7	28.6	14.3	0.0	0.0	28.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	43	81.4	100.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	55.8	0.0
		TOTAL	66	84.8	84.8	16.7	1.5	0.0	0.0	56.1	0.0
	S N LUIS OBISPO	1ST DUI	1052	99.2	97.1	94.2	1.6	0.0	1.0	0.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	414	98.3	97.8	7.0	84.3	0.0	69.1	1.4	0.0
		3RD DUI	131	96.9	96.9	8.4	68.7	0.0	6.9	9.9	3.1
		4TH+ DUI	44	88.6	88.6	0.0	54.5	0.0	0.0	9.1	0.0
		TOTAL	1641	98.5	97.1	62.8	29.3	0.0	18.6	1.7	0.2

TABLE B4: 1992 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
SAN LUIS OBISPO cont.	MORRO BAY	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	USMAG S LUIS OBISPO	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
SAN MATEO	SUP SAN MATEO	1ST DUI	16	81.3	100.0	37.5	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	17	82.4	100.0	5.9	52.9	0.0	17.6	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	8	100.0	100.0	0.0	37.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	62	85.5	100.0	8.1	12.9	0.0	0.0	8.1	0.0
		TOTAL	103	85.4	100.0	11.7	21.4	0.0	2.9	4.9	0.0
	JUV SAN MATEO	1ST DUI	35	62.9	2.9	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.7	0.0
		TOTAL	35	62.9	2.9	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.7	0.0
	SO S FRANCISCO	1ST DUI	1193	99.2	98.7	92.5	2.6	0.0	1.7	2.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	377	98.7	97.6	4.5	80.6	0.3	37.7	23.1	0.3
		3RD DUI	126	92.9	98.4	1.6	67.5	0.0	4.0	14.3	2.4
		4TH+ DUI	15	86.7	100.0	6.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	6.7	0.0
		TOTAL	1711	98.5	98.5	65.7	24.8	0.1	9.8	7.9	0.2
	REDWOOD CITY	1ST DUI	1290	97.4	96.4	86.7	4.7	0.0	0.8	0.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	481	98.1	99.4	4.0	82.1	0.0	26.4	0.2	0.0
		3RD DUI	111	91.9	98.2	2.7	57.7	0.0	3.6	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	19	78.9	100.0	0.0	63.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1901	97.1	97.3	60.0	28.0	0.0	7.4	0.2	0.0
SANTA BARBARA	SUP SANTA BARBARA	1ST DUI	9	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	25.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	21	42.9	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	39	61.5	100.0	0.0	5.1	0.0	5.1	5.1	0.0
	SUP SANTA MARIA	1ST DUI	13	92.3	53.8	7.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	7	42.9	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	45	44.4	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	67	52.2	91.0	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.0
	JUV SANTA BARBARA	1ST DUI	18	27.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	88.9	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	19	26.3	5.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	84.2	0.0
	JUV SANTA MARIA	1ST DUI	3	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
		TOTAL	3	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
	SANTA BARBARA	1ST DUI	1207	96.7	97.9	88.5	1.6	0.0	80.0	16.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	460	97.6	99.3	3.7	30.2	0.0	33.3	67.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	161	93.8	100.0	2.5	60.2	0.0	5.6	89.4	1.2
		4TH+ DUI	36	80.6	97.2	5.6	41.7	0.0	2.8	83.3	0.0
		TOTAL	1864	96.4	98.4	58.5	14.5	0.0	60.6	36.2	0.1
	SANTA MARIA	1ST DUI	553	99.5	46.3	59.7	2.0	0.0	71.6	11.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	249	99.2	91.6	6.0	64.7	0.0	62.7	24.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	95	98.9	98.9	1.1	6.3	0.0	5.3	65.3	1.1
		4TH+ DUI	16	87.5	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	913	99.1	65.1	37.9	19.5	0.0	61.0	21.6	0.1

TABLE B4: 1992 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
SANTA BARBARA cont.	LOMPOC	1ST DUI	211	99.5	12.8	89.1	1.9	0.0	0.5	2.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	85	100.0	92.9	5.9	74.1	0.0	32.9	43.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	42	95.2	83.3	9.5	16.7	11.9	0.0	57.1	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	0.0
		TOTAL	343	99.1	42.6	57.4	21.9	1.5	8.5	20.4	0.0
	SOLVANG	1ST DUI	128	98.4	19.5	73.4	2.3	0.0	83.6	4.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	40	100.0	90.0	5.0	75.0	0.0	90.0	10.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	14	92.9	92.9	0.0	21.4	0.0	14.3	35.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	183	98.4	41.0	52.5	19.7	0.0	79.2	8.7	0.0
	VANDENBERG AFB	1ST DUI	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SANTA CLARA	SUP SANTA CLARA	1ST DUI	187	81.8	97.9	40.1	6.4	0.0	4.3	4.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	78	67.9	97.4	1.3	38.5	1.3	6.4	1.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	75	60.0	98.7	0.0	30.7	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	338	53.3	99.1	0.0	29.3	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0
		TOTAL	678	63.6	98.5	11.2	24.2	0.1	1.9	2.4	0.0
	JUV SANTA CLARA	1ST DUI	50	96.0	30.6	92.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	4	50.0	100.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	54	92.6	35.8	87.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
	LOS GATOS	1ST DUI	421	97.4	96.9	81.2	1.9	0.0	5.2	8.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	155	98.1	100.0	3.2	78.1	0.0	78.1	2.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	67	98.5	98.5	1.5	11.9	1.5	10.4	4.5	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	12	100.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	8.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	655	97.7	97.9	53.1	21.4	0.2	23.1	6.4	0.0
	PALO ALTO	1ST DUI	555	98.7	96.2	79.5	1.8	0.0	5.0	5.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	180	97.2	98.3	6.7	65.6	0.0	65.6	5.6	0.6
		3RD DUI	59	94.9	100.0	1.7	16.9	0.0	16.9	10.2	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	801	98.1	97.0	56.7	17.2	0.0	19.5	5.6	0.1
	SAN JOSE	1ST DUI	3338	90.7	96.9	65.6	2.7	0.0	4.9	16.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	1362	92.7	98.1	4.4	56.2	0.0	56.4	20.2	0.0
		3RD DUI	534	91.8	98.5	1.9	12.2	0.0	8.1	8.4	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	60	93.3	96.7	1.7	5.0	0.0	5.0	1.7	0.0
		TOTAL	5294	91.3	97.3	42.7	17.5	0.0	18.5	16.2	0.0
	SAN JOSE TRF	2ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
	SANTA CLARA	1ST DUI	312	98.7	96.2	80.8	2.2	0.0	7.1	12.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	124	98.4	98.4	7.3	79.8	0.0	79.8	8.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	35	97.1	100.0	0.0	28.6	0.0	11.4	11.4	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	7	85.7	100.0	0.0	28.6	0.0	14.3	28.6	0.0
		TOTAL	478	98.3	97.1	54.6	24.7	0.0	26.4	11.5	0.0

TABLE B4: 1992 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
SANTA CLARA cont.	SUNNYVALE	1ST DUI	619	98.1	98.1	84.7	1.6	0.0	4.2	1.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	218	98.6	99.5	6.0	79.4	0.0	79.8	0.9	0.0
		3RD DUI	85	89.4	98.8	5.9	9.4	0.0	11.8	10.6	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	7	85.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0
		TOTAL	929	97.3	98.5	58.3	20.6	0.0	22.6	2.5	0.0
	GILROY	1ST DUI	455	98.2	99.3	89.7	1.5	0.0	3.1	10.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	223	99.1	99.6	5.8	74.0	0.0	69.5	13.9	0.0
		3RD DUI	72	100.0	100.0	2.8	12.5	0.0	8.3	19.4	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	16	100.0	100.0	6.3	18.8	0.0	12.5	6.3	0.0
		TOTAL	766	98.7	99.5	55.4	24.0	0.0	23.1	12.0	0.0
	US MAG SAN JOSE	2ND DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SANTA CRUZ	SUP SANTA CRUZ	1ST DUI	17	94.1	88.2	70.6	5.9	0.0	11.8	29.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	5	100.0	100.0	80.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	60.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	10	80.0	90.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	60	81.7	100.0	45.0	3.3	0.0	0.0	46.7	0.0
		TOTAL	92	84.8	96.7	48.9	4.3	0.0	3.3	43.5	0.0
	JUV SANTA CRUZ	1ST DUI	21	95.2	9.5	90.5	0.0	0.0	4.8	81.0	0.0
		TOTAL	21	95.2	9.5	90.5	0.0	0.0	4.8	81.0	0.0
	SANTA CRUZ	1ST DUI	1080	99.8	94.0	92.2	1.5	0.0	7.4	4.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	387	99.5	99.2	7.5	64.3	0.0	65.9	24.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	141	99.3	97.9	7.8	10.6	0.0	13.5	81.6	0.7
		4TH+ DUI	21	100.0	95.2	9.5	9.5	0.0	9.5	85.7	0.0
		TOTAL	1629	99.7	95.6	63.7	17.3	0.0	21.9	16.7	0.1
	WATSONVILLE	1ST DUI	471	99.8	98.9	90.9	0.6	0.0	1.7	7.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	195	100.0	100.0	5.1	36.9	0.0	35.4	59.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	56	100.0	100.0	0.0	1.8	0.0	1.8	98.2	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	726	99.9	99.3	60.3	10.5	0.0	10.7	28.8	0.0
SHASTA	SUP REDDING	1ST DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	18	27.8	94.4	0.0	22.2	0.0	0.0	5.6	0.0
		TOTAL	22	27.3	95.5	0.0	22.7	0.0	0.0	4.5	0.0
	JUV SHASTA	1ST DUI	3	66.7	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
		TOTAL	3	66.7	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
	BURNEY	1ST DUI	34	58.8	100.0	58.8	0.0	0.0	2.9	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	20	85.0	95.0	5.0	65.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	60.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	59	67.8	98.3	35.6	25.4	0.0	22.0	0.0	0.0
	REDDING	1ST DUI	553	98.2	98.6	89.9	3.3	0.0	2.4	1.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	242	94.6	100.0	10.3	77.7	0.0	72.3	1.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	104	91.3	100.0	1.9	54.8	0.0	10.6	1.9	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	19	42.1	94.7	0.0	31.6	0.0	0.0	5.3	0.0
		TOTAL	918	95.3	99.0	57.1	29.3	0.0	21.7	1.6	0.0

TABLE B4: 1992 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
SIERRA	DOWNIEVILLE	1ST DUI	18	100.0	94.4	94.4	0.0	0.0	5.6	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	9	100.0	100.0	0.0	77.8	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	29	100.0	96.6	58.6	24.1	0.0	34.5	3.4	0.0
SISKIYOU	SUP SISKIYOU	1ST DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	DORRIS	1ST DUI	12	100.0	100.0	50.0	8.3	0.0	0.0	8.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	100.0	100.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	15	100.0	100.0	53.3	13.3	0.0	6.7	6.7	0.0
	WEED	1ST DUI	81	96.3	91.4	67.9	0.0	0.0	2.5	4.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	38	100.0	100.0	5.3	84.2	0.0	44.7	7.9	0.0
		3RD DUI	16	100.0	100.0	0.0	56.3	0.0	6.3	0.0	5.3
		4TH+ DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	140	97.9	95.0	40.7	30.7	0.0	14.3	5.0	1.4
	TULELAKE	1ST DUI	8	100.0	75.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	11	100.0	81.8	45.5	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	YREKA	1ST DUI	110	97.3	92.7	70.0	2.7	0.0	1.8	24.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	33	100.0	97.0	6.1	54.5	0.0	18.2	45.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	15	100.0	100.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	13.3	13.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	161	98.1	94.4	49.1	21.1	0.0	6.2	27.3	0.0
SOLANO	SUP SOLANO	1ST DUI	6	100.0	100.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	6	83.3	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	7	100.0	100.0	28.6	28.6	0.0	0.0	42.9	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	46	87.0	97.8	17.4	28.3	0.0	0.0	69.6	0.0
		TOTAL	65	89.2	98.5	18.5	32.3	0.0	0.0	63.1	0.0
	JUV SOLANO	1ST DUI	20	85.0	15.0	55.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	25.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	23	87.0	21.7	47.8	4.3	0.0	13.0	21.7	0.0
	FAIRFIELD	1ST DUI	703	98.9	98.7	92.2	1.7	0.0	1.8	3.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	265	96.2	98.1	4.2	84.2	0.0	79.6	2.3	0.4
		3RD DUI	97	77.3	97.9	2.1	51.5	0.0	8.2	8.2	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	10	60.0	90.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1075	95.9	98.4	61.7	26.7	0.0	21.6	3.6	0.1
	VALLEJO	1ST DUI	362	99.4	93.6	94.2	2.5	0.0	8.0	0.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	128	98.4	98.4	10.2	75.8	0.0	69.5	1.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	47	100.0	93.6	6.4	46.8	0.0	14.9	2.1	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	10	100.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	547	99.3	94.9	65.3	23.8	0.0	22.9	0.9	0.0
	TC TRAVIS AFB	1ST DUI	13	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	14	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 1992 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
				%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SONOMA	SUP SONOMA	1ST DUI	11	81.8	90.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	66	54.5	95.5	1.5	0.0	0.0	1.5	3.0	0.0
		TOTAL	81	59.3	95.1	1.2	0.0	0.0	1.2	2.5	0.0
	JUV SONOMA	1ST DUI	38	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	39	2.6	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.6	0.0
	CLOVERDALE	2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SANTA ROSA	1ST DUI	1934	96.5	95.7	60.2	1.1	0.0	3.9	0.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	778	94.6	99.1	4.8	50.1	0.0	49.1	0.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	355	82.8	98.9	1.4	9.9	0.0	4.8	3.9	0.3
		4TH+ DUI	143	65.7	99.3	0.0	2.8	0.0	1.4	2.1	0.0
		TOTAL	3210	93.2	97.0	37.6	14.0	0.0	14.8	1.2	0.0
	PETALUMA	2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
STANISLAUS	SUP STANISLAUS	1ST DUI	15	86.7	100.0	40.0	6.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	11	81.8	100.0	0.0	18.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	8	87.5	100.0	62.5	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	59	78.0	100.0	13.6	27.1	0.0	0.0	13.6	0.0
		TOTAL	93	80.6	100.0	20.4	22.6	0.0	0.0	8.6	0.0
	JUV STANISLAUS	1ST DUI	30	83.3	66.7	53.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	30	83.3	66.7	53.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	MODESTO	1ST DUI	1038	98.7	99.0	87.2	3.4	0.0	2.4	0.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	432	98.8	99.8	11.8	73.1	0.0	24.8	1.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	148	97.3	99.3	4.7	60.1	0.0	7.4	4.1	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	40	85.0	100.0	7.5	60.0	0.0	2.5	5.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1658	98.3	99.3	58.3	28.0	0.0	8.7	1.3	0.0
	NEWMAN	1ST DUI	33	100.0	97.0	90.9	3.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	4	100.0	100.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	37	100.0	97.3	83.8	10.8	0.0	8.1	0.0	0.0
	OAKDALE	1ST DUI	97	99.0	100.0	90.7	1.0	0.0	3.1	2.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	26	100.0	100.0	7.7	73.1	0.0	46.2	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	12	91.7	100.0	8.3	58.3	0.0	8.3	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	138	98.6	100.0	65.9	21.0	0.0	11.6	1.4	0.0
	PATTERSON	1ST DUI	52	100.0	100.0	88.5	7.7	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	24	95.8	100.0	20.8	45.8	0.0	45.8	12.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	82	98.8	100.0	62.2	22.5	0.0	15.9	3.7	0.0
	RIVERBANK (90)	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 1992 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
STANISLAUS cont.	TURLOCK	1ST DUI	130	98.5	99.2	76.2	17.7	0.0	1.5	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	56	100.0	94.6	19.6	64.3	0.0	30.4	10.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	18	88.9	100.0	0.0	38.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	208	98.1	98.1	52.9	32.7	0.0	9.1	2.9	0.0
SUTTER	SUP SUTTER	1ST DUI	4	100.0	75.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	66.7	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	33.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	16	31.3	93.8	0.0	18.8	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
		TOTAL	27	51.9	88.9	0.0	18.5	0.0	3.7	37.0	0.0
	JUV SUTTER	1ST DUI	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
	YUBA CITY	1ST DUI	337	98.8	97.9	94.4	0.3	0.0	2.4	9.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	129	99.2	100.0	4.7	69.0	0.0	44.2	25.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	45	100.0	100.0	2.2	17.8	0.0	15.6	75.6	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	10	100.0	100.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	10.0	90.0	0.0
		TOTAL	521	99.0	98.7	62.4	19.0	0.0	14.0	20.9	0.0
TEHAMA	SUP TEHAMA	1ST DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	10	10.0	90.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0
	JUV TEHAMA	1ST DUI	2	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	50.0	75.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
	CORNING	1ST DUI	72	91.7	97.2	90.3	1.4	0.0	2.8	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	34	76.5	100.0	17.6	55.9	0.0	5.9	2.9	0.0
		3RD DUI	12	33.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	118	81.4	98.3	60.2	20.3	0.0	3.4	0.8	0.0
	RED BLUFF	1ST DUI	180	88.3	98.9	82.8	1.7	0.0	1.7	11.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	76	60.5	97.4	9.2	47.4	0.0	42.1	32.9	0.0
		3RD DUI	24	20.8	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	12.5	12.5	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	7	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0
		TOTAL	287	73.2	98.6	54.4	15.0	0.0	13.2	17.1	0.0
TRINITY	SUP TRINITY	1ST DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	6	33.3	83.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	WEAVERVILLE	1ST DUI	46	84.8	93.5	58.7	2.2	0.0	4.3	10.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	24	100.0	100.0	8.3	54.2	0.0	41.7	25.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	85.7	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	81	90.1	96.3	35.8	24.7	0.0	14.8	14.8	0.0

TABLE B4: 1992 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
				%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
TULARE	SUP TULARE	1ST DUI	46	84.8	87.0	0.0	2.2	0.0	2.2	8.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	21	57.1	90.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.8	0.0
		3RD DUI	7	57.1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	112	56.3	100.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	4.5	0.0
		TOTAL	186	63.4	95.7	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.5	7.5	0.0
	JUV TULARE	1ST DUI	17	70.6	11.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	58.8	0.0
		TOTAL	17	70.6	11.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	58.8	0.0
	DINUBA	1ST DUI	359	97.2	99.7	42.6	1.4	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	141	95.7	97.9	2.8	31.9	0.0	19.1	1.4	0.7
		3RD DUI	59	100.0	94.9	1.7	3.4	0.0	6.8	3.4	3.4
		4TH+ DUI	11	54.5	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	570	96.3	98.8	27.7	9.1	0.0	5.8	0.7	0.5
	EXETER	1ST DUI	59	100.0	100.0	88.1	1.7	0.0	3.4	10.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	13	100.0	100.0	0.0	92.3	0.0	69.2	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	42.9	0.0	28.6	14.3	0.0
		TOTAL	79	100.0	100.0	65.8	20.3	0.0	16.5	8.9	0.0
	LINDSAY	1ST DUI	117	100.0	99.1	84.6	2.6	0.0	2.6	4.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	37	100.0	81.1	13.5	51.4	0.0	64.9	13.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	22	95.5	90.9	4.5	18.2	0.0	27.3	45.5	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	178	99.4	94.4	59.0	15.2	0.0	19.1	11.8	0.0
	PORTERVILLE	1ST DUI	443	99.1	98.4	88.7	1.8	0.0	3.2	4.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	190	98.4	98.4	6.8	77.8	0.0	65.3	11.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	92	95.7	98.9	2.2	25.0	0.0	13.0	41.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	20	95.0	95.0	0.0	15.0	0.0	5.0	55.0	0.0
		TOTAL	745	98.4	98.4	54.8	24.4	0.0	20.3	11.9	0.0
	TULARE	1ST DUI	232	99.6	98.3	84.1	7.3	0.0	3.9	5.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	97	100.0	99.0	5.2	69.1	0.0	55.7	16.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	31	100.0	100.0	9.7	29.0	0.0	9.7	29.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0
		TOTAL	367	99.7	98.6	55.3	25.9	0.0	18.0	10.4	0.0
	VISALIA	1ST DUI	500	98.6	96.0	89.6	1.6	0.0	5.8	3.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	229	97.8	97.4	10.0	61.1	0.0	39.7	16.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	94	98.9	100.0	3.2	27.7	0.0	10.6	48.9	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	7	71.4	100.0	14.3	28.6	0.0	0.0	28.6	0.0
		TOTAL	830	98.2	96.9	57.2	21.2	0.0	15.7	12.7	0.0
	WOODLAKE MUNI	1ST DUI	87	98.9	95.4	87.4	5.7	0.0	5.7	3.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	31	100.0	100.0	19.4	74.2	0.0	58.1	3.2	0.0
		3RD DUI	9	100.0	100.0	0.0	11.1	0.0	11.1	22.2	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	128	99.2	96.9	64.1	22.7	0.0	18.8	5.5	0.0
	EXETER JUST	2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	LINDSAY JUST	2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 1992 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
TULARE cont.	USMAG THREE RIVERS	1ST DUI	17	35.3	5.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	26	34.6	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TUOLUMNE	SUP TUOLUMNE	1ST DUI	4	100.0	100.0	50.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	15	60.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
		TOTAL	27	66.7	100.0	7.4	22.2	0.0	0.0	11.1	0.0
	JUV TUOLUMNE	1ST DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SONORA	1ST DUI	130	98.5	100.0	95.4	1.5	0.0	1.5	4.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	52	100.0	100.0	9.6	84.6	0.0	76.9	5.8	0.0
		3RD DUI	22	100.0	100.0	4.5	40.9	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	83.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	210	98.6	100.0	61.9	27.1	0.0	21.0	4.3	0.0
	JAMESTOWN	1ST DUI	35	100.0	100.0	97.1	2.9	0.0	0.0	2.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	20	100.0	95.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	58	98.3	98.3	58.6	37.9	0.0	36.2	1.7	0.0
VENTURA	SUP VENTURA	1ST DUI	22	90.9	95.5	18.2	36.4	0.0	4.5	50.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	11	63.6	81.8	0.0	18.2	0.0	9.1	27.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	100.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	58	32.8	98.3	3.4	10.3	0.0	0.0	37.9	0.0
		TOTAL	94	52.1	94.7	6.4	17.0	0.0	2.1	39.4	0.0
	JUV VENTURA	1ST DUI	9	88.9	66.7	22.2	0.0	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	9	55.6	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	11.1	0.0
		TOTAL	20	70.0	80.0	10.0	15.0	0.0	5.0	5.0	0.0
	VENTURA MUNI	1ST DUI	3223	98.8	98.5	86.9	1.3	0.0	1.4	15.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1025	98.9	99.2	15.0	62.9	0.0	65.3	19.9	0.0
		3RD DUI	320	97.5	100.0	12.2	23.1	0.0	7.8	83.4	0.3
		4TH+ DUI	40	95.0	97.5	12.5	25.0	0.0	15.0	57.5	0.0
		TOTAL	4608	98.7	98.8	65.1	16.8	0.0	16.2	21.2	0.0
YOLO	SUP WOODLAND	1ST DUI	3	100.0	100.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	25	72.0	96.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	24.0	0.0
		TOTAL	34	79.4	97.1	5.9	5.9	0.0	0.0	23.5	0.0
	JUV YOLO	1ST DUI	7	42.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	57.1	0.0
		TOTAL	7	42.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	57.1	0.0

TABLE B4: 1992 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
				%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
YOLO cont.	BRODERICK	1ST DUI	58	100.0	98.3	94.8	3.4	0.0	0.0	1.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	52	100.0	100.0	3.8	84.6	0.0	71.2	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	14	100.0	100.0	0.0	42.9	0.0	35.7	28.6	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	126	100.0	99.2	45.2	41.3	0.0	33.3	4.0	0.0
	WOODLAND	1ST DUI	37	97.3	97.3	78.4	13.5	0.0	5.4	2.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	80	98.8	100.0	2.5	95.0	0.0	90.0	2.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	10	100.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	30.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	8	100.0	100.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	135	98.5	99.3	23.0	63.7	0.0	57.0	2.2	0.0
	DAVIS	1ST DUI	115	100.0	92.2	93.9	3.5	0.0	6.1	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	37	100.0	100.0	5.4	83.8	0.0	75.7	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	8	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	160	100.0	94.4	68.8	21.9	0.0	21.9	0.0	0.0
YUBA	SUP YUBA	1ST DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	18	27.8	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	20	25.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV YUBA	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
	MARYSVILLE	1ST DUI	340	100.0	62.1	91.8	0.9	0.0	1.5	1.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	136	100.0	95.6	5.9	57.4	0.0	48.5	8.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	45	100.0	100.0	0.0	11.1	0.0	8.9	2.2	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	10	80.0	90.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	531	99.6	74.4	60.5	16.2	0.0	14.1	3.0	0.0
	TC BEALE AFB	1ST DUI	9	88.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	9	88.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B5: DEMOGRAPHIC AND I-YEAR PRIOR AND 2-YEAR PRIOR DRIVER RECORD VARIABLES BY YEAR AND SANCTION GROUP FOR FIRST DUI OFFENDERS

YEAR GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	PERCENT FEMALE	MEAN AGE	PERCENT COMMERCIAL DRIVERS	2-YEAR PRIOR INCIDENTS PER 100 DRIVERS				ZIP CODE ACCIDENT AND CONVICTION INDICES**			
					TOTAL ACCIDENTS	ALCOHOL ACCIDENTS	MAJOR CONVICTIONS	MINOR CONVICTIONS	TOTAL ACCIDENTS	INJURY ACCIDENTS	MAJOR VIOLATIONS	MOVING VIOLATIONS
1989												
Suspension	5414	13.2	25.8	1.6	3.69	1.89	.32	15.92	2.86	.87	.22	6.64
Jail	7032	12.5	31.9	3.5	3.18	1.58	.20	11.22	2.95	.95	.24	6.78
1st DUI program & jail	42489	15.7	32.7	3.6	2.88	1.45	.15	10.70	2.84	.86	.22	6.68
1st DUI program & restriction	40304	15.3	32.8	2.9	3.05	1.47	.12	9.28	3.11	.91	.21	6.46
SB 38 program & restriction	4274	13.9	33.7	3.8	3.25	1.76	.31	8.95	3.01	.95	.25	6.24
Statistical significance test		X^2 = 49.7*	F = 554.7*	X^2 = 88.9*	F = 30.5*	F = 22.5*	F = 44.9*	F = 259.7*	F = 797.9*	F = 449.3*	F = 211.7*	F = 193.8*
1991												
Suspension	10165	11.8	27.7	1.8	3.42	1.84	.79	14.33	2.79	.86	.20	6.13
Jail	5068	13.3	31.6	3.8	2.89	1.54	.32	12.24	2.74	.87	.21	5.94
1st DUI program & jail	57551	16.4	32.9	3.4	2.82	1.46	.15	10.28	2.74	.84	.20	6.10
1st DUI program & restriction	37389	16.1	32.6	2.6	3.05	1.45	.12	9.98	2.99	.89	.19	5.98
SB 38 program & restriction	6201	14.7	33.1	3.5	2.98	1.63	.42	9.81	2.88	.91	.22	5.80
Statistical significance test		X^2 = 173.1*	F = 559.9*	X^2 = 118.4*	F = 30.8*	F = 27.5*	F = 453.4*	F = 202.2*	F = 1119.2*	F = 390.3*	F = 341.8*	F = 216.6*
1992					1-YEAR PRIOR INICDENTS PER 100 DRIVERS							
Suspension	8336	11.6	28.2	1.8	2.35	1.54	.68	7.48	1.54	.46	.10	3.45
Jail	4810	13.1	32.2	3.5	2.22	1.40	.23	6.27	1.53	.46	.10	3.33
1st DUI program & jail	49781	16.4	33.3	3.3	2.00	1.31	.11	4.98	1.53	.45	.10	3.35
1st DUI program & restriction	32584	15.6	33.1	2.6	2.11	1.29	.08	5.00	1.67	.47	.09	3.49
SB 38 program & restriction	5569	14.5	33.4	3.6	2.00	1.30	.29	4.56	1.60	.48	.10	3.22
Statistical significance test		X^2 = 153.9*	F = 11.9*	X^2 = 87.8*	F = 38.6*	F = 36.9*	F = 6375.6*	F = 439.6*	F = 135.8*	F = 54.8*	F = 84.8*	F = 25.8*

*Statistical significance at $p<.05$, (two-tailed).

**The ZIP Code indices for the 1992 cases are based on 3.25 years of driver record data whereas the indices for the 1989 and 1991 drivers are based on 5 years of data.

TABLE B6: DEMOGRAPHIC AND 1-YEAR PRIOR AND 2-YEAR PRIOR DRIVER RECORD VARIABLES BY YEAR AND SANCTION GROUP FOR SECOND DUI OFFENDERS

YEAR GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	PERCENT FEMALE	MEAN AGE	PERCENT COMMERCIAL DRIVERS	2-YEAR PRIOR INCIDENTS PER 100 DRIVERS				ZIP CODE ACCIDENT AND CONVICTION INDICES			
					TOTAL ACCIDENTS	ALCOHOL ACCIDENTS	MAJOR CONVICTIONS	MINOR CONVICTIONS	TOTAL ACCIDENTS	INJURY ACCIDENTS	MAJOR VIOLATIONS	MOVING VIOLATIONS
1989												
Suspension	9065	8.8	32.1	2.3	3.79	2.33	5.02	13.70	2.86	.89	.23	6.57
SB 38 program & restriction	22947	10.4	34.7	3.7	3.36	1.88	3.38	10.18	2.97	.89	.22	6.63
Statistical significance test		$X^2 = 35.3$*	$F = 425.1$*	$X^2 = 38.8$*	$F = 34.2$*	$F = 67.6$*	$F = 669.5$*	$F = 347.7$*	$F = 158.5$*	$F = .2.3$	$F = 28.7$*	$F = 8.7$*
1991												
Suspension	10808	8.5	33.9	2.8	3.29	1.98	4.93	12.30	2.71	.86	.21	6.09
SB 38 program & restriction	18694	10.6	34.2	3.0	3.21	1.80	3.56	10.61	2.85	.87	.20	6.07
Other	11906	9.4	33.7	3.5	3.36	1.99	4.46	11.37	2.80	.86	.20	6.02
Statistical significance test		$X^2 = 33.7$*	$F = 53.0$*	$X^2 = 7.46$*	$F = 3.0$	$F = 10.0$*	$F = 256.0$*	$F = 42.9$*	$F = 179.3$*	$F = 15.7$*	$F = 63.7$*	$F = 14.4$*
1992					1-YEAR PRIOR INCIDENTS PER 100 DRIVERS							
Suspension	9313	9.0	33.2	2.5	2.06	1.49	2.77	5.87	1.50	.45	.11	3.39
SB 38 program & restriction	16058	10.3	34.5	3.1	2.01	1.38	1.49	4.74	1.58	.46	.10	3.39
Other	10247	9.4	34.2	3.4	2.16	1.48	2.30	5.16	1.55	.45	.10	3.38
Statistical significance test		$X^2 = 13.1$*	$F = 2.05$	$X^2 = 14.2$*	$F = 23.7$*	$F = 25.4$*	$F = 481.7$*	$F = 189.9$*	$F = 9.8$*	$F = 4.05$*	$F = 62.5$*	$F = 5.9$*

*Statistically significance at $p<.05$, (two-tailed).

**The ZIP Code indices for the 1992 cases are based on 3.25 years of driver record data whereas the indices for the 1989 and 1991 drivers are based on 5 years of data.

TABLE B7: ZIP CODE CENSUS VARIABLES (COVARIATES) BY YEAR AND SANCTION GROUP FOR FIRST AND SECOND DUI OFFENDERS

YEAR GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	PERCENT URBAN	PERCENT OTHER TRANSPORTATION	PERCENT LEAVE WORK AT 4 PM	PERCENT AGE 55 AND ABOVE	PERCENT SINGLE	PERCENT UNEMPLOYED	MEDIAN INCOME	MEDIAN RENT	TRAVEL TIME TO WORK (MIN.)
FIRST OFFENDERS										
1991										
Suspension	10165	NA	NA	NA	17.0	30.0	NA	33886	NA	26.2
Jail	5068	NA	NA	NA	17.3	28.3	NA	32516	NA	26.0
1st DUI program & jail	57551	NA	NA	NA	17.9	28.2	NA	34961	NA	26.0
1st DUI program & restriction	37389	NA	NA	NA	17.3	31.4	NA	36503	NA	27.3
SB 38 program & restriction	6201	NA	NA	NA	16.7	27.1	NA	34503	NA	28.6
Statistical significance test					$F = 107.1$*	$F = 27.3$*		$F = 265.1$*		$F = 698.4$*
1992										
Suspension	8336	90.6	10.7	5.8	NA	NA	NA	NA	607.6	NA
Jail	4810	86.8	9.8	5.8	NA	NA	NA	NA	581.1	NA
1st DUI program & jail	49781	88.9	10.6	5.8	NA	NA	NA	NA	605.8	NA
1st DUI program & restriction	32584	96.3	11.4	5.5	NA	NA	NA	NA	664.9	NA
SB 38 program & restriction	5569	92.4	7.7	6.1	NA	NA	NA	NA	594.5	NA
Statistical significance test		$F = 796.6$*	$F = 225.2$*	$F = 295.4$*					$F = 1047.4$*	
SECOND OFFENDERS										
1991										
Suspension	10808	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	5.2	NA	NA	26.5
SB 38 program & restriction	18694	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	4.6	NA	NA	25.6
Other	11906	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	4.8	NA	NA	26.6
Statistical significance test							$F = 252.0$*			$F = 176.6$*
1992										
Suspension	9313	89.0	9.9	5.9	17.5	NA	NA	NA	580.7	NA
SB 38 program & restriction	16058	91.2	10.3	5.7	17.5	NA	NA	NA	627.2	NA
Other	10247	89.7	10.4	5.8	17.7	NA	NA	NA	609.5	NA
Statistical significance test		$F = 37.8$*	$F = 8.1$*	$F = 19.5$*	$F = 4.2$*				$F = 296.8$*	

*Statistical significance at $p<.05$, (two-tailed).

**The ZIP Code indices for the 1992 cases are based on 3.25 years of driver record data whereas the indices for 1991 drivers are based on 5 years of data. These census variables were not applied to the 1989 drivers since these variables were not available until after 1990.